Magnetic properties of the layered magnetic topological insulator EuSn₂As₂

Huijie Li,^{1,2} Wenshuai Gao,^{1,*} Zheng Chen,^{2,3} Weiwei Chu,^{2,3} Yong Nie,^{2,3} Shuaiqi Ma,¹ Yuyan Han,²

Min Wu,² Tian Li,² Qun Niu⁰,² Wei Ning,² Xiangde Zhu⁰,^{2,†} and Mingliang Tian^{1,2,4}

¹Institutes of Physical Science and Information Technology, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China

²Anhui Key Laboratory of Condensed Matter Physics at Extreme Conditions, High Magnetic Field Laboratory,

HFIPS, Anhui, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, China

³Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230031, Anhui, China ⁴School of Physics and Materials Science, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, China

(Received 7 April 2021; revised 7 July 2021; accepted 13 August 2021; published 25 August 2021)

EuSn₂As₂ with a layered rhombohedral crystal structure is proposed to be a candidate for an intrinsic antiferromagnetic (AFM) topological insulator. Here, we have investigated systematic magnetoresistance (MR) and magnetization measurements on the high-quality EuSn₂As₂ single crystal with the magnetic field both parallel and perpendicular to the (*001*) plane. Both the kink of magnetic susceptibility and longitudinal resistivity reveal that EuSn₂An₂ undergoes an AFM transition at $T_N = 21$ K. At T = 2 K, the magnetization exhibits two successive plateaus of ~5.6 and ~6.6 μ_B /Eu at the corresponding critical magnetic fields. Combined with the negative longitudinal MR and abnormal Hall resistance, we demonstrate that EuSn₂An₂ undergoes complicated magnetic transitions from an AFM state to a canted ferromagnetic (FM) state at H_c and then to a polarized FM state at H_s as the magnetic field increases.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.104.054435

I. INTRODUCTION

The quantum anomalous Hall (QAH) effect was realized in the magnetically doped thin (Bi, Sb)₂Te₃ topological insulator (TI) films at extremely low temperatures due to the inhomogeneous magnetic impurities [1]. A lot of theoretical and experimental efforts have been made to explore the stoichiometric TIs with an inherent magnetic order, i.e., intrinsic magnetic TIs [2–6]. Recently, extensive works were focused on the layered tetradymite compound MnBi₂Te₄ and its related materials. MnBi₂Te₄ is an intrinsic A-type antiferromagnetic (AFM) TI with Mn ferromagnetic (FM) layers stacked antiferromagnetically along the c axis [7]. A great deal of theoretical and experimental works reveals rich quantum states in MnBi₂Te₄ thin flakes with different thickness, including OAH effect, axion insulating state, high-Chern number and high-temperature Chern insulation state [8–11]. These findings demonstrate that exploring intrinsic magnetic TIs is an effective way to study and realize magnetic topological effects.

Except for the layered tetradymite compound MnBi₂Te₄, the intrinsic magnetic TIs are still rare. The Eu-based magnetic materials, including EuSn₂As₂, EuIn₂As₂, and EuSn₂P₂, are one family of the intrinsic magnetic TIs that have been theoretically predicted and then confirmed by spectroscopy studies [7,12–14]. Their magnetic ground states at low temperatures are mostly A-type AFM states like MnBi₂Te₄, i.e., the magnetic moments are ferromagnetically aligned in the

2469-9950/2021/104(5)/054435(5)

The interplay between ordered magnetic state and the topological electronic state is expected to induce abundant quantum states. For example, EuIn₂As₂ is theoretically proposed to be an axion insulator with AFM long-range order, and its topological character has been revealed experimentally [12,16,17]. An interesting Weyl semimetal state induced by a magnetic field is also demonstrated in the paramagnetic (PM) phase of EuCd₂As₂ [18]. Among these compounds, EuSn₂As₂ with a space group of R-3m displays a unique advantage in realizing quantum effects or devices [7,19]. Previous studies have revealed that EuSn₂As₂ undergoes a PM-to-AFM phase transition at about $T_{\rm N} = 21$ K; then the system enters an AFM TI state with an obvious Dirac surface state. While previous theoretical and experimental works have promoted the research progress of Eu-based magnetic TIs, many quantum states, such as the quantum Hall effect and the axion insulating state which have been identified in the MnBi₂Te₄ family, have not been experimentally observed. One possible reason is that the magnetic moments of Eu 4f states, where the magnetism of Eu-based magnetic TIs results from, localize far below Fermi level $E_{\rm F}$ and couple weakly with the nontrivial topologic electron states [15]. However, there are also theories indicating that, when the local spin of the Eu^{2+} ions enter the Zintl phase of the intermetallic compound, the Dirac surface state of the three-dimensional TI can work as the medium of the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) long-range FM exchange effect, which enhances the magnetic coupling effect and is conducive to the realization of topological quantum phenomena [19].

layer but antiferromagnetically coupled interlayer [7,13–15].

