Evidence for magnon-phonon coupling in the topological magnet Cu_3TeO_6

Song Bao[®],^{1,*} Zhengwei Cai[®],^{1,*} Wenda Si,¹ Wei Wang[®],¹ Xiaomeng Wang,¹ Yanyan Shangguan,¹ Zhen Ma,¹ Zhao-Yang Dong,² Ryoichi Kajimoto⁰,³ Kazuhiko Ikeuchi,⁴ Shun-Li Yu⁰,^{1,5} Jian Sun,^{1,5} Jian-Xin Li,^{1,5} and Jinsheng Wen ^{1,5,†}

¹National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures and Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China ²Department of Applied Physics, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China

³J-PARC Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

⁴Neutron Science and Technology Center, Comprehensive Research Organization for Science and Society (CROSS),

Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1106, Japan

⁵Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

(Received 14 March 2020; revised manuscript received 30 April 2020; accepted 27 May 2020; published 11 June 2020)

We perform thermodynamic and inelastic neutron scattering (INS) measurements to study the lattice dynamics (phonons) of a cubic collinear antiferromagnet Cu₃TeO₆ which hosts topological spin excitations (magnons). While the specific heat and thermal conductivity results show that the thermal transport is dominated by phonons, the deviation of the thermal conductivity from a pure phononic model indicates that there is a strong coupling between magnons and phonons. In the INS measurements, we find a mode in the excitation spectra at 4.5 K, which exhibits a slight downward dispersion around the Brillouin zone center. This mode disappears above the Néel temperature and thus cannot be a phonon. Furthermore, the dispersion is distinct from that of a magnon. Instead, it can be explained by the magnon-polaron mode, collective excitations resulting from the hybridization between magnons and phonons. We consider the suppression of the thermal conductivity and emergence of the magnon-polaron mode to be evidence for magnon-phonon coupling in Cu₃TeO₆.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.101.214419

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnons and phonons, which are quanta of spin waves and lattice vibrations, respectively, constitute two fundamental collective excitations in condensed-matter physics. They coexist and propagate in ordered magnets, while in the case where magnetoelastic effect is strong, they are coupled and exhibit rich physics. For example, previous studies identified several spectroscopic signatures that could be attributed to magnon-phonon coupling, such as renormalization of spinwave excitations [1-3], enhancement of spontaneous magnon decay [4,5], and a gap opening at the intersection of magnon and phonon dispersions [6,7]. Besides the direct impacts on excitation spectra, magnon-phonon coupling can also be reflected in some thermal transport measurements, as it can give rise to the suppression of thermal conductivity [8,9]and anomalies in the magnetic-field-dependent spin Seebeck effect [10,11]. More intriguingly, the strong magnetoelastic coupling between magnons and phonons is believed to result in hybrid quasiparticle excitations, such as electromagnons which are electroactive magnons in multiferroic materials [12–18] and magnon polarons which are hybridized magnon and phonon modes in proximity of the intersection of the uncoupled magnon and phonon dispersions [10,11,19–25]. More recently, magnon polarons have been discussed in the context of topology [26-29]. In particular, the magnon-polaron bands were proposed to carry Chern numbers and exhibit large Berry curvature [26–29]. Such proposals provide a platform to study topological bosonic excitations [30-33] and the associated thermal Hall effect [34-36] in real magnets. Besides the fundamental importance of the magnon-phonon coupling, it also holds promising application potential such as in developing multifunctional devices and low-cost dispationless spintronics [16,23,37-42]. As such, observing the magnon-phonon coupling experimentally and understanding the consequent phenomena is one of the central topics in condensed-matter physics.

In ferrimagnet yttrium iron garnet (YIG) [10,43,44] and noncollinear antiferromagnets including the hexagonal rareearth manganite $RMnO_3$ family (R = Y, Lu, Ho, Yb, Sc, Tm, Er) (Refs. [1,2,5–8,45–47]), BiFeO₃ (Ref. [48]), CuCrO₃ (Ref. [49]), LiCrO₃ (Ref. [17]), CuBr₂ (Ref. [50]), and Mn₃Ge (Ref. [25]), magnon-phonon coupling has been heavily explored. On the other hand, the coupling mechanism in collinear antiferromagnet remains elusive and calls for experimental investigations [24]. In this paper, we study the coupling in Cu_3TeO_6 . It develops a long-range collinear antiferromagnetic order below the Néel temperature T_N of 61 K, with spins aligned along the [111] direction of the cubic unit cell [51]. It was reported that there were phonon anomalies in $Cu_3 TeO_6$, evidenced by the emergence of modes below 50 K observed by Raman scattering [52] and optical reflectivity measurements [53]. It was proposed that the phonon anomalies could be explained by a magnetoelastic

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work. †jwen@nju.edu.cn

strain induced by the collinear antiferromagnetic order [52,53]. Furthermore, such a magnetic structure also preserves the *PT* symmetry, where *P* and *T* are space-inversion and time-reversal operations, respectively [54]. Previous studies [54–57] demonstrated that under the protection of the *PT* symmetry, Cu₃TeO₆ could host topological magnons, as observed directly in the magnetic excitation spectra using inelastic neutron scattering (INS) [56,57]. Because of the *PT* symmetry, both the electronic and phononic bands can host topological band structures [58,59]. Considering these, Cu₃TeO₆ provides an ideal platform to investigate the interplay between topological magnons and phonons in a three-dimensional collinear antiferromagnetic case.

Here, we report comprehensive results of specific heat, thermal conductivity, and INS measurements on Cu_3TeO_6 . We find that the specific heat and the thermal conductivity are mostly contributed by phonons and the magnetic contributions are negligible. However, our measured thermal conductivity shows an obvious suppression due to the magnon-phonon coupling. In our INS measurements, we observe an anomalous mode located around 16.8 meV at 4.5 K, which disappears above T_N and is believed to be a magnon-polaron mode due to the hybridization between magnons and phonons. Our results on the suppression of the thermal conductivity and emergence of the magnon polarons are compelling evidence for the magnon-phonon coupling in Cu_3TeO_6 .

