# Size-Dependent Grain-Boundary Scattering in Topological Semimetals

Nicholas A. Lanzillo,<sup>1,\*</sup> Utkarsh Bajpai,<sup>1</sup> Ion Garate,<sup>2</sup> and Ching-Tzu Chen<sup>3,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IBM Research, 257 Fuller Road, Albany, New York 12203, USA

<sup>2</sup>Département de Physique, Institut Quantique and Regroupement Québécois sur les Matériaux de Pointe,

Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec J1K 2R1, Canada

<sup>3</sup> IBM T.J. Watson Research Center, 1101 Kitchawan Road, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, USA

(Received 7 September 2021; revised 4 April 2022; accepted 15 August 2022; published 21 September 2022)

We assess the viability of topological semimetals for application in advanced interconnect technology, where conductor size is on the order of a few nanometers and grain boundaries are expected to be prevalent. We investigate the electron transport properties and grain-boundary scattering in thin films of the topological semimetals CoSi and CoGe using first-principles calculations combined with the nonequilibrium Green's function (NEGF) technique. Unlike conventional interconnect metals such as Cu and Al, we find that CoSi and CoGe conduct primarily through topologically protected surface states in thin-film structures even in the presence of grain boundaries. The area-normalized resistance decreases with decreasing film thickness for CoSi and CoGe thin films both with and without grain boundaries; a trend opposite to that of the conventional metals Cu and Al. The surface-dominated transport mechanisms in thin films of topological semimetals with grain boundaries does not follow the classic resistivity size effect, and suggests that these materials may be promising candidates for applications as nanointerconnects where high electrical resistivity acts as a major bottleneck limiting semiconductor device performance.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevApplied.18.034053

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Interconnects in advanced technology nodes are subject to ever-increasing electrical resistivity as physical dimensions continue to aggressively shrink. This so-called resistivity size effect is driven in large part by grainboundary scattering and surface scattering as interconnect dimensions shrink below the bulk electron mean free path [1–4]. High interconnect resistance acts as a bottleneck in achieving favorable semiconductor device performance and has motivated the search for alternative metals in next-generation logic technologies [5–8]. While elemental metals such as Co, Ru, Mo, and Pt have received considerable attention as potential replacements for state-of-the-art Cu interconnects, topological semimetals have recently been identified as promising candidates for interconnect applications due to their unusual scaling properties [9].

Topological semimetals are a class of matter, which possess nontrivial bulk band-structure topology, yielding disorder-tolerant surface states passing through the band crossing points [10-13]. Unlike conventional metals, in topological semimetals the conduction and valence bands touch only at discrete nodal points (called *Weyl*  *nodes* when they are twofold degenerate) or along onedimensional lines in the Brillouin zone. The band crossing points in topological semimetals appear in pairs with opposite chirality that are connected to surface states called *Fermi arcs*, which are a defining feature of this class of material [11].

The surface states of topological semimetals can contribute to electron conduction with the same order of magnitude as bulk states [14], while being robust against small perturbations such as local defects, impurities, and minor structural distortions [9]. In thin-film samples of certain topological semimetals such as CoSi, the surface conduction dominates over the bulk conduction, resulting in a different paradigm of resistivity scaling in sharp contrast to conventional metals [9].

In conventional metals, electron scattering increases as thin-film thickness decreases due to the increased contributions of surface scattering and grain boundaries, giving rise to an increase in resistivity. In topological semimetals, e.g., CoSi and CoGe, however, we find that the resistivity decreases with decreasing film thickness, even in the presence of grain boundaries, owing to their surface-dominated transport channels. This trend, which is opposite to the well-known resistivity size effect of conventional metals, makes topological semimetals of particular interest for technological applications where conductors with

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. nalanzil@us.ibm.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. cchen3@us.ibm.com

critically small dimensions are faced with ever-increasing electrical resistivity [1,2,4,15]. For this reason, topological semimetals such as CoSi and NbAs are being explored as potential replacements for conventional metals such as Cu in advanced interconnect technology [9,16].

In this paper, we evaluate the electron scattering characteristics at grain boundaries in thin films of two representative topological semimetals (CoSi and CoGe, both of which are prototypical multifold fermion semimetals [17-21]) relative to two conventional interconnect metals (Cu and Al) whose grain-boundary scattering is already well understood from a theoretical point of view [22–24]. We find that total electron transmission decreases with decreasing film thicknesses in conventional metals as well as topological semimetals whether or not a grain boundary is present, but with different rates for topological versus conventional metals. As a result, in the cases of CoSi and CoGe, we find that grain-boundary-specific resistivity ( $\gamma_{GB}$ ) actually decreases with decreasing film thickness, whereas the opposite trend is observed for Cu and Al. This is attributed to the topologically protected surface states in CoSi and CoGe, which are not as susceptible to the bulklike grain-boundary scattering mechanism observed in both Cu and Al. These results suggest that topological semimetals may be promising candidates for next-generation interconnects with critically small feature size.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we discuss the computational methods employed in our study. In Sec. III(A), we discuss grain-boundary scattering in the bulk for conventional metals and topological semimetals. In Sec. III(B), we then discuss grain-boundary scattering in thin films of conventional metals and topological semimetals. Finally, we have concluding remarks in Sec. IV.

