Microscopic probe of magnetic polarons in antiferromagnetic $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$

J. C. Souza \bullet [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8931-2032) <[s](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0889-4435)up>1</sup> S. M. Thomas \bullet , ² E. D. Bauer, ² J. D. Thompson, ² F. Ronning, ² P. G. Pagliuso, ¹ and P. F. S. Rosa²

¹*Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin," UNICAMP, 13083-859, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil*

²*Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA*

(Received 5 May 2021; revised 11 January 2022; accepted 11 January 2022; published 24 January 2022)

Colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) emerges from intertwined spin and charge degrees of freedom in the form of ferromagnetic clusters also known as trapped magnetic polarons. As a result, CMR is rarely observed in antiferromagnetic materials. Here we use electron spin resonance (ESR) to reveal microscopic evidence of the formation of magnetic polarons in antiferromagnetic $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$. First, we observe a reduction of the $Eu²⁺$ ESR linewidth as a function of the applied magnetic field consistent with ferromagnetic clusters that are antiferromagnetically coupled. Additionally, the Eu^{2+} line shape changes markedly below $T' \sim 200$ K, a temperature scale that coincides with the onset of CMR. The combination of these two effects provides strong evidence that magnetic polarons grow in size below T' and start influencing the macroscopic properties of the system.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.105.035135](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.105.035135)

I. INTRODUCTION

Low-carrier-density materials containing divalent europium are prone to exhibiting colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) [\[1,2\]](#page-4-0), and one explanation for this phenomenon is the formation of magnetic polarons [\[3\]](#page-4-0). These quasiparticles are a result of free carriers at low densities that self-trap in ferromagnetic clusters around Eu^{2+} local moments [\[4\]](#page-4-0). There are several Eu-based materials with different crystal structures and magnetic ground states that host these emergent quasiparticles, but most of these systems display ferromagnetic order at low temperatures [\[3,5–](#page-4-0)[9\]](#page-5-0).

With the advent of nontrivial topology in condensed-matter physics, a question that naturally arises is the role of topology in strongly correlated systems such as those exhibiting CMR [\[10,11\]](#page-5-0). Further, the interplay between magnetism and nontrivial band topology is predicted to give rise to emergent topological quantum phenomena, such as the axion insulating state in MnBi₂Te₄ [\[12–15\]](#page-5-0). Just like Mn²⁺ in $MnBi₂Te₄, Eu²⁺ could play a similar role in a nontrivial back$ ground. Motivated by the prediction of topological phases in nonsymmorphic crystal structures [\[16\]](#page-5-0), here we focus on nonsymmorphic $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$, which was recently synthesized in single-crystalline form [\[17\]](#page-5-0). Band structure calculations for the uncorrelated analog $Ba₅In₂Sb₆$ reveal conflicting results regarding its topology [\[18–23\]](#page-5-0), and a microscopic investigation of these materials is imperative.

Orthorhombic $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$ has three distinct Eu^{2+} sites, which give rise to two antiferromagnetic (AFM) transitions at $T_{N1} = 14$ K and at $T_{N2} = 7$ K. Eu₅In₂Sb₆ also exhibits CMR that peaks at $-99.999%$ for magnetic fields of *H* = 9 T and temperatures just above T_{N1} . Recent studies argue that magnetic polarons start to form below $T' \approx 210$ K, a temperature scale characterized by different macroscopic signatures: a deviation from the Curie-Weiss law, the appearance of an anomalous Hall effect, and the onset of CMR [\[17\]](#page-5-0).

The strong exchange interaction between conduction electrons and Eu^{2+} 4f moments combined with the lack of an orbital moment in Eu^{2+} ions makes $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$ an ideal test bed to be explored by electron spin resonance (ESR). The ESR linewidth ΔH is inversely proportional to the spinspin relaxation time T_2 , which can be affected by internal fields, a distribution of exchange interactions, and the spin-flip scattering between the $4f$ moments and conduction electrons [\[24–26\]](#page-5-0). The latter is also known as the Korringa mechanism. Additionally, the *g* value, which is proportional to the resonance field H_r , also gives information about internal fields and their nature as well as the interaction between 4 *f* moments and the conduction electrons $[25,26]$. In systems with magnetic polarons, the spin-flip scattering is reduced as a function of the applied magnetic field *H*, which is accompanied by a negative MR due to the increase of the quasiparticle size. Consequently, T_2 increases, and there is an unusual narrowing of ΔH as a function of *H* [\[27\]](#page-5-0). ESR was previously employed to investigate magnetic polarons in $EuB₆$ [\[27\]](#page-5-0) and Eu-based clathrates [\[28\]](#page-5-0) as well as in *d*-electron systems in combination with muon spin rotation [\[29–32\]](#page-5-0).

In this paper, we report a microscopic ESR investigation of Eu₅In₂Sb₆ [\[17\]](#page-5-0) as a function of different microwave frequencies and crystallographic directions. The reduction of the Eu^{2+} ESR linewidth as a function of *H* is a microscopic fingerprint of the presence of ferromagnetic clusters. Importantly, below $T' \approx 200$ K a marked change in the Eu²⁺ ESR line shape is driven by the increase of the microwave skin depth effect. These two experimental results not only provide evidence of the presence of magnetic polarons in this system but also demonstrate that polarons start to influence the macroscopic properties of the system at $T' \approx 200$ K.

