Potential coexistence of exciton and fermion-pair condensations

LeeAnn M. Sager, Shiva Safaei, and David A. Mazziotti*

Department of Chemistry and The James Franck Institute, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

(Received 13 July 2019; revised manuscript received 22 January 2020; accepted 29 January 2020; published 18 February 2020)

An extensive theoretical and experimental investigation has been conducted on fermion-pair condensation and exciton condensation as distinct classes of Bose-Einstein-like condensation. In this Rapid Communication, the existence of a fermion-exciton condensate—a single quantum state in which the characters of both fermion-pair and exciton condensates coexist—is established computationally in the low-particle-number (*N*) limit and theoretically in the large-*N* thermodynamic limit. The trade-off between the fermion-pair and exciton condensate is shown to be elliptic in nature. The possibility that the properties of fermion-exciton condensates is discussed, and future experimental and computational exploration of this class of condensate, which may potentially be realizable in a bilayer of superconductors, is anticipated.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.101.081107

Introduction. Ample experimental and theoretical investigations have centered around the condensation of fermion pairs [1–4] and excitons [5–11]. Fermion-pair condensates the most familiar of which include the class of Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) superconductors [1]-occur when particle-particle pairs condense into a single quantum state to create a superfluid. For condensates of Cooper (electron) pairs, the superfluidity of the electrons causes the material through which they flow to be both a perfect conductor and a perfect diamagnet [3]. Similarly, exciton condensates involve the condensation of particle-hole pairs (excitons) into a single quantum state to create a superfluid associated with the nondissipative transfer of energy [7,12]. Exciton condensates have been experimentally observed in optical traps with polaritons [13-16] and the electronic double layers of semiconductors [5,6,17–19] and graphene [10,20,21].

In order to combine the frictionless transfer of electrons of fermion-pair condensates and the frictionless transfer of energy of exciton-pair condensates, it may be beneficial to explore a system composed of both fermion-pair and excitonic condensations. Both condensates are known to exist in systems designed to use exciton condensates to mediate the creation of Cooper pairs at higher temperatures [22,23]. However, this coexistence of fermion-pair and excitonic condensation occurs in two adjacent systems that interact with one another (such as a superconducting ring deposited around a semiconductor microcavity [23]) instead of existing in a joint fermion-exciton condensate state. As such, the properties of each condensate exist separately from one another instead of creating a system with the combined properties of both. As fermion-pair condensation and exciton condensation are known to exist in superconductors and bilayer systems, respectively, a more promising prospective avenue for obtaining

this coexistence may be in bilayer systems constructed from superconducting layers.

In this Rapid Communication, we address the possible coexistence of a fermion-exciton condensate that contains both fermion-pair condensation and exciton condensation in a single quantum state (see Fig. 1). To this end, we use the theoretical signatures of fermion-pair condensation—independently discovered by Yang [24] and Sasaki [25]—and exciton condensation—derived by Garrod and Rosina [8,26]—to explore the fermion-pair and exciton character of few-particle systems. Furthermore, we prove that a large class of fermion-exciton condensate wave functions can be constructed by entangling any fermion-pair condensate wave function with any exciton-condensate wave function, from which we establish the dual condensate's existence in the large-*N* thermodynamic limit.

Theory. Bosons are able to condense into a single, lowestenergy orbital. A signature of this so-called Bose-Einstein condensation is a large eigenvalue of the one-boson reduced density matrix [27] with elements given by

$${}^{1}D_{j}^{i} = \langle \Psi | \hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{j} | \Psi \rangle, \qquad (1)$$

where $|\Psi\rangle$ is defined to be a *N*-boson wave function with *i*, *j* corresponding to one-boson orbitals in a finite basis set of rank *r* and with \hat{b}^{\dagger} and \hat{b} being bosonic creation and annihilation operators, respectively.

