Parquet dual fermion approach for the Falicov-Kimball model

K. Astleithner[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7669-0090) A. Kauch[®], T. Ribic, an[d](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5984-8549) K. Held *Institute of Solid State Physics, TU Wien, 1040 Vienna, Austria*

 \bigcirc (Received 5 December 2019; revised manuscript received 6 March 2020; accepted 9 March 2020; published 1 April 2020)

In the Falicov-Kimball model, a model for (annealed) disorder, we expect weak localization corrections to the optical conductivity. However, we get such weak localization effects only when employing a *pp*-ladder approximation in the dual fermion approach. In the full parquet approach, these *pp* contributions are suppressed by ph -reducible diagrams. For the optical conductivity, we find that the ph channel yields the main contribution, even in the region where weak localization in the *pp* ladder was indicated.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.101.165101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.165101)

I. INTRODUCTION

The Falicov-Kimball model (FKM) [\[1\]](#page-8-0) is one of the simplest models for electronic correlations and describes fully immobile electrons that interact with mobile conduction electrons. In this sense, it can be seen as a simplified version of the Hubbard model [\[2\]](#page-8-0), where one spin species is assumed to be localized and hopping is allowed for the other spin species only. Despite its simplicity, finding a solution to the FKM remains challenging.

For the two-dimensional FKM, a phase transition toward a checkerboard charge-density wave (CDW) was proven to occur at and close to half filling $[3,4]$, as well as a metal-to-insulator transition. The FKM can further be solved (semi)analytically in infinite dimensions using dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) [\[5–7\]](#page-8-0). This can also be considered as an approximation for a finite dimensional system, where all local correlations are taken into account. DMFT is also a good approximation for somewhat higher temperatures where the disorder on each site acts uncorrelated and results in a temperature-independent solution.

Most of the DMFT results in the FKM have been reviewed in a seminal oeuvre by Freericks and Zlatić $[8,9]$ $[8,9]$. However, the physics of the FKM is mainly governed by CDW fluctuations, so nonlocal correlations play the key role in the paramagnetic phase. To include these in addition to the local ones already fully covered in DMFT, cluster [\[10\]](#page-8-0) and diagrammatic extensions of DMFT have been developed. The latter include the dynamical vertex approximation ($D\Gamma A$) [$11-13$] and the dual fermion (DF) approach [\[14\]](#page-9-0). In a similar development for disordered systems, Janiš [\[15\]](#page-9-0) developed vertex corrections to the coherent potential approximation. For a review, see Ref. $[16]$. As DFA is not easily applied to the FKM, requiring mixed vertex functions of the mobile and immobile electrons, we choose to employ the DF approach for the FKM using both the parquet equations and a ladder approximation, extending earlier approaches using ladder DF calculations only [\[17–19\]](#page-9-0). We compare the full parquet DF approach to

the simple ladder approach and also analyze the different contributions from the different channels: particle-hole (*ph*), transversal particle-hole (*ph*), and particle-particle (*pp*).

Specifically, we investigate the effect of nonlocal correlations resulting from the DF approach onto the optical conductivity, describing the interaction of the system with light. The FKM is a model describing annealed disorder. And it is known that for disordered systems weak localization [\[20\]](#page-9-0) (corresponding to diagrams in a *pp* ladder) leads to a diminution of the DC optical conductivity and therefore an enhancement of the electrical resistivity, even when there is no gap in the one-particle spectrum. For more recent studies of weak localization in the Falicov-Kimball model, see Refs. [\[21,22\]](#page-9-0). We confirm the appearance of weak localization in the FKM via an employment of the *pp*-ladder series. However, this effect is superseded by the dominating contribution of the *ph* channel to the optical conductivity in the full parquet approach.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In Sec. II , we introduce the FKM and the properties of the local vertex function in DMFT, which at self-consistency is employed as the basic building block in the DF parquet approach. Then the different methods, the parquet approach and ladder approximations, that are employed as well as the corresponding equations are explained. In Sec. [III,](#page-3-0) numerical results for the self-energy, the optical conductivity, and its corresponding current-current correlation function and the charge susceptibility are pre-sented: in Sec. [III A](#page-3-0) for a half-filled FKM, and in Sec. [III B](#page-6-0) for a doped system with filling $n_c = 0.15$ for the *c* electrons and $n_f = 0.5$ for the f electrons. Our main findings are finally summarized in Sec. [IV.](#page-8-0)

II. MODEL AND METHODS

A. Falicov-Kimball model

The Hamiltonian of the one-band (spinless) FKM reads

$$
\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + U \sum_i c_i^{\dagger} c_i f_i^{\dagger} f_i
$$

$$
-\mu \sum_i (c_i^{\dagger} c_i + f_i^{\dagger} f_i) + \varepsilon_f \sum_i f_i^{\dagger} f_i. \tag{1}
$$

^{*}kauch@ifp.tuwien.ac.at

FIG. 1. The two-particle Green's function $G^{(2)}$ consists of two pairs of disconnected Green's function lines and the connected vertex function $F^{\nu\nu'\omega}$. In this figure, only the frequency arguments are shown.

Here c_i^{\dagger} (c_i) create (annihilate) a mobile electron and f_i^{\dagger} (f_i) a localized electron at lattice site *i*. The hopping *t* between nearest neighbors is allowed only for mobile electrons in the FKM, and the local Coulomb interaction *U* acts between an itinerant and a localized electron at the same site; μ and ε_f denote the chemical potential and the local potentials for the *f* electrons, respectively. We choose our units of energy as $D \equiv$ $4t \equiv 1$, $k_B \equiv 1$, and the Planck constant $\hbar \equiv 1$. Furthermore, when calculating the optical conductivity, we set the lattice constant $a \equiv 1$ and the elementary charge $e \equiv 1$.

