## **Linear magnetoresistance with a universal energy scale in the strong-coupling superconductor Mo8Ga41 without quantum criticality**

W. Zhan[g](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3674-7352)  $\bigcirc$ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6771-6300) <su[p](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1431-5025)>1</sup> Y. J. Hu, <sup>1</sup> C. N. Kuo, <sup>2</sup> S. T. Kuo, <sup>2</sup> Yue-Wen Fang  $\bigcirc$ , <sup>3,4</sup> Kwing To Lai  $\bigcirc$ , <sup>1</sup> X. Y. Liu  $\bigcirc$ , <sup>1</sup> K. Y. Yip  $\bigcirc$ , <sup>1</sup> D. Sun  $\bigcirc$ , <sup>5</sup>

F. F. Balakirev  $\bullet$ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4887-5140) <sup>5</sup> C. S. Lue, <sup>2</sup> Ha[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4371-931X)g[h](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6265-5446)ui Chen  $\bullet$ , <sup>3,6,\*</sup> and Swee K. Goh $\bullet$ <sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong*

<sup>3</sup>*NYU-ECNU Institute of Physics, NYU Shanghai, Shanghai 200062, China*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan*

<sup>5</sup>*National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA*

<sup>6</sup>*Department of Physics, New York University, New York, New York 10003, USA*

(Received 26 June 2020; revised 22 October 2020; accepted 25 November 2020; published 21 December 2020)

The recent discovery of a nonsaturating linear magnetoresistance in several correlated electron systems near a quantum critical point has revealed an interesting interplay between the linear magnetoresistance and the zero-field linear-in-temperature resistivity. These studies suggest a possible role of quantum criticality on the observed linear magnetoresistance. Here we report our discovery of a nonsaturating, linear magnetoresistance in Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>, a nearly isotropic strong electron-phonon coupling superconductor with a linear-in-temperature resistivity from the transition temperature to ∼55 K. The growth of the resistivity in field is comparable to that in temperature, provided that both quantities are measured in the energy unit. Our data sets are remarkably similar to magnetoresistance data of the optimally doped La2−*<sup>x</sup>*Sr*x*CuO4, despite the clearly different crystal and electronic structures, and the apparent absence of quantum critical physics in  $Mo_8Ga_{41}$ . A new empirical scaling formula is developed, which is able to capture the key features of the low-temperature magnetoresistance data of Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>, as well as the data of La<sub>2−*x*</sub>Sr<sub>*x*</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.102.241113](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.241113)

Recently, interesting cases of nonsaturating linear magnetoresistance (LMR) has been reported in several correlated electron systems, including CrAs under pressure,  $Ba(Fe_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Ni_{1/3})_2As_2$ ,  $La_{2-x}Ce_xCuO_4$ ,  $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ , BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1−*x*</sub>P<sub>*x*</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and FeSe<sub>1−*x*</sub>S<sub>*x*</sub> (with appropriate *x* for the latter four)  $[1-6]$ . In these systems, all related to families of topical superconductors, an intriguing interplay between the thermal and field energy scales have been established. A fieldto-temperature scaling which involves a quadrature sum of the thermal and field energy scales, developed by Hayes *et al.* [\[5\]](#page-4-0), has been successfully applied to CrAs, BaFe2(As1−*<sup>x</sup>*P*<sup>x</sup>* )2, FeSe<sub>1−*x*</sub>S<sub>*x*</sub>, and Ba(Fe<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Ni<sub>1/3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> [\[1,2,5,6\]](#page-4-0). However, in the hole-doped cuprate La2−*<sup>x</sup>*Sr*x*CuO4, the resistivity data do not follow the quadrature scaling [\[4,7\]](#page-4-0), while in the electron-doped cuprate  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4$  ( $x = 0.175$ ), the resistivity data have been found to be proportional to the direct sum of thermal and field energies [\[3\]](#page-4-0). To further understand the interplay between the magnetic field and the temperature, more examples of correlated electron systems showing LMR are needed.

Another interesting observation is that the systems discussed above are all in the vicinity of a quantum critical point, where a *T*-linear resistivity is frequently reported [\[8–13\]](#page-4-0). Thus, the observation of LMR in these systems could hint at the emergence of a new feature associated with quantum

†skgoh@cuhk.edu.hk

criticality. Indeed, some authors [\[6\]](#page-4-0) have used "quantum critical magnetoresistance" to describe the magnetoresistance that obeys the quadrature scaling. At the quantum critical point, temperature remains the only relevant energy scale and the uncertainty principle gives  $\tau(k_BT) \sim \hbar$ . If this scattering rate  $(\tau^{-1})$  dominates the charge transport the resistivity is *T* linear. Here  $\tau^{-1}$  is simply set by fundamental constants regardless of the underlying scattering mechanism. This so-called "Planckian dissipation" has been observed in a variety of materials  $[2,8,9,14-16]$ . Nevertheless, whether quantum criticality is a necessary ingredient for the observation of LMR, and its strong interplay with the *T* -linear resistivity, require further investigations.