Importantly, the Eu^{2+} spin dynamics sheds light on the relevant magnetic exchange interactions in $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$. The Eu^{2+} ESR ΔH angle dependence reveals an anisotropy in the spin-flip scattering that resembles that of the electrical resistivity, which suggests that the observed angular dependence is connected to a residual Fermi surface anisotropy. The Eu^{2+} ESR temperature-dependent *g* value shows a slight, but systematic, reduction as a function of *H*, which corroborates the presence of AFM correlations between polarons. Finally, the temperature and angle dependences of the *g* value for different crystallographic directions reveals an interplay of FM and AFM short-range interactions in the *ab* plane and a FM component along the *c* axis.

II. METHODS

Single-crystalline samples of $Eu₅In₂Sb₆$ were synthesized by a combined In-Sb flux described elsewhere [\[17\]](#page-5-0). Resistivity measurements were performed using a four-probe configuration in a commercial low-frequency AC bridge in voltage mode with an applied voltage of $V = 200 \mu V$. ESR measurements were performed for single crystals in *X*-band $(v = 9.4 \text{ GHz})$ and *Q*-band $(v = 34 \text{ GHz})$ commercial spectrometers equipped with a goniometer and a He-flow cryostat in the temperature range of $15 \leq T \leq 300$ K. The crystals have a rodlike shape, and the *c* axis is the long axis. Typical sample sizes are $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 3$ mm³. Particular care was taken to avoid any possible sample size effects in the ESR measurements. Due to the smaller cavity and the crystal shape we were not able to obtain *Q*-band measurements for fields applied parallel to the *c* axis. The ESR spectra were analyzed using the software SPEKTROLYST.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures $1(a)$ and $1(b)$ show the Eu²⁺ ESR spectra with *H* parallel to the *b* axis at $T = 300$ K for the *X* and *Q* bands, respectively. The *b* axis is identified in the inset of Fig. 1(a). The red solid lines are the best fits to the Eu^{2+} ESR spectra, represented by the power absorption derivative *dP*/*dH* as a function of *H*:

$$
\frac{dP}{dH} \propto (1 - \alpha) \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{1 + x^2} \right) + \alpha \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{x}{1 + x^2} \right), \quad (1)
$$

where α is the asymmetric parameter of the line shape and $x =$ $2(H - H_r)/\Delta H$ [\[33\]](#page-5-0). As shown in Fig. 1, there is a reduction of the Eu²⁺ ESR ΔH from low (X band) to high frequency (Q band) even at room temperature. This reduction reveals that the Eu^{2+} resonance is homogeneous in the paramagnetic state, indicating the good quality of the samples, and that there is a reduction of the spin-flip scattering as a function *H*.

The asymmetry of the Eu^{2+} ESR line shape, which will be reflected in the A/B ratio defined in Fig. 1(b), is a consequence of the microwave skin depth $\delta = \sqrt{\rho/\pi v \mu_0 \mu r}$, where ρ is the resistivity, μ_0 is the vacuum permeability, and μ_r is the relative permeability. The skin depth, in a semiclassical view, is a consequence of the local shielding currents of carriers driving electromagnetic fields out of phase [\[25,26,34,35\]](#page-5-0). In other words, the evolution of δ is a reflection of the evolution of the local AC resistivity around our Eu^{2+} probe [\[36–38\]](#page-5-0). If the sample size *L* is much smaller than δ , one obtains a symmetric Lorentzian line shape $(A/B = 1; \alpha = 0)$. In a metallic environment, the thickness to skin depth ratio $\lambda = L/\delta \gg 1$,

FIG. 1. (a) X - and (b) Q -band Eu²⁺ ESR spectra for Eu₅In₂Sb₆. *H* is applied parallel to the *b* axis. The inset in (a) shows the crystalline structure. $A(B)$ shown in (b) denotes the distance from the base line to the peak (valley). The red solid lines are fits explained in the text.

and one obtains a Dysonian line shape $(A/B \approx 2.7, \alpha \approx$ 0.55) [\[33,39\]](#page-5-0).

The Dyson model for the λ dependence of α considers the microwave penetration in a flat plate [\[40,41\]](#page-5-0). In this model, α is given by

$$
\alpha = \frac{\sinh^2 \lambda - \sin^2 \lambda}{(\cosh \lambda + \cos \lambda)^2}.
$$
 (2)

Figure $2(a)$ shows the *T* dependence of λ , which is derived from DC electrical resistivity, in $Eu₅ In₂ Sb₆$ for two different *H*. Because the difference between zero field and 1 T is negligible, we used the zero-field resistivity to simulate the *T* dependence of α for the *X* band, as shown by the red solid line in Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-2-0) Below $T' \approx 200$ K, there is a clear decrease of α for all crystallographic axes. This temperature scale coincides with the onset of CMR and the deviation of the Curie-Weiss behavior [\[17\]](#page-5-0). Our results therefore corroborate the scenario in which the formation of trapped magnetic polarons reduces the number of free carriers, changing the environment around

FIG. 2. (a) λ for current *I* applied parallel to the *c* direction and (b) α for different directions and microwave frequencies as a function of temperature. The red solid line is a simulation described in the text.

the Eu^{2+} site concomitantly with the global properties, which in turn increases the microwave penetration.

