# **Synthesis of Modulated Dielectric Metasurfaces for Precise Antenna Beamforming**

Vasileios G. Ataloglou $\bullet$  and George V. Eleftheriades

*The Edward S. Rogers Sr. Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, Toronto,*

*Canada*

(Received 16 November 2022; revised 24 January 2023; accepted 14 March 2023; published 11 April 2023)

This paper presents an end-to-end design method for the synthesis of dielectric metasurfaces with modulated thickness that are able to realize desired radiation patterns in the far field with high precision. The method relies on integral equations and the method of moments to calculate the induced surface and volumetric current densities throughout the metasurface upon a given incident illumination. By avoiding homogenization of the dielectric layer and calculating directly the scattered fields through the method of moments, the near-field and far-field response of the metasurface can be accurately predicted and optimized. In particular, a design example of a modulated dielectric metasurface, fed by a single embedded line source, is presented for the realization of a Chebyshev array pattern with −20-dB sidelobe level. Moreover, an externally fed dielectric metasurface performing beam splitting of a normally incident plane wave is designed, three-dimensionally printed and measured, showing two reflected beams at  $\pm 30^\circ$ , high suppression of specular reflections  $(> 14$  dB), and satisfactory agreement with the simulated and desired radiation patterns. The proposed design method for beamforming with dielectric metasurfaces can find application in higher frequencies where the use of copper may be problematic due to higher Ohmic losses and fabrication challenges.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevApplied.19.044033](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.19.044033)

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Metasurfaces have demonstrated significant potential in manipulating electromagnetic waves in ways beyond the ones observed in natural material interfaces. Typically, metasurfaces take the form of subwavelength polarizable particles that are arranged in an electromagnetically thin sheet [\[1](#page-9-0)[–4\]](#page-9-1). These particles excite secondary co-located electric and magnetic dipole moments, which scatter secondary fields, as envisioned by Huygens' principle. By engineering locally the response to the incident illumination, a number of useful functionalities have been observed with the use of metasurfaces, including perfect anomalous refraction [\[5,](#page-9-2)[6\]](#page-9-3), perfect anomalous reflection [\[7](#page-9-4)[–9\]](#page-9-5), antenna beamforming [\[10](#page-9-6)[–13\]](#page-9-7), and polarization control [\[14](#page-9-8)[,15\]](#page-9-9).

In the context of antenna beamforming, metasurfaces offer an alternative to antenna arrays that realize radiation patterns by feeding each radiating element (e.g., patch antenna) with currents of appropriate phase and amplitude [\[16\]](#page-9-10). Particularly for the case of large and nonuniform arrays, such feeding networks could significantly increase the power losses and complexity, as unequal power splitters and different path lengths to each radiating element are often required to accommodate for the different current

<span id="page-0-0"></span>\*vasilis.ataloglou@mail.utoronto.ca

amplitudes and phases, respectively [\[17](#page-9-11)[–19\]](#page-9-12). On the other hand, metasurfaces can directly synthesize aperture fields while being fed with a very simple source element, such as a current line source or a single patch antenna [\[10\]](#page-9-6). This alleviates the need for complicated feeding networks that increase both the power losses and the size of conventional antenna systems.

The subwavelength dimensions of the scatterers comprising the metasurface allow its homogenization and its mathematical modeling with effective macroscopic surface parameters. These have been expressed in the literature in different forms, such as the effective surface polarizabilities, the surface susceptibilities, or the surface impedances and admittances [\[20](#page-9-13)[–22\]](#page-9-14). Following the underlying homogenization procedure, the design usually consists of two distinct steps. First, the macroscopic parameters are determined based on the input illumination and the desired functionality. Secondly, the homogenized parameters are implemented by appropriately designing the scattering elements.

Lately, a number of works have been presented where the macroscopic design relies on a set of integral equations that accurately predict the scattered fields from the metasurface structure [\[23](#page-9-15)[–25\]](#page-9-16). By iteratively solving the integral equations through a method of moments (MOM) [\[26\]](#page-9-17), it is possible to optimize one or more homogenized impedance layers to achieve a desired electromagnetic response from the metasurface. This full-wave optimization method captures the mutual coupling between all the metasurface scatterers, allowing for precise control of the near-field or far-field region. In contrast, previous methods that represent the metasurface as an infinitesimally thin boundary and rely on the implementation of each discretized cell sometimes suffer from coupling between adjacent elements. While vias have been proposed as baffles between cells to suppress coupling effects, this approach increases fabrication challenges and it is practically applicable only to the TM polarization [\[27\]](#page-9-18).

At microwave frequencies, metasurfaces commonly consist of one or more copper layers etched on standard dielectric substrates. Although the resonant nature of metallic scatterers allows for compact metasurface structures, it is also associated with limited bandwidth and sensitivity to fabrication imperfections. At higher frequencies, etching tolerances (e.g., minimum trace size, vias size) can become a limiting factor and require very delicate fabrication procedures that significantly increase the cost of prototyping for copper-based metasurfaces. Moreover, metal losses are expected to be high at millimeter-wave frequencies and beyond, limiting the power efficiency of standard printed-circuit-board (PCB) metasurfaces [\[28\]](#page-10-0). In these cases, dielectric based metasurfaces could lead to designs that are more broadband and easier to fabricate. In particular, the fabrication of dielectric metasurfaces is greatly facilitated by the advancements of three-dimensional (3D) printing technology, as 3D printing materials with relatively low losses (e.g., acrylonitrile butadiene styrene—ABS) can be easily printed in arbitrary shapes at a low cost  $[29-31]$  $[29-31]$ .

Dielectric metasurfaces have been utilized in the past to realize beams based on the holographic approach [\[32,](#page-10-3)[33\]](#page-10-4). The structure, consisting of a grounded corrugated dielectric, supports a single surface-wave mode that gradually converts to leaky-wave radiation by applying a slowly varying modulation in the equivalent homogenized surface impedance. The surface impedance, then, translates locally to the corresponding height of the dielectric based on a transmission-line model. Some control of the radiation characteristics can be achieved by optimizing locally the modulation coefficient, that controls the intensity of surface-wave to leaky-wave conversion [\[34\]](#page-10-5). On the other hand, a recent work treated the design of impenetrable dielectric metasurfaces by optimizing the induced auxiliary surface waves to render a single homogenized impedance layer passive and lossless [\[35\]](#page-10-6). It has been shown that these passively induced surface waves are necessary to redistribute the incident power and achieve full phase and amplitude control with a passive metasurface [\[36](#page-10-7)[–38\]](#page-10-8). However, in Ref. [\[35\]](#page-10-6), the implementation of the optimized impedance layer with a thickness-modulated dielectric layer resulted in suboptimal performance (compared to the same design with copper-based

metasurfaces) due to the unaccounted coupling effects. Finally, a sparse dielectric metasurface controlling the reflected Floquet-Bloch (FB) modes has been proposed; yet, the approach can only be applied to periodic metasurfaces with a relatively small number of propagating FB modes [\[39\]](#page-10-9).

