Mode conversion and resonant absorption in inhomogeneous materials with flat bands

Kihong Kim^{®*} and Seulong Kim[®]

Department of Physics, Ajou University, Suwon 16499, Korea

(Received 28 October 2021; accepted 14 January 2022; published 25 January 2022)

Mode conversion of transverse electromagnetic waves into longitudinal oscillations and the associated resonant absorption of wave energy in inhomogeneous plasmas is a phenomenon that has been studied extensively in plasma physics. We show that precisely analogous phenomena occur generically in electronic and photonic systems where dispersionless flat bands and dispersive bands coexist in the presence of an inhomogeneous potential or medium parameter. We demonstrate that the systems described by the pseudospin-1 Dirac equation with two dispersive bands and one flat band display mode conversion and resonant absorption in a very similar manner to p-polarized electromagnetic waves in an unmagnetized plasma by calculating the mode conversion coefficient explicitly using the invariant imbedding method. We also show that a similar mode conversion process takes place in many other systems with a flat band such as pseudospin-2 Dirac systems, continuum models obtained for one-dimensional stub and sawtooth lattices, and two-dimensional electron systems with a quadratic band and a nearly flat band. We discuss some experimental implications of mode conversion in flat-band materials and metamaterials.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.105.045136

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been growing interest in the electronic and photonic systems displaying a dispersionless flat band in the band structure [1–3]. For the modes belonging to the flat band, the particle energy or wave frequency does not depend on the momentum or wave vector and the group velocity vanishes. This has a strong effect on the behavior of quasiparticles and waves and can cause many interesting phenomena by greatly amplifying the effects of various perturbations such as interactions and disorder [4–9]. Examples include superconductivity in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, flat-band ferromagnetism, and anomalous Landau levels [10–17].

Many models having one or more flat bands have been studied theoretically. Two-dimensional (2D) lattices such as the Lieb, dice, and kagome lattices and one-dimensional (1D) lattices such as the stub, sawtooth, and diamond lattices are among the examples [18–21]. The low-energy physics of the aforementioned 2D lattices can be described by two Dirac cones intersected by a flat band and modeled by the pseudospin-1 Dirac equation in 2D [22–26]. There also have been many recent attempts to realize flat-band systems experimentally [27–32].

In this paper, we show that there exists another interesting phenomenon which has avoided the attention of researchers until now, though it should occur generically in all flat-band systems unless forbidden by symmetry. In plasma physics, the phenomenon termed (somewhat ambiguously) as mode conversion has been known for a long time and has played a crucial role in explaining a variety of processes, including the heating of solar corona and fusion plasmas and the sudden appearance or disappearance of specific wave modes in space plasmas [33-42]. The simplest example is as follows. In an inhomogeneous unmagnetized plasma where the plasma density n varies smoothly along the z direction, the plasma frequency $\omega_p (= \sqrt{4\pi n e^2/m})$, where m and e are the mass and charge of an electron, is also a function of z. Let us consider a situation where a *p*-polarized electromagnetic (EM) wave of frequency ω is obliquely incident on this plasma and propagates within it. If there exists a resonant region where ω is matched to the local plasma frequency, then the local dielectric permittivity vanishes and the transverse wave excites a longitudinal plasma oscillation there. Since the group velocity for the plasma oscillation mode is zero, the energy of the incident wave is continuously converted into that of the plasma oscillation mode and is accumulated at the resonant region. Ultimately this energy will be dissipated as heat and contribute to the heating of the plasma.

We point out that the plasma oscillation mode is an example of flat band. In an inhomogeneous plasma where this band crosses the dispersive band describing EM waves, the energy can flow from the (fast) dispersive mode to the (slow) flat-band mode. We will demonstrate that a precisely analogous phenomenon occurs in the systems described by the pseudospin-1 Dirac equation. Furthermore, we will show that similar phenomena take place in many other systems with flat bands including pseudospin-2 Dirac systems, continuum models derived for 1D stub and sawtooth lattices, and a 2D model with a nearly flat band.

II. PSEUDOSPIN-1 DIRAC EQUATION

The effective Hamiltonian that describes massive pseudospin-1 Dirac particles moving in the 2D xy plane

2469-9950/2022/105(4)/045136(11)

in a 1D scalar potential U = U(x) takes the form

$$\mathcal{H} = v_F (S_x p_x + S_y p_y) + UI + MV, \tag{1}$$

where v_F is the Fermi velocity and $M (= mv_F^2)$ is the mass energy. The *x* and *y* components, S_x and S_y , of the pseudospin-1 operator are represented by

$$S_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0\\ i & 0 & -i\\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

and *I* is the 3×3 unity matrix. The *x* and *y* components of the momentum operator, p_x and p_y , are

$$p_x = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dx}, \quad p_y = \hbar k_y, \tag{3}$$

where k_y is the y component of the wave vector. We assume that the mass energy M is a constant. The mass term MV describes the generation of the band gap between the conduction and valence bands and the position of the flat band. For the matrix V, we choose

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4)

Then the flat band is located at the bottom of the conduction band if M > 0 and at the top of the valence band if M < 0 [24]. The size of the band gap is 2|M|.

The time-independent Dirac equation in 2D for the threecomponent vector wave function $\psi [= (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)^T]$ is

$$\mathcal{H}\psi = E\psi,\tag{5}$$

where *E* is the particle energy. We can eliminate ψ_1 and ψ_3 using the equations

$$\psi_1 = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\hbar v_F}{E - M - U} \left(\frac{d}{dx} + k_y\right) \psi_2,$$

$$\psi_3 = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\hbar v_F}{E - M - U} \left(\frac{d}{dx} - k_y\right) \psi_2,$$
 (6)

and obtain a single wave equation for ψ_2 of the form

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\hbar v_F}{E - M - U} \frac{d\psi_2}{dx} \right) + \left[\frac{E + M - U}{\hbar v_F} - \frac{\hbar v_F k_y^2}{E - M - U} \right] \psi_2 = 0.$$
(7)

We assume that a plane wave described by ψ_2 is incident obliquely from the region x > L where U = 0 onto the nonuniform region in $0 \le x \le L$ where U = U(x) and then transmitted to the uniform region x < 0 where $U = U_t$. Then the wave number k and the *negative* x component of the wave vector, p, in the incident region and the constant of motion k_y are given by

$$k = \frac{\sqrt{E^2 - M^2}}{\hbar v_F}, \quad p = k \cos \theta, \quad k_y = k \sin \theta, \tag{8}$$

where we assume that $E > M \ge 0$ and θ is the incident angle. A sketch of the configuration considered here is shown in Fig. 1.



FIG. 1. Sketch of the configuration considered in Sec. II. A plane wave is incident at an angle θ from the region x > L where U = 0 onto the nonuniform region in $0 \le x \le L$ where U = U(x) and then transmitted at an angle θ_t to the uniform region x < 0 where $U = U_t$. If the wave is evanescent in the region x < 0, then the transmittance *T* vanishes and the angle θ_t is undefined.

We introduce the dimensionless parameters ϵ and μ defined by

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{U}{E - M}, \quad \mu = 1 - \frac{U}{E + M},$$
 (9)

which are equal to each other in the massless case. In the incident region, we have $\epsilon = \mu = 1$. In terms of the parameters ϵ and μ , the wave equation, Eq. (7), can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{d\psi_2}{dx}\right) + k^2\left(\mu - \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\epsilon}\right)\psi_2 = 0.$$
 (10)

We notice that if we replace ψ_2 , ϵ , and μ with the *z* component of the magnetic field H_z , the dielectric permittivity, and the magnetic permeability, then this equation has precisely the same form as the wave equation for *p*-polarized EM waves propagating in the *xy* plane. In Table I, we make a comparison between the pseudospin-1 Dirac equation and the *p* wave equation in a plasma.