#### **II. METHODS**

We perform simulations based on density-functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the Synopsys QuantumATK software package [25]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) is employed for the exchangecorrelation functional [26] and we use a cutoff energy of 75.0  $E_h$  for all elements as well as the double- $\zeta$  polarized basis set, which has been demonstrated to give accurate results for the metallic elements considered here [27–31]. Spin-orbit interactions are small in these materials, so they have been neglected in our calculations.

We consider both Cu and Al in the face-centered-cubic crystal structure with equilibrium lattice constants of 3.61 and 4.05 Å, respectively. We take the simple cubic crystal structure of both CoSi and CoGe with lattice constants of 4.443 and 4.653 Å, respectively (see Fig. 1 below.) For ease of comparison, we consider twin (100)/(100) grain boundaries in all four structures, consisting of two (100) surfaces with normal vectors antiparallel to one another



FIG. 1. (a) Unit cell depicting the simple cubic crystal structure of CoSi and CoGe. (b) Brillouin zone depicting points of high symmetry. (c) Electronic band structure of bulk CoSi and (d) CoGe.

(shown later in Sec. II.) Relaxed grain-boundary structures are obtained by fixing all atoms except for the four atomic layers closest to the grain-boundary interface. The fixed atoms are allowed to move as a rigid unit but cannot relax individually. Lastly, the geometries of all structures are relaxed until the forces acting on the ions are less than 0.05 eV/Å prior to transport calculations.

We consider unstrained crystals. Recent electronic structure calculations [32] show that in the presence of biaxial strain, the multifold fermions of CoSi split into multiple Weyl fermions. The Fermi arcs located on the (001) surface are found to be robust under strain, at least up to 2%. Based on this finding, we anticipate that strain will have a quantitative, but not qualitative, impact on our results.

Quantum transport calculations are carried out using the nonequilibrium Green's function (NEGF) approach [33]. Structures used for transport consist of semi-infinite left or right electrode regions and a central scattering region. For bulk transport calculations, we use *k*-point sampling of  $11 \times 11 \times 301$  for Cu and Al and sampling of  $5 \times 5 \times 301$  for CoSi and CoGe. For thin-film calculations we use *k*-point sampling of  $1 \times 7 \times 301$  for all structures. We check that increasing the number of *k* points affects the calculated values of transmission by less than 2%.

In the NEGF formalism employed in this work, the electronic conductance G (per spin channel) is calculated using the linear-response expression for the electronic current I = GV, where V is the small externally applied bias voltage. In such a scenario

$$G = \frac{1}{R} = \frac{e^2}{h}T(E_F),\tag{1}$$

where  $T(E_F) = \sum_i T_i(E_F)$  is the total electron transmission obtained as a sum over  $T_i(E_F)$  that represents the electron transmission for a transport channel *i*;  $E_F$  is the Fermi energy of the system; and *R* is the corresponding resistance. For the thin films considered in our work, the resistance *R* helps us to evaluate the so-called grain-boundary-specific resistivity  $\gamma_{\text{GB}}$  defined using the well-established convention [8,22,24,34].

$$\gamma_{\rm GB} = A_{\rm thin \ film} (R_{\rm GB} - R_{\rm pristine}), \qquad (2)$$

where  $A_{\text{thin film}}$  is the area of cross section of the thin film,  $R_{\text{GB}}$  is its resistance in the presence of a grain boundary, and  $R_{\text{pristine}}$  is the corresponding resistance for a pristine sample where the grain boundary is absent. Equation (2) allows one to isolate the resistance contribution that originates solely due to the grain boundary by subtracting out the Sharvin resistance [35] of the pristine thin films where grain boundary is absent.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We first characterize bulk grain-boundary scattering in both conventional metals (Cu, Al) and topological semimetals (CoSi, CoGe) at a representative grain boundary. Since Cu, Al, CoSi, and CoGe all share a cubic crystal structure, we construct the (100)/(100) grain boundary for all of them, followed by a characterization of electron transport across the (100)/(100) grain boundary. We find the (100) surface of CoSi has the lowest surface energy  $(12.8 \text{ eV/nm}^2)$  relative to other possible surface terminations, which motivates the choice of the (100)/(100)grain boundary, and the same grain boundary is chosen for the conventional metals (which have a face-centered-cubic lattice) for ease of comparison.