In this work, we focus on the design of thicknessmodulated dielectric metasurfaces to realize desired radiation patterns in the far-field region. The design is based on the optimization of the shape of the metasurface using integral equations solved with the MOM. Notably, the optimization scheme avoids homogenization completely, and, therefore, the derivation of an approximate relation between a homogenized surface impedance and the local height of the metasurface is not necessary. Moreover, all mutual coupling effects are considered and there is no assumption of local periodicity when determining the heights of each segment. This allows realizing beams with high-accuracy and desired characteristics both with an internal and an external illumination of the metasurface. Finally, the method can easily be extended to design dielectric metasurfaces that handle multiple inputs and produce multiple corresponding outputs. All examples are verified by commercial full-wave simulation solvers and a prototype for beam splitting in reflection mode is 3D printed and measured.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section [II A](#page-1-0) presents the geometry and mathematical formulation to analyze the structure through a set of integral equations. The optimization scheme, that is suitable both for internally and externally illuminated designs, and the applied constraints are also described. An example of a dielectric metasurface with an embedded source is discussed and verified through full-wave simulations in Sec. [III.](#page-4-0) Section [IV](#page-5-0) deals with the case of external illumination and a beam splitter is designed for a normally incident plane wave. Moreover, the fabrication details and the measurements to experimentally verify the design are presented. Lastly, Sec. [V](#page-7-0) concludes the paper.

#### **II. ANALYSIS AND SYNTHESIS FRAMEWORK**

In this section, we present the general geometry of thickness-modulated metasurfaces and the rigorous mathematical analysis of such structures through integral equations combined with the MOM approach. Subsequently, we introduce the optimization scheme to synthesize dielectric metasurfaces based on a desired far-field radiation pattern.

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**A. Geometry**

The geometry under consideration consists of a thickness-modulated dielectric layer of relative permittivity  $\varepsilon_{r,1}$ , an optional fixed-thickness dielectric layer of relative permittivity  $\varepsilon_{r,2}$  and an underlying ground plane,

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Sketch of the geometry of the thickness-modulated dielectric metasurface.

as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) The fixed dielectric layer has a thickness of *hs* and it can serve as a low-loss standard substrate for an embedded source, if the metasurface is internally illuminated. The structure has a total length of *L* along the *y* dimension, while it is assumed to extend infinitely along the *x* axis to simplify our analysis. In practice, such uniformity along the *x* axis can be established, even if we truncate the metasurface at around  $5\lambda$  ( $\lambda$  being the free-space wavelength), as shown in simulations and experiments. The modulated layer is divided in *N* segments with varying heights  $h_n(n = 1, 2, \ldots, N)$ . The purpose of this work is to optimize the heights  $h_n$  to obtain the desired far-field radiation. As mentioned, the incident field can either be produced by an embedded source, or it can refer to an incident wave illuminating the metasurface externally. In the latter case, the fixed dielectric layer can be omitted and the modulated layer is placed directly above the ground plane. However, the optimization framework presented throughout this section is valid for both types of illumination and any subtle differences are pointed out.

#### <span id="page-2-3"></span>**B. Analysis through integral equations**

To be able to optimize thickness-modulated dielectric metasurfaces, as the one shown in Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) we first need to solve the analysis problem, i.e., to predict the scattering of the structure upon some kind of incident illumination. We base our analysis on a set of integral equations similar to Ref. [\[24\]](#page-9-19), with the main difference being that there is no homogenized impedance layer in the present work, but only a ground plane  $C_g$  and a dielectric region  $S_v$ . We assume that the incident electromagnetic wave is TE polarized for simplicity ( $\mathbf{E}_{inc} = E_{inc} \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ ). Together with the uniformity of the structure along the *x* axis, it follows that the scattered fields would also be TE polarized and we can simply analyze a cross section of the structure. Finally, a time-harmonic dependance  $exp\{+j\omega t\}$  is assumed for the electromagnetic quantities throughout the paper.

The incident field will induce a surface current density  $J_g(\rho)$ **x**<sup> $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  along the ground plane  $C_g$  and a polarization vol-</sup> umetric current density  $J_\nu(\rho) \hat{\mathbf{x}}$  in the dielectric region  $S_\nu$ , where  $\rho = y\hat{y} + z\hat{z}$  is the position vector in the *y*-*z* plane. In turn, the induced current densities produce secondary scattered fields  $E_{sc,g} \hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $E_{sc,v} \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ , respectively. Since the dielectric is modeled with a polarization current, the corresponding scattered fields are calculated based on the 2D free-space Green's function as follows:

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
E_{\text{sc},g}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = -\frac{k\eta}{4} \int\limits_{C_g} H_0^{(2)}\left(k\left|\boldsymbol{\rho} - \boldsymbol{\rho}'\right|\right) J_g(\boldsymbol{\rho}')dy',\qquad(1a)
$$

$$
E_{\text{sc},v}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = -\frac{k\eta}{4} \iint_{S_v} H_0^{(2)}\left(k\left|\boldsymbol{\rho}-\boldsymbol{\rho}'\right|\right)J_v(\boldsymbol{\rho}')dy'dz', \text{ (1b)}
$$

where  $H_0^{(2)}$  is the zero-order Hankel function of the second kind,  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are the observation and source position vectors, respectively, and  $k = 2\pi/\lambda$  is the free-space wave number. From Eq.  $(1)$ , it is evident that calculating the scattered fields from the metasurface reduces to determining the unknown induced current densities  $J_g$ ,  $J_v$ .

The total electric field  $E_{\text{tot}}$  is formed through the superposition of the incident field  $E_{\text{inc}}$  and the additional scattered fields  $E_{sc,g}$  and  $E_{sc,v}$ . The total electric field should be zero at the ground plane (as it is tangential to it) and it should satisfy Ohm's law in the dielectric region:

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
E_{\text{tot}}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}\right) = E_{\text{inc}}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}\right) + E_{\text{sc},g}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}\right) + E_{\text{sc},v}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{on } C_g, \\ \frac{1}{j\omega(\varepsilon_r(\boldsymbol{\rho}) - 1)\varepsilon_0} J_v(\boldsymbol{\rho}) & , \text{in } S_v, \end{cases} \tag{2}
$$

where  $\omega$  is the angular frequency of the wave,  $\varepsilon_0 = 8.85 \times$  $10^{-12}$  F/m is the free-space permittivity and  $\varepsilon_r(\rho)$  is the position-dependant dielectric constant. In particular, the region  $S<sub>v</sub>$  refers to the whole rectangular region that can be potentially filled up with the thickness-modulated dielectric. Based on the geometry of Fig. [1,](#page-2-0) this means that  $\varepsilon_r(\rho)$  could take the values of  $\varepsilon_{r,1}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{r,2}$  or unity, depending on whether the observation point is in the modulated dielectric, the fixed dielectric, or the air-filled region. In the latter case, the proportionality constant between the electric field and the volumetric current density becomes infinite, essentially indicating that there is no induced current in air.

