Evolution of charge dynamics in FeSe1−*^x***Te***x***: Effects of electronic correlations and nematicity**

M. N[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1203-4967)kajima $\bigcirc^{1,*}$ K. Yanase,¹ M. Kawai,² D. Asami,² T. Ishikawa,² F. Nabeshima,² Y. Imai,³ A. Maeda,² and S. Tajima¹

¹*Department of Physics, Osaka University, Osaka 560-0043, Japan*

²*Department of Basic Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan*

³*Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan*

 \bigcirc (Received 24 March 2021; revised 12 July 2021; accepted 14 July 2021; published 28 July 2021)

We systematically studied in-plane optical conductivity of FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} thin films fabricated on CaF₂ substrates for $x = 0, 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.4. This system shows a large enhancement of superconducting transition temperature T_c at $x \approx 0.2$ and a gentle decrease in T_c with further increasing x. The low-energy optical conductivity spectrum is described by the sum of narrow and broad Drude components, associated with coherent and incoherent charge dynamics, respectively. With increasing Te content, the spectral weight of the narrow Drude component decreases, whereas the total weight of the two Drude components increases. As a consequence, the fraction of the narrow Drude weight significantly decreases, indicating that Te substitution leads to stronger electronic correlations. Below the nematic transition temperature, the narrow Drude weight decreases with decreasing temperature. This indicates the reduction of the coherent carrier density, resulting from the Fermi-surface modification induced by the development of the orbital order. The reduction of the narrow Drude weight with temperature stopped at $x \approx 0.2$, corresponding to the disappearance of the nematic transition. Our result suggests that the increase in the coherent carrier density induced by the suppression of the nematic transition gives rise to the enhancement of T_c . The decrease in T_c with further Te substitution likely arises from too strong electronic correlations, which are not favorable for superconductivity.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.104.024512](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.024512)

I. INTRODUCTION

Iron-based superconductors (FeSCs) are characterized by a rich phase diagram. To deeply understand the underlying physics, it is indispensable to extract key control parameters governing phase diagrams and to elucidate how an electronic state evolves with these parameters. In many FeSCs, superconductivity is induced by suppressing a phase in which fourfold rotational symmetry is broken, which suggests a close relationship between an anisotropic electronic state and superconductivity [\[1\]](#page-7-0).

FeSe exhibits a tetragonal-to-orthorhombic structural phase transition at $T_s \approx 90$ K without accompanying a magnetic phase transition [\[2\]](#page-7-0), followed by a superconducting transition at $T_c \approx 8 \text{ K } [3]$ $T_c \approx 8 \text{ K } [3]$. Here, the effect of static magnetism can be neglected from discussion. In the orthorhombic phase, the splitting of the d_{xz} and d_{yz} bands, which are equivalent in the high-temperature tetragonal phase, was observed, indicative of the presence of orbital ordering [\[4–6\]](#page-7-0). The structural transition is electronic in origin and, thus, is often referred to as a nematic transition.

The nematic transition in FeSe can be tuned by chemical substitution $[7,8]$, hydrostatic pressure $[9,10]$, and in-plane biaxial strain [\[11,12\]](#page-7-0). To study the influence of electronic nematicity on superconductivity, isovalent S substitution and physical pressure have been employed so far, but this is not straightforward to understand the electronic state because magnetism is simultaneously involved $[13-16]$ $[13-16]$. (Note that the magnetic phase adjoins the nematic phase for thin films of FeSe1−*^x*S*^x* [\[14\]](#page-7-0) but not for single crystals [\[15\]](#page-8-0).) On the other hand, no magnetism has been observed for Te substitution $[8,17–19]$ $[8,17–19]$. For the FeSe_{1-*x*}Te_{*x*} films on CaF₂ substrates, T_c is largely enhanced at $x \approx 0.2$ and takes a maximum value of 23 K [Fig. $1(a)$]. It was suggested that the nematic transition disappears at this composition [\[17\]](#page-8-0), which was indeed confirmed by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements $[20]$. FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} is, thus, a suitable system to investigate the relationship between electronic nematicity and superconductivity.

Another important issue in FeSCs is electronic correlation. According to the theoretical calculations, a superconducting phase can emerge in the vicinity of a strongly correlated electron regime centered at a Mott-insulating phase with the d^3 configuration [\[21,22\]](#page-8-0). Combined with their multiorbital nature, electronic correlations in iron-based compounds are significantly orbital selective [\[21–23\]](#page-8-0). In particular, electrons with the *dxy*-orbital character are strongly correlated. Hund's coupling plays a role in an enhancement of the orbital differentiation. Among iron-based compounds, the correlation strength and the orbital differentiation are largest in iron chalcogenides [\[24\]](#page-8-0). It has been found that Te-rich $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ shows an incoherent-to-coherent crossover in the electronic structure as Te content as well as temperature is decreased [\[25–27\]](#page-8-0). How electronic correlations in FeSe evolve with Te substitution is an issue to be addressed.

In the present paper, we performed optical spectroscopy measurements on FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} thin films on CaF₂ substrates

^{*}nakajima@phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

FIG. 1. (a) Phase diagram of the FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} thin films on CaF₂ substrates as a function of Te content x [\[8](#page-7-0)[,17\]](#page-8-0). Temperature dependence of resistivity for the FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} thin films for (b) $x = 0$, (c) 0.1, (d) 0.2 No. 1, (e) 0.2 No. 2, and (f) 0.4. Red circles indicate the resistivity values calculated from the dc conductivity obtained by the Drude-Lorentz analysis. Insets of (d) and (e) show the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility normalized to the zero-field-cooled value at 5 K.

with $x = 0, 0.1, 0.2,$ and 0.4. Optical spectroscopy is a useful bulk-sensitive probe to investigate charge dynamics. As in the case for other FeSCs, the low-energy optical conductivity spectrum of FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} can be decomposed into a narrow and a broad Drude component. The narrow Drude component is overdamped at high temperatures, resulting in a structureless flat spectrum in the low-energy region characterized by highly incoherent charge dynamics. The weight of the narrow Drude component decreases with increasing *x*, whereas the sum of the weights of the two Drude components increases. This gives rise to a decrease in the fraction of the narrow Drude weight, indicating that electronic correlations become stronger with Te substitution. With decreasing temperature across *T*s, a decrease in the narrow Drude weight was observed. This behavior is suppressed with substituting Te for Se, leading to a disappearance of T_s at $x \approx 0.2$. Since the narrow Drude weight corresponds to coherent carrier density, the suppression of the nematic transition increases the coherent carrier density, which is likely related with the enhancement of T_c at $x \approx 0.2$.