# A. Electron scattering at grain boundaries in bulk metals

We begin by characterizing the bulk electronic structure of our two representative topological semimetals: CoSi and CoGe. Both semimetals have a simple cubic crystal structure and the corresponding Brillouin zone, as depicted in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. The electronic band structures of CoSi and CoGe are shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d), respectively. We see that both semimetals have bands crossing near the Fermi energy. The band crossing at the zone center ( $\Gamma$  point) and at the zone corner (R point) form a pair of topological band crossings. The associated Chern numbers of  $\pm 2$  guarantee that there are two surface bands per spin and per surface connecting between the nodes, providing additional conducting channels in conjunction to channels from bulk bands.

For the case of Cu as a representative conventional metal, an atomic scale representation of the (100)/(100) grain boundary is shown in Fig. 2(a) with the electrodes



FIG. 2. Impact of grain boundary on electron scattering in Cu. (a) Depiction of a (100)/(100) grain boundary in bulk Cu. (b) Momentum-resolved transmission spectra per spin for electron transport along bulk Cu(100) without a grain boundary. (c) Momentum-resolved transmission spectra per spin for electron transport across a bulk Cu (100)/(100) grain boundary. In (b),(c),  $k_A$  and  $k_B$  denote the momenta in the plane perpendicular to the transmission (per spin) in the forward direction because only one spin-degenerate band crosses the Fermi level.

for NEGF calculations shown on either side. We consider electron transmission across this interface  $(T_{GB})$  as well as transport along the (100) direction in a pristine sample  $(T_{\text{pristine}})$  where the grain boundary is absent. Values of transmission are converted to resistance using Eq. (1) such that  $G = 2e^2/hT_{\text{total}} = 1/R$  where  $T_{\text{total}} = \sum_i T_i$ . The k-resolved electron transmission for both the pristine (100) case and the (100)/(100) grain boundary in bulk Cu are shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), respectively. For electron transport along (100) in pristine Cu, we see essentially uniform electron transmission with  $T(k_A, k_B) = 1$  throughout the Brillouin zone, as shown in Fig. 2(b), which is consistent with the nearly isotropic Fermi surface in Cu. However, when the (100)/(100) grain boundary is introduced [as shown in Fig. 2(c)] we see localized regions in the Brillouin zone with lower transmission, but most of the other regions are undisturbed.

Next, we turn to the electron transmission across the (100)/(100) grain boundary in bulk CoSi. An atomic scale representation of the grain boundary as well as the electrode regions for NEGF calculations is shown in Fig. 3(a). In stark contrast to the case of Cu in Fig. 2, we see that the *k*-resolved electron transmission for transport along the (100) direction in bulk CoSi is essentially zero everywhere in the Brillouin zone except at the pockets in the corners where the bulk bands cross the Fermi level [as seen in Fig. 1(c)]. This is because in contrast to normal metals, the bulk bands in CoSi cross the Fermi level only



FIG. 3. Impact of grain boundary on electron scattering in CoSi (a) Depiction of a (100)/(100) grain boundary in bulk CoSi. (b) Momentum-resolved transmission spectra for electron transport along bulk CoSi(100) without a grain boundary. (c) Momentum-resolved transmission spectra for electron transport across a bulk CoSi (100)/(100) grain boundary. Here,  $k_A$  and  $k_B$  denote the momenta in the plane perpendicular to the transport direction. In contrast to Cu, each k point near the zone corners contributes two channels of transmission (per spin) in the forward direction because two spin-degenerate bands cross the Fermi level.

near the zone center and the zone corners, with a negligible density of states (DOS) near the  $\Gamma$  point [as seen in Fig. 1(c)], resulting in a significantly smaller number of bulk states available for transport. On the other hand, for transport across the (100)/(100) grain boundary in bulk CoSi, we see that the electron transmission at the pockets in the corners of the Brillouin zone is sustained but its magnitude is reduced from a value of 2.0 to 1.0 [Figs. 3(b) and 3(c)], consistent with a roughly 50% reduction of average transmission in CoSi when a grain boundary is introduced (Table I.) The properties related to bulk grain-boundary scattering for our conventional metals and topological semimetals are listed in Table I.

## B. Electron scattering at grain boundaries in thin films

Next, we investigate electron scattering in thin-film structures both with and without grain boundaries. These films are intended to be representative of ultrascaled interconnects used in advanced semiconductor technologies, where conductor feature size is on the order of a few nanometers and nonidealities such as grain boundaries are expected to be prevalent. For the cases of Cu and Al, we construct thin-film unit cells with film thickness varying between three atomic layers (less than 1 nm) and 15 atomic layers (more than 4 nm) and the electron transport direction is oriented along (100) direction in all cases [the z axis is parallel to (100) in Figs. 4 and 5.] For a given thin-film thickness, we consider the pristine structures as well as the structures with a (100)/(100) grain boundary present. Atomic scale representations of the thin-film structures for the case of Cu are shown in Fig. 4. The supercells for Al are identical except for the lattice constant. All four structures are cubic, which implies that the surface termination of the thin films is such that the normal vector also points along the (100) direction. We find no appreciable geometric relaxation in any of the Cu or Al thin-film structures other than the few atomic layers immediately surrounding the grain boundary. In a similar fashion, we constructed supercells for CoSi and CoGe thin films ranging from three atomic layers to 15 atomic layers. Atomic scale images of the supercells for the case of CoSi are shown in Fig. 5. Similar to the cases of Cu and Al, we find only minor geometric relaxation in the immediate vicinity of the grain boundary, and the atoms remain in their bulklike configuration.

