Orbital-order phase transition in $Pr_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_3$ **probed by photovoltaics**

B. Kressdorf^{®[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8237-3406) 1} T. Meye[r](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4727-1150)®,<[s](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1426-1300)up>2</sup> M. t[e](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3938-2849)n Brink,^{3,4} C. Seick®,⁵ S. Melles®,¹ N. Ottinger®,¹ T. Titze®,⁵ H. Meer®,⁵ A. Weisser,⁵

J. Hoffma[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9444-6246)n \bullet \bullet \bullet [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1416-5207) ^{[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8762-9359)} S. Mathias, ⁵ H. Ulrichs, ⁵ D. Steil \bullet , ⁵ M. Seibt \bullet , ² P. E. Blöchl \bullet , ^{3,4} and C. Jooss \bullet ^{1,*}

¹*University of Göttingen, Institute of Materials Physics, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

²*University of Göttingen, 4th Institute of Physics, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

³*Clausthal University of Technology, Institute of Theoretical Physics, 38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany*

⁴*University of Göttingen, Institute of Theoretical Physics, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

⁵*University of Göttingen, 1st Institute of Physics, 37077 Göttingen, Germany*

(Received 15 January 2021; revised 31 March 2021; accepted 4 May 2021; published 11 June 2021)

The phase diagram of $Pr_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_3$ (PCMO) is modified for $x \le 0.3$, which suggests a reevaluation of the phase diagram of other manganites in that doping region. Rather than an orbital-ordered phase reaching up to high temperatures of ∼800–1100 K, we propose a loss of spontaneous orbital order near room temperature. Above this temperature, the phase is characterized by a finite orbital polarization and octahedral tilt pattern. The tilt pattern couples to the Jahn-Teller distortion and thus induces a remaining orbital order, which persists up to high temperatures, where the tilt order is lost as well. This explains the experimental observation of orbital order up to high temperatures. The reevaluation of the orbital-order transition is based on observed anomalies of various physical properties at temperatures of 220–260 K in epitaxial thin films of PCMO $x = 0.1$, i.e., in the photovoltaic effect, electric transport, magnetization, optical, and ultrafast transient pump probe studies. Finite-temperature simulations based on a tight-binding model with carefully adjusted parameters from first-principles calculations exhibit an orbital-order phase transition at $T_{\text{OO}} \approx 300 \text{ K}$ for PCMO $x = 0.1$. This is consistent with the experimental observation of temperature-dependent changes in lattice parameter for bulk samples of the same doping at 300 K for $x = 0.1$ and 350 K for $x = 0$, typical for second-order phase transitions. Since our reassignment of the orbital-order phase transition toward lower temperature challenges a well-established and long-accepted picture, we provide results of multiple complementary measurements as well as a detailed discussion.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.103.235122](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.235122)

I. INTRODUCTION

Perovskite manganites are a class of materials with strongly correlated electronic, spin, and phonon degrees of freedom. These correlations result in a complex phase diagram and a variety of exciting phenomena such as the colossal magnetoresistance $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$ and photovoltaic $[4,5]$ effects. Because of the Jahn-Teller effect, Mn^{3+} ions in manganites experience a strong electron-phonon coupling, which leads to the formation of polarons. The density of these polarons and their electronic coupling can be controlled by composition. Depending on the density, a variety of ordered and disordered polaron arrangements can be formed. Among the manganites, the class of $Pr_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_3$ (PCMO) stands out because it allows us to explore the effect of doping while maintaining a similar electronic coupling (i.e., Mn-O-Mn hopping) [\[6\]](#page-18-0). Furthermore, the electron-phonon coupling in this class of manganites is strong compared to the electron hopping, which results in small, and thus stable, polarons and insulating ground states for the entire doping range [\[7\]](#page-18-0).

At the heart of the manganite physics is the phase diagram and the nature of its individual phases. In this paper, we provide evidence that the phase diagram for PCMO accepted

so far [\[8\]](#page-18-0) may need to be reconsidered (see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). In the low-doping regime with PCMO $x = 0-0.2$, phase transitions at 900 K for $x = 0$ and at 675 K for $x = 0.1$ [\[8\]](#page-18-0) have been included, which are attributed to the order-disorder transition in the pattern of Jahn-Teller distortions. At higher doping, i.e., PCMO $x = 0.3$, orbital and charge orders exist only at a lower temperature up to $T_{CO} = 240$ K. Our experimental and theoretical results indicate that, in the low-doping regime, spontaneous orbital order breaks down at temperatures much lower than previously believed. This finding at low temperatures requires a reinterpretation of the high-temperature regime of the phase diagram.

In the highly correlated PCMO, the onset of a photovoltaic effect in the visible-to-infrared spectral range can be linked to the emergence of long-living hot polarons [\[11,12\]](#page-18-0). Heterojunctions composed of Nb-doped SrTiO₃ and PCMO with $x =$ 0.34 and 0.95 show such a photovoltaic effect only below their respective ordering temperatures, i.e., in the charge-, orbital-, and antiferromagnetically ordered phases [Fig. [1](#page-1-0) (b)]. The connection of the photovoltaic effect to charge and orbital order has been recently demonstrated clearly by the observation of a pronounced photovoltaic effect at room temperature in the Ruddlesden-Popper manganite $Pr_{0.5}Ca_{1.5}MnO_4$ [\[13\]](#page-18-0), which has a charge-order transition temperature of $T_{\text{CO}} \approx 320 \text{ K}$. This raised the onset temperature of the photovoltaic effect above that of the parent compound PCMO at $x = 0.34$. To

^{*}cjooss@gwdg.de

FIG. 1. Ordered states and onset of polaron photovoltaic effect in $Pr_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_3$ (PCMO). (a) Phase diagram in zero magnetic field according to Refs. [\[8–10\]](#page-18-0). Our results on the onset of polaron photovoltaic effect are indicated by yellow circles. Inset: Schematic sketch of orbital-ordered structure of alternating orbitals for PMO. (b) Temperature dependence of the open circuit voltage $U_{\infty}(T)$ in $Pr_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_3 - SrTi_{1-y}Nb_yO_3$ heterojunctions for $x = 0.1, 0.34$, and 0.95 for monochromatic photon excitation of lowest manganite transitions. The onset of linear increases coincides with the antiferromagnetic $(x = 0.95)$ and the charge-order/orbital-order phase transitions $(x = 0.34)$ [\[11\]](#page-18-0). As it is shown in this paper, the onset for PCMO $x = 0.1$ reflects the onset of spontaneous orbital order which is at 220–260 K in thin films and at 300 K in the bulk.

extend the photovoltaic effect to even higher temperatures, we studied lightly doped PCMO with its high orbital-ordering temperature. However, for PCMO $x = 0.1$, we observe an onset of the photovoltaic effect only well below room temperature [Fig. $1(b)$]. This discrepancy between the onset of the photovoltaic effect and the orbital-order temperature inspired a detailed study of the structural and electronic properties of the temperature-dependent orbital-ordered state at low doping presented in this paper.

Orbital-ordered phases evolve in transition metal oxides where the degeneracy of *d* orbitals is lifted by the Jahn-Teller effect. In manganite perovskites, the Mn 3*d* orbitals are split into three states of t_{2g} symmetry (d_{xy} , d_{xz} , and d_{yz}) and two states of e_g symmetry $(d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2})$ due to the octahedral ligand field of the $MnO₆$ octahedra. At suitable doping with \overline{M} n valence close to \overline{M} ³⁺, the degeneracy of these states is lifted by the Jahn-Teller distortion of the $MnO₆$ octahedra that leads to a preferential occupation of $d_{3x^2-r^2}$ or $d_{3y^2-r^2}$ states with one electron [\[14\]](#page-18-0). In an orbital-ordered state, the two orbitals are alternately aligned, leading to an antiferro-type of orbital order with an order parameter C_Q . Such a type of ordering was discussed by Goodenough [\[15\]](#page-18-0) in connection with experimental observations of structural distortions in La_{1−*x*}Ca_{*x*}MnO₃ [\[16\]](#page-18-0). Since then, orbital order was found for many hole-doped manganites with small tolerance factor; the latter is an indicator for the stability and octahedral tilt distortion of the crystal structure based on the ratio of the ionic radii. In such manganites, orbital order is present in certain doping regions in correlation with charge ordering, such as in PCMO ($x = 0.3 - 0.7$) [\[8,17\]](#page-18-0) and Nd_{1−*x*}Sr_{*x*}MnO₃ [\[18\]](#page-18-0), in the undoped LaMnO₃ [\[19\]](#page-18-0) and PrMnO₃ [\[10\]](#page-18-0), as well as in other types of transition metal oxides [\[20\]](#page-18-0). The orbital-order ground state is well studied in theory [\[21,22\]](#page-18-0).

To establish and study orbital-ordered states, different methods have been applied. In half-doped, low-bandwidth manganites, orbital ordering is accompanied by charge order. Here, the ordering of orbitals via ordering of formal Mn^{3+}/Mn^{4+} valence states generates a superlattice that can be detected by diffraction experiments [\[23,24\]](#page-18-0). In fact, because the charge disproportion is rather small and typically amounts to only \sim 0.1e [\[25,26\]](#page-18-0), the observed chargeorder/orbital-order superlattice reflections mainly correspond to periodically varying Jahn-Teller distortions of the $MnO₆$ octahedra [\[24,27\]](#page-18-0). Powerful tools to directly study different occupation of the $d_{3x^2-r^2}$ and $d_{3y^2-r^2}$ orbitals are resonant scattering techniques at the Mn *L* and *K* edges with polarized x rays because they give direct access to the anisotropic charge density [\[28\]](#page-18-0) and related orbital occupancy [\[29\]](#page-18-0). These resonant x-ray techniques are thus suitable to detect orbitalordered states at low-doping levels, where charge order is absent. They have established ordering of *eg* orbitals in $LaMnO₃$ up to a temperature of 780 K, concomitant with a structural phase transition between the orthorhombic and pseudocubic phases [\[19\]](#page-18-0). In PrMnO₃, Sánchez *et al.* [\[30\]](#page-18-0) showed evidence for the presence of orbital order via the structural refinement of distorted $MnO₆$ octahedra with three different Mn-O bonding lengths. The neutron diffraction results showed the presence of some degree of orbital order up to the temperature of the orthorhombic-to-pseudocubic phase transition at 1050 K referred to by the authors as a "Jahn-Teller transition" [\[30\]](#page-18-0).

The presence of some degree of ordering of Jahn-Teller distortions alone is, however, not sufficient to prove spontaneous orbital order. It is well known that, in structural phase transitions, secondary order parameters can appear that break symmetries of the high-temperature phase at the same transition temperature as the primary order parameter [\[31\]](#page-18-0). For example, in ferroelectric phase transitions in BaTiO₃ or $SrTiO₃$, the ferroelectric polarization is associated with the appearance of a tetragonal distortion, although the symmetry of both order parameters is different. In $SrTiO₃$, the ferroelectric state can be also induced by external strains, e.g., misfit strain in epitaxial films grown on different substrates [\[32\]](#page-18-0). In the case of orbital-order transitions in $PrMnO₃$ as well as $LaMnO₃$, the onset of ordering of Jahn-Teller distortions coincides with the tilting transition of $MnO₆$ octahedra, which induces the transition between the cubic and orthorhombic phase. This phase transition is driven by the orthorhombic distortion that is related to the steric effect and is controlled by the tolerance factor [\[33,34\]](#page-18-0). As shown by Alonso *et al.* [\[35\]](#page-18-0), the average tilt angle of $MnO₆$ octahedra increases with decreasing tolerance factor. Furthermore, the increase of octahedral tilt angle also slightly increases the amplitude of the Jahn-Teller distortion. Since at higher doping levels, spontaneous orbital-ordering sets in at quite low transition temperatures of the charge-order/orbital-order phase transition (e.g., PCMO $x = 0.5$, $T_{CO} = 235$ K [\[36\]](#page-19-0) and LCMO $x = 0.5$, $T_{\text{CO}} = 225 \text{ K}$ [\[37\]](#page-19-0)) this raises the question about the nature of the orbital-order phase transition in the weakly doped phases with PCMO $x < 0.3$. The question needs to be considered whether the transition to spontaneous orbital order appears below the pseudocubic-to-orthorhombic phase transition and is partially hidden in the induced order of Jahn-Teller distortions driven by the tilting.

In this paper, we show that orbital ordering in lightly doped $PrMnO₃$ most probably takes place near room temperature, i.e., at much lower temperatures than predicted by the phase diagram [Fig. $1(a)$]. Notwithstanding the fact that structural data alone only provide a subtle signature of this phase transition, the presence of anomalies in electric transport, optical properties, magnetization, and transient behavior of optical excitations provides clear evidence for the presence of a phase transition. They point to the emergence of a spontaneous orbital order below $T_{\text{OO}} \sim 220 \text{ K}$ in thin films, which differs from the induced order of Jahn-Teller distortions at higher temperatures. In their bulk material, detailed temperaturedependent x-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements reveal an ordering temperature of 350 K for PCMO $x = 0$ and 300 K for PCMO $x = 0.1$. The finite-temperature simulations of the orbital-order phase transition based on a tight-binding model with carefully adjusted parameters from first-principles calculations confirm the presence of a spontaneous orbital ordering below $T_{\text{OO}} \approx 300 \text{ K}$ for PCMO $x = 0.1$.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL RESULTS

In the following subsection, we report experimental results on various physical properties that provide clear evidence for the presence of an electronic phase transition in $Pr_{0.9}Ca_{0.1}MnO_3$ (PCMO $x = 0.1$) thin films at a temperature of around $T_{OO} \approx 220$ K. These physical properties include

(i) photovoltaic response (Sec. II A), (ii) transport properties (Sec. \overline{I} IB), (iii) magnetic ordering (Sec. \overline{I} IC), (iv) optical properties (Sec. \overline{I} ID), and (v) transient optical transmission $(Sec. \n**II E**).$

Preliminarily, we assign this phase transition in PCMO $x = 0.1$ thin films as orbital-order transition and come back to a critical evaluation after presentation of our results of the theoretical simulations (Sec. Π F) and experimental data on the structural aspects of the low-temperature phase transition (Sec. Π G). To get access to the lattice constants and to exclude influence of strain effects commonly present in thin film samples, the latter investigations were performed on polycrystalline bulk PCMO prepared by conventional solid-state reaction.

