# Orbital contributions in the element-resolved valence electronic structure of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>

Cheng-Tai Kuo<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> Shih-Chieh Lin<sup>1,2</sup> Jean-Pascal Rueff<sup>1,4,5</sup> Zhesheng Chen,<sup>4</sup> Irene Aguilera<sup>1,6,†</sup> Gustav Bihlmayer,<sup>6</sup>

Lukasz Plucinski,<sup>7</sup> Ismael L. Graff<sup>0</sup>,<sup>8</sup> Giuseppina Conti,<sup>1,9</sup> Ivan A. Vartanyants<sup>0</sup>,<sup>10,11</sup>

Claus M. Schneider ,<sup>1,7</sup> and Charles S. Fadley<sup>1,2,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of California Davis, Davis, California 95616, USA

<sup>2</sup>Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>3</sup>Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA

<sup>4</sup>Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, Saint-Aubin-BP48, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

<sup>5</sup>Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Chimie Physique-Matière et Rayonnement, F-75005 Paris, France

<sup>6</sup>Peter Grünberg Institut and Institute for Advanced Simulation, Forschungszentrum Jülich and JARA, D-52425 Jülich, Germany

<sup>7</sup>Peter-Grünberg-Institut PGI-6, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich 52425, Germany

<sup>8</sup>Department of Physics, Federal University of Paraná, Curitiba 81531-980, Brazil

<sup>9</sup>Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>10</sup>Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestraße 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

<sup>11</sup>National Research Nuclear University MEPhI (Moscow Engineering Physics Institute), Kashirskoe Shosse 31, Moscow 115409, Russia

(Received 31 July 2021; revised 9 October 2021; accepted 15 November 2021; published 3 December 2021)

In this work, we studied the bulk band structure of a topological insulator (TI)  $Bi_2Se_3$  and determined the contributions of the Bi and Se orbital states to the valence bands using standing-wave excited hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (SW-HAXPES). This SW technique can provide the element-resolved information and extract individual Bi and Se contributions to the  $Bi_2Se_3$  valence band. Comparisons with density-functional theory calculations (local density approximation and *GW*) reveal that the Bi 6*s*, Bi 6*p*, and Se 4*p* states are dominant in the  $Bi_2Se_3$  HAXPES valence band. These findings pave a way for studying the element-resolved band structure and orbital contributions of this class of TIs.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.104.245105

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years, topological insulators (TIs) have been extensively studied due to their unique physical properties and great potential for device applications [1,2]. Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> is a prototype material of a three-dimensional (3D) TI with a bulk band gap (~0.3 eV) and a gapless surface state consisting of a single Dirac cone, which has been discovered both theoretically and experimentally [3,4]. Prior to densityfunctional theory (DFT) calculations, models starting from atomic energy levels and considering the crystal-field splitting and spin-orbit coupling successfully predicted band inversion and the existence of a single Dirac cone within a band gap [4,5]. Thereby, however, a simplified picture has been used by assuming that the p orbitals of Bi and Se atoms mainly contribute to the electronic behavior near the Fermi surface, thus neglecting the contributions of s orbitals. The neglect of s orbitals might be acceptable for the prediction of topological states. However, it is important to have more quantitative information regarding the contributions of individual atomic orbital states to the valence states, because it helps to

<sup>‡</sup>Deceased.

understand the bulk band structure of  $Bi_2Se_3$ , as well the nature of its band gap and band-gap energy. Recently, more efforts in terms of DFT calculations have been done to study the total and partial densities of states (DOSs) in  $Bi_2Se_3$  [6–8]. Compared to the theoretical studies, less experimental approaches have been utilized in this aspect. An experimental determination of partial DOSs with both element- and orbital-projected information in  $Bi_2Se_3$  and in the long term for the whole class of 3D TIs is needed.

Numerous studies using synchrotron-based angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) with excitation energies of 20-150 eV have been employed to study the electronic properties of this family of 3D TIs [1]. Low-energy ARPES is one of the key experimental techniques for investigating the electronic structure of TIs and its high energy resolution shows strength in resolving the topological and electronic states in the valence band (VB) [9-11]. However, the short inelastic mean-free path of photoelectrons limits the probing depth, adding an extra challenge to differentiate the bulk and surface electronic structure. Nonetheless, Bianchi et al. tuned the  $k_z$  of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> by varying the incidence photon energies and successfully differentiated the bulk and surface electronic states near the Fermi level [12]. Some ARPES experiments were carried out by using a laser source of  $6 \sim 7 \text{ eV}$  [13,14] or Xe lamp of 8.4 eV [15-18], which can enhance depth sensitivity, but the purpose was to improve the intensity and k resolution for studying the physics of Dirac cone, which

<sup>\*</sup>ctkuo@slac.stanford.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Present address: IEK5-Photovoltaik, Forschungszentrum Jülich,

<sup>52425</sup> Jülich, Germany.