B. Local two-particle vertex

The two-particle vertex function $F^{kk'q}$ is defined as the connected part of the two-particle Green's function $G^{(2)kk'q}$ with the incoming and outgoing lines amputated (also see Fig. 1):

$$
G^{(2)kk'q} = \beta G(k)G(k')\delta_{q0} - \beta G(k)G(k+q)\delta_{kk'}
$$

$$
-G(k)G(k+q)F^{kk'q}G(k')G(k'+q). \quad (2)
$$

Here and in the following, we use a four-vector notation $k =$ (k, v) and $q = (q, \omega)$ which subsumes both the momenta and the corresponding Matsubara frequencies.

The local two-particle vertex function $F_{\text{loc}}^{\nu\nu'\omega}$ of the itinerant electrons (i.e., the connected part of the local two-particle Green's function $G_{\text{loc}}^{(2)\nu\nu'\omega}$) for the two-dimensional FKM can be calculated (semi)analytically in DMFT. As the mobile electrons can only scatter indirectly via the localized electrons, and are otherwise noninteracting, the local vertex function exhibits a reduced frequency structure, only having finite values for $\omega = 0$ and for $\nu = \nu'$. The analytical expression for F_{loc} can be shown to have the following form [\[18\]](#page-9-0):

$$
F_{\text{loc}}^{\nu\nu'\omega} = \beta(\delta_{\omega,0} - \delta_{\nu,\nu'})a(\nu)a(\nu' + \omega),\tag{3}
$$

where $a(v)$ is given by

$$
a(v) = \frac{(\Sigma_{\text{loc}}(v) - U)\Sigma_{\text{loc}}(v)}{\sqrt{p_1 p_2}U}.
$$
 (4)

Here, $\beta = 1/T$ denotes the inverse temperature, Σ_{loc} the local DMFT self-energy, and $p_2 \equiv 1 - p_1 \equiv 1 - \langle f_i^{\dagger} f_i \rangle$ the number of sites without localized electrons. The number of localized electrons is also referred to as $n_f \equiv p_1$ below, and that of the mobile electrons as n_c .

C. Parquet equation

The two-particle vertex $F^{kk'q}$ can be represented by a sum of diagrams that are classified according to their reducibility [\[23\]](#page-9-0). We distinguish four types of diagrams: a class of fully

FIG. 2. A two-particle reducible diagram can be assigned to exactly one of three channels, according to which two of the four outer legs of the diagram can be separated from the other two. In the particle-hole channel, Φ_{ph} legs (1,2) are separated from (3,4), in the transversal particle-hole channel $\Phi_{\overline{nh}}(2,3)$ are separated from (1,4), and in the particle-particle channel Φ_{pp} (2,4) are separated from (1,3).

irreducible diagrams (contained in the fully irreducible vertex Λ) and three classes of reducible diagrams (see Fig. 2): (i) diagrams reducible in the particle-hole channel (contained in the reducible vertex Φ_{ph}), (ii) in the particle-hole transversal channel $(\Phi_{\overline{nh}})$, and (iii) in the particle-particle channel (Φ_{pp}) . The so-called parquet equation than reads

$$
F = \Lambda + \Phi_{ph} + \Phi_{\overline{ph}} + \Phi_{pp}.
$$
 (5)

The reducible vertices Φ_r in the three channels $r \in$ {*ph*, *ph*, *pp*} can be obtained through the respective Bethe-Salpeter equations. The fully irreducible vertex Λ is not given by the parquet approach and has to be provided as input. In the lowest order, Λ is equal to the bare Coulomb interaction U. Taking $\Lambda = U$ amounts to the so-called parquet approximation. This kind of channel decomposition is similar (except for the spin) as for the Hubbard model [\[24\]](#page-9-0).

The FKM describes the *f* -*c* interaction or annealed disorder. The diagramatics for quenched, uncorrelated disorder is different.

D. Parquet dual fermion approach

The DF approach maps an interacting lattice model onto a set of interacting local problems which couple to nonlocal "dual" fermions. The local vertex function F_{loc} of the local problems is the basic building block for the DF approach. It corresponds to the bare interaction for the dual particles. Analogous to the two-particle diagrammatics for the original electrons, one can formulate Bethe-Salpeter equations and parquet equation for the dual particles with F_{loc} as the bare interaction. Within the parquet approximation, this bare interaction is employed as the fully irreducible vertex of the dual fermions. From the fully irreducible vertex, the full dual vertex \overline{F} , and the vertex functions Φ_r reducible in one of the three channels $r \in \{ph, \overline{ph}, \overline{pp}\},\}$

$$
\widetilde{F}^{kk'q} = F_{\text{loc}}^{\nu\nu'\omega} + \widetilde{\Phi}_{ph}^{kk'q} + \widetilde{\Phi}_{\overline{ph}}^{kk'q} + \widetilde{\Phi}_{pp}^{kk'q} \tag{6}
$$

are calculated. Here and in the following, we use the tilde to denote quantities that are defined in terms of dual particles. Let us further define the vertex functions Γ_r that are irreducible with respect to a given channel *r*, and are therefore given by the complement $\overline{\Gamma}_r = \overline{F} - \Phi_r$.

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}_{ph}^{kk'q} = \sum_{k_1} \widetilde{F}^{kk_1q} \widetilde{G}_{k_1+q} \widetilde{G}_{k_1} \widetilde{\Gamma}_{ph}^{k_1k'q} , \qquad (7)
$$

$$
\widetilde{\Phi}_{pp}^{kk'q} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_1} \widetilde{F}^{k(k_1+q)(k'-k_1)} \widetilde{G}_{k_1+q} \widetilde{G}_{k+k'-k_1}
$$
\n
$$
\times \widetilde{\Gamma}_{pp}^{(k+k'-k_1)k'(q-k'+k_1)}.
$$
\n(8)

Here and in the following, all four-vector sums implicitly include, for brevity, a normalization factor $1/(\beta N)$, i.e., $\sum_{k=1}^{N}$ actually denotes $1/(\beta N) \sum_{k}$, similar to previous publications, e.g., Ref. [\[16\]](#page-9-0). Note that there is no need to introduce a separate equation for $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\overline{ph}}$ since it can be obtained from Φ_{ph} via the crossing symmetry [\[25\]](#page-9-0).