The thin film samples were prepared by means of ion-beam sputtering on (100) SrTiO₃ (STO), (100) SrTi_{0.995}Nb_{0.005}O₃ (STNO), and (100) MgO (for details of preparation, see Appendix [A\)](#page-15-0). Conducting STNO substrates were used for cross-sectional electric and photovoltaic characterization (Sec. $\mathbf{II}(\mathbf{A})$ and MgO substrates for transient optical transmission measurements (Sec. \overline{I} E). On these substrates, the PCMO films reveal cube-on-cube epitaxial growth of the pseudocubic structure that possesses six different twin domains of the orthorhombic *Pbnm* structure, i.e., with [110] and [001] outof-plane orientations. Here, films on STO/STNO and MgO mainly differ by the volume fraction of the different orientations: Films on STO/STNO predominately reveal the [001] and films on MgO the [110] orientations. The structural characterizations are summarized in the Supplemental Material [\[38\]](#page-19-0) and in Ifland *et al.* [\[39\]](#page-19-0). Technical method details for all physical property measurements are given in the Appendix [A.](#page-15-0)

A. Photovoltaic properties of PCMO/STNO heterojunctions

Figure [2](#page-3-0) shows the change of the photovoltaic properties of $Pr_{0.9}Ca_{0.1}MnO_3$ (PCMO $x = 0.1$)-SrTi_{0.995}Nb_{0.005}O₃ (STNO) heterojunctions in the vicinity of the phase transition. The cross-plane current-voltage characteristics exhibit a diodelike rectifying behavior which is evident from the exponential current increase in forward voltage direction and a smaller current, with a weak voltage dependence, in backward direction [Fig. $2(a)$]. This diodelike behavior is observed throughout the temperature range of room temperature down to 100 K, where the rectifying characteristics become more pronounced below the respective ordering temperature. Under illumination, the photovoltaic effect of these heterojunctions appears as an additional photocurrent. The photovoltaic response is characterized by the parameters of short-circuit current density $J_{\rm sc}$ (at $U = 0$ V) and open-circuit voltage $U_{\rm oc}$ $(at I = 0 A)$. Their temperature dependences are displayed in Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-3-0)

The photovoltaic effect under polychromatic illumination is measured using an ultraviolet (UV)-enhanced Xe lamp which produces a nearly constant spectral irradiance in the range between 1.55 and 4.1 eV. Additionally, measurements with two cutoff filters that limit the maximum excitation energy *E*max to 1.6 and 2.0 eV were realized. Both cutoff filters are well below the measured STNO bandgap of 3.3 eV $[40]$, i.e., excess carrier excitations take place only in the manganite film.

FIG. 2. Photovoltaic properties of the PCMO $x = 0.1/\text{STNO}$ junction at the orbital-order phase transition. (a) Cross-plane current voltage characteristics above $(T = 240 \text{ K})$ and below $(T = 200 \text{ K})$ the phase transition in the dark and under polychromatic illumination. (b) Temperature dependence of open-circuit voltage *U*oc and short-circuit current density $J_{\rm sc}$ measured under different spectral illumination ranges: $E_{\text{max}} = 1.6 \text{ eV}$, $E_{\text{max}} = 2.0 \text{ eV}$, and polychromatic illumination.

Regardless of the selected type of illumination, the opencircuit voltage U_{oc} and the short-circuit current density J_{sc} show characteristic temperature dependences with two distinct temperature regimes: At high temperatures, U_{oc} is small, whereas in the low-temperature regime, a significant opencircuit voltage is observed, which increases nearly linear with decreasing temperature. In contrast, the temperature dependence of *J*sc exhibits a pronounced maximum at a temperature of \sim 200 K [Fig. 2(b)].

Interestingly, with an increasing spectral range of incident photons, $U_{\text{oc}}(T)$ is shifted to higher voltages. Since the opencircuit voltage reflects the splitting of the chemical potential of electrons and holes without carrier extraction, its increase with increasing *E*max mainly reflects the contribution of electronhole excitations at higher energy to $U_{\text{oc}}(T)$. Therefore, the "true" transition temperature $T_{OO} \sim 220 \text{ K}$ is approximately presented by the $U_{\text{oc}}(T)$ onset at highest photon irradiance.

In the temperature range of the ordering transition, the short-circuit current density $J_{\rm sc}(T)$ exhibits a pronounced maximum [Fig. 2(b)]. Since optical absorption is more or less unchanged with temperature, the increase of $J_{\rm sc}(T)$ with decreasing *T* reflects an increase of the photo carrier lifetime when approaching the ordering transition. Below the phase transition, the carrier mobility decreases, as shown in the subsequent section. Like the shift of $U_{\text{oc}}(T)$ with increasing spectral illumination, also the maximum of the $J_{\rm sc}(T)$ is shifted to higher *T* with an increase of the current density. The $U_{\text{oc}}(T)$ onset and the maximum of $J_{\text{sc}}(T)$ are at the same temperature. Such a behavior is unconventional and cannot be understood without the presence of changes in the electronic properties of the junction due to a phase transition in the temperature range between 190 and 240 K.

B. Charge carrier mobility

The change of electronic properties at the ordering transition is additionally reflected in a change of DC carrier transport of PCMO. The charge carriers in Ca-doped PrMnO₃ are small polarons that reveal a thermally activated mobility above half of the Debye temperature $\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\text{Debye}} \approx 160 \,\text{K}$ [\[41\]](#page-19-0). In addition, a bandlike transport can appear at low temperatures in high magnetic fields [\[7\]](#page-18-0) via a magnetic field-induced phase transition to a ferromagnetic metallic phase that evolves out of the charge-ordered state of small polarons [\[42\]](#page-19-0). In a broad doping range of PCMO, the temperature (*T*) dependence of the resistivity can be described by thermally activated hopping of small polarons; that is in the adiabatic approximation given by [\[43\]](#page-19-0)

$$
\rho(T) = \rho_0 T \exp\left(\frac{E_A}{kT}\right). \tag{1a}
$$

Electronic phase transitions commonly change the prefactor ρ_0 as well as the activation energy E_A . It is, therefore, useful to consider the logarithmic derivative defined as

$$
E_A = k \frac{d}{d(\frac{1}{T})} \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{T}\right),\tag{1b}
$$

as a temperature-dependent activation barrier. Within the adiabatic approximation, the logarithmic derivate is temperature independent well above half of the Debye temperature (for PCMO, $\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\text{Debye}} \approx 160 \text{ K}$) and significantly decreases below $\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\text{Debye}}$ due to enhancement of tunnellike contributions [\[44\]](#page-19-0).

Figure [3](#page-4-0) shows the increase of in-plane resistivity with decreasing temperature. The measurement is performed on a PCMO $x = 0.1$ film deposited on insulating STO substrate that was postannealed for 20 h at $900\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ to reduce growthinduced defects and strain (see Supplemental Material [\[38\]](#page-19-0)). Above 220 K, the resistivity shows a thermally activated temperature dependence that is reflected in a logarithmic derivative value of about $E_A \approx 160 \,\text{meV}$. In this temperature regime, the activation energy decreases slightly with decreasing temperature. Such deviations from the adiabatic approximation are frequently observed in thin film samples, most probably due to defect-related contributions to polaronic mobility [\[42\]](#page-19-0). Below the ordering transition at $T_{\text{OO}} \approx 220 \text{ K}$, $E_A(T)$ shows a significant increase, reflecting a decrease of the hopping mobility of small polarons in the low-temperature state.

Interestingly, below the phase transition temperature, the resistivity shows a significant magnetoresistance effect. At an applied magnetic field of $\mu_0H = 9$ T, the logarithmic

FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of in-plane resistivity and logarithmic derivative E_A in zero magnetic field as well as magnetic field of $\mu_0H = 9$ T for a PCMO $x = 0.1$ film on (100) STO, postannealed for 20 h at 900 °C. The magnetic field was applied perpendicular to the substrate, i.e., parallel to the dominating [001] direction.

derivative E_A decreases almost linearly with decreasing temperatures down to ∼150 K. Furthermore, the increase of *EA* below 220 K in zero-field is completely suppressed. The electric transport behavior supports the presence of orbital ordering below 220 K since an ordering of the underlying Jahn-Teller distortions obviously reduces the mobility of Jahn-Teller polarons. As worked out by Millis *et al.* [\[45\]](#page-19-0), there is a strong mutual effect between the Jahn-Teller splitting of *eg* orbitals and the electronic hopping via the magnetic double exchange interaction. Our results indicate that increase of magnetic double exchange by a magnetic field-induced spin alignment is more effective in the orbital-ordered state. This is reflected in the observed magnetoresistance below T_{OO} .

C. Magnetic properties

The observed magnetoresistance below T_{OO} raises the question whether the magnetic properties are affected by the ordering transition as well. According to the established bulk phase diagram of lightly doped PCMO in Fig. [1\(a\),](#page-1-0) a magnetic phase transition takes place between a paramagnetic high-temperature phase and a magnetically ordered low-temperature phase. Its nature depends on the exact doping level since, at PCMO $x = 0.1$, there is a phase boundary between a canted antiferromagnetic and a ferromagnetic phase [\[9,10\]](#page-18-0). The Néel and Curie temperatures of both magnetic phases are at \sim 100 K.

In thin film measurements by means of superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID)-magnetometer, the contribution of substrate to the magnetic moment is usually not negligible. To separate substrate and film contributions, we first measured the field and temperature-dependent moment *m*_{STO} of a pristine STO substrate. In the next step, a PCMO $x = 0.1$ film was deposited on this substrate, and the same measurements of magnetic moment $m_{tot}(H, T)$ for film and substrate under identical measurement parameters were performed. The film magnetic moment m_{film} was calculated by subtracting the substrate contribution from the total moment m_{tot} . The substrate correction procedure is described in Appendix [A.](#page-15-0)

FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of magnetization and magnetic susceptibility of PCMO $x = 0.1$ films on STO. The data are corrected with respect to substrate contributions, and the magnetic field is applied parallel to the substrate. (b) Temperature dependence of field-cooled magnetization in Bohr magnetons per unit cell for different applied fields. The inset shows the hysteric behavior of field-dependent magnetization at 10 K. Temperature dependence of the DC magnetic susceptibility at two different applied fields. The inset shows the residuum of the experimental data with respect to a linear fit of $1/\chi_{\text{PCMO}}$ at temperatures $T > 300$ K.

Figures $4(a)$ and $4(b)$ show the temperature-dependent magnetization and susceptibility of the PCMO $x = 0.1$ thin film after the substrate correction. At low temperatures, the magnetization shows a distinct phase transition to a magnetically ordered state [Fig. 4(a)]. The strong increase of *M* at $T_c = 80.3 \pm 1.0 \,\text{K}$ is indicative of a transition into a phase with a ferromagnetic component, visible by the hysteretic behavior of $M(H)$ at 10 K and the presence of a remanent magnetization [see inset Fig. $4(a)$].

Well above the Curie temperature T_c , the susceptibility of PCMO $x = 0.1$ reveals Curie-Weiss behavior [Fig. $4(b)$]:

$$
M_{\text{film}} = \frac{\chi_0 H}{(T - T_{\text{CW}})},\tag{2}
$$

Here, χ_0 represents the paramagnetic Curie constant and T_{CW} the Curie-Weiss temperature. Interestingly, the slopes in the Curie-Weiss plot reveal slight differences in the high-temperature $(T > 280 \text{ K})$ and in the low-temperature $(T < 180 \text{ K})$ ranges. At higher temperatures, $\chi_{\text{PCMO}}(T)^{-1}$ in Fig. [4\(b\)](#page-4-0) reveals a weak magnetic field dependence, and the change in slope appears more pronounced for the smaller applied field of 10 mT than for 200 mT. Whereas the difference is close to noise for the measurement at 10 mT, the presence of two different temperature regimes is clearly visible in the residuum of the experimental data at 200 mT [inset Fig. [4\(b\)\]](#page-4-0). The deviation from the Curie-Weiss fit becomes significant below 260 K. This represents a probable magnetic fingerprint of a phase transition, in which the electronically ordered lowtemperature phase has a higher susceptibility.

The error bars of $\chi_{\text{PCMO}}(T)$ are too large for a quantitative determination of the different Curie constants and Curie-Weiss temperatures of the orbital-ordered and disordered phase. Therefore, we perform a linear fit of $\chi_{\text{PCMO}}(T)$ in the entire temperature range of 120–400 K, i.e., including the ordered and disordered phases and only excluding the temperature range which contains ferromagnetic contributions. This yields an averaged Curie constant of both phases that corresponds to a magnetic moment of $5.7 \pm 0.3 \mu_{\rm B}$ and a Curie-Weiss temperature of $T_{\text{CW}} = 93.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ K}$. The magnetic moment is close to the expected one if the contribution of $4f$ moments of Pr is considered $[46]$. The temperaturedependent deviations from this fit suggests qualitatively that, in the orbital-ordered phase, the Curie-Weiss temperature is lower, and the Curie constant is higher.

We can exclude that the change of $\chi_{\text{PCMO}}(T)$ at 260 K is related to the transition into the ferromagnetically ordered state since the observed deviation from the Curie-Weiss fit is far above a possible onset of ferromagnetic fluctuations. This statement is supported by the additional change of the residuum [inset Fig. [4\(c\)\]](#page-4-0) below a temperature of \sim 170 K that represents a precursor of the ferromagnetic transition below 100 K due to ferromagnetic fluctuations. In addition, the temperature-dependent contribution Δm_S to the substrate correction is too small to explain the changes of $\chi_{\text{PCMO}}(T)$ (see Appendix [A](#page-15-0) and Fig. S5 in the Supplemental Material [\[38\]](#page-19-0)).