Equations  $(1)$  and  $(2)$  form a set of integral equations with respect to the unknown current densities  $J_s$ ,  $J_v$  that we treat with the MOM. Specifically, the surface and volumetric current densities are expanded to nonoverlapping 1D and 2D pulse basis function, respectively. The grid employed is illustrated in Fig. [2.](#page-3-0) As observed, there are  $N_s$  pulse basis functions on the ground plane  $C_g$  corresponding to the surface current density. Similarly, there

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Discretization grid for applying the method of moments to determine the induced currents.

are  $L_1 = N_1 \times M_1$  pulse basis functions for the modulatedlayer dielectric region and  $L_2 = N_2 \times M_2$  for the fixedlayer dielectric region. The total number of basis functions along  $y$  in the modulated dielectric, denoted by  $N_1$ , is set to be an integer multiple of the number of segments *N*, so that no cell is shared between two consecutive segments.

After expansion of the current densities to the basis functions, point matching is employed at the center of each 1D or 2D cell defined previously. This results in a linear system of equations of the following form:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{gg} & \mathbf{G}_{gv} \\ \mathbf{G}_{vg} & \mathbf{G}_{vv} - \mathbf{P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{J}_g \\ \bar{J}_v \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \bar{E}_{\text{inc},g} \\ \bar{E}_{\text{inc},v} \end{bmatrix},
$$
(3)

where  $\mathbf{G}_{ii}$  are matrices representing the self and mutual interactions between the different areas of the dielectric metasurface,  $\bar{J}_i$  are the current amplitudes of the pulse pulse basis functions covering each cell and  $E_{\text{inc},i}$  are the incident field values (either from an embedded source or from external illumination) at the center of each cell. The expressions for the elements of the  $\mathbf{G}_{ij}$  matrices are given in Appendix [A.](#page-7-1) Finally, **P** is a diagonal matrix of size  $(L_1 + L_2) \times (L_1 + L_2)$  with the elements calculated as

$$
P[i][i] = \frac{1}{j\omega(\varepsilon_r^i - 1)\varepsilon_0},\tag{4}
$$

where  $\varepsilon_r^i$  is the dielectric constant value at each cell. For a particular set of heights  $h_n$ , some cells may fall entirely within the dielectric region ( $\varepsilon_r^i = \varepsilon_{r,1}$  or  $\varepsilon_{r,2}$ ), some within the air region ( $\varepsilon_r^i = 1$ ) and some may intersect the interface between the modulated dielectric and air. For these intersecting cells, an averaged value based on the percentage of each region is assigned as the value  $\varepsilon_r^i$  of the cell. This is important for facilitating the optimization of the heights *hn*, since a smooth variation of the heights  $h_n$  will result in a smooth variation of the averaged  $\varepsilon_r^i$  in the cells of the interface and, as a result, an approximate, but smooth variation of the induced currents and the far-field radiation. In contrast, assigning, for instance, the dielectric constant value of the middle of the cell could result in identical results for small variations of heights  $h_n$  causing the optimization scheme to stop prematurely.

Solving the system in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-3-1) determines the induced currents  $J_g$  and  $J_v$  across the metasurface for a specified set of heights  $h_n$  and incident illumination  $E_{inc}(\rho)$ . Then, the far-field electric field  $E^{ff}(\theta)$  and the radiation intensity  $U(\theta)$  at different angles  $\theta$  can be computed as a superposition of the radiation from all the induced currents. It is noted that if the structure is internally illuminated (with an embedded source) the contribution of the impressed current to the total radiated fields should be added. The analytic expressions for calculating the far-field radiation are omitted, but they can be found in Ref. [\[24\]](#page-9-19).

#### **C. Synthesis through optimization**

After treating the analysis problem in Sec. [II B,](#page-2-3) the next step is to utilize it to form a synthesis optimization scheme that determines the heights  $h_n$  of each segment in the modulated dielectric layer in order to achieve a desired electromagnetic functionality. The aim of this paper is to realize beams with desired far-field patterns  $U_{\text{des}}(\theta)$  in the *y*-*z* plane. Naturally, a cost function needs to quantify the difference between the desired radiation intensity and the one obtained for a dielectric metasurface with a set of heights  $h_n$ . Because the total power of the desired radiation intensity may differ from the available power from the source, the cost function takes a normalized form as follows:

<span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
F = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{\theta}} \left( \frac{U(\theta_m)}{\max_{\theta_m} \{ U(\theta_m) \}} - \frac{U_{\text{des}}(\theta_m)}{\max_{\theta_m} \{ U_{\text{des}}(\theta_m) \}} \right)^2, \quad (5)
$$

where  $\theta_m$  is a set of  $N_\theta$  equally spaced angles across the upper half space ( $-\pi/2 \le \theta_m \le \pi/2$ ). It is also noted that radiation intensities  $U(\theta_m)$  and  $U_{\text{des}}(\theta_m)$  are given in linear scale.

To minimize the cost function *F* in Eq. [\(5\)](#page-3-2) different optimization methods may be used. In this work, we utilize the built-in genetic algorithm of MATLAB, followed by gradient-descent optimization. In general, a global optimization algorithm as the first step explores better the multivariable space of heights  $h_n$  compared to the gradient descent that heavily depends on the initial point. On the other hand, gradient descent is utilized as a second step of the overall optimization, as it quickly converges to the (local) minimum around the initial solution. The pseudorandom nature of the seed in the genetic algorithm results in different solutions with every run of the optimization. Therefore, for challenging design cases, the combined optimization scheme can be ran multiple times and the best solution [the one corresponding to the minimum  $F$  in Eq. [\(5\)\]](#page-3-2) is recorded.