To uncover the anisotropy of $Eu₅ In₂ Sb₆$, we turn to the angle dependence of the Eu^{2+} ESR ΔH and *g* factor at $T =$ 35 and 300 K, shown in Fig. 3. The anisotropy of ΔH in the *ab* plane is less pronounced than its out-of-plane anisotropy, which suggests the presence of an orthorhombic contribution due to weak crystal field effects [\[26,42\]](#page-5-0). Nonetheless, the Eu²⁺ ESR ΔH is also connected to T_2 , and the resistivity anisotropy in the *ab* plane resembles the anisotropy in ΔH , as shown in Fig. 3(b). A similar angular dependence for both physical quantities indicates the presence of anisotropic magnetic scattering stemming from anisotropic magnetic interactions between Eu^{2+} ions. In fact, a *g* factor

FIG. 3. Anisotropy of the (a) X - and (b) Q -band Eu²⁺ ESR ΔH . The current I was applied parallel to the c axis in the resistivity measurement. The brown hexagonal symbols show the resistivity anisotropy at $T = 35$ K. (c) X - and (d) Q -band Eu^{2+} *g*-value anisotropy at $T = 300$ and 35 K. The anisotropy in the *X* band went from *H* applied parallel from the *b* to the *c* axis, while *H* was applied from the *b* to the *a* axis in the *Q* band.

anisotropy is observed even at room temperature, as shown in Figs. $3(c)$ and $3(d)$. The experimental Eu²⁺ *g* value, *g* = $h\nu/\mu_B H_r$, is obtained from the analysis of the Eu²⁺ ESR spectra using Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0). Here *h* is the Planck constant, and μ_B is the Bohr magneton. Eu²⁺ is a localized *S* ion ($L = 0$), and its second-order crystal field effects cannot account for the *g*-value anisotropy [\[25–27,](#page-5-0)[43\]](#page-6-0). Therefore, such anisotropy is an indication that even at room temperature short-range magnetic interactions are substantial in $Eu₅ In₂Sb₆$, a rare occurrence in localized 4 *f*-electron materials. At $T = 35$ K, the anisotropy is even more pronounced. Such *g*-value anisotropy typically stems from internal fields and supports the presence of complex magnetic interactions in the paramagnetic phase of $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$. Demagnetization effects do not fully describe the *g*-factor anisotropy (see the Appendix).

Figures $4(a)$ and $4(b)$ show the *T* dependence of the Eu²⁺ ESR ΔH for two different microwave frequencies at high and low temperatures, respectively. Figure $4(a)$ shows a systematic reduction of the Eu²⁺ ESR ΔH at higher *H* (*Q* band) at high temperatures. Such a reduction appears even at room temperature, which can be explained by a reduction of the spin-flip scattering. According to Ref. [\[17\]](#page-5-0), the dominant coupling between 4 *f* local moments and conduction electrons at high temperatures is ferromagnetic, and the resulting ferromagnetic clusters therefore increase in size as a function of *H* [\[26,35\]](#page-5-0). Although the high-temperature data show a reduction of the Eu²⁺ ΔH , a Korringa-like behavior of the $Eu^{2+} \Delta H$ *T* dependence is absent. Korringa behavior is reflected in the positive linear-in-T dependence of $Eu^{2+} \Delta H$. This result indicates that, even at high temperatures, the internal fields due to Eu^{2+} spin-spin interaction are dominant.

Notably, below $T^* \sim 50$ K the Eu²⁺ ESR linewidth increases rapidly with decreasing temperature [Fig. [4\(b\)\]](#page-3-0). This crossover region likely stems from the strong short-range interactions between polarons as previously suggested by the breakdown in activated behavior in resistivity, the strong AFM correlations in magnetic susceptibility, and the Schottky anomaly of the specific heat [\[17\]](#page-5-0). Because the $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$ structure hosts three different Eu^{2+} sites, complex local magnetic fields and anisotropic Eu^{2+} spin-spin interactions are expected. Anisotropic short-range magnetic interactions create different magnetic environments, which results in inhomogeneous broadening [\[26,35](#page-5-0)[,44\]](#page-6-0). This type of broadening is expected when strong local internal magnetic fields start to play a role, which in the case of $Eu₅In₂Sb₆ occurs near a mag$ netic transition with enhanced interactions between polarons. As a matter of comparison, at $T^* \sim 50$ K the Eu²⁺ ESR line shape starts to distort for the *X* band, which explains the small upturn in α observed in this region in Fig. 2(b). This is another indication that inhomogeneous broadening is responsible for such a crossover region.

