Crossover between weak antilocalization and weak localization in few-layer WTe2: Role of electron-electron interactions

Xurui Zhang,^{[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9974-4637),*} John M. Woods,² Judy J. Cha,² and Xiaoyan Shi $\mathbb{O}^{1, \dagger}$

¹*Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, Texas 75080, USA* ²*Department of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA*

(Received 8 June 2020; revised 17 June 2020; accepted 15 September 2020; published 29 September 2020)

We report electron transport studies in an encapsulated few-layer WTe₂ at low temperatures and high magnetic fields. The magnetoconductance reveals a temperature-induced crossover between weak antilocalization and weak localization in the quantum diffusive regime. We show that the crossover clearly manifests coexistence and competition among several characteristic lengths, including the dephasing length, the spin-flip length, and the mean free path. In addition, low-temperature conductance increases logarithmically with the increase of temperature indicating an interplay of electron-electron interaction (EEI) and spin-orbit coupling (SOC). We demonstrate the existence and quantify the strengths of EEI and SOC which are considered to be responsible for gap opening in the quantum spin Hall state in $WTe₂$ at the monolayer limit.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.102.115161](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.115161)

I. INTRODUCTION

Tungsten ditelluride (WTe₂), a layered transition-metal dichalcogenide (TMD) crystal, has attracted a great deal of interest due to its unique electron transport properties. In the bulk form, WTe₂ has been predicted $[1]$ and verified $[2]$ to be a type-II Weyl semimetal. However, the monolayer form of WTe₂ is either a quantum spin Hall insulator $[3,4]$ (QSHI) at low carrier density (*n*) or a superconductor [\[5\]](#page-4-0) at high *n*. For the QSHI state, a direct band gap emerges in bulk along with the topologically protected edge state. However, it is still unclear how a semimetallic band structure evolves into a gapped structure by reducing the thickness. It is proverbially believed that the band inversion happens in single-layer $WTe₂$, and a band gap is opened due to strong spin-orbit coupling (SOC) [\[6,7\]](#page-5-0). However, another study verified that, instead of a full SOC-induced bulk band gap, a Coulomb gap induced by the electron-electron interaction (EEI) was observed [\[8\]](#page-5-0), which supports the observation of the quantized conduction of topological edge states. In addition, it is well known that EEI becomes stronger as *n* decreases, thus one can expect EEI should play an important role in the band-gap opening. In order to have a better understanding of this mechanism, it is crucial to characterize both the SOC and EEI in WTe₂.

In weakly disordered electronic systems, the interaction between different scattering mechanisms gives rise to different transport behaviors. For example, the constructive quantum interference of the electrons moving through timereversed scattering loops gives rise to a negative quantum correction to the conductance, which is so-called weak localization (WL) $[9-11]$. On the contrary, the quantum interference induced by SOC gives rise to a positive correction known as the weak antilocalization (WAL) $[9-11]$. Both WL and WAL can be suppressed by external magnetic field due to the time-reversal symmetry (TRS) breaking. In general, the quantum interference effect and thus its correction on electron conductance weakens as temperature (T) increases owing to enhanced decoherence mechanism. However, in some topological insulators, in spite of the existence of WAL effect with positive correction, the conductance decreases as the temperature is lowered $[12-17]$. This paradox can be solved by taking a strong EEI into account, which is known as the Altshulter-Aronov effect $[18]$. WTe₂ in the low-*n* region shows both a strong SOC and a strong EEI, thus this is a good platform to investigate SOC and EEI simultaneously in transport studies.

In this study, we investigate the SOC and EEI in few-layer WTe₂ by measuring both the temperature-dependent sheet conductance and magnetoconductance (MC). The strength of SOC can be quantified as the spin-flip length *lso*, the distance travelled by an electron before its spin direction is changed by the scattering [\[19,20\]](#page-5-0). The EEI can be characterized by the Coulomb screening factor *F* which is a measure of the screened Coulomb interaction [\[21,22\]](#page-5-0). We also observe a temperature-induced crossover between WAL and WL. The mechanism of the crossover is clearly interpreted based on the relative change between spin-flip length *lso* and dephasing length *l*φ, the distance travelled by an electron within which it can maintain the phase coherence.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

The thin WTe_2 flake, which was about 5 nm thick, was obtained by mechanical exfoliation of bulk $WTe₂$ crystals synthesized by chemical vapor transport $[23]$. The exfoliated sample was encapsulated between two pieces of hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) thin flakes which were about 10 nm thick and transferred onto a silicon substrate with $285 \text{ nm } \text{SiO}_2$ coating on surface by dry transfer technique [\[24\]](#page-5-0). Ultrathin

^{*}zxx150430@utdallas.edu

[†]xshi@utdallas.edu

FIG. 1. (a) Temperature dependence of sheet conductance at zero magnetic field (red solid line). The black dashed line is the logarithmic fit at low-temperature regime $(T < 13.8 \text{ K})$. Insets show the temperature-dependent resistance (lower left) and a schematic diagram of the measurement setup (upper right). The red arrow marks the upturn at 13.8 K. (b) Temperature dependence of sheet conductance (hallow symbols) at various magnetic fields. The dashed lines are the logarithmic fits at low-temperature regime. Inset shows the slopes κ as a function of magnetic field.

