Conformal Sparse Metasurfaces for Wavefront Manipulation

Vladislav Popov[®],^{1,*} Shah Nawaz Burokur[®],^{2,†} and Fabrice Boust[®],³

¹ SONDRA, CentraleSupélec, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette F-91190, France ² LEME, UPL, Univ Paris Nanterre, Ville d'Avray F92410, France ³ DEMR, ONERA, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau F-91123, France

(Received 28 January 2020; revised 12 July 2020; accepted 10 September 2020; published 6 October 2020)

The last decade was marked by the advent of the concepts of phase gradient and Huygens' metasurfaces, and simple theoretical models have been leading the research on metasurfaces ever since. Meanwhile, theoretical modeling of nonplanar metasurfaces has appeared to be exceptionally challenging where it demands accurate analysis of the metasurface geometry. The present work addresses this challenge with a radically different theoretical approach demonstrating how numerical calculation of Green's function can be employed to design conformal metasurfaces of arbitrary geometries within the same framework and without any accommodation. Against the classical concepts, the alternative approach permits building a metasurface of meta-atoms with an electric only response and significantly simplifying its design and fabrication. The theory is endorsed experimentally by testing several metasurface prototypes for different beam-forming functionalities: radiation with single or multiple beams in any desired direction.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevApplied.14.044007

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last two decades, metasurfaces that are thin twodimensional (2D) metamaterials have proven themselves as a powerful tool to tailor wavefronts [1,2]. A myriad of metasurface-based planar devices has been proposed and validated. Nowadays there is an increasing interest in conformal metasurfaces to perfectly match curved shapes [3–11]. As expected, the design and fabrication of conformal metasurfaces are more demanding compared to planar metasurfaces [6]. Adopting flexible substrates to implement metasurfaces opens an alternative way for integration with other elements and nonplanar designs, including lightweight wearable devices [6,8,10]. In addition, for example, microwave antennas based on conformal metasurfaces do not only allow one to meet the aerodynamic specifications of aircrafts and satellites [3,11] but also break the fundamental constraint of their planar counterparts. For instance, the aperture of a flat metasurface antenna vanishes when the beam-steering angle increases [12].

The design of a conformal metasurface is generally based on a geometrical optics approach [3-9,11], where a proper spatial distribution of *local* reflection (transmission) coefficient should be established along the reflecting (transmitting) metasurface. It has been revealed that

this heuristic approach has strong limitations in terms of efficiency and versatility [13,14]. On the other hand, more rigorously, metasurfaces can be described by means of *continuous* surface impedances [15,16]. Unfortunately, theoretical modeling of conformal metasurfaces appears to be exceptionally challenging, demanding accurate analysis of the metasurface geometry and dealing with curvilinear coordinates [10].

The contribution of this work is twofold. First, it is shown how numerical calculation of a Green function can be employed to design conformal *sparse* metasurfaces capable of creating arbitrary field patterns for arbitrary external excitations. The sparseness implies that the distance between neighboring meta-atoms is large enough to approximate polarization currents as a delta function and make the interaction between meta-atoms due to the macroscopic field (provided by a Green function) dominant over strongly localized microscopic fields (appearing when geometrical parts constituting meta-atoms come very close to each other).

The proposed approach does not use any complex local coordinate system matched to a particular geometry such that *arbitrarily shaped* metasurfaces can be considered without any accommodation.

Sparse metasurfaces possess a strongly nonlocal response [17–23] and can be described in terms of neither surface impedance nor local reflection and/or transmission coefficients thus not being subject to the same fundamental efficiency limitations as their "dense" counterparts [13,14,21,24,25].

^{*}physics.vlad@gmail.com

[†]sburokur@parisnanterre.fr

At the same time, the sparseness allows a *global* theoretical model to be established and microscopic insight into the theoretical analysis of conformal metasurfaces to be obtained. Secondly, how to realize these conformal sparse metasurfaces is detailed. To describe the design procedure, three semicylindrical sparse metasurfaces illuminated by an arbitrary complex wave configuration are experimentally demonstrated at microwave frequencies.