A well-established mechanism for realizing the *T* -linear resistivity at low temperatures is to promote scattering from low-lying phonon modes [\[10,11,17,18\]](#page-4-0). The existence of the low-lying phonon modes will also enhance the electronphonon coupling.  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  is a strong electron-phonon coupling superconductor with  $T_c$  of 9.8 K [\[19–23\]](#page-4-0), as benchmarked by the normalized specific heat jump  $\Delta c_p / \gamma T_c$  and the gap-to- $T_c$  ratio  $2\Delta/k_B T_c$  of 2.83 and 4.40, respectively [\[19,22\]](#page-4-0), both larger than the BCS weak-coupling values [\[24](#page-4-0)[,25\]](#page-5-0). Here  $\gamma$  is the Sommerfeld coefficient. Indeed, the resistivity increases linearly for *T* between  $T_c$  and  $\sim$ 55 K, and it begins to saturate at higher *T* [\[19,23\]](#page-4-0). Thus, the *T* -linear resistivity in  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  is consistent with the strong electronphonon coupling established from heat capacity data. In this Rapid Communication we report our discovery of a nonsaturating LMR in  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$ . The *T*-linear resistivity occurs at

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan*

<sup>\*</sup>hanghui.chen@nyu.edu



FIG. 1. (a) Temperature dependence of resistivity  $\rho(T)$  in  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  (S1) at ambient pressure and zero field. The dashed line indicates the linear region. The inset shows the primitive unit cell of Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub> drawn with VESTA [\[40\]](#page-5-0). (b)  $\rho(T)$  of S1 at 26 kbar. (c)  $\rho(T)$  of S1 at 49 kbar. (d) Pressure dependence of  $T_c$ .

sufficiently low temperatures where the magnetoresistance (MR) is sizable even in a typical laboratory field, enabling a detailed investigation of the interplay between *T* -linear resistivity and LMR. Remarkably, our data exhibit a very similar behavior to the case of La2−*<sup>x</sup>*Sr*x*CuO4, despite the completely different crystal structure, Fermi surface topology and the apparent absence of quantum critical physics in  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$ .

Single crystals of  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  were synthesized by the Ga flux method  $[26]$ . The electrical resistivity was measured by a standard four-terminal configuration up to 14 T in a Physical Property Measurement System by Quantum Design at CUHK, and one sample was measured up to 36 T at The National High Magnetic Field Laboratory in Tallahassee. Hydrostatic pressure was provided by moissanite anvil cells with glycerin as the pressure transmitting medium and the pressure value was obtained by ruby fluorescence at room temperature. Firstprinciples calculations on  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  were performed, with details provided in the Supplemental Material [\[26\]](#page-5-0).

 $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$ , which adopts the  $V<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  structure [\[41,42\]](#page-5-0), crystalizes in a rhombohedral structure (space group  $R3$ ) with its primitive unit cell shown in the inset of Fig.  $1(a)$ . The Mo atoms are tenfold coordinated by Ga, forming  $M_0Ga_{10}$ polyhedra that interconnect to form a roughly isotropic three-dimensional structure  $[19,42]$  $[19,42]$ . Figure  $1(a)$  shows the *T* dependence of the electrical resistivity  $(\rho)$  in one of our  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  single crystals (S1) at ambient pressure. At 9.8 K, the resistivity drops sharply to zero, signaling a superconducting transition. Between  $T_c$  (=9.8 K) and ~55 K,  $\rho(T)$ is *T*-linear with a slope  $\alpha = d\rho/dT = 1.71 \mu \Omega \text{ cm/K}$ . In the energy unit,  $\alpha/k_B = 19.8 \mu \Omega \text{ cm/meV}$ . With a further increase of temperature,  $\rho(T)$  begins to show sign of saturation. Using an empirical "parallel resistor model" [\[43\]](#page-5-0), the observed  $\rho(T)$  in Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub> can be described as the effective resistivity of two parallel resistors: one has a *T* -linear resistivity from  $T_c$  to 300 K and the other has a  $T$ -independent, saturation resistivity  $[26]$ . Thus, if the second resistor is not effective,  $\rho(T)$  would have a large *T*-linear range as cuprates or Fe-based superconductors near the quantum critical point. Other samples exhibit similar behavior [\[26\]](#page-5-0) and these  $\rho(T)$ curves are consistent with the published result [\[19,23\]](#page-4-0). Figures  $1(b)$  and  $1(c)$  show  $\rho(T)$  of S1 at 26 and 49 kbar, respectively. The high-pressure  $\rho(T)$  traces are similar to the ambient pressure curve, except for a slight nonlinearity just above  $T_c$ . Approximating this region as being linear, we obtain  $\alpha = 1.60$  and  $1.70 \mu \Omega$  cm/K at 26 and 49 kbar, respectively.  $T_c$  decreases approximately linearly with a small slope  $dT_c/dp \approx -13.5$  mK/kbar, as shown in Fig. 1(d).