To calculate a dual self-energy $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ out of the full dual vertex, the dual Schwinger-Dyson equation,

$$
\widetilde{\Sigma}_k = -\sum_{k'} F_{\text{loc}}^{\nu \nu' \omega = 0} \widetilde{G}_{k'} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k'q} F_{\text{loc}}^{\nu \nu' \omega} \times \widetilde{G}_{k'} \widetilde{G}_{k'+q} \widetilde{G}_{k+q} \widetilde{F}^{kk'q},
$$
\n(9)

is employed and for the propagator of the dual fermions *G* , we can also formulate a Dyson equation,

$$
\widetilde{G}_k = \left[\widetilde{G}_{0,k}^{-1} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_k\right]^{-1},\tag{10}
$$

where $G_{0,k}$ is the so-called noninteracting dual Green's function, which is the input to the DF approach.

The noninteracting dual Green's function $G_{0,k}$ is obtained as the difference between the **k**-dependent and **k**-averaged DMFT Green's function which can both be calculated from the DMFT self-energy Σ_{loc} , cf. Ref. [\[16\]](#page-9-0):

$$
\widetilde{G}_{0,k} = \frac{1}{i\nu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu - \Sigma_{\text{loc},\nu}} - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{i\nu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu - \Sigma_{\text{loc},\nu}}.
$$
\n(11)

For the results presented, we keep $G_{0,k}$ and F_{loc} fixed at their DMFT values, i.e., we do not do a so-called outer selfconsistency. As we will see below, the DF corrections to the self-energy are minute, justifying *a posteriori* that no outer self-consistency is necessary.

Equations (6) – (10) can be employed in a self-consistent form ("inner" self-consistency), where first the dual vertex *F* is built up from F_{loc} and G_0 to calculate Σ and the corresponding interacting G , which are then used again in an updated calculation for *F* .

E. Postprocessing

The resulting dual self-energy from the parquet equations is finally used as a nonlocal correction to the lattice Green's function *G* of the real electrons $[26]$:

$$
G_k = \frac{1}{i\nu - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu - \Sigma_{\text{loc},\nu} - \widetilde{\Sigma}_k}.
$$
 (12)

Using the results of the parquet DF formalism, physical susceptibilities are also calculated, namely, the density-density correlation function or charge susceptibility χ*^d* ,

$$
\chi_{d,\mathbf{q}} = \sum_{k} G_{q+k} G_{k} + \sum_{k,k'} G_{k'} G_{q+k} F^{kk'q} G_{q+k'} G_{k} , \qquad (13)
$$

and the current-current correlation function χ_{ij} ,

$$
\chi_{jj,\mathbf{q}} = \left[\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{q}}\right]^2 G_{q+k} G_k + \sum_{k,k'} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{q}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\mathbf{q}} G_{k'} G_{q+k} F^{kk'q} G_{q+k'} G_k.
$$
 (14)

Here the full vertex function of the real fermions is approximated by the vertex function of the DFs, $F = \tilde{F}$; $\gamma_k^{\mathbf{q}=0}$ ∂**k**/∂**k** denotes the dipole matrix elements given by the derivative of the energy-momentum relation in the Peierls approximation. From χ_{jj} at $\mathbf{q} = 0$, the optical conductivity can be calculated:

$$
\sigma(\omega) = \text{Re}\left\{e^2 \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left[\frac{\chi_{jj,\mathbf{q}=0}(\omega + i\delta) - \chi_{jj,\mathbf{q}=0}(i\delta)}{i(\omega + i\delta)}\right]\right\}.
$$
 (15)

Here, an analytic continuation to real frequencies is necessary, for which we employ the maximum entropy method described in the Supplemental Material of Ref. [\[27\]](#page-9-0).

F. Ladder DF

In addition to the full parquet DF calculation discussed above, we present results for a ladder DF approximation. This ladder approximation is employed in two different ways:

First, using the parquet formalism and code above, but restricting ourselves to the respective channel $r \in \{ph, pp\}$, i.e., Eq. (7) or (8) and $\vec{F} = F_{\text{loc}} + \Phi_r$. Therefore, the ladder series is built up iteratively and a direct comparison with the parquet results is enabled in this way. In the case of the particle-hole ladder, both the *ph* and the *ph* contributions are taken into account in the dual Schwinger-Dyson Eq. (9) to recalculate the self-energy self-consistently. For the *pp*-ladder series instead, $F_{\text{loc}} + \widetilde{\Phi}_{pp}$ is employed in the Schwinger-Dyson equation. This method is used to calculate the ladder results shown in Figs. $5, 7, 8, 13$ $5, 7, 8, 13$ $5, 7, 8, 13$ $5, 7, 8, 13$ $5, 7, 8, 13$ $5, 7, 8, 13$, and 15 .

