Electronic structure of a Bi₂Te₃/FeTe heterostructure: Implications for unconventional superconductivity

Kenta Owada,¹ Kosuke Nakayama,^{1,2} Ryuji Tsubono,¹ Koshin Shigekawa,¹ Katsuaki Sugawara,^{1,3,4} Takashi Takahashi,^{1,3,4} and Takafumi Sato^{1,3,4}

¹Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

²Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology (PRESTO), Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Tokyo 102-0076, Japan

³Center for Spintronics Research Network, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan ⁴WPI Research Center, Advanced Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan

(Received 6 May 2019; published 21 August 2019)

We have performed angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy on a heterostructure consisting of topological insulator Bi_2Te_3 and iron chalcogenide FeTe fabricated on $SrTiO_3$ substrate by molecular-beam-epitaxy technique. This system was recently found to show the superconductivity albeit nonsuperconducting nature of each constituent material. Upon interfacing FeTe with two quintuple layers of Bi_2Te_3 , we found that the Dirac-cone surface state of Bi_2Te_3 is shifted toward higher binding energy, while the holelike band at the Fermi level originating from FeTe moves toward lower binding energy. This suggests that electron charge transfer takes place from FeTe to Bi_2Te_3 through the interface. The present result points to the importance of hole-doped FeTe interface for the occurrence of unconventional superconductivity.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.100.064518

I. INTRODUCTION

Superconductivity associated with an interface of two parent materials is recently attracting tremendous attention because it often becomes a platform of unconventional superconductivity owing to the peculiar characteristic of interface that has circumstances different from bulk. One such example is a charge accumulation at the interface and the resultant emergence of metallicity and superconductivity, as highlighted by the observation of superconductivity at the interface of insulating LaAlO₃ (LAO) and SrTiO₃ (STO) [1,2]. The interface also plays a crucial role in controlling the superconducting transition temperature T_c as recognized from a significant enhancement of T_c in FeSe on STO (over 65 K) compared to that in bulk FeSe (8 K), associated with a charge transfer across the interface and an interfacial electron-phonon coupling [3-6]. Also, a widely used strategy to realize topological superconductivity relies on interfacing conventional superconductors and nanowires/ultrathin films to utilize superconducting proximity effect though the interface. Thus, it is important to find a new platform of interfacial superconductivity and establish the role of interface to unusual superconducting properties.

Recently, it has been reported that a heterostructure consisting of topological insulator Bi_2Te_3 and a parent compound of iron-chalcogenide superconductors FeTe hosts interfacial superconductivity [7]. Whereas both Bi_2Te_3 and FeTe are nonsuperconducting, superconductivity appears around 2 K after interfacing one quintuple layer (QL) of Bi_2Te_3 with a thick FeTe film. The T_c increases with increasing the number of Bi_2Te_3 QLs, and reaches the highest value of ~12 K at 5 QL [7], which is about ten times higher than that of a prototypical interfacial superconductor LAO/STO [2]. Also, two-dimensional (2D) character of superconductivity was verified by observations of a Berezinsky-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition and the temperature dependence of an upper critical field that follows the Ginzburg-Landau theory for 2D superconductor films [7]. These findings triggered intensive investigations on Bi₂Te₃/FeTe heterostructure [8-13] and, consequently, several peculiar properties such as coexistence of multiple gaps in the superconducting state [12] and enhancement of T_c up to 20 K under hydrostatic pressure [13] have been reported. However, the origin of interfacial superconductivity in Bi₂Te₃/FeTe is still under debate partly because of the lack of detailed understanding of the electronic states. Besides basic interests in the interfacial superconductivity, Bi₂Te₃/FeTe is also attracting attention as a new topological-superconductor candidate. If the topological Dirac-cone band of Bi₂Te₃ is preserved at the surface or interface, the heterostructure of Bi2Te3/FeTe would provide a rare opportunity to search for Majorana fermions at relatively high temperatures. To clarify the origin of interfacial superconductivity and the possibility of topological superconductivity, the experimental determination of the electronic states in Bi₂Te₃/FeTe is of crucial importance.