We now examine the field  $(B)$  dependence of  $\rho$  for S1. Figure  $2(a)$  plots the isothermal  $\rho(B)$  at ambient pressure over a wide temperature range.  $\rho(B)$  exhibits a small asymmetry upon the reversal of *B* because of the antisymmetric Hall contribution, but is otherwise insensitive to the field direction [\[26\]](#page-5-0). The in-field data are clearly dominated by the symmetric component, which is the transverse magnetoresistance  $\rho_{xx}$ and the primary interest of this work. Hence, all forthcoming analysis of the high field data have been carried out on  $\rho_{xx}$ . At 100 K,  $\rho_{xx}(B)$  does not vary much when *B* changes from  $-14$  to 14 T. The MR, defined as  $\rho_{xx}(B)-\rho_{xx}(B=0)$  × 100 %, is only 0.6% at 14 T. On cooling,  $\rho_{xx}(B)$  progressively becomes more sensitive to *B*. At 10 K which is just above  $T_c$ ,  $\rho_{xx}(B)$ is perfectly linear from 2.5 to 14 T (see also Fig. S6(b) of [\[26\]](#page-5-0)) without any sign of saturation, and MR at 14 T reaches 39.8%. Below  $T_c$ ,  $\rho_{xx}(B)$  remains zero until the upper critical field  $(B<sub>c2</sub>)$ , above which  $\rho_{xx}(B)$  grows linearly at a similar rate as the trace at 10 K. Additionally, we have conducted one ambient pressure measurement up to 36 T on  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$ (S6) and found that the linear  $\rho_{xx}(B)$  extends to the maximum attainable field [Fig.  $2(d)$ ]. Similar magnetoresistances are also observed under pressure, with representative data sets shown in Figs.  $2(b)$  and  $2(c)$ . Under pressure we do not see any evidence of other phase transitions, except the superconducting transition. Thus,  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  is not located close to any quantum critical point. Our data reveal an extraordinary magnetotransport phenomena of  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$ : its low-temperature MR is quasilinear and nonsaturating, and LMR is robust against pressure.

The growth of the LMR on cooling can be characterized by  $β = dρ_{xx}/dB$ . Figure [2\(e\)](#page-2-0) displays  $β(T)$  determined for S1 at ambient pressure using  $\rho(B)$  between 12 and 14 T. At low temperatures,  $β$  saturates at around 0.8  $μΩ$  cm/T. Such a temperature-independent  $\beta$  is incompatible with a conventional scenario of an orbital MR set by the product of the cyclotron frequency  $\omega_c$  and scattering time  $\tau$ . In the energy unit  $\beta/\mu_B = 13.1 \ \mu\Omega \text{ cm/m}$ eV at 2 K, which is comparable to  $\alpha/k_B = 19.8 \ \mu \Omega \text{ cm/meV}$  discussed earlier. The pressure

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Field dependence of resistivity  $\rho(B)$  for S1 over a wide range of temperatures from 2 to 100 K at (a) ambient pressure, (b) 26 kbar, and (c) 49 kbar. (d)  $\rho(B)$  at 5 K up to 36 T for S6. The  $\rho(B)$  trace for S1 at 5 K is included for comparison. (e) Temperature dependence of  $\beta$ determined by the slope of a linear fit of  $\rho_{xx}$  from 12 to 14 T. The  $\beta$  value at 5 K for S6 is included. (f) The pressure evolution of  $\alpha/k_B$  (circles) and  $\beta$ (2 K)/ $\mu$ <sub>B</sub> (triangles) in energy units ( $\mu$ Ω cm/meV).

dependencies of  $\alpha/k_B$  and  $\beta(2 K)/\mu_B$  for S1 are summarized in Fig.  $2(f)$ . Our central finding here is that the magnetic field is as efficient as temperature in driving the linear increase in the resistivity, hinting at the equivalence of field energy and thermal energy in controlling the scattering rate.

The LMR discovered in  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  resembles the scaleinvariant MR in  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  even at the visual level. In the latter system with hole doping level  $p = 0.190$ ,  $\beta/\mu_B$  saturates at low temperature with a value 5.2  $\mu\Omega$  cm/meV, while  $\alpha/k_B = 11.8 \ \mu\Omega \text{ cm/meV}$  [\[4\]](#page-4-0). These values are comparable to the case of  $MogGa_{41}$ . Furthermore,  $(\alpha/k_B)/(\beta/\mu_B)$  is also similar for both systems: the ratio is 2.3 for  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  $(p = 0.190)$ , and 1.5 for Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub> (S1) at ambient pressure. These similarities are surprising, given that the two systems have very distinct character: the crystal and the electronic structures of  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  are significantly more three dimensional compared with La2−*<sup>x</sup>*Sr*x*CuO4, and the Fermi surface of  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  is also more complicated with multiple sheets.