II. THEORY

Without loss of generality, we consider the case of TE polarization and a 2D geometry. A translation symmetry is assumed along one of the three spatial dimensions. We compose a sparse metasurface by a finite set of N loaded wires distributed along the surface of an *arbitrarily shaped* dielectric substrate. The wires are oriented along the translation-invariant direction. An example presented in Fig. 1 illustrates an array of loaded wires distributed along an arbitrarily shaped substrate. Microscopically, a loaded wire represents itself as a chain of subwavelength meta-atoms. On the other hand, macroscopically, we model the loaded wire as uniform and having a deeply subwavelength effective radius $r_{\rm eff}$.

We consider external sources radiating a background wave with the electric field directed along the x axis and exciting polarization currents in the loaded wires.

However, here we do not impose any condition on the external sources unlike plane-wave excitation used for the majority of metasurfaces presented in the literature. The polarization currents excited in the wires can shape the field radiated by a metasurface. The total electric field $E_x(\mathbf{r})$ can be split into two terms: the field $E_x^{(ext)}(\mathbf{r})$ due to external sources alone (in the absence of wires) and the field $E_x^{(sct)}(\mathbf{r})$ reradiated (scattered) by the polarization currents. The latter is found by means of a Green function $G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ of a system corresponding to a metasurfacebased device, which means that this Green function is not the one of free space. It is calculated in the presence of a curved substrate (backed with a metal sheet in the case of a



reflecting configuration) and other dielectric and/or metallic parts of the system. In the equation form, the Green function is defined as

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + k_0^2 \varepsilon_r(\mathbf{r})\right] G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = i\omega\mu_0\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (1)$$

where **r** and **r**' are 2D vectors in the y0z plane, μ_0 is the permeability of free space, k_0 is the wave number of free space, ω is the angular frequency, $\varepsilon_r(\mathbf{r})$ is the relative permittivity corresponding to dielectric and metallic parts of the metasurface-based device in the absence of the loaded wires. As the considered system does not have a translational symmetry in the 2D plane, a Green function $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_q)$ is a function of **r** and **r**' (not their difference).

Then, the scattered electric field can be represented as the convolution and since the wires are distributed only along the top face of an arbitrarily shaped substrate, the integration should be performed only over this face

$$E_x^{(\text{sct})}(\mathbf{r}) = \int G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') J_x(\mathbf{r}') d\mathbf{r}', \qquad (2)$$

where $J_x(\mathbf{r})$ is the electric current density corresponding to polarization currents in all wires. Following the assumption of infinitesimally thin wires, we approximate the current density as $J_x(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{q=1}^N I_q \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_q)$, where I_q and \mathbf{r}_q is the polarization line current excited in the *q*th wire and its position, respectively. Taking this into account, the total electric field can be written as

$$E_x(r,\phi) = E_x^{(\text{ext})}(r,\phi) + \sum_{q=1}^N G_{xx}(r,\phi;\mathbf{r}_q)I_q, \quad (3)$$

where *r* and ϕ are polar coordinates: radius and polar angle, respectively. The total field $E_x(r, \phi)$ is represented by the superposition of the wave radiated by external sources $E_x^{(\text{ext})}(r, \phi)$ and waves $G(r, \phi; \mathbf{r}_q)I_q$ scattered by the wires.

Sparse configuration of the metasurface allows one to accurately take into account the interactions between the wires via Ohm's law

$$Z_q I_q = E_x^{(\text{ext})}(\mathbf{r}_q) - \sum_{p=1}^N Z_{qp}^{(m)} I_p.$$
 (4)

A load-impedance density (or impedance per unit length of a wire) Z_q is a characteristic of a loaded wire and can be engineered, for instance, by tuning the geometrical parameters of meta-atoms constituting a wire. The right-hand side of Eq. (4) represents the total electric field at the position of the *q*th wire, where

$$Z_{qp}^{(m)} = -G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}_q, \mathbf{r}_p)$$
⁽⁵⁾

is the mutual-impedance density (the electric field created by the pth wire at the position of the qth wire). The separation between two neighboring wires can be arbitrary, as



long as polarization currents in the wires can be approximated by a 2D delta function, which limits the transverse size of a wire. On the other hand, the interaction between neighboring wires due to the macroscopic field $G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}_q, \mathbf{r}_p)$ should be much stronger than the coupling via a strongly localized microscopic near field. The latter appears when geometric parts constituting two neighboring wires come very close to each other. As demonstrated further, the simple model of δ function works surprisingly well even for complex designs.

