Signatures for spinons in the quantum spin liquid candidate Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈

Jonas Sonnenschein,^{1,2} Christian Balz,³ Ulrich Tutsch,⁴ Michael Lang,⁴ Hanjo Ryll,² Jose A. Rodriguez-Rivera,^{5,6} A. T. M. Nazmul Islam,² Bella Lake,^{2,7} and Johannes Reuther^{1,2}

¹Dahlem Center for Complex Quantum Systems and Institut für Theoretische Physik, Freie Universität Berlin,

Arnimallee 14, 14195 Berlin, Germany

²Helmholtz-Zentrum für Materialien und Energie, Hahn-Meitner-Platz 1, 14109 Berlin, Germany

³Neutron Scattering Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

⁴*Physikalisches Institut, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Max-von-Laue-Strasse 1, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany*

⁵NIST Center for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, USA

⁶Department of Materials Science, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

⁷Institut für Festkörperphysik, Technische Universität Berlin, 10623 Berlin, Germany

(Received 14 June 2019; revised manuscript received 5 November 2019; published 25 November 2019)

We present new experimental low-temperature heat capacity and detailed dynamical spin-structure factor data for the quantum spin-liquid candidate material Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈. The measured heat capacity shows an almost-perfect linear temperature dependence in the range 0.1 K $\leq T \leq$ 0.5 K, reminiscent of fermionic spinon degrees of freedom. The spin-structure factor exhibits two energy regimes of strong signal which display rather different but solely diffuse scattering features. We theoretically describe these findings by an effective spinon-hopping model which crucially relies on the existence of strong ferromagnetically coupled triangles in the system. Our spinon theory is shown to naturally reproduce the overall weight distribution of the measured spin-structure factor. Particularly, we argue that various different observed characteristic properties of the spin-structure factor and the heat capacity consistently indicate the existence of a spinon Fermi surface. A closer analysis of the heat capacity at the lowest accessible temperatures hints toward the presence of weak *f*-wave spinon-pairing terms inducing a small partial gap along the Fermi surface (except for discrete nodal Dirac points) and suggesting an overall Z₂ quantum spin-liquid scenario for Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.100.174428

I. INTRODUCTION

The hunt for experimental realizations of novel topological quantum states is one of the most thriving research themes in modern condensed-matter physics. Quantum spin liquids attract special interest since they combine various exotic phenomena which completely fall outside the traditional Landau paradigm of symmetry broken phases of matter [1-3]. Instead of the classical long-range order of more conventional magnets, quantum spin liquids exhibit topological order which cannot be described by any local order parameter [4]. Similarly, as a fundamental difference to the well-known spin waves (or magnons) of magnetically ordered states, quantum spin liquids harbor fractional spin excitations which carry anyonic quasiparticle statistics. Particularly, the fundamental spinful quasiparticles of a quantum spin liquid are so-called spinons which can be thought of as a fraction (i.e., half) of a conventional $\Delta S = 1$ spin-flip excitation [5–7].

The experimental and theoretical investigation of these phenomena, however, poses significant challenges. For example, due to its long-range entangled nature, there are currently no experimental techniques available which can directly identify topological order. Concerning the excitations of a quantum spin liquid, their fractional nature prohibits the creation of single spinons; however, neutron scattering at least allows their two-particle continuum to be probed which typically forms broad and diffuse patterns in the spin-structure factor. Such diffuse neutron scattering has indeed been observed in various promising spin-liquid candidate materials, such as the kagome system Herbertsmithite [7-9] [ZnCu₃(OH)₆Cl₂], the triangular magnet YbMgGaO₄ [10-12], and the Kitaev honeycomb material α -RuCl₃ [13–17] (even though the latter material is known to order at low temperatures [18]). Whether these measured responses are indeed signatures of spinons is not yet completely settled since it is well known that nonfractional phenomena such as multimagnon continua, spin-glass behavior, or chemical disorder effects may also give rise to broad excitation spectra [19-24]. As a further complication from the theory side, except for special coupling scenarios as realized in the exactly solvable Kitaev model [25], it is extremely challenging to calculate the spin excitation spectrum starting from a generic spin Hamiltonian. For this reason, it is often easier to theoretically investigate quantum spin liquids based on an effective model for its fractional excitations.

Apart from the information drawn from neutron experiments, thermodynamic properties can help unravel quantum spin-liquid behavior. According to current understanding, spinons may behave like chargeless fermions [26–28], i.e., similarly to electrons in a metal, they can lead to a heat capacity and thermal conductivity linear in temperature. Indeed, approximate linearity of these quantities has been observed in various spin-liquid candidate materials [29–31]. Furthermore, thermal Hall conductivity measurements have recently shown



FIG. 1. (a) Bilayer kagome lattice as realized in $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$. The differently colored bonds carry the interactions J_0 , J_{21} , J_{22} , J_{31} , and J_{32} as indicated in the figure, see also Table I. (b) Effective decorated honeycomb lattice arising from a projection of the ferromagnetically coupled triangles (green triangles labeled I and J) of the bilayer kagome system into one plane. Bonds are colored and labeled in the same way as in (a), except the antiferromagnetic (blue) bonds, which are not shown for reasons of clarity. Note that sites coupled by the vertical ferromagnetic interlayer couplings (red lines) almost coincide in their position after projection. We have, hence, increased their in-plane distance in this illustration for better visibility. Dark gray (light gray) dots denote sites in the lower (upper) plane. Dashed lines mark the boundaries of the unit cell and numbers label the sites within ferromagnetically coupled triangles. (c) Effective honeycomb lattice with hopping amplitudes t_{a1} , t_{s2} as they are used in the phenomenological model in Eqs. (5) and (12). In this illustration, each green point corresponds to a ferromagnetic triangle.

TABLE I. Exchange couplings of $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ as determined in Refs. [33,34]. All couplings are given in meV.

J_0	J_{21}	J_{22}	J_{31}	J_{32}
-0.08(4)	-0.76(5)	-0.27(3)	0.09(2)	0.11(3)

promising signatures of fractional edge states in the Kitaev candidate compound α -RuCl₃ [32].

In this paper, we address the aforementioned opportunities and challenges in identifying quantum spin liquids based on the compound $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ [33–38]. Particularly, we demonstrate that new heat capacity and single-crystal neutronscattering data in conjunction with an effective model for the low-energy excitations allows an interpretation in terms of emergent spinon degrees of freedom that is remarkably straightforward and consistent. In a previous publication by some of the authors, Ca10Cr7O28 has been shown to feature striking properties of a quantum spin liquid such as an absence of magnetic long-range order down to at least 19 mK, persistent spin dynamics at low temperatures, and a diffuse scattering signal in neutron experiments [33]. Furthermore, in contrast to other spin-liquid candidate systems, Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈ is characterized by a larger immunity to chemical disorder since site mixing is suppressed by distinctly different ionic radii. The strong quantum fluctuations in this compound can be explained by a special frustration mechanism arising due to interacting spin-1/2 magnetic moments from Cr^{5+} ions, arranged in a stacked bilayer kagome geometry, see Fig. 1. Based on neutron scattering in a magnetic field combined with a spin-wave analysis, a microscopic Heisenberg Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j$ has been identified which features five different interactions \mathcal{J}_{ij} on geometrically distinct bonds of the bilayer system denoted by J_0 , J_{21} , J_{22} , J_{31} , and J_{32} (see Table I for the coupling strengths found in Refs. [33,34]).

In contrast to the ideal antiferromagnetic kagome Heisenberg model discussed in the context of Herbertsmithite, the magnetic lattice of Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈ exhibits various peculiarities. Particularly, the "up" and "down" triangles of both kagome layers are all symmetry inequivalent, resulting in four differently coupled equilateral triangles carrying antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic interactions, J_{21} , J_{22} , J_{31} , and J_{32} , where the ferromagnetic couplings $(J_{21} \text{ and } J_{22})$ are even the largest ones. Ferromagnetic (green) and antiferromagnetic (blue) triangles alternate within each layer and the two layers are stacked so that the ferromagnetic triangles in the first layer lie on top of the antiferromagnetic triangles in the second layer and vice versa. The fifth coupling J_0 is also ferromagnetic and vertically connects sites in the two layers. While the two layers individually only exhibit relatively weak spin frustration (three spins on ferromagnetic triangles approximately combine into spins-3/2 living on an antiferromagnetic triangular lattice in each layer [36,37]) the interlayer interactions J_0 induce very strong frustration effects and are primarily responsible for the destruction of magnetic order. Despite the complexity of the system, numerical studies confirmed the nonmagnetic ground state of the proposed spin Hamiltonian [33,36–38] and reproduced the overall weight distribution of the static spin-structure factor [33,36,37]. Yet a coherent interpretation and explanation of the measured observables in terms of emergent spinon quasiparticles as will be presented below has not been developed so far.