 $WTe₂$ flakes are very easy to get oxidized in air [\[5,](#page-4-0)[25\]](#page-5-0). Hence the hBN flakes are necessary here to protect the sample from air. In addition, they provide a cleaner interface for $WTe₂$. Electron-beam lithography was used to make a pattern and the ohmic contacts were deposited by electron-beam evaporation of Pd/Au (10 nm/50 nm) followed by a lift-off process. Transport measurements down to 0.036 K were carried out in an Oxford dilution refrigerator. Both the longitudinal resistance R_{xx} and Hall resistance R_{xy} were measured simultaneously by using standard low-frequency lock-in techniques. The corresponding four-probe measurement setup is shown in the inset of Fig. $1(a)$.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Crossover between weak antilocalization and weak localization

We first investigate the temperature dependence of sheet conductance $\sigma(T)$ as shown in Fig. 1(a), at zero magnetic field $(H = 0$ T). We find two distinct regions divided by a critical temperature around $T_{\text{max}} \approx 13.8 \text{ K}$ as shown in the inset of Fig. $1(a)$. Above this temperature, the resistance exhibits a typical metallic temperature dependence, which agrees with the expected semimetal properties of bulk WTe₂. However, an upturn occurs at T_{max} , which indicates a tendency of weak localization. Fitting result shows that the low-temperature conductance is proportional to $\ln(T/T_{\text{max}})$. Such relation has been observed in some topological materials [\[12–17\]](#page-5-0). In those experiments, a suppression of the conductance with decreasing temperature, like the case in this device, is observed along with weak antilocalization effect observed in magnetoconductance measurements which would enhance the conductance. Such a seeming paradox in a topological insulator can be interpreted by the interplay of disorder and electron-electron interaction, which is known as the Altshulter-Aronov effect [\[18\]](#page-5-0). The correction from electron-electron interaction to the conductance would decrease logarithmically with decreasing temperature. The conductance enhancement from weak antilocalization could be overwhelmed which leads to an

overall weak localization tendency. We further investigate the temperature-dependent sheet conductance at various magnetic field as shown in Fig. $1(b)$. We find that the conductance at low temperature can be well fitted by the equation $\sigma \sim \kappa \ln T$, where fitting parameter κ raises and saturates quickly as magnetic field increases [Fig. 1(b) inset]. A detailed analysis will be provided in the latter part.

We also carry out magnetoconductance measurements to further investigate the weak antilocalization effect in perpendicular magnetic field. The magnetoconductance (MC) is defined as $\Delta \sigma(B) = \sigma(B) - \sigma(0)$, where $B = \mu_0 H$ is the effective magnetic field, at various constant temperatures, shown in Fig. $2(a)$. At high magnetic field, the sample exhibits quasiparabolic MC which is common in WTe₂ $[23,25-29]$. However, at low magnetic field, the parabolic MC doesn't dominate any more. At 0.036 K, a negative cuspate MC shows up at low field, which is a typical characteristic of WAL effect $[9,11]$. With temperature increasing, the cusp in MC gradually broadens until 11 K, beyond which the MC becomes positive first then decreases again with the magnetic field increasing. The positive MC corresponds to the WL effect [\[9,11\]](#page-5-0). This suggests that not only is there a temperature-dependent crossover between WL and WAL but it is very likely that WL and WAL coexist and compete. In order to better understand the characteristics of the sample, we carry out Hall measurements at different temperatures. The Hall resistance (*Rxy*) curves at different constant temperatures are shown in Fig. $2(b)$. These tight overlapping curves indicate that the carrier density is insensitive to temperature. The inset shows the R_{xy} curve at 0.036 K and the corresponding linear fit, which gives the sheet charge density $n = 6.25 \times 10^{13}$ cm⁻² and carrier mobility $\mu = 58.7 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V s}$. Therefore, this device is in a low *n* region and the charge transport is dominated by quantum diffusions. Furthermore, the mean free path is $l = \hbar k_F \mu/e = 7.6$ nm, where \hbar is the reduced Plank constant, k_F is the Fermi wave vector, and e is the electron charge.

To analyze the temperature-dependent competition between WL and WAL, we introduce the two-component Hikami-Larkin-Nagaoka (HLN) theory for a two-dimensional

FIG. 2. (a) Temperature dependence of the magnetoconductance, defined as $\Delta \sigma(H) = \sigma(H) - \sigma(0)$, in unit of $e^2/\pi h$. (b) Temperature dependence of the Hall resistance. Inset is the linear fit to the Hall resistance at 0.036 K which gives *n* = 6.25 × 10¹³ cm−2. Red arrows in both panels denote the direction of increasing temperature.