Figures $4(c)$ and $4(d)$ show the Eu²⁺ ESR g value as a function of temperature. At high temperatures, there is a systematic reduction of the Eu^{2+} *g* value as the frequency is increased from the *X* to *Q* band. This reduction stems from short-range interactions between Eu^{2+} ions, which generates local AFM fields. Positive *g* values are related to FM internal fields, whereas negative *g* values result from AFM interactions. Therefore, a systematic reduction of the *g* value as a function of *H* will result in an increase of AFM internal fields

FIG. 4. High (70 \leq T \leq 300 K) and low (15 \leq T \leq 70 K) T dependences of the Eu²⁺ ESR (a) and (b) ΔH and (c) and (d) *g* value for *X* and *Q* bands for different crystallographic directions. The solid lines are guides to the eye. (e) Eu²⁺ spin susceptibility χ_s normalized by its value at $T = 300$ K for different crystallographic directions. The red solid line is a Curie-Weiss-like curve with $\theta = -28$ K.

as a function of the microwave frequency. This result supports the magnetic polaron scenario and shows microscopically that the interaction between polarons is AFM.

Because the *g* value is an important probe of local magnetic interactions, a closer look at its temperature dependence in the paramagnetic phase can provide insights into the magnetic structure of $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$ below T_{N1} . Figure 4(d) shows the lowtemperature behavior of the Eu^{2+} *g* value. Along the *c* and *a* axes, the *g* value increases on cooling, whereas a decrease is observed along the *b* axis. The *g*-value temperature dependence for $T \ge 20$ K sheds light on the complex magnetic structure of $Eu₅In₂Sb₆$ that arises from competing AFM and FM interactions in the *ab* plane where the spins lie. In particular, a FM component along *a* is consistent with the small net moment and the increase of the magnetic susceptibility on cooling through T_{N1} for fields applied along the *a* axis [\[17\]](#page-5-0). This magnetic structure differs from the proposed axion insulator scenario [\[17\]](#page-5-0), which invites spectroscopic measurements to determine the magnetic structure of $Eu₅In₂Sb₆$ as well as further calculations taking into account the experimentally determined magnetic structure.

An alternative scenario to the Eu^{2+} spin dynamics could rely on the opening of a spin gap [\[45–48\]](#page-6-0) rather than magnetic polarons physics. In general, such an opening is less expected in Eu^{2+} -based compounds due to their negligible spin-orbit coupling. The experimental signature of a spin gap should be observed in the Eu²⁺ spin susceptibility χ_s [\[48–51\]](#page-6-0). Figure $4(e)$ shows $\chi_s \propto g^2 I_{ESR}$, where I_{ESR} is the Eu²⁺ ESR intensity, as a function of temperature: χ_s is normalized by its value at 300 K. χ*^s* shows a Curie-Weiss-like behavior [χ*^s* ∝ $1/(T - \theta)$, where θ is the Curie-Weiss temperature, red solid line] with $\theta = -28$ K. The obtained θ is at the same magnitude as the $θ$ obtained by magnetization (∼30 K) [\[17\]](#page-5-0), but with opposite sign. The negative sign reflects the presence of an AFM ground state. For $T \le 25$ K there is a clear reduction of χ*s*. In addition, the opening of a spin gap, if present, would also be evidenced in the ESR spin dynamics. The Eu²⁺ ΔH and *g* value greatly increase at this temperature range for all directions [Figs. $4(b)$ and $4(d)$]. More specifically, there is an increase of the *g* value for *H* parallel to the *b* axis for $T \le 20$ K, which could be interpreted as another signature of a spin gap opening; however, other mechanisms such as short-range interactions or strong magnetic fluctuations could also be associated with all these signatures. Nonetheless, such signatures for $T \le 20$ K clearly show that the effects at higher temperatures are not associated with the opening of a spin gap or strong magnetic fluctuations and most likely originate from the magnetic polarons physics.

Our results shed microscopic light on three basic energy scales: $T' \sim 200 \text{ K}$, $T^* \sim 50 \text{ K}$, and *H* dependence. At $T' \sim 200 \text{ K}$ 200 K, trapped magnetic polarons start to become sizable, which causes a decrease in carrier density. An increase in δ is therefore observed on cooling, and consequently, a change in the Eu^{2+} line shape takes place. Conversely, the effect of *H* is to polarize more carriers around the Eu^{2+} magnetic ions, which gives rise to spin-dependent scattering. As a result we obtain a reduction of the spin-flip scattering, which causes unusual narrowing of the Eu^{2+} linewidth, and, macroscopically, a CMR [\[17\]](#page-5-0). Although decreasing the temperature at a constant *H* also increases the size of the magnetic polarons, this effect does not dramatically change the spin-dependent scattering, as evidenced by the Eu^{2+} ESR $\Delta H T$ dependence for $T \ge 150$ K. If the spin-flip scattering were to decrease significantly, we would obtain an increase of the difference of the $Eu^{2+} X$ - and *Q*-band linewidths as a function of temperature, which is not the case [Fig. 4(a)]. At $T^* \sim 50$ K, the trapped polarons start to interact, which results in different magnetic states at the Eu^{2+} site and the appearance of inhomogeneous broadening. Note that magnetic polarons are still localized at T^* because an insulating Lorentzian Eu^{2+} line shape is still observed and the resistivity still increases on cooling. This is consistent, for instance, with the appearance of AFM correlations in the magnetic susceptibility at $T^* \sim 40 \text{ K}$ [\[17\]](#page-5-0). Finally, at T_{N1} the polarons become delocalized, which results in a significant drop in the resistivity of $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$ [\[17\]](#page-5-0).