Fermions, however, must obey the Pauli exclusion principle. Hence, the occupation of a given spin orbital must be either zero or one, and the one-fermion reduced density matrix (1-RDM) must have eigenvalues bounded above by one. For fermion condensation to occur, pairs of fermions (creating a bosonic state) must condense into a single twoelectron function [24,25,28,29]; this two-electron analog to the one-electron orbital is referred to as a geminal [30,31]. The signature of fermion condensation is hence related to the two-fermion reduced density matrix (2-RDM) with elements

^{*}damazz@uchicago.edu



FIG. 1. A figure demonstrating the elliptic trade-off between the signatures of fermion-pair condensation λ_D and exciton condensation λ_G is shown.

given by

$${}^{2}D_{k,l}^{i,j} = \langle \Psi | \hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{k} \hat{a}_{l} | \Psi \rangle, \qquad (2)$$

where $|\Psi\rangle$ is defined to be a *N*-fermion wave function with *i*, *j*, *k*, *l* corresponding to one-fermion orbitals in a finite basis set of rank *r* and with \hat{a}^{\dagger} and \hat{a} being fermionic creation and annihilation operators, respectively. In fact, Yang [24] and Sasaki [25] have independently demonstrated that a large eigenvalue of the 2-RDM (above the bound of one from the Pauli exclusion principle) is a signature of fermion-pair condensation. Additionally, Sasaki [25] has proven that the eigenvalues of the 2-RDM are bounded by *N* for systems of 2*N* or 2*N* + 1 fermions in the limit of strong correlation.

Analogous to fermion-fermion condensation into a single particle-particle function, exciton condensation is the condensation of particle-hole pairs (excitons) into a single particle-hole function. By comparison, one may hence expect a signature of exciton condensation to be a large eigenvalue in the particle-hole RDM [8,26,32] with elements given by

$${}^{2}G_{k,l}^{i,j} = \langle \Psi | \hat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{j} \hat{a}_{l}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{k} | \Psi \rangle, \qquad (3)$$

similar to the large eigenvalue of the fermionic 2-RDM for fermion-pair condensation. However, there are two large eigenvalues of the particle-hole RDM with one corresponding to a ground-state-to-ground-state projection, not excitonic condensation [26]. To eliminate the extraneous large eigenvalue, we construct a modified particle-hole matrix with the ground-state resolution removed with elements

$${}^{2}\tilde{G}_{k,l}^{i,j} = {}^{2}\!G_{k,l}^{i,j} - {}^{1}\!D_{k}^{i\,1}D_{l}^{j},\tag{4}$$

which we denote as the ${}^{2}\tilde{G}$ matrix. While in the noninteracting limit the eigenvalues of the ${}^{2}\tilde{G}$ matrix are zero or one, Garrod and Rosina have shown that the largest eigenvalue of the ${}^{2}\tilde{G}$ matrix is bounded by $\frac{N}{2}$ for an *N*-electron system in the limit of strong correlation [26]. This bound also describes the maximum number of excitons in a condensate.

Results: Unconstrained calculations. In order to determine whether there is possible coexistence of exciton character and fermion-pair character, general *N*-fermion wave functions in r = 2N orbitals were constructed, and the coefficients of the wave functions were then optimized with respect to the signatures of fermion-pair condensation (λ_D) and exciton condensation (λ_G). Specifically, multiobjective optimization



FIG. 2. Plots showing scans of λ_D vs λ_G are shown for unconstrained optimizations with a characteristic elliptical fit for the (a) N = 3 and (b) N = 4 cases.

was performed on $|\Psi\rangle$ with respect to a variable λ_{DG} , which depends on the largest eigenvalues of the ${}^2\tilde{G}$ matrix (λ_G) and the 2D matrix (λ_D) according to

$$\lambda_{DG} = w_G \left(\lambda_G - \lambda_G^o \right)^2 - (1 - w_G) \lambda_D, \tag{5}$$

where w_G describes the weight given to the optimization of the largest eigenvalue of ${}^2\tilde{G}$ to the specified eigenvalue provided (λ_G^o) and where λ_D is left unconstrained. This optimization was conducted through use of a sequential quadratic programming (SQP) algorithm [33,34] with gradients computed by second-order centered finite differences [35].