In this article we report an angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) study on $Bi_2Te_3/FeTe$ heterostructure grown by molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE). We directly observed the evolution of the electronic states upon interfacing Bi_2Te_3 with FeTe. We found the occurrence of a charge transfer through the interface and the presence of a topological Dirac-cone band derived from Bi_2Te_3 . We discuss the implications of the present results for the origin of interfacial superconductivity and the topological property in $Bi_2Te_3/FeTe$.

II. METHODS

Heterostructures of Bi2Te3 and FeTe films were fabricated on a Nb (0.05 wt %)-doped STO substrate with the MBE method. The substrate was first degassed at 600 °C for 5 h and then heated at 900 °C for 30 min. Next, a 10-monolayer (ML) FeTe film was grown by coevaporating Fe and Te in a Te-rich condition while keeping the substrate temperature at 270 °C. Finally, we fabricated *n*-QL Bi₂Te₃ film (n = 2and 6) on the FeTe film by coevaporating Bi and Te at the substrate temperature of 270 °C. We also fabricated a 6-QL Bi_2Te_3 film on Si(111) as a reference. After the growth, films were annealed under ultrahigh vacuum and characterized by in situ ARPES measurements. ARPES measurements were performed using Scienta-Omicron SES2002 and MBS-A1 spectrometers with He and Xe discharge lamps (hv = 21.218and 8.437 eV, respectively) at Tohoku University. The energy and angular resolutions were set to be 7–30 meV and 0.2° , respectively. We have characterized the film thickness by the ARPES measurements. It is known that the band structure of 1-OL Bi₂Te₃ is very different from that of multilayer Bi₂Te₃ (the former has a single electronlike band while the latter exhibits a massive/massless Dirac cone [14]), so that we can easily distinguish a 1-QL film from multilayer films by ARPES. Therefore, we at first fabricated a 1-QL film on Si to estimate the deposition rate, and then controlled the film thickness by varying the deposition time with keeping the constant deposition rate. We have used a similar procedure to control the film thickness of FeTe.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First, we present characterization of Bi2Te3/FeTe heterostructure. Figure 1(b) shows the reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED) pattern of 10-ML FeTe on a STO(001) substrate. We clearly observe the 1×1 streak pattern originating from FeTe. After codepositing Bi and Te atoms onto FeTe, the RHEED intensity from FeTe disappears, and a new sharp streak pattern originating from Bi2Te3 appears [Fig. 1(c)]. Besides the (000) reflection marked by "0," there exist two types of streak patterns, marked by "1" and "2." As shown in Fig. 1(d), they are well explained in terms of two types of hexagonal Brillouin zones (BZs) originating from Bi₂Te₃, rotated by $\pm 15^{\circ}$ with respect to the ΓM line of square BZ in FeTe. As schematically shown in the atomic arrangement in Fig. 1(e), this corresponds to two types of Bi₂Te₃ crystal domains rotated by 30° from each other, which is naturally expected from the symmetry difference between Bi_2Te_3 (C₆) and FeTe (C₄). Such mixture of two domains is also reflected in the Fermi-surface (FS) mapping in Fig. 1(f), which shows a 12-fold-symmetric intensity distribution. This is well explained in terms of overlap of two types of snowflakelike FSs of Bi_2Te_3 rotated by 30° from each other [as a reference, the snowflakelike FS of singledomain Bi_2Te_3 on Si(111) is shown in Fig. 1(g)]. As shown in Fig. 1(f), the FS of Bi₂Te₃ on FeTe is slightly expanded as compared to that on Si(111). We will come back to this point later.

Having established the orientation of Bi_2Te_3 on FeTe, next we present the electronic states. Figure 2(a) displays the plot



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic view of *n*-QL Bi₂Te₃/FeTe heterostructure on STO substrate. (b) and (c) RHEED patterns for 10-ML FeTe and 2-QL Bi₂Te₃/10-ML FeTe, respectively. (d) BZ for two types of Bi₂Te₃ domains (red and black hexagons), estimated from the RHEED pattern in (c). (e) Schematic atomic arrangement of two types of Bi₂Te₃ crystal domains on FeTe rotated by 30° from each other. (f) and (g) ARPES-intensity mapping at E_F measured at T =30 K as a function of 2D wave vector k_x and k_y for 2-QL Bi₂Te₃/10-ML FeTe/STO and 6-QL Bi₂Te₃/Si(111), respectively. Intensity at E_F was obtained by integrating the spectra within ±10 meV of E_F .