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we performed electron spin resonance in the antiferromagnetic insulator $Eu_5In_2Sb_6$ using different microwave frequencies in the temperature range of $15 \leq T \leq$ 300 K. Our Eu^{2+} ESR spin dynamics analysis revealed a decrease of the Eu^{2+} ESR linewidth when going from low to higher *H*, consistent with the presence of ferromagnetic clusters. Below $T' \sim 200$ K, the change in the asymmetry of the Eu^{2+} line shape reveals a marked change in δ due to the increase in the magnetic polaron size. At $T^* \sim 50$ K, strong interpolaron antiferromagnetic interactions cause an inhomogeneous broadening and *g*-value changes due to anisotropic short-range interactions. Our microscopic analysis sheds light on the complex magnetic structure of $Eu₅ In₂ Sb₆$ and invites other spectroscopic measurements to investigate its putative axion insulating phase.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. Santos Rego, M. C. Rahn, M. V. Ale Crivillero, S. Wirth, and R. Urbano for fruitful discussions. This work was supported by FAPESP (SP, Brazil) Grants No. 2020/12283-0, No. 2018/11364-7, and No. 2017/10581-1; National Council of Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) Grants No. 442230/2014-1 and No. 304649/2013-9; CAPES; FINEP-Brazil; and the Brazilian Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation. Work at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Science and Engineering. Part of this work was supported by the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science.

APPENDIX: DEMAGNETIZATION EFFECTS

Demagnetization effects are important whenever systems are uniformly magnetized [\[52\]](#page-6-0). In other words, if the sample size is small compared to the skin depth of the microwave, we should look for such effects. The anisotropy of the *g* value could be a result of the shape of the crystal and may not necessarily be due to an intrinsic magnetic anisotropy [\[43,53\]](#page-6-0).

In our case, the skin depth at $T = 300$ K is $\delta^{300K} = 0.2$ mm, while for $T = 35$ K it is $\delta^{35K} = 25$ mm. Due to our sample dimensions (0.5 \times 0.5 \times 3 mm³), we are going to evaluate only the effects at $T = 35$ K. The resonance frequency in the presence of demagnetization effects is given by

$$
\omega_0^2 = \gamma^2 [H + (N_y - N_z)M][H + (N_x - N_z)M], \quad (A1)
$$

where $\gamma = e/mc$ is the gyromagnetic factor, *H* is the applied magnetic field, *M* is the magnetization, and N_i ($i = x, y, z$) is the demagnetizing factors, with $\sum_i N_i = 1$ [\[52,53\]](#page-6-0). The simplest case is the thin plate, where $N_x = N_y = 0$ and $N_z = 1$ when the magnetic field is perpendicular to the plate [\[52\]](#page-6-0).

For a paramagnetic material we have $M = \chi H$, where χ is the magnetic susceptibility. We also note that

$$
g = \frac{\hbar \omega_0}{\mu_B H} = \frac{g_e}{H} \sqrt{[H + (N_y - N_z)M][H + (N_x - N_z)M]},
$$
\n(A2)

where μ_B is the Bohr magneton, \hbar is the Planck constant divided by 2π , and $g_e \approx 2.002$ is the free-electron *g* value. Using Eq. $(A2)$ in $(A1)$ and the fact that we are probing the paramagnetic phase, we obtain

$$
g = g_e \sqrt{[1 + (N_y - N_z)\chi][1 + (N_x - N_z)\chi]}.
$$
 (A3)

In order to analyze N_i , as a first approximation, we assumed a rectangular prism form of the measured crystals. A complete description of the method to obtain the demagnetizing factors for a rectangular prism is given in Ref. [\[54\]](#page-6-0). We obtain $N_x =$ $N_z \approx 0.46$, $N_y \approx 0.08$ when *H* is parallel to the *a* axis; $N_y =$ $N_z \approx 0.46$, $N_x \approx 0.08$ for *H* parallel to the *b* axis; and, finally, $N_x = N_y \approx 0.46$, $N_z \approx 0.08$ when *H* is parallel to the *c* axis.

Using the value of the molar magnetic susceptibility χ_m reported in Ref. [\[17\]](#page-5-0), we can calculate $χ = χ_mD/M_m$, where *D* is the density and M_m is the molar mass. Using $D = 6.77$ g/cm³ and $M_m = 1719.94$ g/mol, we obtain $\chi = 0.011$. Finally, using Eq. $(A3)$, the demagnetizing contribution to the *g*-value anisotropy is $\Delta g^{ab} = g^{H||a} - g^{H||b} = 0$ and $\Delta g^{cb} =$ $g^{H||c} - g^{H||b} = 0.013$.