D. Optical properties

Figure 5 shows the temperature dependence of the absorption coefficient $\alpha(E_{ph}) = 1-t$, obtained through measurements of the transmission t , in the range of photon energies between 1.25 and 3.0 eV. It reveals four broad absorption bands at 1.8 eV (I), 2.4 eV (II), 2.7 eV (III), and >3.2 eV (IV). The band IV here overlaps with the onset of interband transitions in $SrTiO₃$ with a bandgap of 3.2 eV. For $E_{ph} \leq 3$ eV, the absorption coefficient represents the manganite excitations only. The increase with decreasing temperature is most pronounced for the bands I and II, a behavior that is also observed for higher doping levels [\[6\]](#page-18-0). The reason is that peaks I and II stem from transitions between the Jahn-Teller split Mn 3*d eg* states, i.e., involving Mn intersite transitions. Here, note that the nominal Mn-*d eg* states contain a contribution from the "atomic" oxygen O 2*p* states. In contrast, the absorption peaks >2.2 eV (peaks III and IV) are characterized as dipole-allowed charge transfer transitions between O2*p*

FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of optical absorption of a PCMO $x = 0.1$ film on (100) STO under top-side illumination. (a) Spectral dependence of the absorption coefficient α (E_{ph}) at various temperatures. (b) Temperature dependence of the optical bandgap *Eg* deduced from Tauc plots.

states and minority-spin $t_{2g}(\downarrow)$ and $e_g(\downarrow)$ states that are less affected by the orbital-order phase transition [\[47\]](#page-19-0).

To determine the bandgap, the temperature-dependent, reflection-corrected absorption coefficient $\alpha_R(E_{ph})$ in the lowphoton energy region of the absorption band I was then analyzed by means of Tauc's relationship, which is used to determine bandgaps in semiconductors [\[48,49\]](#page-19-0). The best fits were observed for a Tauc exponent of $\frac{3}{2}$ that corresponds to direct forbidden transitions (see Supplemental Material [\[38\]](#page-19-0)). Since the transition I takes place between dipole-allowed Jahn-Teller split occupied and unoccupied Mn 3*d eg*-O 2*p* bands with different symmetry [\[6\]](#page-18-0), the fit allows for a precise analysis of the bandgap. Even though we use the semiconductor theory, which is based on rigid bands, it is to be noted that we have deviation of rigid bands due to the polaronic nature. The determined bandgap represents an upper limit to the real bandgap since the transmission will be underestimated and the reflectance overestimated due to substrate contributions, e.g., double reflection and interface contributions. However, this

FIG. 6. Pump-probe transmission experiment for PCMO $x = 0.1$ film on MgO (100). (a) Transient transmission at different sample temperatures between 20 and 300 K for an incident fluence of 3.2 mJ/cm^2 . (b) Change in the signal decay time τ back to the initial state with temperature showing a decrease with increasing temperature. A small anomaly is observed ∼200 K. (c) Transient transmission change at ∼90 ps delay for a combined cooling and heating temperature cycle with small temperature steps. The data are normalized to the value of the transient transmission change at 20 K. The strong anomaly observed for temperatures >170 K is due to a phase transition with hysteretic effects on temperature cycling.

does not modify the temperature-dependent relative changes as well as peak positions.

Figure $5(b)$ shows the temperature dependence of the deduced bandgap *Eg*. The temperature dependence changes at ∼200 K. At high temperatures, we observe a bandgap opening with decreasing temperature. Such an effect is, e.g., typically observed in semiconductors and has its origin in the thermal contraction as well as in the electron phonon interaction [\[50\]](#page-19-0). The latter smears out the bandgap at elevated temperatures. Remarkably, the bandgap decreases with decreasing temperature below the ordering transition. This is rather surprising since an ordering in the orbital system decreases the thermal fluctuations of the Jahn-Teller split state and thus should increase the bandgap. A possible explanation may be the anomalous thermal expansion of PCMO $x = 0.1$, where the *c* axis expands with decreasing temperature [\[51\]](#page-19-0).

E. Time-resolved optical transmission studies

Optical pump-probe transmission measurements allow study of the change of the nonequilibrium quantities of the system, e.g., the lifetime of excitations, but also access to equilibrium properties such as the specific heat, which are otherwise difficult to measure in thin films. In our studies, a PCMO $x = 0.1$ film (100 nm thick) was excited with a femtosecond laser pulse at fluences F of 3–4 mJ/cm² with 1.2 eV pump photon energy, which is close to the bandgap. The time-dependent changes of the transmission were measured with a second pulse at 2.4 eV, which probes the band II, by dipole-allowed intersite transitions between Jahn-Teller split occupied and unoccupied Mn 3*d eg* states [\[47\]](#page-19-0). Figure 6(a) shows a series of transient transmission time traces measured at cryostat temperatures between 20 and 300 K. Three different timescales can be identified: an initial fast transient on the subpicosecond timescale, a second transient on a timescale of \sim 10 ps, and long-term equilibration back to the ground state, which is not yet finished within the scan range of the pumpprobe experiment. The transient transmission in Fig. $6(a)$ additionally shows a short-lived oscillatory behavior ΔTr in the first picosecond with a frequency of ∼1.6 THz, which likely corresponds to an optical phonon mode of the PCMO film.

Here, we are interested in signatures of phase transitions that manifest within transmission dynamics. We investigate two different quantities which may contain information about a possible phase transition: first, the signal decay τ_{Decay} back

to the ground state and, secondly, the transmission change Δ Tr with temperature.

A phase transition between different ground states of the system will change the relaxation channels for the decay of the optically excited electron-hole pairs, e.g., quasiparticle lifetimes and coupling constants between electrons, spins, and lattice may change, as well as the heat capacities or thermal conductivities $[52–55]$. From Fig. $6(a)$, we extracted the relaxation times τ after optical excitation back to the ground state [depicted in Fig. $6(b)$] by fitting a simple exponential decay of the form

$$
\Delta \text{Tr}(t) = \Delta \text{Tr}(t < t_0) + A e^{-t/\tau},\tag{3}
$$

to the data starting from a 90 ps delay. The extracted data in Fig. $6(b)$ shows an increase of the decay time from ∼2 ns at room temperature to ∼3.5 ns at low temperatures with a small maximum at ∼200 K. This behavior is in stark contrast to the values computed from a simple three-temperature model shown in the Supplemental Material [\[38\]](#page-19-0). Reflecting the strong increase of the thermal conductivity κ of the MgO substrate with decreasing temperature from 52 W/mK at 300 K to 1850 W/mK at 20 K, the modeling predicts a significant decrease of the lifetime of the long-term decay of transient transmission Δ Tr from ∼2 ns at 300 K to ∼0.7 ns at 20 K. We note that this finding is very similar to results discussed in Ref. [\[12\]](#page-18-0). Like Ref. [\[12\]](#page-18-0), this observation points toward the presence of electron-hole polaron excitations with rather long lifetime at low temperatures, which manifest as an additional signal contribution in the transmission change. This is supported by the observed photovoltaic response below the ordering transition.

Further information about a possible phase transition can be gained from inspecting an even simpler quantity from the data, the transmission change ΔTr after initial equilibration of the optically excited electrons [Fig. $6(c)$]. In the experiment, we maintain a constant incident optical fluence or energy density

$$
F = \frac{E_{\rm in}}{A},\tag{4a}
$$

and vary the base temperatures T_0 inside the cryostat. Assuming purely thermal effects, for different $\Delta Tr (T_0)$, the observed transmission change is proportional to the change of the temperature ΔT caused by the transformation of the absorbed energy $E_{ab} \approx \alpha_{\text{pump}}(T_0)E_{\text{in}}$ into heat, where $\alpha_{\text{pump}}(T_0)$ is the absorption coefficient at the pump photon energy. For time scales larger than the initial relaxation time, the change in ΔTr should then be mostly given by the temperature rise. This contribution can be approximated by

$$
\Delta \text{Tr} \sim \frac{d\alpha_{\text{probe}}}{dT}|_{T=T_0} \Delta T = \frac{d\alpha_{\text{probe}}}{dT}|_{T=T_0} C(T_0)^{-1} E_{ab}, \quad (4b)
$$

where,

$$
\alpha_{\text{probe}}(T_0 + \Delta T) \approx \alpha_{\text{probe}}(T_0) + \frac{d\alpha_{\text{probe}}}{dT}|_{T=T_0}\Delta T, \qquad (4c)
$$

is the temperature dependence of the absorption coefficient at the probe photon energy, and $C(T)$ is the specific heat. Due to the increase of $C(T_0)$ with increasing T_0 , a continuous decrease in Δ Tr is expected for increasing the base temperature

*T*⁰ at constant incident optical fluence *F*. In the presence of phase transitions, the related nonmonotonous change of $C(T)$ or other system quantities would then result in additional features.

Figure $6(c)$ shows the temperature dependence of ΔTr for PCMO *x* = 0.1 at ∼90 ps delay time, i.e., after internal equilibration of the system is assumed for a combined cooling and heating cycle of the cryostat. Each depicted data point is the average of 300 measurements in the range 88–91 ps, where the signal is nearly constant; error bars are the standard deviation. Below 170 K, Δ Tr indeed decreases with increasing base temperature as expected, most pronounced in the vicinity of the ferromagnetic phase transition temperature ∼80 K. However, in the range starting from 170 K, strong, steplike changes of Δ Tr are clearly visible, in addition to a significant hysteresis between the cooling and heating cycle. This is additional evidence for an orbital phase transition. Here, nonlinear behavior of material parameters like n , C , and κ can give rise to a complex, nonmonotonous behavior of the transmission change. The hysteresis in the cooling and heating cycle especially may indicate the coexistence of different phases in the discussed temperature range. In the simulation, a similar decrease of the signal can be seen (see the Supplemental Material [\[38\]](#page-19-0)), albeit it occurs significantly faster. This again shows that, in the experiment, additional physical processes—like a change of lifetime of polaronic excitations—are important. Note that the Supplemental Material [\[38\]](#page-19-0) contains the time-dependent evaluation of Δ Tr for all temperature steps in Fig. [6\(a\),](#page-6-0) showing the same kink in the data but at much worse temperature resolution for all times, showing that the result obtained here is not specific to a 90 ps delay.

F. Theoretical simulation of the orbital-order transition *1. Model system*

The experimental results shown in the previous subsections clearly hint at an electronic phase transition in PCMO $x = 0.1$ that appears at ∼220 K in thin film samples. To identify the nature of the phase transition, we performed finite-temperature simulations of the orbital-order phase transition using a tight-binding model [\[56,57\]](#page-19-0), which has been carefully adjusted to first-principles calculations [\[47\]](#page-19-0).

The model captures the correlated motion of electrons, spins, and phonons. The Mn *eg* electrons with two orbital degrees of freedom $j \in \{d_{3z^2-r^2}, d_{x^2-y^2}\}\$ and two spin degrees of freedom $\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$ per Mn site are described by a Slater determinant of one-particle wave functions $|\Psi_n\rangle$. The halffilled shell of Mn t_{2g} electrons is accounted for by a spin \vec{S}_R of length $\frac{3}{2}\hbar$. Two Jahn-Teller active octahedral distortions $Q_{2,R}$, $Q_{3,R}$ per Mn site and one octahedral breathing mode $Q_{1,R}$ are considered [\[12,](#page-18-0)[58\]](#page-19-0). The phonon amplitudes are extracted from the displacement of the oxygen ions along the Mn-O-Mn bridge, which accounts for their strongly cooperative nature. The oxygen atoms are limited to a one-dimensional motion along the oxygen bridge. We allow the lattice constants to adjust dynamically.

The Hamiltonian considers the intersite hopping of Mn *eg* electrons and their onsite Coulomb interaction. The hopping parameters have been obtained by down folding the O-*p* orbitals of the oxygen bridge considering only σ -type matrix

FIG. 7. Sketch of the orbital structures occurring in the lowtemperature phase at $x \approx 0.1$. We mainly observe the PrMnO₃-like alternating orbitals $d_{z^2-x^2}/d_{z^2-y^2}$, together with clusters combining alternating hole and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals. Orbitals drawn with a smaller scale indicate a reduced orbital polarization, which can occur on sites between the two types of orbital ordering.

elements of the Mn-O axes. The spins of Mn *eg* electrons experience a strong but finite Hund's coupling with the spins \vec{S}_R of the t_{2g} electrons. The latter experience an antiferromagnetic Heisenberg coupling between sites. A linear electron-phonon coupling $[59]$ correlates the e_g electrons with the Jahn-Teller active phonons Q_2 and Q_3 and the breathing mode Q_1 . The Jahn-Teller active phonons lift the degeneracy of the e_g electrons, and the outward breathing mode Q_1 stabilizes all e_g electrons on this site. A detailed description of the model and its parameters can be found in an earlier publication [\[47\]](#page-19-0).

The dynamics of the model is simulated in a Car-Parrinello framework [\[60\]](#page-19-0): The oxygen atoms are treated as classical particles that evolve according to Newton's equation of motion with forces obtained from the partial derivatives of the instantaneous total energy. Electrons and spins follow the atomic motion quasi-adiabatically in their instantaneous ground state. This quasi-adiabatic motion of electrons and spins is implemented by a fictitious Lagrangian. The temperature of the oxygen atoms is controlled by a Nosé-Hoover thermostat $[61, 62]$, which establishes a canonical (constant temperature) ensemble. More details of our simulations are given in Appendix [B.](#page-16-0)

2. Ground state

Below the orbital-order transition temperature, $PCMO x =$ 0.1 exhibits an orbital order like $PrMnO₃$, which is broken by the presence of hole polarons, as sketched in Fig. 7. The PCMO $x = 0$ orbital order consists of a checkerboardlike arrangement of alternating $d_{z^2-x^2}$ and $d_{z^2-y^2}$ orbitals in the *ab* plane [\[63\]](#page-19-0). The hole polarons are Mn ions in the formal 4+ oxidation state, i.e., they show a small charge deficiency and the absence of orbital polarization toward a certain *eg* orbital. The neighboring orbitals arrange preferentially with their lobes pointing toward the hole polarons. This leads to cluster formation of hole polarons and Mn sites with in-plane *dx*²−*y*² orbitals. The formation of such clusters in neighboring *ab* planes is unfavorable. At very low temperatures, the hole polaron clusters are concentrated in single *ab* planes. We

found no influence of this ordering on the orbital-order phase transition investigated in this paper. More disordered states with the hole polaron clusters scattered in many of the *ab* planes have an only slightly increased ground state energy by a few millielectronvolts.

The PMO-like orbital order prefers an antiferromagnetic order along the *c* axis, while the hole polarons favor a ferromagnetic alignment in that direction. The competition of these effects can lead to spin canting. A common problem of model Hamiltonians of the type used here is that they yield a *c*/*a* ratio >1 , which differs from experiment [\[8\]](#page-18-0). We are not aware of a nontrivial cure for this problem.

