# Lorenz gauge formulation for time-dependent density functional theory

Dor Gabay,<sup>1,\*</sup> Ali Yilmaz,<sup>2</sup> Vitaliy Lomakin,<sup>3</sup> Amir Boag<sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> and Amir Natan<sup>1,4,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Electronics, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Texas 78712, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California San Diego, California 92093, USA

<sup>4</sup>The Sackler Center for Computational Molecular and Materials Science, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel



(Received 4 November 2019; revised manuscript received 1 April 2020; accepted 7 May 2020; published 1 June 2020)

We describe the inclusion of electrodynamic fields in time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) by incorporating both the induced scalar and vector potentials within the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation. The Hamiltonian is described in both the Coulomb and Lorenz gauges, and the advantages of the latter are outlined. Integral expressions are defined for the retarded potentials of each gauge and a methodological approach to evaluate these nontrivial expressions with low computational cost is adopted. Various molecular structures of relatively small sizes are studied, including water, benzene, and conductive carbon chains. Dipole strengths resulting from both pulse and boost excitations suggest a preserved gauge invariance of the proposed formal approach to TDDFT in the weak magnetic field limit.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.101.235101

### I. INTRODUCTION

The progress of nanoscale devices leads to a growing need for the integration of electromagnetic models with atomistic quantum simulations. Integrating the electromagnetic and quantum realms is vital for the proper characterization of electromagnetic phenomena at the nanoscale.

Time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) reliably predicts the optical and electronic properties of molecules in the presence of external electric fields [1-3]. The unique mapping between the scalar potential and particle density, given an accurately defined exchange-correlation functional, provides the means necessary to bypass the complicated many-body wave function of Schrödinger's equation. One approach to solve the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equations is the linear response method [2-4]. Another approach is that of direct time propagation, in which the single-electron orbitals are marched forward in time using various propagation schemes [2,3,5-7]. The advantage of linear response methods is that they can get the entire optical response spectrum with a reasonable computational effort. The advantage of real-time propagation methods is that they allow the description of nonlinear effects such as high harmonics and ionization by strong lasers [8–12]. In addition, the expression for the exchange correlation potential in the adiabatic approximation can be used directly without the need to develop the exchange correlation kernel.

The traditional assumption of null magnetic fields, underlying the proof of the Runge-Gross theorem, providing the formal basis of TDDFT, dwells within an electrostatic regime. In such a frame of reference, only the scalar portion of the Coulomb gauge is preserved, suppressing the induced magnetic fields and the retardation effects which follow. In accounting for the presence of magnetic fields, time-dependent current density functional theory (TDCDFT) [13] has been introduced as a means of extending the one-to-one mapping of TDDFT to the 4-vector potential and current density. While it is possible to add magnetic field contributions into the TDDFT Hamiltonian, it is impossible to formulate a gauge invariant TDDFT Hamiltonian that can account for strong magnetic fields using a local exchange-correlation functional [13]. This is largely due to the a priori assumption of a null vector potential within the Coulomb gauge-fixed Hamiltonian of TDDFT. Among its successes [14,15], TDCDFT has elegantly been used to correctly characterize the static axial polarizability of conjugate polymers [15] as a result of the highly nonlocal nature of the Vignale-Kohn functional [16].

A successful approach [17] to include retardation effects is that of multiscale simulations, whereby TDDFT and Maxwell's equations are time propagated simultaneously at small and large length scales, respectively. In a proceeding work, Yamada *et al.* [18] solved Maxwell's differential equations for the vector potential to exemplify the affect of ultrashort pulses on thin films solely in the presence of normally incident waves. Another successful approach was suggested by Kootstra *et al.* [19] to study the dielectric properties of nonmetallic crystals. Here, the retardation resulting from induced electromagnetic fields was considered macroscopically under the assumption that microscopic retardation was negligible and that the microscopic induced magnetic fields were not present.

In this work, we study, as an approximation, the inclusion of induced magnetic fields into the time-dependent Kohn-Sham equation (TDKS) using both the Coulomb and Lorenz gauge-fixing conditions. In the limit of weak magnetic fields, such an approximation can be useful to observe classical

<sup>\*</sup>dorgabay@mail.tau.ac.il

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: amirnatan@tau.ac.il

electromagnetic retardation effects within quantum systems. This may prove useful in the study of highly segregated multidomain systems, whereby retardation effects can impact the resulting dynamics of the whole system. While the formal gauge invariance is not assured, we surmise that, in the presence of relatively weak magnetic fields, gauge invariance can be attained in practice.

We therefore foresee three possible regimes of interest: (i) In the limit of strong magnetic fields, one must resort to TDCDFT; (ii) in the presence of weaker magnetic fields, one can attain gauge invariance in practice and, as a result, observe physically meaningful classical electromagnetic retardation effects; (iii) in the limit of very small system sizes, one can expect that retardation effects are negligible and, as a result, not only that gauge invariance exists in practice, but that the traditional TDDFT assumption (of null magnetic field) also coheres to the various gauges.

In this paper, we demonstrate the realization of regime (iii). Our long term prospect is that the formal approach to be presented suffices also for regime (ii), but demonstrating this requires faster implementations for the calculation of large enough systems. One practical realization with current computational abilities would be to study classical retardation effects associated with the electromagnetic interaction of multiple electronic structures partitioned into domains separated by a fraction of the external electromagnetic field's wavelength. This could result in fascinating structures which could be practically realized for various applications.

Although the Coulomb gauge is the commonly adopted gauge-fixing condition in TDDFT, the Lorenz gauge is shown to be just as effective in characterizing the response of electronic structures, bypassing the need for a projection scheme of the current density, via Helmholtz decomposition. In a large amount of previous works, retardation effects in the induced potential are seldom considered. One possible reason is that calculating retarded potentials can be computationally costly. One alternative route to solving Maxwell's differential equations is in the use of the equivalent integral expressions. In fully incorporating the scalar and vector potentials, a computational bottleneck exists in evaluating retarded potentials due to their intrinsic dependence on past densities. To overcome this, highly efficient FFT-based integral methods are employed.