Experimentally, we obtained $\Delta g_{exp}^{ab} = 0.075(5)$ and Δg_{exp}^{cb} $= 0.023(5)$. Although imperfections in the crystal could result in a finite Δg^{ab} , the experimental value is substantial and above any experimental uncertainty, especially when compared with Δg^{cb} , for example. This result clearly shows that the *g*-value anisotropy is related to intrinsic magnetic anisotropy and is not due to demagnetizing effects. Moreover, Δg_{exp}^{cb} is almost two times larger than the demagnetizing factor. Although the anisotropy out of the plane influences these effects, intrinsic magnetic anisotropy is also present.

- [1] T. Kasuya and A. Yanase, Anomalous transport phenom[ena in Eu-chalcogenide alloys,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.40.684) Rev. Mod. Phys. **40**, 684 (1968).
- [2] [A. Ramirez, Colossal magnetoresistance,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/9/39/005) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **9**, 8171 (1997).
- [3] M. Pohlit, S. Rößler, Y. Ohno, H. Ohno, S. von Molnár, Z. Fisk, J. Müller, and S. Wirth, Evidence for Ferromagnetic Clusters

in the Colossal-Magnetoresistance Material EuB $_6$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 257201 (2018).

- [4] A. Kaminski and S. Das Sarma, Polaron Percolation in Diluted Magnetic Semiconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**[, 247202 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.247202)
- [5] S. Süllow, I. Prasad, S. Bogdanovich, M. Aronson, J. Sarrao, and Z. Fisk, Magnetotransport in the low carrier density ferromagnet EuB6, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.372460) **87**, 5591 (2000).
- [6] K. P. Devlin, N. Kazem, J. V. Zaikina, J. A. Cooley, J. R. Badger, J. C. Fettinger, V. Taufour, and S. M. Kauzlarich, $Eu_{11}Zn_4Sn_2As_{12}$: A ferromagnetic Zintl semiconductor with a layered structure featuring extended Zn_4As_6 Sheets and ethanelike Sn₂As₆ units, Chem. Mater. **30**[, 7067 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b02749)
- [7] M. B. Salamon and M. Jaime, The physics of manganites: Structure and transport, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.583) **73**, 583 (2001).
- [8] N. Oliveira, Jr., S. Foner, Y. Shapira, and T. Reed, EuTe. I. Magnetic behavior of insulating and conducting single crystals, Phys. Rev. B **5**[, 2634 \(1972\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.5.2634)
- [9] Y. Shapira, S. Foner, N. Oliveira, Jr., and T. Reed, EuTe. II. Resistivity and hall effect, Phys. Rev. B **5**[, 2647 \(1972\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.5.2647)
- [10] Y. Tokura, M. Kawasaki, and N. Nagaosa, Emergent functions of quantum materials, Nat. Phys. **13**[, 1056 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys4274)
- [11] S. Paschen and Q. Si, Quantum phases driven by strong correlations, [Nat. Rev. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-020-00262-6) **3**, 9 (2021).
- [12] J. Li, Y. Li, S. Du, Z. Wang, B.-L. Gu, S.-C. Zhang, K. He, W. Duan, and Y. Xu, Intrinsic magnetic topological insulators in van der Waals layered MnBi₂Te₄-family materials, Sci. Adv. 5, eaaw2685 (2019).
- [13] D. Zhang, M. Shi, T. Zhu, D. Xing, H. Zhang, and J. Wang, Topological Axion States in the Magnetic Insulator $MnBi₂Te₄$ [with the Quantized Magnetoelectric Effect,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.206401) Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 206401 (2019).
- [14] Y.-J. Hao *et al.*, Gapless Surface Dirac Cone in Antiferromagnetic Topological Insulator MnBi₂Te₄, *Phys. Rev. X* 9, 041038 (2019).
- [15] Y. Deng, Y. Yu, M. Z. Shi, Z. Guo, Z. Xu, J. Wang, X. H. Chen, and Y. Zhang, Quantum anomalous Hall effect in intrinsic mag-netic topological insulator MnBi₂Te₄, Science 367[, 895 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax8156)
- [16] S. A. Parameswaran, A. M. Turner, D. P. Arovas, and A. Vishwanath, Topological order and absence of band insulators [at integer filling in non-symmorphic crystals,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2600) Nat. Phys. **9**, 299 (2013).
- [17] P. Rosa, Y. Xu, M. Rahn, J. Souza, S. Kushwaha, L. Veiga, A. Bombardi, S. Thomas, M. Janoschek, E. Bauer, M. Chan, Z. Wang, J. Thompson, N. Harrison, P. Pagliuso, A. Bernevig, and F. Ronning, Colossal magnetoresistance in a nonsymmorphic antiferromagnetic insulator, [npj Quantum Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-020-00256-8) **5**, 1 (2020).
- [18] Z. Wang, A. Alexandradinata, R. J. Cava, and B. A. Bernevig, Hourglass fermions, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17410) **532**, 189 (2016).