Experiments on other  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  samples at ambient pressure give  $\alpha/k_B = 16.2$ , 22.0, 24.5, 14.8, and 17.2  $\mu\Omega$  cm/meV for S2–S6, respectively [\[26\]](#page-5-0). Interestingly, although  $\alpha/k_B$  shows a standard deviation of 19% around the mean value (19.1  $\mu\Omega$  cm/meV) across the six samples,  $(\alpha/k_B)/(\beta/\mu_B)$  exhibit a much smaller distribution: the ratio is 1.5, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.5, and 1.4 for S1–S6, respectively. This reinforces our observation that the magnetic field and the temperature are similarly efficient in driving the linear increase in the resistivity.

To further understand the interplay between the temperature and the magnetic field, we have analyzed our data with the scaling proposed by Hayes *et al.* for  $BaFe<sub>2</sub>(As<sub>1-x</sub>P<sub>x</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ [\[5\]](#page-4-0):  $\rho(B, T) = \rho(0, 0) + \sqrt{(\alpha T)^2 + (\beta B)^2}$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ are constants. Our data cannot be described by this quadrature sum, even at low temperatures when  $\beta$  is insensitive to temperature  $[26]$ . That is because at a given  $T_{fix}$ , Hayes' scaling predicts that the linear-in-*B* behavior only appears when  $B \gg \alpha T_{\text{fix}}/\beta$ . However, in Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>, LMR can be found even when  $B \ll \alpha T_{\text{fix}}/\beta$  [\[44\]](#page-5-0). Similarly, Hayes' scaling also fails for  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  because at a given magnetic field  $B_{\text{fix}}$ , a linear-in-*T* resistivity has been found to persist to a low temperature much smaller than  $\beta B_{fix}/\alpha$  [\[4\]](#page-4-0).

Instead of Hayes' scaling, we find that our low temperature data can be adequately captured by the following empirical formula:

$$
\rho(B,T) = \sqrt{\rho_T^2 + (\alpha T)^2} + \sqrt{\rho_B^2 + (\beta B)^2}.
$$
 (1)

Because of the relatively low  $T_c$ , the low-temperature normal state of  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  can be fully exposed with a sufficiently high laboratory field. At 14 and 9 T we can access the normal state of  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  down to 2.0 (our lowest temperature) and 3.7 K, respectively, giving an opportunity to test Eq. (1). Because  $\beta$  begins to show temperature dependence above  $\sim$ 20 K, we restrict our analysis to data below 15 K. To avoid introducing four free parameters, both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are fixed to values determined earlier for  $M_0$ <sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub> (S1):  $\alpha =$ 



FIG. 3.  $\rho(T)$  at fixed *B* for (a) Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub> (S1) at ambient pressure and (b)  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  ( $p = 0.190$ ). The low-temperature isothermal  $\rho(B)$  of (c) Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub> (S1) at ambient pressure and (d) La<sub>2−*x*</sub>Sr<sub>*x*</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>. The data of La<sub>2−*x*</sub>Sr<sub>*x*</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> come from Ref. [\[4\]](#page-4-0). For this figure, the solid symbols are experimental data while the dashed curves are fits/simulations based on Eq. [\(1\)](#page-2-0). For  $Mo_8Ga_{41}$  (S1),  $\alpha = 1.71$   $\mu\Omega$  cm/K,  $\beta = 0.8$   $\mu\Omega$  cm/T,  $\rho_T = 19.5 \pm 0.1$   $\mu\Omega$  cm, and  $\rho_B = 0.30 \pm 0.14 \ \mu \Omega \text{ cm}$ . For La<sub>2-*x*</sub>Sr<sub>*x*</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> ( $p = 0.190$ ),  $\alpha =$ 1.02  $\mu\Omega$  cm/K,  $\beta = 0.31 \mu\Omega$  cm/T,  $\rho_T = 7.5 \pm 0.4 \mu\Omega$  cm, and  $\rho_B = 1.82 \pm 0.03 \,\mu\Omega \, \text{cm}$ .

1.71  $\mu\Omega$  cm/K,  $\beta = 0.8$   $\mu\Omega$  cm/T. The parameters  $\rho_T$ and  $\rho_B$  are determined self-consistently using  $\rho(14 \text{ T}, T)$ ,  $\rho(9 \text{ T}, T)$ , and  $\rho(0 \text{ T}, T \in [T_c, 20 \text{ K}])$  [\[45\]](#page-5-0). With  $\rho_T$ ,  $\rho_B$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta$  determined, we can then compare our scaling formula with the experimental data for any combination of *B* and *T* : the curves simulated with our scaling formula (dashed curves) agree nicely with the experimental normal state data (solid symbols), as displayed in Figs.  $3(a)$  and  $3(c)$ .