In what follows, we start with a formal introduction to the proposed theoretical framework for the incorporation of retarded potentials for different gauge-fixing conditions. Thereafter, a methodological approach to efficiently compute the 4-vector potential is presented. We then exemplify the formal approach on small molecules such as water, benzene, and cumulene. Finally a discussion is held to interpret the results and explore future prospects for the use of alternative gauges within TDDFT.

#### **II. THEORY**

Runge and Gross [1] showed that a unique *v*-representable particle density  $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$  exists for every pair of a timedependent external potential  $v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and an initial manybody wave function  $\Psi_0$ . The time-dependent Kohn-Sham orbitals  $\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}$ , characterized by a collinear spin-index  $\sigma$ , must then obey the single-particle time-dependent Kohn-Sham (KS) equation:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}(\mathbf{r},t) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v_{s,\sigma}[\{n_\sigma\}](\mathbf{r},t)\right)\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}(\mathbf{r},t) \quad (1)$$

$$v_{s,\sigma}[\{n_{\sigma}\}] = v_{\text{ext}} + v_{\text{ion}} + v_{\text{ind}}[n] + v_{xc,\sigma}[\{n_{\sigma}\}].$$
(2)

Here,  $\{n_{\sigma}\}$  indicates both spin up and spin down densities, where the sum of both spin densities is the total density  $n = \sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma}$ ,  $n_{\sigma} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{\sigma}} |\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}|^2$ . The effective potential  $v_{s,\sigma}$  is composed of the external  $v_{\text{ext}}$ , ionic  $v_{\text{ion}}$ , exchange-correlation  $v_{xc,\sigma}$ , and induced (Hartree)  $v_{\text{ind}}$  scalar potentials. In general,  $v_{xc,\sigma}$  can depend on the electronic density at the current time and at all previous times. For the purpose of this paper, we use the adiabatic local density approximation, where  $v_{xc,\sigma}$ depends only on the electron density at the current time (i.e., no memory effects in the exchange-correlation potential term are taken into account).

The density-dependent induced scalar potential  $v_{ind}[n]$  is defined in an electrostatic manner by solving the static Poisson equation or evaluating the equivalent integral expression:

$$\nabla^2 v_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -4\pi n(\mathbf{r}, t)$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow v_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}', t)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}'.$$
(3)

The inclusion of only scalar potentials within the Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian is known as the length gauge. Finally, the ionic potential  $v_{ion}$  is assumed to be static and under the assumption of the Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

In determining the  $\alpha = 1, ..., N_{\sigma}$  single-electron orbitals  $\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}(\mathbf{r},t)$ , Eq. (1) can either be solved using linear response theories or by propagating the equation of motion forward in time, of which we adopt the latter. For small systems, the external potential,  $v_{\text{ext}}$ , is typically defined using the dipole approximation (DA),  $v_{\text{ext}} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}}$ , for a spatially uniform, time-dependent external electric field  $\mathbf{E}_{ext}$ . The DA, adopted in such a manner, holds only to first order in the multipole expansion and therefore, by virtue of the resulting uniform electric field, disregards any contributions brought about by magnetic fields **B**. Such an approximation suffices for systems perturbed at relatively low frequencies and system sizes (small size-to-wavelength ratios) but fails in the presence of spatially varying electric fields. Beyond the DA regime, retardation begins to play a dominant role and the full 4-vector potential must be incorporated into the system Hamiltonian. The inclusion of the vector potential, along with the scalar potential, is better known as the velocity gauge. Irrespective of TDDFT, it has been shown that the velocity and length gauges differ substantially for strong-field photoionization processes [20] and for the photodetachment of negative fluorine ions [21] in small molecules. It is therefore important to identify the limits of the length gauge and the formal structure of the velocity gauge.

The velocity gauge is typically attained by applying a gauge transformation to the field variable  $\psi_{\alpha,\sigma} \rightarrow \exp \{-i\Lambda_{s,\sigma}\}\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}$ , such that, when placed within the temporal and spatial derivatives, respectively, a gauge connection appears in utilizing the shift theorem

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{-i\Lambda_{s,\sigma}}\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}) \rightarrow \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial\Lambda_{s,\sigma}(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t}\right)\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}$$
 (4)

$$i\nabla(e^{-i\Lambda_{s,\sigma}}\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}) \rightarrow (i\nabla+\nabla\Lambda_{s,\sigma}(\mathbf{r},t))\psi_{\alpha,\sigma},$$
 (5)

where  $-\partial \Lambda_{s,\sigma}/\partial t$  and  $\nabla \Lambda_{s,\sigma}$  are hereon considered as gauge transformations of the spin-dependent effective scalar  $v_{s,\sigma}$  and vector  $\frac{1}{c} \mathbf{A}_{s,\sigma}$  potentials, respectively. This transformation translates to the gauge freedom of the scalar and vector potentials. Within the Kohn-Sham approach, the dynamical Hamiltonian  $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{dyn}$  can then be written in the velocity gauge by applying the above gauge transformation and closely following the procedure outlined by TDCDFT [13]:

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{dyn} = \frac{1}{2} \left( i \nabla + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{A}_{ext}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{A}_{ind}[\mathbf{j}](\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{A}_{xc,\sigma}[\{\mathbf{j}_{\sigma}\}](\mathbf{r}, t)) \right)^2 + v_{s,\sigma}[\{n_{\sigma}\}](\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (6)$$

Here, a dynamical contribution has been added to the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1). The vector potential includes three terms: the external potential  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}}$ , spin-dependent exchange-correlation potential  $\mathbf{A}_{xc,\sigma}$ , and the newly introduced induced vector potentials are both functionals of the total current density. By applying the momentum operator (within the spin current density  $\mathbf{j}_{\sigma}$ ) to the gauge transformed single-particle orbitals of Eq. (5), we obtain:

$$\mathbf{j}_{\sigma} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \psi_{\alpha,\sigma}^{*} \left( i \nabla + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{A}_{s,\sigma} \right) \psi_{\alpha,\sigma} + \psi_{\alpha,\sigma} \left( -i \nabla + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{A}_{s,\sigma} \right) \psi_{\alpha,\sigma}^{*} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha} \frac{i}{2} [\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}^{*} \nabla \psi_{\alpha,\sigma} - \psi_{\alpha,\sigma} \nabla \psi_{\alpha,\sigma}^{*}] + \frac{1}{c} n_{\sigma} \mathbf{A}_{s,\sigma}$$
$$= \mathbf{j}_{p,\sigma} + \mathbf{j}_{d,\sigma}.$$
(7)