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of Cu₃TeO₆ were grown by the flux method as described in Ref. [60]. Specific heat and thermal conductivity were measured using the heat capacity option and the thermal transport option, respectively, integrated in a Physical Property Measurement System from Quantum Design. Neutron scattering measurements were performed on the 4D-Space Access Neutron Spectrometer (4SEASONS) at Materials and Life Science Experimental Facility (MLF) of Japan Proton Accelerator Research Complex (J-PARC) [61]. For the experiment on 4SEASONS, 50 pieces of single crystals weighing about 6.3 g in total were coaligned and glued on two aluminum plates, using a backscattering Laue x-ray diffractometer. The crystals were well coaligned with an overall sample mosaic of 3°. The assembly was mounted in a closed-cycle refrigerator with the [010] direction along the vertical direction and [100] along the incident neutron beam direction. We used a primary incident energy $E_i = 40 \text{ meV}$ and a chopper frequency of 250 Hz, resulting in an energy resolution of 2.4 meV at the elastic line. Measurements were done at 4.5 and 100 K. We set the angle where the [100] direction is parallel to the incident beam direction to be 0° . Data were collected by rotating the sample about the [010] axis from 30° to 90° in a 0.25° step. We counted 18 minutes for each step. Raw data were reduced and combined together into four-dimensional matrices, and analyzed using the software suite UTSUSEMI [62]. We used the corrected wave vector (1, -0.0225, 0) instead of (1, 0, 0) to represent the direction parallel to the neutron beam to account for the small sample tilt. After the correction, all Bragg peaks were located well at the reciprocal lattice points. The neutron scattering data



FIG. 1. (a) Temperature dependence of the specific heat for Cu_3TeO_6 . The inset is the low-temperature specific heat plotted as C_p/T vs T^2 . The solid line is a linear fit. (b) Thermal conductivity measured along one of the three principal crystallographic axes. The inset is the measured data plotted in logarithmic scale. Curves represent different fits as described in the main text.

were described in reciprocal lattice unit (rlu) of (*H*, *K*, *L*) = $(2\pi/a, 2\pi/b, 2\pi/c)$ with a = b = c = 9.537(3) Å.

III. RESULTS

A. Thermodynamic measurements

Results of the thermodynamic measurements including specific heat and thermal conductivity on $Cu_3 TeO_6$ are shown in Fig. 1. The antiferromagnetic phase transition temperature T_N of 61 K is indicated by both the λ -type peak in the specific heat [Fig. 1(a)] and the kink in the thermal conductivity [Fig. 1(b)]. Since $Cu_3 TeO_6$ is a magnetic insulator, only lattice vibrations and magnetic excitations can contribute the low-temperature specific heat, which can be written as [63]

$$C_{\rm p}(T) = \frac{12}{5} \pi^4 x N_{\rm A} k_{\rm B} \left(\frac{T}{\Theta_{\rm D}}\right)^3 + 6.8 y N_{\rm A} k_{\rm B} \left(\frac{k_{\rm B} T}{12|J|S}\right)^3, \quad (1)$$

where N_A , k_B , T, Θ_D , J, and S are Avogadro constant, Boltzmann constant, temperature, Debye temperature, antiferromagneitc exchange interaction and spin, respectively; xand y represent the number of total atoms and magnetic ions per formula unit, respectively. The first and second terms in Eq. (1) correspond to the specific heat contributed by phonons (C_{ph}) and magnons (C_m) , respectively.

From Eq. (1), we find it difficult to experimentally distinguish the lattice and the magnetic contributions from the total specific heat because they both follow the T^3 power-law behaviors at low temperatures. However, if we take J of ~11 meV and S of 1/2 for Cu₃TeO₆ (Ref. [56]) in Eq. (1), the magnetic specific heat C_m is at least three orders of magnitude smaller than C_{ph} . Therefore, we simplify the low-temperature specific heat as C_{ph} . In the inset of Fig. 1(a), we plot C_p/T as a function of T^2 . It can be nicely fitted with a straight line having a slope of 1.91×10^{-4} J mol⁻¹ K⁻⁴, from which we deduce the Debye temperature $\Theta_D \sim 466$ K.

The temperature evolution of the thermal conductivity κ for $Cu_3 TeO_6$ is shown in Fig. 1(b). As cooling from room temperature, κ increases slowly in the beginning until reaching the $T_{\rm N}$. Below this point, it increases dramatically and reaches its maximum value of about 250 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ and then drops rapidly, forming a peak at about 14 K. Since κ is proportional to the specific heat, it should be dominated by phonons as $C_{\rm ph}$ is much larger than $C_{\rm m}$. Indeed, the observed low-temperature peak is one of the typical characteristics for phonon thermal conductivity (κ_{ph}) (Refs. [64–66]). The behavior of κ_{ph} is determined by various scattering mechanisms. Generally speaking, in an ideal phononic crystal without any defects, in the high-temperature limit, the thermal conductivity usually exhibits T^{-1} behavior since the phonon-phonon umklapp process dominates and the phonon mean-free path increases as temperature decreases, while in the low-temperature limit, the dominating scattering process is only related to the sample size, causing a temperature-independent phonon mean-free path, so the thermal conductivity exhibits T^3 behavior as does the specific heat [64–66]. The different temperature dependencies resulting from these two scattering mechanisms are expected to lead to a typical phonon peak at low temperatures [64-66].

