Topological line in frustrated toric code models

M. H. Zar[e](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2578-9370)i $\mathbf{D}^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{D}^{1,*}$ $\mathbf{D}^{1,*}$ and J. Abouie $\mathbf{D}^{2,*}$

¹*Department of Physics, School of Science, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran* ²*Department of Physics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Zanjan 45137-66731, Iran*

n. (Received 13 January 2021; revised 7 September 2021; accepted 13 September 2021; published 21 September 2021)

Typical topological systems undergo a topological phase transition in the presence of a strong enough perturbation. We propose an adjustable frustrated toric code with a "topological line" at which no phase transition happens in the system and the topological order is robust against a nonlinear perturbation of arbitrary strength. This important result is a consequence of the interplay between frustration and nonlinearity in our system, which also causes the emergence of other interesting phenomena such as reentrant topological phases and survival of the topological order under local projection operations. Our study opens a window towards more robust topological quantum codes which are the cornerstones of large-scale quantum computing.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.104.115141](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.115141)

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological phases are states of matter that are robust against local perturbations $[1–7]$. Designing topological systems is of crucial importance in a wide range of practical applications, from quantum computing [\[8–](#page-4-0)[13\]](#page-5-0) and topological spintronics $[14,15]$ to soft matter and mechanical systems [\[16,17\]](#page-5-0), and searching for systems with rich topological properties is one of the growing interests in both theoretical and experimental physics [\[18–23\]](#page-5-0). Toric codes (TCs) are a kind of topological quantum model, characterizing by their robust topological degeneracy. They were first introduced for topo-logical quantum memory [\[24,25\]](#page-5-0), and have gained significant importance in recent years in the context of large-scale quantum computing [\[26–29\]](#page-5-0). Much attention has been devoted to the investigation of the effects of different types of perturbations, including external magnetic fields [\[30–36\]](#page-5-0), Ising interactions [\[37,38\]](#page-5-0), and local tensor perturbations [\[39\]](#page-5-0), on the topological properties of TCs on different lattices.

One of the important challenges is to find different properties that lead to more robustness of the topological orders. Recently, the interplay of topology and frustration has been studied and demonstrated that geometrical frustration leads to the further robustness of the TC state [\[40,41\]](#page-5-0). Furthermore, it has also been shown that the perturbations that couple nonlinearly to a topological model can lead to further robustness [\[42\]](#page-5-0). Nevertheless, it is believed that regardless of the kind of local perturbation, topological order will eventually be destroyed at a point where a topological-trivial phase transition occurs in the system. Hence, it raises the question of whether it is possible to construct an adjustable system with an everlasting topological order.

In this paper, we examine the combined effects of frustration and nonlinearity by proposing frustrated quantum models

composed of a TC coupled nonlinearly to an external perturbation, and show that their ground states on various lattices possess a topological phase with interesting properties, not seen in other topological systems so far. We demonstrate that there is a topological line (TL) at which (i) by tuning the physical parameters of the system it remains in the topological phase in the presence of perturbation of any strengths, and (ii) the topological order of the ground state survives under local projection operations. In order to identify this TL and to figure out the physical mechanism behind such a nontrivial phenomenon, we define a topological string parameter and show that the TL is a line separating two topological regions with different behaviors of the string parameter. Our frustrated TC (FTC) models also have another interesting feature, in which a reentrant topological phase transition occurs in the ground-state phase diagram of the system while the perturbation parameter increases. This phenomenon signifies the reversibility of the topological order to the system in the presence of strong perturbations.

This paper is structured as follows: In Sec. II , we introduce our FTC model and find its phase diagram by using a mapping to the frustrated Ising model. Then, in Sec. [III,](#page-2-0) we analyze the phase diagram to find that there is a reentrant topological phase transition. More importantly, in Sec. [IV,](#page-2-0) we introduce the topological line which is characterized by a topological string parameter and, accordingly, we explain how the system is robust against the perturbation along the topological line. Finally, in Sec. [V,](#page-3-0) we show that the system is also robust against local projections at the topological line.