Here, the paramagnetic  $\mathbf{j}_{p,\sigma}$  and diamagnetic  $\mathbf{j}_{d,\sigma}$  current densities are given by the first and second components, respectively, of the second-to-last line. Only the sum of both properly satisfies the continuity equation  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = -\partial n/\partial t$  (where  $\mathbf{j} = \sum_{\sigma} \mathbf{j}_{\sigma}$ ). Unlike current density functional theory (CDFT) [22], the variational principle of the time-dependent scenario is no longer accompanied by a constant vector potential, alleviating the need to minimize the total energy solely with respect to the paramagnetic current density.

Within the velocity gauge, the preferred electromagnetic gauge-fixing condition is a matter of choice. In defining the gauge-fixing condition, two particular options of interest are the Coulomb ( $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_s = 0$ ) and Lorenz ( $\partial_t v_s + \frac{1}{c} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_s = 0$ ) gauges. Brill *et al.* [23] analyzed the equivalence of the two gauges and exemplified their differences in emphasizing the additional projection operation  $\mathbf{j} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{j}_T$  required in dealing with the Coulomb gauge. The Coulomb gauge is better suited in considering nonrelativistic canonical representations of quantum mechanics. Use of the Lorenz gauge within

canonical representations can prove difficult due to either the need for: (1) an additional divergence operation of the vector potential  $A_s$ ; (2) an additional time derivative of the scalar potential  $v_s$ , retrieved via the Lorenz gauge-fixing condition. Although the Coulomb gauge is typically used within TDDFT, we argue that the Lorenz gauge has some major advantages for the following reasons: (a) it does not require a projection scheme to map the 3-vector (i.e., current density) to its transverse field; (b) the Coulomb gauge typically leads to the need for surface integral expressions, via the Helmholtz decomposition [24,25], to satisfy boundary conditions. Such surface integral expressions can be ignored if the current density is small enough at the boundary; (c) the Lorenz gauge naturally articulates the *physical* dynamic behavior of electromagnetic fields. 'Physical' implies that it is not conceptually luring to characterize time-dependent behavior of electromagnetic fields in an electrostatic manner (without retardation), as is typically done in the time-independent case.

It is important to emphasize that the proposed electromagnetic excitation is purely classical and within the adiabatic framework; there is no spontaneous emission of any kind. A fully consistent treatment of the exchange-correlation vector potential  $A_{xc,\sigma}$  is typically performed within TDCDFT [16], which we choose to temporarily ignore under the assumption that these effects are negligible in the limit of weak magnetic fields. This is in contradiction to what is typically done in TDCDFT, whereby the induced vector potential is ignored under the assumption that it is an order of 1/c less than the exchange-correlation vector potential. At small length scales, ignoring the exchange-correlation vector potential therefore only suffices when the velocity and length gauges align. At relatively larger length scales, one can anticipate that the classical induced vector potential should eventually overcome the quantum effects of the exchange-correlation vector potential so as to portray the classical electromagnetic phenomena beyond the quantum regime. In this limit, gauge invariance is effectively conserved. The resulting equation of motion for the single-particle orbitals  $\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}$  is:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{\alpha,\sigma} = \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(i\nabla + \frac{1}{c}(\mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}} + \mathbf{A}_{\text{ind}}[\mathbf{j}])\right)^2 + v_{s,\sigma}[\{n_\sigma\}]\right]\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}.$$
(8)

In applying the Coulomb gauge-fixing condition, the induced scalar  $v_{ind}$  and vector  $\mathbf{A}_{ind}$  potentials take the form

$$v_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}',t)}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}'$$
(9)

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{c} \int \frac{\mathbf{j}_T\left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}{c}\right)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}'.$$
 (10)

These expressions can easily be derived using the Coulomb gauge-fixing condition ( $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_s = 0$ ), gauge free relations, and Maxwell's equations (Appendix A). A projection scheme  $\mathbf{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{j}_T$  is necessary to attain the transverse part of  $\mathbf{j}$ . The projection scheme used to attain the transverse component of the current density  $\mathbf{j}$  can more concretely be defined by the Helmholtz

decomposition

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla^2 \int \frac{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}',t)}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}'$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ \nabla \left[ \nabla \cdot \int \frac{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}',t)}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' \right] + \nabla \times \left[ \nabla \times \int \frac{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}',t)}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' \right] \right]$$

$$= \mathbf{j}_L(\mathbf{r},t) + \mathbf{j}_T(\mathbf{r},t). \tag{11}$$

Here,  $\mathbf{j}_L$  is the longitudinal current density and can be shown to have a one-to-one correspondence to the electrostatic potential  $v_{ind}$ , via the continuity equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = -\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} \nabla \left[ \int \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}', t)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' \right]$$
$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \left[ \int \frac{n(\mathbf{r}', t)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' \right] \quad \mathbf{j}_L = \mathbf{j}_{p,L}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla v_{\text{ind}}). \tag{12}$$

Here, it is assumed that the longitudinal current  $\mathbf{j}_L$  is null at the boundary. Given that the vector potential is purely transverse in the Coulomb gauge,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_{d,L} = 0$ , justifying the presumed equality  $\mathbf{j}_L = \mathbf{j}_{p,L}$ . Unlike the longitudinal current density, the transverse component  $\mathbf{j}_T$  is entirely independent of the density and can more easily be evaluated in its spectral representation

$$\nabla \times \left[ \nabla \times \int \frac{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}', t)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}' \right] \to -\mathbf{\hat{k}} \times \mathbf{\hat{k}} \times \mathbf{\tilde{j}}.$$
 (13)