Second, using the exact expression for the dual vertex function in the ladder approximation, i.e., the geometric series. This second approach is employed in this paper only for the *pp* ladder, as we want to isolate the effect of weak localization corresponding with such diagrams. The *pp*-ladder vertex function then reads

$$
\widetilde{F}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\nu\nu'\omega} = \frac{F_{\text{loc}}^{\nu\nu'\omega}}{1 - F_{\text{loc}}^{\nu\nu'\omega}\widetilde{\chi}_q^0},\tag{16}
$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_0$ is calculated in *pp* notation from

$$
\widetilde{\chi}_q^0 = \sum_k \widetilde{G}_{q-k} \widetilde{G}_k. \tag{17}
$$

This second method is used to calculate the *pp*-ladder results in Figs. [6](#page-4-0) and [14](#page-7-0) to confirm weak localization. Diagrammatically, this describes the two directions a closed loop can be taken in by a particle returning to the same site. In disorder models, constructive interference between those paths leads

FIG. 3. DMFT spectral function $A(\omega)$ for the half-filled system, at $U = 0.5$, $U = 0.9$, and $U = 1.5$. At $U = 0.5$ and $U = 0.9$, the system is still metallic. With increasing U , a gap forms and at $U =$ 1 the metal-to-insulator transition takes place; the spectrum is split into two subbands. This can be seen at $U = 1.5$, where the system is already insulating.

to an increase of the amplitude for remaining at the same site and therefore a reduction of mobility. As in the first implementation based on the parquet code, the self-energy is also recalculated self-consistently in this second approach.

III. RESULTS

We solve the DF parquet equations for the FKM on a 6×6 square lattice with periodic boundary conditions using 20 (positive) Matsubara frequencies in the case of the parquet DF approach. Note that the restriction to such small systems is a severe approximation, necessary due to the immense numerical effort of parquet calculations. A finite-size scaling analysis was not possible within the current numerical implementation. With other approaches, where either the frequency dependence is reduced [\[28\]](#page-9-0) or a form-factor expansion is used [\[29\]](#page-9-0), such analysis might become possible in the future.

In the case of the *pp*-ladder approximation, the reduced numerical effort allows us to study a 32×32 square lattice and 40 (positive) Matsubara frequencies. The temperature at which most results are calculated is $T = 0.06$. We present results both for the half-filled FKM at $n_c = n_f = 0.5$ and for the conduction-electron doped FKM with occupations n_c = 0.15 and $n_f = 0.5$. Since we show quantities that depend on either real or Matsubara frequencies, we use in the following ν and ω to denote real frequencies and ν*ⁿ* and ω*ⁿ* for the Matsubara ones.

A. Half-filled system

1. DMFT spectrum

In the case of the half-filled system, the chemical potential is fixed at $\mu = U/2$, and particle-hole symmetry holds. Electronic correlations are expected to have the maximum effect for this configuration and therefore it is in many cases most interesting to look at the system at half filling, especially when investigating the extension to nonlocal correlations. In DMFT for the two-dimensional FKM at half-filling, a Mott-Hubbard-like metal-to-insulator transition occurs at $U = 1$ [\[8\]](#page-8-0). This can be seen in the DMFT spectral function $A(v) =$ $-\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}$ Im $G(\mathbf{k}, \nu)$ shown in Fig. 3 on the real frequency axis for $U = 0.5$, $U = 0.9$, and $U = 1.5$, where a gap is forming for increasing *U* and at $U = 1.5$ the spectrum is already split into two subbands. This DMFT solution, its vertex, and bare dual Green's function serve as a starting point for the subsequent DF calculations. For these, we concentrate on one interaction $(U = 0.5)$ on the metallic side and one interaction $(U = 1.5)$ on the insulating side.

2. DF self-energy

Figure [4](#page-4-0) presents the results of the parquet DF self-energy in comparison to the local DMFT self-energy at $T = 0.06$ for the metallic and insulating system. Regarding the imaginary part of the self-energy, it can be seen that for the two **k** points on the Fermi surface, $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, 0)$ and $\mathbf{k} = (\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3})$, the nonlocal corrections of the DF approach give a negative contribution to the DMFT self-energy, with Σ_{DF} being somewhat larger at $(\pi, 0)$ (in absolute terms) than at $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3})$. On the contrary, at $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, \pi)$ and $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0)$ there is a positive contribution, reducing the absolute value of the self-energy. The real part of the self-energy is constant at *U*/2 in DMFT and in DF for **k** points on the Fermi surface because of particle-hole symmetry; **k** points outside the Fermi surface give positive, points inside negative DF corrections. That is, the nonlocal DF self-energy pushes points further away in energy. The results look qualitatively similar both for the metal and the insulator, but note that the self-energy is an order of magnitude larger at $U = 1.5$ compared to $U = 0.5$.

In Fig. [5,](#page-4-0) the parquet DF self-energy is compared to a corresponding $ph + ph$ ladder as well as to a pp -ladder DF approximation for the $(\pi, 0)$ point. Both ladder series have been calculated iteratively, as described in Sec. [II.](#page-0-0) These results indicate that the physics of the FKM is dominated by *ph* + *ph*-ladder diagrams, as the dual self-energy calculated in a simple ladder series approximates the results from the full parquet calculation very well. In contrast, Σ resulting from a *pp*-ladder calculation is considerably smaller. Overall, the self-energy corrections are rather minute, at least for momenta on the Fermi surface. This justifies *a posteriori* that we do not need to recalculate the local vertex F_{loc} .

3. Optical conductivity

As the FKM is a model for annealed disorder, we may expect weak localization corrections to the conductivity, a physical phenomenon that is emerging at low temperatures. Weak [\[20\]](#page-9-0) (and strong [\[30\]](#page-9-0)) localization emerge from Feynman diagrams in the *pp* channel that cause a reduction of the optical conductivity at $\omega = 0$, even though no gap is present in the one-particle spectrum. Such an effect and its increase with decreasing temperature can be seen indeed in Fig. [6,](#page-4-0) where we have restricted ourselves to these *pp* diagrams [\[31,32\]](#page-9-0). Both the bare bubble term σ_0 and the total optical conductivity σ are shown at real frequencies for two values of the interaction, $U = 0.5$ and $U = 0.9$, for which the oneparticle DMFT and DF spectrum (which is essentially the same) is metallic. At $U = 0.5$, the bubble conductivity shows a typical Drude-like peak with maximum conductivity at $\omega =$ 0, however, with a huge broadening because of the disorder scattering. In contrast at $U = 0.9$, the system is close to the metal-to-insulator transition and therefore there is reduced optical weight at small frequencies in the bubble term due to