II. EXPERIMENT

Thin films of FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} ($x = 0, 0.1, 0.2,$ and 0.4) were fabricated on $CaF₂$ substrates by a pulsed laser deposition method [\[8\]](#page-7-0). Two samples were prepared for $x = 0.2$ (No. 1 and No. 2), at which the highest T_c is observed for this system. The thicknesses of the grown films were 205, 210, 150, 170, and 190 nm for *x* = 0, 0.1, 0.2 No. 1, 0.2 No. 2, and 0.4, respectively. T_c was determined from the temperature dependence of resistivity $\rho(T)$ [Figs. 1(b)–1(f)]. *T*_c for $x = 0$ was 11 K, which is higher than the value for single-crystalline FeSe due to compressive in-plane strain [\[8,11\]](#page-7-0). On going from $x = 0.1$ to 0.2, T_c was abruptly enhanced from 13 to 20 K (No. 1) and 21 K (No. 2). The difference of T_c between the two samples for $x = 0.2$ originates not from a variation of Te content but from different degrees of in-plane strain [\[8,](#page-7-0)[17\]](#page-8-0). With further increasing *x*, T_c slightly decreased to 16 K for $x = 0.4$. Magnetic susceptibility was measured on the two samples for $x = 0.2$ under a magnetic field of 10 Oe [insets of Figs. 1(d) and $1(e)$]. A two-step transition was discernible for No. 1, indicating that the sample contains a lower- T_c part. Note that the magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed 2 and 18 months after the sample fabrication for No. 1 and No. 2, respectively, and T_c is reduced due to aging.

Optical reflectivity was measured on the FeSe1−*^x*Te*^x* films for the energy range of 40–10 000 cm⁻¹ using the Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (Bruker Vertex 80v) at various temperatures ranging from 8 to 300 K. Since the films are oriented along the *c* axis, in-plane reflectivity spectra were obtained. We extracted optical constants of FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} from the reflectivity data by means of a thin-film fitting procedure $[28]$. We measured the optical spectrum of $CaF₂$ before the measurement of the thin films and obtained its dielectric function via the Kramers-Kronig transformation. Then, taking into account the reflection from the interface, the thin-film reflectivity spectrum was fitted using a number of Lorentz oscillators. This method does not require the extrapolation of the reflectivity spectrum.

FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of optical conductivity spectra of FeSe1−*^x*Te*^x* for (a) *x* = 0, (b) 0.1, (c) 0.2 No. 1, (d) 0.2 No. 2, and (e) 0.4.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Temperature dependence of optical conductivity spectra

Figure 2 shows the temperature dependence of the in-plane optical conductivity spectra for FeSe1−*^x*Te*x*. One can see that the substrate contribution has been nicely removed, except for the energy region around 265 cm⁻¹, corresponding to the optical phonon frequency of substrate $CaF₂$ (see Appendix [A\)](#page-6-0). An infrared-active phonon corresponding to an *Eu* mode, which involves antiphase in-plane motions of Fe and Se/Te atoms, is

observed at \sim 249 cm⁻¹ for *x* = 0 and 0.1. The phonon mode becomes smeared with increasing Te content and is not clearly seen for $x = 0.2$ and 0.4. For all the compositions shown in Fig. 2, the spectra at high temperatures are almost flat. The absence of an appreciable Drude peak indicates that a coherent component is overdamped, leading to a dominant contribution from incoherent charge dynamics. Such a highly incoherent nature has been commonly observed for optical spectra of iron chalcogenides at high temperatures [\[29,30\]](#page-8-0). With decreasing temperature, a Drude peak becomes discernible. This results in an increase in the dc conductivity, consistent with the metallic temperature dependence of resistivity as shown in Figs. $1(b)-1(f)$. The low-temperature Drude peak becomes less and less pronounced with Te substitution. With further decreasing temperature below T_c , a superconducting response is observed as a suppression of optical conductivity, but this is outside the scope of the present paper.

B. Drude-Lorentz analysis

The optical conductivity spectra of FeSe1−*^x*Te*^x* are characterized by a small Drude peak and an almost flat tail extending up to \sim 1000 cm⁻¹ (see also Appendix [B\)](#page-7-0). Thus, we can interpret the low-energy spectrum as a Drude component with small spectral weight present on a component with a weak energy dependence. The latter can be expressed by a very broad Drude term. The presence of multiple Drude components is naturally explained by a multiorbital nature of FeSe. We decomposed the spectrum using a Drude-Lorentz model with a narrow and a broad Drude term. In this model, the complex dielectric function $\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega)$ can be written as

$$
\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega) = \epsilon_{\infty} - \frac{\omega_{p,n}^2}{\omega^2 + i\omega/\tau_n} - \frac{\omega_{p,b}^2}{\omega^2 + i\omega/\tau_b} + \sum_j \frac{\Omega_j^2}{\omega_j^2 - \omega^2 - i\gamma_j \omega},
$$
\n(1)

where ϵ_{∞} is the real part of the dielectric function at high frequency and $\omega_{p,n}$ ($\omega_{p,b}$) and $1/\tau_n$ ($1/\tau_b$) are the plasma frequency and the scattering rate for the narrow (broad) Drude component, respectively. In the last term, ω_i , γ_i , and Ω_i are the frequency, width, and strength of the *j*th oscillator, respectively. The complex conductivity is expressed by $\tilde{\sigma}(\omega)$ = $\sigma_1 + i\sigma_2 = -i\omega[\tilde{\epsilon}(\omega) - \epsilon_{\infty}]/60$ (in units of Ω^{-1} cm⁻¹). This model has widely been applied for the analysis of the optical spectra of FeSCs and turned out to well explain the temperature and the composition dependence of the spectrum [\[30–34\]](#page-8-0).