of ARPES intensity at T = 30 K measured along the ΓM cut of FeTe BZ. One can see three kinds of prominent spectral features; an intense weight in the vicinity of $E_{\rm F}$ at the Γ point, a broad feature with a relatively flat dispersion at ~ 0.35 eV around the Γ point, and a flat dispersion at the binding energy $(E_{\rm B})$ of ~0.05 eV around the M point. This spectral feature is similar to that of bulk FeTe [15–17], confirming its FeTe origin. Upon fabrication of 2-QL Bi₂Te₃ film on FeTe, we found a drastic change in the spectral feature. Figures 2(b)and 2(c) show the ARPES intensity for this heterostructure measured along the same k cut as Fig. 2(a), which corresponds to the cut rotated by 15° with respect to the $\overline{\Gamma}\overline{K}/\overline{\Gamma}\overline{M}$ cut of hexagonal Bi_2Te_3 BZ. This choice of k cut simplifies the data interpretation because the band dispersion from the two crystal domains match each other only along this cut. One can immediately recognize from Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) that the broad feature at $E_{\rm B} = 0.3$ eV seen in pristine FeTe [Fig. 2(a)] is markedly suppressed. Instead, a new dispersive feature appears at $E_{\rm B} = 0.6-0.8$ eV around the Γ point. Since a similar feature is also seen in pristine Bi₂Te₃ at similar photon energies [14,18], it is assigned to the Bi₂Te₃ band. We also find a new holelike band topped at ~ 0.4 eV at the Γ point



FIG. 2. (a)–(d) Plots of ARPES intensity in the valence-band region for 10-ML FeTe, 2-QL Bi₂Te₃/10-ML FeTe, 6-QL Bi₂Te₃/10-ML FeTe, and 6-QL Bi₂Te₃/Si(111), respectively. Intensities in (a)–(c) were measured along the ΓM cut of FeTe BZ (15° rotated from the $\Gamma \bar{K}/\Gamma \bar{M}$ cut of Bi₂Te₃ BZ) with the He-I α line ($h\nu$ = 21.218 eV), whereas that in (d) was measured along the $\Gamma \bar{M}$ cut of Bi₂Te₃ BZ with the Xe-I line ($h\nu$ = 8.437 eV).

which is also attributed to the Bi_2Te_3 band, because a similar band appears in Bi_2Te_3 on Si(111), as displayed in Fig. 2(d).

While the spectral intensity near $E_{\rm F}$ away from the Γ point is almost zero in pristine Bi₂Te₃ [Fig. 2(d)], that in 2-QL Bi₂Te₃/FeTe has a finite weight [Fig. 2(b)]. Such a feature can be seen in FeTe [Fig. 2(a)], suggesting that a faint photoelectron signal from FeTe beneath 2-QL Bi₂Te₃ was detected despite significant suppression of the spectral weight [note that it disappears in 6-QL Bi₂Te₃/FeTe, as seen in Fig. 2(c)]. This could be possible because the photoelectron escape depth at this photon energy is ~1 nm and as a result about 10% of total photoelectrons escape from FeTe through the 2-QL (2-nm)-thick Bi₂Te₃. We will show later that the observation of buried electronic states of FeTe is corroborated by a close inspection of spectral signature around the Γ point near $E_{\rm F}$.



FIG. 3. (a)–(d) Plots of near- $E_{\rm F}$ ARPES intensity for 10-ML FeTe (T = 15 K), 2-QL Bi₂Te₃/10-ML FeTe (T = 15 K), 6-QL Bi₂Te₃/10-ML FeTe (T = 30 K), and 6-QL Bi₂Te₃/Si(111) (T = 40 K), respectively. The data in (a)–(c) were measured along the ΓM cut of FeTe BZ (15° rotated from the $\Gamma K/\Gamma M$ cut of Bi₂Te₃ BZ) with the He-I α line, whereas that in (d) was measured along the ΓK cut of Bi₂Te₃ BZ with the Xe-I line. (e) and (f) Raw EDCs of (a) and (b), respectively. Blue dashed curves are a guide for the eyes to trace the peak position for the holelike band of FeTe. (g) Comparison of FeTe-originated holelike band dispersion extracted from the EDCs in (a) and (b). (h) Comparison of Dirac-like band dispersion extracted from the EDCs in (b)–(d).