FIG. 1. (a) Rhombohedral crystal structure of  $Bi_2Se_3$ . A quintuple layer with Se1-Bi-Se2-Bi-Se1 is indicated by the blue rectangle. (b) Side view of the standing wave (SW) generated by the  $Bi_2Se_3$  (006) reflection and different atomic species are excited with different intensity of SW electric field depending on the position of the SW nodes and antinodes.

is beyond the scope of our work. Hard x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (HAXPES) extends the probing depth and is more sensitive to the bulk electronic structure. It is therefore useful for investigating the VB spectra of materials [19,20]. The drawbacks of HAXPES are relatively low energy resolution (~hundred meV) and phonon effects, which may limit its potential for resolving fine features in the VB and the k-resolved electronic structure [19,21,22]. Another advantage of HAXPES is the capability of generating a standing-wave (SW) electric field within the desirable material by matching the length scale of the natural crystallographic atomic planes. SW-HAXPES collect photoelectrons modulated by the SW field from different depths in the c axis, and it is a unique technique to determine the spatial distribution of composition and the element- or layer-resolved DOSs within a unit cell of a material, which has been applied in numerous systems [23-31].

In this work, we employed SW-HAXPES in studying  $Bi_2Se_3$  and successfully observed the SW effects in both core-level and valence photoelectrons, providing the element-resolved information. Additionally, we discuss the  $Bi_2Se_3$  bulk electronic structure in terms of the element-resolved valence spectra (the Bi- and Se-projected matrix-element weighted DOSs) directly in comparison to DFT calculations based on an all-electron method and subsequent *GW* calculations. Our results reveal the contributions of atomic orbitals (e.g., Bi 6s, p states) and attribute the quantity of each individual state to the  $Bi_2Se_3$  HAXPES VB. Furthermore, the understanding of the  $Bi_2Se_3$  band structure will be beneficial to both experimental and theoretical studies of the emergent properties in this class of TI.

#### **II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> has a rhombohedral crystal structure with space group  $(R\bar{3}m)$  [32], as shown in Fig. 1(a). The material has a layered structure with five atomic layers forming one unit cell, known as a quintuple layer [3]. Each quintuple layer

consists of two equivalent Se atomic layers (denoted as Se1), two equivalent Bi atomic layers (denoted as Bi), and a third Se atomic layer (denoted as Se2). The quintuple layers are held by weak van der Waals bonds. The Bi, Se1, and Se2 layers are well separated along the vertical axis, allowing the SW technique to derive site-specific information. Figure 1(b) shows the cross-section view geometry of the SW pattern generated in a Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> crystal. A SW with its isointensity planes parallel to the diffracting planes is created by the interference between the incident and diffracted waves while satisfying the Bragg condition [33,34]. The phase difference between the incident and diffracted wave fields changes by  $\pi$  when the incidence angle moves from below to above the Bragg angle, thus scanning the SW by half of the wavelength with respect to the diffracting planes. Depending on the vertical locations of these atomic layers, the incidence-angle dependence of the core-level photoelectron intensity yields will show distinct modulations as to both shape and magnitude.

The SW-HAXPES measurements were performed at the beamline GALAXIES of SOLEIL. The details of this beamline can be found elsewhere [35,36]. The measurement was carried out at an excitation energy of 3000 eV and at room temperature. At this energy, the total experimental resolution is estimated to be about 300 meV. The Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> crystal (HQ graphene) was in situ cleaved prior to the SW-HAXPES measurements. The survey spectrum shows no indication of the C 1s and O 1s surface contaminants. In this work, we have chosen the (006) instead of the (003) reflection as the vertical locations of Bi, Se1, and Se2 layers lead to the cancellation of SW modulation for (003) reflection. Figure 2 shows the SW-HAXPES results for core-level spectra and intensity yields as a function of incidence angle. The photoelectron spectra of Se  $2p_{3/2}$  and Bi 4d at an off-Bragg angle are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). In the Se  $2p_{3/2}$  spectrum [Fig. 2(a)], only one component centered at 1422.4 eV is observed. We were expecting to observe two different chemical states for Se1 and Se2 since they are located at different positions. However, the observation of a single component in the Se  $2p_{3/2}$  spectrum indicates that the chemical states of Se1 and Se2 layers cannot be discriminated experimentally. As a consequence, we attribute the Se  $2p_{3/2}$  photoemission yield to both Se1 and Se2 layers. In the Bi 4d spectrum [Fig. 2(b)], the spin-orbit splitting of bulk Bi  $4d_{5/2}$  (440.7 eV) and  $4d_{3/2}$  (464.6 eV) peaks are dominant (green line); in addition, we observed two additional features (blue and magenta line). The first feature (blue line) at 447.8 and 471.4 eV arises from plasmon-phonon coupling and the second feature (magenta line) at 458.3 and 481.8 eV arises from plasmon losses, both of which have been discovered and assigned by Biswas et al. [37]. As these three components originate from the bulk crystal, their photoemission yields show no difference.