II. TORIC CODE IN PRESENCE OF A NONLINEAR PERTURBATION

A TC model is described by the Hamiltonian

 $H_{\text{TC}} = -\sum$

 $B_p - \sum$

 $A_v,$ (1)

^{*}mzarei92@shirazu.ac.ir

[†]jahan@iasbs.ac.ir

FIG. 1. An illustration of the TC on the UJ lattice (black solid lines). The red and yellow qubits correspond to the vertex and plaquette operators, respectively. The dual square-octagonal lattice is displayed by the gray dashed lines, where each vertex of the TC can be represented by a simple loop in the dual lattice. The red dashed lines show a loop configuration on the dual lattice. The qubits belonging to these loops are in the state $|1\rangle$, an eigenstate of Z with eigenvalue −1.

where $B_p = \prod_{i \in \partial p} Z_i$ and $A_v = \prod_{i \in v} X_i$ are, respectively, the plaquette and vertex operators, with *Z* and *X* being the Pauli operators; $i \in \partial p$ refers to the qubits around the plaquette p, and $i \in v$ refers to the qubits incoming to the vertex *v* (see the yellow and red dots in Fig. 1). This Hamiltonian is exactly solvable and its ground state is given by $|\psi\rangle = \prod_{v} (I +$ $(A_v)|0\rangle^{\otimes N}$, where $|0\rangle$ is an eigenstate of *Z* with eigenvalue 1, *I* refers to the identity operator, and *N* is the number of qubits. Since each vertex operator can also be represented by a loop operator in a dual lattice [in Fig. 1, we illustrate the dual of a Union-Jack (UJ) lattice by the gray dashed lines], the ground state $|\psi\rangle$ is also a loop-condensed state (each loop is made of $|1\rangle$ states, and a loop-condensed state is a superposition of all loop configurations in a sea of $|0\rangle$ states). It is important to note that with the periodic boundary condition, the initial lattice is attached to a torus with nontrivial loops which lead to different degenerate ground states. Since these degenerate states correspond to different topological classes, they are robust against local perturbations.

Now, let us introduce a perturbation to the system via the Hamiltonian

$$
H_e = \sum_{v} e^{-\beta \sum_{i \in v} J_i Z_i}, \tag{2}
$$

where β and J_i are parameters controlling the order of the system. Using a Taylor expansion, one can see that *Ji* can be served as the magnetic moment of the qubit *i*, adjustable within the system, and β refers to an inevitable perturbation, arising from an effective field coupled to the moments. At small values of β , the above perturbation reduces to a Zeeman term where the field is coupled linearly to the spins; however, for larger values of β , nonlinear effects arising from the local multispin interactions around each vertex (spins that are the nearest neighbor of the vertices) become important in the topological characteristics of the system, especially in the robustness of the topological order [\[43\]](#page-5-0). In practice, the perturbation β is unavoidable and the topological order of the system is finally lost by strong perturbations; however, our FTC system has an additional ability that by adjusting the moments of the qubits (J_i) , one can achieve a topological order that is robust against perturbation of any strengths. The systems with adjustable magnetic moments and multibody interactions can be realized in experiment with current technologies by cold atoms (to find how multibody interactions can be implemented, see the protocol recently proposed in Ref. $[44]$ and references therein). Here, we set J_i to J_1 for all qubits on the vertical and horizontal edges and J_2 for all on the diagonal edges.

The frustrated Hamiltonian, $H_{\text{FTC}} = H_{\text{TC}} + H_e$, is indeed a type of stochastic matrix form Hamiltonians [\[45\]](#page-5-0), and its ground state can be exactly found [\[42,46,47\]](#page-5-0) as

$$
|G(\beta, \{J_i\})\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{Z}(\beta)}} e^{\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_i J_i Z_i} |\psi\rangle, \tag{3}
$$

where $|\psi\rangle$ is the ground state of the Hamiltonian H_{TC} . By applying the operator $e^{\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_i J_i Z_i}$ on the state $|\psi\rangle$, we will have a superposition of loop configurations with amplitudes $e^{\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_i J_i \sigma_i}$, where $\sigma_i = -1(+1)$ for links with qubits in the state $|1\rangle$ $(|0\rangle)$. The normalization factor in Eq. (3) is thus obtained as $Z(\beta) = \sum_{l} e^{\beta \sum_{i} J_i \sigma_i}$, where the summation runs over all loop configurations. This function is simply the partition function of a classical Ising model on the UJ lattice, where the parameter β plays the role of the inverse of the thermal energy $k_B T$, J_i is the local exchange interaction between the two nearestneighbor Ising spins S_i and S_{i+1} located at the UJ lattice points *i* and $i + 1$, and $\sigma_i = S_i S_{i+1}$ is equal to $+1$ (−1) when the nearest-neighbor spins are parallel (antiparallel) [\[48\]](#page-5-0). In the low-temperature expansion of this partition function, we can see that each spin configuration is also represented by a loop configuration in the dual square-octagonal lattice.