We now examine  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$  ( $p = 0.190$ ), the other system that defies Hayes' scaling [\[4\]](#page-4-0). Similar to  $M_{\text{O}_8}Ga_{41}$ , we only analyze the magnetotransport data below ∼20 K, where  $β$  is a constant. Following the identical procedure, we keep  $α$ and  $\beta$  constant, and use  $\rho(B_{fix}, T)$  at  $B_{fix} = 50, 60, 70,$  and 80 T together with  $\rho$  (0 T, T  $\in$  [50 K, 60 K]) [\[45\]](#page-5-0) to determine  $\rho_T$  and  $\rho_B$  self-consistently [\[26\]](#page-5-0). With the values of  $\rho_T$  and  $\rho_B$ thus obtained, we simulate  $\rho(B, T)$ , as displayed in Figs. 3(b) and  $3(d)$ . Our scaling formula successfully describes the normal state of La2−*<sup>x</sup>*Sr*x*CuO4 too.

Our empirical model shows that at a fixed temperature  $T_{fix}$ ,  $\rho(B, T_{fix})$  approaches the zero field limit quadratically. This weak-field behavior is commonly seen in many sys-tems [\[46\]](#page-5-0). Similarly, for a fixed field  $B_{fix}$ , the model also predicts a quadratic  $\rho(B_{fix}, T)$  at the zero temperature limit. In particular, such a behavior is guaranteed for  $B_{fix} = 0$ . Thus, the zero field resistivity turns from linear at moderate temperatures to quadratic at the lowest temperature. Our scaling formula describes the zero-field  $\rho(T)$  of both  $M_0$ <sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub> and La<sub>2−*x*</sub>Sr<sub>*x*</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> well (see Fig. S5 of [\[26\]](#page-5-0)). In  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  we further note that in the standard framework of electron-phonon scattering, the linear-in-*T* resistivity only kicks in when  $k_B T$  is greater than some characteristic energy of the phonon modes [\[17\]](#page-4-0). Otherwise, a higher temperature exponent is expected. We argue that in  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  the characteristic phonon energy is lowered because of an abundance of low-lying phonon modes at finite wave vectors, but this characteristic phonon energy remains finite. At sufficiently low temperature, the linear-in-*T* channel is not yet active, but the usual  $T^2$  dependence due to electron-electron interaction dominates the low temperature part of the data.

Although the central aims of this paper are to report the discovery that  $(\alpha/k_B) \sim (\beta/\mu_B)$  and to present the new empirical scaling, we close the paper with a brief comment on the applicability of two popular mechanisms of nonsaturating LMR. The first scenario involves the quantum magnetoresistance when a given Fermi surface sheet reaches the extreme quantum limit [\[47,48\]](#page-5-0). If this Fermi surface sheet dominates the magnetotransport, a nonsaturating LMR can be observed [\[1\]](#page-4-0). However, this scenario is challenging for  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  with complicated, multiple Fermi surface sheets [\[26\]](#page-5-0). Although first-principles calculations show that within some parameter range, a small electron pocket with linear dispersion can appear around the *Q* point of Brillouin zone and thus the highly mobile electrons in the pocket can potentially be driven into the extreme quantum limit, it is difficult to neglect the contributions from other Fermi surface sheets. Thus, quantum linear magnetoresistance is unlikely to be the sole explanation. The second scenario is related to disorder of the system, which can also result in nonsaturating LMR [\[7](#page-4-0)[,49–51\]](#page-5-0). To explore this scenario, we measured the MR of vanadium-doped  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$ , as presented in the Supplemental Material [\[26\]](#page-5-0). The ratio  $\rho(300 \text{ K})/\rho(10 \text{ K})$  can be taken as an indicator of sample purity. Although  $\rho$ (300 K)/ $\rho$ (10 K) of Mo<sub>7</sub>VGa<sub>41</sub> is about 3–4 times lower than a typical  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$ , the MR remains nonsaturating and linear in both cases. Thus, disorder-induced LMR is also not expected to play a dominant role. The underlying mechanism for LMR in  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  remains a topic for future investigations. Such a mechanism would also need to explain the interplay between LMR and the *T* -linear resistivity.

In summary, we have conducted a comprehensive measurement of the transverse magnetoresistance in  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$ . We discover a robust nonsaturating linear magnetoresistance that persists under pressure up to at least 49 kbar, and in magnetic field up to at least 36 T. An interesting interplay between the linear magnetoresistance and the *T* -linear resistivity—similar to the observation in optimally doped La2−*<sup>x</sup>*Sr*x*CuO4—is revealed, which establishes that the temperature and magnetic field are equally capable of driving the linear increase of the resistivity, as illustrated by our finding that  $(\alpha/k_B) \sim (\beta/\mu_B)$ . A new empirical model is developed to describe the lowtemperature  $\rho(B, T)$ . The linear magnetoresistance, and the similarity between  $(\alpha/k_B)$  and  $(\beta/\mu_B)$  are also established in  $Mo_7VGa_{41}$ , which is more disordered than  $Mo_8Ga_{41}$ . With the apparent absence of quantum critical physics,  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  is less strange than a typical "strange metal" phase, and thus the observation of a scale-invariant magnetoresistance here can be <span id="page-4-0"></span>a useful reference for the eventual understanding of strange metal physics.