However, in a real material, defects and impurities are inevitable and can also scatter phonons. Moreover, magnons start to establish below T_N in Cu₃TeO₆ that can affect the thermal conductivity by acting either as the carriers of heat current or scatterers of phonons [67]. To understand the thermal transport processes and figure out the scattering mechanisms in Cu₃TeO₆, the Debye-Callaway model [68,69], which is applicable to κ_{ph} calculations, is used to fit our data. In this model, different scattering mechanisms can be reflected by the different terms in the relaxation rates. With this model, κ_{ph} can be written as [68,69]

$$\kappa_{\rm ph} = \frac{k_{\rm B}}{2\pi^2 v} \left(\frac{k_{\rm B}T}{\hbar}\right)^3 \int_0^{\frac{\Theta_{\rm D}}{T}} \frac{z^4 {\rm e}^z}{({\rm e}^z - 1)^2} \tau(\omega, T) dz, \quad (2)$$

where \hbar , ω , and v are the reduced Planck constant, phonon frequency, and phonon mean velocity, respectively, and z is defined as $\hbar\omega/k_{\rm B}T$. Here, with the Debye temperature $\Theta_{\rm D}$ of 466 K, v is calculated to be about 3900 m/s, using the equation $v = \Theta_{\rm D}(k_{\rm B}/\hbar)(6\pi^2 n)^{-1/3}$, where *n* is the number of atoms per unit volume. The relaxation time $\tau(\omega, T)$ in Eq. (2) can be approximated by

$$\tau^{-1}(\omega, T) = \frac{v}{L} + A\omega^4 + BT\omega^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\Theta_{\rm D}}{bT}\right).$$
(3)

Here, the three terms correspond to phonon scattering by sample boundaries, point defects, and phonon-phonon U processes, respectively [70,71]. *L*, *A*, *B*, and *b* are free parameters.

To fit our data in Fig. 1(b), the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm with the least-square criterion [72,73] was used. From the fitting, shown as the dashed curve in the inset of Fig. 1(b), we obtain the parameters L = 0.93 mm, $A = 2.04 \times$ 10^{-43} s³, $B = 2.03 \times 10^{-17}$ s K⁻¹ and b = 4.68. This fitting agrees with the low-temperature data well but does not capture the magnetic transition at $T_{\rm N}$, and worse, deviates from the data significantly above 100 K. Since phonon-phonon umklapp processes are dominant for temperatures far above the magnetic transition temperature, such a deviation at high temperatures is unexpected. Since around T_N there may be some other scattering mechanisms, such as critical scattering or magnon-phonon coupling that may affect the fitting, we fit the data in the range away from T_N , i.e., at low (2–25 K) and high temperatures (150-300 K), and obtain the parameters as L = 0.86 mm, $A = 1.88 \times 10^{-43}$ s³, $B = 8.93 \times 10^{-18}$ s K^{-1} , and b = 5.64. The parameter L is comparable with the expected value of $2\sqrt{S_{\rm CS}/\pi} = 2.0$ mm, where $S_{\rm CS}$ represents the rectangular cross section of the sample. The parameter bis close to the expected value of $2\nu^{1/3} = 6.84$, where ν is the number of atoms in the primitive unit cell [71]. The fit shown as the solid curve in Fig. 1(b) matches the experimental data well except for the temperatures ranging from 40 to 200 K. As an attempt to match the data around T_N better, we fix the values of L = 0.86 mm and $A = 1.88 \times 10^{-43}$ s³, and refit the data in the whole temperature range with only B and b as tuning parameters. It returns the values of B and b as 1.15×10^{-17} s K⁻¹ and 5.33, respectively. However, this new fitting, shown as the dotted line in the inset of Fig. 1(b), does not improve the fitting around $T_{\rm N}$ significantly, but causes an unexpected deviation at high temperatures. Taking these into account, we think the fitting strategy using the low- and high-temperature data with the results shown as the solid line is more reasonable. As for the suppression of the thermal conductivity from 40 to 200 K as compared to the fitting, we believe that it actually indicates extra scattering mechanisms such as magnon-phonon scattering that need to be considered.

B. INS spectra

The thermodynamic results indicate that while the thermal transport is dominated by phonons, magnons and phonons couple in some way and affect the overall thermal properties. To figure out the lattice dynamics and the underlying origin of the magnon-phonon scattering in Cu₃TeO₆, we performed INS measurements. Neutron scattering can directly measure the scattering function S(Q, E), which is proportional to the imaginary part of the dynamical susceptibility $\chi''(Q, E)$



FIG. 2. (a), (b) Constant-energy contours around (8, 0, 0) measure at 4.5 and 100 K, respectively, both with energies integrated over [6.5, 8.5] meV and another wave vector *K* integrated over [-0.2, 0.2] rlu. (c), (d) Scans through (8, 0, 0) along the [100] and [001] directions, respectively, with an interval of ± 0.2 rlu about the center, as indicated by the dashed rectangles in (a) and (b). Solid lines in (c) and (d) are the fits to the data with Lorentzian functions. Errors represent one standard deviation throughout the paper.

through [74]

$$S(\boldsymbol{Q}, E) \propto \frac{\chi''(\boldsymbol{Q}, E)}{1 - e^{-E/k_{\rm B}T}},\tag{4}$$

where *E* and *Q* represent the energy and momentum transfers, respectively, and $(1 - e^{-E/k_{\rm B}T})^{-1}$ is the Bose factor. The scattering function contains both magnon and phonon information. In Cu₃TeO₆, since magnetic order does not enlarge the size of its primitive unit cell, it is difficult to distinguish the magnon and the phonon excitations according to their different locations in the momentum space. However, taking advantage of their different dependencies of intensities against *Q*, i.e., intensities increase as a function of $|Q|^2$ for phonons but decrease for magnons, we can probe the phonon excitations at large *Q*'s. Also, to eliminate the influence of Bose statistics, the measured neutron scattering intensities are divided by the Bose factor throughout the paper.