The self-action of the qth wire and its interaction with the environment is accounted via

$$Z_{qq}^{(m)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi r_{\text{eff}}} \oint G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_q) d\mathbf{r}, \qquad (6)$$

where the integration is performed over the circumference of the wire of effective radius r_{eff} . Although being very simple, Eq. (4) has a practical implication: it allows one to know in advance the impact of one polarization current on another and to accordingly adjust the load-impedance densities. Conceptually, it means that the developed approach is *global*, being in strong contrast with conventional theoretical models of metasurfaces which are essentially local. Interaction between wires described via the matrix of mutual-impedance densities indicates that sparse metasurfaces are intrinsically strongly nonlocal, i.e., the current in the *q*th wire depends on the one in the *p*th wire.

Meanwhile, by appropriately choosing Z_q and the number of wires N, we are then able to tailor the field at will.

Each term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) at a given distance $r \ (r \neq |\mathbf{r}_q|$ for all q) can be approximated by a partial Fourier sum over the polar angle $\phi: E_x^{(\text{ext})}(r, \phi) = \sum_{n=-M}^{M} C_n^{(\text{ext})} e^{in\phi}$ and $G_{xx}(r, \phi; \mathbf{r}_q) = \sum_{n=-M}^{M} C_n^{(q)} e^{in\phi}$. *M* is the maximum Fourier harmonic defined as the minimum number *M* such that

$$|C_{\pm|M+r|}^{(\text{ext},q)}|/\max|C_n^{(\text{ext},q)}| \ll 1$$
(7)

for all r = 1, 2, ... The number M might affect many parameters (such as geometrical parameters and material properties of a sample, the distance r) but the most useful one is the physical aperture max[$|\mathbf{r}_q - \mathbf{r}_p|$]. The larger the aperture, the greater M is. Evidently, the total electric field $E_x(r, \phi)$ can also be represented by a partial Fourier sum $\sum_{n=-M}^{M} C_m e^{in\phi}$ and the relation between the Fourier coefficients is as follows:

$$C_n = C_n^{(\text{ext})} + \sum_{q=1}^N C_n^{(q)} I_q,$$
 (8)

where $C_n^{(\text{ext})}$ and $C_n^{(q)}$ are known. When a sparse metasurface is composed of at least N = 2M + 1 loaded wires one

can establish *arbitrary* azimuthal field distributions within the functional space of the 2M + 1 Fourier harmonics by adopting the Fourier coefficients C_n .

Corresponding load-impedance densities can be found from Eq. (4) after solving Eq. (8) with respect to I_q , which in this case has a single solution [26].

As a matter of fact, there is no guarantee that analytically found Z_q would not require implementing active and/or lossy elements since $\operatorname{Re}[Z_q] \neq 0$ in a general case. In order to additionally deal with only reactive load-impedance densities $Z_q = i\operatorname{Im}[Z_q]$, one might need a number of wires $N \geq 2M + 1$ for constructing *arbitrary* radiation patterns, as recently discussed in Ref. [20]. Essentially, the procedure described in this paragraph allows one to know in advance all possible configurations of the azimuthal field for a given geometry of metasurface and number of wires. It includes practical parameters such as beamwidth and sidelobe level.

To sum up, after establishing the geometry of a sparse metasurface (flat, cylindrical, or any other shape), excitation type and positions of N wires, we are able to calculate a Green function $G_{xx}(r,\phi;\mathbf{r}_q)$ and the background field $E_x^{(\text{ext})}(r,\phi)$ radiated by external sources. With Eqs. (3) and (4), a relation between the radiated field and load-impedance densities is established and the procedure related to Eq. (8) is used to find the number of wires and to determine possible functional characteristics of a sparse metasurface such as beamwidth and sidelobe level in beam-forming applications. A crucial point of the above analysis is the Green function, which, being defined for an arbitrary finite-size system, does not have any applicable analytical form. Instead, it is suggested to compute it numerically with the help of full-wave numerical simulations as detailed in Appendix A. A design procedure to practically implement loaded wires is described in Appendix B. An optimization procedure is brought to solve the inverse scattering problem assuming only reactive load-impedance densities of wires, as detailed in Appendix C.