FIG. 4. Imaginary part (above) and real part (below) of the self-energy at $U = 0.5$ (left) and $U = 1.5$ (right) at half filling and $T = 0.06$, as resulting from DMFT (Σ_{DMFT}) and from the parquet DF approach (Σ_{DF}). Right inset: Brillouin zone with the Fermi surface at half filling (black line). The red crosses on the 6 × 6 grid of **k** points denote the **k** points for which self-energies are shown in the main panel.

the forming gap in the spectral function that can be seen in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) For both interaction values, the vertex corrections from the *pp* ladder yield a negative contribution to the conductivity for small frequencies, an effect that increases with decreasing temperature. This is precisely the kind of physics expected from weak localization corrections. We can hence conclude that we are in a parameter regime where conventional *pp* diagrams yield weak localization corrections.

If we now employ the parquet equations in Fig. [7](#page-5-0) (top) instead of the mere *pp* diagrams, the behavior is qualitatively

FIG. 5. Imaginary part of the dual self-energy at $U = 0.5$ (left) and $U = 1.5$ (right) at $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, 0)$ resulting from the full parquet DF approach (blue), a $ph + ph$ - (black dashed) and a pp -ladder (red) approximation for the half-filled system at $T = 0.06$. The *ph* ladder results also containing the \overline{ph} contributions provide a good approximation to the dual self-energy as obtained from the full parquet calculation.

very similar to $U = 0.5$. Quantitatively, the vertex corrections are, however, strongly enhanced: Now much of the optical spectral weight at $\omega = 0$ is shifted toward higher frequencies and a peak at around $\omega = 0.4$ is forming. With lower temperature, the bubble conductivity itself is slightly reduced by the stronger nonlocal corrections to the Green's function and the effect of the vertex corrections is further enhanced.

FIG. 6. Bubble term σ_0 and total optical conductivity σ at $U =$ 0.5 (above) and $U = 0.9$ (below) as resulting from the *pp*-ladder approximation at $T = 0.1$, $T = 0.06$, and $T = 0.02$ for a half-filled system. The effect of weak localization is clearly visible when employing only the *pp* ladder.

FIG. 7. Top: Optical conductivity for real frequencies (main panel) and the corresponding current-current correlation function in Matsubara frequencies (insets) for the half-filled FKM calculated now from parquet DF at $U = 0.5$, $T = 0.1$, and $T = 0.06$. Shown are again the bare bubble (σ_0) and the full conductivity (σ), including vertex corrections. Insets: The respective current-current correlation function χ_{jj}^0 and χ_{jj} . Bottom: Corresponding vertex correction to the current-current correlation function χ_{ij} separated into *ph*, *ph*, and *pp* contributions. Additionally, the contribution of a *ph* and a *pp* ladder are shown. As can be seen, the full parquet calculation shows even bigger effects compared to Fig. [6.](#page-4-0) These do not originate from the *pp* channel, but the *ph* channel.

However, the physical origin is a completely different one. This can be seen in Fig. 7 (lower panel) where we analyze from which channel (*ph*, *ph*, and *pp*) the vertex corrections in the parquet equation emerge. That is, to obtain Fig. 7 (lower panel), the contributions of reducible vertices Φ_{ph} , $\Phi_{\overline{ph}}$, or Φ_{pp} to the current-current correlation function have been calculated independently instead of the full vertex *F*. Inserting in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-2-0) instead of the *F* one of the summands, $\Phi_{ph/\overline{ph}/pp}$, we obtain the contributions from the respective channels: χ^{ph} , χ^{ph} , and χ^{pp} .

Apparently the \overline{ph} channel is the dominating one. Contributions from the *ph* and *pp* channels are rather small by contrast. In addition to these parquet results, results from a *ph* and *pp* ladder are also shown. Note that vertex corrections to the current-current correlation function in the *ph* ladder vanish by symmetry. The difference of these simple ladder diagrams to diagrams emerging from the corresponding Φ_r as calculated in parquet is the mixing of the channels, leading to a nonzero $\chi^{p\bar{h}}$ and explaining the differences visible at \bar{T} = 0.06. Overall, we can conclude that the vertex corrections mainly stem from *ph* contribution to the parquet equation, which in turn are essentially given by the *ph* ladder.

Figure 8 presents the same analysis but now for $U = 1.5$. Here the bubble term σ_0 of the optical conductivity is centered around $\omega \approx U$, which corresponds to the distance of the peaks of the two subbands in the spectral function shown in

FIG. 8. Same as Fig. 7, but for the insulating system at $U = 1.5$.

Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) For low temperature, at $\omega = 0$ the bubble conductivity vanishes because of the one-particle gap. It appears that in Fig. 8 vertex corrections shift the optical weight toward lower frequencies and that there is a finite weight at $\omega = 0$ due to vertex corrections. But one has to keep in mind prospective uncertainties of the maximum entropy analytic continuation. Regarding the different contributions to the vertex corrections of χ_{jj} , again *ph*-reducible diagrams appearing in $\Phi_{\overline{ph}}$ are prevalent.

To better understand where the large vertex corrections from the *ph* channel come from, we analyze χ_{jj} as calculated from $\Phi_{\overline{ph}}$ further, specifically its contributions from different wave vectors $\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$. These contributions for different momenta are shown in Fig. 9. Clearly, the largest contribution stems from $\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k} = (\pi, \pi)$. At half filling, this is the wave

FIG. 9. Vertex corrections to the current-current correlation function χ_{jj} resulting from the \overline{ph} channel (black) for representative momentum differences $\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$ for the half-filled FKM at $U = 0.5$ and $T = 0.06$.

