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#### Abstract

We address a particular set of extended Su-Schrieffer-Heeger models with $2 n$ sites in the unit cell [ $\mathrm{SSH}(2 n)]$, that we designate by sine-cosine models [ $\mathrm{SC}(n)$ ], with hopping terms defined as a sequence of $n$ sine-cosine pairs of the form $\left\{\sin \left(\theta_{j}\right), \cos \left(\theta_{j}\right)\right\}, j=1, \ldots, n$. These models, when squared, generate a block-diagonal matrix representation with one of the blocks corresponding to a chain with uniform local potentials. We further focus our study on the subset of $\operatorname{SC}\left(2^{n-1}\right)$ chains that, when squared an arbitrary number of times (up to $n$ ), always generate a block which is again a sine-cosine model, if an energy shift is applied and if the energy unit is renormalized. We show that these $n$-times squarable models $[\operatorname{SSC}(n)]$ and their band structure are uniquely determined by the sequence of energy unit renormalizations and by the energy shifts associated with each step of the squaring process. Chiral symmetry is present in all sine-cosine chains and edge-state levels at the respective central gaps are protected by it. Zero-energy edge states in a $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ chain (with $j<n$ ) of the Matryoshka sequence obtained squaring the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain with open boundary conditions become finite-energy edge states in noncentral band gaps of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain. The extension to higher dimensions is also discussed.
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The characterization of square-root topological insulators $(\sqrt{\mathrm{TI}})$ relies on the fact that the square of the matrix representation of a $\sqrt{\text { TI }}$ Hamiltonian in the Wannier basis is a block-diagonal matrix or, more precisely, it is the direct sum $H^{2}=H_{\mathrm{TI}} \oplus H_{2}$ of two blocks $H_{\mathrm{TI}}$ and $H_{2}$ that have the same finite energy spectrum, after applying a constant energy downshift, but different eigenstates ( $H_{\text {TI }}$ being the Hamiltonian of a known topological insulator) [1-3]. This reflects the fact that the $\sqrt{\mathrm{TI}}$ Hamiltonian $H$ is defined in a bipartite lattice (i.e., a lattice with sublattices A and B such that the Hamiltonian can be written as a sum of hopping terms, which implies finite Hamiltonian matrix elements, between different sublattices, $\left.H=H_{A B}+H_{B A}\right)$.

As very recent examples of square-root topological insulators, one may cite the diamond chain in the presence of magnetic flux [3] or our work on the $t_{1} t_{1} t_{2} t_{2}$ tightbinding chain (where a modified Zak's phase, a sublattice chiral-like symmetry, modified polarization quantization, etc., were found [4]) where $H_{T I}$ corresponds to the well-known Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model [5]. In these cases, the topological invariants and symmetries of the SSH Hamiltonian $H_{T I}$ map onto modified topological invariants of the original Hamiltonian (see Ref. [4]). The $t_{1} t_{1} t_{2} t_{2}$ tightbinding chain is a particular case of the $\mathrm{SSH}(4)$ model [6], which is a generalization of the topological SSH chain [7-9].

Recently, several methods of generating the square root Hamiltonian of a given topological insulator Hamiltonian in one dimension (1D) $[1-3,10,11]$ and two dimensions (2D) [12-15] have been proposed. These methods do not allow its consecutive application due to the appearance of nonuniform local potentials and the consequent loss of the bipartite property. This also reflects the fact that the square-root lattice and the original one are not self-similar.

In this paper, we consider a particular subset of $1 \mathrm{D} \operatorname{SSH}(N)$ models, $N$ being the number of sites in the unit cell, that we designate by sine-cosine models, such that the consecutive squaring of the Hamiltonian always has a block-diagonal matrix representation with one of the blocks corresponding to a bipartite chain (apart from an energy shift) which is selfsimilar to the original chain; that is, it is again a sine-cosine model provided that the energy unit is renormalized. The sequence of energy unit renormalizations associated with each step of the squaring process determines the energy gaps in the spectrum of the original chain. Finite-energy edge states may be generated at each of these gaps in the case of chains with open boundary conditions, and these edge states are protected by a sequence of $n$-chiral symmetries that become evident when $n$-times squaring, energy shifting, and renormalizing the Hamiltonian.