Constraints can be introduced to converge to solutions that are practically realizable and have high performance metrics. In particular, the heights  $h_n$  are constrained in the interval  $[h_{\min}, h_{\max}]$  in order for the metasurface to have structural support while maintaining compactness. Moreover, by allowing the dielectric constant to be complex, the power losses and the total radiated power can be predicted during optimization, as

$$
P_{\text{loss}} = -\frac{\omega \varepsilon_0}{2} \iiint_{S_v} \text{Im}\{\varepsilon_r(\pmb{\rho})\} |E_{\text{tot}}(\pmb{\rho})|^2 dV, \quad (6)
$$

$$
P_{\rm rad} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} U(\theta) d\theta. \tag{7}
$$

Therefore, a constraint on the power efficiency  $\eta = 1 P_{\text{loss}}/P_{\text{rad}}$  can be placed fostering the convergence to solutions that exhibit less losses compared to the ones produced from unconstrained optimization, while they realize nearly identical radiation patterns. Typically, this means that lower-amplitude surface waves are excited to the vicinity of the metasurface in order to redistribute the incident power.

Finally, it is worth mentioning that the speed of our optimization scheme depends heavily on the inversion of the matrix in Eq.  $(3)$ . To accelerate this process, we use the Kron reduction technique, as outlined in Ref. [\[24\]](#page-9-19). Based on this technique we can rearrange the equations in Eq.  $(3)$ to form a system of size  $L_1 \times L_1$ , that corresponds to the portion of the matrix that potentially changes at each iteration, as it depends on the cells of the thickness-modulated layer. Additionally, the rows and lines of the matrix corresponding to the air-filled cells can be discarded at each iteration, because there is no induced current in these cells, reducing the size of the inverted matrix further to only a portion of  $L_1 \times L_1$ .

### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**III. INTERNALLY FED DIELECTRIC METASURFACE**

In this section, we present the design of thicknessmodulated dielectric metasurface illuminated by an embedded source. The structure has the form illustrated in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) with a total length of  $L = 8\lambda$  in the operating frequency of 10 GHz. The modulated dielectric is divided in  $N = 60$  segments with minimum height  $h_{\min} = 1$  mm and maximum height  $h_{\text{max}} = 15$  mm. The fixed-thickness layer has a height of  $h_s = 1.52$  mm and supports a line source located at  $\{y_s, z_s\} = \{0, 1.52 \text{ mm}\}.$  We assume realistic dielectric constants of  $\varepsilon_{r,1} = 3(1 - j0.0045)$  for the thickness-modulated layer (close to the properties of ABS

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

FIG. 3. Optimized heights  $h_n$  for the internally fed Chebyshev pattern dielectric metasurface antenna.

or other machinable dielectric materials) and  $\varepsilon_{r,2} = 3(1$ *j* 0.001) for the fixed layer (as provided, for example, for Rogers RO3003). The aim is to realize a Chebyshev radiation pattern with a sidelobe level (SLL) of −20 dB. A Chebyshev array pattern is useful in applications where a compromise needs to be made between the sidelobe level (i.e., radiation in unwanted directions) and the directivity, as it allows a level to be set for all minor lobes of the radiation pattern  $[16]$ . To determine the pattern  $U_{\text{des}}$ , we first assume an array of 16 virtual current line sources placed along the *y* axis at a distance  $\lambda/2$  between them and with currents  $I_n$  calculated according to a Chebyshev antenna array  $[16]$ . Then, the radiation pattern is simply the array factor of this linear nonuniform array.

For the discretization grid required for the MOM framework, we use  $N_s = 600$  basis functions for the ground plane,  $L_1 = 3600$  for the modulated thickness layer ( $N_1 =$ 120,  $M_1 = 30$ ) and  $L_2 = 1800$  for the fixed layer ( $N_2 =$  $600, M<sub>2</sub> = 3$ ). Moreover, the far-field mismatch is minimized at a total of  $N_{\theta} = 361$  angles at the interval  $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ . Given that the incident field and the desired radiation pattern are symmetric along the *y* axis, we also enforce this symmetry in the heights of the segments  $h_n$ , essentially reducing the optimization variables in half. Lastly, we constrain the power efficiency to  $\eta \geq 88\%$ . The resulting optimized heights are plotted in Fig. [3.](#page-4-1) While the height of the thickness-modulated layer is constrained at 15 mm (approximately equal to  $\lambda/2$ ), it is noted that more compact designs could have been realized with materials of higher dielectric constant.

We verify our framework by a full-wave simulation in the Ansys High-Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS). As seen from Fig.  $4(a)$ , the incident power is redistributed along the dielectric metasurface and gradually leaks, forming a planar wave front traveling towards  $+\hat{z}$  with a tapered amplitude corresponding to the Chebyshev pattern. The far-field radiation from the simulation is given in Fig. [4\(b\),](#page-5-1) and it is compared with the results from our MOM solver and with the desired theoretical radiation pattern. Evidently, all of them match quite well in terms of the main beam, the null positions and the sidelobe levels. It is

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

FIG. 4. Full-wave simulation results. (a) Field profile  $[Re{E_x}]$ in the *y*-*z* plane. (b) Radiation pattern obtained from the simulation (red curve) and the MOM solver (green curve) together with the desired Chebyshev pattern (blue curve).

emphasized that the high precision in the radiation pattern (even for the sidelobes) is enabled by the optimization framework that avoids homogenization and considers all coupling effects. In particular, the obtained results from simulation differ by only 0.1 dB from the desired pattern regarding the maximum directivity, while the SLL is kept at −19 dB or lower. The power efficiency coincided with the constraint of 88 % for the solution of the MOM solver, and it is 89 % in the HFSS simulation, limited primarily by the high-field values in the dielectric region close to the embedded source. Finally, the drop in the broadside directivity remains within 3 dB compared to the nominal frequency for the range of [9.65, 10.6] GHz. This indicates a 9.5 % relative bandwidth, which is higher compared to copper-based metasurfaces with similar functionality [\[24\]](#page-9-19).

It is worth examining the spectrum of the electric field in the vicinity of the dielectric metasurface. Specifically, we record the total electric field  $E_{tot}(y)$  at the plane  $z = 20$  mm (around 3.5 mm above the maximum height of the structure) and calculate its spatial spectrum components. The spectral amplitude is depicted in Fig. [5,](#page-5-2) where clearly a strong evanescent spectrum  $(|k_v|/k_0 > 1)$ is observed. These surface waves effectively redistribute the input power from the localized source towards the edges of the dielectric metasurface. Moreover, it is shown that an optimization targeting for a specific aperture field above the metasurface (in the near-field region) would be constraining for our far-field applications. This is because

<span id="page-5-2"></span>

FIG. 5. Normalized spectral amplitude  $|\tilde{E}(k_v)|$  of the electric field at  $z = 20$  mm. The evanescent part  $(k_y/k_0 > 1)$  is shaded.

the near-field may contain strong evanescent waves that affect the electric field values and are not known *a priori*, but they are still necessary to achieve the amplitude tapering and desired far-field radiation pattern.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>**IV. EXTERNALLY FED DIELECTRIC METASURFACE**