It is intuitive to compare the ground state of the TC on a square lattice in the presence of a uniform magnetic field with the ground state of our FTC model. In both cases, they are a superposition of loop configurations, but the effects of the perturbations are different. In the former case, the perturbation causes the generation of open strings [\[30,40\]](#page-5-0), while in ours, owing to nonlinearities arising from the local multibody interactions, the perturbation only changes the amplitudes of the loop configurations. For example, in the case of $J_1 = J_2$ +1, the amplitudes in Eq. (3) are in the form of ∼*e*[−]β*^l* , where *l* is the total perimeter of all loops. This term shows that the perturbation acts as a *tension*, and an increase of β decreases the amplitude of large loops. In particular, in the limit of $\beta \to \infty$, the amplitude of all loop configurations goes to zero and the final state will be the product state $|00...0\rangle$. Since the initial state at $\beta = 0$ is the topological loop-condensed state $|\psi\rangle$, it is concluded that a topological-trivial phase transition must occur by the increase of β from zero to ∞ (more details for the ground-state phase diagram of the TC on a simple unfrustrated square lattice have been addressed in [\[42,46\]](#page-5-0)). On the other hand, the situation is different if $J_1 = J_2 = -1$. In this case, the amplitudes in Eq. (3) are simplified as $\sim e^{\beta l}$. In contrast to the previous case, here the perturbation $e^{\beta l}$

plays the role of a *pressure*, and an increase of β leads to the generation of loops with larger total perimeter. In the limit of $\beta \to \infty$, the loop configuration with maximum total perimeter is dominant and the ground state is the product state $|11 \dots 1\rangle$. Finally, in the case of $J_1 \geq 0$ and $J_2 \leq 0$, both the string tension and pressure are present in the system. In this case, the interplay of the string tension and pressure causes the system to be frustrated. The simultaneous presence of the frustration and nonlinearities arising from the local multibody interactions favors topological robustness and leads to the interesting phenomena discussed in the following sections.

III. REENTRANT TOPOLOGICAL PHASE

In order to obtain the topological phase transition points in our FTC model, we investigate the behavior of the groundstate fidelity, $F = \langle G(\beta, \{J_1, J_2\}) | G(\beta + d\beta, \{J_1, J_2\}) \rangle$. Employing a Taylor expansion, the ground-state fidelity [\[49\]](#page-5-0) is readily obtained in terms of the specific heat (C_V) of the Ising model as

$$
F \simeq 1 - \frac{C_V}{8\beta^2} d\beta^2,\tag{4}
$$

where we have used the equality $C_V = \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \beta^2}$. The above relation indicates that corresponding to a phase transition temperature in the classical model where the specific heat shows a singularity, there must be a topological phase transition point where the ground-state fidelity becomes singular.

Fortunately, the phase diagram of the Ising model on different lattices such as the UJ and the triangular lattices is exactly known [\[48,53\]](#page-5-0). Here, as an interlude, we explain the classical phase diagram of the Ising model on the UJ lattice with $J_1 > 0$ and J_2 couplings. This model possesses two different phases with ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic long-range orders at low temperatures and a paramagnetic disorder phase at high temperatures. For large positive (negative) values of J_2/J_1 , strong ferromagnetic (antiferromagnetic) couplings cause the system to be in the ferromagnetic (antiferromagnetic) phase at low temperatures. However, at intermediate strengths of $J_2/J_1 < 0$, the system behaves exotically; it is in the paramagnetic phase at high temperatures and goes to the antiferromagnetic phase by decreasing temperature. By further decreasing the temperature, we expect the staggered magnetization to increase and the true long-range Neel order to form in the classical phase diagram, but this will not happen and, amazingly, a phase transition occurs to the paramagnetic phase. This phenomena, which is a consequence of frustration, is called the reentrant phase transition.