(2D) system [\[9,10,30\]](#page-5-0):

$$
\Delta \sigma(B) = \sum_{i=0,1} \frac{\alpha_i e^2}{\pi h} \left[\Psi \left(\frac{l_B^2}{l_{\phi_i}} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_i^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{l_B^2}{l_{\phi_i}} + \frac{l_B^2}{l_i^2} \right) \right], (1)
$$

where Ψ is the digamma function, and α_0 and α_1 stand for the weights of WL and WAL respectively. In the limit of pure WAL, $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 = -1/2$ for each band carrying a π Berry phase [\[9,11,30–34\]](#page-5-0), while in the limit of pure WL, $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$ for a usual 2D system and $\alpha_0 = 1/2$ and $\alpha_1 = 0$ for a topological surface state [\[9,11,30–34\]](#page-5-0). In the case of a coexistence of WL and WAL, α_0 and α_1 could take intermediate values. $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/4eB}$ is the magnetic length and all other characteristic lengths take the same form. l_{ϕ_i} is the corresponding dephasing length and *li* gives the correction to l_{ϕ_i} . In WAL where SOC plays an important role, $l_i = l_{so}$, while in WL where SOC has nothing to do with, the l_i can be neglected. *lso* is the spin-flip length, which describes the strength of SOC [\[19,20,35\]](#page-5-0). Of course there are some other mechanisms that can be included in *li*, like magnetic scattering which needs to be discussed in some magnetically doped samples [\[33,](#page-5-0)[36–40\]](#page-6-0), but this does not apply to our situation. In addition, considering the particularity of $WTe₂$ in which the intrinsic quasiparabolic positive magnetoresistance (MR) originating from carrier compensation cannot be ignored, we introduce a quadratic term as the background [\[23,25–29\]](#page-5-0), such that

$$
\Delta \sigma(B)_{\text{FIT}} = \Delta \sigma(B) + cB^2,\tag{2}
$$

where c is a constant that depends on the measurement temperature. To all the temperature-dependent $\Delta \sigma(B)$ traces, Eq. (2) fits the data in low-field region (-2 T to 2 T) very well. For clarity, the fits are shown in Figs. $3(a)$ and $3(b)$ for WAL-dominant and WL-dominant regimes, respectively. The coincidence of the fitting curves and the experimental data validate the perfect applicability of the HLN model.

Figure [4\(a\)](#page-3-0) shows the evolution of $|\alpha_0|$ ($\alpha_0 > 0$) and $|\alpha_1|$ $(\alpha_1 < 0)$, obtained from the two-component HLN fitting, as a function of temperature. From 0.036 to 25 K, α_1 changes slowly from -0.5 to -0.44 while α_0 increases drastically from 0.16 to 0.5. The half integer values of α_0 and α_1 indicate the existence of the topological surface state in $WTe₂$ ultrathin film. It's worth mentioning that the very small change in α_1 and dramatic change in α_0 indicate that WL is much more sensitive to the temperature than WAL.

In order to investigate the mechanism of the crossover and competition between WL and WAL, we further examine the changes in several characteristic lengths with temperature. Temperature dependence of the dephasing lengths for WL (l_{ϕ_0}) and WAL (l_{ϕ_1}) and the spin-flip length (l_{so}) is shown

FIG. 3. Magnetoconductance $\Delta \sigma(H)$ at (a) low temperatures (0.036–9 K) and (b) high temperatures (11–25 K). Hollow circles and dashed lines are experimental data and two-component HLN fits, respectively. Red arrows indicate the direction of increasing temperature.

FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of (a) $|\alpha_0|$ and $|\alpha_1|$, and (b) l_{ϕ_i} and l_{so} . Inset of (b): the same set of data, as in the main plot, are shown in log-log plot. Dashed lines are the power-law fits.

in Fig. 4(b). The rapid reduction of the dephasing lengths indicates that the inelastic scattering in this sample is enhanced drastically as *T* increases. It is also of note that the dephasing lengths are much larger than the film thickness and the mean free path $(l = 7.6 \text{ nm})$ even at high temperature, which confirms the transport measurements are indeed in the 2D quantum diffusion regime. Power-law fits to the dephasing lengths in logarithmic coordinate [inset of Fig. 4(b)] give $l_{\phi_0} \sim T^{-0.25}$ for WL and $l_{\phi_1} \sim T^{-0.23}$ for WAL. The almost identical temperature dependence is expected since the electrons participating in WL and WAL pass through the same or similar TRS loops and undergo the same inelastic scattering which depends on the temperature only. The only difference is that the electrons participating in WAL undergo frequent spin flips which generate a π Berry phase after moving through a scattering loop. This π Berry phase is responsible for the destructive quantum interference that suppresses the backscattering and enhances the conductance, leading to the WAL. In the present case, the effective exponent of temperature *p* is ∼0.5 in $l_{\phi} \sim T^{-p/2}$ which is considerably lower than that theoretically expected p exponent $(p = 1)$ for the Nyquist electron-electron scattering process in two dimen-sions [\[41,42\]](#page-6-0). However, a similar $p \sim 0.5$ has been observed in topological insulator $Bi₂Se₃$ microflakes, where EEI plays important roles [\[14,](#page-5-0)[43,44\]](#page-6-0). Based on the temperature dependence of conductance and the power-law dependence of l_{ϕ} , we believe that there exist additional electron dephasing processes which are noticeable over the experimental temperature range in our sample as well.