We solve the wave equation in the presence of an arbitrary potential using the invariant imbedding method [43–45]. In this method, we first calculate the reflection and transmission coefficients r and t defined by the wave functions in the incident and transmitted regions:

$$\psi_2(x,L) = \begin{cases} e^{ip(L-x)} + r(L)e^{ip(x-L)}, & x > L, \\ t(L)e^{-ip'x}, & x < 0, \end{cases}$$
(11)

where p' is the negative *x* component of the wave vector in the region x < 0 and *r* and *t* are regarded as functions of *L*. Following the procedure described in Ref. [45], we derive the exact differential equations for *r* and *t*:

$$\frac{1}{k}\frac{dr}{dl} = -\frac{i\cos\theta}{2}\epsilon(r-1)^2 + \frac{i}{2\cos\theta}\left(\mu - \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\epsilon}\right)(r+1)^2,$$
$$\frac{1}{k}\frac{dt}{dl} = -\frac{i\cos\theta}{2}\epsilon(r-1)t + \frac{i}{2\cos\theta}\left(\mu - \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\epsilon}\right)(r+1)t. \quad (12)$$

For any functional form of U and for any values of kL and θ , we can integrate these equations from l = 0 to l = L using

TABLE I. Comparison between the pseudospin-1 Dirac equation and the p wave equation in a plasma.

	ϵ	μ	Flat band	Local oscillation
Pseudospin-1 Dirac equation	$1 - \frac{U}{E-M}$	$1 - \frac{U}{E+M}$	E = U + M	Compact localized states
p wave equation in a plasma	$1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}$	1	$\omega = \omega_p$	Plasmon

the initial conditions

$$r(0) = \frac{\epsilon_2 \cos \theta - \tilde{p}}{\epsilon_2 \cos \theta + \tilde{p}}, \quad t(0) = \frac{2\epsilon_2 \cos \theta}{\epsilon_2 \cos \theta + \tilde{p}}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\tilde{p} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\epsilon_2)\sqrt{\epsilon_2\mu_2 - \sin^2\theta} & \operatorname{if} \epsilon_2\mu_2 \geqslant \sin^2\theta, \\ i\sqrt{\sin^2\theta - \epsilon_2\mu_2} & \operatorname{if} \epsilon_2\mu_2 < \sin^2\theta, \end{cases}$$
$$\epsilon_2 = 1 - \frac{U_t}{E - M}, \quad \mu_2 = 1 - \frac{U_t}{E + M}, \quad (14)$$

and obtain r(L) and t(L). The reflectance R and the transmittance T are obtained using

$$R = |r|^2, \quad T = \begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{p}}{\epsilon_2 \cos\theta} |t|^2 & \text{if } \epsilon_2 \mu_2 \geqslant \sin^2 \theta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \epsilon_2 \mu_2 < \sin^2 \theta. \end{cases}$$
(15)

In the absence of dissipation and mode conversion, the identity R + T = 1 is satisfied.

The initial conditions r(0) and t(0) are the reflection and transmission coefficients for the case where there is no inhomogeneous layer (that is, L = 0), and therefore the incident region with $\epsilon = \mu = 1$ and the transmitted region with $\epsilon = \epsilon_2$ and $\mu = \mu_2$ have a single interface at l = 0. They are derived from the continuity of ψ_2 and $\epsilon^{-1}d\psi_2/dx$ at the interface and are nothing but the well-known Fresnel coefficients. In the simplest case where the incident and transmitted regions have the same potential, the initial conditions are trivially given by r(0) = 0 and t(0) = 1.

We point out that the invariant imbedding equations, Eq. (12), become *singular* at the resonance point x_r where $\epsilon = 0$, which corresponds to $E = M + U(x_r)$. This singularity causes mode conversion in a very similar manner to that of transverse EM waves to longitudinal plasma oscillations in an inhomogeneous unmagnetized plasma. When a wave described by ψ_2 with finite group velocity is incident obliquely on the inhomogeneous layer in $0 \le x \le L$, it propagates up to the resonance point $x = x_r$, where the dispersive wave mode is strongly and resonantly coupled to the local flat-band state and the wave energy flows to the latter. Since the group velocity associated with the flat-band state is zero, the energy is accumulated locally and is ultimately converted into heat. In the steady state, a finite fraction of the energy of the incident wave is converted into that of the flat-band state.

To regularize the singularity, we introduce a small imaginary part of ϵ , ϵ_I (>0), in Eq. (12) when calculating *r* and *t*. We find numerically that the absorptance A (= 1 - *R* - *T*) converges to a finite value in the limit $\epsilon_I \rightarrow 0$, if there exists a value of *x* such that Re $\epsilon(x) = 0$ in the region $0 \le x \le L$. We emphasize that this kind of absorption is not due to dissipation but due to the conversion of a propagating wave mode into a local oscillating mode associated with the flat band. From now on, we will call *A* as the mode conversion coefficient. A clear signature of mode conversion is the occurrence of a singularity in the invariant imbedding equations, such as the $(\sin^2 \theta)/\epsilon$ term in Eq. (12). In the systems with no flat band, there appears no singularity in those equations and mode conversion does not occur. In the case of the pseudospin-1/2 Dirac equation in the presence of inhomogeneous scalar and vector potentials, which describes single-layer graphene and does not have a flat band in its spectrum, the invariant imbedding equations have been derived previously in Ref. [46]. It has been verified that there appears no singularity and therefore no mode conversion.

To illustrate the mode conversion phenomenon, we consider a simple linear configuration of the potential

$$\frac{U(x)}{U_0} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 1 - \frac{x}{L}, & \text{if } 0 \le x \le L, \\ 0, & \text{if } x > L. \end{cases}$$
(16)

The resonance occurs inside the region $0 \le x \le L$ if the energy satisfies $M < E < U_0 + M$. In Fig. 2(a), we plot A versus θ when $M/U_0 = 0.2$ and $E/U_0 = 0.4, 0.7, 1$. The thickness of the inhomogeneous slab satisfies $\zeta \equiv U_0L/(\hbar v_F) = 20$. For the chosen values of the energy, the resonance occurs within the inhomogeneous region and substantial absorption (that is, mode conversion) arises in a wide range of the incident angle.

The efficiency of mode conversion is affected by the rate of the spatial change of the potential near the resonance point, which is measured by the parameter ζ . For a fixed value of U_0 , ζ is proportional to L and therefore is inversely proportional



FIG. 2. Mode conversion coefficient *A* obtained by solving Eq. (12) for the configuration given by Eq. (16) plotted versus incident angle θ , (a) when $\zeta \equiv U_0 L/(\hbar v_F) = 20$, $M/U_0 = 0.2$, and $E/U_0 = 0.4$, 0.7, 1 and (b) when $E/U_0 = 0.4$, $M/U_0 = 0.2$, and $\zeta = 1$, 10, 100, 1000. In all calculations, ϵ_I is chosen to be 10^{-8} .