3. The order parameter

The orbital order of the system is described by the structure factor

$$
C_Q(\vec{q}) = \frac{1}{N_R} \sum_{j \in \{2,3\}} \left| \sum_R e^{-i\vec{q}\vec{R}_R} Q_{j,R} \right|^2, \tag{5}
$$

of the Jahn-Teller distortions $Q_{2,R}$, $Q_{3,R}$. Here, N_R is the number of Mn sites in the sum. Due to the finite supercell size in our calculations, this correlation function has contributions only at discrete points in reciprocal space. We define the order parameter for the orbital-order phase transition as the structure factor summed along the q_c direction:

$$
C_Q^{\text{av}}(q_a, q_b) = \sum_{q_c} C_Q(q_a, q_b, q_c) \quad \text{at} \quad (q_a, q_b) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}, 0\right),\tag{6}
$$

in *Pbnm* notation. This order parameter quantifies the checkerboardlike alternating orbital order in the *ab* plane. In our simulations, the orbital order of the Mn e_g electrons appears simultaneous with the ordering of the Jahn-Teller distortions. A correlation function of the Mn *eg* electrons yields the same transition temperature as the Jahn-Teller order parameter used here.

4. Simulations

In finite-temperature simulations for PCMO $x = 0.1$, the orbital order melts at 270 K. Figure $8(a)$ shows the order parameter $C_Q^{\text{av}}(\frac{2\pi}{a},0)$ for the orbital order as a function of temperature. Both the heating (red) and the cooling (blue and magenta) curves are shown to ensure that the hysteresis due to the finite simulation time is negligible. As a result of the finite supercell used in the calculations, the transition is not abrupt. The sharpest drop of the order parameter occurs \sim 270 K, where it passes its half maximum value. Above 400 K, the Jahn-Teller correlation function becomes nearly independent of the wave vector, as seen in Fig. $8(b)$. The correlation length of the antiferrodistortive order reduces to nearest neighbors only at high temperatures.

The Jahn-Teller distortions are present over the entire temperature range investigated, i.e., *T* < 800 K, but the correlation

FIG. 8. (a) Order parameter $C_Q^{\text{av}}(\frac{2\pi}{a}, 0)$ for the orbital-order transition in PCMO $x = 0.1$ as a function of temperature obtained in our simulations, scaled to its ground state value. Six simulation runs are shown for each temperature. The system was first heated from the ground state to nonzero temperatures, shown with red crosses. The system was subsequently cooled from the 400 K heating calculations to lower target temperatures (cooling 1), and in a second step from the 200 K cooling calculations back to 20 K (cooling 2), shown with blue squares and magenta circles, respectively. More details on the heating and cooling cycles are provided in the Supplemental Material [\[38\]](#page-19-0). (b) In-plane Jahn-Teller correlation function $C_Q^{\text{av}}(q_a, q_b)$ of a typical heating simulation close to the ground state (20 K), during the transition (280 K), and above the transition (400 K). The white dotted line marks the shape of the reciprocal unit cell. The peaks have been broadened by a Gaussian. Near the ground state, we clearly see the PrMnO₃-like alternating orbital structure at $(q_a, q_b) = (\frac{2\pi}{a}, 0)$, respectively, $(q_a, q_b) = (0, \frac{2\pi}{b})$. At 400 K, nearly all possible diffraction spots of our unit cell have the same weight.

of the distortions at different sites vanishes above the transition temperature due to the breakdown of orbital order. In Fig. 8(b), the *ab*-plane Jahn-Teller correlation function $C_Q^{\text{av}}(q_a, q_b)$ is shown for a typical heating cycle at 20, 280, and 400 K. The 20 and 400 K correlation functions are fully reproducible for all simulation runs. In the 280 K calculations, we observed a few runs that have a more pronounced (0,0) peak. The correlation function for the finite supercell has contributions only at discrete points in reciprocal space, which have been broadened by a Gaussian in Fig. [7\(b\).](#page-8-0) The mean intensity is the measure for the expectation value of the Jahn-Teller distortion.

These model simulations indicate the presence of an orbital-order phase transition at ∼270 K, close to the experimental values presented in this paper. A comment on the magnetic phase transition is provided in the Supplemental Material [\[38\]](#page-19-0).

G. Temperature-dependent structural changes in bulk PCMO

1. Temperature-dependent changes of lattice constants

The theoretical simulations give clear evidence for the presence of the orbital-ordering phase transition in the vicinity of room temperature. This temperature is somewhat higher than the experiments in PCMO $x = 0.1$ thin films, where relevant physical properties change in the vicinity of temperature of 220–280 K. A reduced ordering temperature in the thin films compared with bulk is expected due to growth-induced defects and substrate-induced epitaxial strain.

After observing small changes or anomalies in various physical properties, the question is obvious whether such a phase transition might be visible in a change of the lattice parameters as well. Therefore, we have performed temperature-dependent XRD measurements on polycrystalline PCMO $x = 0$ and PCMO $x = 0.1$ powder samples to avoid substrate-induced strain effects in the thin films and to get access to a higher number of different crystal orientations. The lattice parameters *a, b*, and *c* were deduced from the (200), (020), and (004) reflections, respectively, and their temperature dependences are shown in Fig. [9.](#page-10-0) A careful inspection of the intensity distributions shows that the XRD reflections reveal a double-peak structure [Fig. $9(a)$] for both systems PCMO $x = 0$ and PCMO $x = 0.1$. The shape of the doubled reflection peaks does not change with temperature. The double-peak structure originates from $K\alpha_1$ and $K\alpha_2$ irradiation contribution. With respect to temperature dependence, the lattice constants deduced from the $K\alpha_1$ peak may include a systematic but temperature-independent error. The room temperature lattice parameters are in good agreement with experimental data from Refs. [\[8,30](#page-18-0)[,65\]](#page-19-0).

The temperature dependence of the lattice parameters [Figs. [9\(b\)–9\(d\)\]](#page-10-0) exhibits a contraction in the *c* direction and an expansion in the *b* direction while cooling. The *a* lattice parameter decreases as well, but the change is very small in comparison with the changes in *b* and *c*. These trends are typical for the orthorhombic *Pbnm* structure of PCMO and are fully consistent with the results of Refs. [\[10,30\]](#page-18-0) for PMO. However, our detailed temperature scan reveals the presence of two regimes with distinctly different behavior of the lattice parameters $a(T)$, $b(T)$, and $c(T)$. We observe a significantly reduced temperature dependence below 350 K for $x = 0$ and 300 K for $x = 0.1$ For the *a* lattice parameter, this reduction

FIG. 9. Temperature-dependent x-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of polycrystalline bulk Pr_{1−*x*}Ca_xMnO₃ samples with $x = 0$ and 0.1. (a) Intensity distributions of (004) PCMO $x = 0$ reflections at different temperatures. The data are normalized to the position and the height of the intensity maximum. (b)–(d) Temperature dependence of lattice parameters of PCMO $x = 0$ and PCMO $x = 0.1$. The lattice parameters correspond to *Pbnm* notation and are converted into a pseudocubic setting to facilitate the quantitative comparison.

even results in a temperature-independent value within the experimental errors. The comparison of PCMO $x = 0$ and PCMO $x = 0.1$ as seen in Fig. 9 reveals a similar temperature dependence with comparable temperature slopes but a lower transition temperature for the lightly doped system. The shift of *a* and *c* to higher and of *b* to lower values for the doped system is as expected for a reduced orthorhombicity in the Ca-doped system compared with PMO.

In the temperature region below 150 K, the lattice parameters for PCMO $x = 0.1$ exhibit a second change in slope, where they tend to become temperature independent. This happens at temperatures far below the Debye temperature, where a saturation of thermal expansion is expected. The appearance of temperature-independent lattice parameters may reflect the well-established second phase transition into magnetic ordered state [\[51\]](#page-19-0).

Usually, thermal expansion coefficients reveal a similar temperature dependence as the specific heat [\[66\]](#page-19-0); thus, they strongly change below the Debye temperature, where the specific heat of materials is typically strongly temperature dependent. To the best of our knowledge, no measurements of the specific heat of PCMO $x = 0$ and PCMO $x = 0.1$ near room temperature are published. The decrease of the thermal expansion at 350 K, not far below the Debye temperature of \sim 460 K [\[67\]](#page-19-0), thus represents an anomalous thermal expansion behavior and implies an anomaly of the thermal properties in this temperature regime.

The available data on temperature dependence of the specific heat of lightly Ag-doped PrMnO₃ (Pr_{1−*x*}Ag_{*x*}MnO₃, $x \le$ 0.2) do not show any anomaly that would indicate a phase transition in the temperature range between 200 and 320 K [\[68\]](#page-19-0). This raises the question whether the orbital-order transition, if present at lightly Ag-doped $PrMnO₃$, is a continuous transition since the structural changes between a tilt-induced order and spontaneous order are rather small.

2. Change in lattice parameters for Jahn-Teller modes

In the following, we want to consider whether the changes of the lattice parameter can be interpreted as a structural fingerprint of Jahn-Teller distortions with respect to the Jahn-Teller modes ΔQ_2 and ΔQ_3 . Therefore, we study the influence of Jahn-Teller distortions as well as octahedral tilt on the orthorhombic lattice parameters [\[69\]](#page-19-0). The atomic positions in bulk PCMO $x = 0$ and PCMO $x = 0.1$, obtained in Rietveld refinement by Jirák *et al.* [\[8](#page-18-0)[,69\]](#page-19-0) at room temperature, provide our starting point. In accordance with Tamazyan and Van Smaalen [\[70\]](#page-19-0), the lattice parameters of a corner-shared network of $MnO₆$ octahedra can be expressed as a function of the tilt angle θ and the Jahn-Teller modes ΔQ_2 and ΔQ_3 as follows:

$$
a = \sqrt{2}(d_1 + d_s) [\cos \theta + n_1^2 (1 - \cos \theta)] + \sqrt{2}(d_1 - d_s)[n_1 n_2 (1 - \cos \theta) - n_3 \sin \theta], \quad (7a)
$$

$$
b = \sqrt{2}(d_1 + d_s) [\cos \theta + n_2^2 (1 - \cos \theta)]
$$

+ $\sqrt{2}(d_1 - d_s)[n_2 n_1 (1 - \cos \theta) + n_3 \sin \theta],$ (7b)

$$
c = 4 dm \left[\cos \theta + n_3^2 (1 - \cos \theta) \right].
$$
 (7c)

Here, (n_1, n_2, n_3) is the normal vector along the tilt axis, and d_l , d_m , and d_s the long, medium, and short Mn-O distances of the $MnO₆$ octahedra. The octahedra are assumed to be ideal, i.e., no distortion of the right angles appears as justified by the work of Zhou and Goodenough [\[71\]](#page-19-0). Combined with values obtained by Jirák *et al.* [\[8,](#page-18-0)[69\]](#page-19-0) at room temperature, the changes in Mn-O bonding lengths can be parametrized by the relative changes of the amplitude of the two Jahn-Teller modes ΔQ_1 , ΔQ_2 , and ΔQ_3 compared with their room temperature values:

$$
d_1 = d_1^{\text{RT}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\Delta Q_1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\Delta Q_2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}\Delta Q_3},\qquad(7d)
$$

$$
d_m = d_m^{\text{RT}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\Delta Q_1} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}\Delta Q_3},\tag{7e}
$$

$$
d_s = d_s^{\text{RT}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\Delta Q_1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\Delta Q_2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}\Delta Q_3},\qquad(7f)
$$

Here, we assume that the average Mn-O distance remains unchanged, i.e., no temperature dependent breathing of the octahedra are accounted for, and thus, $\Delta Q_1 = 0$. In a next step, the direction of the rotation axis is set to the values given by the refinements [\[8,](#page-18-0)[69\]](#page-19-0), and it is assumed that the direction of the axis remains temperature independent. Subsequently, the root mean square difference between modeled and experimental lattice parameters is minimized by varying ΔQ_2 , ΔQ_3 , and tilt angle θ . This yields temperaturedependent values for the Jahn-Teller modes ΔQ_2 , ΔQ_3 , as well as the tilt angle, which are presented in Fig. 10(a). While the tilt angle remains relatively unchanged, the increased anisotropy in lattice parameters can be described with enhanced Jahn-Teller distortions toward low temperatures. The comparison between modeled and experimental lattice parameters is shown in Fig. $10(b)$ and shows a very good quality of the fit.

Noteworthy, the fixation of the rotation axis as well as the mean Mn-O distance to the literature values at room temperature is a model assumption chosen to avoid an underdetermined minimization problem, possibly affecting the quantitative values of the extracted parameters. However, while the rotation axis is known to be rather insensitive to doping and temperature changes [\[8,30](#page-18-0)[,69\]](#page-19-0), a change in the mean Mn-O distance affects all lattice parameters equally. A uniform outward-inward breathing distortion ΔQ_1 is thus unsuitable to explain the increased anisotropy at low temperatures. Consequently, the experimentally found data can be described by changes in the Jahn-Teller modes ΔQ_2 and ΔQ_3 only. The parallel trends of ΔQ_2 and ΔQ_3 indicate that a very similar type of continuous change of the amplitudes of the Jahn-Teller distortions takes place in $PCMO x = 0$ and $PCMO$ $x = 0.1$ that mainly increases the antiferrodistortive in-plane ordering ΔQ_2 with decreasing temperature.

FIG. 10. (a) Change of Jahn-Teller modes ΔQ_3 and ΔQ_2 relative to the room temperature values as well as octahedral tilt angle for PCMO $x = 0$ (closed symbols) and PCMO $x = 0.1$ (open symbols). (b) Pseudocubic lattice parameters corresponding to the ΔQ_2 , ΔQ_3 , and tilt angle θ shown in (a) (symbols) as well as the experimentally obtained values replotted from Fig. [8](#page-9-0) (lines).

III. DISCUSSION

The comprehensive temperature-dependent study of physical and structural properties of PCMO $x = 0.1$ presented above indicates the presence of an additional low-temperature phase transition in the phase diagram of PCMO. The main changes of the physical properties of the films observed at the orbital-order phase transition at $T_{OO} \approx 220 - 250$ K can be summarized as follows:

(1) The hot-polaron photovoltaic effect sets in at T_{OO} (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0) and therefore depends on orbital order (for PCMO $x = 0.1$), respectively, on orbital and charge order (for PCMO $x = 0.34$) [\[11\]](#page-18-0). An increased hot-polaron lifetime below T_{00} has been identified by optical pump-probe experiments in (Fig. [6\)](#page-6-0).