The higher-dimension generalizations of these 1D models will also have the energy gaps at the inversion-invariant momenta determined by the renormalization factors. We show that a square-root Hamiltonian of these higher dimensional models can be also obtained from the 1D counterparts introducing a $\pi$ flux per plaquette.

Sine-cosine chains. Assume an $\operatorname{SSH}(2 n)$ chain with a unit cell with $2 n$ sites and with nearest-neighbor hopping terms $t_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 2 n$, for some positive integer $n$. The sine-cosine model of order $n, \mathrm{SC}(n)$, is defined by imposing $t_{2 j-1}=$ $\sin \left(\theta_{j}\right)$ and $t_{2 j}=\cos \left(\theta_{j}\right)$, with $j=1, \ldots, n$ (see top diagram in Fig. 1).

By squaring this bipartite Hamiltonian, one obtains a block-diagonal matrix (one for each sublattice of the bipartite chain) and one of the blocks [shown in the middle diagram of Fig. 1(a)] corresponds to a tight-binding model with uniform local potentials $\varepsilon_{j}=\sin \left(\theta_{j}\right)^{2}+\cos \left(\theta_{j}\right)^{2}=1$ and hopping terms $t_{j}=\cos \left(\theta_{j}\right) \sin \left(\theta_{j+1}\right)$. The uniform potentials
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-i k} \cos \theta_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

FIG. 1. (a) The top diagram illustrates the sine-cosine chain with a unit cell of $2 n$ sites and hopping terms $t_{2 j-1}=\sin \left(\theta_{j}\right)$ and $t_{2 j}=$ $\cos \left(\theta_{j}\right)$, with $j=1, \ldots, n$. Upon squaring, the Hamiltonian for one of the sublattices has the form shown in the bottom diagram, with uniform local potentials $\varepsilon_{j}=\sin \left(\theta_{j}\right)^{2}+\cos \left(\theta_{j}\right)^{2}=1$, as illustrated in the simple case of three-site chains in the bottom diagrams of panel (a). (b) Schematic representation of a SC(4) bulk Hamiltonian. The sites correspondent to A and B sublattices are colored in light blue and light red, respectively.
can be removed by applying an energy shift of one. Note that, if the hopping terms are globally multiplied by a hopping factor $t$, one can still recover the sine-cosine form for the Hamiltonian setting this parameter $t$ as the unit energy so that the energy shift is again one (in units of $t$ ).

In the simple case of a uniform chain with hopping parameter $t_{1}$, one has $\theta_{j}=\pi / 4$ for all $j$, and the hopping parameter is $t_{1}=t / \sqrt{2}$, so the energy shift necessary to remove the uniform potentials (which is one in units of $t$ ) becomes $2 t_{1}^{2}$ (see Fig. 2). Obviously, the sublattice Hamiltonian corresponds to another uniform chain with $t_{2}=t^{2} \cos (\pi / 4) \sin (\pi / 4)=$ $t^{2} / 2=t_{1}^{2}$. Note that, if we consider the respective inverse operation, the square root of the $t_{2}$ chain, the bottom zero-energy level (in red) in Fig. 2 is shifted by $\sqrt{2} t_{1}$ in the top spectrum.

These arguments apply to an infinite chain as well as to chains with periodic boundary conditions. In the case of a finite chain with open boundary conditions with an arbitrary number of sites, impurity-like potentials may be generated at the edge sites of the sublattices when squaring the Hamiltonian (the local potentials are not uniform). However, for particular system sizes, the same reasoning can be applied. This will be discussed below, after we address the spectra of sine-cosine chains with periodic boundary conditions (PBCs) in the next section.

Application to bulk Hamiltonians. In this section, we show that, when the unit cell of PBC sine-cosine chains has $2^{n}$


FIG. 2. The squaring process for a uniform chain (that is, $\theta_{j}=$ $\pi / 4$ for all $j$ ) with PBCs. The top spectrum is for a chain with 32 sites and hopping parameter $t_{1}$ and the bottom for a chain with 16 sites and hopping parameter $t_{2}$. The colored lines indicate the folding energies in the following steps of the squaring sequence.
sites, for certain choices of $\theta_{j}$, the squaring process can be applied $n$ times and, at each step, one of the Hamiltonian blocks is again a sine-cosine chain (and this is why we call it a Matryoshka sequence), if an energy shift is applied and if the energy unit is renormalized. We label these $n$-times squarable sine-cosine chains, $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ [they are a subset of the $\operatorname{SC}\left(2^{n-1}\right)$ chains]. Furthermore, the sequence of energy shifts and energy-unit renormalizations determine the energy gaps in the respective spectrum.