The effective spinon model which we propose in this work relies on new experimental heat capacity and dynamical spin-structure factor data on Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈. The presented heat capacity data ranges down to lower temperatures as compared to our previous work (37 mK versus 330 mK in Ref. [33]). Interestingly, the newly resolved temperature regime shows a nearly perfect linear behavior over almost one order of magnitude in T, reminiscent of fermionic spinons. Our singlecrystal neutron-scattering data capture the dynamical spin structure with better energy resolution and, hence, shows various additional details which previously remained unresolved. We find that the excitation spectrum reveals two clearly separated diffuse bands of strong response where the one at lower energies exhibits a characteristic V shape in energy around the Γ point. The central assumption in the ensuing theoretical analysis amounts to attributing the absence of magnetic long-range order, the linear heat capacity, and the overall diffuse magnetic scattering to the existence of a quantum spinliquid ground state with emergent spinon excitations. Various known properties of $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ such as its approximate spin isotropy and the presence of strong ferromagnetic interactions allow us to put constraints on the low-energy dynamics of spinons. This naturally leads us to an effective spinon-hopping model which reproduces key experimental features even without a fine-tuning of parameters. Particularly, we find that the weight distribution in the two bands of scattering is rooted in the special pattern of ferromagnetic bonds. Similarly, the linear heat capacity and V-shaped spin-structure factor are explained by an (approximate) spinon Fermi surface where a small deviation from linearity at the lowest temperatures possibly indicates the formation of a partial gap along the Fermi level (except for discrete gapless Dirac points) due to weak spinon pairing. We, therefore, conclude that a gapless \mathbb{Z}_2 spin liquid is the most plausible scenario for Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we provide experimental details about the measurements performed (Sec. II A) and present the new low-temperature heat capacity and detailed dynamical spin-structure factor data (Sec. II B). The theoretical analysis in Sec. III starts by reviewing the general parton theory for quantum spin liquids (Sec. III A) before the microscopic spinon model for Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈ is addressed more specifically (Sec. III B). The overall implications of this model for the spin-structure factor are discussed in Sec. III C and a more detailed comparison with the experimental data can be found in Sec. III D. In Sec. III E we also include the heat capacity into our analysis which modifies the spinon model at low energies. The paper ends with a conclusion in Sec. IV.

II. MEASURED SPIN-STRUCTURE FACTOR AND HEAT CAPACITY

A. Experimental details

Single-crystal samples of $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ were prepared according to the procedure described in Ref. [35]. The heat capacity was measured on two different calorimeters. The first measurement was performed on a 0.93-mg single crystal in the temperature range 0.3–6.5 K using a quasiadiabatic relaxation method in combination with a 3He cryostat at the Core Lab for Quantum Materials, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin. The second measurement was performed between 37 mK and 1.7 K on a larger 11.1-mg single crystal at the Physikalisches Institut, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt using a home-made calorimeter operated in both a relaxation mode as well as a continuous heating mode.

Inelastic neutron scattering was measured on the MACS II spectrometer (NIST Center for Neutron Research, Gaithersburg, USA). Two coaligned rod-shaped single crystals with a total mass of 1.7 g and a mosaicity of less than 2° were used. The kagome bilayer [H, K, 0] plane was aligned with the horizontal scattering plane and the temperature was kept below 0.1 K throughout the measurement. The final energy was fixed to 2.5 meV for energy transfers $E \leq 0.25$ and 3.0 meV for energy transfers $E \geq 0.25$ meV. An empty sample holder measurement was used for background subtraction. The energy resolution broadening of our data increases with incident energy from $\Delta E = 0.1$ meV at E = 0.15 meV to $\Delta E = 0.3$ meV at 1.55 meV. The data are visualized using the DAVE software package [39].

B. Experimental results

We start discussing the heat capacity data of Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈ which is shown in Fig. 2(a) to 7 K. Note that the figure contains two independent data sets, as described above, where the one at higher temperatures has already been presented in Ref. [33]. In the temperature range 0-7 K the phonon contribution was calculated to be negligible and the total specific heat was found to be of magnetic origin [34]. The broad peak centered at $T \approx 3$ K indicates the onset of shortranged magnetic correlations. Furthermore, both data sets show a small kink at 500 mK. This feature is consistent with a crossover in the same temperature regime observed in muon spin resonance measurements where the spin fluctuation rate becomes constant and the system enters a low-temperature phase of persistent slow dynamics [33]. We, therefore, interpret this kink as crossover into a quantum spin-liquid phase. The two data sets differ somewhat in the sharpness of the kink at 500 mK, which indicates a small sample dependence of this feature (possibly due to differently thermalized samples). Most importantly, no lambdalike anomaly indicative of long-range magnetic order is observed down to the lowest measured temperature of 37 mK. Remarkably, the low-temperature data (and also the lowest data points of the high temperature measurement) exhibit an intriguing almost perfect linear behavior of the heat capacity below 500 mK. An enlarged view of the heat capacity in this temperature regime [Fig. 2(b)] reveals that the linear behavior persists down to approximately 100 mK and shows a slight decrease of the slope for lower temperatures. This linear dependence is reminiscent of fermionic spinon quasiparticles and will be discussed in more detail in Sec. III E. The heat capacity was fitted to the power-law expression $C_p = \gamma T^{\beta}$, where β is the power of temperature T and γ is the proportionality constant. Assuming a linear specific heat ($\beta = 1$) such as that shown by the black line in Fig. 2(b) we get the value



FIG. 2. (a) Measured heat capacity of $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ in an extended temperature range. Shown are two different data sets as described in Sec. II A. The black line indicates the approximate linear behavior at low temperatures. (b) Enlarged view of the low-temperature behavior of the measured heat capacity (relaxation/continuous heating method). Several fits are shown: Linear temperature dependence as obtained for an intact Fermi surface (black line), s-wave-pairing model with a **k**-independent gap $\Delta_s = 0.039$ meV (gray dashed line), and f-wave-pairing model with the gap function in Eq. (13) using $\Delta_f = 0.039$ meV (blue line). Inset: Heatcapacity data in a double-logarithmic plot. For comparison, the black line shows a $\sim T^2$ temperature dependence. (c) Low-energy spinon band structure for the f-wave-pairing model in Eq. (13) with $\Delta_f = 0.039$ meV. The energy regimes which lead to a linear and quadratic temperature dependence of the heat capacity are indicated.



FIG. 3. Inelastic neutron-scattering data of Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈. [(a)-(d)] Constant energy slices as a function of the momentum transfer in the kagome bilayer plane. The black (red) hexagons indicate the boundaries of the first (extended) Brillouin zone. The energy transfer is indicated in each plot. [(e) and (f)] Energy versus momentum slices along two high-symmetry directions within the kagome bilayer plane. The two momentum cuts are illustrated by the gray lines in (d). Note that the color scale is different in each subfigure. The sharp features appearing in red outside the color scale are phonons dispersing from nuclear Bragg peaks. Note that the constant energy slices in (a) and (b) were measured with a final energy of $E_f =$ 2.5 meV, which leads to an overall lower intensity compared to the constant energy slices in (c) and (d) measured with $E_f = 3$ meV. For the energy versus momentum slices in (e) and (f) all data were taken with $E_f = 3$ meV. Furthermore, the data in these two plots were collected by integrating the signal over ± 0.2 reciprocal lattice units in directions perpendicular to the respective cuts.

of $\gamma = 13-14$ J/mol K², if β is also fitted the best fit gives $\beta = 1.20(1)$ and $\gamma = 16-17$ J/mol K².

Next, we present our new single-crystal inelastic neutronscattering data which we display as selected cuts in momentum and energy space. While Figs. 3(a)-3(d) show the scattering signal for several fixed energies *E*, in Figs. 3(e)and 3(f) we display slices as a function of energy along two different momentum space directions [see Fig. 3(d) where these momentum cuts are indicated by the gray lines]. Two different contributions to the scattering cross section are immediately evident. The sharp intense features (appearing in red on our color scale) are acoustic phonons dispersing from strong nuclear Bragg peaks. Note that the phonon scattering illustrates the sharpness of the **q** resolution. The broad diffuse signal of intermediate intensity (green on our color scale) has a $|\mathbf{q}|$ dependence consistent with the Cr⁵⁺ magnetic form factor and hence measures the magnetic-scattering cross section of Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈. The latter is directly proportional to the dynamical spin-structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$, which we will investigate theoretically in Sec. III.

The spin excitation spectrum $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{q}, E)$ exhibits several interesting features, some of which were already described in Ref. [33]. First, the scattering signal is divided into two energy bands with a region of weak intensity separating them. The low-E band [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)] extends to energy transfers up to 0.6 meV while the high-E band [Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) spreads between 0.8 and 1.4 meV. The separation of the response into these bands is most evident from the energymomentum slices of Figs. 3(e) and 3(f). We find sizable scattering intensities down to the lowest accessible energies of 0.15 meV, which gives an upper bound for the system's spin gap and possibly indicates a gapless spin excitation spectrum. At these energies the intensities are centered around the Γ point as seen in Fig. 3(a). Increasing the energy within the low-E region, the response disperses from the Γ point to the boundary of the first Brillouin zone (black hexagons in Fig. 3). Within the experimental resolution this dispersion appears to be linear with energy which manifests in a V-shaped onset of intensity dispersing from the Γ point, see Figs. 3(e) and 3(f). The magnetic response in the upper band is found to be fundamentally different with intensity concentrated around the boundary of the extended Brillouin zone (which is identical to the fourth Brillouin zone illustrated by the red hexagons in Fig. 3). Within the upper band the intensity varies but the overall shape of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{q}, E)$ remains almost unchanged. Most importantly, neither of the two regimes shows sharp magnetic excitation modes as would be expected for conventional magnetically ordered states. In addition to the results in Fig. 3 we show the full neutron-scattering data for further fixed energies in the Appendix.

III. THEORETICAL MODELING

A. General parton description of quantum spin liquids

In this section, we develop and discuss a microscopic model for the magnetic excitations of $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ which qualitatively reproduces the measured data presented in the previous section and, hence, allows for additional insights into the fundamental quasiparticles of this compound. Particularly, due to strong experimental evidence, we base the following considerations on the assumption that $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ realizes a quantum spin-liquid ground state. We shall, therefore, interpret the linear heat capacity and the diffuse scattering in neutron experiments as signatures of spinons which represent the elementary *spinful* excitations in a quantum spin liquid [2,3,40,41]. Before we discuss $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ more specifically, we first briefly review some general properties of spinon excitations in quantum spin liquids and explain how these quasiparticles can be theoretically modeled.