For each thin film, we calculate the electron transmission using the NEGF formalism described in Sec. II. The calculated values of electron transmission for each thinfilm structure both with and without a grain boundary are plotted in Fig. 6. We see that for our conventional metals [Figs. 6(a) and 6(b)] as well as topological semimetals [Figs. 6(c) and 6(d)], the electron transmission increases linearly with thin-film thickness. For the case of the conventional metals Cu and Al, this is consistent with previous reports in the literature in which the number of conducting channels has been found to increase linearly with conductor cross-section area, indicative of the increasing number

TABLE I. Summary of the transmission calculations for bulk Cu, Al, CoSi, and CoGe. *P*, pristine; GB, grain boundary. *A* refers to the cross-section area of the supercell and is in units of Å<sup>2</sup>, transmission is dimensionless, and both *RA* and  $\gamma_{GB}$  are in units of  $1 \times 10^{-12} \Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup>. Average transmission is defined as the transmission per spin averaged over the *k* points in the projected two-dimensional Brillouin zone perpendicular to the transport direction.

Metal	Cu		Al		CoSi		CoGe	
	Р	GB	Р	GB	Р	GB	Р	GB
Area (A)	6.53	6.53	8.18	8.18	19.69	19.69	21.62	21.62
Т	0.92	0.80	1.63	1.01	0.09	0.05	0.21	0.06
RA	9.16	10.53	6.47	10.45	282.37	508.26	132.87	465.07
γ <sub>GB</sub>		1.37		3.97		225.89		332.19



FIG. 4. Cu thin-film structures used for transport calculations, ranging from the thinnest, three-atomic-layer-thick structures without (a) and with (b) a (100)/(100) grain boundary, as well as the thickest, 15-atomic-layer-thick structures without (c) and with (d) a (100)/(100) grain boundary. The dotted lines denote the semi-infinite electrode regions. The transport direction (100) is oriented along the *z* axis.

of quantum well (bulk) states in the thin films [29,36-38]. Furthermore, we perform a straight-line fit (y = mx + b) to the electron transmission curves in Fig. 6, where the slope of the straight lines for CoSi and CoGe are an order of magnitude smaller than the slopes for Cu and Al, indicating that the electron transmission grows more slowly as a



FIG. 5. CoSi thin-film structures used for transport calculations, ranging from the thinnest, three-atomic-layer-thick structures without (a) and with (b) a (100)/(100) grain boundary, as well as the thickest, 15-atomic-layer-thick structures without (c) and with (d) a (100)/(100) grain boundary. The dotted lines denote the semi-infinite electrode regions.



FIG. 6. Electron transmission as a function of thin-film thickness for (a) Cu, (b) Al, (c) CoSi, and (d) CoGe. The black lines are for pristine thin films and the blue lines are for thin films with (100)/(100) grain boundaries. The equations of the best fit straight lines are included for each case.

function of film thickness. We attribute this to the comparatively low bulk electronic DOS in CoSi and CoGe at the Fermi level [as discussed in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c)].

Typically, as the film thickness increases, the bulklike contribution plays a larger role, but this impact is muted in the topological semimetals relative to the conventional metals. In contrast, we see that while the yintercepts (representing the transmission at zero-film thickness) for the two conventional metals is nearly zero, it almost exactly approaches a value of 2 for the pristine topological semimetals CoSi and CoGe [Figs. 6(c) and 6(d)]. This indicates that there are two channels of surface states (per spin) contributing to the electron transmission in pristine CoSi and CoGe slabs. The surface states are the only remaining contribution to transport in the limit of a very thin film; as long as the film thickness is larger than the total penetration depth of the surface states of approximately equal to five atomic layers (see Fig. 7 for the local density of states of CoSi at the Fermi level as a function of depth from the surface), contributions of the surface states to transmission remain largely constant. Furthermore, in the thickness range under study, the surface-state contribution to the total transmission dominates over the bulk-state contribution in CoSi and CoGe thin films, which reflects that the Fermi arcs of the topological surface states span a much larger region in k space than the Fermi surfaces of the bulk states [9].

For our conventional metals as well as topological semimetals, the slopes of the curves representing electron transmission across a (100)/(100) grain boundary are less than the corresponding curves for pristine samples. This is due to grain-boundary scattering. There is again an



FIG. 7. Two-dimensional projection of the local density of states (LDOS) at the Fermi level for ten-atomic-layer-thick thin films of (a) Cu and (b) CoSi.

order-of-magnitude difference in the slope between grainboundary transmission curves for conventional metals relative to CoSi and CoGe, reflecting the order-of-magnitude difference in their bulk DOS. Furthermore, in CoSi and CoGe, the *y* intercepts of transmission per spin also reduce, indicative of grain-boundary scattering of the topological surface-state electrons.