Now we come back to our frustrated TC model on the UJ lattice, where β is the perturbation parameter. Since β^{-1} plays the role of temperature in the classical Ising model, the paramagnetic phase corresponds to a topological order at small values of β, and the ordered ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic phases at low temperatures correspond to a topologically trivial phase at large values of $β$. In Fig. 2, we have presented the ground-state phase diagram of our FTC model on the UJ lattice. Let us focus on the interesting region of $J_2^i < J_2 < J_2^f$. At large values of β^{-1} (or small strengths of perturbation), the ground state possesses a topological order; however, this order cannot persist in the presence of stronger perturbations

FIG. 2. The ground-state phase diagram of our FTC on the UJ lattice. The vertical and horizontal axes are, respectively, β^{-1} and J_2 (we set $J_1 = 1$). The green and the pink regions are, respectively, the topological and the trivial phases which are separated by the phase boundaries with equations: $\cosh(4\beta J_1) = e^{-4\beta J_2} \pm 2e^{-2\beta J_2}$, where the signs $+$ and $-$ are, respectively, for the blue curves at the right and the left sides of the red dashed line. The dashed red line is the TL. This line divides the green region into two parts where the topological string parameter has oscillatory and nonoscillatory decay with respect to the string length. In the interval $J_2^i < J_2 < J_2^f$, a reentrant topological phase appears at small values of β^{-1} (the green region between β_1^{-1} and β_2^{-1}). For the case of $J_1 = 1$, the parameters J_2^i and J_2^f are approximately equal to −1 and −0.9.

(or smaller β^{-1}) and disappears eventually at a transition point (β_3^{-1}) where the system enters into a trivial phase. Now, we expect the system to be locked in the trivial phase, and perturbations wash out the topological order completely; however, we see that, amazingly, a "reentrant topological phase transition" occurs in the system and the topological order revives at the second phase transition point (β_2^{-1}) . We have also examined our FTC model on other geometrically frustrated lattices, such as kagome and triangular lattices (the results are not shown here), and observed the above-mentioned phenomenon in these systems also. Actually, the emergence of a reentrant topological phase is a dramatic impact of frustration and nonlinearities arising from the multibody interactions introduced by the Hamiltonian in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) to the system.

IV. TOPOLOGICAL LINE

As we discussed, there is a topological order in the groundstate phase diagram of our FTC model which is robust against small perturbations, β , but disappears in the presence of strong perturbations when a topological-trivial phase transition occurs in the system. However, surprisingly, we see that exactly at a line in the topological phase, the system remains in the topological phase in the presence of any strength of the parameter β . We call this line the "topological line" (TL) because the topological order survives at this line regardless of the strength of β (see the dashed line in Fig. 2). In order to obtain the equation of this TL in the ground-state phase diagram, we utilize the TC-Ising mapping explained in the previous section. In the language of the classical Ising model on the UJ lattice, the TL is a disorder line in the paramagnetic

FIG. 3. A UJ lattice with Ising spins (white dots). The solid lines are strings that connect the two spins S_i and S_j located at the lattice points *i* and *j*. The red and white dots are, respectively, spin +1 and −1. The blue (green) string crosses a loop for even (odd) times.

phase which separates two regions with different two-point correlation functions. In the region next to the ferromagnetic phase, the two-point correlations show nonoscillatory decay by increasing the separation distance of the Ising spins, while the decay is oscillatory in the region next to the antiferromagnetic phase. The two-point correlation functions in the Ising model are equal to the signed summations of the Boltzmann weights, where the sign behind each Boltzmann weight is determined by the sign of S_iS_j (S_i is an Ising spin located at UJ lattice point *i*). Since each spin configuration on the UJ lattice is represented by a loop configuration on its dual lattice, we can express the two-point correlation functions as signed summations of the Boltzmann weights corresponding to different loop configurations. In order to determine the sign of the mentioned Boltzmann weights, we pull a string γ between the two spins S_i and S_j on the UJ lattice (see Fig. 3). For spin configurations with parallel (antiparallel) S_i and S_j , the corresponding loop configurations on the dual lattice will cross the string γ for even (odd) times (see Fig. 3), and the sign of the Boltzmann weight becomes $+1$ (-1). Now, we define a string operator as $S_\gamma = \prod_{i \in \gamma} Z_i$, where $i \in \gamma$ refers to all qubits belonging to the string γ [\[50\]](#page-5-0). The expectation value of this operator in the ground state of our FTC model is equal to the two-point correlation function $\langle S_i S_j \rangle$ in the Ising model, i.e., $\langle S_i S_j \rangle = \langle G(\beta) | S_{\gamma} | G(\beta) \rangle$. By using this relation, we can obtain the equation of the TL as