FIG. 3. Color graph of the mode conversion coefficient A obtained by solving Eq. (7) for the configuration given by Eq. (8) as a function of θ and $(E - M)/U_0$, when $M/U_0 = 0.2$, $\zeta = 20$, and $\epsilon_I = 10^{-8}$. A vanishes for all θ if $(E - M)/U_0 > 1$.

to the slope of the potential curve. In Fig. 2(b), we plot A versus θ for the values of ζ equal to 1, 10, 100, and 1000, when $E/U_0 = 0.4$ and $M/U_0 = 0.2$. We find that the mode conversion becomes weaker for both small and large values of ζ and is strongest for some intermediate value of ζ .

In Fig. 2, we observe that A always vanishes at $\theta = 0$. This is because normally incident waves cannot couple to the local flat band mode in the present model. In the equivalent case of mode conversion in an unmagnetized plasma, it has been wellknown that normally incident transverse waves cannot excite longitudinal plasma oscillations. To provide a broader view of the mode conversion in pseudospin-1 systems, we show a color graph of the mode conversion coefficient as a function of the incident angle and the particle energy when $M/U_0 =$ 0.2 and $\zeta = 20$ in Fig. 3. As it has been expected, when the energy satisfies $0 < (E - M)/U_0 < 1$, there appears a wide range of the incident angle in which the mode conversion is substantially strong.

III. PSEUDOSPIN-2 DIRAC EQUATION

The band structure of pseudospin-*N* Dirac systems with *N* a positive integer consists of 2*N* dispersive bands (that is, Dirac cones) and one flat band [18]. Therefore, we expect all of these systems to display mode conversion. We consider here the case of pseudospin-2 Dirac systems [47]. The Hamiltonian that describes massless pseudospin-2 Dirac particles in 2D in a 1D scalar potential U = U(x) has a similar form as Eq. (1), but with M = 0 and S_x and S_y given by

$$S_x = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S_{y} = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -\sqrt{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & -\sqrt{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (17)

In the uniform case where the potential U is constant, the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian are given by

$$E = U,$$

$$E = U \pm \hbar v_F \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2},$$

$$E = U \pm 2\hbar v_F \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}.$$
(18)

Therefore, the spectrum consists of two pairs of Dirac cones with different slopes which are intersected at the common apex by the flat band. We now allow for the *x* dependence of the potential *U*. Starting from the pseudospin-2 Dirac equation for the five-component vector wave function ψ [= $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4, \psi_5)^T$], we can eliminate ψ_1, ψ_3 , and ψ_5 and derive two coupled wave equations for ψ_2 and ψ_4 of the form

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(A\frac{d\Psi}{dx} + B\Psi\right) + C\left(A\frac{d\Psi}{dx} + B\Psi\right) + D\Psi = 0, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \frac{k_y}{4\epsilon} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ -3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \frac{k_y}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 9 \\ -9 & -7 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D = \frac{\epsilon k_0^2}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{3k_y^2}{2\epsilon} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\epsilon = 1 - \frac{U}{E}, \quad k_0 = \frac{E}{\hbar v_F}.$$
(20)

In the uniform region where U is constant, there are four solutions for the *x* component of the wave vector, *p*, obtained from Eq. (19), which are

$$p = \pm \sqrt{k_0^2 \epsilon^2 - k_y^2}, \quad p = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}k_0^2 \epsilon^2 - k_y^2}.$$
 (21)

The \pm signs represent the direction of the phase velocity. We notice that there are two orthogonal eigenmodes obtained as linear combinations of ψ_2 and ψ_4 , which are associated with the inner and outer cones and called here as *a* and *b* modes, respectively. These modes are characterized by different effective refractive indices ϵ and $\epsilon/2$ and the system is birefringent. The *a* and *b* modes can alternatively be called as h = 1 and h = 2 modes, respectively, where *h* refers to the helicity. The effect of the flat band is absorbed into the coefficients of Eq. (19). In inhomogeneous media, *a* and *b* modes.

In the uniform region, we can show that there exists a linear proportionality relation between ψ_2 and ψ_4 , which is different for *a* and *b* modes and for the left-moving and right-moving

waves. We obtain

$$\psi_4{}^{(la)} = \eta_{la}\psi_2{}^{(la)}, \quad \psi_4{}^{(lb)} = \eta_{lb}\psi_2{}^{(lb)},$$

$$\psi_4{}^{(ra)} = \eta_{ra}\psi_2{}^{(ra)}, \quad \psi_4{}^{(rb)} = \eta_{rb}\psi_2{}^{(rb)}, \qquad (22)$$

where

$$\eta_{la} = -\frac{k_0^2 \epsilon^2}{\left(-\sqrt{k_0^2 \epsilon^2 - k_y^2} - ik_y\right)^2},$$

$$\eta_{lb} = \frac{\frac{1}{4}k_0^2 \epsilon^2}{\left(-\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}k_0^2 \epsilon^2 - k_y^2} - ik_y\right)^2},$$

$$\eta_{ra} = -\frac{k_0^2 \epsilon^2}{\left(\sqrt{k_0^2 \epsilon^2 - k_y^2} - ik_y\right)^2},$$

$$\eta_{rb} = \frac{\frac{1}{4}k_0^2 \epsilon^2}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}k_0^2 \epsilon^2 - k_y^2} - ik_y\right)^2}.$$
 (23)

The wave function is expanded in terms of a and b modes as

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2^{(la)} + \psi_2^{(lb)} + \psi_2^{(ra)} + \psi_2^{(rb)} \\ \psi_4^{(la)} + \psi_4^{(lb)} + \psi_4^{(ra)} + \psi_4^{(rb)} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= N_l \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2^{(la)} \\ \psi_2^{(lb)} \end{pmatrix} + N_r \begin{pmatrix} \psi_2^{(ra)} \\ \psi_2^{(rb)} \end{pmatrix},$$
(24)

where

$$N_l = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ \eta_{la} & \eta_{lb} \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ \eta_{ra} & \eta_{rb} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (25)

Since there are two propagating wave modes, we need to define the reflection and transmission coefficients r and t as 2×2 matrices. In our notation, r_{21} (r_{11}) is the reflection coefficient when the incident wave is a mode and the reflected wave is b (a) mode. Similarly, r_{12} (r_{22}) is the reflection coefficient when the incident wave is b mode and the reflected wave is a (b) mode. Similar definitions are applied to the transmission coefficients.

Following the procedure given in Ref. [45], we derive the invariant imbedding equations for r and t:

$$\frac{dr}{dl} = N_{ri}^{-1} \left\{ -A^{-1}B + i(N_{li} + N_{ri}r) \left(A_{i}N_{li}P_{i} + A_{i}N_{ri}P_{i}N_{ri}^{-1}N_{li} \right)^{-1} \left[-\left(iA_{i}N_{ri}P_{i}N_{ri}^{-1} + B_{i} \right) A^{-1}B + D \right] \right\} (N_{li} + N_{ri}r)
+ N_{ri}^{-1} \left\{ A^{-1} + i(N_{li} + N_{ri}r) \left(A_{i}N_{li}P_{i} + A_{i}N_{ri}P_{i}N_{ri}^{-1}N_{li} \right)^{-1} \left[\left(iA_{i}N_{ri}P_{i}N_{ri}^{-1} + B_{i} \right) A^{-1} + C \right] \right\}
\times \left[iA_{i}(-N_{li}P_{i} + N_{ri}P_{i}r) + B_{i}(N_{li} + N_{ri}r) \right],
\frac{dt}{dl} = it \left(A_{i}N_{li}P_{i} + A_{i}N_{ri}P_{i}N_{ri}^{-1}N_{li} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \left[-\left(iA_{i}N_{ri}P_{i}N_{ri}^{-1} + B_{i} \right) A^{-1}B + D \right] (N_{li} + N_{ri}r)
+ \left[\left(iA_{i}N_{ri}P_{i}N_{ri}^{-1} + B_{i} \right) A^{-1} + C \right] \left[iA_{i}(-N_{li}P_{i} + N_{ri}P_{i}r) + B_{i}(N_{li} + N_{ri}r) \right] \right\},$$
(26)

