Shift photovoltaic current and magnetically induced bulk photocurrent in piezoelectric sillenite crystals

Aaron M. Burger^{®[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3867-1320) 1} Lingyuan Gao,² Radhe Agarwal,³ Alexey Aprelev^{®,4} Jonathan E. Spanier,^{1,3,4,5} Andr[e](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4620-6496)w M. Rappe $\mathbb{R}^{2,*}$ a[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0552-2567)d Vladimir M. Fridkin $\mathbb{R}^{3,6}$

¹*Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-2875, USA* ²*Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323, USA*

³*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-2875, USA*

⁴*Department of Physics, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-2875, USA*

⁵*Department of Mechanical Engineering and Mechanics, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323, USA* ⁶*Shubnikov Institute of Crystallography, 59, Leninsky pr., Moscow 117333, Russia*

> \circledcirc (Received 14 May 2020; accepted 27 July 2020; published 18 August 2020)

Recently, it has been shown how shift and ballistic currents in piezoelectric sillenite crystals $Bi_{12}GeO_{20}$ and Bi₁₂SiO₂₀ can be separated experimentally under the assumption that the shift component of the circular current is small. However, it has been claimed that the shift and ballistic currents cannot be quantified by this method, due to the magnetophotovoltaic effect caused either by the change of the crystal's spatial symmetry in the magnetic field or by the breaking of time-reversal symmetry. Presently, we report observations of photovoltaic currents in $Bi_{12}SiO_{20}$, excited by linearly and circularly polarized light under weak external magnetic field, as well as measurements of the corresponding photo-Hall signals. We demonstrate that the magnetophotovoltaic current constitutes a significant fraction of the measured current in the Hall direction for $Bi_{12}SiO_{20}$ under specific experimental conditions.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.102.081113](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.081113)

The bulk photovoltaic effect (BPE) in noncentrosymmetric crystals has been investigated in many ferro- and piezoelectric crystals [\[1,2\]](#page-3-0). The BPE is a widely explored phenomenon in noncentrosymmetric materials because it violates the principle of detailed balance and because its application in nanoscale electrode geometries has yielded unity or higher quantum efficiency, even surpassing the Shockley-Queisser limit of power conversion efficiency [\[3\]](#page-3-0). The BPE current consists generally of two parts: ballistic j_b [\[4,5\]](#page-3-0) and shift current *jsh* [\[6\]](#page-4-0). They flow in the same crystallographic directions determined by the third-rank photovoltaic tensor, but can have different signs and relative magnitudes.

The mechanisms of ballistic and shift current are completely different. Ballistic current j_b is caused by the asymmetry of photoexcitation and recombination of nonthermalized (hot) carriers in noncentrosymmetric crystals, which leads in turn to the asymmetric distribution of carrier momentum in the band. Without magnetic field, asymmetric electron-phonon scattering or electron-impurity scattering leads to asymmetry in the diagonal elements of the density matrix ($\rho_{nnk} \neq \rho_{nn-k}$) as well as deviation from the Fermi-Dirac distribution. Thus, ballistic current is a transport type of photocurrent, which is connected with the Boltzmann kinetics principle. The relaxation time of ballistic current is typically on the order of the phonon lifetime $\tau \approx 1 - 10^{-2}$ ps $\ge \Gamma^{-1}$, where $\Gamma = eB/m^*c$ is the Larmor frequency, *m*[∗] is the electron mass, and *B* is the magnetic field, permitting measurement of the Hall effect under illumination by linearly and circularly polarized light to obtain the mobility of nonthermalized carriers [\[1\]](#page-3-0).

The first density functional theory calculations of shift current were reported in Refs. [\[7,8\]](#page-4-0). The shift current *jsh* is related to the off-diagonal elements in the density matrix. The absorption of a photon and the corresponding change of electron energy is accompanied by its shift in real space of the crystal, and therefore shift current, unlike ballistic current, does not involve carrier transport. Since shift current is due to coherent quantum wave-packet evolution during the absorption process, its relaxation time τ is on the atomic timescale (subfemtosecond to femtosecond), preventing observation of the Hall effect because $\tau \ll \Gamma^{-1}$ for any applied magnetic field *B*. The kinetic theory of shift current developed in Ref. [\[6\]](#page-4-0) and later within the framework of density functional theory, has recently attracted renewed attention $[7-13]$.