(2) A subtle but reliable change of the activation barrier for thermally activated hopping of hole-type polarons is observed at $T_{\rm OO}$ (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0). Such an increase of the activation barrier with decreasing temperatures can be explained through the formation energy of orbital defects in an orbital-ordered structure. Such an excess energy is not required in an orbitaldisordered structure or is lower in a structure with a lower degree of order.

(3) Another fingerprint of the phase transition is the observed onset of a pronounced magnetoresistance effect below *T*_{OO}. Furthermore, a slight change in the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ is visible. The onset of this change in $\chi(T)$ is observed at 260 K, which is above but close to $T_{\rm OO}$. Typically, phase transitions that affect spin properties manifest themselves at higher temperatures in the magnetic susceptibility through a change in spin fluctuations. The physical origin might be a change in the double exchange coupling between Mn ions due to an increase in orbital order, as, e.g., described in the model of Millis *et al.* [\[45\]](#page-19-0).

(4) The temperature dependence of the optical bandgap shows a transition from a normal behavior with an increase with decreasing temperature due to thermal contraction to an anomalous behavior below T_{OO} (Fig. [5\)](#page-5-0), where the bandgap decreases during cooling. This may reflect the anomalous thermal expansion behavior below the transition temperature, where the thermal expansion coefficients change due to the ordering. The change in optical properties is also reflected in a change of the transient optical response after pumping polaron excitations at the bandgap: Polaronic excitations do not only show an increase in the lifetime below $T_{\rm OO}$, but the transient absorption also exhibits nonmonotonous and hysteretic features, pointing to an anomaly in the specific heat in this temperature range.

(5) The lattice parameters of two bulk powder PCMO samples with doping of $x = 0$ and 0.1 exhibit a change in thermal expansion at ∼350 and 300 K, respectively, which we attribute to the orbital-order transition. This gives us access to the orbital-order temperature in the bulk as opposed to films, for which the orbital-order transition temperature is lower due to misfit strain and growth-induced defects.

A. Orbital order and orbital polarization

Our theoretical calculation of the orbital-order phase transition exhibits an onset of spontaneous orbital order near 270 K for PCMO $x = 0.1$, and preliminary results for PCMO $x = 0$ yield a transition temperature of 360 K. At the transition temperature, the calculated order parameter for orbital order C_Q^{av} for $\vec{q} = (\frac{2\pi}{a}, 0)$ falls off rapidly with increasing temperature. The orbital polarization, on the other hand, is not affected by the orbital-order transition and remains intact up to high temperatures. While the orbital polarization is a measure of the size of the distortion in a single octahedron, the orbital order describes the correlation of distortions on different octahedra.

The orbital polarization is quantified by the corresponding structural parameter, the relative distortion $D =$ $\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1...6} [(d_j - \langle d \rangle) / \langle d \rangle]^2$ with the Mn-O distances d_j in the octahedron and their mean value $\langle d \rangle = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1,..,6} d_j$. The relative distortion is directly related to the integral $D =$ $\frac{1}{12\langle d \rangle^2} \int d^3q \, C_Q(\vec{q})$ of the correlation function C_Q , when only the Jahn-Teller active distortions Q_2 and Q_3 are considered.

Specifically, the correlation functions exhibit peaks which broaden as the system loses the orbital order [\[72\]](#page-19-0). The broadening lowers the peak intensity at the wave vectors of the lattice but not its integrated weight. The width is a measure of the (inverse) correlation length and thus of orbital disorder. The total weight of the peaks, on the other hand, is a measure of orbital polarization.

We note that our simulations do not incorporate the tilt order of the material. Therefore, the remaining induced orbital order observed experimentally [\[19\]](#page-18-0) above the orbital-order transition, which we attribute to an orbital order induced by the octahedral tilt, is absent.

B. The nature of nonmagnetic thermal phase transitions at low doping

Our results indicate that the orbital-order transition in the low-doped region occurs at considerably lower temperatures than previously believed. For bulk PCMO $x = 0$, our results indicate the transition to be near 360 K, which is consistent with the simulations. This conclusion also affects the assignment of the high-temperature phase transition above 800 K $[10,30,73]$ $[10,30,73]$ for PCMO $x = 0$, previously assigned to be due to orbital order. At this point, however, we can only speculate about the nature of the phases in the high-temperature part of the phase diagram:

At high temperatures, Pollert *et al.* [\[10\]](#page-18-0) determined two phase transitions in PCMO $x = 0$, namely, an orthorhombic-to-orthorhombic O/O transition at 815 K and an orthorhombic-to-pseudocubic O /C transition at 945 K. Sánchez *et al.* obtained a similar pattern, albeit with transitions shifted to higher temperatures, namely, with the O/O' transition at 948 K and the O'/C transition at $T_{\text{JT}} = 1050 \text{ K}$ [\[74\]](#page-20-0). The O'/C transition is related to a strong increase of the electric conductivity with increasing temperature [\[73,75\]](#page-20-0). In the orthorhombic low-temperature phase, conductivity is restricted due to thermally activated polarons, while metallic band conduction dominates in the high-temperature phase. Zhou and Goodenough [\[73\]](#page-20-0) also describe a transition temperature $T^* < T_{\text{JT}}$ which is characterized by a slope discontinuity in the thermoelectric power. It must remain open at this point whether T^* can be identified with the O/O transition observed by Pollert *et al.* [\[10\]](#page-18-0).

The loss of orbital order alone does not explain the transition to metallic conduction because it preserves the Jahn-Teller splitting between the *eg* orbitals. This notion is supported by our finding in the simulations, which exhibit a finite bandgap also above the orbital-order transition.

A possible mechanism for the metal-insulator transition is as follows: A reduction of the mean Mn-O-Mn bond angle increases the band width, which in turn may close the bandgap between upper and lower Jahn-Teller bands. The resulting redistribution of electrons from the lower to the upper Jahn-Teller band could eventually lead to a collapse of the orbital polarization. Loss of orbital polarization lowers the Jahn-Teller splitting, and this eventually causes the metal-insulator transition.

Hence, we tend to attribute the orthorhombic-topseudocubic transition at T_{IT} to the loss of orbital polarization rather than that of orbital order. With this reassignment, there is no contradiction with an orbital-order transition near room temperature consistent with our findings.

Both transitions O/O and O/C have a strong tilt component, as indicated by the strong dependence of the transition

temperatures on the tolerance factor [\[73\]](#page-20-0). The thermodynamics of the octahedral tilt pattern, which strongly determines the ordering at high temperatures, is expected to be similar for $PrMnO₃$ and $CaMnO₃$. The latter exhibits two tilt transitions close to 900 \degree C [\[76\]](#page-20-0). It is conceivable, although not guaranteed, that the phase transitions T^* and T_{IT} are connected to the two tilt transitions observed for $CaMnO₃$.

A direct experimental probe of the orbital order is the resonant x-ray scattering experiments by Murakami *et al.* $[19]$ on LaMnO₃. The orbital-order reflection $(3,0,0)$ exhibits a clear drop near 200 K, which the authors relate to the Néel transition at 140 K. Our finding offers the orbital-order transition as an alternate explanation for the drop in the orbital-order reflection. However, the work by Murakami *et al.* [\[19\]](#page-18-0) also shows a high-temperature tail of the reflection. A possible explanation for this tail is a remaining orbital polarization induced by the tilt pattern, which persists up to the orthorhombic-to-orthorhombic transition of $LaMnO₃$ at 780 K. Furthermore, Zimmermann *et al.* [\[17\]](#page-18-0) observed an orbital-order (0,3,0) reflection at the Mn *K* edge in polarized x ray for $Pr_{0.75}Ca_{0.25}MnO_3$ which exhibits a steplike reduction above 220 K and a high-temperature tail up to 850 K, where it vanishes. Also, Raman studies of $PrMnO₃$ thin films on $LaAlO₃$ and $SrTiO₃$ substrates indicate possible structural phase transitions by the significant softening of the JT active modes at 412 and 690 cm^{-1} above a temperature of 220 K [\[77\]](#page-20-0).

This apparent contradiction can be resolved within a model in the spirit of the Landau theory of phase transitions for two coupled order parameters. As reviewed by Cowley [\[31\]](#page-18-0), there are several types of Landau free energies that describe the impact of a primary order parameter, e.g., due to a structural phase transition, on a secondary order parameter, such as an induced physical property. Here, we start from the free energy of two independent second-order phase transitions at different temperatures, one for the orbital-order parameter and another one for the tilt angle. The saturation of the order parameters further away from the transition temperature is accounted for by replacing the linear prefactor $\frac{T - T_C}{\Delta}$ by tanh($\frac{T - T_C}{\Delta}$). Finally, the two order parameters x and y are coupled by a bilinear term.

Let us consider a free energy of the form

$$
F_T(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}x^4 + \tanh\left(\frac{T - T_x}{\Delta_x}\right)\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}y^4 + \tanh\left(\frac{T - T_y}{\Delta_y}\right)\frac{1}{2}y^2 - \alpha xy,
$$
 (8)

which describes the free energy for two order parameters *x* and *y* as a function of temperature *T*. For $\alpha = 0$, the free energy describes two systems that each undergo a second-order phase transition at, respectively, T_y . The parameters Δ_x and Δ _y control the sharpness of the corresponding transition. The last term with the coupling parameter α describes the coupling of the two systems. For $\alpha > 0$, it favors states with order parameters having equal signs over those with opposite signs. The parameters ($T_x = 300 \text{ K}$, $\Delta_x = 50 \text{ K}$, $T_y = 700 \text{ K}$, $\Delta_y =$ 100 K, and $\alpha = 0.9$) have been adjusted so that the orbitalorder parameter resembles the data for the orbital reflection of Murakami *et al.* [\[19\]](#page-18-0). The variable *x* represents the order

FIG. 11. Order parameters for the free energy of Eq. (8) as a function of temperature. The full line describes the orbital-order parameter *x*, and the dashed line represents the tilt angle. Both are scaled to their low-temperature value without coupling. The dotted lines show the order parameters in the absence of coupling.

parameter for the orbital order, while the variable *y* represents the tilt angle. For the sake of simplicity, we consider only one tilt angle. Both order parameters are given in units of their uncoupled low-temperature values.

As shown in Fig. 11, in the presence of a coupling, the order parameter *x* for orbital order has two nonzero plateaus. At low temperatures, the orbital order is spontaneous, while being enhanced in size due to the cooperative effect of the tilt angle. At the temperature of the orbital-order transition of the uncoupled system, the order parameter does not fall off to zero, but it exhibits a drop to a lower value, which is due to orbital order induced by the tilt pattern. Consequently, $T_x = 300$ K marks the temperature for which the orbital order is largely lost, i.e., the transition temperature for spontaneous orbital ordering. While the order parameter *x* for the orbital order goes to zero at $T_y = 800$ K simultaneously with that for the tilt pattern, one would characterize the region of the induced orbital order by the tilt pattern rather than by the orbital order.

Interesting are the changes upon doping, which reduce the weight of the checkerboard pattern of the orbital order by inserting hole polarons. Hole polarons in the background of the checkerboard orbital order are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) This reduces the magnitude of the induced orbital order, as opposed to shifting the transition temperature from $T_y = 800$ K to $T_x = 300$ K, as one might expect [\[78\]](#page-20-0).

When the hole polarons order themselves in a pattern that cannot couple to the tilt pattern, the two transitions at T_x and *Ty* become decoupled, and two independent second-order transitions are obtained. This is clearly seen by comparing the temperature dependence of Zimmermann *et al.* [\[17\]](#page-18-0): The orbital $(0,3,0)$ reflection for doping PCMO $x = 0.25$ exhibits an induced orbital order, while that for doping $PCMO x = 0.4$ in the charge-ordered regime undergoes an abrupt transition to zero at 240 K.

The distinction between induced and spontaneous order in the experimental studies has an impact on the classification of the phase transition. Figure [9](#page-10-0) shows continuous changes of the lattice parameter across the phase transition, indicative for a continuous, e.g., second-order phase transition. This is also reflected in the fit of the lattice parameter by the structure model including tilt and Jahn-Teller distortion. Only the amplitudes of the Q_2 and Q_3 modes are changing across the phase transition, whereas the symmetry of the distortions is the same above and below the phase transition. As a result, the lattice possesses *Pbnm* symmetry above and below the orbitalorder transition. In contrast, the high-temperature tilt phase transition is of first order since both the lattice symmetry is changing as well as the lattice parameters, and the cell volume shows a step at the transition temperature.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

A previously unknown phase transition has been detected in the low-doping region of PCMO at $T_{\text{OO}} = 350 \text{ K}$ for $x =$ 0, $T_{\text{OO}} = 300 \text{ K}$ for $x = 0.1$, and at $\sim T_{\text{OO}} = 220-250 \text{ K}$ in thin films with $x = 0.1$. The experimental fingerprints are an anomalous thermal expansion behavior in the bulk materials and changes in the physical properties of the thin films. Remarkable is the increase of lifetime of polaron excitations below $T_{\rm OO}$ that corresponds to an onset of the polaron photovoltaic effect.

Our theoretical simulations provide evidence that this transition is the orbital-order phase transition with the appearance of spontaneous orbital ordering. In the theoretical simulations, the orbital order vanishes during the transition, while the orbital polarization remains intact up to high temperatures. Our findings indicate that the orbital-order transition in the low-doped region occurs in a similar temperature range for the whole doping regime.

A remaining induced orbital order above the orbitalordering temperature observed in resonant XRD [\[19\]](#page-18-0) for LaMnO₃ and PCMO for $x = 0.25$ [\[17\]](#page-18-0) is attributed to an induced orbital order caused by an octahedral tilt pattern. The tilt pattern couples to the Jahn-Teller distortion and thus induces an orbital order via displacements of the A-type (Pr, Ca) ions. The resonant x-ray scattering studies at PCMO $x = 0.25$ exhibit a strong decay of the orbital-order reflection above 220 K with a tail extending to high temperatures of 850 K.

Our reassignment of the orbital-order transition to appear at temperatures close or below room temperature has an impact on the interpretation of the high-temperature phase transition at 950 K, previously assigned to the orbital-order transition [\[10\]](#page-18-0) and taken up by Refs. [\[17,](#page-18-0)[64\]](#page-19-0). We attribute it to a combination of a tilt transition with a metal-insulator transition, which explains the increased metallic conductivity above the phase transition. The altered phase diagram is show in Fig. 12.