Figure [3](#page-3-0) shows the decomposition of the optical conductivity spectra at $T = 100$ K. In the low-energy region, the spectrum is dominantly expressed by the narrow and broad Drude terms. The narrow Drude component corresponds to the Drude peak shown in Fig. 2 and is responsible for the temperature dependence. From the width of the Drude term (the scattering rate $1/\tau$) and the band dispersion (the Fermi velocity v_F), we can estimate the mean free path $l (= v_F \tau)$. For $x = 0$, the width of the narrow (broad) Drude component is ∼120 cm⁻¹ (∼1550 cm⁻¹), corresponding to $\tau_n \approx$ 4.4×10^{-14} s ($\tau_b \approx 3.4\times10^{-15}$ s). v_F can be roughly calculated to be 5×10^6 cm/s or less from the ARPES result [\[20\]](#page-8-0).

FIG. 3. Decomposition of the optical conductivity spectra of FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} at $T = 100$ K for (a) $x = 0$, (b) 0.1, (c) 0.2 No. 1, (d) 0.2 No. 2, and (e) 0.4. For $x = 0$ and 0.1, the optical phonon mode of FeSe_{1-*x*}Te_x is clearly seen and is fitted by a Lorentz oscillator.

Then, the mean free paths (l_n and l_b) are estimated to be ∼22 and \sim 1.7 Å for the narrow and broad Drude components, respectively. Although l_n is much larger than the lattice constant ($a \approx 3.8$ Å), l_b is significantly shorter than the shortest interatomic spacing (\sim 2.4 Å) as reported for iron arsenides [\[33\]](#page-8-0). Thus, the charge dynamics represented by the broad Drude component is incoherent. Te substitution leads to a decrease in the Fermi velocity [\[20\]](#page-8-0) as well as an increase in the scattering rate caused by the disorder effect. These shorten l_n (l_b) to ~5.1 Å (~ 0.45 Å) for $x = 0.4$, but l_n is still larger than the lattice constant. Using the value of the dc conductivity obtained from the present Drude-Lorentz fitting, we calculated the dc resistivity and plotted as a function of temperature in Figs. $1(b)-1(f)$. The temperature dependence is in good agreement with $\rho(T)$, indicating that the thin-film fitting analysis gives a reasonable and reliable result.

Given that both electrons and holes contribute to the transport properties in FeSe [\[35\]](#page-8-0), the narrow Drude component should arise from electron and hole carriers. The coherent region of the momentum space is, however, considered to be small, that is, only a small portion of electron and hole Fermi surfaces corresponds to coherent states [\[33,36\]](#page-8-0). The incoherent states expand over the most part of the Fermi surface, which gives rise to the broad Drude component. One of the possible origins of the incoherent charge dynamics is spin fluctuations between hole and electron pockets [\[32\]](#page-8-0). In the present system, the strong electronic correlations, particularly for the d_{xy} orbital, are also a candidate to induce incoherence.

From the decomposed conductivity spectrum (Fig. 3), it is evident that Te substitution leads to a significant reduction of the narrow Drude weight, whereas the contribution from the broad Drude component shows a slight increase. In addition, as mentioned above, the width of the narrow Drude component $(1/\tau_n)$ increases with increasing *x*. These give rise to a rapid decrease in the height (the zero-energy value) of the narrow Drude component. As a result, the dc conductivity contributed from the narrow Drude component becomes smaller than that from the broad one for $x = 0.2$ and 0.4. This suggests that the dc transport properties in FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} for higher *x* are characterized by a largely incoherent nature even at low temperatures.

The systematic variation of the Drude weights can be quantitatively confirmed in Figs. $4(a)$ and $4(b)$ where we plot the weights of the narrow and the broad Drude component $(\omega_{p,n}^2)$

and $\omega_{\rm p,b}^2$, respectively) at 100 K as a function of *x*. The data for single crystals of FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} with $x = 0.55$ [\[30\]](#page-8-0) and 1.0 [\[29\]](#page-8-0) are also shown. As can be seen in Fig. 3, $\omega_{p,n}^2$ decreases with Te substitution, whereas $\omega_{p,b}^2$ increases. Note that for $x = 0.2$, the two samples show the different values for both $\omega_{p,n}^2$ and

FIG. 4. Results of the decomposition of the optical conductivity spectra for FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} at $T = 100$ K. The weight of (a) the narrow Drude component $\omega_{p,n}^2$ and (b) the broad Drude component $\omega_{p,b}^2$ is plotted as a function of *x*. (c) Evolution of the total Drude weight $(\omega_{p,n}^2 + \omega_{p,b}^2)$ with *x*. The inset shows the composition dependence of the fraction of the narrow Drude weight $[\omega_{p,n}^2/(\omega_{p,n}^2 + \omega_{p,b}^2)]$. Gray lines are guides to the eye. The data for $x = 0.55$ [\[30\]](#page-8-0) and 1.0 [\[29\]](#page-8-0) measured on single crystals are also plotted.

 $\omega_{p,b}^2$. This indicates that, even though the sample composition is the same, the electronic state varies depending on samples, which likely arises from a difference of a lattice parameter, namely, the degree of in-plane strain. Although $\omega_{p,n}^2$ decreases with Te substitution, the total Drude weight $(\omega_{p,n}^2 + \omega_{p,b}^2)$ increases as shown in Fig. [4\(c\).](#page-3-0) This is consistent with a recent ARPES study [\[37\]](#page-8-0), which demonstrated that the size of the Fermi pockets increases with increasing *x*. The composition dependences of $\omega_{p,n}^2$ and $\omega_{p,b}^2$ are roughly followed by the result of the single crystals. $\omega_{p,b}^2$ for FeTe ($x = 1.0$), however, is much smaller than that even for $x = 0$. This is probably because the strongly incoherent nature of FeTe gives rise to carrier localization and suppresses the carrier density [\[29\]](#page-8-0).