III. 2D SIMULATION EXAMPLE

Let us consider a simple example of a cylindrical sparse metasurface for far-field manipulation. The radius of the metasurface is fixed to $5\lambda_0/6$ (λ_0 is the vacuum operating wavelength) and is excited by a point source placed in the center, as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). The source creates a cylindrical background wave, as illustrated in Fig. 3(a). Due to the symmetry, Green's functions $G_{xx}(r,\phi;\mathbf{r}_q)$ of different wires placed on the cylindrical substrate are simply shifted with respect to each other (as a function of ϕ). It means that one has to analyse the Fourier decomposition of only one Green function and of the background wave in order to find the parameter M. Figure 3(b) shows an example of the function $G_{xx}(r,\phi;\mathbf{r}_q)$ computed for a



FIG. 2. (a) Profile of the electric field created by a cylindrical sparse metasurface. The metasurface is represented by 21 loaded wires uniformly distributed along a cylindrical substrate of thickness $\lambda_0/120$ and having the relative permittivity 2.2. The metasurface is excited by a point source placed in its center. (b) Enlargement of a part of the sparse metasurface. (c) $|C_n^{(q)}|/\max[|C_n^{(q)}|]$ (d) $|C_n^{(ext)}|/\max[|C_n^{(ext)}|]$ versus the number *n* of Fourier harmonic. (e) Load-impedance densities required to approximate the "heart"-shaped far-field pattern. (f) Comparison of the far-field pattern created by the sparse metasurface (solid curve) and the ideal "heart" shape (dashed curve).

particular \mathbf{r}_q . Following the definition in Eq. (7), from Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), it can be seen that it is enough to have $N = 2 \times 10 + 1 = 21$ wires in order to be able to construct all possible far-field radiation patterns within the Fourier space of 21 harmonics $\exp[in\phi]$. As an illustrative

example, one can reconstruct in the far-field region the shape of a "heart" after the required corresponding load-impedance densities are found from Eqs. (8) and (4). The required load-impedance densities are plotted in Fig. 2(e) and correspond to passive elements ($\text{Re}[Z_q] > 0$). The



FIG. 3. Simulated distributions of the electric field created by an elementary source in different configurations: (a),(b) a cylindrical dielectric substrate in free space, (c),(d) a semicylindrical substrate over a perfect electrical conductor (PEC) plane, (e),(f) an open Fabry-Perot cavity cover with a planar dielectric substrate. The panels (a),(c) and (e) demonstrate distributions of the excitation field, the external (elementary) source is shown by arrows. Panels (b),(d), and (f) demonstrate function $G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_q)$ obtained as the electric field radiated by an elementary source located at \mathbf{r}_q (shown by arrows).

real part of Z_q can be engineered in a similar fashion as proposed in Ref. [27]. The resulting far-field pattern is depicted in Fig. 2(f) and compared to the ideal shape of a "heart." Figure 2(a) shows the corresponding profile of the electric field in the proximity to the metasurface. In order to improve the accuracy of approximating some ideal curve, one needs to increase M, which can be done by increasing the size of the metasurface (the radius in the considered case). Finally, as stated above, there is no analytical formula for a Green function of a system with a cylindrical substrate. Additional details on this example are given in Appendix A.

IV. THREE-DIMENSIONAL (3D) SIMULATION EXAMPLES

In this section, we provide several examples of designs of conformal sparse metasurfaces operating in the microwave frequency range, which perform different beam-forming functionalities. The metasurfaces demonstrated in what follows represent a set of loaded wires uniformly distributed along the top face of a dielectric substrate. The bottom face of the substrate is metal-free. Operating in the transmission mode, the metasurfaces transform an incident wavefront from one side into a desired wavefront on the other side. The designs are developed with the optimization-aided procedure (see Appendix C), verified by means of 3D full-wave simulations and compared to predictions of the analytical model represented by Eqs. (3) and (4). The impact of using different number of wires composing a sparse metasurface is also analyzed.