In Fig. 2(a), we plot the constant-energy contour around the large Q of (8, 0, 0) at 4.5 K, with the energy interval of 7.5 ± 1 meV. They are phonon excitations and differ from magnetic excitations in two aspects: First, the excitations exist at 100 K, ~40 K above T_N as shown in Fig. 2(b), while magnetic excitations get featureless above T_N (Ref. [56]). Second, the contours at both temperatures show elliptical shapes centered at (8, 0, 0), with their long and short axes along symmetrically equivalent [001] and [100] directions, respectively, indicating anisotropic propagations of the excitations. These two features can also be seen in the Q scans shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). In each panel, the data of 4.5 and 100 K are almost identical after the Bose factor correction, confirming the phononic behaviors. The peaks along the [100]



FIG. 3. (a), (b) INS results for the excitation spectra of Cu₃TeO₆ measured at 4.5, 100 K, respectively. The left and right panels are the excitations propagating along the [100] and [001] directions, respectively. The integration intervals of the other two wave vectors are both chosen as ± 0.2 rlu. The dashed lines are the results of the linear spin-wave calculations, based on the isotropic J_1 - J_9 model described in Ref. [57]. Solid lines are guides to the eye to illustrate the slight downward dispersion of the additional mode and arrows indicate the positions of the mode. Vertical dashed lines indicate high-symmetry points in the Brillouin zone.

direction [Fig. 2(c)] are much closer to the center than those along the [001] direction [Fig. 2(d)]. Such anisotropic propagations are related to the phonon polarization. In fact, near the Bragg peak (8, 0, 0), neutron scattering only probes those phonon excitations with the [100] polarization. Therefore, the phonons propagating parallel and perpendicular to the [100] direction are defined as longitudinal and transverse phonons, respectively. For acoustic phonons, the longitudinal (LA) modes have larger velocities than those of transverse (TA) modes.

After orientating the phonon excitations in the momentum space, we map out the phonon excitation spectra dispersing up from (8, 0, 0) at 4.5 and 100 K in Fig. 3. The left and right panels show the phonons propagating along the [100] and [001] directions, respectively. Although these spectra are mapped for several Brillouin zones, there are only intensive acoustic modes around (8, 0, 0). The observed LA and TA phonons labeled in Fig. 3 have different slopes, from which the velocities can be obtained as 6900 and 3150 m/s for the LA and TA phonons, respectively. The averaged velocity \bar{v} can be approximated as $3/\overline{v}^3 = 1/v_{\text{LA}}^3 + 2/v_{\text{TA}}^3$ (Ref. [63]), where v_{LA} and v_{TA} are the velocities for the LA and TA modes, respectively. With this, we obtain a value of 3550 m/s for \bar{v} . This value is comparable to the 3900 m/s obtained from the thermodynamic results. The acoustic phonons do not change significantly against temperatures. In contrast, the optical modes with energies around 15 meV near (6, 0, 0) and (8, 0, 2) vanish when the system is warmed up to 100 K, and thus cannot be phonon modes as are the acoustic branches. Considering the temperature dependence, they may



FIG. 4. (a) and (b) Constant-Q cuts at (8, 0, 2) at 4.5 and 100 K, respectively. The integration intervals for H, K, and L are all ± 0.2 rlu. Solid lines are the fits with Lorentzian functions, and the arrow indicates the position of the magnon-polaron mode.

be magnons. Therefore, on top of the experimental data, we plot the magnon dispersions along the Γ -H path as the dashed lines in Fig. 3(a), based on linear spin-wave calculations with isotropic J_1 - J_9 model described in Ref. [57]. Overall, the spinwave calculations agree well with the experimental spectra for the optical branches, as shown in Fig. 3(a) and Refs. [56,57], indicating the magnon origin. However, we find that the optical modes around (6, 0, 0) deviate from the magnon excitations. Especially, there occurs an additional mode around 16.8 meV, illustrated by the solid line in Fig. 3(a). It exhibits a slight downward dispersion around (6, 0, 0), different from the upward shape of the calculated spin waves. This mode can also be recognized around a larger Q at (8, 0, 2) in the right panel of Fig. 3(a). By comparing the 4.5-K data in Fig. 3(a)and 100-K data in Fig. 3(b), it is clear that this mode is absent at 100 K.

To better reveal the temperature dependence of the additional mode observed, the constant-Q cuts at (8, 0, 2), where the intensities of optical magnons further decrease, are plotted in Fig. 4. At 100 K, there are five optical phonon modes that can be resolved in the selected energy window. Among them, the modes at 12.2, 14.3, and 21.3 meV are close to the 93.5, 120, and 169 cm⁻¹ Raman-active zone center optical phonons observed in Raman measurements [52]. At 4.5 K, except the modes at 12.2 and 21.3 meV, other phonon modes are not well resolved, due to the emergence of the optical modes of the magnetic excitations. On top of this, there is a mode manifested as a strong peak centered at 16.8 meV [Fig. 4(a)]. This mode is absent at 100 K as shown in Fig. 4(b), where the intensities around 16.8 meV are on the background level.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

What is the origin of the mode at 16.8 meV around the zone center shown in Figs. 3(a) and 4(a)? Since this mode disappears at high temperatures, it cannot be a phonon. We

do not think it is a magnon either because: (i) This mode is absent around the Γ point at low Q as shown in Ref. [56], where topological magnons were observed at low Q. If it were a magnon, the intensity would be much stronger as of other magnons due to the magnetic form factor. In fact, the high-Qdata obtained from the experiment that led to the work in Ref. [56] did reveal some hints on this mode, which motivated us to carry out more detailed INS studies with doubled sample mass in the current work. (ii) This mode is not reproduced by the linear spin-wave calculations using the J_1 - J_9 model. As demonstrated in Ref. [57], this model can fit the experimental magnon spectra quite well, but this mode with a downward dispersion appears to deviate from the calculated upward spinwave excitations. (iii) As shown in Fig. 4(a), the intensity of this mode is much stronger than those of the magnons, which are too weak to be resolved from the phonons. Instead, we propose it to be a magnon-polaron mode, which is hybridized excitations between magnon and phonons due to the magnonphonon coupling.