$$
\cosh(4\beta J_1) = \exp(-4\beta J_2). \tag{5}
$$

This TL divides the topological phase into two parts, as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) In these regions, the topological string parameter has oscillatory and nonoscillatory decay by increasing the length of the string, respectively. These different behaviors can be well interpreted by comparing the strengths of the string tension and pressure in the FTC model. Actually, in the topological phase at the left of the TL, the pressure causes several small loops to be generated in the system, and hence loop configurations with larger total perimeters play the dominant role in determining the ground state of the system. It should be noted that loop configurations with large perimeters are those which are generated from several small loops. Accordingly, a typical string γ with the length of *r* successively crosses the small loops and, consequently, the sign of the string parameter

FIG. 4. Schematic illustration of our FTC model on a triangular lattice with J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 tuning parameters. The plaquettes p and q share the qubit 3. At the TL, projecting out the red qubits, denoted by 1, 2, and 3, by the state $\left\langle +\right|$ leads to the same frustrated toric code model on a reduced triangular lattice where the plaquette *p* is removed.

 $\langle S_{\gamma}(r) \rangle$ oscillates by increasing *r*. On the other hand, in the topological phase at the right of the TL, the string tension plays the dominant role and the loop configurations with very few small loops are crucial in determining the ground state. In this region, unlike the topological phase at the left of the TL, the sign of the string parameter does not change by r (see the inset plots in Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). Finally, at the TL, the reciprocal effects of tension and pressure are balanced, resulting in the formation of closed loops with different sizes. The stability of the various loops leads to robustness of the topological order at the TL.

V. TOPOLOGICAL LINE AND ROBUSTNESS AGAINST LOCAL PROJECTIONS

The TL also has another characteristic at which local projection operations are not able to destroy the topological order of the ground state. To explain this phenomenon, we start with an important property of the TC state, $|G(\beta = 0)\rangle = |\psi\rangle$, in which, if we apply a projection operator such as $|+\rangle\langle+|$, with $|+\rangle$ being an eigenstate of the Pauli operator *X*, on a single qubit of the state $|\psi\rangle$, it removes the corresponding edge from the lattice and the quantum state of the rest will again be a TC state [\[51,52\]](#page-5-0). Now, we consider our FTC model on a triangular lattice with three tuning parameter J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 , corresponding to three qubits of each triangle; see Fig. 4. If we project out the three spins of a triangle, the quantum state of the rest is given by

$$
|\tilde{G}(\beta)\rangle = \langle +_1 +_2 +_3 |G(\beta)\rangle,\tag{6}
$$

where $|G(\beta)\rangle$ is the ground state of the FTC on a triangular lattice. By applying the mentioned projection operators sequentially on the ground state, different excitations will be created in the system. They move in the lattice and destroy the topological order of the ground state. In general, the reduced ground state $|\tilde{G}(\beta)\rangle$ is not the same as the initial state $|G(\beta)\rangle$. However, at the TL, they are exactly identical. In order to prove this important property of the TL, let us project out the three spins of a triangle, for example, the triangle denoted by *p* shown in Fig. [4.](#page-3-0) The ground state of our FTC is written as $|G(\beta)\rangle = \frac{1}{z}e^{\sum_{i=1}^{K_i}Z_i}|\psi\rangle$, where $K_i = \beta J_i$ and $|\psi\rangle$ is the pure TC state on the triangular lattice which can be written in the form of $\prod_{\Delta} (1 + B_{\Delta}) | + \rangle^N$, where Δ refers to triangular plaquettes, *N* is the number of edges, and $B_{\Delta} = \prod_{i \in \Delta} Z_i$ is the plaquette operator. Substituting $|G(\beta)\rangle$ in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-3-0), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned} |\tilde{G}(\beta)\rangle &= e^{\sum i \neq 1,2,3\frac{K_i}{2}Z_i} \prod_{\Delta \neq p,q} (1 + B_{\Delta}) + \rangle^{\otimes (N-3)} \\ &\times \langle +_1 +_2 +_3 | e^{\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{K_i Z_i}{2}} (1 + B_p)(1 + B_q) | +_1 +_2 +_3 \rangle, \end{aligned}
$$

where $B_p = Z_1 Z_2 Z_3$ and $B_q = Z_3 Z_4 Z_5$. Here, p and q are the label of triangles which share the qubit 3 (see Fig. [4\)](#page-3-0). By doing straightforward calculations, we achieve the ground state of the FTC as

$$
|\tilde{G}(\beta)\rangle = e^{\sum i \neq 1,2,3\frac{K_i}{2}Z_i} \prod_{\Delta \neq p,q} (1 + B_{\Delta}) |+\rangle^{\otimes (N-3)}
$$