C. Evolution of electronic correlations

The decrease in $\omega_{p,n}^2$ with *x* can be understood taking into account the evolution of the band structure. Since $\omega_{p,n}^2 =$ $4\pi n/m^*$, where *n* and m^* stand for the coherent carrier density and effective mass, respectively, the decrease in $\omega_{p,n}^2$ stems from a decrease in *n* and/or an enhancement of *m*∗. From ARPES measurements, it has been found in $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}\text{Te}_x$ that a mass enhancement as well as an incoherent electronic state is induced by Te substitution $[20,37,38]$. The enhancement of *m*[∗] was also observed by the specific-heat study [\[39\]](#page-8-0). Another insight from the ARPES study is that Te substitution induces the appearance of the d_{xy} -orbital character in the hole Fermi surface at the Brillouin-zone center [\[20\]](#page-8-0). This suggests that the coherent region in the momentum space is shrunk due to the mixing of the d_{xy} orbital with a strong mass renormalization, leading to a decrease in *n* and, hence, a spectral-weight transfer from $\omega_{p,n}^2$ to $\omega_{p,b}^2$. Thus, the decrease in $\omega_{p,n}^2$ can be attributed to a combined effect of the enhancement of *m*[∗] and the decrease in *n*.

The present results point toward an enhancement of electronic correlations with Te substitution. It should be stressed that FeSe1−*^x*Te*^x* shows no magnetic phase even under pressure [\[19\]](#page-8-0) and that the absence of significant spin fluctuations was demonstrated by the NMR study for $x = 0.58$ [\[40\]](#page-8-0). Optical spectroscopy allows us to estimate the strength of electronic correlation $[41-45]$. A direct way is to calculate the ratio of the experimental kinetic energy, which is associated with the spectral weight of the Drude component, to the theoretically obtained value from the band calculations. The spectral weight contributed by charge carriers can be estimated from the effective carrier number,

$$
N_{\rm eff}(\omega_{\rm c}) = \frac{2m_0 V}{\pi e^2} \int_0^{\omega_{\rm c}} \sigma_1(\omega') d\omega',\tag{2}
$$

where m_0 and *V* denote the free-electron mass and the cell volume containing one Fe atom, respectively. The cutoff frequency ω_c should be set to include all of the Drude responses but not to include significant contributions from interband transitions. However, it is difficult to unambiguously define ω_c as interband excitations start at a fairly low energy and overlap with the Drude component. Alternatively, we took the fraction of the coherent spectral weight $\omega_{p,n}^2/(\omega_{p,n}^2 + \omega_{p,b}^2)$, or the degree of coherence, as a measure of the strength of electronic correlations [\[45\]](#page-8-0). This approach gives the estimation solely from the experimental data without any theoretical calculation.

The fraction of the narrow Drude component $\omega_{p,n}^2/(\omega_{p,n}^2 +$ $\omega_{p,b}^2$) severely decreases with increasing *x* [inset of Fig. [4\(c\)\]](#page-3-0), indicative of stronger electronic correlations for higher *x* in FeSe1−*^x*Te*x*. This evolution of the electronic state can be understood in terms of a change in the local crystal structure. The substitution of larger Te atoms for smaller Se ones increases the bond length between Fe and Se/Te atoms and decreases the *Ch*-Fe-*Ch* bond angle (*Ch* = Se, Te) [\[46\]](#page-8-0). The former makes the overlap between Fe and *Ch* orbitals smaller and, hence, the band narrower. The latter suppresses the effective hopping of carriers between Fe atoms via *Ch*. These lead to an enhancement of electronic correlations, in line with the increase in *m*[∗]. Note that despite the mass enhancement, the total Drude weight increases with Te substitution [Fig. $4(c)$] probably because the expansion of the Fermi surface is more influential. A change in the correlation strength induced by isovalent substitution has also been observed for BaFe2(As1−*^x*P*^x*)2 [\[36,45\]](#page-8-0). With substituting smaller P for larger As, the narrow Drude weight systematically increases, indicating a decrease in *m*∗.

The present system exhibits strong orbital-dependent correlation effects, and the d_{xy} orbital plays a crucial role. As mentioned earlier, iron chalcogenides are characterized by strong electronic correlations and the large orbital differentiation, resulting in a large renormalization factor of the d_{xy} orbital [\[23\]](#page-8-0). In FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*}, Te substitution gives rise to the enhanced contribution of the d_{xy} orbital to the Fermi-surface construction [\[20\]](#page-8-0) and a prominent mass enhancement for the d_{xy} orbital [\[37\]](#page-8-0). These facts are in good agreement with the present result that the charge dynamics in FeSe1−*^x*Te*^x* becomes more incoherent with increasing *x*. As the system gets closer to FeTe, the d_{xy} orbital exhibits a Mott-insulating state, whereas the other orbitals maintain a degree of itinerancy [\[37\]](#page-8-0). Such a phase, known as an orbital-selective Mott phase, would result in a gaplike feature in the optical spectrum of FeTe [\[29\]](#page-8-0).

D. Effect of the nematic transition

The nematic transition has an impact predominantly on the narrow Drude component. In Fig. [5,](#page-5-0) we show the temperature dependence of $\omega_{p,n}^2$ and $\omega_{p,b}^2$ for FeSe_{1-*x*}Te_{*x*}. In the high-temperature tetragonal phase, $\omega_{p,n}^2$ does not change with temperature and exhibits a systematic decrease with *x* as already shown in Fig. $4(a)$. As demonstrated in our previous study [\[28\]](#page-8-0), $\omega_{p,n}^2$ for $x = 0$ shows a decrease upon entering the nematic phase below T_s . We attributed this to the decrease in the coherent carrier density arising from gradual modification of the Fermi surface due to the development of the orbital order. Part of the coherent carriers would be transformed into incoherent ones. The reduction of the carrier density below T_s is also observed by terahertz magneto-optical spectroscopy on an FeSe thin film on a $LaAlO₃$ substrate [\[47\]](#page-8-0). On the other hand, $\omega_{\rm p,b}^2$ remains almost unchanged or shows a weak increase in the nematic phase. Unfortunately, it is difficult to confirm the spectral-weight transfer from the narrow Drude component because errors of $\omega_{p,b}^2$ are relatively large compared with the reduction of $\omega_{p,n}^2$ due to the difficulty in separating the contribution from interband transitions. No

FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of $\omega_{p,n}^2$ and $\omega_{p,b}^2$ for FeSe_{1-*x*}Te_{*x*} $(x = 0, 0.1, 0.2$ No. 1, 0.2 No. 2, and 0.4). The reduction of $\omega_{p,n}^2$ at low temperatures indicates the presence of the nematic phase transition.

drastic change in $\omega_{p,b}^2$ across T_s is in stark contrast with the case for iron arsenides showing a clear gaplike feature in the antiferromagnetic orthorhombic phase [\[32,48–50\]](#page-8-0). The magnetostructural transition severely affects the broad Drude component [\[32,50\]](#page-8-0), suggesting that spin fluctuations play a dominant role to produce strong carrier scattering.