In this section, we discuss the design, fabrication, and measurement of a thickness-modulated dielectric metasurface, which is illuminated externally by a normally impinging plane wave and performs beam splitting in two reflected directions. This type of metasurface can find application in an indoor wireless communication setting, where the line of sight between the transmitter and the receivers is blocked and the channel is reestablished through customized metasurface panels mounted on the wall. Since there is no need for an embedded source, the fixed dielectric layer in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) is omitted and the modulated dielectric is placed directly above the ground plane. The metasurface has a length of  $L = 7\lambda$  at the frequency of  $f =$ 10 GHz of the incident wave. This length is partitioned to  $N = 48$  segments, each with a width of  $w \approx 4.38$  mm (or  $\lambda/6.9$ ) and a height ranging between  $h_{\min} = 3$  mm and  $h_{\text{max}} = 18$  mm. The desired reflected fields are two superimposed plane waves radiating at  $\pm 30°$  with equal power in each one of them. To determine the desired far field  $U_{\text{des}}(\theta)$ , we assume two superimposed sets of virtual current line sources across the ground plane, each with uniform amplitude and linear phase:

$$
J_n = A_1 e^{-jk\sin(30^\circ)y_n} + A_2 e^{+jk\sin(30^\circ)y_n} e^{j\xi}, \tag{8}
$$

where  $\{y, z\} = \{y_n, z_n\}$  are the virtual source positions,  $A_1 = A_2 = 1$  imposes the equal power splitting and  $\xi =$  $90°$  is selected as a phase detuning between the two beams for optimal sidelobe level. The far field is calculated as the total radiation from these virtual sources.

The dielectric constant of the modulated-thickness layer needs to be determined based on the available 3D-printable material; in our case, a standard ABS filament. For this purpose, we 3D printed rectangular cuboids of solid ABS with

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

FIG. 6. Optimized heights  $h_n$  for the externally fed beamsplitting metasurface.

their lateral dimensions fitting the cross section of a WR-90 rectangular waveguide. By measuring the *S* parameters of the waveguide with and without the samples, we are able to deduce the values for the dielectric constant. The analytical expressions and procedure are discussed in Appendix [B.](#page-8-0) A dielectric constant value of  $\text{Re}\{\varepsilon_r\} = 2.5$  and a loss tangent of  $tan(\delta) = 0.01$  are estimated at 10 GHz. However, it is noted that a small offset of the dielectric permittivity does not affect significantly the expected radiation pattern for our design. In the Supplemental Material, we include a number of supporting graphs showing the sensitivity of the optimized design to variations of the dielectric permittivity, the truncation of the metasurface along the *x* axis and the wave front of the illuminating wave  $[40]$ .

The optimization is performed with a discretization grid of  $N_s = 600$  basis functions for the ground plane and  $L_1 = 2592$  basis functions for the dielectric area ( $N_1 =$ 144,  $M_1 = 18$ ), while the radiation pattern is matched at  $N_{\theta} = 361$  angles at the interval  $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$ . The power efficiency is constrained at  $\eta \geq 0.90$  to avoid solutions that exhibit high field concentration within the lossy dielectric. The optimized heights after convergence are shown in Fig. [6.](#page-6-0)

The optimized dielectric metasurface is 3D printed with ABS in a Modix Big-60 3D printer using a nozzle size of 0.8 mm and 100 % infill percentage for the dielectric. Moreover, the metasurface is truncated to 5λ along the *x* axis for fabrication, since this is proven sufficient in full-wave simulations to obtain a nearly identical radiation pattern, when comparing with the infinite case. After fabrication, a copper-cladded substrate of the same dimensions is attached at the back of the dielectric to act as the underlying ground plane. A photo of the fabricated prototype, that weights  $0.35$  Kg, is given in Fig.  $7(a)$ .

The metasurface is measured in an anechoic chamber with the use of two identical X-band pyramidal horn antennas, as shown in Fig. [7\(b\).](#page-6-1) Both horn antennas are placed at a distance of approximately equal to  $150 \text{ cm } (50\lambda)$  from the stage holding the metasurface, ensuring that the transmitting antenna illuminates the metasurface with a planar wave front and that the receiving antenna is recording values in the far-field region. The transmitting antenna

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

FIG. 7. (a) Printed prototype of the designed beam-splitting dielectric metasurface (MTS). (b) Measurement setup.

is at a fixed location with its axis normal to the metasurface, while the receiving antenna rotates at a constant radius with the help of a moving tripod and a rotating pipe connecting the tripod to the stage.

The measured radiation pattern of the reflected fields, is given in Fig. [8.](#page-7-2) Moreover, we include the radiation pattern measurement of the copper base without the modulated dielectric (negative angles are mirrored for this measurement due to symmetry) and the simulated pattern from HFSS. Regarding the measurements, the receiving antenna physically collides with the transmitting antenna when their angular separation is less than  $\Delta\theta = \pm 7.5^{\circ}$ . However, since the two antennas have the same gain and are placed at the same distance from the stage, we use the value of *S*<sup>11</sup> for the measurement directly at broadside (instead of the *S*21, which is used for all other angles). We also normalize all measured values with respect to the broadside reflections of the bare copper plate. Finally, the simulation pattern is also normalized with respect to the theoretical value of the radiation pattern at  $\theta = 0$  from a perfect conducting plate of the same size illuminated by a normally incident plane wave.

As observed in Fig. [8,](#page-7-2) two beams with the maximum intensity at  $-31°$  and  $+30°$  appear at the measured radiation pattern. Moreover, the specular reflections ( $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ ) are suppressed by more than 14 dB, when comparing the thickness-modulated metasurface with the bare copper plate. Generally, the pattern matches quite well the one predicted from simulation, especially when considering fabrication imperfections and the resolution of our bistatic measurement setup. Specifically, for the fabrication there was a slight warping for part of the metasurface,

<span id="page-7-2"></span>

FIG. 8. Measured results for the beam-splitting metasurface. The normalized gain pattern (blue curve) is compared with the gain pattern from a PEC plate of the same dimensions (red curve) and the simulated results (green curve). The broadside results are recorded through  $S_{11}$  instead of  $S_{21}$  due to constraints of the measurement setup for  $\theta$  < 7.5°.

rendering its base nonflat and creating a nonuniform small air gap between the dielectric and the copper plate. This could be addressed in future designs by optimizing further the temperature settings for the 3D printer or by fabricating the dielectric structure in smaller pieces before attaching them to the copper base.

The peaks of the measured pattern are only 0.8 dB below the simulation results. The observed difference includes both the drop due to the nonideal pattern and also the power losses, which already account for 0.4 dB (9.3%) in the simulation, assuming the extracted loss tangent  $tan(\delta) = 0.01$ . This demonstrates that indeed the dielectric beam splitter exhibits quite low losses, a metric cared for through the constraints in the optimization phase. Lastly, to quantify the bandwidth of our reflective beam-splitting metasurface, we record the measured gain for the peaks at  $-31°$  and  $+30°$ . The drop compared to the value in 10 GHz is less than 1 dB for the frequency range of [9.05, 10.5] GHz, while the same applies for the range [9.2, 10.8] GHz in the simulation results.