The magnon-polaron mode can be generated around the anticrossing region where a gap will be opened at the intersection of the magnon and phonon dispersions due to magnonphonon coupling [20,75]. For example, in YIG (Refs. [10,44]) and YMnO₃ (Refs. [6,7]), hybridizations take place at the anticrossings between the acoustic magnon and acoustic phonon branches. While, for some materials with acoustic magnons having much larger velocities than acoustic phonons, such as CuBr₂ (Ref. [50]) and Mn₃Ge (Ref. [25]), the anticrossings occur between acoustic magnon and optical phonon branches. However, in this material, Cu₃TeO₆, the approximately linear dispersions approaching to the zone center with similar velocities for both acoustic magnons and LA phonons [Fig. 3(a)] forbid the formations of the hybridized magnon-polaron mode in the two ways mentioned above. We think in our case this mode is due to the hybridizations of the optical magnon and optical phonon branches, that are very close to the zone center. This can explain the 16.8-meV mode near the zone center we observed in Figs. 3 and 4. In fact, earlier Raman measurements observed an anomalous mode at 132 cm⁻¹ (Ref. [52]). This value is almost the same as the 16.8 meV we observe here.

The occurrence of the hybridized mode between magnons and phonons indicates the magnon-phonon coupling in this system, which is what our thermodynamic results also imply. It is worth noting that phonons are the dominant carriers of heat current in $Cu_3 TeO_6$ [Fig. 1(b)], which is different from other topological magnonic materials such as Lu₂V₂O₇ (Refs. [76,77]) and Cu(1,3-bdc) (Refs. [30,78]), where magnons can also act as carriers of heat current and even exhibit thermal Hall effect [76,78]. With the conventional kinetic gas theory, the thermal conductivity can be approximated by $\frac{1}{3}C_{ph}v_{ph}l_{ph} + \frac{1}{3}C_mv_ml_m$ (Ref. [79]). The $v_{ph(m)}$ and $l_{ph(m)}$ are velocities and mean-free paths for phonons (magnons), respectively. In Cu₃TeO₆, the magnetic specific heat is analyzed to have negligible contributions to the total specific heat [Eq. (1)]. Provided similar $v_{\rm m}$ and $l_{\rm m}$ with $v_{\rm ph}$ and $l_{\rm ph}$ respectively, the contribution of magnons to the thermal conductivity is also negligible. Nevertheless, magnons can still manifest themselves by scattering phonons [8,9] that may explain the deviation from 40 to 200 K between the measured data and the fitting with Debye-Callaway model in Fig. 1(b). Above T_N , phonons will be scattered by the spin fluctuations although there are no well-defined magnons. With decreasing temperature, the fluctuations will be suppressed and the system orders antiferromagnetically below $T_{\rm N}$. In the ordered state, phonons are scattered by magnons due to the magnon-phonon coupling. The combination of these two scattering mechanisms will cause the suppression of the phonon thermal conductivity in Fig. 1(b). A similar situation was also found in YMnO₃ (Ref. [8]), where suppression of thermal conductivity up to at least 300 K was reported [8]. However, compared to YMnO₃, the suppression we observe here is smaller. We believe this is because the magnon-phonon coupling here mostly affects the optical phonons and magnons, as opposed to YMnO3 where the hybridized magnon-polaron mode occurs around the region of anticrossing between the acoustic magnon and phonon branches [6,80], and has larger contributions to the thermal conductivity. For the magnonpolaron mode at such a high energy of 16.8 meV, in our case, we think its suppression of the thermal conductivity is quite small, especially at low temperatures. Nevertheless, with the magnon-phonon coupling suggested by the suppression, a magnon-polaron mode can emerge when magnons and phonons are to intersect.

V. SUMMARY

In summary, our specific-heat and thermal-conductivity measurements indicate phonons are the dominant carriers

- T. Kim, J. C. Leiner, K. Park, J. Oh, H. Sim, K. Iida, K. Kamazawa, and J.-G. Park, Renormalization of spin excitations in hexagonal HoMnO₃ by magnon-phonon coupling, Phys. Rev. B 97, 201113(R) (2018).
- [2] J. Oh, M. D. Le, J. Jeong, J.-h. Lee, H. Woo, W.-Y. Song, T. G. Perring, W. J. L. Buyers, S.-W. Cheong, and J.-G. Park, Magnon Breakdown in a Two Dimensional Triangular Lattice Heisenberg Antiferromagnet of Multiferroic LuMnO₃, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 257202 (2013).
- [3] J. H. Kim and J. H. Han, Coupling of phonons and spin waves in a triangular antiferromagnet, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 054431 (2007).
- [4] M. E. Zhitomirsky and A. L. Chernyshev, Colloquium: Spontaneous magnon decays, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 219 (2013).
- [5] J. Oh, M. D. Le, H.-H. Nahm, H. Sim, J. Jeong, T. Perring, H. Woo, K. Nakajima, S. Ohira-Kawamura, Z. Yamani, Y. Yoshida, H. Eisaki, S.-W. Cheong, A. L. Chernyshev, and J.-G. Park, Spontaneous decays of magneto-elastic excitations in non-collinear antiferromagnet (Y, Lu)MnO₃, Nat. Commun. 7, 13146 (2016).
- [6] S. Petit, F. Moussa, M. Hennion, S. Pailhès, L. Pinsard-Gaudart, and A. Ivanov, Spin Phonon Coupling in Hexagonal Multiferroic YMnO₃, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 266604 (2007).
- [7] S. L. Holm, A. Kreisel, T. K. Schäffer, A. Bakke, M. Bertelsen, U. B. Hansen, M. Retuerto, J. Larsen, D. Prabhakaran, P. P. Deen, Z. Yamani, J. O. Birk, U. Stuhr, Ch. Niedermayer, A. L. Fennell, B. M. Andersen, and K. Lefmann, Magnetic

of heat current in Cu₃TeO₆. Magnons manifest themselves by scattering phonons, causing the suppression of thermal conductivity around T_N . Our INS measurements find an additional mode located at about 16.8 meV and we attribute it to a magnon-polaron mode. We consider the suppression of thermal conductivity and the emergence of the magnonpolaron mode to be evidence for magnon-phonon coupling in Cu₃TeO₆. Considering that this mode is close to the linear magnon band crossings [56,57], we think this material provides a platform for studying the interplay between topological magnons and other emergent excitations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank J. Gu and X. Zhang at Nanjing University for stimulating discussions and L. Tian and Prof. X. Lu at Beijing Normal University for allowing us to use their Laue machine. The INS experiment at MLF of J-PARC was performed under a user program (Proposal No. 2018B0036). The work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China with Grants No. 11822405, No. 11674157, No. 11674158, No. 11774152, No. 11904170, No. 11974162, and No. 11834006, Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu province with Grants No. BK20180006 and No. BK20190436, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities with Grant No. 020414380117, Innovative and Creative Research Program for Doctoral Students of Nanjing University with Project No. CXCY18-13, and the Office of International Cooperation and Exchanges of Nanjing University.