The most important spectral signature of 2-QL Bi₂Te₃/FeTe in Fig. 2(b) is that there exists a linearly dispersive band across E_F , reminiscent of the Dirac-cone surface state (SS) in Bi₂Te₃ in Fig. 2(d). Interestingly, its Fermi vectors appear to be expanded compared to those of pristine Bi₂Te₃. This change is also responsible for the observed expansion of FS in Figs. 1(f) and 1(g). The experimental fact that the 12-fold symmetric intensity pattern in Fig. 1(f) originates from the linearly dispersive band in Fig. 2(b) supports that this band is of Dirac-cone SS origin.

To see more clearly the change in the electronic states upon interfacing Bi₂Te₃ and FeTe, we show in Fig. 3 the near- $E_{\rm F}$ ARPES intensity around the Γ point for (a) pristine FeTe (10 ML), (b) 2 and (c) 6 QLs of Bi₂Te₃ on FeTe, and (d) Bi₂Te₃ on Si(111), measured with higher statistics and energy resolution [also, corresponding ARPES spectra near $E_{\rm F}$ of Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) are shown in Figs. 3(e) and 3(f), respectively]. One can see from a side-by-side comparison of Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) that a shallow holelike band that crosses $E_{\rm F}$ around the Γ point in FeTe [Fig. 3(a)] is still seen even after growth of 2-QL Bi₂Te₃ on FeTe [Fig. 3(b)], while its intensity is markedly suppressed. On increasing the number of QLs to 6, the holelike band completely disappears [Fig. 3(c)]. This is reasonable since the photoelectrons cannot escape from buried FeTe because Bi_2Te_3 is too thick (~6 nm). Another important aspect of Fig. 3 is the Dirac-cone SS. One can see that 6-QL Bi_2Te_3 on FeTe [Fig. 3(c)] and pristine Bi_2Te_3 [Fig. 3(d)]



FIG. 4. Schematic crystal structure, band diagram, and density of extra electron/hole carriers of $Bi_2Te_3/FeTe$ heterostructure.

shows a similar Dirac-cone feature at similar $E_{\rm B}$'s (note that the conduction band is not clearly seen in pristine Bi₂Te₃ because the k_z value is not rightly at the conduction-band minimum), whereas that for 2-QL Bi₂Te₃ on FeTe sinks well below $E_{\rm F}$ [Fig. 3(b)].

To discuss quantitatively the observed change in the energy bands, we have estimated the energy position of FeTeoriginated holelike band by tracing the peak position of energy distribution curves (EDCs), and the result is shown in Fig. 3(g). It is obvious that the shape of band dispersion is quite similar between 2-QL Bi₂Te₃/FeTe and pristine FeTe, whereas the former band is shifted as a whole by 10 meV toward lower $E_{\rm B}$ with respect to the latter one. This indicates that the FeTe surface (interface) is hole doped upon interfacing the Bi2Te3 layer. By contrast, the downward shift of the Dirac-cone state in 2-QL Bi2Te3/FeTe compared to pristine Bi2Te3, as clarified in the quantitative analysis of band energies in Fig. 3(h), signifies that the Bi₂Te₃ layers interfaced with FeTe are electron doped. A similar energy position of the Dirac cones between 6-QL Bi₂Te₃/FeTe and pristine Bi_2Te_3 shown in Fig. 3(h) implies that extra electron charge is accumulated near the interface. These observations are summarized in the schematic depth profile of band diagram and extra electron/hole charge carriers in Fig. 4. The electronvs hole-doped nature of Bi2Te3 and FeTe suggests that electron charge transfer from FeTe to Bi₂Te₃ takes place. This is reasonable since the work function of Bi_2Te_3 (5.3 eV) [19] is smaller than that of FeTe (4.4 eV) [20], so that electrons are transferred from FeTe to Bi₂Te₃ upon making junction.