Herein, we performed systematic magnetotransport measurements on high-quality EuSn₂As₂ single crystals with

^{*}gwsh@ahu.edu.cn

[†]xdzhu@hmfl.ac.cn



FIG. 1. (a) Rietveld refinement for $EuSn_2As_2$ powder. The weak peaks marked with \checkmark are results from the surface flux Sn. The inset shows the structural illustration of $EuSn_2As_2$. (b) X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of $EuSn_2As_2$ single crystal with only (001) peaks related to the rhombohedral $EuSn_2As_2$ phase. The inset is an optical photograph of as-grown $EuSn_2As_2$ single crystals.

different magnetic field orientations. The magnetic susceptibility verifies the AFM ground state with transition temperature $T_{\rm N} = 21$ K. At 2 K, the magnetization curves show the first plateau of ~5.6 $\mu_{\rm B}$ /Eu atom and the second magnetization plateau of ~6.6 $\mu_{\rm B}$ /Eu atom with *H* up to 14 T. Furthermore, the unusual magnetization plateaus are accompanied by abnormal magnetoresistance (MR) at the critical magnetic fields. All the results help us to understand the successive magnetic transitions from an AFM state to a canted FM state followed by a polarized FM state for higher magnetic fields.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PREPARATION

EuSn₂As₂ single crystals were grown via the self-flux method, which is different from the solidification of the stoichiometric melt process reported by Arguilla et al. [19]. Eu shots, Sn lumps, and As pieces in a molar ratio of 1:10:2 as the starting materials were put in an alumina crucible and sealed in an evacuated quartz tube. The tube was heated up to 750 °C in 33 h, held for 48 h, and then slowly cooled to 400 °C at a rate of 2 °C/h. After a centrifugal separation operation at this temperature, argenteous single crystals with regular dimensions of about $0.5 \times 1.25 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}^3$ were obtained, as shown in the inset of Fig. 1(b). The self-flux method introduces almost no impurity elements. The possible residual tiny Sn attached on the single crystal surface can be removed by mechanical exfoliation and has no effect on the experimental results. The compositions and elemental stoichiometry of crystals were determined by energy-dispersive x-ray spectrometer (EDS) on Oxford X-MAX electron spectrometer equipped on the focused SEM/FIB dual beam system (Helios Nanolab600i, FEI Inc.).

X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern measurements were carried out upon a Rigaku-TTR3 x-ray diffractometer using the high-intensity graphite monochromatized Cu K α radiation. Magnetization measurements were carried out upon a Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS3-7 T, Quantum Design Inc.) and a Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS-16T, Quantum Design Inc.) equipped with a vibrating sample magnetometer option. Electrical transport measurements were carried out upon PPMS DynaCool (PPMS-14 T, Quantum Design Inc.) from 2 to 300 K. The six-probe electrodes with a standard Hall bar configuration were prepared using electron-beam lithography with a focused ion beam dual beam system (Helios Nanolab600i, FEI Inc.) equipped with the Rathe Multibeam Nanopattern Generator, followed by Ti (5 nm)/Au (100 nm) deposition as the contacts. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of the device is shown in the inset of Fig. 2(b).



FIG. 2. (a) Temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility χ of EuSn₂As₂ for the *H* || *ab* plane and *H* || *c* axis, respectively, measured at 0.05 T. The dotted lines show the χ^{-1} vs *T* curves, and the black solid line is the fitting result using the Curie-Weiss law. (b) The *R*-*T* curves obtained from EuSn₂As₂ bulk crystal and nanoflake. The inset is the scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of the EuSn₂As₂ device with a six-probe configuration.