Spinons can generally be viewed as half of a physical $\Delta S = 1$ spin flip and are therefore referred to as *fractional* quasiparticles. This property is most conveniently expressed in a parton picture where spinons are modeled by spinful fermionic [40,42–44] or bosonic [43,45–47] creation/annihilation operators $f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger}$, $f_{i\alpha}$, where *i* is the site index and $\alpha = \uparrow, \downarrow$ labels the spin degree of freedom. The fractional property of spinons implies that the physical spin operator **S**_{*i*} becomes a composite object when expressed in terms of spinon operators. This is described by the relation

$$S_i^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu} f_{i\beta}, \qquad (1)$$

where σ^{μ} with $\mu = x, y, z$ denotes the Pauli matrices. While this representation is valid for both bosonic and fermionic partons, we will use a fermionic description in the following. This is because the experimental neutron data points toward a gapless quantum spin liquid (or a very small gap size) which can only be described by fermionic spinons. Gapless bosonic spinons, in contrast, would inevitably condense, which would yield a magnetically ordered state. Fermionic spinons are also in agreement with the measured linear heat capacity. Note that Eq. (1) only represents a valid description of the spin operator in the subspace of single fermion occupancy on each site, i.e., for $n_i \equiv f_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} f_{i\uparrow} + f_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} f_{i\downarrow} = 1$. Most importantly, in a quantum spin liquid there cannot be long-range confining forces between spinons which would bind them into conventional $\Delta S = 1$ magnetic excitations (such as spin waves of a classical magnet). Hence, in a first simple approach, the dynamics of spinons may be described by a general model of free fermions [40,44]

$$H = \sum_{ij} \left(t_{ij} \sum_{\alpha} f_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} f_{j\alpha} + \Delta_{ij} f_{i\uparrow} f_{j\downarrow} + \text{H.c.} \right) + \mu \sum_{i} n_{i}. \quad (2)$$

Due to the experimental observation that $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ shows mostly isotropic magnetic response [33], we will restrict to spin-independent (i.e., spin isotropic) hopping t_{ij} and singlet pairing Δ_{ii} (however, also see the discussion in Sec. III D). On the level of such mean-field-like quadratic Hamiltonians, the exact constraint is usually replaced by the simpler averaged constraint $\langle n_i \rangle = 1$ which is equivalent to half-filled spinon bands. It is crucial to emphasize that Eq. (2) does not yet fully describe a quantum spin liquid. The key missing ingredient which turns a simple model of free fermions into a low-energy effective theory of a quantum spin liquid are gauge degrees of freedom, which correspond to phase fluctuations in the hopping and pairing amplitudes t_{ii} , Δ_{ii} giving rise to additional *spinless* excitations called visons or fluxes [48–53]. Furthermore, promoting the chemical potential μ to a fluctuating field (which acts as the time component of a gauge field) allows us to fulfill the constraint $n_i = 1$ exactly instead of just implementing it on average. Unfortunately, the coupling of the fermions to emergent gauge fields results in a complicated many-body theory which (at least in the generic case) cannot be easily treated. There are, however, experimental indications that the gauge excitations in $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ are gapped while the spinon excitations are gapless (see Sec. III E). In this so-called \mathbb{Z}_2 spin-liquid scenario [48–50] the gauge fluctuations take the simplest possible form and only amount to variations in the sign of the hopping/pairing amplitudes (as described by the replacement $t_{ij} \rightarrow \sigma_{ij} t_{ij}$, $\Delta_{ij} \rightarrow \sigma_{ij} \Delta_{ij}$ with the gauge field $\sigma_{ij} = \pm 1$). As a consequence, the coupling of the spinons to gapped vison excitations would not modify the fermionic theory in Eq. (2) at low energies such that this simple model would still be qualitatively correct (gapped visons can at most induce short-range interactions between spinons). For these reasons, we will mostly neglect the effect of visons in our considerations and only qualitatively discuss their possible impact at the end of Sec. III D.

With these arguments, the remaining theoretical task amounts to identifying a model of free spinons [such as Eq. (2)] which correctly reproduces the experimentally measured spin-structure factor and the specific heat presented in Sec. II B. It is crucial to emphasize that due to the emergent nature of spinons, there is usually no simple relation between the bare interactions in Table I and the effective parton model such that at this point we cannot make direct use of these couplings (except of the fact that the ferromagnetic interactions dominate over the antiferromagnetic ones, see the discussion below). We, hence, construct an effective parton theory for Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈ within a more phenomenological and experimentally motivated approach.

As explained in more detail below, the spin-structure factor is given (up to weight factors) by the two-spinon spectrum of Eq. (2). While it is rather straightforward to diagonalize Eq. (2) with given amplitudes t_{ij} , Δ_{ij} , μ and calculate the corresponding two-spinon excitations, the reverse, i.e., deducing a free fermion model from the spin-structure factor, is quite challenging. In previous works attempting a similar fitting for other compounds (see, e.g., Refs. [10,54–59]), the lattice structures and magnetic couplings were often comparatively simple and it was sometimes even sufficient to assume a single spatially uniform spinon-hopping/-pairing amplitude [10]. In the case of Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈, however, there are many inequivalent ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic nearest-neighbor bonds on which the hopping and pairing amplitudes t_{ij} , Δ_{ij} may all be different. Hence, the most direct approach of simply testing a large number of free fermion models and searching for agreement between the measured and calculated spinstructure factor represents a rather cumbersome task. Furthermore, in contrast to the short-range exchange couplings J_{ij} of the original spin Hamiltonian and the amplitudes t_{ij} and Δ_{ij} may also be longer ranged. Another complication arises due to the inherent gauge freedom of a parton theory which allows for a so-called *projective* implementation of symmetries. As a consequence of these gauge properties, the free fermion model does not need to obey all spatial symmetries of the original spin Hamiltonian, leading to an even wider class of allowed hopping and pairing amplitudes (a classification of all possible free spinon models is achieved within the *projective symmetry* group approach [44]). In total, this results in a fitting problem with a large number of free parameters and a complicated map between such parameters and the target function (i.e., the spin-structure factor).

Here we try to avoid the aforementioned complications by not attempting to systematically explore all possible parameter settings for t_{ij} and Δ_{ij} . Rather, we will show below that based on physical arguments and experimental insights it is possible to construct a relatively simple and general spinon model which reproduces the key features of the measured spin-structure factor and specific heat.

B. Effective spinon model for Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈

In the first step of developing a microscopic spinon model for Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈ we will, for simplicity, neglect all pairing terms Δ_{ij} . This results in a model for a so-called U(1) spin liquid which is characterized by the fact that their low-energy effective theory in Eq. (2) is invariant under gauge transformations $f_{i\alpha} \rightarrow e^{i\varphi} f_{i\alpha}$, where $e^{i\varphi}$ is a complex U(1) phase. In Sec. III E), we will explain how the pairings need to be reintroduced to obtain the best agreement with experimental results. Such pairings may turn the U(1) spin liquid into a \mathbb{Z}_2 spin liquid, which guarantees that the flux excitations are gapped.

An important piece of information about Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈ is that the strongest couplings in its microscopic spin Hamiltonian are ferromagnetic and act within triangular units. Since these ferromagnetic couplings are considerably larger than the antiferromagnetic ones the three spins on such triangles add up equally to form (approximate) spin-3/2 entities which represent the system's effective magnetic degrees of freedom at low energies. To account for this in our parton picture we likewise assume that the three spinon operators on a ferromagnetically coupled triangle symmetrically combine into an effective low-energy fermionic degree of freedom $c_{sl\alpha}$ via

$$c_{sI\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(f_{I1\alpha} + f_{I2\alpha} + f_{I3\alpha}).$$
 (3)

Here we have introduced a new notation which replaces the site label *i* by two indices *I* and κ where *I* denotes the ferromagnetically coupled triangle the site *i* belongs to and $\kappa = 1, 2, 3$ labels the sites within this triangle, i.e., we replace $f_{i\alpha} \rightarrow f_{I\kappa\alpha}$. Furthermore, the index "s" in $c_{sI\alpha}$ stands for the symmetric combination of spinon operators on triangle *I*. Apart from these low-energy degrees of freedom there are two more linear independent spinon combinations which model energetically higher spin-1/2 excitations where the three spinons on triangle *I* no longer equally add up. We label these combinations by a_1 and a_2 and define them by

$$c_{a_{1}I\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (f_{I1\alpha} - f_{I2\alpha}),$$

$$c_{a_{2}I\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (f_{I1\alpha} + f_{I2\alpha} - 2f_{I3\alpha}).$$
 (4)

For the following considerations it will be convenient to illustrate the ferromagnetically coupled triangles of the bilayer kagome system by projecting them into one plane effectively resulting in a decorated honeycomb lattice, shown in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). In this way of drawing the lattice, the bonds between nearest-neighbor triangles (which belong to different planes) are the ones with ferromagnetic interlayer couplings (red bonds) and second-neighbor triangles (which lie in the same plane) are connected by antiferromagnetic intralayer couplings [they are not shown in Fig. 1(b) for clarity of the figure]. Also note that the unit cell consists of six sites formed of two nearest-neighbor ferromagnetic triangles. Below, we will label the six sites of a unit cell by an index $\tilde{\kappa}$ where the tilde distinguishes it from the index κ which runs only over the three sites of one ferromagnetic triangle [one green point in Fig. 1(c)].