Figures 2(c) and 2(d) show the SW photoemission yields of Se  $2p_{3/2}$  and Bi 4*d* core levels (open circle) with the incidence angle ranging from 25.3° to 26.3°. These intensity scans are normalized to 1 at off-Bragg positions and have been simulated by SW theory (curve) [31,34]. The SW modeling shows good agreement with experiments. We observed that the Se  $2p_{3/2}$  and Bi 4*d* photoemission yields for (006) reflection are out of phase; the Se  $2p_{3/2}$  yield shows a maximum and the Bi 4*d* yield shows a minimum in intensity at ~25.8°. The yield



FIG. 2. SW-HAXPES core-level spectra and yields from the  $Bi_2Se_3$  at hv = 3000 eV. Core-level spectra of (a) Se  $2p_{3/2}$  and (b) Bi 4d at an off-Bragg incidence angle. The core-level peak intensities are derived by fitting with a Voigt line shape (in green, blue, and magenta) and a Shirley background (in black). The corresponding experimental photoemission intensity yields of Se  $2p_{3/2}$  and Bi 4d are plotted in (c) and (d), respectively, along with the SW theory simulations.

intensity modulation of Bi 4*d* is around 7%. The modulation in intensity for the Se  $2p_{3/2}$  yield is relatively small (~3%) due to the slight phase cancellation between the Se1 and Se2 layers. This observation of out-of-phase core-level photoemission yields allows the forthcoming extraction of individual Bi and Se photoemission cross-section weighted partial DOSs from the Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> valence band, which will be discussed later. Note that since the contributions from the Se1 and Se2 layers are indistinguishable in the Se 2*p* core level, we can only extract partial DOSs from the sum of the Se1 and Se2 layers.

To resolve the Bi and Se contributions to the Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> VB, we use the following procedure. Under the influence of a SW electric field intensity, the Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> VB yield  $I_{VB}(E_B, \theta_{inc})$ depends on the core-level yields  $[I_{Se2p}(\theta_{inc}) \text{ or } I_{Bi4d}(\theta_{inc})]$ and photoemission cross-section weighted partial DOSs [i.e.,  $D_{Se}(E_B)$ ], as given by the following equation [23–31]:

$$I_{VB}(E_B, \theta_{\rm inc}) = D_{\rm Se}(E_B)I_{\rm Se2p}(\theta_{\rm inc}) + D_{\rm Bi}(E_B)I_{\rm Bi4d}(\theta_{\rm inc}).$$
(1)

The core-level yields are normalized to 1 away from the Bragg reflection and adjusted in amplitude according to the differential cross-section ratios  $\frac{d\sigma_{\text{Seds},4p}}{d\Omega}/\frac{d\sigma_{\text{Seds},4p}}{d\Omega}$  and  $\frac{d\sigma_{\text{Bi6s},6p}}{d\Omega}/\frac{d\sigma_{\text{Bidd}}}{d\Omega}$ . The photoemission cross-section weighted partial DOSs in Eq. (1) are related to the partial densities of states  $\rho(E_B)$  and differential photoelectric cross sections  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  of the dominant orbitals [38]. For example,  $D_{\text{Se}}(E_B) = \sum_{i=\text{Seds},4p} \frac{d\sigma_i}{d\Omega} \rho_i(E_B)$ . By using a least-square fitting method to each curve in  $I_{VB}(E_B, \theta_{\text{inc}})$  at an  $E_B$  with  $I_{\text{Se2p}}(\theta_{\text{inc}})$  and  $I_{\text{Bi4d}}(\theta_{\text{inc}})$  as basis functions, we have determined the elemental contributions  $D_{\text{Se} \text{ or Bi}}^{\text{expt}}(E_B)$  to the valence band  $D_{\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3}^{\text{expt}}(E_B)$ (or abbreviated as  $D_{\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3}^{\text{expt}}$ ). As mentioned earlier, this methodology in x-ray SW photoemission has been proved valid and successfully applied to many systems [23–31], but not yet to a 3D TI, Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, which is unique to this study. It is important to mention that Eq. (1) reduces to the following equation when the SW field is absent; this is consistent with a generic form of photoemission cross-section weighted DOSs:

$$D_{\text{Bi}_2\text{Se}_3}(E_B) = D_{\text{Se}}(E_B) + D_{\text{Bi}}(E_B)$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=\text{Se}4s,4p} \frac{d\sigma_i}{d\Omega} \rho_i(E_B) + \sum_{j=\text{Bi}6s,6p} \frac{d\sigma_j}{d\Omega} \rho_j(E_B).$  (2)

The experimental Se- and Bi-resolved DOSs, that are labeled as  $D_{Se}^{expt}$  and  $D_{Bi}^{expt}$ , are used to compare with the partial DOSs obtained from the DFT calculations after taking photoemission cross section into consideration, distinguishing the individual contributions. The DFT and GW calculations were carried out using the full-potential linearized augmented planewave (FLAPW) method as implemented in the FLEUR code [39] and the SPEX code [40], respectively. The local density approximation (LDA) [41] was employed for the exchange-correlation potential of DFT. The product of muffin-tin radii times plane-wave cutoff energy was 9.7 and a  $5 \times 5 \times 5$  Monkhorst-Pack k-point mesh was used for the self-consistent calculations (400 k points and the tetrahedron method for the DOS calculations). The converge parameters for the GW calculations are the same as those reported in Ref. [42] except that the k-point sampling employed here is denser  $(8 \times 8 \times 8)$ . The theoretical DOSs that are generated by more sophisticated GW calculations are demonstrated along with experiments and LDA results for comparison in Figs. 3-5. We see that the theoretical DOSs by LDA and GW are practically unchanged, but the band gap of 0.34 eV is in better agreement with experimental data [43].