Other studies of orbital order in the charge- and orbitalordered range of the phase diagram of PCMO using resonant XRD never found an orbital-ordered state at temperature close to the melting temperature and thus support our conclusion that spontaneous orbital ordering driven by electronic correlations only emerges at low and moderate temperatures.

FIG. 12. Proposed modified bulk phase diagram of PCMO: Data points included in the phase diagram are based on the following published results [\[7–9,16,17,](#page-18-0)[76,79–81\]](#page-20-0) and are listed in Appendix [C.](#page-17-0) For undoped $x = 0$ and low-doped $x = 0.1$, our experimental results based on temperature anomaly of lattice parameters are shown (squares). In addition, the results from theoretical simulations (crossed out squares) redefine the low-doped region. The data points for the high-temperature phase transition are included: For undoped PCMO $x = 0$ (1) is an observed change in the thermoelectric power [\[30\]](#page-18-0), (2) an O/O' transition [\[73\]](#page-20-0), and (3) the disappearance of residual orbital order measured by resonant x-ray spectroscopy for PCMO $x = 0.25$ [\[64\]](#page-19-0). Note that various magnetic subphases are not indicated; see Refs. [\[8,9,](#page-18-0)[37\]](#page-19-0) as well as possible changes in properties of the polaron liquid/glass phases as a function of doping found in other manganites [\[82\]](#page-20-0). Since induced orbital order is different from spontaneous orbital order, it is not indicated as a true phase, as discussed in the text.

Our results also suggest reevaluating the orbital-ordered corner of the phase diagrams of other orthorhombic manganites such as $LaMnO₃$ and $NdMnO₃$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) 217133147/SFB 1073, projects B02, B03, A06, and B07. The authors thank K. Stroh for supporting the absorption experiments. M.t.B. and P.E.B. are grateful to Dr. Sangeeta Rajpurohit for fruitful discussions on the dynamical and thermodynamical properties of manganites.

B.K., T.M., and M.t.B. contributed equally to this paper.

APPENDIX A: EXPERIMENTS

1. Sputter deposition of epitaxial films

Epitaxial $Pr_{0.9}Ca_{0.1}MnO_3$ (PCMO) thin films were deposited on (100) SrTiO₃ (STO) and (100) SrTi_{0.995}Nb_{0.005}O₃ (STNO) substrates by ion-beam sputtering with a thickness of 100 nm. STNO serves as the *n*-doped part of the heterojunctions. For optical and pump-probe spectroscopy, epitaxial PCMO films on MgO substrates were used because of the larger bandgap and higher thermal conductivity of this substrate.

During deposition, the total gas pressure amounted to 5.4×10^{-4} mbar. Argon was used for beam neutralization $(P_{\text{Ar}} = 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mbar})$ and xenon for sputtering $(P_{\text{Xe}} = 1 \times$ 10^{-4} mbar). An oxygen partial pressure of $P_{O2} = 1.4 \times$ 10^{-4} mbar is sufficient for a correct oxygen stoichiometry of the perovskite phase. The deposition temperature of the substrate surface was ∼720 °C (the temperature of the heater was fixed at 820 °C). To reduce strain and growth-induced defects that affect resistivity, the samples for electric transport measurements were postannealed in air at 900 °C up to 20 h. The heating and cooling rates were fixed to 100 K/h.

2. XRD

To characterize the out-of-plane growth directions and the strain states of the thin film samples, XRD in Θ -2 Θ Bragg-Brentano geometry and at room temperature was performed with a Bruker D8 Discover system with monochromatic Cu $K\alpha_1$ radiation $\lambda = 1.5406$ Å.

For temperature-dependent characterization in the range between 540 and 90 K, polycrystalline bulk powders prepared by conventional solid-state reaction were investigated using a Bruker D8 Advance Powder X-Ray system with Cu *K*α radiation. To avoid oxygen losses, measurements above room temperature were performed in air. For low-temperature measurements, the sample holder was cooled with liquid nitrogen, and the sample chamber was evacuated down to $P = 10^{-2}$ mbar. The lattice parameters were deduced from measurements with an angular resolution of 0.01°.

3. Photovoltaic characterization

For photovoltaic characterization, previously reported sample geometry was used [\[11\]](#page-18-0). On the rear side of the STNO substrate, ohmic back contacts were realized by Ti layers with protective Au coatings. Using a shadow mask, Pt top contacts with a thickness of 200 nm and a size of 4×1 mm were deposited onto the PCMO $x = 0.1$ films. All current densities are normalized to this top contact area. The deposition of the contact layers was also performed by ion-beam sputtering (IBS).

The samples were set up in a Cryostat (Cryovac) with a suprasil entry window and analyzed in a temperature range from 80 to 300 K. Excluding resistance contributions of the supply cables, top and back contacts were connected to a Keithley 2430 serving as voltage source and ammeter. Additionally, the voltage drop was measured by a Keithley 2182A Nanovoltmeter (internal resistance $> 10G \Omega$).

A LOT 150W Xe-UV lamp served for polychromatic illumination and, by introducing cutoff filters characterized by

FIG. 13. Temperature dependence of different contributions to the magnetic moment at $B_0 = 200$ mT. m_{STO} : blank STO substrate (black symbols). m_{tot} : PCMO $x = 0.1$ on STO (red symbols). Difference $m_{\text{tot}} - m_{\text{STO}}$ (blue symbols). m_{film} : paramagnetic PCMO $x = 0.1$ contribution (green line). Δm_s : temperature-independent contribution due to STO surface ferromagnetism (black line).

a maximum photon excitation energy *E*max, for illuminations with $E_{ph} \leq E_{\text{max}}$. The output power of the source was set to 175 mW.

4. Temperature-dependent resistivity

Temperature-dependent four-point resistance measurements in zero magnetic field and at 9 T at a constant voltage of 75 mV were performed in a Physical Properties Measurement System from Quantum Design. The investigated PCMO $x = 0.1$ films on insulating STO substrates were prepared with ion-beam sputtered 4×1 mm Pt top contacts with a spacing of 2 mm by using a shadow mask. The magnetic field was aligned perpendicular to the substrate.

5. Magnetic properties

The field- and temperature-dependent magnetic moment of PCMO $x = 0.1$ films on STO was measured with a Quantum Design SQUID-magnetometer in the DC measurement mode. The magnetic field was aligned in-plane, i.e., parallel to the substrate. Diamagnetic background contributions of the sample holder and the STO substrate contributions were deduced from the blank (100) STO substrate. This background signal was then subtracted from the experimentally observed moment of coated substrates.

For STO substrates, three relevant contributions to the magnetic moment need to be considered [\[83\]](#page-20-0): the intrinsic diamagnetic moment, a paramagnetic contribution due to impurities, and a ferromagnetic surface magnetism m_0 that is closely related to the formation of oxygen vacancies.

As shown in Fig. 13, the contributions of film and substrate are comparable in magnitude, and the extraction of the film contribution needs careful consideration. The blank substrate measurement m_{STO} mainly exhibits a diamagnetic contribution. However, it weakly depends on temperature and applied field, indicating an additional magnetic contribution that is most probably due to magnetic impurities. The ferromagnetic

surface contribution of STO substrates may change while heating to the PCMO $x = 0.1$ deposition temperature under deposition conditions due to the surface formation of oxygen vacancies. Therefore, the paramagnetic moment m_{film} of the PCMO $x = 0.1$ film cannot be directly related to the difference between the total moment m_{tot} of film and the substrate moment m_{STO} .

To consider these changes of the substrate, we have fitted the difference of the two experimental datasets $m_{\text{tot}}(H, T)$ – $m_{\text{STO}}(H, T)$ in the high-temperature range (*T* > 300 K) by including a temperature-independent substrate contribution $\Delta m_S(H)$. Within this model, the magnetic moment m_{film} of the film is then then given by

$$
m_{\text{film}} = m_{\text{tot}}(H, T) - m_{\text{STO}}(H, T) - \Delta m_{S}(H)
$$

$$
= \frac{\chi_0}{(T - T_{\text{CW}})} V_{\text{film}}, \tag{A1}
$$

Here, Δm_S is treated as a temperature-independent constant that corresponds to changes during heating. This seems to be reasonable because the surface contribution $m_0(H)$ is temperature independent and saturates at external field of ∼200 mT [\[46\]](#page-19-0). The temperature dependence of Δm_S is very small; see Fig. S5 of the Supplementary Material [\[38\]](#page-19-0). From the fit, we observed that the correction Δm_s is negligibly small $(3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ Am}^2)$ for small fields of 10 mT but significant $(1.6 \times 10^{-9} \text{ Am}^2)$ at 200 mT.

6. Optical spectroscopy

The overview of the spectral- and temperature-dependent absorption coefficient was measured in a transmission setup and is not corrected by reflection. In the UV-Vis range $(E_{ph} =$ 1.13–6.2 eV), the setup consists of an OceanOptics DH-2000 Halogen light source, a fiberglass QP400-2-SR-BX, and a Maya2000Pro spectrometer. For the determination of the band gap, additional spectral- and temperature-dependent reflection measurements in the range $E_{ph} = 1.2{\text -}1.6 \text{ eV}$ were included to calculate a reflection-corrected absorption coefficient. For the reflectance measurements, enhanced AU mirror (Thorlabs) was included in the setup, which provides a reference spectrum.

The transmittance was calculated by $I = I_T/I_0$, where I_0 is the incident, and I_T is the transmitted spectrally resolved intensity. A baseline correction was applied to the detectors by subtracting a dark spectrum.

7. Pump-probe experiments

Experimental data on transient transmission were recorded with a bichromatic pump-probe setup making use of a femtosecond fiber amplifier system from Active Fiber Systems running at 50 kHz repetition rate. The pump beam has a central wavelength of 1030 nm (1.2 eV photon energy); the frequency-doubled probe beam has a central wavelength of 515 nm (2.4 eV photon energy). Both pump and probe beams have a pulse duration of <40 fs, as checked by autocorrelation in front of the sample. The measurements were performed in nearly collinear beam geometry inside a liquid helium flow cryostat from Janis with optical access. To obtain high signalto-noise ratio, the pump beam was additionally modulated with an external chopper at a frequency of 534 Hz to allow direct detection of the transmission change ΔTr using lock-in detection at the chopper frequency. Probe signal detection itself was performed using a silicon photodiode with an added bandpass filter to block residual pump light. The measurements were performed on an PCMO $x = 0.1$ sample on MgO [\[39\]](#page-19-0).

APPENDIX B: DETAILS OF THE SIMULATION

1. Model parameters

The parameters for the model Hamiltonian are extracted from density functional calculations of PCMO with $x \in$ $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$. The energy terms and the parameters of the model Hamiltonian are provided in an earlier publication [\[47\]](#page-19-0).

We modified this Hamiltonian in the following ways: (1) We allow the lattice constants to adjust dynamically, as mentioned above, but we constrain the lattice constants in the *ab* plane to be equal. (2) Following Rajpurohit *et al.* [\[84\]](#page-20-0), the resting force constant of the breathing mode is reduced slightly from $k_{br} = 10.346$ to 9.04 eV/A^2 to reproduce the ratio of the amplitudes of Jahn-Teller vs breathing mode of the *ab initio* calculations for $PrMnO₃$.

2. Computational details

The Car-Parrinello dynamics [\[60\]](#page-19-0) used in our simulations introduces fictitious kinetic energy terms for all dynamic degrees of freedom. The degrees of freedom are described by wave functions $\Psi_{\sigma,j,R,n}$ for the e_g electrons, occupations f_n , positions $R_{R,R'}$ connecting manganese site R to the neighbor R' , spins \vec{S}_R and lattice constants $T_{x/y/z}$. Here, *n* refers to the band index, index *R* to manganese sites, and σ and *j* to spin and orbital degrees of freedom of the wave functions. This yields the Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\sigma,j,R,n} f_n \langle \dot{\Psi}_{\sigma,j,R,n} | m_{\Psi} | \dot{\Psi}_{\sigma,j,R,n} \rangle + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{R,R'} M_R \dot{R}_{R,R'}^2
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{2} \sum_R m_S \dot{S}_R^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \{x,y,z\}} M_T \dot{T}_k^2 - E_{\text{pot}}[\Psi, f, R, S, T]
$$

-
$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{constr}}.
$$

Here, the dot refers to the time derivative, E_{pot} summarizes all energy terms introduced before and described in Ref. [\[47\]](#page-19-0), and the last term $\mathcal{L}_{\text{constr}}$. describes additional constraints, such as orthogonality of the wave functions. Friction terms have been added to the Euler-Lagrange equations.

In the finite-temperature calculation, the Nosé-Hoover thermostat $[61, 62]$ drives the thermal fluctuations via a (positive and negative) friction acting on the oxygen atoms. The electrons and spins follow the atoms quasi-adiabatically when they have a sufficiently small mass and a small constant friction. The values of the thermostat masses, frictions, and the period of the Nosé-Hoover thermostat are given in Table [I.](#page-17-0) The lattice constants are kept fixed during the finitetemperature calculations. For the ground state calculation, the masses and frictions are adjusted for a rapid convergence, and the lattice constants are optimized.

TABLE I. Masses and friction values used in the Lagrangian equation. m_{Ψ} and m_{S} are the fictitious masses of electron wave functions and spins. M_R is the oxygen mass. P_{TS} is the quasiperiod of the Nosé-Hoover thermostat. The friction forces are $-f_{\Psi}m_{\Psi}\dot{\Psi}_{\sigma,\alpha,R,n}$ for the wave functions and $-f_s m_s \dot{S}_R$ for the spins.

$m_{\rm u}$	m_S	M_R P_{TS} f_{Ψ} f_S		
	$1.0 m_e a_0^2$ $1.0 m_e \cdot (\frac{a_0}{3/2h})^2$ $15.999 u$ $100 fs$ $0.41 \frac{1}{fs}$ $0.41 \frac{1}{fs}$			

The finite-temperature calculations are performed in a 4 \times 4 \times 4 manganese sites unit cell. We use a doping of $x = \frac{6}{4^3} = 0.09375$, close to the nominal experimental doping of $x = 0.1$. Calculations in a 6 \times 6 \times 4 sites unit cell at doping $x = \frac{14}{144}$ confirmed the ground state and the transition temperature obtained in the smaller unit cell.