The central conceptual step of the following considerations is to formulate our spinon model in terms of the effective degrees of freedom $c_{sI\alpha}$, $c_{a_1I\alpha}$, $c_{a_2I\alpha}$ instead of the original operators $f_{I\kappa\alpha}$. A generic fermionic hopping model in these degrees of freedom with hopping terms ranging up to secondneighbor triangles can then be written as

$$H = \sum_{\langle IJ \rangle,\alpha} \left[t_{1s} c^{\dagger}_{sI\alpha} c_{sJ\alpha} + t_{1a} \left(c^{\dagger}_{a_1I\alpha} c_{a_1J\alpha} + c^{\dagger}_{a_2I\alpha} c_{a_2J\alpha} \right) \right] + \sum_{\langle \langle IJ \rangle \rangle,\alpha} \left[t_{2s} c^{\dagger}_{sI\alpha} c_{sJ\alpha} + t_{2a} \left(c^{\dagger}_{a_1I\alpha} c_{a_1J\alpha} + c^{\dagger}_{a_2I\alpha} c_{a_2J\alpha} \right) \right] + \text{H.c.} + \sum_{I\alpha} \left[\mu_s c^{\dagger}_{sI\alpha} c_{sI\alpha} + \mu_a \left(c^{\dagger}_{a_1I\alpha} c_{a_1I\alpha} + c^{\dagger}_{a_2I\alpha} c_{a_2I\alpha} \right) \right].$$
(5)

The degrees of freedom in this Hamiltonian are formulated in terms of triangular units I, J, where $\langle IJ \rangle$ ($\langle \langle IJ \rangle \rangle$) denote nearest- (second) neighbor ferromagnetic triangles, i.e., t_{1s} and t_{1a} (t_{2s} and t_{2a}) are nearest- (second) neighbor honeycomb hopping amplitudes for the low-energy symmetric and highenergy asymmetric spinon degrees of freedom, respectively [pairs of points labeled " t_{1a} " and " t_{2s} " in Fig. 1(c) are examples for pairs of triangles $\langle IJ \rangle$ and $\langle \langle IJ \rangle \rangle$, respectively]. Furthermore, μ_s and μ_a are chemical potentials acting on the two types of spinons. We emphasize that since all three sites in a ferromagnetic triangle are symmetry equivalent the Hamiltonian must be invariant under the exchange of indices $a_1 \leftrightarrow a_2$. In this case, the Hamiltonian does not depend on the precise definition of the high-energy degrees of freedom in Eq. (4) as long as the *c* operators all correspond to orthogonal states.

A central requirement for the model in Eq. (5) is that μ_a is large enough to ensure a clear separation of low-energy and high-energy spinon modes as is visible in the measured spin-structure factor showing two energy intervals with strong signal and a relatively weak response in between. As will become clear in the next subsection, under this condition the model in Eq. (5) already reproduces some key aspects of the experimental neutron data *irrespective* of the precise choice of the parameters $t_{1/2s/a}$ and $\mu_{s/a}$.

C. General weight distribution of the dynamical spin-structure factor

We start discussing the dynamical spin-structure factor, which is defined by

$$S(\mathbf{q}, E) \equiv S^{zz}(\mathbf{q}, E)$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \sum_{ij} e^{iEt} e^{i\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)} \langle S_i^z(t) S_j^z(0) \rangle, \quad (6)$$

where *N* is the total number of sites and \mathbf{r}_i denotes the position of site *i* [because we assume here that the system is spin isotropic we have $S(\mathbf{q}, E) = S^{xx}(\mathbf{q}, E) = S^{yy}(\mathbf{q}, E) = S^{zz}(\mathbf{q}, E)$]. Particularly, we analyze some general properties of the weight distribution of $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$ in energy and momentum space for the spinon model in Eq. (5) and show that it qualitatively matches the experimental results even without fine-tuning of the hopping parameters.

To explicitly calculate the dynamical spin-structure factor for an effective parton model, we need its eigenenergies (i.e., free spinon-band dispersion) which for Eq. (5) we denote by $\epsilon_a(\mathbf{q})$. Here *a* is a band index with values a = 1, 2, ..., 6due to the six-atomic unit cell. Note that a = 1, 2 labels the low-energy bands resulting from $c_{sl\alpha}$ while a = 3, 4, 5, 6corresponds to the high-energy bands due to $c_{a_1 I\alpha}$ and $c_{a_2 I\alpha}$. Inserting the spin representation of Eq. (1) into Eq. (6) and expanding the expectation value of the fermionic operators one finds

$$S(\mathbf{q}, E) = \frac{\pi}{24} \sum_{a,b} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, a, b) [n_a(\mathbf{k}) - n_b(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})] \\ \times \delta[\epsilon_b(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) - \epsilon_a(\mathbf{k}) - E],$$
(7)

where $f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, a, b)$ is a weight function to be discussed further below and $n_a(\mathbf{k})$ is the occupation number of an eigenstate of Eq. (5) with band index *a* and wave vector **k**. From Eq. (7), the spin-structure factor can be interpreted as a spinon particlehole excitation spectrum taking into account all processes where a fermion in the occupied state with energy $\epsilon_a(\mathbf{k})$ is destroyed and a fermion in the unoccupied state $\epsilon_b(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})$ is created, leading to a contribution to $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$ at the corresponding momentum and energy transfers **q** and $E = \epsilon_b(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) - \epsilon_a(\mathbf{k})$, respectively. The contributions from such processes are modulated with the weight function $f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, a, b)$ given by

$$f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, a, b) = \left| \sum_{\tilde{\kappa}} \phi_{a\tilde{\kappa}}^*(\mathbf{k}) \phi_{b\tilde{\kappa}}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{R}_{\tilde{\kappa}}} \right|^2, \qquad (8)$$

where $\phi_{a\bar{k}}(\mathbf{k})$ is the eigenstate of Eq. (5) at sublattice site $\tilde{\kappa}$, wave vector \mathbf{k} , and band index *a*. Furthermore, $\mathbf{R}_{\bar{k}}$ is the position of the sublattice $\tilde{\kappa}$ within a unit cell, i.e., relative to a fixed base point inside each unit cell. Since *f* contains the overlap of the two wave functions involved in the spinon particle-hole process, which can range from zero to 1, it has a significant effect on the qualitative form of the spin-structure factor. To quantify this effect, we discuss the momentum-integrated weight function,

$$g(\mathbf{q}, a, b) = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, a, b), \qquad (9)$$

to identify regions in **q** where the modulation due to f enhances or suppresses the spin-structure factor. Particularly, we consider the weight function g_{LL} for all particle-hole excitations between the two low-energy bands,

$$g_{\rm LL}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{a,b=1,2} g(\mathbf{q},a,b). \tag{10}$$

Note that this function only has an effect on the spin-structure factor if such particle-hole processes exist in the first place, i.e., if the Fermi energy lies within these low-energy bands. The latter assumption will turn out to be an important property for the following considerations. Furthermore, we investigate the weight function g_{LH} for all particle-hole excitations between the low and high-energy bands

$$g_{\rm LH}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{a=1,2} \sum_{b=3,4,5,6} g(\mathbf{q}, a, b).$$
(11)



FIG. 4. [(a) and (b)] Weight factors $g_{LL}(\mathbf{q})$ and $g_{LH}(\mathbf{q})$ of the dynamical spin-structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$ as defined in Eqs. (7)–(11) for the spinon-hopping amplitudes given in Eq. (12). Here $g_{LL}(\mathbf{q})$ ($g_{LH}(\mathbf{q})$) is the total weight factor for all particle-hole excitation processes within the low-energy bands formed by c_s (between the low-energy bands formed by c_s and the high-energy bands resulting from c_{a_1}, c_{a_2}). Black (red) dashed lines indicate the boundaries of the first (extended) Brillouin zone. (c) Spinon band structure for the same set of spinon-hopping amplitudes [see Eq. (12)]. Low-energy (high-energy) bands are marked by the corresponding spinon operators c_s (c_{a_1} and c_{a_2}) they result from. The red line marks the Fermi surface. Note that all bands are doubly degenerate. (d) Illustration of two different particle-hole excitations around the Fermi surface with a given energy E. Process 1 shows an excitation from an occupied state (full black dot) with momentum \mathbf{k} and energy ϵ to an unoccupied state (open dot) with $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}$, and $\epsilon + E$ for the minimal momentum transfer \mathbf{q} which linearly depends on the energy E. Process 2 is an example for a particle-hole excitation with larger momentum transfer.

Since we assume that the high-energy bands are unoccupied in the ground state, particle-hole processes within these bands do not need to be considered. Due to the orthogonality of eigenmodes, it is clear from Eq. (8) that $f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q} = 0, a, b =$ a) = 1 and $f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q} = 0, a, b \neq a) = 0$. Hence, $g_{LL}(\mathbf{q})$ has its maximum at the Γ point ($\mathbf{q} = 0$), while $g_{LH}(\mathbf{q}) = 0$ for $\mathbf{q} =$ 0. With the properties at $\mathbf{q} = 0$ fixed, a closer numerical inspection shows that even for finite **q** vectors $g_{LL}(\mathbf{q})$ and $g_{LH}(\mathbf{q})$ are rather insensitive to the precise values of the parameters $t_{1/2s/a}$ and $\mu_{s/a}$ in Eq. (5). As an example, we show in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) the quantities $g_{LL}(\mathbf{q})$ and $g_{LH}(\mathbf{q})$ for the hopping amplitudes $t_{1s} = 0$, $t_{2s} = 0.05$ meV, $t_{1a} = 0.1$ meV, $t_{2a} = 0$, $\mu_s = -0.1$ meV, and $\mu_a = 0.9$ meV (this parameter setting will be considered further below). Particularly, $g_{LL}(\mathbf{q})$ is found to be sizable everywhere inside the first Brillouin zone but drops off rapidly beyond its boundaries. On the other hand, $g_{LH}(\mathbf{q})$ is large in significant portions of **q** space (even up to the edges of the extended Brillouin zone) except inside the first Brillouin zone.