Figure 3(a) shows the experimental VB photoemission signal of  $Bi_2Se_3$ ,  $D_{Bi_2Se_3}^{expt}$ , along with Bi-and Se-resolved contributions,  $D_{Bi}^{expt}$  and  $D_{Se}^{expt}$ , which were derived from Eq. (1). The theoretical photoemission cross-section weighted total DOSs, and Se as well as Bi DOSs calculated by LDA and GW are demonstrated for comparison in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c), respectively. The theoretical DOSs were calculated considering photoemission cross-section ratios ( $\sigma_{\rm Bi6p}/\sigma_{\rm Bi6s} \sim$ 1.91,  $\sigma_{\text{Se4s}}/\sigma_{\text{Bi6s}} \sim 1.68$ , and  $\sigma_{\text{Se4p}}/\sigma_{\text{Bi6s}} \sim 1.86$ ) [44,45]. The theoretical results were shifted 0.4 eV with respect to higher binding energies to match the experimental results. The energy shift could be due to the electron doping induced by defects in the naturally grown Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> crystal, which is quite usual [4]. We notice that the experimental DOSs generally have broader features in energy as compared to the theoretical DOSs---in spite of the high energy resolution---even though the center positions of these features have good agreements. In the experimental VB photoemission signal, two main features centered at  $\sim 1.5$  and  $\sim 4$  eV and a smaller feature centered at  $\sim$ 5.5 eV are observed. One can observe that the topological surface states are significantly suppressed due to the low cross section in HAXPES. In the experimental Se-resolved DOSs,



FIG. 3. (a) Experimental HAXPES valence band of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>,  $D_{Bi_2Se_3}^{expt}(E_B)$ , together with Se-resolved DOSs,  $D_{Se}^{expt}(E_B)$ , and Bi-resolved DOSs,  $D_{Bi}^{expt}(E_B)$ . Photoemission cross-section weighted total DOSs calculated from LDA and *GW* are shown in (b) and (c), respectively, for comparison.

there is one broad feature centered at  $\sim 1.7$  eV; its shape shows good agreement with the theories. Three features centered at  $\sim 1.2$ , 3.8, and 5.5 eVs are found in the experimental



FIG. 4. Theoretical atomic orbital contributions to the  $Bi_2Se_3$  valence band. The LDA results are color curves and *GW* results are color dots.

Bi-resolved DOSs. The center positions of these features agree with the theoretical Bi-resolved DOSs.

However, two disagreements are observed between the experimental and theoretical DOSs. First, the peak at  $\sim 1.2 \text{ eV}$  in the  $D_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{expt}}$  is underestimated in  $D_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{LDA}}$  and  $D_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{GW}}$ . Second, the relative integrated intensity of the experimental Bi-resolved DOSs is larger than the theoretical DOSs. The photoemission cross-section weighted DOS  $D(E_B)$  depends on the partial densities of states  $\rho(E_B)$  derived from DFT calculations and differential photoelectric cross sections  $d\sigma/d\Omega$ , as mentioned earlier. The discrepancies between the experimental and theoretical curves may be related to either or both of them. It is known that the LDA and GW results of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> show fairly good agreements with the ARPES results [42,43]. Additionally, a few prior studies reported that the cross sections for valence electrons of other solid-state materials are not known quite well [25-28,46,47]; therefore, the tabulated photoemission cross sections of the atomic orbital states may be the main cause of the discrepancies. The theoretical DOSs of Bi s, p states ( $\rho_{Bi6s,6p}$ ) and Se s, p ( $\rho_{Se4s,4p}$ ) states are shown in Fig. 4. Fitting these theoretical orbital states to the experimental element-resolved DOSs, one can obtain the corrected values of photoemission cross sections, solving the mentioned two disagreements. We find that the relative cross section needs to be multiplied by an additional factor of 2 for theoretical Se 4s and Bi 6s DOSs in order to improve agreements in terms of the shape of Se- and Bi-resolved DOSs. The second disagreement of underestimated intensity of theoretical Bi-resolved DOSs can be corrected using the integrated intensity ratio  $D_{\rm Se}^{\rm expt}/D_{\rm Bi}^{\rm expt} \sim 1.4$ . These types of corrections have previously been found in SW-HAXPES studies of various transition-metal oxide systems for accurate interpretations