×[*A*(*K*₁, *K*₂, *K*₃) + *B*(*K*₁, *K*₂, *K*₃)*Z*₁*Z*₂], (7)

with

$$
A(K_1, K_2, K_3) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \cosh(K_i/2) + \prod_{i=1}^3 \sinh(K_i/2),
$$

\n
$$
B(K_1, K_2, K_3) = \prod_{i=1}^2 \cosh(K_i/2) \sinh(K_3/2)
$$

\n
$$
+ \prod_{i=1}^2 \sinh(K_i/2) \cosh(K_3/2).
$$
 (8)

Now, if we set $B(K_1, K_2, K_3)$ to zero, according to Eq. (7), the ground state $|\tilde{G}(\beta)\rangle$ is identical to $|G(\beta)\rangle$ on the reduced triangular lattice where the triangle *p* is removed. Consequently, for those K_1 , K_2 , and K_3 which satisfy the constraint $B(K_1, K_2, K_3) = 0$, the final state will again be the FTC state. This constraint is simply the equation of the TL. Finally, we point out that regarding the classical-quantum mapping, the above process for our FTC model is similar to the "dimensional reduction" in a frustrated Ising model, where one can obtain the partition function of the classical Ising model at the disorder line by tracing over spin degrees of freedom row by row [\[53\]](#page-5-0).

The invariance of our FTC ground state under the local projections implies that independent of the strength of the perturbations, the excitations are suppressed at the TL by frustration and nonlinearity, and the topological order survives under the above local projections. The existence of such a TL in our FTC models is crucial in practical applications. In particular, one can imagine that in the presence of a perturbation with arbitrary strength, we can tune the moment J_2 related to physical qubits living in the diagonal edges of the lattice so that the system permanently remains in the topological phase. Actually, having two types of physical qubits with different moments, J_1 and J_2 , is an additional ability of our FTC, which is absent in the TC.

VI. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

The robustness of topological orders against local perturbations is of crucial importance for modern practical applications, and constructing highly robust topological systems is one of the most important current challenges. In this paper, we have taken an important step forward by introducing realizable models with a robust topological order. We demonstrated that the interplay of frustration and nonlinearity in our system leads to the formation of a TL at which no phase transition occurs in the system, and the topological order is robust even against local projection operations. We also found another interesting phenomena, not seen in other frustrated systems, that the ground state of our frustrated toric code model experiences a reentrant topological phase transition. This phenomenon signifies the reversibility of the topological order to the system in the presence of frustration.

Our paper paves the way for future studies for implementing more robust topological quantum codes. In particular, it is interesting to explore the existence of such a TL in different quantum codes, including color codes and fracton codes, employing proper quantum-classical mappings.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank S. S. Jahromi, A. Ramezanpour, A. Montakhab, and L. Memarzadeh for fruitful discussions.