As in the case for $x = 0$, a decrease in $\omega_{p,n}^2$ was also observed for $x = 0.1$ and 0.2 No. 1, whereas a suppression of $\omega_{p,n}^2$ was absent for $x = 0.2$ No. 2 and 0.4. Since the decrease in $\omega_{p,n}^2$ manifests the presence of the nematic phase, the present result evidences that the nematic transition is completely suppressed at $x \approx 0.2$, consistent with the transport [\[17\]](#page-8-0) and ARPES [\[20\]](#page-8-0) studies. For *x* = 0, 0.1, and 0.2 No. 1, compared with the high-temperature value above T_s , $\omega_{p,n}^2$ decreases by around one-third at the lowest temperature. This large reduction would stem from an effective Fermi energy comparable with the energy splitting of d_{xz} and d_{yz} bands due to the orbital ordering. Interestingly, the two samples for $x = 0.2$ showed the distinct behaviors. This indicates that the end point of the nematic transition for FeSe1−*^x*Te*^x* thin films on CaF₂ substrates is around $x = 0.2$, which can be altered depending on the degree of in-plane strain. Indeed, it was reported that the Te content necessary to suppress the nematic transition can be controlled by changing the substrate materials [\[17\]](#page-8-0). There seems to be a threshold of the high-temperature value of $\omega_{p,n}^2$. The nematic transition would be present for the samples with $\omega_{p,n}^2$ larger than ~1.1×10⁷ cm⁻².

The reduction of the coherent carrier density induced by the nematic transition should affect superconductivity. In the present system, the carrier density seems to correlate with T_c [\[11,12,](#page-7-0)[51\]](#page-8-0). In this context, the presence of the nematic transition, which reduces the coherent carrier density, hinders superconductivity, although it is not clear whether the presence of the nematic order itself competes with superconductivity. For $x = 0.2$ No. 1, we confirmed the nematic transition as well as the two-step superconducting transition [inset of Fig. $1(d)$], suggesting that the sample contains two parts: One showing the nematic transition with lower T_c , and the other showing no nematic transition with higher T_c . This infers that a slight difference of in-plane strain results in elimination of the nematic transition and a large enhancement of *T*_c. The transport study on FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} single crystals demonstrated that suppression of the nematic phase under pressure gives rise to an enhancement of T_c [\[19\]](#page-8-0), which may be related with the increase in the carrier density. Unlike FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*}, *T*_c for S-substituted FeSe shows no drastic change or rather a decrease across the boundary of the nematic phase [\[14,](#page-7-0)[15,52\]](#page-8-0). In this case, no significant change in the carrier density across the phase boundary [\[51\]](#page-8-0). The origin of the contrasting behavior in FeSe_{1−*x*}S_{*x*} should be clarified by further studies.

Superconductivity should also be influenced by the change in the correlation strength. Outside the nematic phase, T_c decreases with increasing *x*. Probably, electronic correlations for higher values of x are too strong to support high- T_c superconductivity. The value of $\omega_{p,n}^2/(\omega_{p,n}^2 + \omega_{p,b}^2)$ for $x = 0.2$ with maximal T_c is ~0.07, which is close to that for doped $BaFe₂As₂$ [\[45\]](#page-8-0). Thus, the strength of electronic correlations is commonly an important ingredient not only for iron pnictides, but also for iron chalcogenides. In light of electronic correlations, FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} films on CaF₂ substrates for $x < 0.2$ have a potential to exhibit superconductivity with T_c comparable with or higher than that for $x = 0.2$, but actual T_c is much lower because of the suppression of the coherent carrier density due to the nematic order.

Finally, we comment on the influence of spin fluctuations. In the temperature-pressure phase diagram of FeSe, *T*^c takes a maximum at the boundary of the magnetic phase [\[10\]](#page-7-0). The NMR study observed enhanced spin fluctuations for FeSe_{1−*x*}S_{*x*} in the nematic phase near the composition with maximal T_c [\[53\]](#page-8-0). These results indicate the intimate relationship between spin fluctuations and superconductivity. Although no magnetic phase has been observed for FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} for both the composition and the pressure axis $[17,19]$, the appearance of the d_{xy} orbital in the hole Fermi surface induced by Te substitution can enhance spin fluctuations in the d_{xy} channel [\[20\]](#page-8-0). The enhancement of T_c may partly result from the presence of spin fluctuations associated with the nesting between d_{xy} portions of the hole and electron Fermi pockets.

IV. CONCLUSION

We measured in-plane optical spectrum of the FeSe1−*^x*Te*^x* thin films on CaF_2 substrates for $x = 0$, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4. For all the compositions, the low-energy optical conductivity spectra at high temperatures are almost flat, indicative of the highly incoherent charge dynamics. With decreasing temperature, the Drude response becomes appreciable, the degree of which is weakened with Te substitution. The Drude-Lorentz analysis revealed that $\omega_{p,n}^2$ decreases with increasing x, whereas $\omega_{\rm p,b}^2$ increases. The reduction of the fraction of

FIG. 6. (a) Temperature dependence of the reflectivity spectrum in the low-energy region for the FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} thin film on CaF₂ with $x = 0.2$ No. 1. *t* is a thickness of the films. The spectrum is largely affected by substrate $CaF₂$ as described in the text. (b) Temperature dependence of the reflectivity spectrum for the bare substrate of $CaF₂$.