where A_i , B_i , N_{li} , and N_{ri} are the values of A, B, N_l , and N_r in the incident region obtained by setting $\epsilon = \epsilon_i = 1$ in Eqs. (20) and (23). These equations are integrated using the initial conditions of the form

$$r(0) = (A_i N_{ri} P_i + A_t N_{lt} P_t N_{lt}^{-1} N_{ri} - iB_i N_{ri} + iB_t N_{ri})^{-1} (A_i N_{li} P_i + A_i N_{ri} P_i N_{ri}^{-1} N_{li}) - N_{ri}^{-1} N_{li},$$

$$t(0) = (A_i N_{ri} P_i N_{ri}^{-1} N_{lt} + A_t N_{lt} P_t - iB_i N_{lt} + iB_t N_{lt})^{-1} (A_i N_{li} P_i + A_i N_{ri} P_i N_{ri}^{-1} N_{li}),$$
(27)

where A_t , B_t , and N_{lt} are the values of A, B, and N_l in the transmitted region obtained by setting $\epsilon = \epsilon_t$ in Eqs. (20) and (23). The matrices P_i and P_t in Eqs. (26) and (27) are defined by

$$P_i = \begin{pmatrix} p_{ai} & 0\\ 0 & p_{bi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_t = \begin{pmatrix} p_{at} & 0\\ 0 & p_{bt} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

where

$$p_{ai} = \sqrt{k_0^2 \epsilon_i^2 - k_y^2}, \quad p_{bi} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}k_0^2 \epsilon_i^2 - k_y^2},$$
$$p_{at} = \sqrt{k_0^2 \epsilon_t^2 - k_y^2}, \quad p_{bt} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}k_0^2 \epsilon_t^2 - k_y^2}.$$
 (29)

These initial conditions have been obtained following the procedure and using Eq. (18) given in Ref. [45]. If the incident and transmitted regions have the same potential, they reduce to very simple 2×2 matrices r(0) = 0 and t(0) = I.

We assume that the incident waves are propagating waves with a real-valued wave vector. The effective refractive index associated with the *a* mode is twice as large as that of the b mode. When an a mode wave is incident from the region where $\epsilon_i = 1$, p_{ai} is real and the incident angle θ is related to k_v by $k_v = k_0 \sin \theta$. In this case, if θ is greater than 30°, then we note that p_{bi} becomes imaginary and the reflected b wave is evanescent, while the reflected *a* wave is propagative. However, when a b mode wave is incident, the incident angle θ is related to k_y by $k_y = (k_0 \sin \theta)/2$. Then p_{ai} is always real regardless of the incident angle and both reflected a and b waves are propagative. The other quantities p_{at} and p_{bt} can be either real or imaginary depending on the value of U_t . For instance, when p_{at} is imaginary, we use $p_{at} = i \sqrt{k_y^2 - k_0^2 \epsilon_t^2}$ instead of the expression in Eq. (29). A sketch of the configuration considered in this section is shown in Fig. 4.

Finally, from the consideration of the probability currents, we obtain the expressions for the reflectance and



FIG. 4. Sketch of the configuration considered in Sec. III. The medium described by the pseudospin-2 Dirac equation is birefringent, and in general there exist two reflected waves and two transmitted waves for each of the incident *a* and *b* mode waves. For the parameter values where some of the transmitted waves are evanescent, the corresponding transmittances vanish. When an *a* mode wave is incident at an angle greater than 30° , the reflectance R_{21} also vanishes.

transmittance matrices R_{ij} and T_{ij} (i, j = 1, 2), which are applicable when p_{bi} , p_{at} , and p_{bt} are real:

$$R_{11} = |r_{11}|^{2}, \quad R_{22} = |r_{22}|^{2},$$

$$R_{21} = \frac{4p_{bi}}{p_{ai}}|r_{21}|^{2}, \quad R_{12} = \frac{p_{ai}}{4p_{bi}}|r_{12}|^{2},$$

$$T_{11} = \frac{|\epsilon_{i}|p_{at}}{|\epsilon_{t}|p_{ai}}|t_{11}|^{2}, \quad T_{22} = \frac{|\epsilon_{i}|p_{bt}}{|\epsilon_{t}|p_{bi}}|t_{22}|^{2},$$

$$T_{21} = \frac{4|\epsilon_{i}|p_{bt}}{|\epsilon_{t}|p_{ai}}|t_{21}|^{2}, \quad T_{12} = \frac{|\epsilon_{i}|p_{at}}{4|\epsilon_{t}|p_{bi}}|t_{12}|^{2}.$$
(30)

If p_{at} (p_{bt}) is imaginary, we have to set T_{11} and T_{12} (T_{21} and T_{22}) to be identically zero. If p_{bi} is imaginary, then we have to set R_{21} to zero and the mode conversion coefficient A_2 (see below) is undefined. With these definitions, if there is no dissipation or mode conversion, then the law of energy conservation $R_{11} + R_{21} + T_{11} + T_{21} = R_{12} + R_{22} + T_{12} + T_{22} = 1$ should be satisfied. When the mode conversion occurs, the mode conversion coefficients A_1 and A_2 are defined by

$$A_{1} = 1 - R_{11} - R_{21} - T_{11} - T_{21},$$

$$A_{2} = 1 - R_{12} - R_{22} - T_{12} - T_{22}.$$
 (31)

In Fig. 5, we plot the mode conversion coefficients A_1 and A_2 versus θ , when $\zeta = 15$ and $E/U_0 = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9, A_1$ (A_2) is obtained by calculating the absorptance when the incident wave is a (b) mode. We find that there exists a wide range of the incident angle in both A_1 and A_2 curves in which the mode conversion is substantially strong. Since there are two propagating modes interacting with the local flat band mode, these curves display multiple peaks and cusps associated with various cutoffs. Inside the inhomogeneous layer, the a and b modes are coupled to each other. When an *a* mode wave is incident, it can propagate directly to the resonance region and convert to flat-band state or it can take an indirect route, first converting to b wave and then converting to flat-band state at the resonance region. Since the mode conversion coefficient obtains the maximum at different parameter values in these two cases, the curves of A_1 often show two peaks as a function of the incident angle or the energy, as illustrated in Fig. 5(a). The case where a *b* mode wave is incident is



FIG. 5. Mode conversion coefficients (a) A_1 and (b) A_2 for massless pseudospin-2 Dirac particles in the configuration given by Eq. (16) plotted versus incident angle θ , when $\zeta = 15$, $\epsilon_I = 10^{-8}$, and $E/U_0 = 0.3$, 0.6, 0.9. A_1 (A_2) is obtained by calculating the absorptance when the incident wave is the *a* (*b*) mode.

significantly different. Since the refractive index associated with the *a* mode is twice as large as that of the *b* mode, the *a* wave converted from the incident *b* wave propagates at a much smaller angle with respect to the *x* axis than the incident angle. Mode conversion is not efficient for small propagation angles and therefore this indirect process does not contribute greatly to mode conversion. Therefore, when a *b* wave is incident, mode conversion is dominated by the direct conversion from *b* wave to flat-band state and one usually obtains a single peak for A_2 as shown in Fig. 5(b). In addition, the occurrence of various cutoffs makes cusps to appear in the curves. For example, the cusp at $\theta = 30^\circ$ in Fig. 5(a) comes from the cutoff condition that the reflected *b* wave becomes evanescent.