The situation becomes more complicated in the presence of a magnetic field; magnetically induced asymmetric scattering leads to an asymmetric photoelectron generation rate, and this contributes to another type of photocurrent, conventionally referred to as j_H [\[14\]](#page-4-0). Photocurrent j_H is connected with time-reversal symmetry breaking due to the magnetic field. Analogous to j_b , j_H is related with the classical motion of carriers and thus can also be classified as "ballistic current." However, different from j_b which does not depend on the direction of magnetic field B , j_H is only perpendicular to B . Within a two-band model, at the weak-field limit, it can be approximated that $j_H \approx \mu_{\rm nth} B(x j_{sh})$ [\[14\]](#page-4-0), despite the fact that their physical origins and characteristics are quite different. μ _{nth} denotes the mobility of the scattered current and is

^{*}rappe@sas.upenn.edu

assumed to be the same regardless of the light polarization. Essentially, *jsh* can be simplified to the transition intensity times the shift vector including the k derivative of the phase of the transition matrix element. The asymmetric generation rate of j_H is calculated from the Boltzmann transport equation by adding a Lorentz-force term. Though they have different natures, under the approximation of two simple isotropic bands, it turns out that the *k* derivative of the phase of the transition matrix element can link the two currents, and the proportionality between these two currents is established by a model parameter *x* depending on the corresponding mobilities and carrier effective masses [\[14\]](#page-4-0). The linear dependence of j_H on *B* makes j_H mixed with the Hall signal of j_b , and the sum of these two is the as-measured Hall signal in experiment. Other magnetophotovoltaic effects are possible for magnetically responsive materials such as paramagnetic or magnetostrictive materials, but the presently considered mechanism of j_H photocarrier scattering by the magnetic field is perhaps the most general.

It has been presumed that the photovoltaic current excited by circularly polarized light, circular bulk photovoltaic (BP) current, is pure ballistic[\[1\]](#page-3-0). However, the BP current excited by linearly-polarized light (linear photovoltaic current j_{ℓ}) is the sum of ballistic and shift components. The existence of shift current follows experimentally from the fact that the mobility measured for linear photovoltaic current, $\mu_{\ell} = j_{\ell_p}^B / j_{\ell} B$, does not equal the mobility of circular current, $\mu_c = j_c^B/j_cB$ $(\mu_{\ell} \neq \mu_c)$. Here j_{ℓ}^B and j_c^B denote the Hall signal with linearly and circularly polarized light, respectively. Under linearly polarized light, $j_{\ell} = j_{\ell b} + j_{sh}$ is the total BP current. $j_{\ell b}$ is the ballistic current under linearly polarized light, and j_{ℓ}^B is the sum of the photo-Hall signal of $j_{\ell b}$ and j_H . We note since *jsh* describes coherence between wave packets rather than a transport process, it does not contribute to the Hall signal j^B . Using the relation between j_H and j_{sh} according to Ref. [\[14\]](#page-4-0), j_{ℓ}^B has the form

$$
j_{\ell}^{B} \approx \mu_{\rm nth} B(j_b + x j_{sh}). \tag{1}
$$

Under circularly polarized light, the photocurrent $j_c = j_{cb}$, which is the pure ballistic current (phonon induced) due to the circularly polarized light, and now the equality $\mu_c =$ μ_{nth} is permitted by $j_H = j_{sh} = 0$. $j_c^B = \mu_c B j_{cb}$ only has the photo-Hall signal of *jcb*. Therefore, the difference between $\mu_c = \mu_{\rm nth} = j_c^B / j_{cb}B$ and $\mu_{\ell} = j_{\ell}^B / (j_{lb} + j_{sh})B$ can help distinguish the ballistic current from shift current.

The first separation of ballistic and shift currents in this manner was performed only recently [\[15\]](#page-4-0) by means of linear and circular BP currents under magnetic field in sillenite cubic piezoelectric crystals $Bi_{12}GeO_{20}$ (BGO) and $Bi_{12}SiO_{20}$ (BSO). Consistent with the aforementioned assumption, in Ref. [\[15\]](#page-4-0) it was emphasized that this method of shift and ballistic current separation is valid only in cases when the circular photovoltaic current is mainly or completely ballistic. In addition, the separation of j_b and j_{sh} was previously performed for $x = 0$, corresponding to the absence of the magnetically induced photocurrent j_H [\[15\]](#page-4-0). This is an experimental reveal of shift current existence. If j_H is also present, the uncertainty in parameter x does not permit in the common case determination of the values of *jb* and *jsh*. However,

the uncertainty of *x* can be bounded in a simple manner, as the value of j_H , changing the Hall signal of nonthermalized carriers, cannot be large. We note that this parameter *x* was never observed experimentally.