FIG. 10. Charge susceptibility χ_d at $\omega_n = 0$ for a half-filled FKM at $U = 0.5$ and $T = 0.06$, both in momentum space (left) and real space (right). In momentum space, it can be seen that the dominating value lies at $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$, which corresponds to the formation of the checkerboard structure which is also visible in real space.

vector associated with CDW fluctuations, corresponding to a dominance of the charge susceptibility $\chi_d(\mathbf{q})$ at (π, π) .

This is illustrated further in Fig. 10, which shows $\chi_d(\mathbf{q})$ for the metallic system at $U = 0.5$ and $T = 0.06$ along with its Fourier transform, the charge susceptibility $\chi_d(\mathbf{R})$ in real space. A dominance of CDW fluctuations at (π, π) corresponds to a checkerboard structure in real space.

All in all, we observe vertex corrections to the optical conductivity coming predominately from $\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k} = (\pi, \pi)$ in the *ph* channel. These contributions to optical conductivity can be interpreted as polaritons, coined π -tons in Ref. [\[33\]](#page-9-0), where also results for the FKM at $T = 0.07$ (in units of $D \equiv 4t \equiv 1$) have been presented.

B. *c***-doped system**

1. DMFT self-energy

As CDW fluctuations are strongest for the FKM at half filling, one might expect that *ph* contributions are less relevant for the doped system and that the conventional weak localization picture with vertex corrections in the *pp* channel reappears for the doped FKM. Hence, we also present numerical results for the FKM on the square lattice at an occupation of the mobile (*c*) electrons $n_c = 0.15$, and of localized *f* electrons $n_f = 0.5$. To this end, we fix the chemical potential μ to the value at which the DMFT solution yields the doping $n_c = 0.15$. That is, μ is held constant throughout the parquet DF calculation, and therefore the occupation as resulting from the DF approach is changed compared to the corresponding DMFT solution. However, as we will see below, this change in occupation is minute.

In Fig. 11, we show again the DMFT spectral function $A(v)$ for the *c*-doped system at $U = 0.5$, $U = 0.9$, and $U = 1.5$. The behavior of the spectrum splitting into two subbands with increasing interaction strength is the same as at half filling, but at this low occupation, the Fermi level always lies within the lower subband and therefore the system retains its metallic character at these parameters.

2. DF self-energy

The results of the parquet DF approach at *c* doping are compared to the DMFT calculation for the self-energy in

FIG. 11. DMFT spectral function $A(v)$ of the FKM at filling $n_c = 0.15$ and $n_f = 0.5$. For all three *U* shown, the system remains metallic, even when the spectrum is split into two subbands at $U \geq 1$.

Fig. 12 for three different momenta **k**. The (0,0) point lies, of course, well inside the Fermi surface at the filling of $n_c =$ 0.15, **k** = $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3})$ lies very close to it, and **k** = $(\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3})$ lies outside of the Fermi surface. The nonlocal corrections are much smaller than they are at half filling (Fig. [4\)](#page-4-0), which can be expected as nonlocal correlations show the largest effect

FIG. 12. Imaginary part (left) and real part (right) of the selfenergy at $U = 0.5$ and $T = 0.06$ obtained in DMFT (Σ_{DMFT}) and parquet DF (Σ_{DF}) for the FKM at filling $n_c = 0.15$, $n_f = 0.5$. The nonlocal corrections resulting from the parquet DF approach are smaller at this filling compared to the half-filled case in Fig. [4.](#page-4-0) Right inset: Brillouin zone with the Fermi surface in DMFT for the *c*-doped system (black line).

FIG. 13. Imaginary part of the dual self-energy at $U = 0.5$, $T =$ 0.06 at **k** = $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3})$ as resulting from the full parquet DF approach, a $ph + \overline{ph}$ - and *pp*-ladder approximation for an occupation $n_c = 0.15$. As in the half-filled case (Fig. [5\)](#page-4-0), the *ph* ladder approximates the full parquet results very well, indicating the dominance of the *ph* channel also in the doped FKM.

 ν_n

at half filling. The resulting occupation in the DF calculation is $n_c = 0.1542$, only slightly different from the DMFT value $n_c = 0.15$ [\[34\]](#page-9-0).

As in the half-filled system, also in the *c*-doped system, the *ph* channel remains the dominating one. This can be seen in Fig. 13, where the dual self-energy from the full parquet calculation is compared to corresponding ladder approximations at **k** = $(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3})$. Again, the self-energy containing the *ph*and the *ph*-ladder approximates the parquet results very well, whereas the self-energy in the *pp* ladder shows a qualitatively different behavior.

3. Optical conductivity

As in the case of half filling, we again first study, in Fig. 14, the vertex corrections to the optical conductivity for the doped

FIG. 14. Bubble term σ_0 and total optical conductivity σ at $U =$ 0.5 (above) and $U = 0.9$ (below) as resulting from the *pp*-ladder approximation at $T = 0.1$, $T = 0.06$, and $T = 0.02$ for the *c*-doped FKM, $n_c = 0.15$. The effect of weak localization is clearly visible when employing only the *pp* ladder.

FIG. 15. Top: Optical conductivity for real frequency (main panel) and the corresponding current-current correlation function in Matsubara frequencies (insets) for the filling $n_c = 0.15$ at $U = 0.5$ and at $T = 0.1$ and $T = 0.06$, showing the bare bubble (σ_0) and the full conductivity (σ), including vertex corrections (in the insets χ^0_{jj} and χ_{ij} , respectively). Bottom: Corresponding vertex correction to the current-current correlation function χ_{jj} separated into *ph*, *ph*, and *pp* contributions. Also the contributions of a \overline{ph} and a *pp* ladder are shown. As can be seen, the full parquet calculation shows very different effects compared to Fig. 14.