Now we discuss implications of the present ARPES results in relation to the occurrence of superconductivity. What is fascinating in this heterostructure is that the superconductivity emerges upon junction of nonsuperconducting Bi_2Te_3 and FeTe [7]. There may be two possible explanations for the electronic states responsible for this superconductivity: (i) the Bi_2Te_3 /FeTe interface itself hosts a new band structure different from that of parent materials wherein the superconductivity emerges, or (ii) either carrier-doped Bi₂Te₃ or FeTe itself becomes superconducting around the interface. The present ARPES result strongly suggests that (i) is unlikely, since all the bands observed in 2-QL Bi₂Te₃/FeTe [Figs. 2(b) and 3(b)] can be assigned either to the Bi_2Te_3 - or FeTe-originated bands. Then, the next question is which, electron-doped Bi₂Te₃ or hole-doped FeTe, or both is superconducting. It is empirically known from previous transport and spectroscopic studies of bulk Bi2Te3 that superconductivity does not emerge by electron doping by replacement and/or intercalation of atoms. This situation is different from another prototypical topological insulator Bi2Se3, where intercalation of various atoms (Cu, Nb, and Sr) causes electron doping and triggers superconductivity [21-23]. This naturally leads to a conclusion that electron-doped Bi2Te3 does not host superconductivity. Our result thus implies that the holedoped FeTe interface is responsible for the superconductivity. In other words, the hole doping to FeTe would trigger the superconductivity in Bi₂Te₃/FeTe. It is noted that neither the two dimensionality nor interfacial electron-phonon coupling would be a main reason for the interfacial superconductivity in $Bi_2Te_3/FeTe$, because (i) the superconductivity has not been observed in a monolayer film (two-dimensional limit) of FeTe or Bi₂Te₃ grown on various substrates and (ii) a signature of interfacial electron-phonon coupling, i.e., a main-band replica separated by an energy of high-energy optical phonon modes of Bi₂Te₃ (~20 meV) [24] or FeTe (~30 meV) [25], is not observed in the ARPES measurements [Fig. 3(b)]. If the hole doping is a main trigger of superconductivity, the reported $T_{\rm c}$ saturation in thick films may be related to the saturation of hole doping from Bi₂Te₃ to FeTe in thick films. In fact, the energy position of the Dirac-cone SS is almost identical between 6-QL Bi₂Te₃/FeTe and pristine Bi₂Te₃ [Fig. 3(h); compare open triangles and filled circles], suggesting that the hole doping from Bi_2Te_3 to FeTe and, as a result, the T_c value are saturated in 6-QL Bi₂Te₃/FeTe and thicker films. To investigate the origin of T_c variation in thinner films (1–5 QLs) in more detail, a systematic study on the thickness dependence of carrier concentration is necessary. It is noted that, while pristine FeTe is nonsuperconducting, the occurrence of superconductivity with T_c comparable to that in Bi₂Te₃/FeTe has been suggested in oxygen-incorporated FeTe [26,27]. In this system, oxygen atoms incorporated interstitially in FeTe would provide hole carriers to FeTe. Therefore, the origin of superconductivity in oxygen-incorporated FeTe may be the same as that in $Bi_2Te_3/FeTe$.

The above consideration naturally favors the scenario that topological superconductivity may take place in Bi_2Te_3 at relatively high temperatures. Assuming that the FeTe interface is superconducting, it would be possible to induce a pairing gap at the Dirac-cone surface/interface state in the QLs of Bi_2Te_3 by the superconducting proximity effect from FeTe, if the Bi_2Te_3 film is thick enough to realize the Dirac-cone SSs at the top and bottom surfaces. Since the Dirac-cone band was observed even in the 2-QL-thick Bi_2Te_3 film [14], we think that the 2 (and also 6) QL films in the present study can host the spin-helical Dirac-cone states. This would satisfy the theoretically predicted condition of topological superconductivity that utilizes the helical Dirac fermions [28]. A next important challenge is a detailed investigation of electronic states in the superconducting state, such as the direct determination of the pairing symmetry by measuring the k dependence of the proximity-induced gap, and the search for a spectroscopic signature of Majorana bound state in the vortex core.