Here,  $\tilde{\mathbf{j}}$  is the Fourier transformed current density and  $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}/||\mathbf{k}||$  is the normalized coordinate vector in the spectral domain. Applying this projection scheme at every iteration, in propagating the single-particle orbitals in time, is a serious complication which can be overcome by simply adopting a different gauge. In particular, within the Lorenz gauge, retardation is considered in both the scalar and vector potentials, with no need for the aforementioned projection scheme

$$v_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \int \frac{n\left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}{c}\right)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}'$$
(14)

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{c} \int \frac{\mathbf{j}\left(\mathbf{r}', t - \frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}{c}\right)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}'.$$
(15)

In assuming the Lorenz gauge-fixing condition, the divergence of the vector potential  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}_s$  can no longer be eliminated. A thorough derivation of these integral expressions is partially provided in Appendix B for completeness. Given the potentials intrinsically determine the densities, via the single-particle orbitals, the retarded potentials should naturally subsume the retardation effects within the densities. An interesting prospect then comes forth: In defining the density-dependent potentials, such as that of the exchange correlation, the history of densities may more easily be incorporated using the proposed formal approach. Stepping away from the static characterization of potentials may be necessary to venture beyond the all-too familiar adiabatic regime. However luring,

accounting for such a history of densities can be computationally tedious. For this reason, a methodology is proposed in the following section to relieve the complexity of such integral expressions.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Calculating retarded potentials, via Eqs. (10), (14), and (15), is a cumbersome task and fast computational techniques are required to their make their evaluation tractable. Their direct evaluation is too computationally prohibitive, particularly because they must be re-evaluated at every time step in propagating the Kohn-Sham orbitals. For some component  $\rho$  of the 4-vector current density, the corresponding induced potential  $\phi$  can be efficiently computed by more concretely defining the retarded Green's function within the integral expression

$$\phi(\mathbf{r},t) = \int g(R,t) * \rho(\mathbf{r}',t) d\mathbf{r}'.$$
 (16)

Here,  $R = |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$  is the radial separation and the asterisk denotes temporal convolution. The smoothed Green's function g(R, t) takes the form

$$g(R,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{R}\delta\left(t - \frac{R}{c}\right) & R > d\\ g_{\text{opt}}(R)\delta\left(t - \frac{R}{c}\right) & R \leqslant d \end{cases}, \quad (17)$$

where *d* is typically a distance of few grid separations and  $g_{opt}$  is the numerically optimized (NOPT) discrete Green's function kernel [26]. The NOPT kernel is simply an analytically approximated Green's function which was numerically optimized at the region of the Coulomb singularity to best approximate the Poisson integral on a grid [26]. The same kernel has been used for the fast evaluation of Poisson integrals and screened Poisson integrals within hybrids and screened hybrids functionals, respectively [27,28].

To evaluate the time dependent potentials, the charge and current densities are sampled uniformly in space using  $N_v = N_x \times N_y \times N_z$  grid points separated by  $\Delta_x = \Delta_y = \Delta_z = h$ and uniformly in time using  $N_t$  time samples with a time-step size of  $\Delta t$ . The samples are interpolated in time at each grid point  $n' = 1, \ldots, N_v$  using piecewise linear temporal basis functions T [29]

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}_{n'}, t) \simeq \rho_0[n'] + \sum_{l'=1}^{N_t} \rho_{l'}[n'] T(t - l' \Delta t), \qquad (18)$$

where  $\rho_{l'}$  is a size  $N_v$  vector that stores the charge and current density samples at time  $l'\Delta t$ . The initial density  $\rho_0$  simply corresponds to the ground state solution of the electronic structure.

Equation (16) is a convolution procedure which respects the causal structure of space time. Its direct evaluation is expensive, reaching  $\mathcal{O}(N_t N_v^2)$ , however, as in the static scenario, it is possible to utilize FFT techniques to reduce the computational complexity. Using a simple 3D FFT scheme along each slice of time reduces the order of operations to  $\mathcal{O}[N_t N_v (N_g + \log N_v)]$ , where  $N_g$  is the number of saved past densities. Such an approach typically suffices in the study of small molecules and molecular chains with lengths reaching up to a few nanometers. Any larger electronic structures require more advanced methodologies, such as those taking advantage of the Green's function three-level block-Toeplitz structure [30–32] to apply FFTs along the temporal direction, further reducing the computational complexity to  $O[N_t N_v (\log N_v + \log^2 N_g)]$  ('4D FFT' algorithm).

### **IV. RESULTS**

We use the Bayreuth version [7,8] real-time propagation TDDFT code as part of the PARSEC real-space package [33,34] as a starting point for our code implementation. In the examples which follow, we checked our implementation for small molecules such as water, benzene, and an elongated carbyne molecule,  $C_{12}H_4$ . We use norm conserving pseudopotentials [35] for carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen with cutoff radii (a.u.) of 1.6/1.6, 1.39, 1.3/1.3, respectively, for s/p orbitals throughout. The grid spacing used for the electronic structures to follow is 0.4 a.u.. The single-particle orbitals  $\psi_{\alpha,\sigma}$  are propagated explicitly using a fourth-order Taylor expansion of the unitary evolution operator,  $e^{-iH\Delta t}$ , with a predictor-corrector scheme to assure proper convergence [7,8]. The adiabatic local density approximation (ALDA) is hereby adopted for all of the exchange-correlation functionals.

Two measures are used in comparing the dynamical behavior of the individual gauges: (i) the dipole moment resulting from the sine squared pulse of an external electric field; (ii) the dipole strength resulting from a boost excitation in attaining the response of the system. In the former (i), laser wavelengths ranging from 800–1600 nm are adopted to assure the weak magnetic field limit is satisfied. This guarantees that the external electric field does not dramatically vary along the molecular structure of interest, resulting in a near-null magnetic field.