The design of loaded wires is performed within the local periodic approximation (LPA), developed in Ref. [29] and adopted to current examples in Appendix B. The wires are built up from printed capacitors [left design of Fig. 4(a)] and inductors [right design of Fig. 4(a)], which provide a wide range of accessible load-impedance densities as shown in Fig. 4(b) for 5-GHz operation.

A particular benefit of the LPA of Ref. [29] should be emphasized: sparse metasurfaces cannot be designed the same as their dense counterparts. Indeed, the design procedure results in the load-impedance density of a loaded wire, which is its proper characteristic and depends on neither the substrate's thickness nor the interwire distance, see also Ref. [30]. On the other hand, when designing conventional dense metasurfaces, one deals with such characteristics as surface impedances (or local reflection and/or transmission coefficients), which represent the integral response of a unit cell and depend on the parameters of a substrate and the interelement distance.

A. Semicylindrical sparse metasurface

In the first example, we demonstrate a sparse metasurface operating at 5 GHz (vacuum wavelength $\lambda_0 \approx 60$ mm) and conform to a semicylindrical shape of $1.67\lambda_0 =$ 100-mm radius. N = 29 loaded wires are uniformly distributed along the top face of a $\lambda_0/120 = 0.5$ -mm-thick and $5.25\lambda_0 = 315$ -mm-long F4BM220 substrate, which serve to control 2M + 1 = 29 independent Fourier harmonics of the far field as follows from Eqs. (7) and (8). The metasurface is illuminated by a point source placed



FIG. 4. (a) Schematics of a printed capacitance (left) and inductance (right), $w = 0.25 \text{ mm} (r_{\text{eff}} = w/4, \text{ see Ref. [28]})$. (b) Retrieved load-impedance densities (only imaginary parts are shown) of capacitively and inductively loaded wires at 5 GHz. (c) 3D schematic of a semicylindrical sparse metasurfaces of 100-mm radius and incorporating 29 wires. The inset figure shows a 2D schematic. (d)–(f) Engineered radiation patterns: two-dimensional directivity versus angle. Predictions of the theoretical model (solid red curves) are compared to the results of 3D full-wave simulations of three different designs of sparse metasurfaces (dashed blue curves). The used substrate is F4BM220 of 0.5-mm thickness. Operating frequency is 5 GHz, $\lambda_0 \approx 60$ mm.

at $\lambda_0/4$ distance above a PEC wall joining the two ends of the semicylinder, as shown in the inset of Fig. 4(c). Distribution of the electric field created by the source and an example of function $G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_q)$ computed for this configuration are shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), respectively. In order to emulate the 2D configuration of the considered theoretical model, a narrow strip of the metasurface (of $\lambda_0/6$ width) is embedded in between two PEC plates, which form a parallel-plate waveguide. The source is represented by a coaxial probe exciting TEM waveguide mode. A schematic of the system is shown in Fig. 4(c).

Figures 4(d)-4(f) demonstrate three different configurations of the far field corresponding to three different designs of semicylindrical sparse metasurfaces. The directivity plotted in Figs. 4(d)-4(f) is the two-dimensional directivity, which is calculated as follows:

$$D(\varphi) = 2\pi \left| E_{ff}(\varphi) \right|^2 / \int_0^{2\pi} \left| E_{ff}(\varphi) \right|^2 d\varphi, \qquad (9)$$

where $E_{ff}(\varphi)$ is $E_x(r,\varphi)$ from Eq. (3) calculated in the farfield region $(r \to \infty)$.

The 3D simulation results (dashed blue curves) are compared to the corresponding far-field patterns predicted by the analytical model in Eq. (3) (solid red curves), where an almost perfect agreement is observed. It proves a high accuracy of the established design procedure based on the analytical model represented by Eqs. (3) and (4), on one hand, and the LPA, on the other hand.

Because of the very narrow $\lambda_0/6$ aperture in the transverse direction, the radiation pattern presents a wide beam in the *E* plane to produce a 3D fan-shaped beam. However, if the distance between two PEC plates exceeds $\lambda_0/2$, higher-order waveguide modes can be excited, leading to a nonuniform field distribution along the *x* direction that is assumed to be translation invariant. This problem can be overcome and a much narrower beam can be achieved in the *E* plane by elaborating on the excitation source as discussed in the following section.