FIG. 3. (a) and (b) The magnetization and the magnetoresistance (MR) curves with the $H \parallel c$ axis at various temperatures. The inset is a closeup of the *M*-*H* curves near H_c and H_s . (c) and (d) The magnetization and MR curves at 2 K with the $H \parallel c$ axis and $H \parallel ab$ plane, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

XRD data of EuSn₂As₂ powder were analyzed using the EXPGUI-GSAS Rietveld refinement method [20,21]. The initial lattice parameters from Arguilla et al. [19] were adopted during the process of refinement. Figure 1(a) displays the refined result of the XRD pattern, where the experimental results are in good agreement with the calculated results. Through the Rietveld refinement, the lattice parameters are identified as a = b = 4.197 (2) Å, c = 26.393 (8) Å, $\alpha = \beta = 90^{\circ}$, and $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$, which are consistent with the previous report [19]. According to these parameters, we draw the EuSn₂As₂ crystal structure using CRYSTALMAKER software, as shown in the inset of Fig. 1(a). EuSn₂As₂ presents a layered structure with space group R-3m (166), in which two SnAs layers intercalate with one Eu layer and form a two-dimensional sheet. The two adjacent SnAs layers are weakly coupled via the van der Waals force, which makes EuSn₂As₂ crystals easily exfoliated using silicone-free tape like $MnBi_2Te_4$ [7]. Figure 1(b) shows the XRD pattern of a EuSn₂As₂ single crystal; all the sharp diffraction peaks can be well indexed to the (00l) plane and reveal excellent crystallinity. The EDS measurements also establish the chemical composition ratio of Eu: Sn: As =19.86 : 40.00 : 40.15 to be a nearly stoichiometric ratio. All the results confirm the high quality of the EuSn₂As₂ crystals.

To get insight into the magnetic properties of EuSn₂As₂, Fig. 2(a) shows the temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility with an applied magnetic field of 0.05 T for the $H \parallel ab$ plane and $H \parallel c$ axis, respectively. Zero-field-cooling (ZFC) and field-cooling (FC) cycles are nearly superimposable. The curve shows a kink at ~21 K for both magnetic field orientations, which indicates an AFM transition. Below 10 K, the $\chi(T)$ curve presents an unusual rapidly increase for the

H || *ab* plane, which is consistent with the previous report [7]. This behavior may result from the local disorder of magnetic moments. The dotted lines are the inverse susceptibility χ^{-1} as a function of temperatures. For the *H* || *ab* plane, the curve can be well fitted using the Curie-Weiss law at temperatures >30 K. The best fitting yields the Weiss constant (Δ) of 17.5 K and the effective magnetic moment of $\mu_{eff} = 7.74 \,\mu_{B}$, which is slightly smaller than the theoretical value for the divalent state (7.94 μ_{B}/Eu^{2+}). Figure 2(b) shows the resistance vs temperature curves of the EuSn₂As₂ bulk single crystal and 600-nm-thick flake, respectively. Both samples show a metallic behavior in the high-temperature range and then display an anomalous peak at ~21 K. Such an anomalous resistance kink is attributed to the Kondo scattering, which has been proposed in the previous reports [15,19].

Figure 3(a) presents the magnetic field dependence of magnetizations with magnetic field parallel to the c axis at various temperatures in the field range from -14 to 14 T. At T = 2 K, the magnetization increases linearly with increasing magnetic field up to 4.6 T and then presents an apparent plateau for $H \parallel c$, where the critical field of 4.6 T is consistent with the previous reports [7,15,19]. However, such a magnetization plateau with $\sim 5.6 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm Eu}$ atom tends to rise with further increase of the magnetic field. In this paper, the applied external magnetic field H is up to 14 T. Interestingly, a second plateau with $\sim 6.6 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm Eu}$ atom appears ~ 10 T at low temperatures, then gradually smears out with the increase of temperature. The enlarged plateau region is shown in the inset of Fig. 3(a), and the magnetization value at the second plateau is slightly smaller than the theoretical value of $7.0 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm Eu}^{2+}$ for the divalent states. Our data clearly demonstrate that EuSn₂As₂ undergoes successive magnetic transitions induced by the magnetic field. Such successive magnetization plateaus have



FIG. 4. The Hall resistivity ρ_{xy} at various temperatures with applied field from 0 to 14 T.

been reported in many rare-earth compounds including some Eu-based compounds [22–24].