We acknowledge Esteban Paredes Aulestia, Stanley W. Tozer, Scott A. Maier, and Bobby Joe Pullum for experimental support and Xiaofang Zhai, Wing Chi Yu, and Kai Liu for discussions. The work was supported by Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (CUHK 14300418, CUHK 14300117, CUHK 14300419), CUHK Direct Grant (4053345, 4053299), the Ministry of Science and Tech-

- [1] Q. Niu, W. Yu, K. Yip, Z. Lim, H. Kotegawa, E. Matsuoka, H. Sugawara, H. Tou, Y. Yanase, and S. K. Goh, Quasilinear quantum magnetoresistance in pressure-induced nonsymmor[phic superconductor chromium arsenide,](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15358) Nat. Commun. **8**, 15358 (2017).
- [2] Y. Nakajima, T. Metz, C. Eckberg, K. Kirshenbaum, A. Hughes, R. Wang, L. Wang, S. R. Saha, I.-L. Liu, N. P. Butch, D. Campbell, Y. S. Eo, D. Graf, Z. Liu, S. V. Borisenko, P. Y. Zavalij, and J. Paglione, Quantum-critical scale invariance in a transition metal alloy, [Commun. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-020-00448-5) **3**, 181 (2020).
- [3] T. Sarkar, P. Mandal, N. Poniatowski, M. K. Chan, and R. L. Greene, Correlation between scale-invariant normal-state resis[tivity and superconductivity in an electron-doped cuprate,](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav6753) Sci. Adv. **5**, eaav6753 (2019).
- [4] P. Giraldo-Gallo, J. Galvis, Z. Stegen, K. A. Modic, F. Balakirev, J. Betts, X. Lian, C. Moir, S. Riggs, J. Wu, A. Bollinger, X. He, I. Božovic, B. Ramshaw, R. McDonald, G. ´ Boebinger, and A. Shekhter, Scale-invariant magnetoresistance in a cuprate superconductor, Science **361**[, 479 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan3178)
- [5] I. M. Hayes, R. D. McDonald, N. P. Breznay, T. Helm, P. J. Moll, M. Wartenbe, A. Shekhter, and J. G. Analytis, Scaling between magnetic field and temperature in the high-temperature superconductor BaFe<sub>2</sub>( $As_{1-x}P_x$ )<sub>2</sub>, Nat. Phys. **12**[, 916 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3773)
- [6] S. Licciardello, N. Maksimovic, J. Ayres, J. Buhot, M. Culo, B. Bryant, S. Kasahara, Y. Matsuda, T. Shibauchi, V. Nagarajan, J. G. Analytis, and N. E. Hussey, Coexistence of orbital and quantum critical magnetoresistance in  $\text{FeSe}_{1-x}S_x$ , *Phys. Rev.* Res. **1**, 023011 (2019).
- [7] C. Boyd and P. W. Phillips, Single-parameter scaling in the [magnetoresistance of optimally doped La2](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.155139)−*<sup>x</sup>*Sr*x*CuO4, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 155139 (2019).
- [8] R. A. Cooper, Y. Wang, B. Vignolle, O. J. Lipscombe, S. M. Hayden, Y. Tanabe, T. Adachi, Y. Koike, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, C. Proust, and N. E. Hussey, Anomalous criticality in the electrical resistivity of  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ , Science 323[, 603 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1165015)
- [9] J. Bruin, H. Sakai, R. Perry, and A. Mackenzie, Similarity of [scattering rates in metals showing T-linear resistivity,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1227612) Science **339**, 804 (2013).
- [10] L. E. Klintberg, S. K. Goh, P. L. Alireza, P. J. Saines, D. A. Tompsett, P. W. Logg, J. Yang, B. Chen, K. Yoshimura, and F. M. Grosche, Pressure- and Composition-Induced Structural Quantum Phase Transition in the Cubic Superconductor (Sr, Ca)3Ir4Sn13, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**[, 237008 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.237008)
- [11] S. K. Goh, D. A. Tompsett, P. J. Saines, H. C. Chang, T. Matsumoto, M. Imai, K. Yoshimura, and F. M. Grosche, Ambient Pressure Structural Quantum Critical Point in the Phase

nology of Taiwan (MOST-106-2112-M-006-013-MY3), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11774236), and the NYU University Research Challenge Fund. H.C. and Y.-W.F. acknowledge the computational resources provided by NYU HPC resources at New York, Abu Dhabi, and Shanghai campuses. A portion of this work was performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, which is supported by National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1644779, the State of Florida, and the U.S. Department of Energy.