FIG. 5. (a) Comparison of experimental Se-resolved DOS,  $D_{Se}^{expt}(E_B)$ , and corrected theoretical orbital contributions to  $D_{Se}^{c-LDA}(E_B)$  and  $D_{Se}^{c-GW}(E_B)$ . (b) Comparison of experimental Bi-resolved DOS,  $D_{Bi}^{expt}(E_B)$ , and corrected theoretical orbital contributions to  $D_{Bi}^{c-LDA}(E_B)$  and  $D_{Bi}^{c-GW}(E_B)$ . (b) Comparison of experimental Bi-resolved DOS,  $D_{Bi}^{expt}(E_B)$ , and corrected theoretical orbital contributions to  $D_{Bi}^{c-LDA}(E_B)$  and  $D_{Bi}^{c-GW}(E_B)$ . The corrected LDA and corrected GW are labeled as c-LDA and c-GW, respectively. In (a) and (b), the c-LDA results are color curves and c-GW results are color dots. (c) and (d) show comparisons of experimental VB  $D_{Bi_2Se_3}^{expt}(E_B)$  and c-LDA as well as c-GW results.

of orbital contributions to HAXPES VB yields [25,27,28]. Additionally, the relatively large values of the *s*- or *p*-level cross sections in the HAXPES VB spectra have widely been found in various systems [19–28,46,47] and the cause can be attributed to the deviation between solid state and atomic cross sections. Figure 5 compares the resulting corrected theoretical element-resolved and total DOSs with the experimental results. The corrected results show good agreements with the experimental ones.

The resulting corrected theoretical orbital contributions to  $D_{\text{Se}}^{\text{c-LDA}}$  (or  $D_{\text{Se}}^{\text{c-GW}}$ ) and  $D_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{c-LDA}}$  (or  $D_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{c-GW}}$ ) have been compiled in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively, along with  $D_{\text{Se}}^{\text{expt}}$  and  $D_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{expt}}$ (curve for LDA and dot for GW). The corrected LDA and corrected GW are labeled as c-LDA and c-GW, respectively. The Se 4p states dominate  $D_{Se}^{expt}$  and its main feature peaked at  $\sim 1.7$  eV agrees well with the experimental results. On the contrary, Se 4s contributions are negligible in  $D_{Se}^{expt}$ . In addition, the experimental  $D_{\text{Bi}}^{\text{expt}}$  consists of Bi 6s and 6p states, as shown in Fig. 5(b). The Bi 6p states contribute to the strong feature at the region of 2-6 eV, while Bi 6s states contribute to other features at region of  $\sim 0.5-1.5$  eV. Figures 5(c) and 5(d) shows a comparison of atomic orbital contributions from Bi 6s, Bi 6p, Se 4s, and Se 4p states in the  $Bi_2Se_3$  HAXPES VB by c-LDA and c-GW, respectively. The Se 4p and Bi 6p states are main components in the valence band and the intensity of Bi 6s states is relatively weak, but the states are located very close to the valence-band maximum (VBM) edge of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>. Although the Bi 6p states are dominant in  $D_{\rm Bi}^{\rm expt}$ , the contributions of the Bi 6s states are still significant, especially in a relatively narrow and pronounced feature (0.5-1.5 eV), which is located in the proximity of the VBM, Dirac cone, and Fermi level. As discussed in the beginning, despite a successful prediction of the existence of topological surface states and the insulating energy gap of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, these prior works assume that the *p* orbitals of Bi and Se atoms mainly contribute to the electronic behavior near the Fermi surface and neglect the contributions of the *s* orbitals [3–8]. Our experimental SW-HAXPES results clearly show that the contributions of Bi 6*s* states have been underestimated so far regardless of calculation methods. Since both DFT results (LDA and *GW*) show no significant difference in  $\rho_{Bi6s}$ , one can conclude that the underestimation of Bi 6*s* states might mainly originate from the deviation between solid state and atomic cross sections, as discussed earlier.

To have a quantitative estimate of the composition of these states near the VBM edge, one can integrate their DOS intensities along binding energies ( $0 \sim 1.2 \text{ eV}$ ) and then normalize them by the sum of total integrated intensities. The small amount of theoretical DOSs intensity at binding energies between 0 and 0.3 eV for all the states is not taken into consideration, because these theoretical DOSs stem from the conduction-band minimum edge. Using the c-LDA results as an example, in the Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> VBM edge, it consists of 64.9% Se 4p, 22.9% Bi 6s, 10.6% Bi 6p, and 1.6% Se 4s states. Interestingly, the composition of Bi 6s states is even higher than that of Bi 6p states near the VBM. The electronic states in the region between 1 eV and the Fermi level can be attributed to the bulk and surface band structure [37], surface topological states [4,48], and the quantity of orbital-selective spin texture [13]. Therefore, our findings suggest that it is important to consider the contributions of s-orbital states in the electronic properties for the future studies. These findings should help the future theoretical calculations of  $Bi_2Se_3$  band structures and the interpretation of the photoelectron valence band.