APPENDIX C: PHASE DIAGRAM FOR PCMO

Data points included in the phase diagram in Fig. [10](#page-11-0) are based on data in this publication and the following previously published results.

1. Low-temperature magnetic transitions

Summary of the magnetic transitions documented by Jirák *et al.* [\[8,9\]](#page-18-0) for doping levels PCMO $x = 0-0.9$ and Wollan and Koehler $[16]$ for PCMO $x = 1$ in a combination of x-ray and neutron diffraction measurements as well as additional transport measurements:

(1) $x = 0$: $T_N = 91$ K antiferromagnetic type A order. (2) $x = 0.1$: $T_N = 100$ K ferromagnetic order with easy

axis [010], below $T_2 = 70$ K canted antiferromagnetic order.

(3) $x = 0.2$: $T_C = 130$ K ferromagnetic order.

(4) $x = 0.3$: ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic contributions $T_C = 115 \text{ K}$ and $T_N = 130 \text{ K}$, respectively.

(5) $x = 0.4$: $T_N = 170$ K antiferromagnetic CE type.

(6) $x = 0.5$: $T_N = 175$ antiferromagnetic CE type.

(7) $x = 0.6$: $T_N = 165$ K antiferromagnetic CE type.

(8) $x = 0.7$: $T_N = 180$ K antiferromagnetic and noncommensurate spiral magnetic ordering.

(9) $x = 0.8$: $T_N = 170$ K antiferromagnetic type C.

(10) $x = 0.9$: $T_N = 140$ K antiferromagnetic type C and $T = 110$ K antiferromagnetic type G.

(11) $x = 1.0$: $T_N = 110$ K antiferromagnetic type G.

2. Charge and orbital-order transitions at medium temperature

Our bulk analysis of the lattice constant determined by temperature-dependent XRD suggests an orbital-order transition temperature of 350 and 300 K for PCMO doping levels of $x = 0$ and 0.1, respectively. For higher doping levels between PCMO $x = 0.3$ and PCMO $x = 0.5$, a simultaneous transition of orbital and charge ordering has been experimentally observed in a variety of the following publications and measuring techniques resulting in similar transition temperature values. For example, Zimmermann *et al.* [\[17\]](#page-18-0) preformed resonant x-ray spectroscopy measurements to determine a simultaneous charge- and orbital-ordering transition at $T_{\text{CO/OO}} = 245 \text{ K}$ for doping of PCMO $x = 0.4$ and PCMO $x = 0.5$. The structural analysis and transport measurements performed by Jirák *et al.* [\[8,9\]](#page-18-0) determined the charge-order/orbital-order transition at $T_{\rm CO/OO} = 230 \,\rm K$ for PCMO $x = 0.3$ and $T_{CO/OO} = 232$ K for PCMO $x = 0.4$, whereas Yoshizawa *et al.* [\[79\]](#page-20-0) gave a transition temperature of $T_{\rm CO/OO} = 200$ K based on changes in lattice parameters determined from neutron diffraction studies for PCMO $x = 0.3$. In temperature-dependent resistivity measurements, the onset of charge order is visible in a pronounced step in resistivity. Based on such measurements, Tomioka *et al.* [\[7\]](#page-18-0) determined $T_{\text{CO/OO}} = 220 - 230 \text{ K}$ for PCMO $x = 0.35/0.4/0.5$ and $T =$ 200 K for PCMO $x = 0.3$

The charge-order materials are generally considered to show a broad two-phase region of order and disorder domains. Jooss *et al.* [\[24\]](#page-18-0) and Wu *et al.* [\[27\]](#page-18-0) showed for PCMO $x =$ 0.32 and 0.5 a two-phase region of charge-order/orbital-order domains visible from room temperature until 70 K.

For higher doped region PCMO $x = 0.5$ to 0.875, Zheng *et al.* [\[81\]](#page-20-0) measured transport, ultrasound, and powder XRD. In this paper, samples with the following doping have been investigated PCMO *x* = 0.5, 0.55, 0.6, 0.625, 0.67, 0.7, 0.75, 0.8, 0.825, 0.85, and 0.875.

3. Structural phase transitions at high temperature

As previously mentioned for PCMO $x = 0$, a variety of publications on the high-temperature phase transition exist. The exact transition temperatures and the statement whether there are one or two transitions varies. Pollert *et al.* [\[10\]](#page-18-0) published neutron diffraction measurements indicating an Oto-O'-to-pseudocubic transition for $T_{\text{OO'}} = 815 \text{ K}$ and $T_{\text{OC}} =$ 945 K, respectively, whereas Sánchez *et al.* [\[30\]](#page-18-0) published considerably higher T_{JT} at 1050 K and a possible second transition at 948 K, and Zhou and Goodenough [\[73\]](#page-20-0) identified two phase transitions using a combination of resistivity and thermoelectric power measurements. They yielded T_{JT} and T^* at ∼1050 and 750 K [\[73\]](#page-20-0). Even though the absolute temperatures vary, we suggest that all three publications observe the same two transitions, although their assignment differs.

For doping PCMO $x = 0.52$, Carpenter *et al.* [\[80\]](#page-20-0) published a symmetry and strain analysis of structural phase transitions using powder neutron diffraction. At high temperatures, a first-order transition of orthorhombic *Pbmn* structure to $R\bar{3}c$ is reported in a temperature region between 1100 and 1200 K, which has a significant hysteresis [\[80\]](#page-20-0). Zimmermann *et al.* [\[17\]](#page-18-0) analyzed resonant x-ray scattering for PCMO $x = 0.25$ and found that the orbital-ordered (030) peak has a residual intensity until 850 K. In the case of PCMO $x = 1.0$, Taguchi *et al.* [\[76\]](#page-20-0) found an orthorhombic phase with a transition into tetragonal phase at 1170 K and a subsequent cubic transition at 1186 K.

^[1] M. R. Lees, J. Barratt, G. Balakrishnan, D. McK. Paul, and M. Yethiraj, Influence of charge and magnetic ordering on the

insulator-metal transition in $Pr_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_3$, *Phys. Rev. B* 52, R14303(R) (1995).

- [2] R. von Helmolt, J. Wecker, B. Holzapfel, L. Schultz, and K. Samwer, Giant Negative Magnetoresistance in Perovskite like La2/3Ba1/3MnO*^x* [Ferromagnetic Films,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.2331) Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2331 (1993).
- [3] Y. Tomioka, A. Asamitsu, Y. Moritomo, and Y. Tokura, [Anomalous magnetotransport properties of Pr1](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.64.3626)[−]*x*Ca*x*MnO3, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **64**, 3626 (1995).
- [4] J. Dho, Electrode size dependent IV characteristics and photovoltaic effect in the oxide Pn junctions $Pr_{0.7}Ca_{0.3}MnO_3Nb$: $SrTiO₃$ and $La_{0.7}Ca_{0.3}MnO₃Nb$: $SrTiO₃$, Solid State Commun. **150**, 2243 (2010).
- [5] G. Saucke, J. Norpoth, C. Jooss, D. Su, and Y. Zhu, Polaron absorption for photovoltaic energy conversion in a manganitetitanate *pn* heterojunction, Phys. Rev. B **85**[, 165315 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.165315)
- [6] S. Mildner, J. Hoffmann, P. E. Blöchl, S. Techert, and C. Jooss, Temperature- and doping-dependent optical absorption in the small-polaron system Pr_{1−*x*}Ca_{*x*}MnO₃, Phys. Rev. B 92, 035145 (2015).
- [7] Y. Tomioka, A. Asamitsu, H. Kuwahara, Y. Moritomo, and Y. Tokura, Magnetic-field-induced metal-insulator phenomena in [with controlled charge-ordering instability,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.R1689) Phys. Rev. B **53**, R1689 (1996).
- [8] Z. Jirák, S. Krupička, Z. Šimša, M. Dlouhá, and S. Vratislav, Neutron diffraction study of Pr_{1−*x*}Ca_{*x*}MnO₃ perovskites, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **53**, 153 (1985).
- [9] Z. Jirák, S. Krupička, V. Nekvasil, E. Pollert, G. Villeneuve, and F. Zounová, Structural and magnetization study of Pr1[−]*x*Ca*x*MnO3, [J. Magn. Magn. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(80)91157-9) **15-18**, 519 (1980).
- [10] E. Pollert, S. Krupička, and E. Kuzmičová, Structural study of Pr_{1−*x*}Ca_{*x*}MnO₃ and Y_{1−*x*}Ca_{*x*}MnO₃ perovskites, [J. Phys. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(82)90142-1) Solids **43**, 1137 (1982).
- [11] B. Ifland, J. Hoffmann, B. Kressdorf, V. Roddatis, M. Seibt, and C. Jooss, Contribution of Jahn-Teller and charge transfer excitations to the photovoltaic effect of manganite/titanite heterojunctions, New J. Phys. **19**[, 063046 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aa6c22)
- [12] D. Raiser, S. Mildner, B. Ifland, M. Sotoudeh, P. Blöchl, S. Techert, and C. Jooss, Evolution of hot polaron states with a [nanosecond lifetime in a manganite perovskite,](https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201602174) Adv. Energy Mater. **7**, 1602174 (2017).
- [13] B. Kressdorf, T. Meyer, A. Belenchuk, O. Shapoval, M. ten Brink, S. Melles, U. Ross, J. Hoffmann, V. Moshnyaga, M. Seibt, P. Blöchl, and C. Jooss, Room Temperature Hot Polaron Photovoltaics in the Charge Ordered State of a Layered [Perovskite Oxide Heterojunction,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.14.054006) Phys. Rev. Appl. **14**, 054006 (2020).
- [14] H. A. Jahn and E. Teller, Stability of polyatomic molecules in [degenerate electronic states. I—Orbital Degeneracy,](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1937.0142) Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **161**, 220 (1937).
- [15] J. B. Goodenough, Theory of the Role of Covalence in the [Perovskite-Type Manganites \[La](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.100.564), M(II)]MnO₃, Phys. Rev. 100, 564 (1955).
- [16] E. O. Wollan and W. C. Koehler, Neutron Diffraction Study of the Magnetic Properties of the Series of Perovskite-[Type Compounds \[\(1](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.100.545)-*x*)La, *x*Ca]MnO₃, Phys. Rev. **100**, 545 (1955).
- [17] M. v. Zimmermann, C. S. Nelson, J. P. Hill, D. Gibbs, M. Blume, D. Casa, B. Keimer, Y. Murakami, C.-C. Kao, C. Venkataraman, T. Gog, Y. Tomioka, and Y. Tokura, X-ray resonant scattering studies of orbital and charge ordering in Pr1[−]*x*Ca*x*MnO3, Phys. Rev. B **64**[, 195133 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.195133)
- [18] H. Kuwahara, Y. Tomioka, A. Asamitsu, Y. Moritomo, and Y. Tokura, A first-order phase transition induced by a magnetic field, Science **270**[, 961 \(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.270.5238.961)
- [19] Y. Murakami, J. P. Hill, D. Gibbs, M. Blume, I. Koyama, M. Tanaka, H. Kawata, T. Arima, Y. Tokura, K. Hirota, and Y. Endoh, Resonant X-Ray Scattering from Orbital Ordering in LaMnO3, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.582) **81**, 582 (1998).
- [20] T. Katsufuji, T. Kajita, S. Yano, Y. Katayama, and K. Ueno, [Nucleation and growth of orbital ordering,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16004-2) Nat. Commun. **11**, 2324 (2020).
- [21] S. Okamoto, S. Ishihara, and S. Maekawa, Orbital ordering in $(LaMnO₃)$ electron-electron and electron-lattice interactions, Phys. Rev. B **65**[, 144403 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.144403)
- [22] V. Anisimov, I. Elfimov, M. Korotin, and K. Terakura, Orbital and charge ordering in $Pr_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_3$ ($x = 0$ and 0.5) from the *ab initio* calculations, Phys. Rev. B **55**[, 15494 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.15494)
- [23] A. Daoud-Aladine, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, L. Pinsard-Gaudart, M. T. Fernández-Díaz, and A. Revcolevschi, Zener Polaron Or[dering in Half-Doped Manganites,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.097205) Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 097205 (2002).
- [24] C. Jooss, L. Wu, T. Beetz, R. F. Klie, M. Beleggia, M. A. Schofield, S. Schramm, J. Hoffmann, and Y. Zhu, Polaron melting and ordering as key mechanisms for colossal resistance effects in manganites, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702748104) **104**, 13597 (2007).
- [25] J. Herrero-Martín, J. García, G. Subías, J. Blasco, and M. Concepción Sánchez, Structural origin of dipole x-ray resonant scattering in the low-temperature phase of $Nd_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}MnO₃$, Phys. Rev. B **70**[, 024408 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.024408)
- [26] S. Grenier, J. P. Hill, D. Gibbs, K. J. Thomas, M. v. Zimmermann, C. S. Nelson, V. Kiryukhin, Y. Tokura, Y. Tomioka, D. Casa, T. Gog, and C. Venkataraman, Resonant x-ray diffraction of the magnetoresistant perovskite $Pr_{0.6}Ca_{0.4}MnO_3$, *Phys. Rev. B* 69[, 134419 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.134419)
- [27] L. Wu, R. F. Klie, Y. Zhu, and C. Jooss, Experimental confirmation of Zener-polaron-type charge and orbital ordering in Pr1[−]*x*Ca*x*MnO3, Phys. Rev. B **76**[, 174210 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.174210)
- [28] V. E. Dmitrienko, Forbidden reflections due to anisotropic x[ray susceptibility of crystals,](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0108767383000057) Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A **39**, 29 (1983).
- [29] S. B. Wilkins, T. A. W. Beale, P. D. Hatton, J. A. Purton, P. Bencok, D. Prabhakaran, and A. T. Boothroyd, Probing orbital [order with soft x-rays: the case of the manganites,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/7/1/080) New J. Phys. **7**, 80 (2005).
- [30] D. Sánchez, J. A. Alonso, and M. J. Martínez-Lope, Neutrondiffraction study of the Jahn-Teller transition in $PrMnO₃$, J. Chem. Soc. Dalt. Trans. **2002**, 4422 (2002).
- [31] R. A. Cowley, Structural phase transitions. I. Landau theory, [Adv. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00018738000101346) **29**, 1 (1980).
- [32] N. A. Pertsev, A. K. Tagantsev, and N. Setter, Phase transitions and strain-induced ferroelectricity in SrTiO₃ epitaxial thin films, Phys. Rev. B **61**[, R825\(R\) \(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.R825)
- [33] A. M. Glazer, The classification of tilted octahedra in perovskites, Acta Cryst. B **28**[, 3384 \(1972\).](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0567740872007976)
- [34] P. M. Woodward, T. Vogt, D. E. Cox, A. Arulraj, C. N. R. Rao, P. Karen, and A. K. Cheetham, Influence of cation size on the structural features of $Ln_{1/2}A_{1/2}MnO_3$ perovskites at room temperature, Chem. Mater. **10**[, 3652 \(1998\).](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm980397u)
- [35] J. A. Alonso, M. J. Martínez-Lope, M. T. Casais, and M. T. Fernández-Díaz, Evolution of the Jahn-Teller distortion of

 $MnO₆$ octahedra in $R MnO₃$ perovskites ($R = Pr$, Nd, Dy, Tb, [Ho, Er, Y\): A neutron diffraction study,](https://doi.org/10.1021/ic990921e) Inorg. Chem. **39**, 917 (2000).