ground state and magnon-phonon interaction in multiferroic $h - \text{YMnO}_3$, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 134304 (2018).

- [8] P. A. Sharma, J. S. Ahn, N. Hur, S. Lee, S. Park, S. B. Kim, S. Lee, J.-G. Park, S. Guha, and S-W. Cheong, Thermal Conductivity of Geometrically Frustrated, Ferroelectric YMnO₃: Extraordinary Spin-Phonon Interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 177202 (2004).
- [9] G. Laurence and D. Petitgrand, Thermal conductivity and magnon-phonon resonant interaction in antiferromagnetic FeCl₂, Phys. Rev. B 8, 2130 (1973).
- [10] T. Kikkawa, K. Shen, B. Flebus, R. A. Duine, Ken-ichi Uchida, Z. Qiu, G. E. W. Bauer, and E. Saitoh, Magnon Polarons in the Spin Seebeck Effect, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 207203 (2016).
- [11] B. Flebus, K. Shen, T. Kikkawa, Ken-ichi Uchida, Z. Qiu, E. Saitoh, R. A. Duine, and G. E. W. Bauer, Magnon-polaron transport in magnetic insulators, Phys. Rev. B 95, 144420 (2017).
- [12] A. Pimenov, A. A. Mukhin, V. Yu. Ivanov, V. D. Travkin, A. M. Balbashov, and A. Loidl, Possible evidence for electromagnons in multiferroic manganites, Nat. Phys. 2, 97 (2006).
- [13] A. B. Sushkov, R. V. Aguilar, S. Park, S.-W. Cheong, and H. D. Drew, Electromagnons in Multiferroic YMn₂O₅ and TbMn₂O₅, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 027202 (2007).
- [14] A. Pimenov, A. Loidl, A. A. Mukhin, V. D. Travkin, V. Yu. Ivanov, and A. M. Balbashov, Terahertz spectroscopy of electromagnons in Eu_{1-x}Y_xMnO₃, Phys. Rev. B 77, 014438 (2008).

- [15] A. Pimenov, A. M. Shuvaev, A. A. Mukhin, and A. Loidl, Electromagnons in multiferroic manganites, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 434209 (2008).
- [16] P. Rovillain, R. de Sousa, Y. Gallais, A. Sacuto, M. A. Méasson, D. Colson, A. Forget, M. Bibes, A. Barthélémy, and M. Cazayous, Electric-field control of spin waves at room temperature in multiferroic BiFeO₃, Nat. Mater. 9, 975 (2010).
- [17] S. Tóth, B. Wehinger, K. Rolfs, T. Birol, U. Stuhr, H. Takatsu, K. Kimura, T. Kimura, H. M. Rønnow, and C. Rüegg, Electromagnon dispersion probed by inelastic x-ray scattering in LiCrO₂, Nat. Commun. 7, 13547 (2016).
- [18] R. Masuda, Y. Kaneko, Y. Yamasaki, Y. Tokura, and Y. Takahashi, Role of commensurability of spin order for optical magnetoelectric effect with electromagnons in multiferroic YMn₂O₅, Phys. Rev. B 96, 041117(R) (2017).
- [19] C. Kittel, Physical theory of ferromagnetic domains, Rev. Mod. Phys. 21, 541 (1949).
- [20] A. Kamra, H. Keshtgar, P. Yan, and G. E. W. Bauer, Coherent elastic excitation of spin waves, Phys. Rev. B 91, 104409 (2015).
- [21] K. Shen and G. E. W. Bauer, Laser-Induced Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Magnetic Films, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 197201 (2015).
- [22] L. J. Cornelissen, K. Oyanagi, T. Kikkawa, Z. Qiu, T. Kuschel, G. E. W. Bauer, B. J. van Wees, and E. Saitoh, Nonlocal magnon-polaron transport in yttrium iron garnet, Phys. Rev. B 96, 104441 (2017).
- [23] H. Hayashi and K. Ando, Spin Pumping Driven by Magnon Polarons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 237202 (2018).
- [24] H. T. Simensen, R. E. Troncoso, A. Kamra, and A. Brataas, Magnon-polarons in cubic collinear antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. B 99, 064421 (2019).
- [25] A. S. Sukhanov, M. S. Pavlovskii, Ph. Bourges, H. C. Walker, K. Manna, C. Felser, and D. S. Inosov, Magnon-polaron excitations in the noncollinear antiferromagnet Mn₃Ge, Phys. Rev. B 99, 214445 (2019).
- [26] R. Takahashi and N. Nagaosa, Berry Curvature in Magnon-Phonon Hybrid Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 217205 (2016).
- [27] E. Thingstad, A. Kamra, A. Brataas, and A. Sudbø, Chiral Phonon Transport Induced by Topological Magnons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 107201 (2019).
- [28] S. Park and B.-J. Yang, Topological magnetoelastic excitations in noncollinear antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. B 99, 174435 (2019).
- [29] G. Go, S. K. Kim, and K.-J. Lee, Topological Magnon-Phonon Hybrid Excitations in Two-Dimensional Ferromagnets with Tunable Chern Numbers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 237207 (2019).
- [30] R. Chisnell, J. S. Helton, D. E. Freedman, D. K. Singh, R. I. Bewley, D. G. Nocera, and Y. S. Lee, Topological Magnon Bands in a Kagome Lattice Ferromagnet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 147201 (2015).
- [31] P. A. McClarty, F. Krüger, T. Guidi, S. F. Parker, K. Refson, A. W. Parker, D. Prabhakaran, and R. Coldea, Topological triplon modes and bound states in a Shastry-Sutherland magnet, Nat. Phys. 13, 736 (2017).
- [32] L. Chen, J.-H. Chung, B. Gao, T. Chen, M. B. Stone, A. I. Kolesnikov, Q. Huang, and P. Dai, Topological Spin Excitations in Honeycomb Ferromagnet CrI₃, Phys. Rev. X 8, 041028 (2018).