IV. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we reported ARPES study on a heterostructure of Bi_2Te_3 and FeTe epitaxially grown on STO. By comparing the band structure among Bi_2Te_3 /FeTe, FeTe, and Bi_2Te_3 , we found that the electron charge transfer from FeTe to Bi_2Te_3 takes place upon interfacing Bi_2Te_3 with FeTe. Moreover, the influence of charge transfer was found to be more prominent for a thinner Bi_2Te_3 film, suggesting the charge accumulation near the interface. Taking into account that electron-doped Bi_2Te_3 is unlikely to be a superconductor, PHYSICAL REVIEW B 100, 064518 (2019)

we suggested that hole-doped FeTe at the interface is responsible for the occurrence of superconductivity in the heterostructure. This points to possible topological superconductivity occurring at the Dirac-cone surface/interface states in Bi_2Te_3 at relatively high temperatures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Kuno and T. Sato for their assistance in the ARPES experiments. This work was supported by JST-PRESTO (No. JPMJPR18L7), JST-CREST (No. JP-MJCR18T1), MEXT of Japan (Innovative Area "Topological Materials Science" JP15H05853), JSPS (JSPS KAKENHI No. JP17H04847, No. JP17H01139, and No. JP18H01160), and KEK-PF (Proposal No. 2018S2-001).

- [1] A. Ohtomo and H. Y. Hwang, Nature (London) 427, 423 (2004).
- [2] N. Reyren, S. Thiel, A. D. Caviglia, L. Fitting-Kourkoutis, G. Hammer, C. Richter, C. W. Schneider, T. Kopp, A.-S. Rüuetschi, D. Jaccard, M. Gabay, D. A. Muller, J.-M. Triscone, and J. Mannhart, Science **317**, 1196 (2007).
- [3] Q. Y. Wang, Z. Li, W.-H. Zhang, Z.-C. Zhang, J.-S. Zhang, W. Li, H. Ding, Y.-B. Ou, P. Deng, K. Chang, J. Wen, C.-L. Song, K. He, J.-F. Jia, S.-H. Ji, Y.-Y. Wang, L.-L. Wang, X. Chen, X.-C. Ma, and Q.-K. Xue, Chin. Phys. Lett. 29, 037402 (2012).
- [4] S. He, J. He, W. Zhang, L. Zhao, D. Liu, X. Liu, D. Mou, Y.-B. Ou, Q.-Y. Wang, Z. Li, L. Wang, Y. Peng, Y. Liu, C. Chen, L. Yu, G. Liu, X. Dong, J. Zhang, C. Chen, Z. Xu, X. Chen, X. Ma, Q.-K. Xue, and X. J. Zhou, Nat. Mater. 12, 605 (2013).
- [5] S. Tan, Y. Zhang, M. Xia, Z. Ye, F. Chen, X. Xie, R. Peng, D. Xu, Q. Fan, H. Xu, J. Jiang, T. Zhang, X. Lai, T. Xiang, J. Hu, B. Xie, and D. Feng, Nat. Mater. **12**, 634 (2013).
- [6] J. J. Lee, F. T. Schmitt, R. G. Moore, S. Johnston, Y.-T. Cui, W. Li, M. Yi, Z. K. Liu, M. Hashimoto, Y. Zhang, D. H. Lu, T. P. Devereaux, D.-H. Lee, and Z.-X. Shen, Nature (London) 515, 245 (2014).
- [7] Q. L. He, H. Liu, M. He, Y. H. Lai, H. He, G. Wang, K. T. Law, R. Lortz, J. Wang, and I. K. Sou, Nat. Commun. 5, 4247 (2014).
- [8] G. Du, Z. Du, X. Yang, E. Wang, D. Fang, H. Yang, and H.-H. Wen, arXiv:1509.07424.
- [9] M. N. Kunchur, C. L. Dean, N. S. Moghadam, J. M. Knight, Q. L. He, H. Liu, J. Wang, R. Lortz, I. K. Sou, and A. Gurevich, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 094502 (2015).
- [10] Q. L. He, M. He, J. Shen, Y. H. Lai, Y. Liu, H. Liu, H. He, G. Wang, J. Wang, R. Lortz, and I. K. Sou, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27, 345701 (2015).
- [11] H.-C. Liu, H. Li, Q. L. He, I. K. Sou, S. K. Goh, and J. Wang, Sci. Rep. 6, 26168 (2016).
- [12] M. Q. He, J. Y. Shen, A. P. Petrović, Q. L. He, H. C. Liu, Y. Zheng, C. H. Wong, Q. H. Chen, J. N. Wang, K. T. Law, I. K. Sou, and R. Lortz, Sci. Rep. 6, 32508 (2016).
- [13] J. Shen, C. Heuckeroth, Y. Deng, Q. He, H. C. Liu, J. Liang, J. Wang, I. K. Sou, J. S. Schilling, and R. Lortza, Physica C 543, 18 (2017).