- [1] [X. G. Wen, Topological orders in rigid states,](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217979290000139) Intl. J. Mod. Phys. B **4**, 239 (1990).
- [2] X.-G. Wen, Topological orders and edge excitations in fractional quantum Hall states, Adv. Phys. **44**[, 405 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00018739500101566)
- [3] N. Read and S. Sachdev, Large-*N* Expansion for Frustrated Quantum Antiferromagnets, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.1773) **66**, 1773 (1991).
- [4] K. Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, New Method for High-Accuracy Determination of the Fine-Structure Constant Based on Quantized Hall Resistance, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.45.494) **45**, 494 (1980).
- [5] G. Moore and R. Nicholas, Nonabelions in the fractional quantum Hall effect, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(91)90407-O) **360**, 362 (1991).
- [6] X.-G. Wen, Vacuum degeneracy of chiral spin states in compactified space, Phys. Rev. B **40**[, 7387 \(1989\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.7387)
- [7] S. Bravyi, M. B. Hastings, and S. Michalakis, Topological quan[tum order: Stability under local perturbations,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3490195) J. Math. Phys. **51**, 093512 (2010).
- [8] D. Nigg, M. Muller, E. A. Martinez, P. Schindler, M. Hennrich, T. Monz, M. A. Martin-Delgado, and R. Blatt, Quantum com[putations on a topologically encoded qubit,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1253742) Science **345**, 302 (2014).
- [9] R. Alicki, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, On thermal stability of topological qubit in Kitaev's 4D model, [Open Syst. Inf. Dyn.](https://doi.org/10.1142/S1230161210000023) **17**, 1 (2008).
- [10] H. Bombin, R. W. Chhajlany, M. Horodecki, and M. A. Martin-[Delgado, Self-correcting quantum computers,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/15/5/055023) New J. Phys. **15**, 055023 (2013).
- [11] J. B. Brown, D. Loss, J. K. Pachos, C. N. Self, and J. R. [Wootton, Quantum memories at finite temperature,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.045005) Rev. Mod. Phys. **88**, 045005 (2016).
- [12] H. Bombin and M. A. Martin-Delgado, Topological Quantum Distillation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**[, 180501 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.180501)
- [13] H. Bombin, Gauge color codes: Optimal transversal gates and [gauge fixing in topological stabilizer codes,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/8/083002) New J. Phys. **17**, 083002 (2015).
- [14] Y. Fan and K. L. Wang, Spintronics based on topological insulators, SPIN **06**[, 1640001 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1142/S2010324716400014)
- [15] C. W. J. Beenakker and L. A. Kouwenhoven, Road to reality with topological superconductors, Nat. Phys. **12**[, 618 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3778)
- [16] [S. R. Nagel, Experimental soft-matter science,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.025002) Rev. Mod. Phys. **89**, 025002 (2017).
- [17] G. Ma, M. Xiao, and C. T. Chan, Topological phases in acoustic and mechanical systems, [Nat. Rev. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-019-0030-x) **1**, 281 (2019).
- [18] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Colloquium: Topological insulators, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.3045) **82**, 3045 (2010).
- [19] F. Duncan M. Haldane, Nobel lecture: Topological quantum matter, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.89.040502) **89**, 040502 (2017).
- [20] N. P. Armitage, E. J. Mele, and A. Vishwanath, Weyl and Dirac [semimetals in three-dimensional solids,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015001) Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, 015001 (2018).
- [21] T. Ozawa, H. M. Price, A. Amo, N. Goldman, M. Hafezi, L. Lu, M. C. Rechtsman, D. Schuster, J. Simon, O. Zilberberg, and I. [Carusotto, Topological photonics,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.91.015006) Rev. Mod. Phys. **91**, 015006 (2019).
- [22] M. H. Zarei, Quantum phase transition from $Z_2 \times Z_2$ to Z_2 topological order, Phys. Rev. A **93**[, 042306 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.042306)
- [23] N. Ahmadi, J. Abouie, and D. Baeriswyl, Topological and nontopological features of generalized Su-Schrieffer-Heeger models, Phys. Rev. B **101**[, 195117 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.195117)
- [24] A. Y. Kitaev, Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons, [Ann. Phys. \(NY\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-4916(02)00018-0) **303**, 2 (2003).
- [25] E. Dennis, A. Kitaev, A. Landahl, and J. Preskill, Topological quantum memory, [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1499754) **43**, 4452 (2002).
- [26] A. G. Fowler, M. Mariantoni, J. M. Martinis, and A. N. Cleland, Surface codes: Towards practical large-scale quantum computation, Phys. Rev. A **86**[, 032324 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.032324)
- [27] D. K. Tuckett, S. D. Bartlett, S. T. Flammia, and B. J. Brown, Fault-Tolerant Thresholds for the Surface Code in Excess of 5% Under Biased Noise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**[, 130501 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.130501)
- [28] S. E. Nigg, A. Fuhrer, and D. Loss, Superconducting Grid-Bus [Surface Code Architecture for Hole-Spin Qubits,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.147701) Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 147701 (2017).