- [19] B. Bradlyn, L. Elcoro, J. Cano, M. Vergniory, Z. Wang, C. Felser, M. Aroyo, and B. A. Bernevig, Topological quantum chemistry, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23268) **547**, 298 (2017).
- [20] B. J. Wieder, B. Bradlyn, Z. Wang, J. Cano, Y. Kim, H.-S. D. Kim, A. M. Rappe, C. Kane, and B. A. Bernevig, Wallpaper [fermions and the nonsymmorphic Dirac insulator,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan2802) Science **361**, 246 (2018).
- [21] M. Vergniory, L. Elcoro, C. Felser, N. Regnault, B. A. Bernevig, and Z. Wang, A complete catalogue of high-quality topological materials, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0954-4) **566**, 480 (2019).
- [22] T. Zhang, Y. Jiang, Z. Song, H. Huang, Y. He, Z. Fang, H. Weng, and C. Fang, Catalogue of topological electronic materials, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0944-6) **566**, 475 (2019).
- [23] F. Tang, H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and X. Wan, Comprehensive search for topological materials using symmetry indicators, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0937-5) **566**, 486 (2019).
- [24] C. Rettori, D. Davidov, R. Orbach, E. Chock, and B. Ricks, [Electron-spin resonance of rare earths in aluminum,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.7.1) Phys. Rev. B **7**, 1 (1973).
- [25] S. Barnes, Theory of electron spin resonance of magnetic ions in metals, Adv. Phys. **30**[, 801 \(1981\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00018738100101447)
- [26] A. Abragam and B. Bleaney, *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012).
- [27] R. R. Urbano, P. G. Pagliuso, C. Rettori, S. B. Oseroff, J. L. Sarrao, P. Schlottmann, and Z. Fisk, Magnetic polaron and Fermi surface effects in the spin-flip scattering of $EuB₆$, Phys. Rev. B **70**[, 140401\(R\) \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.140401)
- [28] P. F. S. Rosa, W. Iwamoto, L. M. Holanda, R. A. Ribeiro, P. G. Pagliuso, C. Rettori, and M. A. Avila, Magnetic polaron effect in Sr_{8−*x*}Eu_{*x*}Ga₁₆Ge₃₀ clathrates probed by electron spin resonance, Phys. Rev. B **87**[, 224414 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.224414)
- [29] Z. Yang, X. Bao, S. Tan, and Y. Zhang, Magnetic polaron conduction in the colossal magnetoresistance material Fe1−*^x*Cd*x*Cr2S4, Phys. Rev. B **69**[, 144407 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.144407)
- [30] K.-Y. Choi, Z. Wang, P. Lemmens, H. D. Zhou, J. van Tol, N. Dalal, and C. R. Wiebe, Inhomogeneous magnetic cluster states in the magnetoresistance material $Lu_2V_2O_7$, *Phys. Rev. B* 82, 054430 (2010).
- [31] L. Li, L.-Q. Yan, Y. Shi, P. Lu, and Y. Sun, Evidence and evolution of magnetic polaron in $HgCr₂Se₄$ investigated by electron spin resonance, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/aac4f5) **30**, 255804 (2018).
- [32] V. G. Storchak, J. H. Brewer, S. L. Stubbs, O. E. Parfenov, R. L. Lichti, P. W. Mengyan, J. He, I. Bredeson, D. Hitchcock, and D. Mandrus, Spin Polarons in the Correlated Metallic Pyrochlore Cd2Re2O7, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**[, 076402 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.076402)
- [33] G. Feher and A. Kip, Electron spin resonance absorption in metals. I. Experimental, Phys. Rev. **98**[, 337 \(1955\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.98.337)
- [34] M. Hemmida, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, B. Miksch, L. Samoilenko, A. Pustogow, S. Widmann, A. Henderson, T. Siegrist, J. A. Schlueter, A. Loidl, and M. Dressel, Weak ferromagnetism and glassy state in κ -(BEDT- TTF)₂Hg(SCN)₂Br, Phys. Rev. B **98**[, 241202\(R\) \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.241202)
- [35] C. P. Poole and H. A. Farach, *Relaxation in Magnetic Resonance*, Vol. 19 (Academic press, New York, 1971).
- [36] R. R. Urbano, C. Rettori, G. E. Barberis, M. Torelli, A. Bianchi, Z. Fisk, P. G. Pagliuso, A. Malinowski, M. F. Hundley, J. L. Sarrao, and S. B. Oseroff, Different Gd^{3+} sites in doped CaB_6 : [An electron spin resonance study,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.180407) Phys. Rev. B **65**, 180407(R) (2002).
- [37] R. R. Urbano, P. G. Pagliuso, C. Rettori, P. Schlottmann, J. L. Sarrao, A. Bianchi, S. Nakatsuji, Z. Fisk, E. Velazquez, and S. B. Oseroff, Gradual transition from insulator to semimetal of Ca1−*^x*Eu*x*B6 [with increasing Eu concentration,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.184422) Phys. Rev. B **71**, 184422 (2005).