## **III. CONCLUSION**

In summary, we have used SW-HAXPES to study the bulk band structure of a 3D TI, Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>. By SW-HAXPES, we observed the Se  $2p_{3/2}$  and Bi 4d photoemission yields are out of phase, allowing us to extract individual Bi and Se photoemission cross-section weighted DOSs from a photoelectron Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> valence-band yield. Upon the comparison between experimental and DFT results (LDA and GW), we find that Se 4p, Bi 6s, and Bi 6p states are dominant in the Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> VBM edge after accurately determining solid-state cross sections. A pronounced feature at the region of 0.5–1.5 eV, which is in the proximity of the VBM and Fermi level, is attributed to the contributions of Bi 6s states. These findings are beneficial to the future studies of emergent properties of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> both experimentally and theoretically. As demonstrated, Braggreflection SW photoemission is thus promising for studying Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> and the class of similar 3D TIs, such as Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> and Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Simon Moser for sharing his experience concerning  $Bi_2Se_3$  sample preparation. We thank synchrotron SOLEIL (via Proposals No. 20171604 and No. 99180118)

- M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Colloquium: Topological insulators, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 3045 (2010).
- [2] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Topological insulators and superconductors, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1057 (2011).
- [3] H. Zhang, C.-X. Liu, X.-L. Qi, X. Dai, Z. Fang, and S.-C. Zhang, Topological insulators in  $Bi_2Se_3$ ,  $Bi_2Te_3$ , and  $Sb_2Te_3$  with a single Dirac cone on the surface, Nat. Phys. **5**, 438 (2009).
- [4] Y. Xia, D. Qian, D. Hsieh, L. Wray, A. Pal, H. Lin, A. Bansil, D. Grauer, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, Observation of a large-gap topological insulator class with a single Dirac cone on the surface, Nat. Phys. 5, 398 (2009).
- [5] W. Zhang, R. Yu, H.-J. Zhang, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, Firstprinciples studies of the three-dimensional strong topological insulators Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, and Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>, N. J. Phys. **12**, 065013 (2010).
- [6] Y. Sharma, P. Srivastava, A. Dashora, L. Vadkhiya, M. K. Bhayani, R. Jain, A. R. Jani, and B. L. Ahuja, Electronic structure, optical properties and Compton profiles of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, Solid State Sci. 14, 241 (2012).
- [7] G. Tse and D. Yu, The first principle study: Electronic and optical properties in Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, Comput. Condens. Matter 4, 59 (2015).
- [8] A. Lawal, A. Shaari, R. Ahmed, and N. Jarkoni, First-principles many-body comparative study of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> crystal: A promising candidate for broadband photodetector, Phys. Lett. A 381, 2993 (2017).
- [9] H. Soifer, A. Gauthier, A. F. Kemper, C. R. Rotundu, S.-L. Yang, H. Xiong, D. Lu, M. Hashimoto, P. S. Kirchmann, J. A. Sobota, and Z.-X. Shen, Band-Resolved Imaging of Photocur-

for access to Beamline GALAXIES that contributed to the results presented here. This work was supported by DOE Contract No. DE-SC0014697 through the University of California Davis (salary for C.-T.K, S.-C.L., and C.S.F.). C.S.F. has also been supported for salary by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences (BSE), Materials Sciences and Engineering (MSE) Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, through the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, through a DOE BES MSE grant at the University of California Davis from the X-ray Scattering Program under Contract No. DE-SC0014697, through the APTCOM Project, "Laboratoire d'Excellence Physics Atom Light Matter" (LabEx PALM) overseen by the French National Research Agency (ANR) as part of the "Investissements d'Avenir" program, and from the Jülich Research Center, Peter Grünberg Institute, PGI-6. Use of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. I.L.G. wishes to thank Brazilian scientific agencies CNPQ (Project No. 200789/2017-1) and CAPES (CAPES-PrInt-UFPR) for their financial support. We gratefully acknowledge the computing time granted through JARA-HPC on the supercomputer JURECA at Forschungszentrum Jülich.

rent in a Topological Insulator, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 167401 (2019).

- [10] J. A. Sobota, Y. He, and Z.-X. Shen, Angle-resolved photoemission studies of quantum materials, Rev. Mod. Phys. 93, 025006 (2021).
- [11] P. Hofmann and P. D. C. King, Editorial: ARPES studies of topological materials, Electron. Struct. 3, 020201 (2021).
- [12] M. Bianchi, D. Guan, S. Bao, J. Mi, B. B. Iversen, P. D. C. King, and P. Hofmann, Coexistence of the topological state and a two-dimensional electron gas on the surface of Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, Nat. Commun. 1, 128 (2010).
- [13] Z. Xie, S. He, C. Chen, Y. Feng, H. Yi, A. Liang, L. Zhao, D. Mou, J. He, Y. Peng, X. Liu, Y. Liu, G. Liu, X. Dong, L. Yu, J. Zhang, S. Zhang, Z. Wang, F. Zhang, F. Yang, Q. Peng, X. Wang, C. Chen, Z. Xu, and X. J. Zhou, Orbital-selective spin texture and its manipulation in a topological insulator, Nat. Commun. 5, 3382 (2014).
- [14] R. C. Vidal, H. Bentmann, J. I. Facio, T. Heider, P. Kagerer, C. I. Fornari, T. R. F. Peixoto, T. Figgemeier, S. Jung, C. Cacho, B. Büchner, J. van den Brink, C. M. Schneider, L. Plucinski, E. F. Schwier, K. Shimada, M. Richter, A. Isaeva, and F. Reinert, Orbital Complexity in Intrinsic Magnetic Topological Insulators MnBi<sub>4</sub>Te<sub>7</sub> and MnBi<sub>6</sub>Te<sub>10</sub>, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 176403 (2021).
- [15] T. Kato, K. Sugawara, N. Ito, K. Yamauchi, T. Sato, T. Oguchi, T. Takahashi, Y. Shiomi, E. Saitoh, and T. Sato, Modulation of Dirac electrons in epitaxial Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> ultrathin films on van der Waals ferromagnet Cr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>6</sub>, Phys. Rev. Mater. **4**, 084202 (2020).