In Fig. 6, we show color graphs of A_1 and A_2 as a function of the incident angle and the particle energy when $\zeta = 15$. When the energy satisfies $0 < E/U_0 < 1$, there appear wide ranges of the incident angle in which the mode conversion is substantially strong in both graphs. The observation that there are two clusters in which the mode conversion is strong in Fig. 6(a) has the same reason as that explained in the previous paragraph.

IV. 1D MODELS WITH FLAT BANDS

There have been many studies on the lattice models exhibiting one or more flat bands in 1D, including the stub, sawtooth, diamond, 1D pyrochlore, and 1D Lieb lattices [7,9,20]. We have derived continuum wave equations from these models by linearizing them in the vicinity of the band edge and solved the wave equations in the presence of an inhomogeneous scalar potential. All models contain one or more flat bands in addition to dispersive bands. In order for an incident wave to induce mode conversion, it has to couple to the local flat band mode. We have found that this coupling is possible only in the models with no up-down inversion symmetry such as the stub and sawtooth lattices (shown in



FIG. 6. Color graphs of the mode conversion coefficients (a) A_1 and (b) A_2 for massless pseudospin-2 Dirac particles as functions of θ and E/U_0 , when $\zeta = 15$ and $\epsilon_I = 10^{-8}$. A_1 (A_2) is obtained by calculating the absorptance when the incident wave is the *a* (*b*) mode. Both A_1 and A_2 vanish for all θ if $E/U_0 > 1$.

Fig. 7), but is not possible in the symmetric models such as the diamond and 1D pyrochlore lattices. These latter cases have a close similarity to the absence of mode conversion at normal incidence in the pseudospin-1 Dirac equation.

The tight-binding equations for the stub lattice at energy E can be written as

$$E\psi_n^{A} = v_n^{A}\psi_n^{A} + \tau\psi_{n-1}^{B} + \tau\psi_n^{B} + \tau\psi_n^{C},$$

$$E\psi_n^{B} = v_n^{B}\psi_n^{B} + \tau\psi_n^{A} + \tau\psi_{n+1}^{A},$$

$$E\psi_n^{C} = v_n^{C}\psi_n^{C} + \tau\psi_n^{A},$$
(32)

where A, B, and C indicate the sublattice sites A, B, and C shown in Fig. 7(a) and v_n^A , v_n^B , and v_n^C are the potentials at each site. All hopping integrals between the nearest-neighbor sites are assumed to have the same value τ . In the homogeneous case with no potential, the spectrum of this model is given by the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + e^{-iqa} & 1\\ 1 + e^{iqa} & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (33)



FIG. 7. Sketches of the (a) stub, (b) sawtooth, (c) 1D pyrochlore, and (d) diamond lattices. In panels (a), (c), and (d), all hopping parameters between the connected sites are the same. In panel (b), the hopping parameters associated with the black and green lines are τ and $\sqrt{2\tau}$, respectively. The 1D pyrochlore and diamond lattices have up-down inversion symmetry, or equivalently, mirror symmetry with respect to the dotted line, whereas the stub and sawtooth lattices have no such symmetry. In one dimension, mode conversion occurs only in the models derived from the lattices with no up-down inversion symmetry.

where q is the wave vector and a is the lattice constant. We find that the spectrum consists of one flat band at E = 0 and two dispersive bands satisfying $E/\tau = \pm \sqrt{3 + 2\cos(qa)}$. We linearize this model in the neighborhood of the band edge, where $q \approx \pi/a$. Finally, we replace $\tilde{q} (= q - \pi/a)$ with the operator -id/dx and reintroduce the scalar potential U(x). We obtain

$$E\psi_{A} = U\psi_{A} + \tau a \frac{d\psi_{B}}{dx} + \tau \psi_{C},$$

$$E\psi_{B} = U\psi_{B} - \tau a \frac{d\psi_{A}}{dx},$$

$$E\psi_{C} = U\psi_{C} + \tau \psi_{A}.$$
(34)

We eliminate ψ_A and ψ_C and obtain a wave equation of the form

$$a^{2}\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{2}-1}\frac{d\psi_{B}}{dx}\right)+\varepsilon\psi_{B}=0,$$
(35)

where *a* is the lattice constant and $\varepsilon = [E - U(x)]/\tau$. τ is the hopping parameter between the neighboring sites and ψ_B describes the wave function at the B sites in Fig. 7(a). We apply the invariant imbedding method to the wave equation and derive the equations for the reflection and transmission coefficients of the form

$$\frac{dr}{dl} = 2ip\frac{\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon^2 - 1)}{\varepsilon(\varepsilon_1^2 - 1)}r + \frac{ip}{2}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_1} - \frac{\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon^2 - 1)}{\varepsilon(\varepsilon_1^2 - 1)}\right](1 + r)^2,$$
$$\frac{dt}{dl} = ip\frac{\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon^2 - 1)}{\varepsilon(\varepsilon_1^2 - 1)}t + \frac{ip}{2}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_1} - \frac{\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon^2 - 1)}{\varepsilon(\varepsilon_1^2 - 1)}\right](1 + r)t,$$
(36)

where $\varepsilon_1 [= (E - U_1)/\tau = E/\tau]$ is the value of ε in the incident region and $p (= \sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2 - 1/a})$ is the wave number of the incident wave. These equations are integrated using the

initial conditions

$$r(0) = \frac{p\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_2^2 - 1) - p'\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1^2 - 1)}{p\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_2^2 - 1) + p'\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1^2 - 1)},$$

$$t(0) = \frac{2p\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_2^2 - 1)}{p\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_2^2 - 1) + p'\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1^2 - 1)},$$
 (37)

where $\varepsilon_2 [= (E - U_2)/\tau]$ is the value of ε in the transmitted region and $p' (= \sqrt{\varepsilon_2^2 - 1}/a)$ is the wave number of the transmitted wave. The reflectance *R* and the transmittance *T* are given by

$$R = |r|^{2}, \quad T = \begin{cases} \frac{|\varepsilon_{2}|\sqrt{\varepsilon_{1}^{2} - 1}}{|\varepsilon_{1}|\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2}^{2} - 1}}|t|^{2} & \text{if } \varepsilon_{2}^{2} > 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon_{2}^{2} \leqslant 1, \end{cases}$$
(38)

We notice that the invariant imbedding equations, Eq. (36), have a singularity at $\varepsilon = 0$, which corresponds to E = U.