Now we focus on the mechanism of the crossover between WL and WAL. Since the π Berry phase induced by SOC is the key to determining whether a sample presents WL or WAL, we plot l_{so} , which reflects the strength of SOC and the band topology, as a function of temperature in Fig. 4(b). We find that *lso* can be well described with a power-law fit $(l_{so}$ ~ *T*^{-0.14}) below a characteristic temperature T_{so} ~ 11 K [Fig. 4(b) inset], which is exactly the WAL dominant regime. Beyond *Tso*, the *lso* decreases even faster and deviates from the power-law decay as temperature increases. In contrast, both l_{ϕ_0} and l_{ϕ_1} maintain the power-law decay up to 25 K, which indicates the quantum interference can survive in a much higher T than SOC. In high T , where l_{so} approaches and is even smaller than the mean free path, the symmetry class changes from symplectic to orthogonal [\[10](#page-5-0)[,45\]](#page-6-0) and thus the quantum interference correction will crossover from WAL to WL as temperature increases. In a topological insulating system, the effect of quantum interference can be character-ized by two time scales [\[35\]](#page-5-0): the dephasing time τ_{ϕ} and the spin-flip time τ_{so} with the relation $l_j^2 = D\tau_j$ where l_j can be l_{ϕ} or *lso*, and *D* is the electron diffusion coefficient. Let's evaluate the characteristic lengths and related time qualitatively in both WL and WAL processes. In the regime $\tau_{\phi} \gg \tau_{so}$, the spin of the electron undergoes very frequent flips which causes destructive quantum interference between the TRS scattering loops, leading to WAL. In the regime where τ_{ϕ} is comparable to τ_{so} or $\tau_{\phi} < \tau_{so}$, the spin orientation is not that frequently changed by the scattering. In such a case, constructive quantum interference occurs as a WL feature. In the intermediate regime where $\tau_{\phi} > \tau_{so}$, there will be a situation where WL and WAL may coexist. Since τ_{ϕ} and τ_{so} could vary with temperature, this variation signifies a crossover between WAL and WL. In the present sample, we have $l_{\phi} \approx 175$ nm (taken from l_{ϕ_1} in the WAL dominant regime), $l_{so} \approx 24$ nm $\gg l$ at 0.036 K and $l_{\phi} \approx 30$ nm (taken from l_{ϕ_0} in the WL dominant regime), $l_{so} \approx 7$ nm $\approx l$ at 25 K. These fitting results give $\tau_{\phi}/\tau_{so} \approx 53$ at 0.036 K and $\tau_{\phi}/\tau_{so} \approx 18$ at 25 K. We conclude that our sample is in an ambiguous state between the WAL regime in which $\tau_{\phi} \gg \tau_{so}$ and the intermediate regime where $\tau_{\phi} > \tau_{so}$ at 0.036 K. With the temperature increasing, the difference between τ_{ϕ} and τ_{so} is narrowing and our sample enters into the intermediate regime, giving rise to the coexistence of WL and WAL. This sample never goes into the pure WL regime which requires $\tau_{\phi} < \tau_{so}$ and presents a sharply downward cuspate MC.

Crossover between WAL and WL in few-layer $WTe₂$ has previously been observed. However, instead of temperaturetuned as we reported here, those are through the modulation of other parameters, such as electrostatic gating [\[46\]](#page-6-0) or the amount of Te vacancies [\[47\]](#page-6-0). Interestingly, in the former case, both *l*^φ and *lso* depend nonmonotonically on the gate voltage, thus carrier concentration. In addition, this crossover can only be accessed in a heavily hole-doped region. The strong electric field may modulate the SOC as well and contribute to the crossover [\[46\]](#page-6-0). In contrast, the latter case introduces both charge and disorder effects simultaneously with a tuning of Te vacancy, that alters the inelastic-scattering rate and causes the crossover. Except for the aforementioned mechanisms along with our temperature-tuned crossover, we believe that there are a variety of other mechanisms, such as pressure, strain, thickness, sample size and confinement [\[48\]](#page-6-0), annealing and doping [\[34\]](#page-5-0), etc., that can be utilized to tune the relative length of *l*^φ and *lso* and may introduce a crossover, which deserves further investigation.

B. Electron-electron interactions

In the previous discussion of MC, we don't take EEI into consideration. Although the role of EEI dominates in temperature dependence of conductance, MC due to EEI is at least one order smaller than that due to quantum interference in a perpendicular magnetic field [\[11,13,16,17\]](#page-5-0). The EEI affects MC indirectly via the Zeeman splitting. The correction to the conductance is $\Delta \sigma_{\text{EEI}}(B) = \frac{e^2}{\pi h}$ $\frac{\widetilde{F}^{\sigma}}{2} g_2(\frac{E_Z}{k_B T})$, where \widetilde{F}^{σ} is the

Coulomb screening factor, g_2 is an integral function, and E_Z is the Zeeman energy [\[13,17\]](#page-5-0). In the regime where EEI are important, the Zeeman contribution could be strongly suppressed by SOC. Theories [\[49,50\]](#page-6-0) and experiments [\[51\]](#page-6-0) on other materials have unambiguously shown that strong SOC can diminish and even entirely suppress the Zeeman-split term in the diffusion channel [\[13\]](#page-5-0).