In the latter (ii), the response is attained using an approach similar to that suggested by Yabana *et al.* [36,37]: A boost excitation is applied, taking the form of a momentary impulse with a specified amplitude *I*. The resulting dipole strength  $S(\omega)$  is then defined by the time derivative of the imaginary part of the trace of the Fourier transformed polarizability  $\alpha_{\mu\nu}(\omega)$  [2]:

$$S(\omega) = \frac{2\omega}{3\pi} \operatorname{Im}\{\operatorname{Tr}[\alpha_{\mu\nu}(\omega)]\}$$
  

$$\alpha_{\mu\nu}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\widetilde{E}_{\text{ext}}^{\nu}(\omega)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt [e^{i\omega t} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(t)] \qquad (19)$$
  

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(t) = \int x_{\mu} \sum_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha}(x,t)|^{2} \mathrm{d}^{3}x.$$

Here, Tr[·] and Im{·} denote the trace and imaginary parts, respectively, of the bracketed terms. The indices  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are used to represent the spatial components of the vectors and tensors. The density of the single-particle Kohn-Sham orbitals are used to obtain the time dependent dipole moment  $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(t)$ . The Fourier transformed electric field  $\tilde{E}_{\text{ext}}^{\nu}$  contained within the polarizability function  $\alpha_{\mu\nu}$  can be as simple as a constant *I*, as in case (ii), or an arbitrary pulse. In (i), the Fourier

transformed dipole moment  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_z$  is studied

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{z}(\omega) = \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t [e^{i\omega t} \mathcal{D}_{z}(t)] \right|.$$
(20)

Here,  $|\cdot|$  denotes the absolute value and the resulting units are Debye × Second [D · s]. In the corresponding figures, the Fourier transformed electric field will be scaled to  $\tilde{D}_z$  in order to demonstrate the dipole moment's alignment to the excitation frequencies.

In the examples which follow, the external electric field takes the form of a sine-squared pulse and is articulated in the following manner

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}}(x,t) = A_{\ell} \sin^2 \left[ \omega_{\text{env}} t - \frac{\omega_{\text{env}}}{c} x \right] \sin \left[ \omega t - \frac{\omega}{c} x \right] \hat{z}.$$
(21)

Here,  $A_{\ell}$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\omega_{\text{env}}$  are the intensity, radial frequency, and radial envelope frequency of the pulse. The linearly polarized external electromagnetic field is applied along the  $\hat{z}$  direction and the electric field varies along the *x* axis, where  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$ .

In the dipole approximation, we use the assumption of a spatially uniform external electric field to integrate the scalar potential  $\phi_{\text{ext}} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{r}$  into the Hamiltonian. Given we wish to describe a spatially varying external electric field, a different representation must be adopted. We instead use the gauge-free relation under the assumption of a null external scalar potential:

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r},t) = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}}}{\partial t}.$$
 (22)

By then using a backward finite differencing technique, the discrete form of Eq. (22) for a time-step  $\Delta t$  takes the form

$$\mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}, t - \Delta t) - \Delta t c \mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}, t).$$
(23)

The external vector potential is then added to the Hamiltonian of Eq. (8).

#### A. Water

We first study the dipole strength of a water molecule by applying independently a boost excitation along the  $\hat{x}$ ,  $\hat{y}$ , and  $\hat{z}$  directions. The water molecule geometry contained an O-H bond length of 2.02 a.u. and an H-O-H angle of 105.6°, similar to that of del Puerto *et al.* [38], with its atoms placed along the *xy* plane. The oxygen atom is placed at the origin and the (*x*, *y*) coordinates of the hydrogen atoms are (1.45, -1.12) a.u. and (-1.45, -1.12) a.u. The domain size was a box of dimensions  $24 \times 24 \times 24$  a.u. and included absorbing boundary conditions (ABC) with a boundary layer of 4 a.u. on each side along each spatial dimension. A damping coefficient is carefully chosen to gradually transition the value of the orbital functions to zero at the boundary of the grid. The response of the water molecule required no more than 20 femtoseconds (fs) and a time step of 0.5 attoseconds (as).

As is apparent in Fig. 1, the dipole strength of the length, Lorenz, and Coulomb gauges align perfectly. Here, the impulse excitation was applied along the x, y, and z directions independently. The alignment of the Lorenz and Coulomb



FIG. 1. Dipole strength of water for a boost excitation as a function of energy, calculated with the length (red), Lorenz (green), and Coulomb (blue) gauges. Comparison is made to linear response calculations, made with the NWCHEM [39] code, for the dimensionless oscillator strength (purple vertical lines), and to the experimental absorption cross section (black) of Yoshino *et al.* [40], given in arbitrary units to fit the graph.

gauges suggests deep-lying invariance holds, at least on such small scales.

The results were broadened using a Gaussian width of 0.3 eV, on the order of the vibrational energy of  $H_2O$ . The excitation energies obtained from the linear response procedure seem to be shifted from the experimental results of Yoshino *et al.* [40]. Furthermore, the low energy peak of the time-propagation simulation, located at 6.56 eV in Fig. 1, align perfectly with the linear response peak that was calculated using the NWCHEM package [39]. These results also seem to agree with the linear response results of del Puerto *et al.* [38]. The intensity of the peaks located at 8.74 eV and 9.93 eV also nicely align with the NWCHEM linear response calculation.

In Fig. 2, the Fourier transform of the induced dipole moment  $\tilde{D}_z$  is shown in the presence of a sine-squared pulse specified in Eq. (21). The field and the resulting induced dipole are orthogonal to the plane of the atoms. The box size, grid step, ABC, and time step were all specified in a similar manner to the impulse excitation. The total simulation time was reduced to 12 fs, with an envelope period of  $T_{\rm env} = 10$  fs and a sine squared pulse amplitude of  $A_\ell = 3.396 \times 10^{-4}$  Ry/e/a<sub>0</sub> (i.e., intensity of  $1.012 \times 10^9$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>). Clearly, H<sub>2</sub>O is small enough for the various gauges to align as in Fig. 1.