If the Lorentz Hall signal of the pure ballistic current is denoted by $H_1 = \mu_c B j_b$ and the magnetically induced photocurrent by $H_2 = \mu_c B x j_{sh}$, it is reasonable to accept the limit:

$$
|H_2/H_1| \leqslant 1,\tag{2}
$$

considering that the effect of magnetic scattering is smaller than that of electron-phonon scattering under a weak magnetic field. To formulate j_b/j_{sh} we have two conditions: (1) The linear (ballistic and shift) photocurrent is not changed with the magnetic field *B*, so we can always write

$$
j_{\ell b} + j_{sh} = j_{\ell}.\tag{3}
$$

(2) If we introduce the parameter $r \equiv \mu_{\ell}/\mu_c$, according to the definition of j_{ℓ}^{B} and j_{ℓ} , we obtain the equation

$$
j_{\ell b} + x j_{sh} \approx r j_{\ell}.
$$
 (4)

It was shown experimentally [\[15\]](#page-4-0) that for BGO and BSO $r < 1$. In the general case, the solution of (3) and (4) is impossible due to uncertainty in the value of *x*. However, for a small value of r , the separation of j_b and j_{sh} could be accomplished. The existence of shift current follows from $r < 1$, as was experimentally established in Ref. [\[15\]](#page-4-0). For a few spectral points, we observed $r < 1$ and $r \ll 1$. For these points, we performed our analysis.

From (3) and (4) follows the relation

$$
j_{\ell b}/j_{sh} \approx \frac{x-r}{r-1}.\tag{5}
$$

The modulus of the ratio between the magnetically induced photocurrent j_H and the phonon-induced ballistic current $j_{\ell b}$ in accordance with (5) is

$$
|H_2/H_1| = |x j_{sh}/j_{\ell b}| \approx \left| x \frac{(r-1)}{(x-r)} \right|.
$$
 (6)

Thus, a set of measurements made at low magnetic fields can be used to deduce the strength of magnetically induced photocurrent under the conditions and assumptions outlined above.

We measured values of photovoltaic and photo-Hall currents under linearly and circularly polarized light in singlecrystal gyrotropic cubic piezoelectric $Bi_{12}SiO_{20}$ (symmetry point group *T*) having one linear component G_{14}^{ℓ} and one circular component G_{11}^c of the bulk photovoltaic tensors G_{ikl}^{ℓ} and G_{il}^c [\[2\]](#page-3-0), respectively. Measurements were performed at room temperature under polarized monochromatic Ar-Kr ion laser irradiation of intensity \approx 132–777 mW cm⁻², using commercial single crystals (MetaLaser Photonics, Nanjing, China). The circular and linear currents were extracted by electrooptic modulation using a Pockels cell (Conoptics, Danbury, CT). The measurements of Hall components of linear and circular current were performed under magnetic field $B =$ 0.7 T (Montana Instruments, Bozeman, MT). The energy gap of the sillenite is \approx 3.2 eV, and we performed measurements in the extrinsic impurity region of 450–650 nm. The photovoltaic j_l and j_c were obtained through radio-frequency sputtered

FIG. 1. Magnetic field dependence of (a) as-measured linear Hall signal at selected wavelengths, and (b) as-measured circular Hall signal at selected wavelengths. Current densities are normalized to incident intensities.

indium tin oxide transparent electrodes along one of the $\langle 100 \rangle$ directions. The method of measurements was described in detail elsewhere [\[15\]](#page-4-0). As follows from above, the measured photovoltaic current has extrinsic character and is caused by the excitation of electrons from impurities to the conduction band. The photoconductivity in BSO (like BGO) is *n* type, arising from Bi^{+3} donors, and the mobility of thermalized electrons μ_{th} is very small (10⁻²–10⁻⁶ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹) [\[16,17\]](#page-4-0). Nonthermalized electrons are distinguished from thermalized carriers undergoing hopping conduction by their relatively large mobility. Figure 1 shows the magnetic field dependence of the as-measured linear and circular Hall currents. The linear variation of the photo-Hall signal with magnetic field *B* is demonstrated clearly for both scenarios. In Fig. 2 we show the measured linear and circular photovoltaic currents at selected wavelengths and the optical absorption in BSO, along with the measured linear and circular photovoltaic Hall currents corresponding to $B = 0.7$ T. From photovoltaic and Hall currents, the nonthermalized mobilities μ_{ℓ} and μ_c can be calculated, and they exceed μ_{th} by a few orders of magnitude [Fig. [3\(a\)\]](#page-3-0), yielding the parameter $r = \mu_{\ell}/\mu_c$, which is seen to vary for different wavelengths measured [Fig. $3(b)$]. Figure $3(b)$ shows *r* is larger when $\omega > 2.4$ eV and drops significantly when ω is small. According to Eqs. (3) and (4) , this reveals that the magnitude of magnetophotovoltaic effect *x jsh* varies with the light frequency ω , and it is connected with transitions from impurities to conduction band. This trend shows that for BSO, the magnetophotovoltaic effect is stronger for impurities with deeper energy levels. From Fig. $3(b)$ it is seen that at least for three spectral points $r \ll 1$ or $r \approx 0.2$, and our following discussions are based on these points.