Figure 3(b) shows the MR as a function of magnetic field with $H \parallel c$ at different temperatures. At T = 80 K, it displays a positive MR in the field range of -14 to 14 T. Below T =60 K, negative MRs emerge and are further enhanced as the temperature decreases. At T = 2 K, a distinct negative MR as large as 5.7% is observed around the critical field of the first magnetization plateau $H_c = 4.6$ T. Then the MR curve turns up with a positive slope as the field increases followed by a kink at the field $H_s = 10$ T of the second magnetization plateau. The blue dotted lines in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) clearly mark the corresponding critical magnetic fields.

To further shed light on the nature of the magnetism in EuSn₂As₂, Fig. 3(c) presents the field-dependent magnetization with the $H \parallel ab$ plane and $H \parallel c$ axis at 2 K, respectively. The first critical fields are identified to be about $H_c = 3.2$ and 4.6 T for the $H \parallel ab$ plane and $H \parallel c$ axis, respectively, indicating a considerable magnetic anisotropy in EuSn₂As₂. No obvious magnetization hysteresis is observed by cycling magnetic fields from 14 to -14 T. This anisotropic property is also found by the MR measurement, as shown in Fig. 3(d). According to previous reports and our susceptibility measurements, an A-type AFM order characterized by the in-plane FM layers stacked antiferromagnetically along the *c* axis in EuSn₂As₂ can be qualitatively determined below $T_N = 21$ K [7,15,19].

Figure 4 shows the Hall resistivity vs magnetic fields at different temperatures. In comparison with the previous report [15], where the Hall resistivity is totally linearly dependent on the magnetic field over the entire temperature range, here, we observed an unambiguous kink of Hall resistivity around $H_c = 3.2$ T for $H \parallel c$ below $T_N = 21$ K. The anomalous Hall effect in EuSn₂As₂ may result from the coupling between the localized magnetic ordering and the conduction electronic

states, which provides a positive signal for further exploration of quantum effects. By analyzing the Hall resistivity at high magnetic fields, the hole-type carrier concentration and mobility are estimated to be $n = 4.29 \times 10^{20}$ cm⁻³ and $\mu = 70.03$ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively. Such a carrier concentration is also comparable with a previous report [15]. The Hall resistivity at high field range is almost temperature independent, demonstrating that the AFM transition at 21 K is less dependent on the changes of electronic states.

The successive magnetization plateaus observed in EuSn₂As₂ provide us more opportunities to study its complicated magnetic structures. Firstly, the magnetic momentum of \sim 5.6 $\mu_{\rm B}$ /Eu atom at the first critical filed H_c is quite smaller than the theoretical value of $7 \mu_{\rm B}/{\rm Eu}^{2+}$, which clearly reveals a magnetic field-induced magnetic transition from an AFM state to a canted FM state between H_c and H_s . Additionally, even if the applied magnetic field is much larger than the second critical magnetic field $H_s = 10 \text{ T}$, the magnetic momentum of $\sim 6.6 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm Eu}$ atom at the second magnetization plateau is very close but still smaller than the theoretical value of $7 \mu_{\rm B}/{\rm Eu}^{2+}$. A fully polarized FM state may be realized in higher magnetic fields. Furthermore, the positive MR between H_c and H_s at T < 21 K might be the consequence of the competition between spin-related scattering in a canted FM state (i.e., negative MR but less field dependent above H_c) and the orbit effect of charge carriers (i.e., positive MR but linearly increases with H). The negative MR between 21 and 80 K provides a strong indication of the magnetic fluctuation in this system.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated systematically magnetotransport and magnetization studies on high-quality EuSn₂As₂ single crystals. We found two magnetization plateaus of ~5.6 $\mu_{\rm B}$ /Eu at 4.6 T and ~6.6 $\mu_{\rm B}$ /Eu at 10.0 T for $H \parallel c$. The unusual magnetization plateaus are accompanied by the negative longitudinal MR and abnormal Hall resistance, indicating the complicated magnetic transitions from an AFM state to a canted FM state at H_c and then to a polarized FM state at H_s .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China, Grant No. 2016YFA0401003 and No. 2017YFA0403502, the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. U19A2093, No. U2032214, No. U2032163, No. U1732274, and No. 11904002), the Collaborative Innovation Program of Hefei Science Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS; Grant No. 2019HSC-CIP 001), the Youth Innovation Promotion Association of CAS (Grant No. 2017483), and the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (No. 1908085QA15).