The tight-binding equations for the sawtooth lattice at energy E are written as

$$E\psi_{n}^{A} = v_{n}^{A}\psi_{n}^{A} + \tau\psi_{n-1}^{A} + \tau\psi_{n+1}^{A} + \tau'\psi_{n-1}^{B} + \tau'\psi_{n}^{B},$$

$$E\psi_{n}^{B} = v_{n}^{B}\psi_{n}^{B} + \tau'\psi_{n}^{A} + \tau'\psi_{n+1}^{A},$$
(39)

where A and B indicate the sublattice sites A and B shown in Fig. 7(b) and v_n^A and v_n^B are the potentials at each site. In order for this model to have a flat band, the hopping integral τ' should be fine-tuned to satisfy $\tau' = \sqrt{2}\tau$. In the homogeneous case with no potential, the spectrum of this model consists of a flat band at $E/\tau = -2$ and a dispersive band $E/\tau = 2[1 + \cos(qa)]$. Following a similar procedure as that for the stub lattice, it is straightforward to derive

$$a^{2}\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\varepsilon+2}{\varepsilon}\frac{d\psi_{A}}{dx}\right) + (\varepsilon+2)\psi_{A} = 0, \qquad (40)$$

where ψ_A describes the wave function at the A sites in Fig. 7(b). We apply the invariant imbedding method to it and obtain the equations for the reflection and transmission coefficients:

$$\frac{dr}{dl} = 2ip\frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon_1+2)}{\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon+2)}r + \frac{ip}{2}\left[\frac{\varepsilon+2}{\varepsilon_1+2} - \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon_1+2)}{\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon+2)}\right](1+r)^2,$$
$$\frac{dt}{dl} = ip\frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon_1+2)}{\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon+2)}t + \frac{ip}{2}\left[\frac{\varepsilon+2}{\varepsilon_1+2} - \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon_1+2)}{\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon+2)}\right](1+r)t,$$
(41)

where $\varepsilon_1 [= (E - U_1)/\tau = E/\tau]$ is the value of ε in the incident region and $p (= \sqrt{\varepsilon_1}/a)$ is the wave number of the incident wave. These equations are integrated using the initial conditions

$$r(0) = \frac{p\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1 + 2) - p'\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_2 + 2)}{p\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1 + 2) + p'\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_2 + 2)},$$

$$t(0) = \frac{2p\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1 + 2)}{p\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1 + 2) + p'\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_2 + 2)},$$
(42)

where $\varepsilon_2 [= (E - U_2)/\tau]$ is the value of ε in the transmitted region and $p' (= \sqrt{\varepsilon_2}/a)$ is the wave number of the transmitted wave. The reflectance *R* and the transmittance *T* are given



FIG. 8. Mode conversion coefficient A obtained by solving (a) Eq. (35) corresponding to the stub lattice when L/a = 25 and $U_0/\tau = 50$ and (b) Eq. (40) corresponding to the sawtooth lattice when L/a = 25 and $U_0/\tau = 250$ plotted versus normalized energy E/τ .

by

$$R = |r|^2, \quad T = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_2 + 2)}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1 + 2)}} |t|^2 & \text{if } \varepsilon_2 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon_2 \leqslant 0. \end{cases}$$
(43)

We notice that the invariant imbedding equations, Eq. (41), have a singularity at $\varepsilon = -2$, that is, $E = U - 2\tau$.

In Fig. 8(a), we plot the mode conversion coefficient A obtained by solving Eq. (35) when L/a = 25 and $U_0/\tau =$ 50 versus normalized energy E/τ . In Fig. 8(b), we plot A obtained by solving Eq. (40) when L/a = 25 and $U_0/\tau =$ 250. The configuration of the potential is given by Eq. (16)in both cases. In Fig. 8(a), A is nonzero in the range 1 < 1 $E/\tau < U_0/\tau$ (= 50), since the wave number of the incident wave is real when $E/\tau > 1$ and the resonance point exists when $0 < E/\tau < U_0/\tau$. When $E/\tau > 49$, the wave number in the transmitted region becomes imaginary and the wave gets strongly reflected, which causes a sharp peak to occur in the region $49 < E/\tau < 50$. Except for this region, A is almost a constant approximately equal to 0.5. In Fig. 8(b), A is nonzero in the range $0 < E/\tau < 248$, since the resonance point exists when $0 < E/\tau < (U_0/\tau) - 2$. A is approximately equal to 0.49 in the entire nonzero range. We also remind that the continuum models used in our calculation have been derived using the assumption that the wavelength, which is inversely proportional to the energy, is sufficiently larger than the lattice constant a. Therefore, we expect that our results are quantitatively valid in the low energy region.

V. 2D MODEL WITH A NEARLY FLAT BAND

Mode conversion can also occur when a dispersive band coexists with a nearly flat band with a small group velocity. An example in plasma physics is the conversion of a transverse EM wave into an electron plasma wave in a warm plasma at nonzero temperature [40]. Here we consider the 2D model with a singular flat band studied in Ref. [48], the Hamiltonian for which is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau a^2 k_x^2 + U(x) & \Delta - i\tau a^2 k_x k_y \\ \Delta + i\tau a^2 k_x k_y & \tau a^2 k_y^2 + U(x) \end{pmatrix},$$
(44)

where τ and *a* are the energy and length scales of the model and $k_x \ (= -id/dx)$ is a differential operator. When the parameter Δ and the potential *U* are zero, the model has a quadratic dispersive band touching a flat band with E = 0 at $k_x = k_y = 0$. As Δ increases from zero, a gap is opened and the flat band becomes increasingly warped.

When the potential U is uniform, the eigenvalues of Eq. (44) are given by

$$E = U + \frac{\tau a^2 k^2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\tau a^2 k^2}{2}\right)^2 + \Delta^2},$$
 (45)

where $k^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2$. When the parameter Δ is zero, the spectrum corresponding to the minus sign in Eq. (45) is a flat band with E = U. As Δ increases from zero, this band becomes warped and dispersive, though the group velocity remains small when Δ is sufficiently small. We assume that the potential U is a function of x and replace k_x with the operator $k_x = -id/dx$. We obtain

$$(E - U)\psi_1 = -\tau a^2 \frac{d^2 \psi_1}{dx^2} + \Delta \psi_2 - \tau a^2 k_y \frac{d\psi_2}{dx},$$

$$(E - U)\psi_2 = \Delta \psi_1 + \tau a^2 k_y \frac{d\psi_1}{dx} + \tau a^2 k_y^2 \psi_2, \quad (46)$$

from which we derive the invariant imbedding equations for r and t of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{dl} &= -\frac{\Delta}{E - U} k_y(r+1) + \frac{E - U - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E - U} \bigg[\frac{E}{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2} ip(r-1) + \frac{\Delta}{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2} k_y(r+1) \bigg] \\ &+ \bigg[\bigg(ip + \frac{\Delta}{E} k_y \bigg) \frac{\Delta}{E - U} k_y - \frac{E - U}{\tau a^2} \frac{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E} + \frac{1}{\tau a^2} \frac{\Delta^2}{E - U} \frac{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E} \bigg] \frac{1}{2ip} (r+1)^2 \\ &+ \bigg[- \bigg(ip + \frac{\Delta}{E} k_y \bigg) \frac{E - U - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E - U} + \frac{\Delta}{E - U} \frac{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E} k_y \bigg] \frac{1}{2ip} (r+1) \\ &\times \bigg[\frac{E}{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2} ip(r-1) + \frac{\Delta}{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2} k_y(r+1) \bigg], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dt}{dl} &= \bigg[\bigg(ip + \frac{\Delta}{E} k_y \bigg) \frac{\Delta}{E - U} k_y - \frac{E - U}{\tau a^2} \frac{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E} + \frac{1}{\tau a^2} \frac{\Delta^2}{E - U} \frac{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E} \bigg] \frac{1}{2ip} t(r+1) \\ &+ \bigg[- \bigg(ip + \frac{\Delta}{E} k_y \bigg) \frac{E - U - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{\tau a^2} + \frac{\Delta}{E - U} \frac{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E} k_y \bigg] \frac{1}{2ip} t \\ &+ \bigg[- \bigg(ip + \frac{\Delta}{E} k_y \bigg) \frac{E - U - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E - U} + \frac{\Delta}{E - U} \frac{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E} k_y \bigg] \frac{1}{2ip} t(r+1) \\ &+ \bigg[- \bigg(ip + \frac{\Delta}{E} k_y \bigg) \frac{E - U - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E - U} + \frac{\Delta}{E - U} \frac{E - \tau a^2 k_y^2}{E} k_y \bigg] \frac{1}{2ip} t \end{aligned}$$

where we have assumed that the potential U in the incident region vanishes and the wave number of the incident wave, p, is given by

$$p = \sqrt{\frac{E\left(E - \tau a^2 k_y^2\right) - \Delta^2}{\tau a^2 E}}.$$
(48)