- [16] L. Plucinski, A. Herdt, S. Fahrendorf, G. Bihlmayer, G. Mussler, S. Döring, J. Kampmeier, F. Matthes, D. E. Bürgler, D. Grützmacher, S. Blügel, and C. M. Schneider, Electronic structure, surface morphology, and topologically protected surface states of Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> thin films grown on Si(111), J. Appl. Phys. **113**, 053706 (2013).
- [17] M. Eschbach, E. Mlynczak, J. Kellner, J. Kampmeier, M. Lanius, E. Neumann, C. Weyrich, M. Gehlmann, P. Gospodaric, S. Döring, G. Mussler, N. Demarina, M. Luysberg, G. Bihlmayer, T. Schäpers, L. Plucinski, S. Blügel, M. Morgenstern, C. M. Schneider, and D. Grützmacher, Realization of a vertical topological p-n junction in epitaxial Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> heterostructures, Nat. Commun. **6**, 8816 (2015).
- [18] M. Eschbach, M. Lanius, C. Niu, E. Mlynczak, P. Gospodaric, J. Kellner, P. Schüffelgen, M. Gehlmann, S. Döring, E. Neumann, M. Luysberg, G. Mussler, L. Plucinski, M. Morgenstern, D. Grützmacher, G. Bihlmayer, S. Blügel, and C. M. Schneider, Bi<sub>1</sub>Te<sub>1</sub> is a dual topological insulator, Nat. Commun. 8, 14976 (2017).
- [19] K. Kobayashi, High-resolution hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy: Application of valence band and core-level spectroscopy to materials science, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 547, 98 (2005).
- [20] A. X. Gray, C. Papp, S. Ueda, B. Blake, Y. Yamashita, L. Plucinski, J. Minar, J. Braun, E. R. Ylvisaker, C. M. Schneider, W. E. Pickett, H. Ebert, K. Kobayashi, and C. S. Fadley, Probing bulk electronic structure with hard X-ray angle-resolved photoemission, Nat. Mater. 10, 759 (2011).
- [21] C. S. Fadley, Hard X-ray photoemission with angular resolution and standing-wave excitation, J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 190, 165 (2013).
- [22] J. Braun, J. Minár, S. Mankovsky, V. N. Strocov, N. B. Brookes, L. Plucinski, C. M. Schneider, C. S. Fadley, and H. Ebert, Exploring the XPS limit in soft and hard x-ray angle-resolved photoemission using a temperature-dependent one-step theory, Phys. Rev. B 88, 205409 (2013).
- [23] J. C. Woicik, E. J. Nelson, T. Kendelewicz, P. Pianetta, M. Jain, L. Kronik, and J. R. Chelikowsky, Partial density of occupied valence states by x-ray standing waves and high-resolution photoelectron spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B 63, 041403(R) (2001).
- [24] J. C. Woicik, E. J. Nelson, D. Heskett, J. Warner, L. E. Berman, B. A. Karlin, I. A. Vartanyants, M. Z. Hasan, T. Kendelewicz, Z. X. Shen, and P. Pianetta, X-ray standing wave investigations of valence electronic structures, Phys Rev. B 64, 125115 (2001).
- [25] J. C. Woicik, E. J. Nelson, L. Kronik, M. Jain, J. R. Chelikowsky, D. Heskett, L. E. Berman, and G. S. Herman, Hybridization and Bond-Orbital Components in Site-Specific X-ray Photoelectron Spectra of Rutile TiO<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 077401 (2002).
- [26] J. C. Woicik, Site-specific X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy using X-ray standing waves, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 547, 227 (2005).
- [27] J. C. Woicik, M. Yekutiel, E. J. Nelson, N. Jacobson, P. Pfalzer, M. Klemm, S. Horn, and L. Kronik, Chemical bonding and many-body effects in site-specific x-ray photoelectron spectra of corundum V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 76, 165101 (2007).
- [28] S. Thiess, T.-L. Lee, F. Bottin, and J. Zegenhagen, Valence band photoelectron emission of SrTiO<sub>3</sub> analyzed with X-ray standing waves, Solid State Commun 150, 553 (2010).