In contrast, in analysis of temperature-dependent sheet conductance, it's necessary to consider both EEI and quantum interference. As mentioned above, a WL-like tendency occurs in the $\sigma(T)$ curve in the WAL dominant regime. This can be explained by the fact that EEI plays a more important role in the coexistence of WAL, WL, and EEI. The quantum correction to the conductance resulting from WAL at zero magnetic field is given as [\[12,](#page-5-0)[52,53\]](#page-6-0)

$$
\Delta \sigma_{QI}(T) = \alpha p \frac{e^2}{\pi h} \ln \left(\frac{T}{T_{QI}} \right),\tag{3}
$$

where α is exactly the same parameter discussed in the HLN model and *p* is the exponent in the power-law fit of dephasing lengths. *TQI* is a characteristic temperature at which the quantum correction vanishes. The correction to the conductance coming from EEI is given by $[11,12,14,16,17]$

$$
\Delta \sigma_{\rm EEI}(T) = \frac{e^2}{\pi h} \left(1 - \frac{3}{4} F \right) \ln \left(\frac{T}{T_{\rm EEI}} \right),\tag{4}
$$

where F is the simplified Coulomb screening factor, which is not critical to be distinguished with \tilde{F}^{σ} in most experiments [$21,22$]. T_{EEI} is the characteristic temperature for the EEI effect. In most experiments, T_{QI} and T_{EEI} are considered to be the same and to be the turning point in the temperaturedependent conductance, which is $T_{\text{max}} = 13.8 \text{ K}$ in our case. Having summed the WAL and EEI contributions together, we got the slope to be $\alpha p + (1 - \frac{3}{4}F) = 0.53$ at 0 T, obtained from the fitting $\Delta \sigma(T) \propto \ln(T/T_{\text{max}})$ shown as the black dashed line in Fig. $1(a)$. Since the sample is in the WAL dominant regime below 13.8 K, we only take the weight of WAL, α_1 , which is almost unchanged between -0.5 and -0.47 . Having $p = 0.46$ obtained from the aforementioned fit, we got the Coulomb screening factor $0.32 \leq F \leq 0.34$. This *F* value is in good agreement with the theory that F is between -1 and 1 with $F \to 1$ in the limit of complete screening (good metal) and $F \to 0$ in the limit of no screening (bad conductor) [\[22\]](#page-5-0). The fitting slopes at various magnetic fields shown in the inset of Fig. $1(b)$ agree well with Chen's results $[13]$, which are theoretically verified to be a single topological surface channel [\[11\]](#page-5-0). Since the quantum interference is strongly suppressed at high magnetic field and the high-field insensitive $ln(T)$ dependence indicates that the dephasing mechanism must be nonmagnetic, which rules out magnetic scattering, the slope κ in Fig. [1\(b\)](#page-1-0) at high fields, for example 12 T, should be totally attributed to EEI. By only fitting with Eq. (4), we get the Coulomb screening factor *F* to be 0.32 which agrees with the estimated value at 0 T. This also confirms our analysis of MC. In some topological materials, people got negative *F*, which might be related to strong electron-phonon coupling $[21,54]$ $[21,54]$ or contribution from the bulk state $[12]$. Even though strong SOC is confirmed in this sample, we still get a reasonable value of *F* in the expected range which is in good agreement with theory. This agreement strongly indicates the observation of electron-electron interaction in few-layer WTe₂ in transport studies. Similar existence of EEI has been confirmed in monolayer samples $[8]$. In monolayer WTe₂, the opening of the Coulomb gap induced by EEI can diminish the bulk state and support the quantized conduction of topological edge states [\[8\]](#page-5-0). Unlike the monolayer samples, this few-layer WTe₂ device shows both a semimetallic $\sigma(T)$ at high *T* as expected for bulk and an EEI induced ln(*T*) behavior at low *T* as expected for monolayer. This temperature-tuned crossover in the conductance bridges the conductance in two extreme cases and provides a uniform picture of understanding in the transport behavior in WTe₂.

In conclusion, we have investigated the quantum interference and electron-electron interaction in encapsulated few-layer WTe₂. At low temperatures, a clear WAL to WL crossover revealed a manifestation of coexistence and competition among several characteristic lengths. With increasing either temperature or magnetic field, the topologic nontrivial transport fades out and a related Coulomb gap closes. In this process, both SOC and EEI play important roles. In addition, quantum interference, and thus TRS, can survive in a much higher temperature, which makes $WTe₂$ a promising platform for further investigation in the interplay of SOC and band topology. As an intermediate form between the monolayer and the bulk limits, few-layer devices could behave as either limit by simple tuning of temperatures. This unique feature may enable new spintronic applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by UT Dallas research enhancement fund. Synthesis of WTe_2 crystals was supported by DOE BES Award No. DE-SC0014476.

- [1] A. A. Soluyanov, D. Gresch, Z. Wang, Q. Wu, M. Troyer, [X. Dai, and B. A. Bernevig, Type-II Weyl semimetals,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15768) Nature (London) **527**, 495 (2015).
- [2] P. Li, Y. Wen, X. He, Q. Zhang, C. Xia, Z.-M. Yu, S. A. Yang, Z. Zhu, H. N. Alshareef, and X.-X. Zhang, Evidence for topological type-II Weyl semimetal WTe₂, Nat. Commun. **8**, 2150 (2017).
- [3] S. Wu, V. Fatemi, Q. D. Gibson, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, R. J. Cava, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Observation of the quantum

[spin Hall effect up to 100 Kelvin in a monolayer crystal,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan6003) Science **359**, 76 (2018).