Let us consider *r* in (4) as a small parameter $(0 < r \ll 1)$. Here, we discuss three main cases:

(1) $|x| \gg r$, $r \ll 1$. Following [\(3\)](#page-1-0), [\(4\)](#page-1-0), and [\(5\)](#page-1-0), $j_{\ell b}/j_{\rm sh} \approx$ $-x$, *j*_{ℓ} is canceled with *j*_{sh} when $x \approx 1$. *j*_{ℓ} = (1 − *x*)*j*_{sh} is the linear photovoltaic current. The ratio of Hall signals is $|H_2/H_1| \approx 1$. In this case, without the magnetic field, $j_{\ell b}$ is at the same order of magnitude to *jsh*, and we can observe both types of currents under this condition. When we apply the magnetic field, the magnetically induced photocurrent

FIG. 2. Dependence of (a) linear and circular photovoltaic current, and absorption coefficient α , and (b) linear and circular photo-Hall currents at selected wavelengths, for $B = 0.7$ T. Current densities are normalized to incident intensities.

FIG. 3. (a) Nonthermalized mobilities μ_{ℓ} and μ_c for linearly and circularly polarized light, respectively, and (b) parameter $r = \mu_{\ell}/\mu_c$, at selected wavelengths. The dashed line is a guide for the eye.

 is close to phonon-induced ballistic current j_{th} *, which is* attainable when the magnetic scattering is at the same order as the phonon scattering.

(2) $|x| \ll r$. In this case $j_{\ell b}/j_{sh} \approx r$, $j_{sh} \gg j_{\ell b}$, and $|H_2/H_1| \ll 1$. Since $r \ll 1$, this reveals that $j_{\ell b} \ll j_{\text{sh}}$. However, this is unlikely for an impurity band transition, where the photocurrent should be dominated by scattering-induced ballistic current, and $j_{\ell b} \geq j_{sh}$. Also, $|H_2/H_1| \ll 1$ reveals that in the presence of the magnetic field, the magnetically induced photocurrent *x jsh* is much smaller compared to phononinduced ballistic current $j_{\ell b}$, and the magnetic scattering is much weaker compared to phonon scattering.

(3) |*x*| and *r* are of the same order. In this case $j_{\ell b}/j_{\ell b} \approx$ $r - x$. $j_{sh} \gg j_{\ell b}$, $|H_2/H_1| \gg 1$. The directions of j_{sh} and $j_{\ell b}$ can be opposite or the same depending on the sign of $r - x$. In this case, the BP current is dominated by j_{sh} , and the effect of asymmetric scattering is very small. Similar to case (2), this is also very unlikely for an impurity band transition. In the presence of the magnetic field, $|H_2/H_1| \gg 1$ reveals that the magnetic scattering is much stronger than the phonon scattering.

In conclusion, we emphasize once more that the existence of shift photovoltaic current directly follows from $\mu_{\ell} \neq \mu_c$. As seen from (5) , in the general case the experimental parameter $r = \mu_{\ell}/\mu_c$ does not permit precise determination of values of j_{sh} and j_b due to the uncertainty of x . But the

degree of smallness of *r* in the extrinsic spectral region makes this separation more robust and quantitative. When $r \ll 1$, the separation between shift current *jsh* and ballistic current j_b can be successful. This corresponds to our measurements under specific experimental conditions. Based on the above discussions of the three cases, it is most likely $|j_b| \approx x |j_{sh}|$ for an impurity band transition. This equality shows that when we apply magnetic field, the magnetically induced photocurrent *x jsh* is at the same order as the phonon-induced ballistic current *jb*. This demonstrates that the magnetophotovoltaic effect is significant in the presence of the magnetic field, and the magnetically induced scattering in BSO is significantly large, of the same order as the phonon scattering.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work at Drexel University was supported by the National Science Foundation, Division of Chemical, Bioengineering, Environment and Transport Systems, under Grant No. CBET 1705440, and the Division of Materials Research, under Grant No. DMR 1608887. The authors acknowledge support for instrumentation from the Office of Naval Research under Grant No. N00014-17-1-2970. The theoretical work, by L.G. and A.M.R., was supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Grant No. DE-FG02-07ER46431.