A bulk Hamiltonian $H(k)$ is the Hamiltonian of the unit cell closed onto itself with a twisted boundary (reflecting the $e^{i k}$ phases in the hopping terms connecting one unit cell to the next), see Fig. 1(b). If the real-space Hamiltonian is bipartite and the unit cell has more than one site, then $H(k)$ is also bipartite and the squaring process will generate a block-diagonal matrix.

Our Matryoshka sequence of sine-cosine chains is constructed starting from the last Hamiltonian in the squaring process which is that of a uniform chain with a single site in the unit cell and applying successively a square root operation (see Fig. 2). At each step of the square root process, we obtain a Hamiltonian with a new chiral symmetry, as illustrated in Fig. 2 [5]. The first iteration deviates from the general expressions for the following ones since the uniform chain has a single-site unit cell and therefore the respective bulk Hamiltonian cannot be written in the sine-cosine form described in the beginning of this section. Therefore we describe this first iteration before presenting the general expressions.
(1) From the $\operatorname{SSC}(0)$ to the $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ chain. The sine-cosine chain $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ (corresponding to the SSH model) has hopping terms $\{\sin \theta, \cos \theta\}$ and, when squared, generates a set of two equal bands with energy relation $\varepsilon(k)=1+\sin (2 \theta) \cos k$ that corresponds to the spectrum of the uniform tight-binding $\operatorname{SSC}(0)$ chain with an energy shift equal to one and a hopping parameter $t^{(0)}=\sin (2 \theta) / \sqrt{2}$ (that determines the bandwidth). So the $\operatorname{SSC}(0)$ chain has as band limits $\pm \sqrt{2} t^{(0)}$ and the chiral level $\varepsilon_{S S C(0)}^{(0)}$ (folding level under the squaring operation) is zero. These values also determine the band structure of the
$\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ chain: the band limits are $\pm\left(1 \pm \sqrt{2} t^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and a new chiral symmetry is present with chiral level $\varepsilon_{S S C(1)}^{(1)}=0$. The chiral level of the $\operatorname{SSC}(0)$ chain is present at the $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ spectrum at the energies $\varepsilon_{S S C(0)}^{(1)}= \pm 1$. Note that the notation $\varepsilon^{(n)}$ means a level in the spectrum of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain.

If we introduce a global factor $t^{(1)}$ in the hopping constants of the $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ chain so that the hopping parameters become $\left\{t^{(1)} \sin \theta, t^{(1)} \cos \theta\right\}$, then the band limits become $\pm t^{(1)}\left(1 \pm \sqrt{2} t^{(0)}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $\varepsilon_{S S C(0)}^{(1)}= \pm t^{(1)}$. Note that the uniform chain band energy shift and its bandwidth (for any choice of energy units) determine the hopping parameters of the $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ chain, and the same will occur if we repeat the square root operation [applying it to the $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ chain, then to $\operatorname{SSC}(2)$ and so on].
(2) From the $\operatorname{SSC}(n-1)$ chain to the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain. When squaring the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ Hamiltonian, the condition that it generates a block corresponding to a $\operatorname{SSC}(n-1)$ chain is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{(n-1)} \sin \theta_{j}^{(n-1)}=\cos \theta_{2 j-1}^{(n)} \sin \theta_{2 j}^{(n)}  \tag{1}\\
& t^{(n-1)} \cos \theta_{j}^{(n-1)}=\cos \theta_{2 j}^{(n)} \sin \theta_{2 j+1}^{(n)} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, 2^{n-2}$ with $2^{n-1}+1 \equiv 1$. This implies that the global hopping factor in the $\operatorname{SSC}(n-1)$ chain is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{(n-1)}=\sqrt{\left(\cos \theta_{2 j-1}^{(n)} \sin \theta_{2 j}^{(n)}\right)^{2}+\left(\cos \theta_{2 j}^{(n)} \sin \theta_{2 j+1}^{(n)}\right)^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any value of $j$. These equations determine (almost uniquely, as we explain further in the paper) the set $\left\{\theta_{j}^{(n)}\right\}$ of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ if $t^{(n-1)}$ and $\left\{\theta_{j}^{(n-1)}\right\}$ are known.