- [29] C. K. Andersen, A. Remm, S. Lazar, S. Krinner, N. Lacroix, G. J. Norris, M. Gabureac, C. Eichler, and A. Wallraff, Repeated [quantum error detection in a surface code,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-020-0920-y) Nat. Phys. **16**, 875 (2020).
- [30] S. Dusuel, M. Kamfor, R. Orus, K. P. Schmidt, and J. Vidal, [Robustness of a Perturbed Topological Phase,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.107203) Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 107203 (2011).
- [31] A. Kay, Capabilities of a Perturbed Toric Code as a Quantum Memory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**[, 270502 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.270502)
- [32] D. A. Reiss and K. P. Schmidt, Quantum robustness and phase [transitions of the 3D toric code in a field,](https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.6.6.078) SciPost Phys. **6**, 078 (2019)
- [33] M. H. Zarei, Strong-weak coupling duality between two perturbed quantum many-body systems: CSS codes and Ising-like systems, Phys. Rev. B **96**[, 165146 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.165146)
- [34] F. Wu, D. Youjin, and N. Prokofev, Phase diagram of the Toric [code model in a parallel magnetic field,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.195104) Phys. Rev. B **85**, 195104 (2012).
- [35] M. D. Schulz, S. Dusuel, R. Orus, J. Vidal, and K. P. Schmidt, [Breakdown of a perturbed topological phase,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/2/025005) New J. Phys. **14**, 025005 (2012).
- [36] I. S. Tupitsyn, A. Kitaev, N. V. Prokof'ev and P. C. E. Stamp, Topological multicritical point in the phase diagram of the toric code model and three-dimensional lattice gauge Higgs model, Phys. Rev. B **82**[, 085114 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.085114)
- [37] V. Karimipour, L. Memarzadeh, and P. Zarkeshian, Kitaev-Ising model and the transition between topological and ferromagnetic order, Phys. Rev. A **87**[, 032322 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.032322)
- [38] M. H. Zarei, Robustness of topological quantum codes: Ising perturbation, Phys. Rev. A **91**[, 022319 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.022319)
- [39] D. J. Williamson, C. Delcamp, F. Verstraete, and N. Schuch, On the stability of topological order in tensor network states, [arXiv:2012.15346.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2012.15346)
- [40] K. P. Schmidt, Persisting topological order via geometric frustration, Phys. Rev. B **88**[, 035118 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.035118)
- [41] M. D. Schulz and F. J. Burnell, Frustrated topological symmetry breaking: Geometrical frustration and anyon condensation, Phys. Rev. B **94**[, 165110 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.165110)
- [42] C. Castelnovo and C. Chamon, Quantum topological phase [transition at the microscopic level,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.054433) Phys. Rev. B **77**, 054433 (2008).
- [43] By expanding the exponential in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) with respect to β , we have $\sim 1 - \beta J_i Z_i + \beta^2 J_i J_j Z_i Z_j + \beta^3 J_i J_j J_k Z_i Z_j Z_k + \cdots$, where there is a summation on *i*, *j*, *k*.
- [44] A. Bohrdt, A. Omran, E. Demler, S. Gazit, and F. Grusdt, Multiparticle Interactions for Ultracold Atoms in Optical Tweezers: [Cyclic Ring-Exchange Terms,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.073601) Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 073601 (2020).
- [45] C. Castelnovo, C. Chamon, C. Mudry, and P. Pujol, From quantum mechanics to classical statistical physics: Generalized Rokhsar-Kivelson Hamiltonian and the stichastic matrix form decomposition, Ann. Phys. **318**[, 316 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2005.01.006)
- [46] M. H. Zarei and A. Montakhab, Classical criticality establishes quantum topological order, Phys. Rev. B **101**[, 205118 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.205118)
- [47] M. H. Zarei and A. Montakhab, Dual correspondence between [classical spin models and quantum CSS states,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.012337) Phys. Rev. A **98**, 012337 (2018).
- [48] J. Stephenson, Ising model with antiferromagnetic next-nearest[neighbor coupling: Spin correlations and disorder points,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.1.4405) Phys. Rev. B **1**, 4405 (1970).
- [49] D. F. Abasto, A. Hamma, and P. Zanardi, Fidelity analysis [of topological quantum phase transitions,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.010301) Phys. Rev. A **78**, 010301(R) (2008).
- [50] M. H. Zarei, Ising order parameter and topological phase transi[tions: Toric code in a uniform magnetic field,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.125159) Phys. Rev. B **100**, 125159 (2019).
- [51] S. Bravyi and R. Raussendorf, Measurement-based quantum [computation with the toric code states,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.022304) Phys. Rev. A **76**, 022304 (2007).
- [52] M. H. Zarei, General scheme for preparation of different topological states on cluster states, Phys. Rev. A **95**[, 062316 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.95.062316)
- [53] H. T. Diep and H. Giacomini, Exactly solved frustrated models: Reentrance and phase diagram, *Magnetic Systems With Competing Interactions* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994), pp. 161–201.