To better illustrate the contrasting bulk-dominated versus surface-dominated transport in conventional metals versus topological semimetals, we plot the local electronic density of states (LDOS) for ten-atomic-layer-thick thin films of both Cu and CoSi with grain boundaries in Fig. 7. It is immediately clear upon inspection of Fig. 7 that Cu has a relatively uniform LDOS at the Fermi level throughout the interior of the thin film with a slight increase toward the surfaces, while the CoSi thin film has a significantly higher LDOS at the surfaces compared to the interior of the thin film. The CoSi thin film also shows increased LDOS in the region immediately surrounding the grain boundary, providing pathways for backscattering of the topological surface states, consistent with the reduced y intercepts in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d).

Next, we discuss the k-resolved transmission for thin films of Cu and CoSi as representative conventional metals and topological semimetals, respectively. The k-resolved transmission for thin films with thicknesses of 5, 10, and 15 atomic layers of both Cu and CoSi are shown in Fig. 8, where black lines correspond to pristine thin films and blue lines correspond to thin films with (100)/(100)grain boundaries present. The x axis is the Brillouin-zone momentum  $k_A$ , which is perpendicular to the transport direction and parallel to the film surface. For the case of CoSi [Figs. 8(a) through 8(c)] we observe only discrete values of electron transmission along  $k_A$  in the pristine thin films, demonstrating the quantized nature of electron transport in a defect-free structure. We also observe that as the thin-film thickness increases, the two localized peaks in Fig. 8(a) with the highest electron transmission gradually



FIG. 8. *k*-resolved transmission spectra for Cu and CoSi thinfilm structure, ranging from five-atomic-layers thick, ten-atomiclayers thick and 15-atomic-layers thick. Black curves are for pristine thin films while blue curves are for thin films with (100)/(100) grain boundaries.

shift toward the boundaries of the Brillouin zone, where  $k_x = 0.5$ . This trend originates from the increasing number of bulk quantum well states near the zone corner with film thickness. Most of the bulk bands crossing the Fermi level emanate from the zone corner because the topological band crossing at the *R* point [Fig. 1(c)] is approximately 150 meV below the Fermi level.

In the limit of infinite film thickness, we expect these peaks to become localized near the Brillouin-zone corner, which is precisely what we observe in the bulk k-resolved transmission shown in Fig. 3(b), where we see only pockets of high transmission in the corners of the two-dimensional Brillouin zone. We also note that the transmission plateaus of T = 1, T = 2, and T = 3 per spin for the pristine films do not change as the thin-film thickness is modulated, which is because the transmission in this region originates from the surface-state transmission. When a grain boundary is introduced in the thin films, the surface states are no longer topologically protected and can travel along the grain boundary to the opposite surface. This opens up backscattering channels and results in degraded electron transmission observed in the blue curves in Figs. 8(a) - 8(c).

The *k*-resolved transmission of the Cu thin films shows different behavior than the CoSi thin films. While the values of transmission [indicated by the peaks and valleys in Fig. 8(c) through 8(e)] are still quantized at integer values of electron transmission, we see many more peaks and valleys, as well as significantly higher electronic density of states in Cu relative to CoSi due to the significantly higher DOS at the Fermi level. In addition, we see that the average magnitude of the electron transmission rapidly increases as

the film becomes thicker, which is the result of more bulklike channels becoming available as the thin-film thickness increases. This is in stark contrast with the CoSi case, where the total transmission remains nearly unchanged with varying film thickness because electrons predominantly conduct through surface states, whereas bulk states contribute only through small pockets in the corners of the Brillouin zone.

Lastly, we quantify the impact of grain-boundary scattering in thin-film structures by calculating the specific resistivity of each grain boundary ( $\gamma_{GB}$ ) as a function of film thickness. The calculation of  $\gamma_{GB}$  is given in Eq. (2). The values of  $\gamma_{GB}$  for Cu, Al, CoSi, and CoGe thin films are plotted as a function of film thickness up to 5 nm in Fig. 9. We see that the values of  $\gamma_{GB}$  are essentially flat for the conventional metals Cu and Al until the film thickness becomes extremely small (< 2 nm), in which case the values start to increase. For the cases of CoSi and CoGe, on the other hand, we see that the values of  $\gamma_{\rm GB}$  decrease significantly as the film thickness decreases; behavior opposite to what is observed in the conventional metals. In bulk CoSi and CoGe, where transport is dominated by the bulk states, grain-boundary scattering has a significant impact on electron transmission and on the bulk RA product. In thin films, however, the surface-to-bulk ratio gets larger and the topologically protected surface states dominate the overall electron transport. The total transmission of the topological surface states across the grain boundary remains nearly constant regardless of the film thickness. Therefore, the total conductance per unit



FIG. 9. Grain-boundary-specific resistivity as a function of thin-film thickness for (a) Cu, (b) Al, (c) CoSi, and (d) CoGe. Note that, with decreasing film thickness,  $\gamma_{GB}$  increases in Cu and Al, while it decreases in CoSi and CoGe. Besides, the bulk limit of grain-boundary-specific resistivity is reached at a much smaller thickness in Cu and Al because their carrier densities are much higher and, thus, the Fermi wavelengths are much smaller than in CoSi and CoGe.

area increases with decreasing film thickness even in the presence of grain boundaries, giving rise to decreasing  $\gamma_{GB}$  [cf. Figs. 9(c) and 9(d)].