FKM as obtained from only the *pp* ladder, which can be associated with weak localization corrections. At the *c*-electron occupation $n_c = 0.15$, the Drude-like peak in the bubble term σ_0 is accompanied by a small side peak corresponding to transitions from the Fermi level to the upper subband in the spectral function. Including the *pp*-ladder vertex corrections, optical spectral weight is reduced at and around $\omega = 0$, as to be expected from weak localization corrections.

The optical conductivity in the full parquet DF approach is shown in Fig. 15 at $U = 0.5$. As opposed to the results of the *pp*-ladder approximation and the results in the half-filled case (Fig. [7\)](#page-5-0), the vertex corrections in the full parquet calculation now lead to an increase of the optical conductivity at low frequencies; the small side peak is suppressed. The corresponding vertex contribution to the current-current correlation function shown in the lower panel of Fig. 15 is negative and therefore has opposite sign to the bubble shown in the inset in the upper panel.

The largest contribution stems, as for the half-filled system, again from the \overline{ph} channel. These are also at the origin of the negative current-current correlation function, whereas the contribution from the *pp* channel in parquet DF and the *pp* ladder yield positive vertex corrections to the current-current correlation function.

When we investigate in Fig. 16 the $\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$ -dependence of the vertex part of the current-current correlation function stemming from the *ph* channel, we determine that it is the $(\frac{\pi}{3}, 0)$ point that yields the largest contribution at filling $n_c = 0.15$. However, for the doped FKM, other contributions

FIG. 16. Vertex corrections to the current-current correlation function χ_{ij} resulting from the *ph* channel in the parquet DF calculation (black) for different representative $\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}$ for the doped FKM at doping $n_c = 0.15$, $n_f = 0.5$, interaction $U = 0.5$, and $T = 0.06$.

are only slightly smaller, so the current-current correlation obtained with the reducible vertex $\Phi_{\overline{ph}}$ does not show such a distinct connection to a single momentum as for the half-filled system (Fig. [9\)](#page-5-0).

The vector $\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k} = (\frac{\pi}{3}, 0)$ is also, for the doped FKM, the momentum where the static charge susceptibility χ_d is strongest in the doped system. This is visible in Fig. 17, where χ_d for the whole lattice in momentum and real space is shown at $T = 0.06$ and $U = 0.5$.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, effects of nonlocal correlations in addition to the local ones as described in DMFT have been analyzed. To this end, a full parquet DF approach was employed to obtain such nonlocal vertex corrections for the FKM. This goes beyond previous investigations using ladder approaches $[17–19]$. Our code can be found at GITHUB $[35]$ with implementation details given in Ref. [\[36\]](#page-9-0).

As expected, $ph + ph$ diagrams corresponding to CDW fluctuations are dominating in the FKM, and such a ladder approach already is a good approximation for the numerically very cumbersome parquet approach. However, the *ph* channel cannot directly couple to light, since the former has a momentum (π, π) at half filling and $(\pi/3, 0)$ for the doped case considered in this paper, whereas light transfers momentum $q = 0$ to the solid. One may expect weak localization corrections in the *pp* channel instead to play an important role for vertex

FIG. 17. Charge susceptibility χ_d at $\omega_n = 0$ for the *c*-doped FKM at $n_c = 0.15$, $U = 0.5$, $T = 0.06$, both in momentum space (left) and real space (right). The visible features with $\mathbf{q} = (\frac{\pi}{3}, 0)$ as the strongest point are not as distinctive as in the half-filled system (compare Fig. [10\)](#page-6-0). In real space, χ_d consists mostly of the on-site correlation of a *c* electron, as the amplitude decreases rapidly around the origin.

corrections to the conductivity. In this situation, a method unbiased in the choice of diagrams employed, such as the parquet approach, which takes all of the different fluctuations into account is necessary.

We find that the *pp* contributions, aka weak-localization corrections, are present if we only consider the *pp* ladder. However, if we include all scattering channels, other effects, originating from the *ph* channel, dominate. This *ph* channel can couple light to CDW fluctuations by exciting two electrons and two holes whose momentum differences match the dominating momentum of the CDW fluctuations, i.e., either (π, π) or $(\pi/3, 0)$ here. This is distinctively different from an exciton with a single particle-hole excitation and has been coined π -ton in Ref. [\[33\]](#page-9-0). That means that weak localization corrections in the *pp* channel are not the dominating vertex corrections to the optical conductivity, at least not in the parameter regime studied where the *ph* channel is more important. It becomes even more clear for the doped system, where the reduction of conductivity observed within a *pp*ladder calculation is inverted when applying the full parquet calculation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Vaclav Janiš, Gang Li, and Petra Pudleiner for valuable discussions and Josef Kaufmann for assistance with the analytic continuation. This work has been supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through Project No. P 30997. Calculations have been done in part on the Vienna Scientific Cluster (VSC).