Similarly to what was explained in the case $\operatorname{SSC}(0) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$, any level $\varepsilon^{(n-1)}$ in the $\operatorname{SSC}(n-1)$ spectrum becomes a pair of levels, $\pm\left(1+t^{(n-1)} \varepsilon^{(n-1)}\right)^{1 / 2}$, in the $\operatorname{SSC}(n-1)$ spectrum. It is simple to conclude that the band structure of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ is characterized by the following sequence of energy values that give the top and bottom energies of each band,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{n}^{ \pm \pm \pm \cdots \pm \pm} \\
& \quad= \pm \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(n-1)} \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(n-2)} \sqrt{\cdots \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(1)} \sqrt{1 \pm \sqrt{2} t^{(0)}}}}}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where all the possible combinations of signs must be considered. The folding levels associated with the chiral symmetries that appear at each step of the squaring process are, in the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ spectrum, given by the ordered sequence of the values (all the possible combinations of signs must be considered)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm 1 \\
& \pm \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(n-1)}}, \\
& \pm \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(n-1)} \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(n-2)}}} \\
& \vdots \\
& \pm \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(n-1)} \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(n-2)} \sqrt{\cdots \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(1)}}}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To summarize, the set $\left\{\theta_{j}^{(n)}\right\}$ in the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ Hamiltonian is determined by the sequence of hopping factors $t^{(j)}, j=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$, which are the energy units for each step of the construction of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain, starting from the uniform chain. Obviously, all the bandwidths and gaps in the spectrum are also determined by this sequence. Note that we assumed that all hopping parameters are positive and this places all angles in the first quadrant. A gauge transformation can change the sign of the hopping terms maintaining the spectrum. Even with this condition, the set $\left\{\theta_{j}^{(n)}\right\}$ in the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ is not unique given the sequence of hopping factors $t^{(j)}, j=1, \ldots, n-1$, because there are still the two possible choices for the $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ sublattice at each step of the construction of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ Hamiltonian (but the two choices generate the same spectrum).

The values of the angles in the caption of Fig. 3 were derived using this procedure; that is, given the hopping parameters $t^{(0)}=\sin (0.4 \pi) / \sqrt{2}, t^{(1)}=0.9 / \sqrt{2}$, and $t^{(2)}=0.8 / \sqrt{2}$, we apply the procedure above three times starting from the uniform chain, generating in the first step the $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ chain (following the first step of the procedure), in the second $\operatorname{SSC}(2)$, and so on (following the second step of the procedure).

The first step is trivial since we defined the first hopping parameter as $t^{(0)}=\sin (0.4 \pi) / \sqrt{2}$ and immediately conclude that the $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ Hamiltonian has hopping terms $\{\sin 0.2 \pi, \cos 0.2 \pi\}$. Note that, in this case, when squaring the $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ Hamiltonian, the diagonal blocks are equal and therefore both blocks describe the same uniform chain. So, starting from the uniform chain, we have two possible choices of sublattice corresponding to the initial uniform chain that imply the same SSC(1) Hamiltonian except for a one-site shift of the hopping terms. Recall that we only consider angles in the first quadrant so that the hopping terms are positive. If we allowed for negative (or complex) hopping terms, other solutions would be obtained corresponding to gauge transformations of the Hamiltonian with positive hopping terms.

The $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ Hamiltonian is obtained from the $\operatorname{SSC}(j-1)$ one, with $j>1$, solving numerically Eqs. (1) and (2) in order to find the angles $\left\{\theta_{i}^{(j)}\right\}$, given the hopping parameters $t^{(j)}, j=0, \ldots, n-1$. In the construction of the $\operatorname{SSC}(3)$ bulk Hamiltonian, the spectrum of which is shown in Fig. 3, two different solutions [but that generate the same $\operatorname{SSC}(j-1)$ diagonal block when squared and the same spectrum] were found at each of the steps $\operatorname{SSC}(1) \rightarrow \operatorname{SSC}(2)$ and $\operatorname{SSC}(2) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{SSC}(3)$. In the first of these steps, we chose the odd sublattice as the one supporting the lower-order $\operatorname{SSC}(j-1)$ Hamiltonian and, in the second, we chose the even one. The hopping constants indicated in Fig. 3 reflect a choice of one of those two solutions at each of these steps. The existence of two solutions is easy to justify in the $\operatorname{SSC}(1) \rightarrow \operatorname{SSC}(2)$ step, noting that the $\operatorname{SSC}(2)$ bulk Hamiltonian with the hopping sequence $\left\{\sin \theta_{1}, \cos \theta_{1}, \sin \theta_{2}, \cos \theta_{2} e^{-i k}\right\}$ generates the same $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ block as the one with the hopping sequence $\left\{\cos \theta_{2}, \sin \theta_{2}, \cos \theta_{1}, \sin \theta_{1} e^{-i k}\right\}$.