#### **B.** Benzene

We next demonstrate that the benzene ( $C_6H_6$ ) molecule's dipole strength is also invariant to the choice of gauge. The benzene structure adopted here contains C-C and C-H bond lengths of 2.64 a.u. and 2.05 a.u., respectively, with its atoms placed along the *xy* plane. The benzene ring is oriented such that two opposed carbon atoms are placed along the *y* axis symmetrically around the origin. The domain was a box of dimensions  $31 \times 31 \times 31$  a.u.. ABCs are adopted, as before, but with an increased boundary layer of 5 a.u. on each side



FIG. 2. Fourier transformed dipole moment of water for a sine squared pulse as a function of energy, calculated with the length (red), Lorenz (green), and Coulomb (blue) gauges. The Fourier transformed external electric field (black) is scaled to the peak of the maximal excitation energy of the dipole moment.

along each dimension. The electronic structure was evolved in time for 60 fs at a time step of 1.0 attosecond using the same fourth-order Taylor expanded predictor-corrector scheme to ensure properly converged dynamics.

Figure 3 depicts the dipole strength of benzene for the length, Lorenz, and Coulomb gauges, along with the experimental results of Koch *et al.* [41] and real-space, real-time results of Yabana *et al* [37]. The results were broadened using a Gaussian width of 0.1 eV. The impulse excitation was applied simultaneously along the x-y-z directions under the assumption that, by symmetry considerations, the



FIG. 3. Dipole strength of benzene for a boost excitation as a function of energy, calculated with the length (red), Lorenz (green), and Coulomb (blue) gauges. Real-time results of Yabana *et al.* [36] (purple) and the experimental results of Koch *et al.* [41] (black) are used for comparison.



FIG. 4. Fourier transformed dipole moment of benzene for a sine squared pulse along the z axis as a function of energy, calculated with the length (red), Lorenz (green), and Coulomb (blue) gauges. The Fourier transformed external electric field (black) is scaled to the peak of the maximal excitation energy of the dipole moment.

cross-diagonal components of the polarizability tensor are null. The different gauges all conform fairly well to one another and the experiment, in particular, they all agree on the  $\pi - \pi^*$  state transition at 6.9 eV.

Figure 4 depicts the induced dipole moment  $\tilde{D}_z$  of benzene in response to the 800 nm sine squared pulse of Eq. (21), with the direction of polarization along the z axis. The box size, grid step, ABC, and time step were all specified in a similar manner to the boost excitation. The total simulation time was reduced to 12 fs, with an envelope period of  $T_{env} = 10$  fs, and a sine squared pulse amplitude of  $A_\ell = 3.396 \times 10^{-4}$  Ry/e/a<sub>0</sub> (i.e., intensity of  $1.012 \times 10^9$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>). The external field which is used for Fig. 4 is too narrow in energy to calculate the dipole strength according to Eq. (19), however, we show in the Supplemental Material (SM) [42] that if we take a wider bandwidth signal, we get the same dipole strength for the external field and the boost excitation.

#### C. Cumulene

We next calculated the response of a 1D carbon carbyne chain in the cumulene form,  $H_2(=C=)_{12}H_2$ , placed along the *z* axis. The hydrogen atoms are placed along the *yz* plane. The C-C and C-H bond lengths are 2.39 a.u. and 2.07 a.u., respectively. This form of carbyne has a zero gap at the polymer limit [43] and can serve as a future candidate in studying retardation effects in significantly longer carbon chains.

In the bottom of Fig. 5, the dipole strength of  $C_{12}H_4$  is also shown to be gauge invariant. The results were broadened using a Gaussian width of 0.25 eV. The impulse excitation was simultaneously applied along the *x-y-z* directions under the assumption that, by symmetry considerations, the cross diagonal components of the polarizability tensor are null. The elongated component of the carbon chain contains a sharp



FIG. 5. Fourier transformed dipole moment and dipole strength of  $C_{12}H_4$  for a sine squared pulse (top) and impulse (bottom), respectively, as a function of energy, calculated with the length (red), Lorenz (green), and Coulomb (blue) gauges. In the top plot, the Fourier transformed external electric field (black) is scaled to the peak of the maximal excitation energy of the dipole moment.

excitation peak at  $\sim 4 \text{ eV}$ . In generating these results, a grid of 22 a.u. along the cross sections and 48 a.u. in the elongated direction was adopted. Once again, ABCs with a boundary layer of 5 a.u. along each side of the box were used to remove any reflections resulting from nonzero orbital functions at the boundary. The dipole strength was attained by propagating the single-particle orbitals for over 90 fs at a time step of 0.5 attoseconds.

Unlike the previous molecular structures, it took much longer for the lower frequency peaks to appear after the boost excitation was applied. In a similar manner, the top of Fig. 5 depicts the dipole moment  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_z$  of the length, Lorenz, and Coulomb gauges illuminated by an 800 nm external electromagnetic field, as specified in Eq. (21). The box size, grid step, ABC, and time step were all specified in a similar manner to the boost excitation. The total simulation time was reduced to 12 fs, with an envelope period of  $T_{env} = 10$  fs, and the sine squared pulse amplitude was set to  $A_{\ell} = 2.856 \times$  $10^{-4}$  Ry/e/a<sub>0</sub> (i.e., intensity of  $7.157 \times 10^8$  W/cm<sup>2</sup>). The additional peak located at ~4.0 eV is characteristic of a guantum resonance. The location of the resonance comes as no surprise in observing the analogous 4 eV excitation peak of the aforementioned impulse response in the bottom of Fig. 5. As in the impulse response, the gauge invariance is once again numerically demonstrated. We show in the SM that we can recover the dipole strength for a wider bandwidth external field.

## V. DISCUSSION & SUMMARY

In this work we have described how the Lorenz and Coulomb gauges can be formally introduced, as an approximation, into the the TDKS Hamiltonian. We have also shown a numerically efficient way to evaluate the electromagnetic integral expressions of the retarded potentials in both gauges. This approach was demonstrated in real space for small molecules, The integration of faster propagators and better parallelization schemes will enable the calculation of systems large enough to have more significant retardation effects, while still residing in the weak magnetic fields limit. The simultaneous consideration of two gauges can help verify whether gauge invariance is still achievable in practice. Reaching such larger scales can further enable the consideration of nanodevices, such as nanorectennas [44,45], which were, to our knowledge, never studied numerically from first principles.