These properties have various important consequences for the form of $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$ obtained from the spinon model in Eq. (5).

(i) At low energies where only bands with a, b = 1, 2contribute in Eq. (7), i.e., the function $g_{LL}(\mathbf{q})$ determines the general weight distribution, the spin-structure factor is mainly concentrated inside or around the boundaries of the first Brillouin zone. Furthermore, the orthogonality of spinon eigenmodes yields $f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q} = 0, a = 1, b = 2) = 0$, which suppresses contributions to the spin-structure factor around $\mathbf{q} = 0$ stemming from particle-hole processes between the two low-energy bands. Combining both properties, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{q}, E)$ inevitably shows a pattern of ringlike magnetic response at low (but finite) energies which is approximately distributed along the boundaries of the first Brillouin zone. This behavior matches the experimental observation and will be demonstrated more explicitly in the next subsection. Note again that an important requirement for having particle-hole processes within the low-energy bands a = 1, 2 in the first place is that the Fermi level passes through these bands.

The Fermi surface which is formed with these bands is also crucial for explaining the linear heat capacity and the lowenergy behavior of the spin-structure factor further discussed in Sec. III D.

(ii) If μ_a is chosen sufficiently large, i.e., there is a clear separation between spinon bands with a = 1, 2 and a = 3, 4, 5, 6, then a regime of low signal at intermediate energies *E*, as observed experimentally, can be realized.

(iii) At high energies, the more-spread-out weight function $g_{LH}(\mathbf{q})$ allows the dynamic spin-structure factor to be sizable within large parts of reciprocal space also reaching out to the edges of the extended Brillouin zone. This behavior again matches the experimental finding.

In the next subsection we will demonstrate these properties based on a numerical evaluation of Eq. (7) for a particular choice of spinon-hopping parameters and spinon chemical potentials.

D. Comparison with the measured spin-structure factor

The aforementioned weight factors $g_{LL}(\mathbf{q})$ and $g_{LH}(\mathbf{q})$ largely determine the form of the dynamical spin-structure factor and allow to reproduce some of its key features for a wide range of amplitudes $t_{1/2s/a}$ and $\mu_{s/a}$ in Eq. (5). However, the identification of the optimal set of these six parameters for which the agreement between theory and experiment is best still represents a nontrivial task. Moreover, systematically justifying such amplitudes, i.e., developing a microscopic theory of how these parameters arise from the exchange couplings is, likewise, very difficult and goes beyond the current understanding of quantum spin liquids. We will not try to pursue these directions here. Rather, we will show that for the particular set of amplitudes depicted in Fig. 1(c) and given by

$$t_{1s} = 0, \quad t_{2s} = 0.05 \text{ meV}, \quad t_{1a} = 0.1 \text{ meV}, \quad t_{2a} = 0,$$

 $\mu_s = -0.1 \text{ meV}, \quad \mu_a = 0.9 \text{ meV}$ (12)

the properties (i), (ii), and (iii) of Sec. III C are fulfilled, leading to an approximate agreement with experimental

observations. An illustration of the corresponding spinon dispersion is plotted in Fig. 4(c). We wish to emphasize again that we do not claim that these exact values are realized in $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ since there are wide parameter regions which yield similar (or possibly even better) agreement. Our aim here is to demonstrate that based on the considerations leadings to Eq. (5) the overall form of the measured spin-structure factor can be explained rather straightforwardly in an effective spinon picture. While the amplitudes in Eq. (12) do not result from a systematic optimization they can still be motivated based on various physical arguments and observations:

(a) As already mentioned in point (i) of Sec. III C the observed ringlike signal in $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$ at small energies may be modeled by particle-hole excitations within the bands with a = 1, 2 and the linear heat-capacity points toward the existence of a Fermi surface. The simplest way of obtaining a sizable Fermi surface in an effective honeycomb model is via dominant second-neighbor t_{2s} hoppings. This is in contrast to dominant first-neighbor honeycomb hoppings t_{1s} leading to Fermi points from spinon Dirac cones which would not yield the desired particle-hole processes. For simplicity we set the first-neighbor amplitude to zero, $t_{1s} = 0$.

(b) In the experimental spin-structure factor the two energy regions of strong signal, i.e., around 0.3 meV and around 1 meV, are similar in magnitude. In our spinon model, however, the low-energy region arises from particle-hole excitations within the spinon bands with a = 1, 2, while the highenergy response results from excitations between bands with a = 1, 2 and a = 3, 4, 5, 6. Hence, the latter process involves more spinon bands which typically leads to more possibilities of particle-hole excitations and, therefore, to higher intensities of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{q}, E)$ at large energies as compared to small energies. This particularly occurs when the spinon bands with a =3, 4, 5, 6 are degenerate (or energetically nearby) such that two-particle processes with the same \mathbf{q} and E but different a = 3, 4, 5, 6 all add up. To avoid such effects, we make sure that these bands are well separated from each other, which is realized when setting $t_{1a} \neq 0$ and $t_{2a} = 0$, leading to a spinon Dirac cone dispersion in the higher bands. [We note, however, that since c_{a_1} and c_{a_2} need to appear symmetrically in Eq. (5) it cannot be avoided that pairs of high-energy spinon bands with a = 3, 4 and a = 5, 6, respectively, are always degenerate.]

(c) The size of the remaining nonvanishing spinon parameters t_{2s} , t_{1a} , μ_s , and μ_a are adjusted such that the extent of the two high-intensity regions of $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$ as well as the size of apparent gapped region between them comes out approximately correct.

We start discussing the low-energy region of the calculated spin-structure factor [Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) for E = 0.15 meV and E = 0.25 meV, respectively] and compare it with the measured data [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) for the same energies]. As can be seen, the combined effects of the weight function $g_{LL}(\mathbf{q})$ and the suppressed response around the Γ point due to the argument given in Sec. III C (i) lead to a ringlike pattern in $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$. Furthermore, as is evident from the \mathbf{q} space cuts along two momentum directions in Figs. 5(e) and 5(f), the diameter of the rings increases linearly with energy and, hence, the calculated spin-structure factor exhibits a similar characteristic V shape as the experimental data in Figs. 3(e) and 3(f). This linear onset of response can be directly



FIG. 5. Calculated spin-structure factor for the effective spinon model in Eq. (5) using the representation of $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$ from Eq. (7) and the spinon parameters in Eq. (12). The plots (a)–(d) show the spin-structure factor in momentum space for the same fixed energies E as for the experimental neutron data in Figs. 3(a)–3(d). Black (red) dashed lines indicate the boundaries of the first (extended) Brillouin zone. Panels (e) and (f) show $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$ as a function of energy along two momentum space directions to compare with Figs. 3(e) and 3(f), respectively. The data in (a)–(d) have been convoluted with a Gaussian distribution function to match the experimental resolution while in (e) and (f) the finite-energy resolution and perpendicular \mathbf{q} integration have not been taken into account. Note that the magnetic form factor of the Cr⁵⁺ ions is not included in these plots.

explained by the presence of a spinon Fermi surface in our parton model around which the spinons have an approximate linear dispersion. As a consequence, any particle-hole excitation near the Fermi surface with a given energy E (i.e., where a spinon from an occupied state with momentum **k** and energy ϵ changes into an unoccupied state with momentum transfer |**q**| which linearly depends on the energy, i.e., $E = v_{sp}|\mathbf{q}|$, where v_{sp} is the spinon Fermi velocity. For an illustration of such particle-hole excitations, see Fig. 4(d). We, hence, suggest that the V-shaped scattering signal at low **q** and E is a direct consequence of a spinon Fermi surface.

Moving up in energy, the dynamical spin-structure factor exhibits a regime of relatively weak signal at around 0.6 meV followed by stronger response which reaches up to approximately 1.4 meV, see Figs. 5(e) and 5(f). As shown in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), in this latter regime, the strong signal extends over large parts of reciprocal space (except for small q) and,

particularly, fills the area between the first and the extended Brillouin zones. Note that our calculated spin-structure factor in this high-energy region shows a rather complex pattern of intensity which varies on smaller momentum and energy scales [see Figs. 5(c)-5(f)] and which sensitively depends on the precise choice of parameters in the effective spinon model. A detailed comparison of such features with the measured spin-structure factor data would possibly allow for more insights into the spinon band structure; however, given the uncertainties of these parameters and the limited experimental resolution, we only discuss the more extended features here.

While our calculated spin-structure factor reproduces some overall characteristic features of the measured data, pronounced differences are also revealed. Most obviously, the measured signal spreads out into much larger regions in reciprocal space than the calculated response. For example, the experimental spin-structure factor along the momentum direction M-K- Γ -K-M and at small energies E [see Fig. 3(f)] remains large throughout this line, while our spinon model predicts a rapidly decaying signal for increasing $|\mathbf{q}|$ [see Fig. 5(f)]. Such a "smearing" of the measured data as compared to our calculated results may be a consequence of the neglect of gauge fields in our theoretical modeling which manifest as phase fluctuations of all amplitudes $t_{1/2s/a}$, $\mu_{s/a}$; see Sec. III A. These gauge degrees of freedom (whose excitations are called visons or fluxes) represent an essential ingredient of effective theories for quantum spin liquids. While the full theory consisting of fermionic partons coupling to emergent gauge fields cannot be easily solved, special cases still allow for a closer investigation; see, for example, Ref. [53] studying the effects of gauge fluctuations in a purely antiferromagnetic monolayer kagome Heisenberg system. Such works indicate that gauge fluctuations lead to a smearing of the spin-structure factor becoming more spread-out in momentum space. We, therefore, propose that the inclusion of gauge fluctuations in our model might yield a better agreement between the calculated and measured spin-structure factor. Such an analysis, however, goes beyond the scope of the present work.