To visualize the entirety of λ_D vs λ_G space, we systematically varied the weight (w_G) and the specified eigenvalue of ${}^2\tilde{G}$ (λ_G^o) . These visualizations for three and four electrons (N = 3 and N = 4) can be seen in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). The λ_D vs λ_G space for each case was then fit with a characteristic ellipse that defines the maximum λ_D for a given λ_G and whose equation is given by

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_D - \gamma_D^{\min}}{\gamma_D^{\max} - \gamma_D^{\min}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_G - \gamma_G^{\min}}{\gamma_G^{\max} - \gamma_G^{\min}}\right)^2 = 1, \quad (6)$$

where the maximum eigenvalues of the ²*D* and ²*G̃* matrices are bounded by $\lambda_D \in [\gamma_D^{\min}, \gamma_D^{\max}]$ and $\lambda_G \in [\gamma_G^{\min}, \gamma_G^{\max}]$, respectively, and where these maxima (γ^{\max}) and minima

 (γ^{\min}) were obtained from the previously described scan over λ_D vs λ_G space. Such an elliptical nature of the boundary of the convex set of 2-RDMs when projected onto two dimensions has been previously observed in the context of quantum phase transitions [36–38].

Figure 2(a) shows that for the case of three electrons in six orbitals (N = 3, r = 6), the eigenvalues of the ${}^{2}D$ matrix lie in the range $\lambda_{D} \in [0.5, 1]$, and the eigenvalues of the ${}^{2}\tilde{G}$ matrix lie in the range $\lambda_{G} \in [1, 1.5]$. As explained in the Theory section, strong fermion-pair correlation is only seen when λ_{D} exceeds one; hence, for the N = 3 case, fermion-pair condensation is not observed. However, exciton condensation seen when λ_{G} exceeds one—can be obtained for this system. In fact, maximum exciton condensation ($\lambda_{G} = \frac{3}{2} = 1.5$) can be achieved. Thus, while exciton and fermion-pair condensation cannot coexist for the N = 3 case (as fermion-pair condensation is not achievable), this small system can be used to further explore the properties of exciton condensation in future works.

Figure 2(b) shows that for the case of four electrons in eight orbitals (N = 4, r = 8), the eigenvalues of the ${}^{2}D$ matrix lie in the range $\lambda_{D} \in [0.5, 1.5]$, and the eigenvalues of the ${}^{2}\tilde{G}$ matrix lie in the range $\lambda_{G} \in [0.7, 2]$. Therefore, both excitonic and fermion-pair condensation can be observed as both λ_{G} and λ_{D} exceed one for certain N = 4 calculations. Interestingly, there is indeed a region in λ_{D} vs λ_{G} space where both eigenvalues surpass one, demonstrating the simultaneous existence of exciton and fermion-pair condensations. However, as is apparent from the elliptical nature of the fit, there is a trade-off between the ability of the model system to exhibit high exciton character (a large λ_{G}) and high fermion-pair character (a large λ_{D}). This compromise between the two behaviors is shown schematically in Fig. 1 and can be rationalized through analysis of the pairing behavior of the *r* orbitals shown in Fig. 3.

Since excitons are particle-hole pairs and fermion pairs are particle-particle pairs, the existence of an excitonic relationship between orbitals should preclude a fermionic relationship between the same orbitals and vice versa. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) present the fermion-paired orbitals (red) and the exciton-paired orbitals (blue), respectively, for an unconstrained N = 4 calculation which demonstrated simultaneous fermion-pair and excitonic condensation $(\lambda_G = 1.52, \lambda_D = 1.22)$. Note that the darker the shade of each color, the greater the extent of particle-particle (red) or particle-hole (blue) pairing between the corresponding orbitals in the matrix plots. As can be seen from Fig. 3(a), the orbital pairs with the largest particle-particle character are $\{1,8\}, \{3,4\}, \text{ and } \{5,6\}, \text{ and as can be seen from Fig. 3(b), the}$ orbital pairs with the largest particle-hole character are $\{1,3\}$, $\{2,7\}, \{3,6\}, \text{ and } \{4,5\}.$ As expected, there is no overlap between strong fermion-pair particle-particle orbital pairing and strong exciton particle-hole orbital pairing. These figures thereby confirm the apparent trade-off between exciton and fermion-pair character explicitly given by Eq. (6) and observed in Fig. 2(b). Note that despite the trade-off, neither the excitonic nor fermion-pair character is trivial. Both are delocalized across almost every pair of orbitals as can be seen by the scarcity of white squares in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). As such, the coexistence of fermion-pair and excitonic character seems to be enmeshed in a significant manner.