It should be emphasized that the velocity gauges studied thus far bring about a much richer physical characterization of the electronic structure then their length gauge counterpart. The induced magnetic fields essentially play the role of allowing classical solitons, created by the dynamical behavior of a given local regime of the electronic structure, to affect another distant local regime in a causal manner. This classical effect can play an important role for large system sizes, even at a fraction of the external electromagnetic field's wavelength.

Induced magnetic fields can play a prior role in other components of the Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian, particularly in considering the noncollinear form of the spin. The induced magnetic field within the Stern-Gerlach term of the Kohn-Sham-Pauli equation [46] may be dramatically altered in characterizing the spin dynamics of electronic structures, particularly if they exceed the exchange-correlation magnetic fields defined by the difference in spin-up and spin-down exchangecorrelation scalar potentials. Induced magnetic fields have been considered within the two-spinor extension of TD-CDFT [47], along with the zeroth order regular approximation (ZORA) [48] in approximating the relativistic Hamiltonian of the Dirac equation.

In this work, the Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian was extended to include retarded potentials, as opposed to the sole consideration of the electrostatic Hartree potential within TDDFT. In incorporating the vector potential into the Kohn-Sham equation, TDDFT is effectively extended to incorporate induced electrodynamic fields. The nontrivial integral expressions were evaluated using an accelerative scheme, making the study of large electronic structures tractable. The Lorenz and Coulomb gauge-fixing conditions were studied and gauge invariance was exemplified in the weak magnetic field limit. It was further proposed that, in studying dynamical properties, adopting the Lorenz gauge may be formally simpler than its Coulomb gauge counterpart.

An interesting prospect arises in considering alternative gauges, particularly, the more natural characterization of the dynamical behavior of scalar and vector potentials within the Lorenz gauge. In considering the history of densities within the exchange-correlation functionals, the intrinsically causal structures of the Lorenz gauge-fixed potentials could make the articulation of dynamical many-body effects formally simpler, removing the need to deal directly with the unintuitive nature of the transverse vector potential.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Gregory Slepyan of Tel Aviv University for helpful discussions on the subject of applying alternative gauge-fixing conditions to TDDFT. This research was supported by Grants No. 2014426 and No. 2018182 from the United States-Israel Bi-national Science Foundation (BSF). This research was also partially funded by EU H2020, under the Project TERASSE, H2020-MSCA-RISE, 823878.

## APPENDIX A: COULOMB GAUGE - INTEGRAL EXPRESSIONS

Integral expressions resulting from the Coulomb gauge fixing condition are derived. This Appendix is provided for the purpose of completeness. The gauge-free relations are

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla v - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \tag{A1}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}.\tag{A2}$$

In substituting the gauge free relation for the electric field into Gauss's law, followed by applying the Coulomb gauge-fixing condition  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ , one obtains the well-known Poisson equation for the scalar potential

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \cdot \left( \nabla v + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right) = 4\pi n$$
$$\nabla^2 v = -4\pi n. \tag{A3}$$

One can further obtain the wave equation for the vector potential by substituting the gauge free relations of Eqs. (A1) and (A2) into Ampere's law

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A}$$
$$= -\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j} - \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{A}}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla v)\right). \quad (A4)$$

Further rearranging Eq. (A4), one arrives at

$$\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2\right)\mathbf{A} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\mathbf{j} - \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla v)$$
$$= \frac{4\pi}{c}(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{j}_L) = \frac{4\pi}{c}\mathbf{j}_T. \quad (A5)$$

Here, the continuity equation was used to set the time derivative of the gradient of the scalar potential equal to the longitudinal current density, as demonstrated in Eq. (12). Equations (A3) and (A5) are the partial differential equations which follow from the Coulomb gauge-fixing condition. The transverse current density  $\mathbf{j}_T$  is attained via Helmholtz decomposition, as specified in Eq. (11). The integral counterparts of Eqs. (A3) and (A5) can then be articulated by convolving the source density of each with its appropriate Green's function

$$v(\mathbf{r},t) = \int n(\mathbf{r}',t)g_s(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)d\mathbf{r}'$$
(A6)

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{c} \int \mathbf{j}_T(\mathbf{r}',t) * g(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|,t) d\mathbf{r}'.$$
(A7)

Here, the asterisk denotes temporal convolution. The scalar and retarded Green's functions are defined as

$$g_s(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$
(A8)

and

$$g(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|, t) = \frac{\delta\left(t - \frac{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}{c}\right)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|},$$
 (A9)

respectively. As a result, one obtains the well-known expressions of Eqs. (9) and (10).

# APPENDIX B: LORENZ GAUGE - INTEGRAL EXPRESSIONS

Integral expressions resulting from the Lorenz gaugefixing condition are derived. This Appendix is provided for the purpose of completeness. In substituting the gauge free relation of Eq. (A1) into Gauss's law, the wave equation for the scalar potential is attained

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \cdot \left(\nabla v + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}\right)$$
$$= 4\pi n \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2\right) v = 4\pi n.$$
(B1)

Here, the Lorenz gauge fixing condition  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = -c^{-1} \partial v / \partial t$ is applied to the first line. One can similarly derive the wave equation for the vector potential by substituting the gauge free relations for the electric and magnetic fields into Ampere's law, as in Eq. (A4), followed by applying the Lorenz gaugefixing condition. The resulting wave equation is

$$\left(\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2\right)\mathbf{A} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\mathbf{j}.$$
 (B2)

The integral expressions corresponding to Eqs. (B1) and (B2) are then

$$v(\mathbf{r},t) = \int n(\mathbf{r}',t) * g(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|,t) d\mathbf{r}'$$
(B3)

and

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{1}{c} \int \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}',t) * g(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|,t) d\mathbf{r}', \qquad (B4)$$

respectively. Here, the retarded Green's function g is the same as that defined in Eq. (A9) of Appendix A. One then naturally arrives at the well-known expressions of Eqs. (14) and (15).