While, we present here a relatively simple example of symmetric beam splitting to experimentally verify the proposed method, the design opportunities of our MOM framework are vast, and they can include metasurfaces that manipulate multiple inputs to produce multiple desired outputs. A description of optimizing for such a design case and the corresponding simulation results are included in the Supplemental Material [\[40\]](#page-10-10).

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>**V. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we present a design framework for dielectric metasurfaces with varying thickness for realizing desired radiation patterns. The method avoids homogenization techniques employed in previously designed corrugated dielectric metasurfaces. In contrast, it is based on integral equations, which accurately predict the induced current upon some incident illumination. In the first presented example, the illumination is coming from an embedded source and the metasurface radiates waves with a Chebyshev radiation pattern in the far-field region. Fullwave simulations verified the good matching with the desired pattern in terms of the directivity and the sidelobe level of −20 dB. Next, an example with an externally illuminated dielectric metasurface is presented for beam splitting in reflective mode. This design is verified by 3D printing such a prototype and performing measurements with a bistatic measurement setup. The experimental results show beam splitting at the desired angles of  $\pm 30°$  with high suppression of specular reflections and low power losses. The proposed end-to-end design framework, resulting in dielectric metasurfaces that can be directly fabricated with 3D printing technology, offers beamforming capabilities both with internal and external illumination. Although dielectric metasurfaces are relatively bulky, their design and fabrication can be scaled easily to higher frequencies, whereas copper-based metasurfaces often require strict fabrication tolerances that potentially increase the overall cost. In addition, avoiding resonant copper cells generally results in higher bandwidth and insensitivity to fabrication imperfections. The demonstrated beamforming functionalities with the use of dielectric metasurfaces can be utilized in existing and emerging technologies, such as 6G communication links, antenna radomes, and dielectric cloaking coatings among others.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work is funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

# <span id="page-7-1"></span>**APPENDIX A: MATHEMATICAL EXPRESSIONS FOR THE METHOD OF MOMENTS SOLUTION**

For the MOM solution, the surface and volume electric current densities in Eq.  $(1)$  are expanded to a set of pulse basis functions with constant amplitudes  $\bar{J}_g[m]$  and  $\tilde{J}_v[m]$ , respectively. The centers of the pulse basis functions for the ground plane are located at  $\rho_{gn} = y_{gn} \hat{\mathbf{y}} + z_{gn} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and for the dielectric cells at  $\rho_{vn} = y_{vn} \hat{y} + z_{vn} \hat{z}$ , with the subscript *n* extending up to the total number of the corresponding cells. Then, point matching is applied at the center of each 1D or 2D cell, which is equivalent to taking the inner product with a  $\delta$  function to both sides of Eq. [\(2\),](#page-2-2) based on

$$
\langle f, \delta(\pmb{\rho} - \pmb{\rho}_{\text{in}}) \rangle = \int f \, \delta(y - y_{\text{in}}) \delta(z - z_{\text{in}}) dx dy, \tag{A1}
$$

where  $i = \{g, v\}$  refers to the ground plane and dielectric cells, respectively. Based on this, a system of equations is obtained that is written in matrix form in Eq. [\(3\).](#page-3-1)

Here, expressions for the elements of the  $\mathbf{G}_{ii}$  ({*i*, *j*} =  ${g, v}$ ) matrices in Eq.  $(3)$  are given. For the nondiagonal elements ( $n \neq m$ ) of the matrix  $\mathbf{G}_{gg}$  and for all elements of matrix  $\mathbf{G}_{vg}$ , using the midpoint rule (with a single interval), we have

$$
\mathbf{G}_{gg}[n][m] = -\frac{k\eta \Delta_s}{4} H_0^{(2)}\left(k\left|\boldsymbol{\rho}_{gn} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_{gm}\right|\right),\qquad(A2)
$$

$$
\mathbf{G}_{vg}[n][m] = -\frac{k\eta\Delta_s}{4}H_0^{(2)}\left(k\left|\boldsymbol{\rho}_{vn}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_{gm}\right|\right),\qquad(A3)
$$

where  $\rho_{\text{im}}$ ,  $\rho_{\text{in}}$  are the position vectors corresponding to the discretizing pulse basis function (source points) and the point matching locations (observation points), respectively, and  $\Delta_s = L/N_s$  is the width of each discretized segment in the ground plane. The diagonal terms of the matrix **G***gg* are given based on a small argument approximation for the Hankel function (Eq.  $(3.14)$ , $[26]$ ):

$$
\mathbf{G}_{gg}[n][n] = -\frac{k\eta\Delta_s}{4} \left[ 1 - j\frac{2}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{1.781k\Delta_s}{4e}\right) \right], \text{ (A4)}
$$

where  $e \approx 2.718$  is Euler's number.

For the matrices  $\mathbf{G}_{gv}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_{vv}$ , where the source point refers to the 2D dielectric cells, the approximation introduced in Ref. [\[41\]](#page-10-11) is used, which treats the 2D rectangular source cell as a circular cell of equal area. Using this approximation, the following expressions can be derived for the matrix elements:

$$
\mathbf{G}_{gv}[n][m] = -\frac{\eta \pi r_0}{2} J_1(kr_0) H_0^{(2)}\left(k \left| \boldsymbol{\rho}_{gn} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_{vm} \right| \right), \quad (A5)
$$

$$
\mathbf{G}_{vv}[n][m] \tag{A6}
$$

$$
= \begin{cases} -\frac{\eta \pi r_0}{2} J_1(kr_0) H_0^{(2)}\left(k \left| \rho_{vn} - \rho_{vm} \right| \right), & n \neq m, \\ -\frac{\eta}{2k} \left[ \pi k r_0 H_1^{(2)}(kr_0) - 2j \right], & n = m, \end{cases}
$$
(A7)

where  $J_1$  is the first-order Bessel function,  $H_1^{(2)}$  is the first-order Hankel function of the second kind, and  $r_0 =$  $\sqrt{\Delta_y \Delta_z/\pi}$  is the radius of a circle with area equal to the size of the 2D rectangular dielectric cell with dimensions  $\Delta_y \times \Delta_z$ .