FIG. 3. Visualizations of the (a) particle-particle pairs (red) and the (b) particle-hole pairs (blue) for optimizations of an N = 4 calculation demonstrating both exciton and fermion-pair condensation $(\lambda_G = 1.52, \lambda_D = 1.22)$ are shown. Note that the darker the shade of each color, the greater the extent of particle-particle/particlehole pairing between orbitals. The units of pair character are dimensionless.

Large-N thermodynamic limit. To explore fermion-exciton condensation behavior in the thermodynamic limit $(N \rightarrow \infty)$, we construct a general class of fermion-exciton-condensate wave functions $|\Psi_{\text{FEC}}\rangle$. We first introduce model Hamiltonians known to exhibit fermion-pair condensation and exciton condensation separately—the extreme antisymmetrized geminal powers (AGP) Hamiltonian (\hat{H}_A) [28,39,40] and the Lipkin Hamiltonian (\hat{H}_L) [41–45], respectively. As the extreme AGP model demonstrates maximal fermion condensation [40], there exists an eigenfunction of \hat{H}_A — $|\Psi_A\rangle$ —whose largest eigenvalue of the particle-particle RDM (λ_D) approaches the maximal limiting value

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{d}\hat{d}^{\dagger}|\Psi_{A}\rangle\langle\Psi_{A}|) = \lambda_{D}^{(A)} = N \tag{7}$$

for systems of 2N or 2N + 1 fermions [25,40], where \hat{d} is the operator of the eigenstate of ²D corresponding to $\lambda_D^{(A)}$. Similarly, there exists an eigenfunction of the large-coupling \hat{H}_L — $|\Psi_L\rangle$ —whose largest eigenvalue of the modified particlehole RDM (λ_G) approaches the maximal limiting value

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{g}\hat{g}^{\dagger}|\Psi_L\rangle\langle\Psi_L|) = \lambda_G^{(L)} = \frac{N}{2}$$
(8)

for systems of N fermions [26] where \hat{g} is the operator of the eigenstate of ${}^{2}\tilde{G}$ corresponding to $\lambda_{G}^{(L)}$.

Let the model wave function of the fermion-exciton condensate be given by the entanglement of the fermion-paircondensate (AGP) and exciton-condensate (Lipkin) wave functions,

$$|\Psi_{\text{FEC}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 - |\Delta|}} (|\Psi_A\rangle - \text{sgn}(\Delta)|\Psi_L\rangle), \qquad (9)$$

in which $\Delta = 2\langle \Psi_A | \Psi_L \rangle$. For this wave function, a lower bound on the largest eigenvalue of the particle-particle RDM is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{d}\hat{d}^{\dagger}(|\Psi_{A}\rangle\langle\Psi_{A}| + |\Psi_{L}\rangle\langle\Psi_{L}| - 2\operatorname{sgn}(\Delta)|\Psi_{A}\rangle\langle\Psi_{L}|)] \leqslant \lambda_{D}^{(\text{FEC})}.$$
 (10)

From Eq. (7), the contribution to $\lambda_D^{(\text{FEC})}$ from $|\Psi_A\rangle\langle\Psi_A|$ would be $\lambda_D^{(A)}$; additionally, as the extreme AGP model does not support exciton condensation, the contribution of $|\Psi_L\rangle\langle\Psi_L|$ to the eigenvalue must satisfy the Pauli-like bounds of $0 \leq \gamma_D^{(L)} \leq 1$. Limits on the cross terms can be obtained by representing the positive semidefinite matrix $\hat{d}\hat{d}^{\dagger}$ in the $\{|\Psi_A\rangle, |\Psi_L\rangle\}$ basis,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \Psi_A | \hat{d} \hat{d}^{\dagger} | \Psi_A \rangle & \langle \Psi_A | \hat{d} \hat{d}^{\dagger} | \Psi_L \rangle \\ \langle \Psi_L | \hat{d} \hat{d}^{\dagger} | \Psi_A \rangle & \langle \Psi_L | \hat{d} \hat{d}^{\dagger} | \Psi_L \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_D^{(A)} & x \\ x & \gamma_D^{(L)} \end{pmatrix} \succcurlyeq 0.$$
(11)