Differences between the measured and calculated data are also pronounced in the high-energy region where the measured response shows a rather uniform distribution in the entire q space. In contrast to our calculations which yield a vanishing spin-structure factor at $\mathbf{q} = 0$, the experimental data even remains finite at the Γ point. This property cannot be entirely explained by a smearing due to flux excitations, since the frequency-integrated spin-structure factor at $\mathbf{q} = 0$ is proportional to $\langle \mathbf{S}_{\text{tot}}^2 \rangle$, where $\mathbf{S}_{\text{tot}} = \sum_i \mathbf{S}_i$ is the total spin. Hence, under the assumption that $\langle S_{tot} \rangle = 0$ (i.e., the system does not order with a net ferromagnetic moment), a finite spin-structure factor at $\mathbf{q} = 0$ indicates that \mathbf{S}_{tot} fluctuates and cannot be conserved. This points toward the existence of spin-anisotropic interactions which are not reflected in our isotropic parton model. Since the measured magnetic susceptibility is-to a good approximation-independent of the field direction [33] we still conclude that such spin-anisotropic interactions are small compared to the Heisenberg couplings.

Given the many possibilities of perturbing our parton model with spin-anisotropic terms, including triplet spinonhopping and triplet spinon-pairing terms (each of which has three components and may not only be restricted to nearest neighbors), we did not attempt to model the finite weight of the spin-structure factor at $\mathbf{q} = 0$ in the high-energy region. The overall mechanism of obtaining a finite response at the Γ point within our parton theory is still worth explaining: As long as the fermionic model is isotropic, all spinon bands are spin degenerate. Particle-hole processes with zeromomentum transfer between any two bands contribute to the spin-structure factor with the weight factor $f(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q} = 0, a, b \neq a)$ a) [see Eq. (8)], which vanishes exactly due to the two involved orthonormal spinon states. Hence, the spin-structure factor of a spin-isotropic parton theory cannot be finite at $\mathbf{q} = 0$. However, in the presence of spin-anisotropic parton terms the spinon bands may become spin split. Assuming, e.g., that at a certain momentum **k** a pair of nondegenerate spinon bands has spin polarizations \uparrow and \downarrow with respect to the z axis (or, equivalently, any other polarization axis) a $\mathbf{q} = 0$ particle-hole process between these states still has a vanishing contribution to the zz component $S^{zz}(\mathbf{q} = 0, E)$ of the spin-structure factor due to the above orthogonality argument. However, this argument does not hold for the transverse components $S^{xx}(\mathbf{q}=0, E)$ and $S^{yy}(\mathbf{q}=0, E)$, which may, hence, become finite.

E. Heat capacity and spinon pairing

The above approach of modeling the measured spinstructure factor naturally leads to a spinon-hopping model with a Fermi surface. A spinon Fermi surface is again consistent with the linear heat capacity at low temperatures. Yet the picture developed so far is problematic due to two reasons:

(i) When introducing gauge fields in our pure fermionic hopping model, the resulting theory has a U(1) gauge structure, implying that the gauge excitations are gapless [3,60]. These low-energy excitations would give an additional contribution to the heat capacity at low temperatures changing the linear behavior to a $T^{2/3}$ dependence [61] which is not observed experimentally. At the lowest accessible temperatures (i.e., T < 0.1 K) the measured data rather seem to have a T^2 behavior; see the inset of Fig. 2(b), showing the heat capacity in a double-logarithmic plot.

(ii) As explained in Sec. III A, a free spinon model as shown in Eq. (2) is subject to the constraint of half filling, i.e., $\langle n_i \rangle = 1$. However, since the Fermi level cuts through the lowest two of six bands, our model is less than half-filled.

Fortunately, both problems may be simultaneously solved by introducing spinon-pairing terms Δ_{ij} in Eq. (2). First, spinon pairing breaks down the gauge structure from U(1)to \mathbb{Z}_2 . This gaps out the flux excitations which therefore do not contribute to the heat capacity at sufficiently low temperatures. Second, a spinon model with finite pairing may fulfill the parton constraint even if the corresponding model with all Δ_{ij} set to zero is not half-filled. To be more precise, the generalized constraint in the presence of pairing may be formulated as $\partial E_{\text{ground}}/\partial \mu = 0$, where E_{ground} is the groundstate energy of Eq. (2) [44,62].

These arguments indicate that pairing is a necessary ingredient in our effective spinon theory. We will now demonstrate that its inclusion also allows for a more accurate modeling of the heat capacity at low temperatures. It is important to emphasize, however, that a finite spinon pairing does not imply that the system becomes a real superconductor, since spinons do not carry charge. In the following, we take the measured heat-capacity data literally and strictly assume that it is of pure spinon origin in the temperature regime up to ≈ 0.5 K (i.e., we exclude possible contributions from phonons or flux excitations and also neglect impurity scattering). We first reiterate that under this assumption an intact Fermi surface would lead to a perfect linear heat capacity. While its measured behavior is indeed mostly linear in the temperature regime $T \lesssim 0.5$ K, a small reduction from linearity is observed for $T \leq 0.1$ K, see Fig. 2(b). This can be interpreted as a signature of pairing in the low-energy spinon band which gaps out the Fermi surface (at least partially) and, as a consequence, reduces the density of states and heat capacity at energies/temperatures below the gap. If chosen sufficiently small, spinon pairing could, hence, explain this low-temperature deviation from linearity but at the same time keep the almost-perfect linear behavior at 0.1 K $\leq T \leq 0.5$ K intact (a similar scenario for triangular lattice compounds is discussed in Ref. [63]). As we will see below, the corresponding pairing amplitudes are on an energy scale much smaller than the minimal energy ≈ 0.15 meV down to which the spin-structure factor is measured. The inclusion of pairing, therefore, has a negligible effect on our results in the last subsection and does not affect the conclusions already made.

Given that the spinon-hopping amplitudes discussed in Sec. III D are already subject to large uncertainties we will not attempt here to determine explicit pairing parameters Δ_{ii} and to fine-tune them according to the constraint $\partial E_{\text{ground}}/\partial \mu = 0$. Rather, we will concentrate on the low-energy spinon band and discuss the general momentum space dependence of the pairing gap which yields the best agreement with the measured data. Two pairing scenarios seem possible: First, pairing may be of s-wave type [i.e., with a constant gap function $\Delta(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \Delta_s$ in reciprocal space] gapping out the entire Fermi surface which leads to an activated behavior in the heat capacity, i.e., $C(T) \propto \exp[-\Delta_s/(k_B T)]$ at the lowest temperatures $T \lesssim \Delta_s$. Second, pairing may gap out the Fermi surface except for discrete nodal points. In this case, the threefold in-plane rotation symmetry of the system suggests f-wave pairing, which is characterized by six gapless Dirac points, see Fig. 2(c). An *f*-wave-pairing gap has the momentum structure

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta_f |\sin(3\varphi_{\mathbf{k}} + \varphi_0)|, \qquad (13)$$

where $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the polar angle in momentum space (for cartesian coordinates) with $tan(\varphi_k) = k_v/k_x$ and φ_0 is a possible constant offset. In Fig. 2(b), we compare the measured data with the best fits for both cases [making use of Eq. (13) with $\Delta_f = 0.039$ meV in the case of f-wave pairing] and also show the strictly linear behavior for an intact Fermi surface, i.e., without any pairing (black line). As can be seen, the f-wave-pairing scenario yields the best agreement with the experimental data and, therefore, seems most reasonable under the above assumptions. The resulting f-wave low-energy spinon bands are depicted in Fig. 2(c). Note that the Dirac cones yield a quadratic behavior of the heat capacity at the lowest temperatures $T \leq \Delta_f$ in agreement with the experimental data in the inset of Fig. 2(b). The spinon dispersion can be thought of as originating from the intersection of two bands (i.e., the original spinon band from pure hopping and its particle-hole reversed version) which are gapped out along the Fermi surface with a gap according to Eq. (13). Note that the results of our fits are independent of the diameter of the initial Fermi surface and the Fermi velocity which only enter as an overall scaling factor of the heat capacity.

We wish to conclude this analysis with a remark of caution: While our effective spinon model for $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ explains the experimental heat capacity and spin-structure factor on a qualitative level, it relies on assumptions which seem physically well founded but are hard to prove rigorously based on currently available experimental data. This, particularly, applies to the assumption of the pure spinon origin of the measured low-energy/low-temperature data which we hope will be further scrutinized in future experimental studies.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have presented new experimental lower-temperature heat capacity and highly detailed dynamical spin-structure factor data for the quantum spin-liquid candidate material $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$. The measured heat capacity C(T) shows an almost-perfect linear temperature dependence in the range 0.1 K $\lesssim T \lesssim 0.5$ K. While this type of behavior has also been (approximately) observed in other proposed quantum spin-liquid materials [29–31] and is often interpreted as a signature of fermionic spinon excitations with a Fermi surface, in Ca10Cr7O28 the linear dependence appears remarkably accurate and occurs within an extended temperature interval. The overall very diffuse scattering signal of the measured dynamical spin-structure factor $S(\mathbf{q}, E)$ without any welldefined spin-wave excitations further supports the existence of a quantum spin-liquid ground state with spinon excitations. Two energetically well-separated bands of scattering are observed in the spin-structure factor. A lower regime of magnetic response shows broad ringlike structures around the edges of the first Brillouin zone whose diameter increases with increasing energy. In the high-energy regime of magnetic scattering the intensities are located at larger momenta q reaching out to the edges of the extended Brillouin zone.