- [33] K. Nawa, K. Tanaka, N. Kurita, T. J. Sato, H. Sugiyama, H. Uekusa, S. Ohira-Kawamura, K. Nakajima, and H. Tanaka, Triplon band splitting and topologically protected edge states in the dimerized antiferromagnet, Nat. Commun. 10, 2096 (2019).
- [34] T. Ideue, T. Kurumaji, S. Ishiwata, and Y. Tokura, Giant thermal Hall effect in multiferroics, Nat. Mater. 16, 797 (2017).
- [35] X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, S. Okamoto, and D. Xiao, Thermal Hall Effect Induced by Magnon-Phonon Interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 167202 (2019).
- [36] S. Park, N. Nagaosa, and B.-J. Yang, Thermal Hall effect, spin Nernst effect, and spin density induced by thermal gradient in collinear ferrimagnets from magnon-phonon interaction, Nano Lett. 20, 2741 (2020).
- [37] Y. Kajiwara, K. Harii, S. Takahashi, J. Ohe, K. Uchida, M. Mizuguchi, H. Umezawa, H. Kawai, K. Ando, K. Takanashi, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, Transmission of electrical signals by spin-wave interconversion in a magnetic insulator, Nature 464, 262 (2010).
- [38] A. Kumar, J. F. Scott, and R. S. Katiyar, Electric control of magnon frequencies and magnetic moment of bismuth ferrite thin films at room temperature, Appl. Phys. Lett. 99, 062504 (2011).
- [39] Y. Tokura, S. Seki, and N. Nagaosa, Multiferroics of spin origin, Rep. Prog. Phys. 77, 076501 (2014).
- [40] Ken-ichi Uchida, H. Adachi, T. An, T. Ota, M. Toda, B. Hillebrands, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, Long-range spin Seebeck effect and acoustic spin pumping, Nat. Mater. 10, 737 (2011).
- [41] M. Weiler, H. Huebl, F. S. Goerg, F. D. Czeschka, R. Gross, and S. T. B. Goennenwein, Spin Pumping with Coherent Elastic Waves, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 176601 (2012).
- [42] N. Ogawa, W. Koshibae, A. J. Beekman, N. Nagaosa, M. Kubota, M. Kawasaki, and Y. Tokura, Photodrive of magnetic bubbles via magnetoelastic waves, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 112, 8977 (2015).
- [43] J. Holanda, D. S. Maior, A. Azevedo, and S. M. Rezende, Detecting the phonon spin in magnon–phonon conversion experiments, Nat. Phys. 14, 500 (2018).
- [44] H. Man, Z. Shi, G. Xu, Y. Xu, X. Chen, S. Sullivan, J. Zhou, K. Xia, J. Shi, and P. Dai, Direct observation of magnon-phonon coupling in yttrium iron garnet, Phys. Rev. B 96, 100406(R) (2017).
- [45] H. Sim, J. Oh, J. Jeong, M. D. Le, and J.-G. Park, Hexagonal *R*MnO₃: A model system for two-dimensional triangular lattice antiferromagnets, Acta. Cryst. B 72, 3 (2016).
- [46] S. Lee, A Pirogov, M. Kang, K.-H. Jang, M. Yonemura, T. Kamiyama, S.-W. Cheong, F Gozzo, N. Shin, H Kimura, Y. Noda, and J.-G. Park, Giant magneto-elastic coupling in multiferroic hexagonal manganites, Nature 451, 805 (2008).
- [47] M. K. Gupta, R. Mittal, M. Zbiri, N. Sharma, S. Rols, H. Schober, and S. L. Chaplot, Spin-phonon coupling and high-temperature phase transition in multiferroic material YMnO₃, J. Mater. Chem. C **3**, 11717 (2015).
- [48] J. A. Schneeloch, Z. Xu, J. Wen, P. M. Gehring, C. Stock, M. Matsuda, B. Winn, G. Gu, S. M. Shapiro, R. J. Birgeneau, T. Ushiyama, Y. Yanagisawa, Y. Tomioka, T. Ito, and G. Xu, Neutron inelastic scattering measurements of low-energy phonons in the multiferroic BiFeO₃, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 064301 (2015).