As the determinant of this matrix must be greater than or equal to zero, the maximum contribution of the cross terms is

$$\lambda_D^{(A)} \gamma_D^{(L)} - x^2 \ge 0 \Rightarrow |x| \leqslant \sqrt{\lambda_D^{(A)} \gamma_D^{(L)}} \leqslant \sqrt{\lambda_D^{(A)}}.$$
 (12)

Inserting these values into Eq. (10) yields the lower bound

$$\lambda_D^{(\text{FEC})} \ge \frac{1}{2} \lambda_D^{(A)} - \sqrt{\lambda_D^{(A)}} = \frac{N}{2} - \sqrt{N}$$
(13)

for 2N or 2N + 1 fermions. Thus, as the number of fermions gets arbitrarily large $(N \to \infty)$, $\lambda_D^{(\text{FEC})}$ is simultaneously large.

Through an analogous derivation, it can be shown that a lower bound on the largest eigenvalue of the particle-hole RDM is given by

$$\lambda_G^{(\text{FEC})} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \lambda_G^{(L)} - \sqrt{\lambda_G^{(L)}} = \frac{N}{4} - \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}}$$
(14)

for a system of *N* fermions. Thus, as the number of fermions gets arbitrarily large $(N \rightarrow \infty)$, $\lambda_G^{(\text{FEC})}$ is simultaneously large. As an entanglement of the AGP and Lipkin wave functions demonstrates simultaneous large eigenvalues of 2D and ${}^2\tilde{G}$, $|\Psi_{\text{FEC}}\rangle$ does indeed represent a fermion-exciton condensate in this large-*N* thermodynamic limit. As superconducting systems exhibit fermion-pair condensation and as bilayer systems are known to exhibit exciton condensation, a possible experimental avenue for entangling the fermion-pair condensate $(|\Psi_A\rangle)$ and exciton condensate $(|\Psi_L\rangle)$ wave functions—and hence obtaining a fermion-exciton condensate—may be to construct a bilayer system composed of superconducting layers.

Discussion and conclusions. In this Rapid Communication, we have theoretically observed the coexistence of both fermion-pair and exciton condensation in a single quantum state: a fermion-exciton condensate. This concurrent character is not disparate; rather, the fermion-pair and excitonic characters are entwined in a highly nontrivial manner. Still, there does appear to be an inherent trade-off between fermionpair and excitonic character following an elliptic relationship, which precludes the simultaneous presence of maximum fermion-pair and maximum excitonic condensation. However, as the number of electrons (N) is increased, the lengths of the major and minor axes of the ovular fit increase, causing the compromise between characters to become less and less stark.

We have also shown that a large class of fermion-exciton condensates can be constructed by entangling the wave functions of traditional fermion-pair condensates with exciton condensates. Bounds on the large eigenvalues of their particleparticle and particle-hole RDMs establish the fermion-exciton condensates in the large-*N* thermodynamic limit. The entangled wave functions can be used for further theoretical and experimental explorations of properties.

A significant motivation for investigating fermion-exciton condensates is the possible hybridization of the properties of both fermion-pair condensates and exciton condensates. A material that combines the superconductive nature of fermionpair condensation [1,2] with the dissipationless transport of energy of excitonic condensation [5–7] would have obvious applications in energy transport and electronics. Now that the coexistence of fermion-pair and excitonic character in a fermion-exciton condensate has been computationally and theoretically established, further theoretical and experimental studies—possibly including studies on bilayer systems composed of superconductors—are needed. There are certainly many open questions regarding the formation, the properties, the applications, and the stability of fermion-exciton condensates that still need to be explored.

Acknowledgments. D.A.M. gratefully acknowledges the U.S. National Science Foundation Grant No. CHE-1565638 and the U.S. Army Research Office (ARO) Grant No. W911NF-16-1-0152.