- R. Yu, W. Zhang, H. J. Zhang, S. C. Zhang, X. Dai, and Zhong Fang, Science **329**, 61 (2010).
- [2] M. Mogi, A. Tsukazaki, Y. Kaneko, R. Yoshimi, K. Takahashi, M. Kawasaki, and Y. Tokura, APL Mater. 6, 091104 (2018).
- [3] T. Sekine, M. Jouanne, C. Julien, and M. Balkanski, Phys. Rev. B 42, 8382 (1990).
- [4] Z. W. Liang, A. Y. Luo, M. Z. Shi, Q. Zhang, S. Nie, J. J. Ying, J. F. He, T. Wu, Z. J. Wang, G. Xu, Z. Y. Wang, and X. H. Chen, Phys. Rev. B 102, 161115 (2020).

- [5] S. M. Farzaneh and Shaloo Rakheja, Phys. Rev. Mater. 4, 114202 (2020).
- [6] D. Q. Zhang, M. J. Shi, T. S. Zhu, D. Y. Xing, H. J. Zhang, and J. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 206401 (2019).
- [7] H. Li et al., Phys. Rev. X 9, 041039 (2019).
- [8] Y. J. Hao et al., Phys. Rev. X 9, 041038 (2019).
- [9] Y. J. Deng, Y. J. Yu, M. Z. Shi, Z. G. Guo, Z. H. Xu, J. Wang, X. H. Chen, and Y. B. Zhang, Science 367, 895 (2020).
- [10] A. M. Shikin, Phys. Solid State 62, 1460(2020).
- [11] B. Lian, Z. C. Liu, Y. B. Zhang, and J. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 126402 (2020).
- [12] Y. F. Xu, Z. D. Song, Z. J. Wang, H. M. Weng, and X. Dai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 256402 (2019).
- [13] Y. Zhang, K. Deng, X. Zhang, M. Wang, Y. Wang, C. Liu, J. W. Mei, S. Kumar, E. F. Schwier, K. Shimada, C. Chen, and B. Shen, Phys. Rev. B 101, 205126 (2020).
- [14] X. Gui, I. Pletikosic, H. Cao, H. J. Tien, X. T. Xu, R. D. Zhong, G. Q. Wang, T. R. Chang, S. Jia, T. Valla, W. W. Xie, and R. J. Cava, ACS Cent. Sci. 5, 900 (2019).
- [15] H. C. Chen, Z. F. Lou, Y. X. Zhou, Q. Chen, B. J. Xu, S. J. Chen, J. H. Du, J. H. Yang, H. D. Wang, and M. H. Fang, Chin. Phys. Lett. 37, 047201 (2020).
- [16] T. Sato, Z. Wang, D. Takane, S. Souma, C. X. Cui, Y. K. Li, K. Nakayama, T. Kawakami, Y. Kubota, C. Cacho, T. K. Kim,

A. Arab, V. N. Strocov, Y. Yao, and T. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 033342 (2020).

- [17] A. M. Goforth, P. Klavins, J. C. Fettinger, and S. M. Kauzlarich, Inorg. Chem. 47, 11048 (2008).
- [18] N. H. Jo, B. Kuthanazhi, Y. Wu, E. Timmons, T. Kim, L. Zhou, L. L. Wang, B. G. Ueland, A. Palasyuk, D. H. Ryan, R. J. McQueeney, K. Lee, B. Schrunk, A. A. Burkov, R. Prozorov, S. L. Budko, A. Kaminski, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. B 101, 140402 (2020).
- [19] M. Q. Arguilla, N. D. Cultrara, Z. J. Baum, S. Jiang, R. D. Ross, and J. E. Goldberger, Inorg. Chem. Front. 4, 378 (2017).
- [20] B. H. Toby, J. Appl. Cryst. 34, 210 (2001).
- [21] A. C. Larson and R. B. Von Dreele, *General Structure Analysis System (GSAS)* (The Regents of the University of California, Los Alamos, 2004).
- [22] A. Nakamura, T. Uejo, F. Honda, T. Takeuchi, H. Harima, E. Yamamoto, Y. Haga, K. Matsubayashi, Y. Uwatoko, M. Hedo, T. Nakama, and Y. Ōnuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 84, 124711 (2015).
- [23] Y. J. Li, Y. Andoh, M. Kurisu, Go Nakamoto, T. Tsutaoka, and S. Kawano, J. Alloys Compd. 692, 961 (2017).
- [24] F. Guillou, D. Paudyal, Y. Mudryk, A. K. Pathak, V. Smetana, A. V. Mudring, and V. K. Pecharsky, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 501, 166405 (2020).