These equations are integrated using the initial conditions

$$r(0) = \frac{\left(ip - \frac{\Delta}{E}k_{y}\right)\frac{E - U_{t} - \tau a^{2}k_{y}^{2}}{E - U_{t}} + \left(-ip' + \frac{\Delta}{E - U_{t}}k_{y}\right)\frac{E - \tau a^{2}k_{y}^{2}}{E}}{\left(ip + \frac{\Delta}{E}k_{y}\right)\frac{E - U_{t} - \tau a^{2}k_{y}^{2}}{E - U_{t}} + \left(ip' - \frac{\Delta}{E - U_{t}}k_{y}\right)\frac{E - \tau a^{2}k_{y}^{2}}{E}}{\left(ip + \frac{\Delta}{E}k_{y}\right)\frac{E - U_{t} - \tau a^{2}k_{y}^{2}}{E - U_{t}}} + \left(ip' - \frac{\Delta}{E - U_{t}}k_{y}\right)\frac{E - \tau a^{2}k_{y}^{2}}{E}}{\left(ip + \frac{\Delta}{E}k_{y}\right)\frac{E - U_{t} - \tau a^{2}k_{y}^{2}}{E - U_{t}} + \left(ip' - \frac{\Delta}{E - U_{t}}k_{y}\right)\frac{E - \tau a^{2}k_{y}^{2}}{E}}{E}},$$
(49)

where U_t is the value of U in the transmitted region and the wave number of the transmitted wave, p', is given by

The reflectance R and the transmittance T are obtained using

$$R = |r|^{2},$$

$$T = \begin{cases} \frac{p'}{p} \left| \frac{(E - \tau a^{2}k_{y}^{2})(E - U_{i})}{(E - U_{i} - \tau a^{2}k_{y}^{2})E} \right| |t|^{2} & \text{if } p' \text{ is real,} \\ 0 & \text{if } p' \text{ is imaginary.} \end{cases} (51)$$

$$p' = \sqrt{\frac{(E - U_t)(E - U_t - \tau a^2 k_y^2) - \Delta^2}{\tau a^2 (E - U_t)}}.$$
 (50)



FIG. 9. Mode conversion coefficient A for the 2D model described by Eq. (44) in the configuration given by Eq. (16) plotted versus incident angle θ , when L/a = 10, $U_0/\tau = 20$, $E/\tau = 2$, and $\Delta/\tau = 0, 0.1, 1, 1.8$.

We notice that the invariant imbedding equations, Eq. (47), have a singularity at E = U.

In Fig. 9, we plot the mode conversion coefficient versus incident angle, when the potential is given by Eq. (16) and the parameters are L/a = 10, $U_0/\tau = 20$, $E/\tau = 2$, and $\Delta/\tau = 0, 0.1, 1, 1.8$. When $\Delta = 0, A$ is symmetric under the sign change of θ . As Δ/τ increases towards 1, A becomes increasingly asymmetric. As Δ/τ increases above 1, the mode conversion becomes less and less efficient and A decreases rapidly towards zero. We find that the overall behavior is quite similar to the mode conversion of extraordinary waves occurring in a magnetized plasma where the external magnetic field is perpendicular to the directions of inhomogeneity and wave propagation [42]. The parameter Δ plays the role of the

magnetic field strength. We conclude that the mode conversion between a strongly dispersive band and a nearly flat band can occur, but its efficiency decreases rapidly as the nearly flat band becomes less and less flat.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have demonstrated that mode conversion and resonant absorption occur generically in all systems where dispersive bands and flat bands coexist in the band structure, unless forbidden by symmetry. Mode conversion takes place as long as there exists a resonant region inside the system, regardless of the shape of the potential. In electronic materials, the inhomogeneous potential can be easily achieved by applying an external electric field or strain. A slowly varying inhomogeneity in a medium parameter can be introduced into photonic metamaterials by various methods, for instance, by slowly varying the lattice constant in a certain direction. The effects of mode conversion in metamaterials are manifested in many properties, most obviously in the absorption of the incident wave. In electronic systems, the mode conversion effect will substantially influence many physical quantities including the conductance and the shot noise. We will present a detailed analysis on the consequences of mode conversion in experimentally relevant systems in a future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (Grant No. NRF-2020R1A2C1007655) funded by the Korean Government. It was also supported by the Basic Science Research Program funded by the Ministry of Education (Grant No. 2021R1A6A1A10044950) and by the Global Frontier Program (Grant No. 2014M3A6B3063708).

- D. Leykam, A. Andreanov, and S. Flach, Artificial flat band systems: From lattice models to experiments, Adv. Phys.: X 3, 1473052 (2018).
- [2] L. Tang, D. Song, S. Xia, S. Xia, J. Ma, W. Yan, Y. Hu, J. Xu, D. Leykam, and Z. Chen, Photonic flat-band lattices and unconventional light localization, Nanophotonics 9, 1161 (2020).
- [3] J.-W. Rhim and B.-J. Yang, Singular flat bands, Adv. Phys.: X
 6, 1901606 (2021).
- [4] E. J. Bergholtz and Z. Liu, Topological flat band models and fractional Chern insulators, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 27, 1330017 (2013).
- [5] O. Derzhko, J. Richter, and M. Maksymenko, Strongly correlated flat-band systems: The route from Heisenberg spins to Hubbard electrons, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 29, 1530007 (2015).
- [6] M. Goda, S. Nishino, and H. Matsuda, Inverse Anderson Transition Caused by Flatbands, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 126401 (2006).
- [7] D. Leykam, J. D. Bodyfelt, A. S. Desyatnikov, and S. Flach, Localization of weakly disordered flat band states, Eur. Phys. J. B 90, 1 (2017).

- [8] P. Shukla, Disorder perturbed flat bands: Level density and inverse participation ratio, Phys. Rev. B 98, 054206 (2018).
- [9] J. M. Luck, Scaling laws for weakly disordered 1D flat bands, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 52, 205301 (2019).
- [10] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Unconventional superconductivity in magic-angle graphene superlattices, Nature (London) 556, 43 (2018).
- [11] E. H. Lieb, Two Theorems on the Hubbard Model, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1201 (1989).
- [12] A. Mielke, Ferromagnetic ground states for the Hubbard model on line graphs, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24, L73 (1991).
- [13] A. Mielke, Ferromagnetism in the Hubbard model on line graphs and further considerations, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24, 3311 (1991).
- [14] H. Tasaki, Ferromagnetism in the Hubbard Models with Degenerate Single-Electron Ground States, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1608 (1992).