- [4] X. Qian, J. Liu, L. Fu, and J. Li, Quantum spin Hall effect in [two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1256815) Science **346**, 1344 (2014).
- [5] V. Fatemi, S. Wu, Y. Cao, L. Bretheau, Q. D. Gibson, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, R. J. Cava, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Electrically tunable low-density superconductivity in a monolayer topological insulator, Science **362**[, 926 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar4642)
- [6] Z.-Y. Jia, Y.-H. Song, X.-B. Li, K. Ran, P. Lu, H.-J. Zheng, X.- Y. Zhu, Z.-Q. Shi, J. Sun, J. Wen, D. Xing, and S.-C. Li, Direct visualization of a two-dimensional topological insulator in the single-layer 1T -WTe2, Phys. Rev. B **96**[, 041108 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.041108)
- [7] S. Tang, C. Zhang, D. Wong, Z. Pedramrazi, H.-Z. Tsai, C. Jia, B. Moritz, M. Claassen, H. Ryu, S. Kahn, J. Jiang, H. Yan, M. Hashimoto, D. Lu, R. G. Moore, C.-C. Hwang, C. Hwang, Z. Hussain, Y. Chen *et al.*, Quantum spin Hall state in monolayer 1T -WTe2, Nat. Phys. **13**[, 683 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys4174)
- [8] Y.-H. Song, Z.-Y. Jia, D. Zhang, X.-Y. Zhu, Z.-Q. Shi, H. Wang, L. Zhu, Q.-Q. Yuan, H. Zhang, D.-Y. Xing, and S.-C. Li, Observation of Coulomb gap in the quantum spin Hall candidate single-layer 1T'-WTe₂, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06635-x) **9**, 4071 (2018).
- [9] H. Z. Lu, J. Shi, and S. Q. Shen, Competition between Weak Localization and Antilocalization in Topological Surface States, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**[, 076801 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.076801)
- [10] S. Hikami, A. I. Larkin, and Y. Nagaoka, Spin-orbit interaction and magnetoresistance in the two dimensional random system, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.63.707) **63**, 707 (1980).
- [11] H.-Z. Lu and S.-Q. Shen, Finite-Temperature Conductivity and [Magnetoconductivity of Topological Insulators,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.146601) Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 146601 (2014).
- [12] Y. Takagaki, B. Jenichen, U. Jahn, M. Ramsteiner, and K.-J. Friedland, Weak antilocalization and electron-electron interaction effects in Cu-doped Bi₂Se₃ films, *Phys. Rev. B* 85, 115314 (2012).
- [13] J. Chen, X. Y. He, K. H. Wu, Z. Q. Ji, L. Lu, J. R. Shi, J. H. Smet, and Y. Q. Li, Tunable surface conductivity in $Bi₂Se₃$ [revealed in diffusive electron transport,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.241304) Phys. Rev. B **83**, 241304 (2011).
- [14] S.-P. Chiu and J.-J. Lin, Weak antilocalization in topological insulator Bi₂Se₃ microflakes, *Phys. Rev. B* 87[, 035122 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.035122)
- [15] A. Roy, S. Guchhait, S. Sonde, R. Dey, T. Pramanik, A. Rai, H. C. P. Movva, L. Colombo, and S. K. Banerjee, Two-dimensional weak anti-localization in $Bi₂Te₃$ thin film grown on $Si(111)$ $-(7 \times 7)$ surface by molecular beam epitaxy, Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, 163118 (2013).
- [16] J. Wang, A. M. DaSilva, C.-Z. Chang, K. He, J. K. Jain, N. Samarth, X.-C. Ma, Q.-K. Xue, and M. H. W. Chan, Evidence for electron-electron interaction in topological insulator thin films, Phys. Rev. B **83**[, 245438 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.245438)
- [17] M. Liu, C.-Z. Chang, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, W. Ruan, K. He, L. Wang, X. Chen, J.-F. Jia, S.-C. Zhang, Q.-K. Xue, X. Ma, and Y. Wang, Electron interaction-driven insulating ground state in $Bi₂Se₃$ ological insulators in the two-dimensional limit, Phys. Rev. B **83**[, 165440 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.165440)
- [18] B. L. Altshuler, A. G. Aronov, and P. A. Lee, Interaction Effects [in Disordered Fermi Systems in Two Dimensions,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.44.1288) Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 1288 (1980).
- [19] M. Meng, S. Huang, C. Tan, J. Wu, Y. Jing, H. Peng, and H. Q. Xu, Strong spin–orbit interaction and magnetotransport in semiconductor Bi2O2Se nanoplates, Nanoscale **10**[, 2704 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7NR08874D)
- [20] F. Herling, C. Morrison, C. S. Knox, S. Zhang, O. Newell, M. Myronov, E. H. Linfield, and C. H. Marrows, Spin-orbit interaction in InAs/GaSb heterostructures quantified by weak antilocalization, Phys. Rev. B **95**[, 155307 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.155307)