Diagram of (Ca<sub>x</sub>Sr<sub>1−*x*</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Rh<sub>4</sub>Sn<sub>13</sub>, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.097002) **114**, 097002 (2015).

- [12] T. Shibauchi, A. Carrington, and Y. Matsuda, A quantum critical point lying beneath the superconducting dome in iron pnictides, [Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031113-133921) **5**, 113 (2014).
- [13] P. Gegenwart, Q. Si, and F. Steglich, Quantum criticality in heavy-fermion metals, Nat. Phys. **4**[, 186 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys892)
- [14] [J. Zaanen, Why the temperature is high,](https://doi.org/10.1038/430512a) Nature (London) **430**, 512 (2004).
- [15] A. Legros, S. Benhabib, W. Tabis, F. Laliberté, M. Dion, M. Lizaire, B. Vignolle, D. Vignolles, H. Raffy, Z. Li, P. Auban-Senzier, N. Doiron-Leyraud, P. Fournier, D. Colson, L. Taillefer, and C. Proust, Universal T-linear resistivity and [Planckian dissipation in overdoped cuprates,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-018-0334-2) Nat. Phys. **15**, 142 (2019).
- [16] Y. Cao, D. Chowdhury, D. Rodan-Legrain, O. Rubies-Bigorda, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, T. Senthil, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Strange Metal in Magic-Angle Graphene with Near Planckian Dissipation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**[, 076801 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.076801)
- [17] G. Grimvall, *The Electron-Phonon Interaction in Metals* (Elsevier, North-Holland, 1981).
- [18] P. Brown, K. Semeniuk, D. Wang, B. Monserrat, C. J. Pickard, and F. M. Grosche, Strong coupling superconductivity in [a quasiperiodic host-guest structure,](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aao4793) Sci. Adv. **4**, eaao4793 (2018).
- [19] V. Y. Verchenko, A. A. Tsirlin, A. O. Zubtsovskiy, and A. V. Shevelkov, Strong electron-phonon coupling in the intermetallic superconductor  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **93**[, 064501 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.064501)
- [20] V. Y. Verchenko, R. Khasanov, Z. Guguchia, A. A. Tsirlin, and A. V. Shevelkov, Two-gap superconductivity in  $Mo_{8}Ga_{41}$  and its [evolution upon vanadium substitution,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.134504) Phys. Rev. B **96**, 134504 (2017).
- [21] A. Sirohi, S. Saha, P. Neha, S. Das, S. Patnaik, T. Das, and G. Sheet, Multiband superconductivity in  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  driven by a site-selective mechanism, Phys. Rev. B **99**[, 054503 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.054503)
- [22] M. Marcin, J. Kačmarčík, Z. Pribulová, M. Kopčík, P. Szabó, O. Šofranko, T. Samuely, V. Vaňo, C. Marcenat, V. Verchenko, A. V. Shevelkov, and P. Samuely, Single-gap superconductivity in Mo8Ga41, Sci. Rep. **9**[, 13552 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49846-y)
- [23] P. Neha, P. Sivaprakash, K. Ishigaki, G. Kalaiselvan, K. Manikandan, R. Dhaka, Y. Uwatoko, S. Arumugam, and S. Patnaik, Nuanced superconductivity in endohedral gallide Mo8Ga41, [Mater. Res. Express](https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1591/aae5b5) **6**, 016002 (2018).
- [24] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Microscopic theory of superconductivity, Phys. Rev. **106**[, 162 \(1957\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.106.162)
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[25] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Theory of superconductivity, Phys. Rev. **108**[, 1175 \(1957\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.108.1175)
- [26] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.241113) 10.1103/PhysRevB.102.241113 for (i) method of single crystal growth, (ii) computational details and further results, (iii) additional experimental data from other  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  samples and vanadium-doped samples, (iv) the resistivity data of  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$  (S5) at various field angles, (v) our attempt to analyze the MR data using the quadrature sum field-totemperature scaling, (vi) analysis of  $\rho(T)$  data using the parallel resistor model, and (vii) the procedure to self-consistently determine  $\rho_T$  and  $\rho_B$ . The Supplemental Material includes Refs. [27–39].
- [27] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set, [Comp. Mater. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0927-0256(96)00008-0) **6**, 15 (1996).
- [28] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for *ab initio* total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Phys. Rev. B **54**[, 11169 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169)
- [29] J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin, X. Zhou, and K. Burke, Restoring the Density-Gradient Expansion for Exchange [in Solids and Surfaces,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.136406) Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 136406 (2008).