- [29] S. Thiess, T.-L. Lee, C. Aruta, C. T. Lin, F. Venturini, N. B. Brookes, B. C. C. Cowie, and J. Zegenhagen, Resolving CuO chain and CuO<sub>2</sub> plane contributions to the YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-δ</sub> valence band by standing-wave excited hard x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 075117 (2015).
- [30] S. Nemšák, M. Gehlmann, C.-T. Kuo, S.-C. Lin, C. Schlueter, E. Mlynczak, T.-L. Lee, L. Plucinski, H. Ebert, I. Di Marco, J. Minár, C. M. Schneider, and C. S. Fadley, Element- and momentum-resolved electronic structure of the dilute magnetic semiconductor manganese doped gallium arsenide, Nat. Commun. 9, 3306 (2018).
- [31] C.-T. Kuo, S.-C. Lin, G. Conti, S.-T. Pi, L. Moreschini, A. Bostwick, J. Meyer-Ilse, E. Gullikson, J. B. Kortright, S. Nemšák, J. E. Rault, P. Le Fèvre, F. Bertran, A. F. Santander-Syro, I. A. Vartanyants, W. E. Pickett, R. Saint-Martin, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, and C. S. Fadley, Atomic-layerresolved composition and electronic structure of the cuprate  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$  from soft x-ray standing-wave photoemission, Phys. Rev. B **98**, 155133 (2018).
- [32] R. W. G. Wyckoff, Crystal Structures (Krieger, Malabar, 1986).
- [33] B. W. Batterman, Dynamical diffraction of X rays by perfect crystals, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36, 681 (1965).
- [34] I. A. Vartanyants and M. V. Kovalchuk, Theory and applications of x-ray standing waves in real crystals, Rep. Prog. Phys. 64, 1009 (2001).
- [35] D. Céolin, J. M. Ablett, D. Prieur, T. Moreno, J.-P. Rueff, T. Marchenko, L. Journel, R. Guillemin, B. Pilette, T. Marin, and M. Simon, Hard X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy on the GALAXIES beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron, J. Electron. Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 190, 188 (2013).
- [36] J.-P. Rueff, J. E. Rault, J. M. Ablett, Y. Utsumi, and D. Céolin, HAXPES for materials science at the GALAXIES beamline, Synchrotron Radiat. News 31, 4 (2018).
- [37] D. Biswas, S. Thakur, G. Balakrishnan, and K. Maiti, Exceptional surface and bulk electronic structures in a topological insulator, Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, Sci. Rep. 5, 17351 (2015).
- [38] Photoelectron Spectroscopy: Principles and Applications, 2nd ed., edited by S. Hüfner (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996).
- [39] For a program description see https://www.flapw.de.
- [40] C. Friedrich, S. Blügel, and A. Schindlmayr, Efficient implementation of the *GW* approximation within the all-electron FLAPW method, Phys. Rev. B 81, 125102 (2010).
- [41] S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk, and N. Nusair, Accurate spin-dependent electron liquid correlation energies for local spin density calculations: A critical analysis, Can. J. Phys. 58, 1200 (1980).
- [42] I. Aguilera, C. Friedrich, G. Bihlmayer, and S. Blügel, *GW* study of topological insulators Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub>, Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>, and Sb<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>: Beyond the perturbative one-shot approach, Phys. Rev. B 88, 045206 (2013).
- [43] I. A. Nechaev, R. C. Hatch, M. Bianchi, D. Guan, C. Friedrich, I. Aguilera, J. L. Mi, B. B. Iversen, S. Blügel, Ph. Hofmann, and E. V. Chulkov, Evidence for a direct band gap in the topological insulator Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> from theory and experiment, Phys. Rev. B 87, 121111(R) (2013).
- [44] M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, V. I. Nefedov, and V. G. Yarzhemsky, Photoelectron angular distribution parameters for elements Z =1 to Z = 54 in the photoelectron energy range 100–5000 eV, Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables **77**, 97 (2001).
- [45] M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, V. I. Nefedov, and V. G. Yarzhemsky, Photoelectron angular distribution parameters for elements

Z = 55 to Z = 100 in the photoelectron energy range 100–5000 eV, Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables **82**, 257 (2002).

- [46] C. Kunza, S. Thiess, B. Cowie, T.-L. Lee, and J. Zegenhagen, Outer sub-shell photoabsorption cross-sections determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy up to 14.5 keV, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A 547, 73 (2005).
- [47] G. Panaccione, G. Cautero, M. Cautero, A. Fondacaro, M. Grioni, P. Lacovig, G. Monaco, F. Offi, G. Paolicelli, M. Sacchi,

N. Stojic, G. Stefani, R. Tommasini, and P. Torelli, High-energy photoemission in silver: Resolving d and sp contributions in valence band spectra, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **17**, 2671 (2005).

[48] Y. Cao, J. A. Waugh, X-W. Zhang, J-W. Luo, Q. Wang, T. J. Reber, S. K. Mo, Z. Xu, A. Yang, J. Schneeloch, G. D. Gu, M. Brahlek, N. Bansal, S. Oh, A. Zunger, and D. S. Dessau, Mapping the orbital wavefunction of the surface states in threedimensional topological insulators, Nat. Phys. 9, 499 (2013).