While prior studies have shown that ultrathin films of topological insulators and certain topological semimetals eventually self-gap as the result of quantum confinement and coupling and overlap between Dirac states on opposite surfaces [39–41], others have observed robust gapless surface states and remnant conductivity in the zero-thickness limit in topological semimetal Sb [42]. Our simulation results for CoSi and CoGe indicate that these materials retain conducting surface states even in the ultrathin limit. This can be seen in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d), where the nonzero *y* intercept represents remnant conductivity in the zero-thickness limit, consistent with the decreased grain-boundary-specific resistivity in Figs. 9(c) and 9(d). In contrast, in the presence of an ultrathin-film-induced band-gap opening, we would expect the grain-boundaryspecific resistivity curves in Figs. 9(c) and 9(d) to increase rather than decrease as thickness approaches zero. As a final confirmation, we calculate the two-dimensional Fermi surface for four-atomic-layer-thick CoSi, where we see that the surface states persist. Since these conducting states originate from the topological surface states (not the bulk states), the number of conducting channels does not extrapolate to zero in the zero-thickness limit.

While the grain boundaries studied in this work are simplified structures consisting of two antiparallel (100) surfaces, recent studies have highlighted the impact of tilt on grain-boundary-specific resistivity, finding correlation between dislocation density, local strain, and resistivity [43]. We anticipate that more complicated grain-boundary structures in topological semimetals may have quantitatively different values of specific resistivity but are expected qualitatively to follow the same scaling trends identified in this work.

In this work, we study only models without spin-orbit coupling (SOC) for CoSi and CoGe. When SOC is considered, Chern numbers of  $\Gamma$  and R become  $\pm 4$  [18]. The topological surface bands are split and their E-k dispersions vary slightly. Nevertheless, the total number of the Fermi arcs participating in transport remains the same: again, four arcs in total, two from [0,0] to  $[\pi, \pi]$ and two from [0,0] to  $[-\pi, -\pi]$ . Both the number of conducting channels and the traveling directions of electrons in the split surface bands remain the same as those of the unsplit bands. Therefore, qualitatively the trend of decreasing RA and decreasing grain-boundary-specific resistivity with scaling persists in the presence of SOC. Experimentally, since the SOC-induced energy splitting is within the energy broadening of the CoSi samples, ARPES cannot resolve the Fermi-arc splitting [19,20]. Thus, within the experimental tolerance, the electronic states near the Fermi level are well described by a model without SOC.

Lastly, we comment on existing studies on materials with well-documented grain boundary characterization, which are now known to be topological in nature. A prime example is antimony (Sb), which has been identified as a topological semimetal [40-42]. Several studies have characterized Sb thin films as polycrystalline with smaller grains found in thinner films [44,45]. If materials such as Sb were to be used as interconnects with very small dimensions (on the order of nanometers), the grain size would be expected to be quite small. Our results show that grainboundary resistivity decreases for these very thin films. Thus, grain boundaries would be expected to become more prevalent but less resistive in highly scaled topological semimetals such as Sb. Since these trends are in opposite directions, the scaling of total resistivity would ultimately depend on the balance between the two and the details of the surface transport.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

We investigate the electron scattering characteristics at grain boundaries in thin films of two topological semimetals (CoSi and CoGe) evaluated as potential materials for next-generation interconnects. We find that relative to conventional interconnect metals such as Cu and Al, the bulk grain-boundary-specific resistivity of CoSi and CoGe is roughly 2 orders of magnitude larger due to the significantly lower bulk DOS. On the other hand, in CoSi and CoGe thin films with thickness up to 5 nm, we find that the grain-boundary-specific resistivity decreases with decreasing film thickness, opposite to the trend in conventional metals Cu and Al. This is because the electron transport in the topological semimetals CoSi and CoGe is primarily surface dominated for both pristine structure and those containing grain boundaries. This different size scaling behavior of grain-boundary-specific resistivity in the topological semimetals sets them apart from conventional metals in terms of the resistivity bottleneck at critically small dimensions, thus suggesting that they may hold promise in advanced interconnect technologies where grain boundaries are prevalent and resistivity reduction is essential for high performance. It is hence imperative that we explore a wide variety of topological semimetals to identify materials with a sufficiently large density of topological surface states, so that not only the scaling trend, but also the magnitude of the grain-boundary-specific resistivity can drop below that of conventional interconnect metals such as Cu for highly scaled technology applications.