B. Cavity-excited sparse metasurface

In the second example, we consider planar sparse metasurfaces placed on the top of an open rectangular PEC cavity excited by a coaxial probe. Distribution of the excitation field created by the probe inside and outside the cavity are demonstrated in Fig. 3(e). A particular example of function $G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_q)$ calculated for this configuration is presented by Fig. 3(f). Results for two configurations with 10 and 20 wires are compared. For the given aperture size of $5\lambda_0$, the number 2M + 1 of independent Fourier harmonics forming the far field is equal to 42. While neither 10 nor 20 wires is enough to arbitrarily control 42 Fourier harmonics, it is however possible to perform efficient beam forming. Schematics of the configurations are shown in Figs. 5(a)



FIG. 5. (a),(d) 3D schematics of cavity-excited flat sparse metasurfaces having 10 (a) and 20 (d) wires. The inset figures show 2D schematics of the corresponding configurations. (b),(c),(e),(f) Two-dimensional directivity versus angle of engineered radiation patterns corresponding to analytical and 3D simulation results of four different designs of sparse metasurfaces. Predictions of the theoretical model (solid red curves) are compared to the results of 3D full-wave simulations of three different designs of sparse metasurfaces (dashed blue curves). Panels (a)–(c) and (d)–(f) correspond, respectively, to the designs incorporating 10 wires (the interwire distance is $\lambda_0/2$) and 20 wires (the interwire distance is $\lambda_0/4$). The used substrate is F4BM220 of 1.5-mm thickness, the cavity height is $\lambda_0\sqrt{n}/2 \approx 33.54$ mm, where *n* is the cavity length ($5\lambda_0$) divided over the wavelength λ_0 . Operating frequency is 10 GHz, $\lambda_0 \approx 30$ mm.

and 5(d). Figures 5(b), 5(c), 5(e), and 5(f) demonstrate engineered radiation patterns obtained by means of the developed analytical model for 10- and 20-element sparse metasurfaces, respectively. The verification is performed via 3D full-wave simulations (blue dashed curves) where an almost perfect agreement with the analytical curves (red solid) is observed.

The comparison of Figs. 5(b) and 5(c) and Figs. 5(c)and 5(f) represents a key result: 2 times more wires lead to a maximum of only 2-dBi improvement of the directivity. Therefore, one should carefully choose the number of wires as comparable performances can be achieved with a lesser effort, which can be particularly advantageous for reconfigurable designs. Fortunately, the presented analytical model allows one to optimize the number of elements by considering a beforehand computed Green function and without involving time-consuming 3D full-wave simulations of real designs.

For the sake of comparison with the literature, we mention that cavity-excited metasurfaces have been considered in works done by Epstein *et al.* [25,32]. In strong contrast to the single-layer design of sparse metasurfaces presented in Fig. 5, metasurfaces are designed as dense threelayer structures to emulate magnetic and/or bianisotropic response in Refs. [25,32].

V. EXPERIMENTAL EXAMPLES

To validate the approach, we design and fabricate three sparse metasurfaces using extremely thin ($\lambda_0/240 = 0.25$ mm) flexible substrates F4BM220, as highlighted by the photographies in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b). The samples operating in the microwave frequency range with a central frequency fixed at 5 GHz are fabricated by means of conventional printed circuit board (PCB) technology. Each sample is composed of 29 wires equidistantly distributed along a $5.25\lambda_0 \approx 315$ -mm-long substrate, making the separation between two neighboring wires approximately equal to $\lambda_0/5$.

The wires are built up from printed capacitors and inductors [see Fig. 4(a)], which provide a wide range of accessible load-impedance densities as shown in Fig. 4(b).

The ultrathin samples are then conformed to create semicylindrical surfaces of 100-mm radius. Photography of the assembled prototype is presented in Figs. 6(b) and 6(c). The external source exciting the samples is made of two microstrip dipole antennas printed on a metal-backed substrate [31], whose design is detailed in Figs. 6(d)–6(f).

The distance between the source and sparse metasurface being 100 mm ($\approx 1.7\lambda_0$), the background field pattern is neither a plane wave nor a cylindrical wave, as highlighted by Fig. 7.