- [38] J. C. Souza, P. F. S. Rosa, J. Sichelschmidt, M. Carlone, P. A. Venegas, M. O. Malcolms, P. M. Menegasso, R. R. Urbano, Z. Fisk, and P. G. Pagliuso, Metallic islands in the Kondo insulator SmB6, [Phys. Rev. Research](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043181) **2**, 043181 (2020).
- [39] G. Pake and E. Purcell, Line shapes in nuclear paramagnetism, Phys. Rev. **74**[, 1184 \(1948\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.74.1184)
- [40] F. J. Dyson, Electron spin resonance absorption in metals. II. [Theory of electron diffusion and the skin effect,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.98.349) Phys. Rev. **98**, 349 (1955).
- [41] J. H. Pifer and R. Magno, Conduction-electron spin resonance in a lithium film, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.3.663) **3**, 663 (1971).
- [42] P. Rosa, C. De Jesus, Z. Fisk, and P. Pagliuso, Physical properties of EuPtIn₄ [intermetallic antiferromagnet,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2014.07.001) J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **371**, 5 (2014).
- [43] R. R. Urbano, P. G. Pagliuso, C. Rettori, A. Malachias, E. Granado, P. Schlottmann, Z. Fisk, and S. B. Oseroff, ESR study of the $Eu^{2+}g$ -value in the metallic phase of cubic hexaboride Ca_{1–*x*}Eu_{*x*}B₆ (0.15 ≤ *x* ≤ 1.00), [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.115123) **73**, 115123 (2006).
- [44] F. Rivadulla, M. A. Lopez-Quintela, L. E. Hueso, J. Rivas, M. T. Causa, C. Ramos, R. D. Sanchez, and M. Tovar, Electron-spinresonance line broadening around the magnetic phase transition in manganites, Phys. Rev. B **60**[, 11922 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.11922)
- [45] A. Little, L. Wu, P. Lampen-Kelley, A. Banerjee, S. Patankar, D. Rees, C. A. Bridges, J.-Q. Yan, D. Mandrus, S. E. Nagler, and J. Orenstein, Antiferromagnetic Resonance and Tera[hertz Continuum in](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.227201) α-RuCl3, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 227201 (2017).
- [46] A. N. Ponomaryov, E. Schulze, J. Wosnitza, P. Lampen-Kelley, A. Banerjee, J.-Q. Yan, C. A. Bridges, D. G. Mandrus, S. E. Nagler, A. K. Kolezhuk, and S. A. Zvyagin, Unconventional spin dynamics in the honeycomb-lattice material α -RuCl₃: [High-field electron spin resonance studies,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.241107) Phys. Rev. B **96**, 241107(R) (2017).
- [47] A. Ponomaryov, L. Zviagina, J. Wosnitza, P. Lampen-Kelley, A. Banerjee, J.-Q. Yan, C. A. Bridges, D. G. Mandrus, S. E. Nagler, and S. A. Zvyagin, Nature of Magnetic Excitations in [the High-Field Phase of](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.125.037202) α-RuCl3, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 037202 (2020).
- [48] B. Miksch, A. Pustogow, M. J. Rahim, A. A. Bardin, K. Kanoda, J. A. Schlueter, R. Hübner, M. Scheffler, and M. Dressel, Gapped magnetic ground state in quantum spin liquid candidate κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu₂(CN)₃, Science 372[, 276 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc6363)
- [49] F. Chabre, A. M. Ghorayeb, P. Millet, V. A. Pashchenko, and A. Stepanov, Low-temperature behavior of the ESR linewidth [in a system with a spin gap:](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.012415) η -Na_{1.286}V₂O₅, Phys. Rev. B 72, 012415 (2005).
- [50] A. Zorko, D. Arčon, C. J. Nuttall, and A. Lappas, X-band ESR study of the 2D spin-gap system $SrCu₂(BO₃)₂$, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **272**, E699 (2004).
- [51] A. Prokofiev, F. Büllesfeld, W. Assmus, H. Schwenk, D. Wichert, U. Löw, and B. Lüthi, Magnetic properties of the low dimensional spin system (VO)PO: ESR and susceptibility, [Eur. Phys. J. B](https://doi.org/10.1007/s100510050448) **5**, 313 (1998).
- [52] C. Kittel, On the theory of ferromagnetic resonance absorption, Phys. Rev. **73**[, 155 \(1948\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.73.155)
- [53] C. Wellm, J. Zeisner, A. Alfonsov, M.-I. Sturza, G. Bastien, S. Gaß, S. Wurmehl, A. U. B. Wolter, B. Büchner, and V. Kataev, Magnetic interactions in the tripod kagome antiferromagnet Mg2Gd3Sb3O14 probed by static magnetometry and high-field ESR spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B **102**[, 214414 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.214414)
- [54] H. Fukushima, Y. Nakatani, and N. Hayashi, Volume average [demagnetizing tensor of rectangular prisms,](https://doi.org/10.1109/20.650225) IEEE Trans. Magn. **34**, 193 (1998).