Future experimental studies will focus on investigating grain-boundary density in CoSi thin films and the impact on resistivity scaling. Future theoretical studies should focus on identifying topological materials with a maximum number of surface-conducting channels. This could include materials with a maximum number of pairs of Weyl nodes, maximum Chern number, maximum Fermi arc length in the Brilluoin zone, or maximum density of Fermi arcs in the Brillouin zone.

- K. Fuchs, The conductivity of thin metallic films according to the electron theory of metals, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 34, 100 (1938).
- [2] E. Sondheimer, The mean free path of electrons in metals, Adv. Phys. 1, 1 (1952).
- [3] A. Mayadas and M. Shatzkes, Electrical-resistivity model for polycrystalline films: The case of arbitrary reflection at external surfaces, Phys. Rev. B 1, 1382 (1970).
- [4] D. Josell, S. Brongersma, and Z. Tokei, Size-dependent resistivity in nanoscale interconnects, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 39, 231 (2009).
- [5] N. Lanzillo, R. Sengupta, and D. Dechene, Comprehensive BEOL Performance Assessment: Interconnects Optimized for Signal Routing and Power Delivery in Advanced CMOS Technology Nodes (2020).
- [6] T. Nogami, et al., Comparison of key fine-line BEOL metallization schemes for beyond 7nm node, Symposium on VLSI Technology, T148–T149 (2017).
- [7] K. Sankaran, S. Clima, M. Mees, and G. Pourtois, Exploring alternative metals to Cu and W for interconnects applications using automated first-principles simulations, ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol. 4, N3137 (2015).
- [8] N. Lanzillo, Ab initio evaluation of electron transport properties of Pt, Rh, Ir and Pd nanowires for advanced interconnect applications, J. Appl. Phys. 121, 175104 (2017).
- [9] C.-T. Chen, U. Bajpai, N. Lanzillo, C.-H. Hsu, H. Lin, and G. Liang, Topological semimetals for scaled back-end-ofline interconnect beyond Cu, Proc. International Electron Devices Meeting (IEDM), 32.4 (2020).
- [10] N. Armitage, E. Mele, and A. Vishwanath, Weyl and Dirac semimetals in three-dimensional solids, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 015001 (2018).
- [11] S. Jia, S.-Y. Xu, and M. Hasan, Weyl semimetals, Fermi arcs and chiral anomalies, Nat. Mater. 15, 1140 (2016).
- [12] M. Hasan, G. Chang, I. Belopolski, G. Bian, S.-Y. Xu, and J.-X. Yin, Weyl, Dirac and high-fold chiral fermions in topological quantum matter, Nat. Rev. Mater. 6, 784 (2021).
- [13] A. Burkov, M. Hook, and L. Balents, Topological nodal semimetals, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235126 (2011).
- [14] M. Breitkreiz and P. Brouwer, Large Contribution of Fermi Arcs to the Conductivity of Topological Metals, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 066804 (2019).
- [15] R. Smith, E. Ryan, C. Hu, K. Motoyama, N. Lanzillo, D. Metzler, L. Jiang, J. Demarest, R. Quon, L. Gignac, C. Breslin, A. Giannetta, and S. Wright, An evaluation of Fuchs-Sondheimer and Mayadas-Shatzkes models below 14nm node wide lines, AIP Adv. 9, 025015 (2019).
- [16] C. Zhang, Z. Ni, J. Zhang, X. Yuan, Y. Liu, Y. Zou, Z. Liao, Y. Du, A. Narayan, H. Zhang, T. Gu, X. Zhu, L. Pi, S. Sanvito, X. Han, J. Zou, Y. Shi, X. Wan, S. Savrasov, and F. Xiu, Ultrahigh conductivity in Weyl semimetal NbAs nanobelts, Nat. Mater. 18, 482 (2019).
- [17] P. Tang, Q. Zhou, and S.-C. Zhang, Multiple Types of Topological Fermions in Transition Metal Silicides, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 206402 (2017).