- [1] E. Runge and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 997 (1984).
- [2] M. A. L. Marques, N. T. Maitra, F. M. S. Nogueira, E. K. U. Gross, and A. Rubio, *Fundamentals of Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory*, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012).
- [3] C. A. Urlich, *Time-Dependent Density-Functional Theory: Concepts and Applications*, Oxford Graduate Texts (Oxford University Press, New York, 2012).
- [4] M. E. Casida, in *Recent Advances in Density Functional Methods: (Part I)* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995), pp. 155–192.
- [5] A. Castro, M. A. L. Marques, J. A. Alonso, G. F. Bertsch, and A. Rubio, European Phys. J. D 28, 211 (2004).
- [6] A. Gomez Pueyo, M. A. L. Marques, A. Rubio, and A. Castro, J. Chem. Theor. Comput. 14, 3040 (2018).
- [7] M. Mundt, J. Theor. Comput. Chem. 8, 561 (2009).
- [8] M. Mundt and S. Kümmel, Phys. Rev. B 76, 035413 (2007).
- [9] S. K. Son and S. I. Chu, Phys. Rev. A 80, 011403(R) (2009).
- [10] D. A. Telnov and S. I. Chu, Phys. Rev. A 80, 043412 (2009).
- [11] E. Yahel and A. Natan, Phys. Rev. A 98, 053421 (2018).
- [12] I. Floss, C. Lemell, G. Wachter, V. Smejkal, S. A. Sato, X.-M. Tong, K. Yabana, and J. Burgdörfer, Phys. Rev. A 97, 011401(R) (2018).
- [13] G. Vignale, Phys. Rev. B 70, 201102(R) (2004).
- [14] J. A. Berger, P. L. de Boeij, and R. van Leeuwen, Phys. Rev. B 71, 155104 (2005).
- [15] M. Van Faassen, P. L. de Boeij, R. van Leeuwen, J. A. Berger, and J. G. Snijders, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 186401 (2002).
- [16] G. Vignale and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2037 (1996).
- [17] K. Yabana, T. Sugiyama, Y. Shinohara, T. Otobe, and G. F. Bertsch, Phys. Rev. B 85, 045134 (2012).
- [18] S. Yamada, M. Noda, K. Nobusada, and K. Yabana, Phys. Rev. B 98, 245147 (2018).

- [19] F. Kootstra, P. L. de Boeij, and J. G. Snijders, J. Chem. Phys. 112, 6517 (2000).
- [20] J. H. Bauer, J. Phys. B At. Mol. Opt. 41, 185003 (2008).
- [21] H. Reiss, Phys. Rev. A 76, 033404 (2007).
- [22] G. Vignale and M. Rasolt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2360 (1987).
- [23] O. Brill and B. Goodman, Am. J. Phys. 35, 832 (1967).
- [24] J. Jackson and L. Okun, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 663 (2001).
- [25] J. Jackson, Am. J. Phys. 70, 917 (2002).
- [26] D. Gabay, A. Boag, and A. Natan, Comput. Phys. Commun. 215, 1 (2017).
- [27] N. M. Boffi, M. Jain, and A. Natan, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 12, 3614 (2016).
- [28] D. Gabay, X. Wang, V. Lomakin, A. Boag, M. Jain, and A. Natan, Comput. Phys. Commun. 221, 95 (2017).
- [29] G. Kaur and A. E. Yilmaz, Microw. Opt. Techn. Let. 53, 1343 (2011).
- [30] A. E. Yilmaz, D. S. Weile, B. Shanker, J.-M. Jin, and E. Michielssen, IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett. 1, 14 (2002).
- [31] E. Hairer, C. Lubich, and M. Schlichte, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 6, 532 (1985).
- [32] A. E. Yilmaz, J.-M. Jin, and E. Michielssen, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. **52**, 2692 (2004).
- [33] J. R. Chelikowsky, N. Troullier, K. Wu, and Y. Saad, Phys. Rev. B 50, 11355 (1994).
- [34] L. Kronik, A. Makmal, M. L. Tiago, M. Alemany, M. Jain, X. Huang, Y. Saad, and J. R. Chelikowsky, Phys. Status Solidi (b) 243, 1063 (2006).
- [35] N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B 43, 1993 (1991).
- [36] K. Yabana and G. F. Bertsch, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 75, 55 (1999).

- [37] K. Yabana, T. Nakatsukasa, J.-I. Iwata, and G. Bertsch, Phys. Status Solidi (b) 243, 1121 (2006).
- [38] M. Lopez del Puerto, M. L. Tiago, I. Vasiliev, and J. R. Chelikowsky, Phys. Rev. A 72, 052504 (2005).
- [39] M. Valiev, E. Bylaska, N. Govind, K. Kowalski, T. Straatsma, H. V. Dam, D. Wang, J. Nieplocha, E. Apra, T. Windus, and W. de Jong, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181, 1477 (2010).
- [40] K. Yoshino, J. Esmond, W. Parkinson, K. Ito, and T. Matsui, Chem. Phys. 211, 387 (1996).
- [41] E.-E. Koch and A. Otto, Chem. Phys. Lett. 12, 476 (1972).
- [42] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.101.235101 for the coherence of the dipole strength for band limited and impulse excitations. We show that, for both benzene and cumulene, the calculated dipole strengths, arising from the different excitations, properly align.

- [43] A. Calzolari, N. Marzari, I. Souza, and M. Buongiorno Nardelli, Phys. Rev. B 69, 035108 (2004).
- [44] E. Donchev, J. S. Pang, P. M. Gammon, A. Centeno, F. Xie, P. K. Petrov, J. D. Breeze, M. P. Ryan, D. J. Riley, and N. M. Alford, MRS Energy & Sustainability 1, E1 (2014).
- [45] G. Slepyan, T. Gilad, and A. Boag, in Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE International Symposium on Antennas and Propagation & USNC/URSI National Radio Science Meeting, San Diego, CA (IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, 2017), pp. 1051–1052.
- [46] S. Sharma, J. K. Dewhurst, C. Ambrosch-Draxl, S. Kurth, N. Helbig, S. Pittalis, S. Shallcross, L. Nordström, and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 196405 (2007).
- [47] P. Romaniello and P. L. d. Boeij, J. Chem. Phys. 127, 174111 (2007).
- [48] T. Saue, Chem. Phys. Chem. 12, 3077 (2011).