 J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Theory of superconductivity, Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957).

^[2] J. M. Blatt, Electron pairs in the theory of superconductivity, Prog. Theor. Phys. 23, 447 (1960).

- [3] P. W. Anderson, Twenty-five years of high-temperature superconductivity—a personal review, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 449, 012001 (2013).
- [4] A. P. Drozdov, P. P. Kong, V. S. Minkov, S. P. Besedin, M. A. Kuzovnikov, S. Mozaffari, L. Balicas, F. F. Balakirev, D. E. Graf, V. B. Prakapenka, E. Greenberg, D. A. Knyazev, M. Tkacz, and M. I. Eremets, Superconductivity at 250 K in lanthanum hydride under high pressures, Nature (London) 569, 528 (2019).
- [5] M. Kellogg, J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Vanishing Hall Resistance at High Magnetic Field in a Double-Layer Two-Dimensional Electron System, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 036801 (2004).
- [6] E. Tutuc, M. Shayegan, and D. A. Huse, Counterflow Measurements in Strongly Correlated GaAs Hole Bilayers: Evidence for Electron-Hole Pairing, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 36802 (2004).
- [7] D. V. Fil and S. I. Shevchenko, Electron-hole superconductivity (review), Low Temp. Phys. 44, 867 (2018).
- [8] S. Safaei and D. A. Mazziotti, Quantum signature of exciton condensation, Phys. Rev. B 98, 045122 (2018).
- [9] A. Kogar, M. S. Rak, S. Vig, A. A. Husain, F. Flicker, Y. I. Joe, L. Venema, G. J. MacDougall, T. C. Chiang, E. Fradkin, J. van Wezel, and P. Abbamonte, Signatures of exciton condensation in a transition metal dichalcogenide, Science 358, 1314 (2017).
- [10] X. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. I. Halperin, and P. Kim, Quantum Hall drag of exciton condensate in graphene, Nat. Phys. 13, 746 (2017).
- [11] D. Varsano, S. Sorella, D. Sangalli, M. Barborini, S. Corni, E. Molinari, and M. Rontani, Carbon nanotubes as excitonic insulators, Nat. Commun. 8, 1461 (2017).
- [12] L. V. Keldysh, Coherent states of excitons, Phys.-Usp. 60, 1180 (2017).
- [13] J. Kasprzak, M. Richard, S. Kundermann, A. Baas, P. Jeambrun, J. M. J. Keeling, F. M. Marchetti, M. H. Szymanska, R. André, J. L. Staehli, V. Savona, P. B. Littlewood, B. Deveaud, and L. S. Dang, Bose-Einstein condensation of exciton polaritons, Nature (London) 443, 409 (2006).
- [14] T. Byrnes, N. Y. Kim, and Y. Yamamoto, Exciton-polariton condensates, Nat. Phys. 10, 803 (2014).
- [15] H. Deng, G. Weihs, C. Santori, J. Bloch, and Y. Yamamoto, Condensation of semiconductor microcavity exciton polaritons, Science 298, 199 (2002).
- [16] R. Balili, V. Hartwell, D. Snoke, L. Pfeiffer, and K. West, Bose-Einstein condensation of microcavity polaritons in a trap, Science **316**, 1007 (2007).
- [17] I. B. Spielman, J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Resonantly Enhanced Tunneling in a Double Layer Quantum Hall Ferromagnet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5808 (2000).
- [18] M. Kellogg, I. B. Spielman, J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Observation of Quantized Hall Drag in a Strongly Correlated Bilayer Electron System, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 126804 (2002).
- [19] D. Nandi, A. D. K. Finck, J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. Pfeiffer, and K. W. West, Exciton condensation and perfect Coulomb drag, Nature (London) 488, 481 (2012).
- [20] K. Lee, J. Xue, D. C. Dillen, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and E. Tutuc, Giant Frictional Drag in Double Bilayer Graphene Heterostructures, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 046803 (2016).