Guided by these observations, we model the system's fundamental spinful excitations by fermionic spinons and develop a microscopic theory for the dynamics of these quasiparticles. The key conceptual property of our model is that the three spinons on a ferromagnetically coupled triangle symmetrically combine into new effective spinon degrees of freedom (which we denote by c_s operators), accounting for the strong ferromagnetic interactions on such bonds and giving rise to the low-energy regime of scattering in the spin-structure factor. We further introduce two asymmetric combinations of spinons (called c_{a_1} and c_{a_2}) to model the high-energy behavior of the spin-structure factor. We show that already under rather weak assumptions, such as the existence of a spinon Fermi surface in the bands formed by c_s , a generic hopping model in our new spinon operators correctly describes the different patterns of scattering in the aforementioned two regimes of the dynamical spin-structure factor. Moreover, the existence of a spinon Fermi surface is also crucial in explaining the observed V-shaped onset of signal in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{q}, E)$ at small energies and the linear temperature dependence of the heat capacity. The simultaneous explanation of various different experimental



FIG. 6. Full inelastic neutron-scattering data for $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ at various fixed energy transfers as indicated in each subplot. See text for details.

observations by a spinon Fermi surface hence provides strong evidence for such a behavior.

We further provide various arguments for the need of additional weak spinon-pairing terms in our spinon theory, resulting in a quantum spin liquid with an effective \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge structure. We demonstrate that a proper choice for the spinon-pairing gap allows us to correctly describe a deviation of the heat capacity from linearity at the lowest accessible temperatures. Putting together all experimental evidence and theoretical arguments, we propose a \mathbb{Z}_2 spin-liquid scenario for Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈ with an almost intact spinon Fermi surface that is only weakly gapped out by small *f*-wave spinonpairing terms, leaving behind six nodal Dirac points.

We conclude that the presented experimental data on $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$ allows for a remarkably comprehensive and coherent description of its hypothetical spin-liquid ground state, representing a rare situation compared to other spin-liquid candidate materials currently investigated. Concerning future directions of research, it will certainly be desirable to test our

effective spinon theory with further experimental probes such as low-temperature susceptibility and thermal conductivity measurements. From the theory side, the precise effect of gauge excitations (visons) remains an open question which, however, goes beyond the scope of this paper. While their inclusion inevitably results in a nontrivial many-body problem, at this stage it might already be illuminating to account for their effects in a perturbative approach. We leave such investigations for future studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Nic Shannon and Han Yan for fruitful discussions. C.B. acknowledges support from the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Scientific User Facilities. This work was partially supported by the German Research Foundation within the CRC 183 (project A02), the SFB/TR 49, and project B06 of the SFB 1143 (Project No. 247310070). Access to MACS was provided by the Center for High Resolution Neutron Scattering, a partnership between the National Institute of Standards and Technology and the National Science Foundation under Agreement No. DMR-1508249.

APPENDIX: FULL NEUTRON-SCATTERING DATA

In Fig. 6 we present the full inelastic neutron-scattering dataset. The data were measured in the kagome bilayer plane (a-b plane) within the spin-liquid phase at temperatures below T = 0.1 K. The data were collected on the MACS spectrometer at NIST and each subplot shows the scattering pattern at a different fixed energy transfer (as indicted in the plot). The

intensity is indicated by the colors. The final neutron energy was fixed at 3.0 meV and the incident neutron energy was varied to change the energy transfer. The energy resolution increases with energy transfer from $\Delta E = 0.17$ meV at E = 0.25 meV to $\Delta E = 0.3$ meV at E = 1.55 meV. The data were collected by rotating the sample over an angular range of 150° in steps of 2°. While the scattering angle covers a range of approximately 90° with a step size of approximately 2.5°. A measurement of an empty sample holder was used to indicate the background and was subtracted from the data. For energy transfers $E \leq 0.45$ meV this background is unreliable within the lowest wave-vector-extended Brillouin zone. For this reason these regions have been excluded in Fig. 3.

- P. W. Anderson, Resonating valence bonds: A new kind of insulator? Mater. Res. Bull. 8, 153 (1973).
- [2] L. Balents, Spin liquids in frustrated magnets, Nature (London) 464, 199 (2010).
- [3] L. Savary and L. Balents, Quantum spin liquids: A review, Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 016502 (2017).
- [4] X. G. Wen, Topological orders in rigid states, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 04, 239 (1990).
- [5] B. Lake, D. A. Tennant, C. D. Frost, and S. E. Nagler, Quantum criticality and universal scaling of a quantum antiferromagnet, Nat. Mater. 4, 329 (2005).
- [6] M. Mourigal, M. Enderle, A. Klöpperpieper, J.-S. Caux, A. Stunault, and H. M. Rønnow, Fractional spinon excitations in the quantum heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain, Nat. Phys. 9, 435 (2013).
- [7] T.-H. Han, J. S. Helton, S. Chu, D. G. Nocera, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, C. Broholm, and Y. S. Lee, Fractionalized excitations in the spin-liquid state of a kagome-lattice antiferromagnet, Nature 492, 406 (2012).
- [8] J. S. Helton, K. Matan, M. P. Shores, E. A. Nytko, B. M. Bartlett, Y. Yoshida, Y. Takano, A. Suslov, Y. Qiu, J.-H. Chung, D. G. Nocera, and Y. S. Lee, Spin Dynamics of the Spin-1/2 Kagome Lattice Antiferromagnet ZnCu₃(OH)₆ Cl₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 107204 (2007).
- [9] T.-H. Han, M. R. Norman, J.-J. Wen, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, J. S. Helton, C. Broholm, and Y. S. Lee, Correlated impurities and intrinsic spin-liquid physics in the kagome material herbertsmithite, Phys. Rev. B 94, 060409(R) (2016).
- [10] Y. Shen, Y.-D. Li, H. Wo, Y. Li, S. Shen, B. Pan, Q. Wang, H. C. Walker, P. Steffens, M. Boehm, Y. Hao, D. L. Quintero-Castro, L. W. Harriger, M. D. Frontzek, L. Hao, S. Meng, Q. Zhang, G. Chen, and J. Zhao, Evidence for a spinon fermi surface in a triangular-lattice quantum-spin-liquid candidate, Nature 540, 559 (2016).
- [11] J. A. M. Paddison, M. Daum, Z. Dun, G. Ehlers, Y. Liu, M. B. Stone, H. Zhou, and M. Mourigal, Continuous excitations of the triangular-lattice quantum spin liquid YbMgGaO₄, Nat. Phys. 13, 117 (2016).
- [12] Y. Shen, Y.-D. Li, H. C. Walker, P. Steffens, M. Boehm, X. Zhang, S. Shen, H. Wo, G. Chen, and J. Zhao, Fractionalized excitations in the partially magnetized spin liquid candidate YbMgGaO₄, Nat. Commun. 9, 4138 (2018).

- [13] A. Banerjee, C. A. Bridges, J. Q. Yan, A. A. Aczel, L. Li, M. B. Stone, G. E. Granroth, M. D. Lumsden, Y. Yiu, J. Knolle, S. Bhattacharjee, D. L. Kovrizhin, R. Moessner, D. A. Tennant, D. G. Mandrus, and S. E. Nagler, Proximate kitaev quantum spin liquid behavior in a honeycomb magnet, Nat. Mater. 15, 733 (2016).
- [14] A. Banerjee, J. Yan, J. Knolle, C. A. Bridges, M. B. Stone, M. D. Lumsden, D. G. Mandrus, D. A. Tennant, R. Moessner, and S. E. Nagler, Neutron scattering in the proximate quantum spin liquid α-RuCl₃, Science **356**, 1055 (2017).
- [15] S.-H. Do, S.-Y. Park, J. Yoshitake, J. Nasu, Y. Motome, Y. S. Kwon, D. T. Adroja, D. J. Voneshen, K. Kim, T. H. Jang, J. H. Park, K.-Y. Choi, and S. Ji, Majorana fermions in the kitaev quantum spin system α-RuCl₃, Nat. Phys. **13**, 1079 (2017).
- [16] A. Banerjee, P. Lampen-Kelley, J. Knolle, C. Balz, A. A. Aczel, B. Winn, Y. Liu, D. Pajerowski, J. Yan, C. A. Bridges, A. T. Savici, B. C. Chakoumakos, M. D. Lumsden, D. A. Tennant, R. Moessner, D. G. Mandrus, and S. E. Nagler, Excitations in the field-induced quantum spin liquid state of α-RuCl₃, npj Quantum Materials 3, 8 (2018).
- [17] C. Balz, P. Lampen-Kelley, A. Banerjee, J. Yan, Z. Lu, X. Hu, S. M. Yadav, Y. Takano, Y. Liu, D. A. Tennant, M. D. Lumsden, D. Mandrus, and S. E. Nagler, Finite field regime for a quantum spin liquid in α-RuCl₃, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 060405(R) (2019).
- [18] J. A. Sears, M. Songvilay, K. W. Plumb, J. P. Clancy, Y. Qiu, Y. Zhao, D. Parshall, and Y.-J. Kim, Magnetic order in α-RuCl₃: A honeycomb-lattice quantum magnet with strong spin-orbit coupling, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 144420 (2015).
- [19] R. Dally, T. Hogan, A. Amato, H. Luetkens, C. Baines, J. Rodriguez-Rivera, M. J. Graf, and S. D. Wilson, Short-Range Correlations in the Magnetic Ground State of Na₄Ir₃O₈, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 247601 (2014).
- [20] Z. Zhu, P. A. Maksimov, S. R. White, and A. L. Chernyshev, Disorder-Induced Mimicry of a Spin Liquid in YbMgGaO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 157201 (2017).
- [21] Y. Li, D. Adroja, R. I. Bewley, D. Voneshen, A. A. Tsirlin, P. Gegenwart, and Q. Zhang, Crystalline Electric-Field Randomness in the Triangular Lattice Spin-Liquid YbMgGaO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 107202 (2017).
- [22] Z. Ma, J. Wang, Z.-Y. Dong, J. Zhang, S. Li, S.-H. Zheng, Y. Yu, W. Wang, L. Che, K. Ran, S. Bao, Z. Cai, P. Čermák,

A. Schneidewind, S. Yano, J. S. Gardner, X. Lu, S.-L. Yu, J.-M. Liu, S. Li, J.-X. Li, and J. Wen, Spin-Glass Ground State in A Triangular-Lattice Compound YbZnGaO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 087201 (2018).