 $\omega_{p,n}^2$ is attributed to an enhancement of electronic correlations with Te substitution due to the change in the local crystal structure. The d_{xy} orbital is considered to play a crucial role in the evolution of electronic correlations. Upon entering the low-temperature nematic phase, $\omega_{p,n}^2$ decreases. This behavior

disappeared at $x \approx 0.2$, corresponding to the end point of the nematic transition. The suppression of $\omega_{p,n}^2$ was observed for one of the two samples with $x = 0.2$ but not for the other, which is consistent with the fact that T_c abruptly jumps up when suppressing the nematic phase. The present result suggests that the enhancement of T_c originates from the increase in the coherent carrier density due to the suppression of the nematic transition. The decrease in T_c with Te substitution for $x > 0.2$ likely arises from too strong electronic correlations, which is harmful to high- T_c superconductivity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. JP18K13500 and No. JP18K03513.

APPENDIX A: LOW-ENERGY REFLECTIVITY SPECTRA AND EFFECT OF SUBSTRATES

Optical reflectivity spectra of thin films are strongly affected by substrates when the film thickness is smaller than the skin depth. In Fig. $6(a)$, we show the temperature dependence of low-energy optical reflectivity spectra of the FeSe1−*^x*Te*^x* film on CaF_2 with $x = 0.2$ No. 1, which is the thinnest of the samples in the present paper. The peak at \sim 265 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the optical phonon mode of substrate $CaF₂$ as shown in Fig. $6(b)$. The reflectivity spectra at high temperatures are almost flat below 200 cm⁻¹. This feature arises from FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} with a highly incoherent nature grown on insulating $CaF₂$. With decreasing temperature, the reflectivity in the lowest-energy region increases, in agreement with a metallic behavior of FeSe1−*^x*Te*x*.

FIG. 7. Temperature dependence of (a)–(e) the reflectivity spectrum for the FeSe1−*^x*Te*^x* thin films, (f)–(j) the optical conductivity spectrum for FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*}, and (k)–(o) integrated spectral weight $N_{\text{eff}}(\omega)$ in a wide energy range up to 8000 cm⁻¹.

FIG. 8. Evolution of the integrated spectral weight $N_{\text{eff}}(\omega)$ of FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} at $T = 100$ K.

APPENDIX B: OPTICAL SPECTRA FOR A WIDE ENERGY RANGE

Figure [7](#page-6-0) shows the temperature dependence of reflectivity spectra of FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} films and extracted optical conductivity spectra of FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} for a wide energy range up to 8000 cm−1. We observed no appreciable temperature dependence above 8000 cm⁻¹. In the conductivity spectra, two peaks are present at \sim 2000 and \sim 4000 cm⁻¹, corresponding to interband transitions [Figs. $7(f)$ – $7(i)$]. The double-peak structure is observed for all the compositions investigated in the present paper, whereas the 4000-cm⁻¹ peak significantly broadens and becomes ambiguous with increasing *x*. For $x = 0.4$ at 300 K, only a gentle hump structure can be seen at \sim 3500 cm⁻¹. This is consistent with the optical spectrum

for FeTe $(x = 1.0)$ [\[29,54\]](#page-8-0) in which the higher-energy peak cannot be recognized.

With decreasing temperature, the two peaks sharpen and show a blueshift, or spectral-weight transfer to higher energies [Figs. $7(f)$ – $7(j)$]. The value of the optical conductivity at [∼]4000 cm−¹ does not vary with temperature, indicative of an isosbestic point at the corresponding energy. As shown in Figs. [7\(k\)–7\(o\),](#page-6-0) the N_{eff} curves merge at ~8000 cm⁻¹, indicating that the spectral-weight transfer occurs within this energy range. Such a behavior has been seen in various FeSCs [\[54\]](#page-8-0). Interband transitions would result in most of the spectral weight, and the temperature-dependent part likely stems from a different origin. It has been proposed that the spectral-weight transfer over a broad energy region is related to the Hund's coupling [\[44,54\]](#page-8-0). Wang *et al.* argued that at low temperatures, a fraction of relatively itinerant electrons tend to become more localized by Hund's coupling to local moments [\[54\]](#page-8-0). According to this scenario, the spectral-weight transfer can be observed from a low-energy region to an energy scale higher than Hund's coupling energy.

Te substitution increases the total Drude weight as shown in Fig. [4\(c\),](#page-3-0) suggesting a decrease in higher-energy spectral weight. In principle, this effect can be confirmed by the energy dependence of the effective carrier number *N*eff described by Eq. [\(2\)](#page-4-0). Figure 8 shows the evolution of N_{eff} for FeSe_{1−*x*}Te_{*x*} at $T = 100$ K. Below ~ 500 cm⁻¹, N_{eff} decreases with increasing *x* because of the decrease in the narrow Drude weight $\omega_{p,n}^2$. Then, the increase in the total Drude weight makes *N*eff larger for higher *x*, leading to the reversed order above \sim 1000 cm⁻¹. Finally, the N_{eff} curves roughly merge at \sim 8000 cm⁻¹. Note that the increase in the total Drude weight from $x = 0$ to 0.4 (\sim 3×10⁷ cm⁻²) accounts for only a small amount of the weight of the two interband transitions. It is difficult to precisely determine the energy range from which the spectral weight is transferred to the Drude component.