- [18] G. Chang, S.-Y. Xu, B. Wieder, D. Sanchez, S.-M. Huang, I. Belopolski, T.-R. Chang, S. Zhang, A. Bansil, H. Lin, and M. Hasan, Unconventional Chiral Fermions and Large Topological Fermi Arcs in RhSi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 206401 (2017).
- [19] Z. Rao, *et al.*, Observation of unconventional chiral fermions with long Fermi arcs in CoSi, Nature 567, 496 (2019).
- [20] D. Sanchez, *et al.*, Topological chiral crystals with helicoidarc quantum states, Nature 567, 500 (2019).
- [21] N. Schroter, D. Pei, M. Vergniory, Y. Sun, K. Manna, F. de Juan, J. Krieger, V. Suss, M. Schmidt, P. Dudin, B. Bradlyn, T. Kim, T. Schmitt, C. Cacho, C. Felser, V. Strocov, and Y. Chen, Chiral topological semimetal with multifold band crossings and long Fermi arcs, Nat. Phys. 15, 759 (2019).
- [22] M. Cesar, D. Liu, D. Gall, and H. Guo, Calculated Resistances of Single Grain Boundaries in Copper, Phys. Rev. Appl. 2, 044007 (2014).
- [23] T. Zho, N. Lanzillo, P. Bhosale, D. Gall, and R. Quon, A first-principles analysis of ballistic conductance, grain boundary scattering and vertical resistance in aluminum interconnects, AIP Adv. 8, 055127 (2018).
- [24] M. Cesar, D. Gall, and H. Guo, Reducing Grain-Boundary Resistivity of Copper Nanowires by Doping, Phys. Rev. Appl. 5, 054018 (2016).
- [25] QuantumATK version 2017.12, Synopsys QuantumATK.
- [26] J. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [27] N. Lanzillo, O. Restrepo, P. Bhosale, E. Cruz-Silva, C.-C. Yang, B. Kim, T. Spooner, T. Standaert, C. Child, G. Bonilla, and K. Murali, Electron scattering at interfaces in nano-scale vertical interconnects: A combined experimental and ab initio study, Appl. Phys. Lett. **112**, 163107 (2018).
- [28] N. Lanzillo, B. Briggs, R. Robison, T. Standaert, and C. Lavoie, Electron transport across Cu/Ta(O)/Ru(O)/Cu interfaces in advanced vertical interconnects, Comput. Mater. Sci. 158, 398 (2019).
- [29] G. Hegde, R. Bowen, and M. Rodder, Lower limits of line resistance in back end of line Cu interconnects, Appl. Phys. Lett. 109, 193106 (2016).
- [30] N. Lanzillo, T. Standaert, and C. Lavoie, Electronic and structural analysis of ultra-small-diameter metal disilicide nanowires, J. Appl. Phys. 121, 194301 (2017).
- [31] S. Jones, A. Sanchez-Soares, J. Plombon, A. Kaushik, R. Nagle, J. Clarke, and J. Greer, Electron transport properties of sub-3nm diameter copper nanowires, Phys. Rev. B 92, 115413 (2015).

- [32] A. Bose and A. Narayan, Strain-induced topological charge control in multifold fermion systems, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 33, 375002 (2021).
- [33] H. Hang and A.-P. Jauho, *Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics of Semiconductors* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2008).
- [34] N. Lanzillo, H. Dixit, E. Milosevic, C. Niu, A. Carr, P. Oldiges, M. Raymond, J. Cho, T. Standaert, and V. Kamineni, Defect and grain boundary scattering in tungsten: A combined theoretical and experimental study, J. Appl. Phys. 123, 154303 (2018).
- [35] D. Gall, Electron mean free path in elemental metals, J. Appl. Phys. 119, 085101 (2016).
- [36] Y. Zhou, S. Sreekala, P. Ajayan, and S. Nayak, Resistance of copper nanowires and comparison with carbon nanotube bundles for interconnect applications using first principles calculations, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 095209 (2008).
- [37] A. Simbeck, N. Lanzillo, N. Kharche, M. Verstraete, and S. Nayak, Al conducts better than Cu at the atomic scale: A first-principles study of metallic atomic wires, ACS Nano 6, 10449 (2012).
- [38] B. Zho, Y. Xu, S. Wang, G. Zhou, and K. Xia, An ab initio investigation on boundary resistance for metallic grains, Solid State Commun. 150, 1422 (2010).
- [39] R. Chen, D.-H. Xu, and B. Zhou, Topological Anderson insulator phase in a Dirac-semimetal thin film, Phys. Rev. B 95, 245305 (2017).
- [40] G. Bian, X. Wang, Y. Liu, T. Miller, and T.-C. Chiang, Interfacial Protection of Topological Surface States in Ultrathin Sb Films, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 176401 (2012).
- [41] P. Zhang, Z. Liu, W. Duan, F. Liu, and J. Wu, Topological and electronic transitions in a Sb(111) nanofilm: The interplay between quantum confinement and surface effect, Phys. Rev. B 85, 201410R (2012).
- [42] S. Cairns, N. Teasdale, J. Kaey, C. Gaspe, K. Wickramasinghe, T. Mishima, M. Santos, and S. Murphy, Observation of surface-state transport in ultrathin Sb, Phys. Rev. B 91, 305317 (2015).
- [43] H. Bishara, S. Lee, T. Brink, M. Ghidelli, and G. Dehm, Understanding grain boundary electrical resistivity in Cu: The effect of boundary structure, ACS Nano 15, 16607 (2021).
- [44] D. Deschacht, A. Boyer, and E. Groubert, Effect of grain boundary scattering on the electrical conductivity of antimony films, Thin Solid Films 70, 311 (1980).
- [45] D. De, C. Ghosh, and A. Paul, Electric, galvanomagnetic and electrothermal properties of vacuum-evaporated antimony films, Thin Solid Films 110, 193 (1983).