FIG. 6. (a) Photography of fabricated sparse metasurfaces on a thin flexible substrate. (b),(c) Photographies of an assembled experimental prototype: (b) the front side and (c) the back side. (d) Schematic of the side view of a PCB of the excitation source. (e),(f) Schematics of the top face (e) and the inner face (f) of the PCB. The schematics contain all the information necessary to design the source used to excite the samples in the experiment. The design is performed following Ref. [31].



FIG. 7. (a) Schematic illustration of the experimental setup used to measure the far-field patterns. (b)–(d) Measured far-field radiation patterns set up by the three fabricated samples. (e) Measured far-field radiation pattern created by the source. Operating frequency is 5 GHz.

The three samples are designed to show different beamforming examples: a single broadside beam at 0°, a steered beam at 40° , and a multibeam configuration with two beams at $\pm 30^{\circ}$ from broadside. The inverse scattering problem is solved by maximizing the power in the desired direction and minimizing the sidelobe level (with respect to the geometrical parameters A and B of the loaded wires) radiated by the sparse metasurface in desired directions. and the maximization procedure is implemented as particle swarm optimization [33] detailed in Appendix C. The different configurations are experimentally validated by radiation-pattern measurements performed in an anechoic chamber. A horn antenna used a receiver is kept fixed and the assembled prototype is mounted on a rotating platform as shown in Fig. 7(a). Figures 7(b)-7(d) present the experimental results obtained from the three samples. The level of spurious scattering (at the operating frequency) does not exceed -12 dB for the first sample, -9 dB for the second one and -13 dB for the third one. The radiation pattern of the source alone is shown in Fig. 7(e) to highlight the beam-forming capabilities of the designed metasurfaces.

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

It is useful to note that in the demonstrated numerical and experimental examples, the sparse metasurfaces are transmitting while possessing only the electric response. On the other hand, a conventional phase-gradient approach to design transmitting metasurfaces demands implementing additionally to the electric response an effective magnetic one. It is necessary to suppress reflection and achieve 2π -range phase response to be able to establish a required phase gradient along the metasurface [1,3,7,8,11]. A more rigorous approach is based on engineering of electric and magnetic surface impedances [2,10,16,24] (and sometimes electro-magnetic coupling [25,34,35]) to manipulate wave-fronts according to the equivalence theorem [2,36]. Following the theory presented in this study, realizing only electric response can be sufficient for an efficient control of wavefronts that might significantly simplify the design and fabrication of wavefront manipulation devices. Furthermore, intrinsic strongly nonlocal response of sparse metasurfaces overcomes the fundamental efficiency constraint of conventional metasurfaces imposed by the conservation of normal power flow density [17,18,20–23].

To conclude, we present a theoretical approach that opens the way to consequently design conformal sparse metasurfaces without appealing to a complex theory. Due to the versatility of the approach, one can consider different metasurface geometries and arbitrary excitation sources within the same framework. The theoretical analysis represented by Eqs. (7) and (8) allows one to approach problems of superdirectivity [37] and subdiffraction focusing [38]. Although the experiments are performed at microwave frequencies, the theory is valid in any frequency range and may inspire research on applications of conformal metasurfaces.

Particularly, sparse metasurfaces implemented on flexible substrates can be advantageous for realizing a reconfigurability mechanism based on mechanical deformations [6]. It can represent a fruitful approach to create an adaptive response without complicating a design with tunable elements (which also often bring additional Ohmic losses) and bias networks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Dr. Badreddine Ratni (Univ Paris Nanterre) in preparing the experimental measurement setups.