## <span id="page-8-0"></span>**APPENDIX B: EXPERIMENTAL EXTRACTION OF DIELECTRIC CONSTANT FOR 3D-PRINTABLE DIELECTRIC**

In order to estimate the value of the dielectric constant  $\varepsilon_r$  for the available ABS material experimentally, three cuboid samples are 3D printed with 100% infill percentage. The lateral dimensions of all three cuboids fit the

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

FIG. 9. Measurements to experimentally determine the dielectric constant through a hollow-waveguide (left) and a samplefilled waveguide (right).

dimensions of a WR-90 waveguide  $(a = 22.9 \text{ mm and})$  $b = 10.2$  mm), while their lengths are  $l_s = 9.88$ , 9.85, and 9.82 mm. Measurements are performed with the hollow waveguide and by placing the samples in the waveguide one by one, as sketched in Fig. [9.](#page-8-1) Assuming negligible losses, the  $S_{21}$  parameter between the two ports for the hollow waveguide is

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
S_{21} = e^{-j\beta l_s}, \tag{B1}
$$

where  $\beta = \sqrt{k^2 - (\pi/a)^2}$  is the propagation constant of the  $TE_{10}$  mode in the air-filled waveguide. Inserting a sample into the waveguide essentially creates a dielectric slab of length *ls* with a modified propagation constant. The transmission coefficient between the two ports, assuming negligible losses in the slab (Im{ $\varepsilon_r$ } = 0), now becomes  $[[42], Eq. (5.71b)]$  $[[42], Eq. (5.71b)]$  $[[42], Eq. (5.71b)]$ 

$$
S'_{21} = \frac{4}{(1 - Z_{12})(1 - Z_{21})e^{-j\beta_d l_s} + (1 + Z_{12})(1 + Z_{21})e^{j\beta_d l_s}},
$$
\n(B2)

where  $Z_{12} = 1/Z_{21} = \beta_d/\beta$  and  $\beta_d = \sqrt{k^2 \varepsilon_r - (\pi/a)^2}$  is the propagation constant in the sample-filled part of the waveguide. In the measurements, we record with a vector network analyzer (VNA) the phase difference  $\Delta \phi$  of *S*<sup>21</sup> with and without the samples. Then, the following equation is numerically solved in MATLAB with respect to the dielectric permittivity  $\varepsilon_r$ :

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
\angle S'_{21} - \angle S_{21} = \Delta \phi, \tag{B3}
$$

with the expressions of  $S_{21}$  and  $S'_{21}$  given by Eqs.  $(B1)$ – $(B2)$ . The extracted values for the real part of the dielectric constant in 10 GHz are summarized in Table [I,](#page-9-20) ranging from 2.43 to 2.49. A value of  $Re{\{\epsilon_r\}} = 2.5$  is selected for the design.

Assuming  $Re{\epsilon_k} = 2.5$ , simulations of the waveguide filled with the samples are performed in Ansys HFSS for different values of the loss tangent, and the values of absorption  $A = 1 - |S_{11}|^2 - |S_{22}|^2$  are recorded. These simulated values are compared with the measured absorption *A* for the three samples using the VNA. The extracted

<span id="page-9-20"></span>TABLE I. Extracted dielectric constant values for the three cuboid samples of 3D-printed ABS.

Sample	$\text{Re}\{\varepsilon_r\}$	$tan(\delta)$
	2.49	0.0106
2	2.46	0.0068
3	2.43	0.0174
Selected	2.50	0.01

loss tangent values are given in Table [I.](#page-9-20) The range is quite high due to the sensitivity of our method, the low level of loss and the small length of the slab. However, since the radiation pattern remains practically unaffected with the loss tangent, and only the power losses scale linearly with it, a value of tan( $\delta$ ) = 0.01 is selected, approximating the median of the three samples. In applications that an exact measurement of the loss tangent is necessary more sophisticated methods based on the quality factor of Fabry-Perot resonances can be employed [\[43\]](#page-10-13).