- [1] L. M. Falicov and J. C. Kimball, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.22.997) **[22](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.22.997)**, [997](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.22.997) [\(1969\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.22.997).
- [2] J. Hubbard, [Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, Math. Phys. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1963.0204) **[276](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1963.0204)**, [238](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1963.0204) [\(1963\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1963.0204).
- [3] T. Kennedy and E. H. Lieb, [Physica A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(86)90188-3) **[138](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(86)90188-3)**, [320](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(86)90188-3) [\(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(86)90188-3).
- [4] U. Brandt and R. Schmidt, [Z. Phys. B Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01312577) **[63](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01312577)**, [45](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01312577) [\(1986\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01312577).
- [5] W. Metzner and D. Vollhardt, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324) **[62](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324)**, [324](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324) [\(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.324).
- [6] A. Georges and G. Kotliar, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.6479) **[45](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.6479)**, [6479](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.6479) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.6479).
- [7] V. Janiš, [Z. Phys. B Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01309423) **[83](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01309423)**, [227](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01309423) [\(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01309423).
- [8] J. K. Freericks and V. Zlatic,´ [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.1333) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.1333)**, [1333](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.1333) [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.1333).
- [9] For the extended FKM, cf. Refs. [\[37,38\]](#page-9-0).
- [10] [T. A. Maier, M. Jarrell, T. Prushke, and M. Hettler,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.1027) Rev. Mod. Phys. **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.1027)**, [1027](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.1027) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.1027).
- [11] A. Toschi, A. A. Katanin, and K. Held, [Phys Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.045118) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.045118)**, [045118](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.045118) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.045118).
- [12] A. A. Katanin, A. Toschi, and K. Held, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.075104) **[80](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.075104)**, [075104](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.075104) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.075104).
- [13] H. Kusunose, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.054713) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.054713)**, [054713](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.054713) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.75.054713).
- [14] [A. N. Rubtsov, M. I. Katsnelson, and A. I. Lichtenstein,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.033101) *Phys.* Rev. B **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.033101)**, [033101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.033101) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.033101).
- [15] V. Janiš, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.115115) **[64](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.115115)**, [115115](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.115115) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.115115).
- [16] G. Rohringer, H. Hafermann, A. Toschi, A. A. Katanin, A. E. Antipov, M. I. Katsnelson, A. I. Lichtenstein, A. N. Rubtsov, and K. Held, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.025003) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.025003)**, [025003](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.025003) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.90.025003).
- [17] A. E. Antipov, E. Gull, and S. Kirchner, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.226401) **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.226401)**, [226401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.226401) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.226401).
- [18] T. Ribic, G. Rohringer, and K. Held, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.195105) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.195105)**, [195105](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.195105) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.195105).
- [19] S.-X. Yang, P. Haase, H. Terletska, Z. Y. Meng, T. Pruschke, J. Moreno, and M. Jarrell, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.195116) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.195116)**, [195116](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.195116) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.195116).
- [20] B. L. Altshuler and A. G. Aronov, in *Electron-Electron Interaction in Disordered Conductors*, edited by A. I. Efros and M. Pollak (Elsevier Science Publisher, North Holland, 1985).
- [21] [A. E. Antipov, Y. Javanmard, P. Ribeiro, and S. Kirchner,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.146601) *Phys.* Rev. Lett. **[117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.146601)**, [146601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.146601) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.146601).
- [22] M. Žonda and M. Thoss, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.155157) **[99](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.155157)**, [155157](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.155157) [\(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.155157).
- [23] A diagram is two-particle reducible if it can be separated into two diagrams by cutting two Green's function lines.
- [24] A. Kauch, F. Hörbinger, G. Li, and K. Held, $arXiv:1901.09743$.
- [25] G. Rohringer, A. Valli, and A. Toschi, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.125114) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.125114)**, [125114](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.125114) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.125114).
- [26] Note, that in contrast to Refs. [14,39] but in agreement with, e.g., Ref. [18], we use the DF self-energy directly as the physical self-energy without transformation formula between both self-energies. This is because the transformation formula only holds if diagrams from higher order vertices are included [40]. For the same reason, we directly use the full impurity vertex, without using the same transformation factors as for the self-energy to arrive the DF interaction, cf. Ref. [39]. In our calculations, the DF self-energy is rather small anyhow so

these transformation formulas become just the identity up to very small corrections.

- [27] D. Geffroy, J. Kaufmann, A. Hariki, P. Gunacker, A. Hausoel, and J. Kuneš, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.127601) **[122](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.127601)**, [127601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.127601) [\(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.127601).
- [28] G. V. Astretsov, G. Rohringer, and A. N. Rubtsov, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.075109)* **[101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.075109)**, [075109](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.075109) [\(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.101.075109).
- [29] C. J. Eckhardt, C. Honerkamp, K. Held, and A. Kauch, [arXiv:1912.07469.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1912.07469)
- [30] E. Abrahams, P. W. Anderson, D. C. Licciardello, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.42.673) **[42](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.42.673)**, [673](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.42.673) [\(1979\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.42.673).
- [31] The results presented here are calculated in a *pp*-ladder approximation, using Eq. (16) to calculate the full dual vertex and without updating the propagator of the real electrons to emphasize the diagrammatics of the *pp* ladder without corrections in the propagator.
- [32] For similar calculations of vertex corrections in disordered systems, cf. Refs. [41,42].
- [33] A. Kauch, P. Pudleiner, K. Astleithner, P. Thunström, T. Ribic, and K. Held, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.047401) **[124](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.047401)**, [047401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.047401) [\(2020\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.047401).
- [34] The change in the occupancy of the *c* electrons is also reflected in the offset in the real part of the DF self-energy in Fig. [12](#page-6-0) that differs from the offset in DMFT.
- [35] <https://github.com/adepttin/fk-fga-> (accessed 5 December 2019).
- [36] K. Astleithner, Optical conductivity in the falicov-kimball model: A dual fermion perspective, Master's thesis, Vienna University of Technology, 2019.
- [37] R. Lemański, K. J. Kapcia, and S. Robaszkiewicz, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.205102)* **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.205102)**, [205102](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.205102) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.205102).
- [38] K. J. Kapcia, R. Lemański, and S. Robaszkiewicz, *[Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.245143)* **[99](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.245143)**, [245143](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.245143) [\(2019\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.245143).
- [39] A. N. Rubtsov, M. I. Katsnelson, A. I. Lichtenstein, and A. Georges, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.045133) **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.045133)**, [045133](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.045133) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.045133).
- [40] A. A. Katanin, [J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/46/4/045002) **[46](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/46/4/045002)**, [045002](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/46/4/045002) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/46/4/045002).
- [41] V. Janiš and V. Pokorný, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.165103) **[81](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.165103)**, [165103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.165103) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.165103).
- [42] V. Pokorny and V. Janis, [J. Phys. Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/25/17/175502) **[25](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/25/17/175502)**, [175502](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/25/17/175502) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/25/17/175502).