The numerical solution can be checked by (i) squaring the SSC(3) Hamiltonian, (ii) selecting the diagonal block with unitary local energies, (iii) removing the diagonal matrix elements of this block, (iv) multiplying the block by a constant
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FIG. 3. (a) Band structure of the $\operatorname{SSC}(3)$ chain with $t^{(0)}=\sin (0.4 \pi) / \sqrt{2}, t^{(1)}=0.9 / \sqrt{2}$, and $t^{(2)}=0.8 / \sqrt{2}$ that generate unit-cell hopping constants $\left\{\sin \theta_{1}, \cos \theta_{1}, \sin \theta_{2}, \cos \theta_{2}, \sin \theta_{3}\right.$, $\left.\cos \theta_{3}, \sin \theta_{4}, \cos \theta_{4}\right\} \approx\{0.542,0.840,0.309,0.951,0.485,0.875$, $0.375,0.927\}$. The folding levels (that intersect energy curves at $\pm \pi / 2$ ) are shown as well as the band limits, $\varepsilon_{ \pm \pm \pm \pm}= \pm \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(2)} \sqrt{1 \pm t^{(1)} \sqrt{1 \pm \sqrt{2} t^{(0)}}}}$ (only the signs are indicated). (b) Right (R) and left (L) edge levels of a $\operatorname{SSC}(3)$ chain with OBC and $N=2^{n} p-1$ sites, with $n=3$ and integer $p>1$, for all the possible choices of the leftmost hopping term that allow the squaring into $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ chains.
(the inverse of $\left.t^{(j-1)}\right)$ that reduces this block to the $\operatorname{SSC}(j-1)$ Hamiltonian form. Repeating this procedure three times, one obtains the bulk $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ Hamiltonians associated with the construction of the $\operatorname{SSC}(3)$ Hamiltonian with spectrum shown in Fig. 3. This Matryoshka sequence is shown in Fig. 4, as well as the respective band structure of the $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ chains.

Note that the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain can be viewed as a $2^{n}$ root of a uniform tight-binding chain but a generalized one due to degrees of freedom associated with global hopping factors $t^{(n)}$.

Finite systems with open boundaries. In this section, we show how to generate edge states in any of the gaps in the band structure of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain which will be protected by the chiral symmetry of a particular step of the construction


FIG. 4. Matryoshka of bulk $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ Hamiltonians associated with the construction of the $\operatorname{SSC}(3)$ Hamiltonian with the spectrum shown in Fig. 3. The sublattice corresponding to $\operatorname{SSC}(j-1)$ is indicated by the color of the index site in the arrow $H^{2}$. The band structure of the $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ chains is shown on the bottom right corner [the corresponding $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ chain is indicated by the filling color].
of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ Hamiltonian. Note that the edge states are replicated in the corresponding gaps in the unfolding process. For example, the gaps in Fig. 3 from top to bottom are $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$, $\operatorname{SSC}(2), \operatorname{SSC}(1), \operatorname{SSC}(3), \operatorname{SSC}(1), \operatorname{SSC}(2), \operatorname{SSC}(1)$ gaps and an edge state associated with the $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ chain will be present in all $\operatorname{SSC}(1)$ gaps.