- [1] [R. M. Fernandes, A. V. Chubukov, and J. Schmalian,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2877) Nat. Phys. **10**, 97 (2014).
- [2] T. M. McQueen, A. J. Williams, P. W. Stephens, J. Tao, Y. Zhu, [V. Ksenofontov, F. Casper, C. Felser, and R. J. Cava,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.057002) Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 057002 (2009).
- [3] F.-C. Hsu, J.-Y. Luo, K.-W. Yeh, T.-K. Chen, T.-W. Huang, P. M. Wu, Y.-C. Lee, Y.-L. Huang, Y.-Y. Chu, D.-C. Yan, and M.-K. Wu, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0807325105) **105**, 14262 (2008).
- [4] T. Shimojima, Y. Suzuki, T. Sonobe, A. Nakamura, M. Sakano, J. Omachi, K. Yoshioka, M. Kuwata-Gonokami, K. Ono, H. Kumigashira, A. E. Böhmer, F. Hardy, T. Wolf, C. Meingast, [H. v. Löhneysen, H. Ikeda, and K. Ishizaka,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.121111) Phys. Rev. B **90**, 121111(R) (2014).
- [5] K. Nakayama, Y. Miyata, G. N. Phan, T. Sato, Y. Tanabe, T. [Urata, K. Tanigaki, and T. Takahashi,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.237001) Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 237001 (2014).
- [6] M. D. Watson, T. K. Kim, A. A. Haghighirad, N. R. Davies, A. McCollam, A. Narayanan, S. F. Blake, Y. L. Chen, S. Ghannadzadeh, A. J. Schofield, M. Hoesch, C. Meingast, T. Wolf, and A. I. Coldea, Phys. Rev. B **91**[, 155106 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.155106)
- [7] Y. Mizuguchi, F. Tomioka, S. Tsuda, T. Yamaguchi, and Y. Takano, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.78.074712) **78**, 074712 (2009).
- [8] [Y. Imai, Y. Sawada, F. Nabeshima, and A. Maeda,](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1418994112) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **112**, 1937 (2015).
- [9] S. Medvedev, T. M. McQueen, I. A. Troyan, T. Palasyuk, M. I. Eremets, R. J. Cava, S. Naghavi, F. Casper, V. Ksenofontov, G. Wortmann, and C. Felser, Nat. Mater. **8**[, 630 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2491)
- [10] J. P. Sun, K. Matsuura, G. Z. Ye, Y. Mizukami, M. Shimozawa, K. Matsubayashi, M. Yamashita, T. Watashige, S. Kasahara, Y. Matsuda, J.-Q. Yan, B. C. Sales, Y. Uwatoko, J.-G. Cheng, and T. Shibauchi, Nat. Commun. **7**[, 12146 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12146)
- [11] F. Nabeshima, M. Kawai, T. Ishikawa, N. Shikama, and A. Maeda, [Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.7567/JJAP.57.120314) **57**, 120314 (2018).
- [12] [M. Nakajima, Y. Ohata, and S. Tajima,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.044801) Phys. Rev. Mater. **5**, 044801 (2021).
- [13] K. Kothapalli, A. E. Böhmer, W. T. Jayasekara, B. G. Ueland, P. Das, A. Sapkota, V. Taufour, Y. Xiao, E. Alp, S. L. Bud'ko, [P. C. Canfield, A. Kreyssig, and A. I. Goldman,](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12728) Nat. Commun. **7**, 12728 (2016).
- [14] F. Nabeshima, T. Ishikawa, K.-I. Oyanagi, M. Kawai, and A. Maeda, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.87.073704) **87**, 073704 (2018).
- [15] X. Yi, X. Xing, L. Qin, J. Feng, M. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Meng, N. Zhou, Y. Sun, and Z. Shi, Phys. Rev. B **103**[, 144501 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.144501)
- [16] F. Nabeshima, Y. Kawai, N. Shikama, Y. Sakishita, A. Suter, T. Prokscha, S. E. Park, S. Komiya, A. Ichinose, T. Adachi, and A. Maeda, Phys. Rev. B **103**[, 184504 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.184504)
- [17] Y. Imai, Y. Sawada, F. Nabeshima, D. Asami, M. Kawai, and A. Maeda, Sci. Rep. **7**[, 46653 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46653)
- [18] K. Terao, T. Kashiwagi, T. Shizu, R. A. Klemm, and K. Kadowaki, Phys. Rev. B. **100**[, 224516 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.224516)
- [19] K. Mukasa, K. Matsuura, M. Qiu, M. Saito, Y. Sugimura, K. Ishida, M. Otani, Y. Onishi, Y. Mizukami, K. Hashimoto, J. Gouchi, R. Kumai, Y. Uwatoko, and T. Shibauchi, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20621-2) **12**, 381 (2021).
- [20] K. Nakayama, R. Tsubono, G. N. Phan, F. Nabeshima, N. Shikama, T. Ishikawa, Y. Sakishita, S. Ideta, K. Tanaka, A. [Maeda, T. Takahashi, and T. Sato,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.L012007) Phys. Rev. Res. **3**, L012007 (2021).
- [21] [T. Misawa, K. Nakamura, and M. Imada,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.177007) Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 177007 (2012).
- [22] [L. de' Medici, G. Giovannetti, and M. Capone,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.177001) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 177001 (2014).
- [23] Z. P. Yin, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, Nat. Mater. **10**[, 932 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3120)
- [24] [M. Yi, Y. Zhang, Z.-X. Shen, and D. Lu,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-017-0059-y) npj Quantum Mater. **2**, 1 (2017).
- [25] M. Yi, Z.-K. Liu, Y. Zhang, R. Yu, J.-X. Zhu, J. J. Lee, R. G. Moore, F. T. Schmitt, W. Li, S. C. Riggs, J.-H. Chu, B. Lv, J. Hu, M. Hashimoto, S.-K. Mo, Z. Hussain, Z. Q. Mao, C. W. Chu, I. R. Fisher, Q. Si *et al.*, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8777) **6**, 7777 (2015).
- [26] Z. K. Liu, M. Yi, Y. Zhang, J. Hu, R. Yu, J.-X. Zhu, R.-H. He, Y. L. Chen, M. Hashimoto, R. G. Moore, S.-K. Mo, Z. Hussain, [Q. Si, Z. Q. Mao, D. H. Lu, and Z.-X. Shen,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.235138) Phys. Rev. B **92**, 235138 (2015).
- [27] T. Otsuka, S. Hagisawa, Y. Koshika, S. Adachi, T. Usui, N. Sasaki, S. Sasaki, S. Yamaguchi, Y. Nakanishi, M. Yoshizawa, S. Kimura, and T. Watanabe, Phys. Rev. B **99**[, 184505 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.184505)
- [28] M. Nakajima, K. Yanase, F. Nabeshima, Y. Imai, A. Maeda, and S. Tajima, Phys. Rev. B **95**[, 184502 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.184502)
- [29] Y. M. Dai, A. Akrap, J. Schneeloch, R. D. Zhong, T. S. Liu, [G. D. Gu, Q. Li, and C. C. Homes,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.121114) Phys. Rev. B **90**, 121114(R) (2014).
- [30] C. C. Homes, Y. M. Dai, J. S. Wen, Z. J. Xu, and G. D. Gu, Phys. Rev. B **91**[, 144503 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.144503)
- [31] D. Wu, N. Barišić, P. Kallina, A. Faridian, B. Gorshunov, N. Drichko, L. J. Li, X. Lin, G. H. Cao, Z. A. Xu, N. L. Wang, and M. Dressel, Phys. Rev. B **81**[, 100512\(R\) \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.100512)
- [32] M. Nakajima, S. Ishida, K. Kihou, Y. Tomioka, T. Ito, Y. Yoshida, C. H. Lee, H. Kito, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, K. M. Kojima, and S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. B **81**[, 104528 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.104528)
- [33] M. Nakajima, S. Ishida, T. Tanaka, K. Kihou, Y. Tomioka, T. Saito, C. H. Lee, H. Fukazawa, Y. Kohori, T. Kakeshita, A. Iyo, T. Ito, H. Eisaki, and S. Uchida, Sci. Rep. **4**[, 5873 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05873)
- [34] [H. Wang, Z. Ye, Y. Zhang, and N. Wang,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-016-1102-2) Sci. Bull. **61**, 1126 (2016).
- [35] M. D. Watson, T. Yamashita, S. Kasahara, W. Knafo, M. Nardone, J. Béard, F. Hardy, A. McCollam, A. Narayanan, S. F.