- [36] J. J. Turner, K. J. Thomas, J. P. Hill, M. A. Pfelfer, K. Chesnel, Y. Tomioka, Y. Tokura, and S. D. Kevan, Orbital domain [dynamics in a doped manganite,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/10/5/053023) New J. Phys. **10**, 053023 (2008).
- [37] P. G. Radaelli, D. E. Cox, M. Marezio, and S.-W. Cheong, Charge, orbital, and magnetic ordering in $La_{0.5}Ca_{0.5}MnO₃s$, Phys. Rev. B **55**[, 3015 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.3015)
- [38] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.235122) 10.1103/PhysRevB.103.235122 for additional microstructural characterization, transport, optical and magnetic properties, simulations of pump probe dynamics and theoretical simulation, and includes Refs. [\[8,30,](#page-18-0)42,49,51,64[,80,82–89\]](#page-20-0).
- [39] B. Ifland, J. Hoffmann, T. Kramer, M. Scherff, S. Mildner, and C. Jooss, Strain driven phase decomposition in ion-beam [sputtered Pr1](https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/935167)[−]*x*Ca*x*MnO3 films, J. Nanomater. **2015**, 935167 (2015).
- [40] M. Takizawa, K. Maekawa, H. Wadati, T. Yoshida, A. Fujimori, H. Kumigashira, and M. Oshima, Angle-resolved photoemission study of Nb-doped SrTiO₃, Phys. Rev. B 79, 113103 (2009).
- [41] A. Wahl, V. Hardy, C. Martin, and C. Simon, Magnetic contributions to the low-temperature specific heat of the ferromagnetic insulator Pr_{0.8}Ca_{0.2}MnO₃, Eur. Phys. J. B 26, 135 (2002).
- [42] J. Hoffmann, P. Moschkau, S. Mildner, J. Norpoth, C. Jooss, L. Wu, and Y. Zhu, Effects of interaction and disorder on polarons in colossal resistance manganite $Pr_{0.68}Ca_{0.32}MnO₃$ thin films, [Mater. Res. Express](https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/1/4/046403) **1**, 046403 (2014).
- [43] V. V. Bryksin and H. Böttger, *Hopping Conduction in Solids*, 1st ed. (VCH-Verlagsgesellschaft, Weinheim, 1985).
- [44] T. Holstein, Studies of polaron motion: Part I. The molecularcrystal model, [Ann. Phys. \(N. Y\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(59)90002-8) **8**, 325 (1959).
- [45] A. J. Millis, P. B. Littlewood, and B. I. Shraiman, Double Exchange Alone Does Not Explain the Resistivity of La1[−]*x*Sr*x*MnO3, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.5144) **74**, 5144 (1995).
- [46] J. Hemberger, M. Brando, R. Wehn, V. Yu. Ivanov, A. A. Mukhin, A. M. Balbashov, and A. Loidl, Magnetic properties [and specific heat of](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.064418) $R M n O_3$ ($R = Pr$, Nd), *Phys. Rev. B 69*, 064418 (2004).
- [47] M. Sotoudeh, S. Rajpurohit, P. Blöchl, D. Mierwaldt, J. Norpoth, V. Roddatis, S. Mildner, B. Kressdorf, B. Ifland, and C. Jooss, Electronic structure of Pr_{1−*x*}Ca_{*x*}MnO₃, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 235150 (2017).
- [48] J. Tauc, Optical properties and electronic structure of amorphous Ge and Si, [Mat. Res. Bull.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(68)90023-8) **3**, 37 (1968).
- [49] A. S. Hassanien, and A. A. Akl, Effect of Se addition on optical and electrical properties of chalcogenide CdSSe thin films, [Superlattices Microstruct.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2015.10.044) **89**, 153 (2016).
- [50] C. Rincón, S. M. Wasim, G. Marín, and I. Molina, Temperature dependence of the optical energy band gap in $CuIn₃Se₅$ and CuGa3Se5, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1528305) **93**, 780 (2003).
- [51] J. Tikkanen, S. Kauhala, H. Huhtinen, and P. Paturi, Anomalous thermal expansion in $(Pr, Ca)MnO₃$ due to orbital ordering, [Phys. Procedia](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2015.12.059) **75**, 475 (2015).
- [52] W. Liang, H. Hou, Y. Lin, and S. N. Luo, Ultrafast electron and spin dynamics of strongly correlated NdNiO₃, J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys. **52**, 075303 (2019).
- [53] P. Ruello, S. Zhang, P. Laffez, B. Perrin, and V. Gusev, Ultrafast electronic dynamics in the metal-insulator transition compound NdNiO3, Phys. Rev. B **76**[, 165107 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.165107)
- [54] J. L. Cohn, J. J. Neumeier, C. P. Popoviciu, K. J. McClellan, and Th. Leventouri, Local lattice distortions and thermal transport in perovskite manganites, Phys. Rev. B **56**[, R8495\(R\) \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.R8495)
- [55] A. I. Lobad, R. D. Averitt, C. Kwon, and A. J. Taylor, Spinlattice interaction in colossal magnetoresistance manganites, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1329324) **77**, 4025 (2000).
- [56] T. Hotta, Orbital ordering phenomena in d- and f-electron systems, [Reports Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/69/7/R02) **69**, 2061 (2006).
- [57] E. Dagotto, T. Hotta, and A. Moreo, Colossal magnetoresistant [materials: The key role of phase separation,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00121-6) Phys. Rep. **344**, 1 (2001).
- [58] J. H. Van Vleck, The Jahn-Teller effect and crystalline Stark splitting for clusters of the form XY_6 , J. Chem. Phys. **7**, 72 (1939).
- [59] [J. Kanamori, Crystal distortion in magnetic compounds,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1984590) J. Appl. Phys. **31**, S14 (1960).
- [60] R. Car and M. Parrinello, Unified Approach for Molecular [Dynamics and Density-Functional Theory,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.55.2471) Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2471 (1985).
- [61] S. Nosé, A unified formulation of the constant tempera[ture molecular dynamics methods,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.447334) J. Chem. Phys. **81**, 511 (1984).
- [62] W. G. Hoover, Canonical dynamics: equilibrium phase-space distributions, Phys. Rev. A **31**[, 1695 \(1985\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.31.1695)
- [63] The orbital shape in the calculations differs slightly from $d_{3x^2-r^2}$ and $d_{3y^2-r^2}$ proposed on experimental grounds [64].
- [64] J. P. Hill, C. S. Nelson, M. V. Zimmermann, Y. J. Kim, D. Gibbs, D. Casa, B. Keimer, Y. Murakami, C. Venkataraman, T. Gog, Y. Tomioka, Y. Tokura, V. Kiryukhin, T. Y. Koo, and S. W. Cheong, [Orbital correlations in doped manganites,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003390100968) Appl. Phys. A **73**, 723 (2001).
- [65] B. Christopher, A. Rao, B. S. Nagaraja, K. Shyam Prasad, G. S. Okram, G. Sanjeev, V. C. Petwal, V. P. Verma, J. Dwivedi, and P. Poornesh, Correlation between structural and transport properties of electron beam irradiated PrMnO₃ compounds, [Solid State Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2017.11.007) **270**, 30 (2018).
- [66] J. Garai, Correlation between thermal expansion and heat capacity, CALPHAD **30**[, 354 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.calphad.2005.12.003)
- [67] J. G. Cheng, Y. Sui, X. J. Wang, Z. G. Liu, J. P. Miao, X. Q. Huang, Z. Lü, Z. N. Qian, and W. H. Su, Specific heat [of single-crystal PrMnO3,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/17/37/022) J. Phys. Condens. Matter **17**, 5869 (2005).
- [68] A. G. Gamzatov, A. M. Aliev, A. B. Batdalov, H. Ahmadvand, H. Salamati, and P. Kameli, Specific heat and magnetocaloric effect of Pr1[−]*x*Ag*x*MnO3 Manganites, [J. Mater. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-013-7704-z) **49**, 294 (2014).
- [69] Z. Jirák, J. Hejtmánek, E. Pollert, M. Maryško, M. Dlouhá, and S. Vratislav, Canted structures in the Mn^{3+}/Mn^{4+} Perovskites, [J. Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.364669) **81**, 5790 (1997).
- [70] R. Tamazyan and S. Van Smaalen, Quantitative description of [the tilt of distorted octahedra in AB](https://doi.org/10.1107/S010876810605244X)*X*³ structures, Acta Cryst. B **63**, 190 (2007).
- [71] J.-S. Zhou and J. B. Goodenough, Universal Octahedral-Site [Distortion in Orthorhombic Perovskite Oxides,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.065501) Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 065501 (2005).
- [72] In our simulations, this broadening happens at discrete points due to the finite supercell size.
- [73] J.-S. Zhou and B. Goodenough, Orbital order-disorder tran[sition in single-valent manganites,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.68.144406) Phys. Rev. B **68**, 144406 (2003).
- [74] Sánchez et al. [\[30\]](#page-18-0) emphasized that the pseudocubic phase is still actually orthorhombic and named it O* rather than C. We assigned the O/O' transition based on the similar data of Sánchez *et al.* [\[30\]](#page-18-0) and Pollert *et al.* [\[10\]](#page-18-0).
- [75] J.-S. Zhou and J. B. Goodenough, Paramagnetic phase in singlecrystal LaMnO3, Phys. Rev. B **60**[, R15002\(R\) \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.R15002)
- [76] H. Taguchi, M. Nagao, T. Sato, and M. Shimada, Hightemperature phase transition of CaMnO_{3− δ}, J. Solid State Chem. **78**, 312 (1989).
- [77] A. Chaturvedi and V. G. Sathe, Raman spectroscopy and x-ray diffraction study of $PrMnO₃$ oriented thin films deposited on LaAlO₃ and SrTiO₃ substrates, [J. Magn. Magn. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2013.06.005) 344, 230 (2013).
- [78] The hole polarons have a destabilizing effect, which lowers the orbital-order transition temperature, but this effect, which is also present in our simulations, shifts the transition temperature by a much smaller amount, and it is independent of the tilt pattern.
- [79] H. Yoshizawa, H. Kawano, Y. Tomioka, and Y. Tokura, Neutron-diffraction study of the magnetic-field-induced metalinsulator transition in $Pr_{0.7}Ca_{0.3}MnO_3$, Phys. Rev. B 52, R13145(R) (1995).
- [80] M. A. Carpenter, R. E. A. McKnight, C. J. Howard, and K. S. Knight, Symmetry and strain analysis of structural phase transitions in Pr_{0.48}Ca_{0.52}MnO₃, Phys. Rev. B **82**[, 094101 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.094101)
- [81] R. K. Zheng, G. Li, Y. Yang, A. N. Tang, W. Wang, T. Qian, and X. G. Li, Transport, ultrasound, and structural properties for the charge-ordered $Pr_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_3$ (0.5 $\leq x \leq 0.875$) manganites, Phys. Rev. B **70**[, 014408 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.014408)
- [82] D. N. Argyriou, J. W. Lynn, R. Osborn, B. Campbell, J. F. Mitchell, U. Ruett, H. N. Bordallo, A. Wildes, and C. D. Ling, Glass Transition in the Polaron Dynamics of Colos[sal Magnetoresistive Manganites,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.036401) Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 036401 (2002).
- [83] J. M. D. Coey, M. Venkatesan, and P. Stamenov, Surface [magnetism of strontium titanate,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/28/48/485001) J. Phys. Condens. Matter **28**, 485001 (2016).
- [84] S. Rajpurohit, C. Jooss, and P. E. Blöchl, Evolution of the magnetic and polaronic order of $Pr_{1/2}Ca_{1/2}MnO_3$ fol[lowing an ultrashort light pulse,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.014302) Phys. Rev. B **102**, 014302 (2020).
- [85] T. Meyer, B. Kressdorf, V. Roddatis, J. Hoffmann, C. Jooss, and M. Seibt, Phase transitions in a perovskite thin film studied by environmental in-situ heating nano-beam electron diffraction, [arXiv:2007.14882](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:2007.14882) [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].
- [86] H. Ulrichs, D. Meyer, M. Müller, M. Mansurova, and F. Döring, Numerical calculation of laser-induced thermal diffusion and elastic dynamics, in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Frontiers in Materials Science (FMS2015)*, edited by T. Yamamoto, M. Yoshiya, H. N. Nhat, and M. Mnzenberg, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 1763 (AIP, New York, 2016), p. 040004.
- [87] S. Adachi, *Magnesium Oxide (MgO) in Handbook on Physical Properties of Semiconductors* (Springer, Boston, 2004).
- [88] P. Thiessen, V. Roddatis, F. Rieger, A. Belenchuk, M. Keunecke, V. Moshnyaga, and C. Jooss, Effect of charge ordering on crossplane thermal conductivity in correlated perovskite oxide superlattices, Phys. Rev. B **98**[, 195114 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.195114)
- [89] A. K. Raychaudhuri, A. Guha, I. Das, R. Rawat, and C. N. R. Rao, Specific heat of single-crystalline (formula presented) [in the presence of a magnetic field,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.165111) Phys. Rev. B **64**, 165111 (2001).