- [14] Y.-Y. Li, G. Wang, X.-G. Zhu, M.-H. Liu, C. Ye, X. Chen, Y.-Y. Wang, K. He, L.-L. Wang, X.-C. Ma, H.-J. Zhang, X. Dai, Z. Fang, X.-C. Xie, Y. Liu, X.-L. Qi, J.-F. Jia, S.-C. Zhang, and Q.-K. Xue, Adv. Mater. 22, 4002 (2010).
- [15] Y. Xia, D. Qian, L. Wray, D. Hsieh, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, and M. Z. Hasan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 037002 (2009).
- [16] Y. Zhang, F. Chen, C. He, L. X. Yang, B. P. Xie, Y. L. Xie, X. H. Chen, M. Fang, M. Arita, K. Shimada, H. Namatame, M. Taniguchi, J. P. Hu, and D. L. Feng, Phys. Rev. B 82, 165113 (2010).
- [17] E. Ieki, K. Nakayama, Y. Miyata, T. Sato, H. Miao, N. Xu, X.-P. Wang, P. Zhang, T. Qian, P. Richard, Z.-J. Xu, J. S. Wen, G. D. Gu, H. Q. Luo, H.-H. Wen, H. Ding, and T. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. B 89, 140506(R) (2014).
- [18] Y. L. Chen, J. G. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, Z. K. Liu, S.-K. Mo, X. L. Qi, H. J. Zhang, D. H. Lu, X. Dai, Z. Fang, S. C. Zhang, I. R. Fisher, Z. Hussain, and Z.-X. Shen, Science **325**, 178 (2009).
- [19] D. Takane, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, K. Segawa, and Y. Ando, Appl. Phys. Lett. **109**, 091601 (2016).
- [20] M. Kuno, K. Nakayama, K. Owada, K. Sugawara, T. Takahashi, T. Sato. (in preparation).
- [21] Y. S. Hor, A. J. Williams, J. G. Checkelsky, P. Roushan, J. Seo, Q. Xu, H. W. Zandbergen, A. Yazdani, N. P. Ong, and R. J. Cava, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 057001 (2010).
- [22] Z. Liu, X. Yao, J. Shao, M. Zuo, L. Pi, S. Tan, C. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 10512 (2015).
- [23] T. Asaba, B. J. Lawson, C. Tinsman, L. Chen, P. Corbae, G. Li, Y. Qiu, Y. S. Hor, L. Fu, and L. Li, Phys. Rev. X 7, 011009 (2017).
- [24] D. Bessas, I. Sergueev, H.-C. Wille, J. Perßon, D. Ebling, and R. P. Hermann, Phys. Rev. B 86, 224301 (2012).
- [25] M. Zbiri and R. Viennois, Phys. Rev. B 96, 134304 (2017).
- [26] W. Si, Q. Jie, L. Wu, J. Zhou, G. Gu, P. D. Johnson, and Q. Li, Phys. Rev. B 81, 092506 (2010).
- [27] Z. T. Zhang, Z. R. Yang, W. J. Lu, X. L. Chen, L. Li, Y. P. Sun, C. Y. Xi, L. S. Ling, C. J. Zhang, L. Pi, M. L. Tian, and Y. H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 88, 214511 (2013).
- [28] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 096407 (2008).