- [21] C. Y. Wu, W. B. Jian, and J. J. Lin, Phonon-induced electron[electron interaction in disordered superconductors,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.52.15479) Phys. Rev. B **52**, 15479 (1995).
- [22] J. J. Lin, S. Y. Hsu, J. C. Lue, and P. J. Sheng, Spin–orbit scattering effect on electron–electron interactions in disordered metals, [J. Phys. Chem. Solids](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3697(01)00113-5) **62**, 1813 (2001).
- [23] J. M. Woods, J. Shen, P. Kumaravadivel, Y. Pang, Y. Xie, G. A. Pan, M. Li, E. I. Altman, L. Lu, and J. J. Cha, Suppression of Magnetoresistance in Thin WTe_2 Flakes by Surface Oxidation, [ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b04934) **9**, 23175 (2017).
- [24] A. Castellanos-Gomez, M. Buscema, R. Molenaar, V. Singh, L. Janssen, H. S. J. Van Der Zant, and G. A. Steele, Deterministic transfer of two-dimensional materials by all-dry viscoelastic stamping, 2D Mater. **1**[, 011002 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1583/1/1/011002)
- [25] L. Wang, I. Gutiérrez-Lezama, C. Barreteau, N. Ubrig, E. Giannini, and A. F. Morpurgo, Tuning magnetotransport in a [compensated semimetal at the atomic scale,](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9892) Nat. Commun. **6**, 8892 (2015).
- [26] M. N. Ali, J. Xiong, S. Flynn, J. Tao, Q. D. Gibson, L. M. Schoop, T. Liang, N. Haldolaarachchige, M. Hirschberger, N. P. Ong, and R. J. Cava, Large, non-saturating magnetoresistance in WTe2, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13763) **514**, 205 (2014).
- [27] P. L. Cai, J. Hu, L. P. He, J. Pan, X. C. Hong, Z. Zhang, J. Zhang, J. Wei, Z. Q. Mao, and S. Y. Li, Drastic Pressure Effect on the Extremely Large Magnetoresistance in WTe_2 : Quantum Oscillation Study, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**[, 057202 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.057202)
- [28] V. Fatemi, Q. D. Gibson, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, R. J. Cava, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Magnetoresistance and quantum oscillations of an electrostatically tuned semimetal-to-metal transition in ultrathin WTe2, Phys. Rev. B **95**[, 041410 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.041410)
- [29] J. Jiang, F. Tang, X. C. Pan, H. M. Liu, X. H. Niu, Y. X. Wang, D. F. Xu, H. F. Yang, B. P. Xie, F. Q. Song, P. Dudin, T. K. Kim, M. Hoesch, P. K. Das, I. Vobornik, X. G. Wan, and D. L. Feng, Signature of Strong Spin-Orbital Coupling in the Large Nonsaturating Magnetoresistance Material WTe₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 166601 (2015).
- [30] P. J. Newton, R. Mansell, S. N. Holmes, M. Myronov, and C. H. W. Barnes, Weak localization and weak antilocalization [in doped germanium epilayers,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4975600) Appl. Phys. Lett. **110**, 062101 (2017).
- [31] M. Lang, L. He, X. Kou, P. Upadhyaya, Y. Fan, H. Chu, Y. Jiang, J. H. Bardarson, W. Jiang, E. S. Choi, Y. Wang, N.-C. Yeh, J. Moore, and K. L. Wang, Competing weak localization and weak [antilocalization in ultrathin topological insulators,](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl303424n) Nano Lett. **13**, 48 (2013).
- [32] W.-H. Wang, S.-R. Lyu, E. Heredia, S.-H. Liu, P. Jiang, P.- Y. Liao, T.-C. Chang, and H.-M. Chen, Competing weak localization and weak antilocalization in amorphous indium– [gallium–zinc-oxide thin-film transistors,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4974080) Appl. Phys. Lett. **110**, 022106 (2017).
- [33] M. Liu, J. Zhang, C. Chang, Z. Zhang, X. Feng, K. Li, K. He, L. Wang, X. Chen, X. Dai, Z. Fang, Q. Xue, X. Ma, and Y. Wang, Crossover between Weak Antilocalization and Weak Localization in a Magnetically Doped Topological Insulator, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**[, 036805 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.036805)
- [34] S. M. Choo, K. J. Lee, S. M. Park, J. B. Yoon, G. S. Park, C.-Y. You, and M. H. Jung, Crossover between weak anti-localization and weak localization by Co doping and annealing in gapless PbPdO₂ and spin gapless Co-doped PbPdO₂, Appl. Phys. Lett. **106**, 172404 (2015).
- [35] H. Wang, H. Liu, C.-Z. Chang, H. Zuo, Y. Zhao, Y. Sun, Z. Xia, K. He, X. Ma, X. C. Xie, Q.-K. Xue, and J. Wang, Crossover