Let us first explain the appearance of edge states in the usual $\mathrm{SSH}(2)$ chain [equivalent to the $\mathrm{SC}(1) \equiv \mathrm{SSC}(1)$ chain]. Edge states appear in an open boundary $\operatorname{SSH}(2)$ chain when a weak link is present at the boundaries. Our definition of weak link is a hopping term in the unit cell that can be adiabatically increased from zero (with all the other hopping terms in the unit cell finite, constant, and larger) without closing the central gap. If one of the sublattices of the bipartite chain has one more site, an edge state is always present (it changes from a right edge state to a left edge state at the topological transition, reflecting the fact that there is always one weak link at one of the boundaries) and has finite support only in this sublattice. Furthermore, its energy is exactly zero, a value protected by the chiral symmetry. If both sublattices have the same number of sites and we can split the chain in two halves, each of them with a weak link at the boundary,
two edge states will be present with nearly zero energy which are protected by the chiral symmetry and the band gap.

To generate edge states in a chosen gap of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain, one chooses the boundaries in such a way that the squaring process will generate the $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ chain that has that gap as the central one with a weak link at its boundaries. Also, in order to guarantee that one of the blocks at each squaring step is that of a bipartite chain (apart from an energy shift), we impose that the number of sites of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain is $N=2^{n} p-1$, with integer $p>1$, so that the inner sublattice is the one corresponding to the bipartite open boundary condition (OBC) $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ chain in all steps (the number of sites at each step is of the form $\left.N=2^{j} p-1\right)$. That way, all sites of the OBC $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ chain will have the same local potential (equal to one). In the case of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain, there are $2^{n-1}$ possible choices of chain terminations given a system size $N=2^{n} p-1$. In Fig. 3(b), we show the numerically determined edge state levels in the case of a OBC SSC(3) chain with $N=2^{n} p-1$ sites for all the possible choices of the leftmost hopping term $\left(\sin \theta_{1}, \sin \theta_{2}, \sin \theta_{3}, \sin \theta_{4}\right)$. The observed edge state levels agree with the previous argument; that is, when the left edge link is, for example, $\sin \theta_{3}$, squaring once the Hamiltonian, one obtains a block-diagonal matrix where one of the blocks corresponds to the $\operatorname{SSC}(2)$ chain with a single weak link at the left edge and this justifies the existence of a left edge state in the SSC(2) gaps of the OBC SSC(3) chain.

Interestingly, for these system sizes, the squaring method can be extended until we reach a single level. This implies that the spectrum of the $\operatorname{OBC} \operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain is the combination of: (i) the spectrum obtained from a single level with zero energy, applying successively energy shifts, energy unit renormalizations and square roots to each level [each level $\varepsilon^{(j-1)}$ generates $\pm\left(1+t^{(j-1)} \varepsilon^{(j-1)}\right)^{1 / 2}$ levels in the $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ spectrum], and (ii) a spectrum that has levels only at the folding energies [due to the extra site in the other sublattice relative to the $\operatorname{SSC}(j)$ sublattice].

The presence of edge states in the central band at each step of the construction can be confirmed by adding Zak's phase of the positive bands, leading as usual to $\pi$ in the nontrivial topological phase.

Extension to two dimensions. The 2D version (for higher dimensions the reasoning is similar) of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain can be constructed in the same way as the 2D SSH model is constructed from the SSH chain; that is, the hopping terms in the $x$ direction are those of a $x-\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain and the same for hopping terms in the $y$ direction [the $y$ - $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ hopping terms can be different from the $x-\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ ones, as in the asymmetric 2D SSH model [16]]. This 2D model will have a band structure that can be characterized by the band limits at the inversion-invariant momenta and these limits will be the sum of two terms of the form of Eq. (4), $\varepsilon_{ \pm \pm \pm \cdots \pm \pm}^{x}+\varepsilon_{ \pm \pm \pm \cdots \pm \pm}^{y}$.

One may be tempted to try to construct the 2D-SSC $(n)$ model following the method given for the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain so that, when squaring the Hamiltonian, 2D-SSC $(j)$ blocks are generated. Despite the fact that the lattice is bipartite, one faces one difficulty: the dimension of the 2D-SSC $(n-1)$ model is one fourth that of the 2D-SSC $(n)$ model. When squaring the Hamiltonian, the bipartite property guarantees the appearance of two diagonal blocks, each one correspond-