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[1] N. Yu, P. Genevet, M. A. Kats, F. Aieta, J.-P. Tetienne, F. Capasso, and Z. Gaburro, Light propagation with phase discontinuities: Generalized laws of reflection and refraction, Science **334**[, 333 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1210713)
- [2] C. L. Holloway, E. F. Kuester, J. A. Gordon, J. O'Hara, J. Booth, and D. R. Smith, An overview of the theory and applications of metasurfaces: The two-dimensional equivalents of metamaterials, [IEEE Antennas Propag. Magazine](https://doi.org/10.1109/MAP.2012.6230714) **54**, 10 (2012).
- [3] C. Pfeiffer and A. Grbic, Metamaterial Huygens' Surfaces: [Tailoring Wave Fronts with Reflectionless Sheets,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.197401) Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 197401 (2013).
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[4] M. Selvanayagam and G. V. Eleftheriades, Discontinuous electromagnetic fields using orthogonal electric and mag[netic currents for wavefront manipulation,](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.21.014409) Opt. Express **21**, 14409 (2013).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[5] G. Lavigne, K. Achouri, V. S. Asadchy, S. A. Tretyakov, and C. Caloz, Susceptibility derivation and experimental demonstration of refracting metasurfaces without spurious diffraction, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2018.2793958) **66**, 1321 (2018).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[6] M. Chen, E. Abdo-Sánchez, A. Epstein, and G. V. Eleftheriades, Theory, design, and experimental verification of a reflectionless bianisotropic Huygens' metasurface for wide-angle refraction, Phys. Rev. B **97**[, 125433 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.125433)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[7] Y. Ra'di, D. L. Sounas, and A. Alù, Metagratings: Beyond the Limits of Graded Metasurfaces for Wave Front Control, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**[, 067404 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.067404)
- [8] A. M. H. Wong and G. V. Eleftheriades, Perfect Anomalous [Reflection with a Bipartite Huygens' Metasurface,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.8.011036) Phys. Rev. X **8**, 011036 (2018).
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[9] O. Rabinovich, I. Kaplon, J. Reis, and A. Epstein, Experimental demonstration and in-depth investigation of ana[lytically designed anomalous reflection metagratings,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.125101) Phys. Rev. B **99**, 125101 (2019).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[10] A. Epstein and G. V. Eleftheriades, Arbitrary antenna arrays without feed networks based on cavity-excited omegabianisotropic metasurfaces, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2017.2670358) **65**, 1749 (2017).
- [11] B. O. Raeker and A. Grbic, Compound Metaoptics for [Amplitude and Phase Control of Wave Fronts,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.113901) Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 113901 (2019).
- [12] A. H. Dorrah and G. V. Eleftheriades, Bianisotropic Huygens' metasurface pairs for nonlocal power-conserving wave transformations, [IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/LAWP.2018.2866874) Lett. **17**, 1788 (2018).
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[13] V. G. Ataloglou and G. V. Eleftheriades, Arbitrary wave transformations with Huygens' metasurfaces through [surface-wave optimization,](https://doi.org/10.1109/LAWP.2021.3095469) IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. **20**, 1750 (2021).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[14] T. Niemi, A. O. Karilainen, and S. A. Tretyakov, Synthesis of polarization transformers, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2013.2252136) **61**, 3102 (2013).
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[15] M. Selvanayagam and G. V. Eleftheriades, Polarization [control using tensor Huygens surfaces,](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2014.2359208) IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. **62**, 6155 (2014).
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[16] C. A. Balanis, *Antenna Theory: Analysis and Design* (John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, USA, 2016), 4th ed.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[17] P. Hall and C. Hall, Coplanar corporate feed effects in microstrip patch array design, IEE Proceedings H [\(Microwaves, Antennas and Propagation\)](https://doi.org/10.1049/ip-h-2.1988.0038) **135**, 180 (1988).
- [18] E. Levine, G. Malamud, S. Shtrikman, and D. Treves, A study of microstrip array antennas with the feed network, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/8.24162) **37**, 426 (1989).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[19] T.-S. Horng and N. Alexopoulos, Corporate feed design for microstrip arrays, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/8.273304) **41**, 1615 (1993).
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>[20] E. F. Kuester, M. A. Mohamed, M. Piket-May, and C. L. Holloway, Averaged transition conditions for electromagnetic fields at a metafilm, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2003.817560) **51**, 2641 (2003).
- [21] K. Achouri, M. A. Salem, and C. Caloz, General metasur[face synthesis based on susceptibility tensors,](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2015.2423700) IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. **63**, 2977 (2015).
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>[22] A. Epstein and G. V. Eleftheriades, Arbitrary powerconserving field transformations with passive lossless [omega-type bianisotropic metasurfaces,](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2016.2588495) IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. **64**, 3880 (2016).
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>[23] J. Budhu and A. Grbic, Perfectly reflecting metasurface reflectarrays: Mutual coupling modeling between unique [elements through homogenization,](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2020.3001450) IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. **69**, 122 (2021).
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>[24] G. Xu, V. G. Ataloglou, S. V. Hum, and G. V. Eleftheriades, Extreme beam-forming with impedance metasurfaces featuring embedded sources and auxiliary surface wave optimization, IEEE Access **10**[, 28670 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3157291)
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>[25] J. Budhu, E. Michielssen, and A. Grbic, The design of dual [band stacked metasurfaces using integral equations,](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2022.3142277) IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. **70**, 4576 (2022).
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>[26] R. F. Harrington, *Field Computation by Moment Methods* (Wiley-IEEE Press, New York, USA, 1993).
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>[27] G. Xu, S. V. Hum, and G. V. Eleftheriades, Augmented Huygens' metasurfaces employing baffles for precise con[trol of wave transformations,](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2019.2922945) IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. **67**, 6935 (2019).
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[28] P. Nayeri, M. Liang, R. A. Sabory-Garcia, M. Tuo, F. Yang, M. Gehm, H. Xin, and A. Z. Elsherbeni, 3D printed dielectric reflectarrays: Low-cost high-gain antennas at sub-millimeter waves, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2014.2303195) **62**, 2000 (2014).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[29] V. M. Pepino, A. F. da Mota, A. Martins, and B.-H. V. Borges, 3-d-printed dielectric metasurfaces for antenna [gain improvement in the ka-band,](https://doi.org/10.1109/LAWP.2018.2860521) IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. **17**, 2133 (2018).
- [30] J.-M. Poyanco, F. Pizarro, and E. Rajo-Iglesias, in *2021 [15th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation](https://doi.org/10.23919/EuCAP51087.2021.9411342) (EuCAP)* (IEEE, Dusseldorf, Germany, 2021), p. 1.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[31] Q. Cheng, Y. Hao, J. McGhee, W. G. Whittow, J. C. Vardaxoglou, R. Mittra, and S. Zhang, Dual circularly polarized 3-d printed broadband dielectric reflectarray with a linearly polarized feed, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2022.3142735) **70**, 5393 (2022).
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[32] G. Minatti, F. Caminita, and S. Maci, in *2010 IEEE Anten[nas and Propagation Society International Symposium](https://doi.org/10.1109/APS.2010.5562135)* (IEEE, Toronto, ON, Canada, 2010), p. 1.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[33] G. Minatti, F. Caminita, M. Casaletti, and S. Maci, Spiral leaky-wave antennas based on modulated surface impedance, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.2011.2165691) **59**, 4436 (2011).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[34] F. Puggelli, G. Minatti, Y. Vardaxoglou, and S. Maci, in *[2015 Loughborough Antennas Propagation Conference](https://doi.org/10.1109/LAPC.2015.7366115)* (IEEE, Loughborough, UK, 2015), p. 1.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[35] H. Lee and D.-H. Kwon, Large and efficient unidirectional plane-wave–surface-wave metasurface couplers based on [modulated reactance surfaces,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.165142) Phys. Rev. B **103**, 165142 (2021).
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[36] A. Epstein and G. V. Eleftheriades, Synthesis of Passive Lossless Metasurfaces using Auxiliary Fields for Reflec[tionless Beam Splitting and Perfect Reflection,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.256103) Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 256103 (2016).
- [37] D.-H. Kwon and S. A. Tretyakov, Arbitrary beam control using passive lossless metasurfaces enabled by orthogo[nally polarized custom surface waves,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.035439) Phys. Rev. B **97**, 035439 (2018).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[38] V. G. Ataloglou and G. V. Eleftheriades, in *Proc. IEEE Int. [Symp. Antennas Propag. North Amer. Radio Sci. Meeting](https://doi.org/10.1109/IEEECONF35879.2020.9329557)* (IEEE, Montreal, QC, Canada, 2020), p. 905.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[39] A. Sharma and A. M. Wong, in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. [Antennas Propag. North Amer. Radio Sci. Meeting](https://doi.org/10.1109/APS/URSI47566.2021.9704134)* (IEEE, Singapore, 2021), p. 203.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[40] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supple [mental/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.19.044033](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.19.044033) for a sensitivity analysis of the beam-splitting metasurface discussed in Sec. [IV](#page-5-0) and for a design example of a dual-input/dualoutput modulated dielectric metasurface that anomalously reflects two incident waves at two desired directions.
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>[41] J. Richmond, Scattering by a dielectric cylinder of arbitrary cross section shape, [IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.1965.1138427) **13**, 334 (1965).
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>[42] C. A. Balanis, *Advanced Engineering Electromagnetics* (John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2012), 2nd ed.
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>[43] J. Sun, A. Dawood, W. J. Otter, N. M. Ridler, and S. Lucyszyn, Microwave characterization of low-loss FDM 3- D printed abs with dielectric-filled metal-pipe rectangular waveguide spectroscopy, IEEE Access **7**[, 95455 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2926717)