between weak antilocalization and weak localization of bulk states in ultrathin $Bi₂Se₃ films, Sci. Rep. 4, 5817 (2014).$ $Bi₂Se₃ films, Sci. Rep. 4, 5817 (2014).$

- [36] L. A. Wray, S.-Y. Xu, Y. Xia, D. Hsieh, A. V. Fedorov, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, A. Bansil, H. Lin, and M. Z. Hasan, A topological insulator surface under strong Coulomb, magnetic and disorder perturbations, Nat. Phys. **7**[, 32 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1838)
- [37] Y. S. Hor, P. Roushan, H. Beidenkopf, J. Seo, D. Qu, J. G. Checkelsky, L. A. Wray, D. Hsieh, Y. Xia, S.-Y. Xu, D. Qian, M. Z. Hasan, N. P. Ong, A. Yazdani, and R. J. Cava, Development of ferromagnetism in the doped topological insulator Bi2−*^x*Mn*x*Te3, Phys. Rev. B **81**[, 195203 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.195203)
- [38] G. Zheng, N. Wang, J. Yang, W. Wang, H. Du, W. Ning, Z. Yang, H.-Z. Lu, Y. Zhang, and M. Tian, Weak localization effect in topological insulator micro flakes grown on insulating ferrimagnet BaFe12O19, Sci. Rep. **6**[, 21334 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21334)
- [39] Q. I. Yang, M. Dolev, L. Zhang, J. Zhao, A. D. Fried, E. Schemm, M. Liu, A. Palevski, A. F. Marshall, S. H. Risbud, and A. Kapitulnik, Emerging weak localization effects on a topological insulator-insulating ferromagnet $(Bi₂Se₃-EuS)$ interface, Phys. Rev. B **88**[, 081407 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.081407)
- [40] D. Zhang, A. Richardella, D. W. Rench, S.-Y. Xu, A. Kandala, T. C. Flanagan, H. Beidenkopf, A. L. Yeats, B. B. Buckley, P. V. Klimov, D. D. Awschalom, A. Yazdani, P. Schiffer, M. Z. Hasan, and N. Samarth, Interplay between ferromagnetism, surface states, and quantum corrections in a magnetically doped topological insulator, Phys. Rev. B **86**[, 205127 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.205127)
- [41] C.-Y. Wu, B.-T. Lin, Y.-J. Zhang, Z.-Q. Li, and J.-J. Lin, Electron dephasing in homogeneous and inhomogeneous indium tin oxide thin films, Phys. Rev. B **85**[, 104204 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.104204)
- [42] B. L. Altshuler, A. G. Aronov, and D. E. Khmelnitsky, Effects of electron-electron collisions with small energy transfers on quantum localisation, [J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/15/36/018) **15**, 7367 (1982).
- [43] S. M. Huang, T. C. Lee, H. Akimoto, K. Kono, and J. J. Lin, Observation of Strong Electron Dephasing in Highly Disordered Cu93Ge4Au3 Thin Films, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**[, 046601 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.046601)
- [44] J. J. Lin and J. P. Bird, Recent experimental studies of electron dephasing in metal and semiconductor mesoscopic structures, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/14/18/201) **14**, R501 (2002).
- [45] F. J. Dyson, Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. I, [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1703773) **3**, 140 (1962).
- [46] E. Zhang, R. Chen, C. Huang, J. Yu, K. Zhang, W. Wang, S. Liu, J. Ling, X. Wan, H. Lu, and F. Xiu, Tunable positive to negative magnetoresistance in atomically thin WTe₂, Nano Lett. **17**, 878 (2017).
- [47] M. Gao, M. Zhang, W. Niu, Y. Chen, M. Gu, H. Wang, F. Song, P. Wang, S. Yan, F. Wang, X. Wang, X. Wang, Y. Xu, and R. Zhang, Tuning the transport behavior of centimeter-scale WTe₂ [ultrathin films fabricated by pulsed laser deposition,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4995227) Appl. Phys. Lett. **111**, 031906 (2017).
- [48] T. Schäpers, V. A. Guzenko, M. G. Pala, U. Zülicke, M. Governale, J. Knobbe, and H. Hardtdegen, Suppression of weak antilocalization in Ga*^x* In1−*^x*As/InP narrow quantum wires, Phys. Rev. B **74**[, 081301\(R\) \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.081301)
- [49] P. A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Disordered electronic systems, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.57.287) **57**, 287 (1985).
- [50] B. L. Altshuler, A. G. Aronov, and A. Y. Zuzin, Spin relaxation [and interaction effects in the disordered conductors,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(82)90416-1) Solid State Commun. **44**, 137 (1982).
- [51] A. Sahnoune, J. O. Ström-Olsen, and H. E. Fischer, Influence of spin-orbit scattering on the magnetoresistance due to enhanced electron-electron interactions, Phys. Rev. B **46**[, 10035 \(1992\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.10035)
- [52] E. McCann, K. Kechedzhi, V. I. Fal'ko, H. Suzuura, T. Ando, and B. L. Altshuler, Weak-Localization Magnetoresistance and [Valley Symmetry in Graphene,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.146805) Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 146805 (2006).
- [53] G. Tkachov and E. M. Hankiewicz, Weak antilocalization in HgTe quantum wells and topological surface states: Massive [versus massless Dirac fermions,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.035444) Phys. Rev. B **84**, 035444 (2011).
- [54] S. Giraud and R. Egger, Electron-phonon scattering in topological insulators, Phys. Rev. B **83**[, 245322 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.245322)