FIG. 5. A square-root Hamiltonian of a 2D $\operatorname{SSC}(n-1)$ Hamiltonian is possible if $\pi$ flux per plaquette is introduced in the 2D SSC $(n)$ lattice. This flux implies the existence of four blocks (four decoupled sublattices) in the squared Hamiltonian, one of them being the 2D $\operatorname{SSC}(n-1)$ one (sublattice with squared sites).
ing to different sublattices (in Fig. 5, the two sublattices have different colors). An extra factor is required in order for one of these blocks to become a diagonal sum of two smaller blocks, reflecting the division of the sublattice in two other sublattices (with pink circular sites and pink squared sites in Fig. 5, respectively). So we are only able to find a single square root of a $2 \mathrm{D} \operatorname{SSC}(n-1)$ model, and that is the 2 D $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ model with $\pi$ flux per plaquette, with flux introduced by multiplying the $x$-hopping terms by $(-1)$ at every other rung. This $\pi$ flux generates destructive interference in the squared hopping terms between pink circular sites and pink square sites in Fig. 5, so one may interpret it as an additional "bipartite" property.

This extended 2D version of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain can be considered a generalization of the Benalcazar-Bernevig-Hughes model $[17,18]$. This latter model is a higher-order bipartite topological insulator with corner states at the central gap. In our 2D-SSC $(n-1)$ model of Fig. 5, if at a corner site we have weak links in the $x$ and $y$ directions, a zero-energy corner state will be present. However, no central bulk band gap will be present due to the $C_{4 v}$ symmetry when the hopping parameters in $x$ and $y$ directions are the same [19,20] and one would expect this zero-energy corner state not to be protected. Recently, it has been shown that combination of the $C_{4 v}$ and chiral symmetries protect these zero-energy corner states from hybridizing with the bulk states [19,20]. The existence of the zero-energy corner state in the spectrum of the 2D-SSC $(n-1)$ model of Fig. 5 implies the presence of finite-energy corner states in the continuum of the noncentral energy bands of the 2D-SSC $(n)$ model of Fig. 5 [the respective energies indicating where possible gaps could appear, for example, introducing a magnetic flux in the $2 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{SSC}(n-1)$ model]. The symmetry protection of the zero-energy corner states of the 2D-SSC $(n-1)$ model implies the same protection of the finite-energy corner states of the 2D-SSC $(n)$ model.

Conclusion. Square-root topological insulators have attracted attention due to the presence of finite-energy topological edge states in noncentral gaps of the chiral spectrum that cannot be characterized by using the usual topological invariants. In this paper, we extend the concept of $\sqrt{T I}$ by introducing a particular 1D Hamiltonian [that we label the
$n$-times squarable sine-cosine model, $\operatorname{SSC}(n)]$ of the family of the $\operatorname{SSH}\left(2^{n}\right)$ chains that can be squared multiple times generating at each step a self-similar Hamiltonian (with a smaller unit cell) in what we call a Matryoshka sequence, each of them with its own chiral symmetry. Edge states at any gap of the original chain are protected by one of these chiral symmetries. Given this model, one may extend perturbatively the respective topological characterization to other (not sine-cosine) models that are generated from a sine-cosine chain modifying the hopping parameters, as long as these modifications are small compared with the energy gaps. Zero- and finite-energy edge states will be robust under such modifications. Furthermore, 2D weak topological insulators are 1D topological insulators for a fixed transverse momentum and also here, it is possible to interpret the existence of finite-energy edge states using this perturbed sine-cosine model argument.

These models are determined by the sequence of energy unit renormalizations in the squaring process and their spectrum has a very simple form in terms of these parameters. This fine tuning of their band structure as well as the control over the presence or absence of edge states in any of the spectrum gaps makes these models very appealing in the context of artificial lattices such as photonic lattices [3,21-25], optical lattices [26-28], topoelectrical circuits [29,30], or acoustical lattices $[31,32]$, where the effective hopping terms can be
adjusted in order to reproduce the necessary set of angles $\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}$. In particular, topoelectrical circuits may be the best choice of artificial lattice for the simulation of the sine-cosine chains studied in our paper since, in these systems, the hopping and on-site potential parameters depend only on the capacitance and inductance values of the capacitors and inductors which can be controlled very precisely. In the case of ultracold quantum gases in optical lattices, tunneling terms can also be controlled modifying the potential wells.

In this paper, we do not discuss the possibility of disorder on the onsite energies or on the hopping matrix elements. However, from perturbation theory one can state that the edge states of the $\operatorname{SSC}(n)$ chain will be robust against the introduction of disorder as long as the fluctuations of the onsite energies or of the hopping matrix elements are small compared with all band gaps.
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