- [1] V. M. Fridkin, Parity nonconservation and bulk photovoltaic ef[fect in a crystal without symmetry center,](https://doi.org/10.1109/TUFFC.2013.2734) IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control **60**, 1551 (2013).
- [2] B. I. Sturman and V. M. Fridkin, *Photovoltaic and Photorefractive Effects in Noncentrosymmetric Materials* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1992).
- [3] J. E Spanier, V. M. Fridkin, A. M. Rappe, A. R. Akbashev, A. Polemi, Y. Qi, Z. Gu, S. M. Young, C. J. Hawley, D.

Imbrenda, G. Xiao, A.L. Bennett-Jackson, and C. L. Johnson, Power conversion efficiency exceeding the Shockley–Queisser limit in a ferroelectric insulator, [Nat. Photonics](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2016.143) **10**, 611 (2016).

- [4] V. I. Belinicher, Photogalvanic effect due to free carriers in noncentrosymmetric crystals, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **75**, 641 (1978) [\[Sov. Phys. JETP](http://www.jetp.ac.ru/cgi-bin/e/index/e/48/2/p322?a=list) **48**, 322 (1978)].
- [5] Z. Gu, D. Imbrenda, A. L. Bennett-Jackson, M. Falmbigl, A. Podpirka, T. C. Parker, D. Shreiber, M. P. Ivill, V. M. Fridkin,

and J. E. Spanier, Mesoscopic Free Path of Nonthermalized [Photogenerated Carriers in a Ferroelectric Insulator,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.096601) Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 096601 (2017).

- [6] V. I. Belinicher, E. L. Ivchenko, and B. I. Sturman, Kinetic theory of the displacement photovoltaic effect in piezoelectrics, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **83**, 649 (1982) [\[Sov. Phys. JETP](http://www.jetp.ac.ru/cgi-bin/e/index/e/56/2/p359?a=list) **56**, 359 (1983)].
- [7] S. M. Young, F. Zheng, and A. M. Rappe, First-Principles Calculation of the Bulk Photovoltaic Effect in Bismuth Ferrite, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**[, 236601 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.236601)
- [8] S. M. Young and A. M. Rappe, First Principles Calculation of [the Shift Current Photovoltaic Effect in Ferroelectrics,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.116601) Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 116601 (2012).
- [9] T. Morimoto and N. Nagaosa, Topological nature of non[linear optical effects in solids,](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501524) Sci. Adv. **2**, e1501524 (2016).
- [10] A. M. Cook, B. M. Fregoso, F. De Juan, S. Coh, and J. E. Moore, [Design principles for shift current photovoltaics,](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14176) Nat. Commun. **8**, 14176 (2017).
- [11] T. Rangel, B. M. Fregoso, B. S. Mendoza, T. Morimoto, J. E. Moore, and J. B. Neaton, Large Bulk Photovoltaic Effect and

Spontaneous Polarization of Single-Layer Monochalcogenides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**[, 067402 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.067402)

- [12] B. M. Fregoso, T. Morimoto, and J. E. Moore, Quantitative relationship between polarization differences and the zoneaveraged shift photocurrent, Phys. Rev. B **96**[, 075421 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.075421)
- [13] Y.-H. Chan, D. Y. Qiu, F. H. da Jornada, and S. G. Louie, Exciton shift currents: Dc conduction with sub-bandgap photo excitations, [arXiv:1904.12813.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1904.12813)
- [14] E. L. Ivchenko, Y. B Lyanda-Geller, G. E. Pikus, and R. Ya. Rasulov, Magnetically induced photogalvancic effect in semiconductors, Fiz. Tekh. Poluprovodn. **18**, 93 (1984).
- [15] A. M. Burger, R. Agarwal, A. Aprelev, E. Schruba, A. Gutierrez-Perez, V. M. Fridkin, and J. E. Spanier, Direct ob[servation of shift and ballistic photovoltaic currents,](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau5588) Sci. Adv. **5**, eaau5588 (2019).
- [16] B. Kh. Kostyuk, A. Yu. Kudzin, and G. Kh. Sokolyanskii, Phototransport in $Bi_{12}Si_{20}$ and $Bi_{12}GeO_{20}$ single crystals, Sov. Phys. Solid State **22**, 1429 (1980).
- [17] J. Frejlich, *Photorefractive Materials: Fundamental Concepts, Holographic Recording and Materials Characterization* (Wiley, New York, 2007).