- [23] E. Parker and L. Balents, Finite-temperature behavior of a classical spin-orbit-coupled model for YbMgGaO₄ with and without bond disorder, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 184413 (2018).
- [24] I. Kimchi, A. Nahum, and T. Senthil, Valence Bonds in Random Quantum Magnets: Theory and Application to YbMgGaO₄, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031028 (2018).
- [25] A. Kitaev, Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2 (2006).
- [26] Z. Hao and O. Tchernyshyov, Fermionic Spin Excitations in Two- and Three-Dimensional Antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 187203 (2009).
- [27] Z. Hao and O. Tchernyshyov, Spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ heisenberg antiferromagnet on the kagome lattice: Z_2 spin liquid with fermionic spinons, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 214404 (2013).
- [28] B. Normand and Z. Nussinov, Fermionic spinon and holon statistics in the pyrochlore quantum spin liquid, Phys. Rev. B 93, 115122 (2016).
- [29] S. Yamashita, Y. Nakazawa, M. Oguni, Y. Oshima, H. Nojiri, Y. Shimizu, K. Miyagawa, and K. Kanoda, Thermodynamic properties of a spin-1/2 spin-liquid state in a κ-type organic salt, Nat. Phys. 4, 459 (2008).
- [30] M. Yamashita, N. Nakata, Y. Senshu, M. Nagata, H. M. Yamamoto, R. Kato, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, Highly mobile gapless excitations in a two-dimensional candidate quantum spin liquid, Science 328, 1246 (2010).
- [31] S. Yamashita, T. Yamamoto, Y. Nakazawa, M. Tamura, and R. Kato, Gapless spin liquid of an organic triangular compound evidenced by thermodynamic measurements, Nat. Commun. 2, 275 (2011).
- [32] Y. Kasahara, T. Ohnishi, Y. Mizukami, O. Tanaka, S. Ma, K. Sugii, N. Kurita, H. Tanaka, J. Nasu, Y. Motome, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, Majorana quantization and half-integer thermal quantum hall effect in a kitaev spin liquid, Nature 559, 227 (2018).
- [33] C. Balz, B. Lake, J. Reuther, H. Luetkens, R. Schönemann, T. Herrmannsdörfer, Y. Singh, A. T. M. Nazmul Islam, E. M. Wheeler, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, T. Guidi, G. G. Simeoni, C. Baines, and H. Ryll, Physical realization of a quantum spin liquid based on a complex frustration mechanism, Nat. Phys. 12, 942 (2016).
- [34] C. Balz, B. Lake, A. T. M. Nazmul Islam, Y. Singh, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, T. Guidi, E. M. Wheeler, G. G. Simeoni, and H. Ryll, Magnetic hamiltonian and phase diagram of the quantum spin liquid Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 174414 (2017).
- [35] C. Balz, B. Lake, M. Reehuis, A. T. M. N. Islam, O. Prokhnenko, Y. Singh, P. Pattison, and S. Tóth, Crystal growth, structure and magnetic properties of Ca₁₀Cr₇O₂₈, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 29, 225802 (2017).
- [36] R Pohle, H. Yan, and N. Shannon, How many spin liquids are there in Ca₁₀Cr₈O₂₈? arXiv:1711.03778.
- [37] S. Biswas and K. Damle, Semiclassical theory for liquidlike behavior of the frustrated magnet Ca₁₀Cr₈O₂₈, Phys. Rev. B 97, 115102 (2018).

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 100, 174428 (2019)

- [58] A. Ksnetrimayum, C. Baiz, B. Lake, and J. Elsert, Tensor network investigation of the double layer kagome compound $Ca_{10}Cr_7O_{28}$, arXiv:1904.00028.
- [39] R. T. Azuah, L. R. Kneller, Y. Qiu, P. L. W. Tregenna-Piggott, C. M. Brown, J. R. D. Copley, and R. M. Dimeo, DAVE: A comprehensive software suite for the reduction, visualization, and analysis of low energy neutron spectroscopic data, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. **114**, 341 (2009).
- [40] X. G. Wen, Mean-field theory of spin-liquid states with finite energy gap and topological orders, Phys. Rev. B 44, 2664 (1991).
- [41] N. Read and S. Sachdev, Large-n Expansion for Frustrated Quantum Antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1773 (1991).
- [42] A. A. Abrikosov, Electron scattering on magnetic impurities in metals and anomalous resistivity effects, Physics Physique Fizika 2, 5 (1965).
- [43] D. P. Arovas and A. Auerbach, Functional integral theories of low-dimensional quantum heisenberg models, Phys. Rev. B 38, 316 (1988).
- [44] X.-G. Wen, Quantum orders and symmetric spin liquids, Phys. Rev. B 65, 165113 (2002).
- [45] F. Wang and A. Vishwanath, Spin-liquid states on the triangular and kagomé lattices: A projective-symmetry-group analysis of schwinger boson states, Phys. Rev. B 74, 174423 (2006).
- [46] F. Wang, Schwinger boson mean field theories of spin liquid states on a honeycomb lattice: Projective symmetry group analysis and critical field theory, Phys. Rev. B 82, 024419 (2010).
- [47] L. Messio, C. Lhuillier, and G. Misguich, Time reversal symmetry breaking chiral spin liquids: Projective symmetry group approach of bosonic mean-field theories, Phys. Rev. B 87, 125127 (2013).
- [48] F. J. Wegner, Duality in generalized ising models and phase transitions without local order parameters, J. Math. Phys. 12, 2259 (1971).
- [49] J. B. Kogut, An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 51, 659 (1979).
- [50] T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, Z_2 gauge theory of electron fractionalization in strongly correlated systems, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 7850 (2000).
- [51] N. Read and B. Chakraborty, Statistics of the excitations of the resonating-valence-bond state, Phys. Rev. B 40, 7133 (1989).
- [52] S. Kivelson, Statistics of holons in the quantum hard-core dimer gas, Phys. Rev. B 39, 259 (1989).
- [53] M. Punk, D. Chowdhury, and S. Sachdev, Topological excitations and the dynamic structure factor of spin liquids on the kagome lattice, Nat. Phys. 10, 289 (2014).
- [54] T. Dodds, S. Bhattacharjee, and Y. B. Kim, Quantum spin liquids in the absence of spin-rotation symmetry: Application to herbertsmithite, Phys. Rev. B 88, 224413 (2013).
- [55] S. Bieri, L. Messio, B. Bernu, and C. Lhuillier, Gapless chiral spin liquid in a kagome heisenberg model, Phys. Rev. B 92, 060407(R) (2015).
- [56] J. C. Halimeh and M. Punk, Spin structure factors of chiral quantum spin liquids on the kagome lattice, Phys. Rev. B 94, 104413 (2016).
- [57] B. Huang, Y. B. Kim, and Y.-M. Lu, Interplay of nonsymmorphic symmetry and spin-orbit coupling in hyperkagome spin liquids: Applications to Na₄Ir₃O₈, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 054404 (2017).

- [58] L. Messio, S. Bieri, C. Lhuillier, and B. Bernu, Chiral Spin Liquid on A Kagome Antiferromagnet Induced by the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya Interaction, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 267201 (2017).
- [59] B. Fåk, S. Bieri, E. Canévet, L. Messio, C. Payen, M. Viaud, C. Guillot-Deudon, C. Darie, J. Ollivier, and P. Mendels, Evidence for a spinon fermi surface in the triangular s = 1 quantum spin liquid Ba₃NiSb₂O₉, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 060402(R) (2017).
- [60] C. Hickey and S. Trebst, Emergence of a field-driven U(1) spin liquid in the kitaev honeycomb model, Nat. Commun. **10**, 530 (2019).
- [61] O. I. Motrunich, Variational study of triangular lattice spin-1/2 model with ring exchanges and spin liquid state in κ -(ET)₂ Cu₂ (CN)₃, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 045105 (2005).
- [62] Note that there are two more conditions for the parton constraint which have the form $\partial E_{\text{ground}}/\partial \mu' = 0$ and $\partial E_{\text{ground}}/\partial \mu'' = 0$. Here μ' and μ'' are two additional Lagrange multipliers of pairing type which enter the Hamiltonian through the term $\sum_i [(\mu' + i\mu'')(f_{i\uparrow}f_{i\downarrow} - f_{i\downarrow}f_{i\uparrow}) + \text{H.c.}].$
- [63] T. Grover, N. Trivedi, T. Senthil, and P. A. Lee, Weak mott insulators on the triangular lattice: Possibility of a gapless nematic quantum spin liquid, Phys. Rev. B 81, 245121 (2010).