- [21] J. I. A. Li, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, J. Hone, A. Levchenko, and C. R. Dean, Negative Coulomb Drag in Double Bilayer Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 046802 (2016).
- [22] F. P. Laussy, T. Taylor, I. A. Shelykh, and A. V. Kavokin, Superconductivity with excitons and polaritons: Review and extension, J. Nanophotonics 6, 064502 (2012).
- [23] P. Skopelitis, E. D. Cherotchenko, A. V. Kavokin, and A. Posazhennikova, Interplay of Phonon and Exciton-Mediated Superconductivity in Hybrid Semiconductor-Superconductor Structures, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 107001 (2018).
- [24] C. N. Yang, Concept of off-diagonal long-range order and the quantum phases of liquid He and of superconductors, Rev. Mod. Phys. 34, 694 (1962).
- [25] F. Sasaki, Eigenvalues of fermion density matrices, Phys. Rev. 138, B1338 (1965).
- [26] C. Garrod and M. Rosina, Particle-hole matrix: Its connection with the symmetries and collective features of the ground state, J. Math. Phys. 10, 1855 (1969).
- [27] O. Penrose and L. Onsager, Bose-Einstein condensation and liquid helium, Phys. Rev. 104, 576 (1956).
- [28] A. J. Coleman, Structure of fermion density matrices, Rev. Mod. Phys. 35, 668 (1963).
- [29] A. Raeber and D. A. Mazziotti, Large eigenvalue of the cumulant part of the two-electron reduced density matrix as a measure of off-diagonal long-range order, Phys. Rev. A 92, 052502 (2015).
- [30] P. R. Surján, An introduction to the theory of geminals, in Correlation and Localization, Topics in Current Chemistry Vol. 203 (Springer, Berlin, 1999), pp. 63–88.
- [31] H. Shull, Natural spin orbital analysis of hydrogen molecule wave functions, J. Chem. Phys. 30, 1405 (1959).
- [32] W. Kohn and D. Sherrington, Two kinds of bosons and Bose condensates, Rev. Mod. Phys. 42, 1 (1970).
- [33] *Maple* (Maplesoft, Waterloo, Canada, 2019), https://www.maplesoft.com.
- [34] P. E. Gill, W. Murray, and M. A. Saunders, SNOPT: An SQP algorithm for large-scale constrained optimization, SIAM Rev. 47, 99 (2005).
- [35] B. Fornberg, Calculation of weights in finite difference formulas, SIAM Rev. **40**, 685 (1998).
- [36] C. A. Schwerdtfeger and D. A. Mazziotti, Convex-set description of quantum phase transitions in the transverse Ising model using reduced-density-matrix theory, J. Chem. Phys. 130, 224102 (2009).
- [37] G. Gidofalvi and D. A. Mazziotti, Computation of quantum phase transitions by reduced-density-matrix mechanics, Phys. Rev. A 74, 012501 (2006).
- [38] V. Zauner, D. Draxler, L. Vanderstraeten, J. Haegeman, and F. Verstraete, Symmetry breaking and the geometry of reduced density matrices, New J. Phys. 18, 113033 (2016).
- [39] R. W. Richardson, Exact eigenstates of the pairing-force Hamiltonian. II, J. Math. Phys. 6, 1034 (1965).
- [40] A. J. Coleman, Structure of fermion density matrices. II. Antisymmetrized geminal powers, J. Math. Phys. 6, 1425 (1965).
- [41] H. J. Lipkin, N. Meshkov, and A. J. Glick, Validity of manybody approximation methods for a solvable model: (I). Exact solutions and perturbation theory, Nucl. Phys. A 62, 188 (1965).

- [42] R. Pérez, M. C. Cambiaggio, and J. P. Vary, *t* expansion and the Lipkin model, Phys. Rev. C **37**, 2194 (1988).
- [43] D. A. Mazziotti, Contracted Schrödinger equation: Determining quantum energies and two-particle density matrices without wave functions, Phys. Rev. A 57, 4219 (1998).
- [44] J. Stein, Unitary flow of the bosonized large-N Lipkin model, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 26, 377 (2000).
- [45] D. A. Mazziotti, Exactness of wave functions from two-body exponential transformations in many-body quantum theory, Phys. Rev. A 69, 012507 (2004).