- [30] H. Chen, Magnetically driven orbital-selective insulator–metal [transition in double perovskite oxides,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-018-0131-2) npj Quant. Mater. **3**, 57 (2018).
- [31] Y.-W. Fang, R. Yang, and H. Chen, The complex non-collinear magnetic orderings in  $Ba<sub>2</sub>YOsO<sub>6</sub>$ : A new approach to tuning spin-lattice interactions and controlling magnetic orderings [in frustrated complex oxides,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/ab31e0) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **31**, 445803 (2019).
- [32] Y.-W. Fang and H. Chen, Design of a multifunctional polar metal via first-principles high-throughput structure screening, [Commun. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43246-019-0005-6) **1**, 1 (2020).
- [33] S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys, and A. P. Sutton, Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural [stability of nickel oxide: An LSDA+U study,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.1505) Phys. Rev. B **57**, 1505 (1998).
- [34] N. Marzari and D. Vanderbilt, Maximally localized generalized [Wannier functions for composite energy bands,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.12847) Phys. Rev. B **56**, 12847 (1997).
- [35] I. Souza, N. Marzari, and D. Vanderbilt, Maximally localized [Wannier functions for entangled energy bands,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.035109) Phys. Rev. B **65**, 035109 (2001).
- [36] A. A. Mostofi, J. R. Yates, Y.-S. Lee, I. Souza, D. Vanderbilt, and N. Marzari, Wannier90: A tool for obtaining maximally[localised Wannier functions,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2007.11.016) Comput. Phys. Commun. **178**, 685 (2008).
- [37] P. Rourke and S. Julian, Numerical extraction of de Haas-van [Alphen frequencies from calculated band energies,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2011.10.015) Comput. Phys. Commun. **183**, 324 (2012).
- [38] Y. J. Hu, Y. T. Chan, K. T. Lai, K. O. Ho, X. Guo, H.-P. Sun, K. Y. Yip, D. H. L. Ng, H.-Z. Lu, and S. K. Goh, Angular dependence of the upper critical field in the high-pressure 1T phase of MoTe2, [Phys. Rev. Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.3.034201) **3**, 034201 (2019).
- [39] Y. J. Hu, W. C. Yu, K. T. Lai, D. Sun, F. F. Balakirev, W. Zhang, J. Y. Xie, K. Y. Yip, E. I. Paredes Aulestia, R. Jha, R. Higashinaka, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Yanase, Y. Aoki, and S. K. Goh, Detection of Hole Pockets in the Candidate Type-II Weyl Semimetal MoTe<sub>2</sub> from Shubnikov-de Haas Quantum Oscillations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**[, 076402 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.076402)
- [40] K. Momma and F. Izumi, VESTA 3 for three-dimensional visu[alization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data,](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889811038970) J. Appl. Crystallogr. **44**, 1272 (2011).
- [41] A. Bezinge, K. Yvon, M. Decroux, and J. Muller, On the existence of binary  $Mo_8Ga_{41}$  and its properties, J. Less-Common Met. **99**, L27 (1984).
- [42] K. Yvon,  $Mo<sub>8</sub>Ga<sub>41</sub>$ , another example of ten-coordination [of the transition element,](https://doi.org/10.1107/S0567740875002208) Acta Crystallogr. **B31**, 117 (1975).
- [43] H. Wiesmann, M. Gurvitch, H. Lutz, A. Ghosh, B. Schwarz, M. Strongin, P. B. Allen, and J. W. Halley, Simple Model for Characterizing the Electrical Resistivity in A-15 Superconductors, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.38.782) **38**, 782 (1977).
- [44] For instance, at ambient pressure and 10 K, linear magnetoresistance starts from 2.5 T. Take  $\alpha = 1.71 \mu \Omega \text{ cm/K}, \beta =$  $0.8\mu\Omega$  cm/T, and  $T_{fix} = 10$  K,  $\alpha T_{fix}/\beta = 21.4$  T, much larger than 2.5 T.
- [45] The inclusion of the zero-field  $\rho(T)$  results in a better estimate of  $\rho_B$ . At zero field  $\beta$  is not relevant. Hence data at higher temperatures where  $\beta$  is not a constant can be included. For more information, see Sec. VI of [26].
- [46] A. B. Pippard, *Magnetoresistance in Metals* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989).
- [47] [A. A. Abrikosov, Quantum magnetoresistance,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.58.2788) Phys. Rev. B **58**, 2788 (1998).
- [48] [A. Abrikosov, Quantum linear magnetoresistance,](https://doi.org/10.1209/epl/i2000-00220-2) Europhys. Lett. **49**, 789 (2000).
- [49] M. Parish and P. Littlewood, Non-saturating magnetoresistance [in heavily disordered semiconductors,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02073) Nature (London) **426**, 162 (2003).
- [50] A. A. Patel, J. McGreevy, D. P. Arovas, and S. Sachdev, Mag[netotransport in a Model of a Disordered Strange Metal,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.8.021049) Phys. Rev. X **8**, 021049 (2018).
- [51] J. Singleton, Temperature scaling behavior of the linear magnetoresistance observed in high-temperature superconductors, Phys. Rev. Mater. **4**[, 061801\(R\) \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.4.061801)