Errata

Study of Optical Third Harmonic Generation in Reflection, CHARLES C. WANG AND E. L. BAARDSEN [Phys. Rev. 185, 1079 (1969)]. The values of $\chi^{(3)}$ ($-3\omega, \omega, \omega, \omega$) in Table I should be divided by two for LiF and NaF, and multiplied by two for NaCl and KBr. The correct values for these materials are 0.3, 0.25, 1.2, and 2.8 for LiF, NaF, NaCl, and KBr, respectively. The values for other materials remain unchanged.

181, 1163 (1969)]. The spin states were doubly counted in the evaluation of $\epsilon_2(\omega)$. Consequently, the magnitude of $\epsilon_2(\omega)$ should be half of the value shown in Fig. 3. The absolute value of $\epsilon_1(\omega)$ should also be reduced nearly by half in Fig. 4. The magnitude of $R(\omega)$ is generally lowered by the amount $0.12 \sim 0.13$ in the scale given in Fig. 5, without changing the shape significantly. It should be understood that Eqs. (4.1) and (4.2) include the spin degeneracy explicitly. We are grateful to Professor

degeneracy explicitly. We are grateful to Professor Energy-Band Structure and Optical Properties of M. L. Cohen for bringing this error to our GaSb, H. I. ZHANG AND J. CALLAWAY [Phys. Rev.]

ANNOUNCEMENT

CUMULATIVE AUTHOR INDEX 1966–1969

A Cumulative Author Index covering volumes 141–188 of *The Physical Review* and volumes 16–23 of *Physical Review Letters* (1966–1969) has been prepared and is available from Department BN, American Institute of Physics, 335 East 45th Street, New York, N. Y. 10017. The price is \$7 for members of the American Physical Society and \$14 for nonmembers.