- [49] K. Park, J. Oh, J. C. Leiner, J. Jeong, K. C. Rule, M. D. Le, and J.-G. Park, Magnon-phonon coupling and two-magnon continuum in the two-dimensional triangular antiferromagnet CuCrO₂, Phys. Rev. B 94, 104421 (2016).
- [50] C. Wang, D. Yu, X. Liu, R. Chen, X. Du, B. Hu, L. Wang, K. Iida, K. Kamazawa, S. Wakimoto, J. Feng, N. Wang, and Y. Li, Observation of magnetoelastic effects in a quasi-onedimensional spiral magnet, Phys. Rev. B 96, 085111 (2017).
- [51] M. Herak, H. Berger, M. Prester, M. Miljak, I. Živković, O. Milat, D. Drobac, S. Popović, and O. Zaharko, Novel spin lattice in Cu₃TeO₆: An antiferromagnetic order and domain dynamics, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **17**, 7667 (2005).
- [52] K. Y. Choi, P. Lemmens, E. S. Choi, and H. Berger, Lattice anomalies and magnetic excitations of the spin web compound Cu₃TeO₆, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 505214 (2008).
- [53] G. Caimi, L. Degiorgi, H. Berger, and L. Forró, Optical evidence for a magnetically driven structural transition in the spin web Cu₃TeO₆, Europhys. Lett. **75**, 496 (2006).
- [54] K. Li, C. Li, J. Hu, Y. Li, and C. Fang, Dirac and Nodal Line Magnons in Three-Dimensional Antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 247202 (2017).
- [55] D. Wang, X. Bo, F. Tang, and X. Wan, Calculated magnetic exchange interactions in the Dirac magnon material Cu₃TeO₆, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 035160 (2019).
- [56] S. Bao, J. Wang, W. Wang, Z. Cai, S. Li, Z. Ma, D. Wang, K. Ran, Z.-Y. Dong, D. L. Abernathy, S.-L. Yu, X. Wan, J.-X. Li, and J. Wen, Discovery of coexisting Dirac and triply degenerate magnons in a three-dimensional antiferromagnet, Nat. Commun. 9, 2591 (2018).
- [57] W. Yao, C. Li, L. Wang, S. Xue, Y. Dan, K. Iida, K. Kamazawa, K. Li, C. Fang, and Y. Li, Topological spin excitations in a threedimensional antiferromagnet, Nat. Phys. 14, 1011 (2018).
- [58] B. Bradlyn, J. Cano, Z. Wang, M. G. Vergniory, C. Felser, R. J. Cava, and B. A. Bernevig, Beyond Dirac and Weyl fermions: Unconventional quasiparticles in conventional crystals, Science 353, aaf5037 (2016).
- [59] B. Bradlyn, L. Elcoro, J. Cano, M. G. Vergniory, Z. Wang, C. Felser, M. I. Aroyo, and B. A. Bernevig, Topological quantum chemistry, Nature 547, 298 (2017).
- [60] Z. He and M. Itoh, Magnetic behaviors of Cu₃TeO₆ with multiple spin lattices, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 354, 146 (2014).
- [61] R. Kajimoto, M. Nakamura, Y. Inamura, F. Mizuno, K. Nakajima, S. Ohira-Kawamura, T. Yokoo, T. Nakatani, R. Maruyama, K. Soyama, K. Shibata, K. Suzuya, S. Sato, K. Aizawa, M. Arai, S. Wakimoto, M. Ishikado, Shin-ichi Shamoto, M. Fujita, H. Hiraka, K. Ohoyama, K. Yamada, and C.-H. Lee, The Fermi chopper spectrometer 4SEASONS at J-PARC, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, SB025 (2011).
- [62] Y. Inamura, T. Nakatani, J. Suzuki, and T. Otomo, Development status of software "Utsusemi" for chopper spectrometers at MLF, J-PARC, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 82, SA031 (2013).

- [63] Z. Li, *Solid State Theory* (Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2002).
- [64] G. A. Slack, Thermal conductivity of MgO, Al_2O_3 , $MgAl_2O_4$, and Fe_3O_4 crystals from 3° to 300° K, Phys. Rev. **126**, 427 (1962).
- [65] G. A. Slack and D. W. Oliver, Thermal conductivity of garnets and phonon scattering by rare-earth ions, Phys. Rev. B 4, 592 (1971).
- [66] C. Hess, Heat conduction in low-dimensional quantum magnets, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics 151, 73 (2007).
- [67] S. Y. Li, L. Taillefer, C. H. Wang, and X. H. Chen, Ballistic Magnon Transport and Phonon Scattering in the Antiferromagnet Nd₂CuO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 156603 (2005).
- [68] R. Berman, *Thermal Conduction in Solids* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1976).
- [69] J. Callaway, Model for lattice thermal conductivity at low temperatures, Phys. Rev. 113, 1046 (1959).
- [70] G. A. Slack and S. Galginaitis, Thermal conductivity and phonon scattering by magnetic impurities in CdTe, Phys. Rev. 133, A253 (1964).
- [71] A. V. Sologubenko, K. Giannò, H. R. Ott, A. Vietkine, and A. Revcolevschi, Heat transport by lattice and spin excitations in the spin-chain compounds SrCuO₂ and Sr₂CuO₃, Phys. Rev. B 64, 054412 (2001).
- [72] D. Marquardt, An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters, J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math. 11, 431 (1963).
- [73] J. J. Moré, The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm: Implementation and theory, in *Numerical Analysis*, edited by G. A. Watson (Springer, Berlin, 1978), pp. 105–116.
- [74] G. Shirane, S. M. Shapiro, and J. M. Tranquada, Neutron Scattering with a Triple-Axis Spectrometer: Basic Techniques (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).
- [75] S. C. Guerreiro and S. M. Rezende, Magnon-phonon interconversion in a dynamically reconfigurable magnetic material, Phys. Rev. B 92, 214437 (2015).
- [76] Y. Onose, T. Ideue, H. Katsura, Y. Shiomi, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, Observation of the magnon Hall effect, Science 329, 297 (2010).
- [77] T. Ideue, Y. Onose, H. Katsura, Y. Shiomi, S. Ishiwata, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, Effect of lattice geometry on magnon Hall effect in ferromagnetic insulators, Phys. Rev. B 85, 134411 (2012).
- [78] M. Hirschberger, R. Chisnell, Y. S. Lee, and N. P. Ong, Thermal Hall Effect of Spin Excitations in a Kagome Magnet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 106603 (2015).
- [79] S. R. Boona and J. P. Heremans, Magnon thermal mean free path in yttrium iron garnet, Phys. Rev. B 90, 064421 (2014).
- [80] S. Pailhès, X. Fabrèges, L. P. Régnault, L. Pinsard-Godart, I. Mirebeau, F. Moussa, M. Hennion, and S. Petit, Hybrid Goldstone modes in multiferroic YMnO₃ studied by polarized inelastic neutron scattering, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 134409 (2009).