Blake, T. Wolf, A. A. Haghighirad, C. Meingast, A. J. Schofield, H. v. Löhneysen, Y. Matsuda, A. I. Coldea, and T. Shibauchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**[, 027006 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.027006)

- [36] M. Nakajima, T. Tanaka, S. Ishida, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, [T. Kakeshita, H. Eisaki, and S. Uchida,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.094501) Phys. Rev. B **88**, 094501 (2013).
- [37] J. Huang, R. Yu, Z. Xu, J.-X. Zhu, Q. Jiang, M. Wang, H. Wu, T. Chen, J. D. Denlinger, S.-K. Mo, M. Hashimoto, G. Gu, P. Dai, J.-H. Chu, D. Lu, Q. Si, R. J. Birgeneau, and M. Yi, [arXiv:2010.13913.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2010.13913)
- [38] E. Ieki, K. Nakayama, Y. Miyata, T. Sato, H. Miao, N. Xu, X.- P. Wang, P. Zhang, T. Qian, P. Richard, Z.-J. Xu, J. S. Wen, G. D. Gu, H. Q. Luo, H.-H. Wen, H. Ding, and T. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. B **89**[, 140506\(R\) \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.140506)
- [39] T. Noji, M. Imaizumi, T. Suzuki, T. Adachi, M. Kato, and Y. Koike, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.81.054708) **81**, 054708 (2012).
- [40] D. Arčon, P. Jeglič, A. Zorko, A. Potočnik, A. Y. Ganin, Y. [Takabayashi, M. J. Rosseinsky, and K. Prassides,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.140508) Phys. Rev. B **82**, 140508(R) (2010).
- [41] A. J. Millis, A. Zimmers, R. P. S. M. Lobo, N. Bontemps, and C. C. Homes, Phys. Rev. B **72**[, 224517 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.224517)
- [42] M. M. Qazilbash, J. J. Hamlin, R. E. Baumbach, L. Zhang, [D. J. Singh, M. B. Maple, and D. N. Basov,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1343) Nat. Phys. **5**, 647 (2009).
- [43] L. Degiorgi, New J. Phys. **13**[, 023011 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/2/023011)
- [44] A. A. Schafgans, S. J. Moon, B. C. Pursley, A. D. LaForge, M. M. Qazilbash, A. S. Sefat, D. Mandrus, K. Haule, G. Kotliar, and D. N. Basov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**[, 147002 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.147002)
- [45] M. Nakajima, S. Ishida, T. Tanaka, K. Kihou, Y. Tomioka, T. Saito, C.-H. Lee, H. Fukazawa, Y. Kohori, T. Kakeshita, A. Iyo, [T. Ito, H. Eisaki, and S.-I. Uchida,](https://doi.org/10.7566/JPSJ.83.104703) J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **83**, 104703 (2014).
- [46] [Y. Imai, F. Nabeshima, and A. Maeda,](https://doi.org/10.3390/condmat2030025) Condens. Matter **2**, 25 (2017).
- [47] N. Yoshikawa, M. Takayama, N. Shikama, T. Ishikawa, F. [Nabeshima, A. Maeda, and R. Shimano,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.035110) Phys. Rev. B **100**, 035110 (2019).
- [48] W. Z. Hu, J. Dong, G. Li, Z. Li, P. Zheng, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**[, 257005 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.257005)
- [49] A. Charnukha, D. Pröpper, T. I. Larkin, D. L. Sun, Z. W. Li, [C. T. Lin, T. Wolf, B. Keimer, and A. V. Boris,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.184511) Phys. Rev. B **88**, 184511 (2013).
- [50] Y. M. Dai, A. Akrap, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, and C. C. Homes, Phys. Rev. B **94**[, 195142 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.195142)
- [51] F. Nabeshima, T. Ishikawa, N. Shikama, and A. Maeda, Phys. Rev. B **101**[, 184517 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.184517)
- [52] P. Reiss, M. D. Watson, T. K. Kim, A. A. Haghighirad, D. N. [Woodruff, M. Bruma, S. J. Clarke, and A. I. Coldea,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.121103) Phys. Rev. B **96**, 121103(R) (2017).
- [53] P. Wiecki, K. Rana, A. E. Böhmer, Y. Lee, S. L. Bud'ko, [P. C. Canfield, and Y. Furukawa,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.020507) Phys. Rev. B **98**, 020507(R) (2018).
- [54] N. L. Wang, W. Z. Hu, Z. G. Chen, R. H. Yuan, G. Li, G. F. Chen, and T. Xiang, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/24/29/294202) **24**, 294202 (2012).