- [15] R. Pons, A. Mielke, and T. Stauber, Flat-band ferromagnetism in twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B 102, 235101 (2020).
- [16] L. Balents, C. R. Dean, D. K. Efetov, and A. F. Young, Superconductivity and strong correlations in moiré flat bands, Nat. Phys. 16, 725 (2020).
- [17] J.-W. Rhim, K. Kim, and B.-J. Yang, Quantum distance and anomalous Landau levels of flat bands, Nature (London) 584, 59 (2020).
- [18] B. Dóra, J. Kailasvuori, and R. Moessner, Lattice generalization of the Dirac equation to general spin and the role of the flat band, Phys. Rev. B 84, 195422 (2011).
- [19] L. Morales-Inostroza and R. A. Vicencio, Simple method to construct flat-band lattices, Phys. Rev. A 94, 043831 (2016).
- [20] W. Maimaiti, A. Andreanov, H. C. Park, O. Gendelman, and S. Flach, Compact localized states and flat-band generators in one dimension, Phys. Rev. B 95, 115135 (2017).
- [21] T. Mizoguchi and M. Udagawa, Flat-band engineering in tightbinding models: Beyond the nearest-neighbor hopping, Phys. Rev. B 99, 235118 (2019).
- [22] R. Shen, L. B. Shao, B. Wang, and D. Y. Xing, Single Dirac cone with a flat band touching on line-centered-square optical lattices, Phys. Rev. B 81, 041410(R) (2010).
- [23] D. F. Urban, D. Bercioux, M. Wimmer, and W. Hausler, Barrier transmission of Dirac-like pseudospin-one particles, Phys. Rev. B 84, 115136 (2011).
- [24] Y. Betancur-Ocampo, G. Cordourier-Maruri, V. Gupta, and R. de Coss, Super-Klein tunneling of massive pseudospin-one particles, Phys. Rev. B 96, 024304 (2017).
- [25] A. Fang, Z. Q. Zhang, S. G. Louie, and C. T. Chan, Anomalous Anderson localization behaviors in disordered pseudospin systems, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114, 4087 (2017).
- [26] S. Kim and K. Kim, Anderson localization of two-dimensional massless pseudospin-1 Dirac particles in a correlated random one-dimensional scalar potential, Phys. Rev. B 100, 104201 (2019).
- [27] R. A. Vicencio, C. Cantillano, L. Morales-Inostroza, B. Real, C. Mejía-Cortés, S. Weimann, A. Szameit, and M. I. Molina, Observation of Localized States in Lieb Photonic Lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 245503 (2015).
- [28] S. Mukherjee, A. Spracklen, D. Choudhury, N. Goldman, P. Öhberg, E. Andersson, and R. R. Thomson, Observation of a Localized Flat-Band State in a Photonic Lieb Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 245504 (2015).
- [29] Y. Zong, S. Xia, L. Tang, D. Song, Y. Hu, Y. Pei, J. Su, Y. Li, and Z. Chen, Observation of localized flat-band states in Kagome photonic lattices, Opt. Express 24, 8877 (2016).
- [30] F. Baboux, L. Ge, T. Jacqmin, M. Biondi, E. Galopin, A. Lemaître, L. Le Gratiet, I. Sagnes, S. Schmidt, H. E. Türeci, A. Amo, and J. Bloch, Bosonic Condensation and Disorder-Induced Localization in a Flat Band, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 066402 (2016).
- [31] M. R. Slot, T. S. Gardenier, P. H. Jacobse, G. C. P. van Miert, S. N. Kempkes, S. J. M. Zevenhuizen, C. M. Smith, D. Vanmaekelbergh, and I. Swart, Experimental realization and characterization of an electronic Lieb lattice, Nat. Phys. 13, 672 (2017).

- PHYSICAL REVIEW B 105, 045136 (2022)
- [32] Y. Xie, L. Song, W. Yan, S. Xia, L. Tang, D. Song, J.-W. Rhim, and Z. Chen, Fractal-like photonic lattices and localized states arising from singular and nonsingular flatbands, APL Photon. 6, 116104 (2021)
- [33] D. G. Swanson, Theory of Mode Conversion and Tunneling in Inhomogeneous Plasmas (Wiley, New York, NY, 1998).
- [34] E. Mjølhus, On linear conversion in a magnetized plasma, Radio Sci. 25, 1321 (1990).
- [35] D. E. Hinkel-Lipsker, B. D. Fried, and G. J. Morales, Analytic expressions for mode conversion in a plasma with a linear density profile, Phys. Fluids B 4, 559 (1992).
- [36] K. Kim and D.-H. Lee, Invariant imbedding theory of mode conversion in inhomogeneous plasmas. I. Exact calculation of the mode conversion coefficient in cold, unmagnetized plasmas, Phys. Plasmas 12, 062101 (2005).
- [37] K. Kim and D.-H. Lee, Invariant imbedding theory of mode conversion in inhomogeneous plasmas. II. Mode conversion in cold, magnetized plasmas with perpendicular inhomogeneity, Phys. Plasmas 13, 042103 (2006).
- [38] E.-H. Kim, I. H. Cairns, and P. A. Robinson, Extraordinary-Mode Radiation Produced by Linear-Mode Conversion of Langmuir Waves, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 015003 (2007).
- [39] E.-H. Kim, I. H. Cairns, and P. A. Robinson, Mode conversion of Langmuir to electromagnetic waves at magnetic field-aligned density inhomogeneities: Simulations, theory, and applications to the solar wind and the corona, Phys. Plasmas 15, 102110 (2008).
- [40] D. J. Yu, K. Kim, and D.-H. Lee, Temperature dependence of mode conversion in warm, unmagnetized plasmas with a linear density profile, Phys. Plasmas 20, 062109 (2013).
- [41] D. J. Yu and K. Kim, Effects of a random spatial variation of the plasma density on the mode conversion in cold, unmagnetized, and stratified plasmas, Phys. Plasmas 20, 122104 (2013).
- [42] S. Kim and K. Kim, Mode conversion of extraordinary waves in stratified plasmas with an external magnetic field perpendicular to the directions of inhomogeneity and wave propagation, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **79**, 717 (2021).
- [43] V. I. Klyatskin, The imbedding method in statistical boundaryvalue wave problems, Prog. Opt. 33, 1 (1994).
- [44] K. Kim, D.-H. Lee, and H. Lim, Theory of the propagation of coupled waves in arbitrarily inhomogeneous stratified media, Europhys. Lett. 69, 207 (2005).
- [45] S. Kim and K. Kim, Invariant imbedding theory of wave propagation in arbitrarily inhomogeneous stratified bi-isotropic media, J. Opt. 18, 065605 (2016).
- [46] S. Kim and K. Kim, Anderson localization and delocalization of massless two-dimensional Dirac electrons in random one-dimensional scalar and vector potentials, Phys. Rev. B 99, 014205 (2019).
- [47] C. Feng, Q. Wang, S. N. Zhu, and H. Liu, Effective spin-2 quasiparticles at linear dispersive fivefold degenerate points with tunable topological Chern numbers, Phys. Lett. A 383, 2139 (2019).
- [48] J.-W. Rhim and B.-J. Yang, Classification of flat bands according to the band-crossing singularity of Bloch wave functions, Phys. Rev. B 99, 045107 (2019).