APPENDIX A: NUMERICAL CALCULATION OF A GREEN FUNCTION

After establishing the geometry of a sparse metasurface (flat, cylindrical, or any other shape), excitation type and positions of N wires, one calculates a Green function $G_{xx}(r,\varphi;\mathbf{r}_q)$ and the background field $E_x^{(\text{ext})}(r,\varphi)$ radiated by external sources. In order to find $G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_q)$, we build a 2D simulation model using the commercially available finite-element-method software COMSOL Multiphysics in this work. An elementary unit source is consequently placed at the different positions of loaded wires \mathbf{r}_{q} , q = $1, 2, \ldots, N$. More precisely, this source is a *hollow* disk of radius $r_{\rm eff}$ with an electric surface current density used as a boundary condition and set equal to $1/(2\pi r_{eff})$ A/m. Figures 8(a) and 8(b) demonstrate schematics of a simulation model used to calculate the Green function for the example of the cylindrical spare metasurface discussed in Sec. III. The electric field created by the source is recorded at each position along the substrate. There is no need to know the Green function over the whole 2D plane but only at certain points. Namely, we extract the electric field at the positions of the wires to construct the matrix of mutual-impedance densities $Z_{an}^{(m)}$ and to control azimuthal wavefront at the distance r. In beam-forming applications, far-field calculations should be performed and the electric field in the far field as a function of the azimuthal angle is recorded. In Figs. 8(c)-8(f), $G_{xx}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_q)$ for the positions of the second and fifth wires are shown.

APPENDIX B: LOCAL PERIODIC APPROXIMATION

This section provides details on the local periodic approximation developed in Ref. [29] for planar sparse metasurfaces in the reflection configuration. According to the LPA, in order to find the load-impedance density, a structured wire from a nonuniform array is placed in the corresponding uniform array of period *d* illuminated by a plane wave incident at angle θ . It allows one to retrieve the load-impedance density Z_q from the complex amplitude of the specularly reflected TE-polarized plane wave. Indeed, a polarization current *I* excited in a wire by the incident plane wave is linked to the complex amplitude S_{11} of the specularly reflected wave via the following formula [29]:

$$I = -\frac{2d}{k\eta} \frac{[S_{11}e^{2i\beta_0(h+\lambda_0)} - R_0^{\text{TE}}e^{2i\beta_0h}]\beta_0}{(1+R_0^{\text{TE}})e^{j\beta_0h}}, \qquad (B1)$$

where *h* is the thickness of the substrate, R_m^{TE} is Fresnel's reflection coefficient from the substrate

$$R_m^{\rm TE} = \frac{\frac{j}{2} \left(\frac{\beta_m}{\beta_m^s} - \frac{\beta_m^s}{\beta_m}\right) \tan(\beta_m^s h)}{1 + \frac{j}{2} \left(\frac{\beta_m}{\beta_m^s} + \frac{\beta_m^s}{\beta_m}\right) \tan(\beta_m^s h)},\tag{B2}$$

 $\beta_m = \sqrt{k_0^2 - \xi_m^2}$ and $\beta_m^s = \sqrt{\varepsilon_s k_0^2 - \xi_m^2}$ are, respectively, normal components of the wavevector of the *m*th Floquet



FIG. 8. (a),(b) Two-dimensional COMSOL simulation model: a cylindrical substrate of 50-mm radius is placed in the air region surrounded by a PML layer. The model is excited by an elementary source consequently placed at the positions of the wires. The elementary source is represented by a hollow disk of radius $r_0 = 0.25/4$ mm (its interior is excluded from the model) with applied at the red circle electric current density boundary condition $1/(2\pi r_0)$ A/m. (c)–(f) Green's function calculated in the far-field region (c),(e) and on the outer face of the substrate (d),(f) regions when the elementary source is at the position of the second (c),(d) and the fifth (e),(f) wires.

mode outside and inside the substrate, $\xi_m = k_0 \sin(\theta) + 2\pi m/d$ is the tangential component of the wavevector.

The complex amplitude of the specularly reflected wave can be obtained from 3D full-wave simulations. The principal geometry of a corresponding simulation model is sketched in Fig. 9 and consists of two parts: a structured wire, represented by a printed capacitor on top of a dielectric substrate, and an air region. Periodic boundary conditions are imposed on the side faces of the model. The latter is excited by a periodic port 1 assigned to the upper face of the air region, which is highlighted with the red color in Fig. 9. The periodic port 1 creates a plane wave incident at angle θ and is also used as a listening port to calculate the scattering parameter S_{11} . Periodic port 2 is set to the listening mode to accept the transmitted wave. The thickness of the air region above and below the substrate is set to the operating vacuum wavelength λ_0 to eliminate higher-order evanescent Floquet modes.

By modeling the polarization current excited in a structured wire as a Dirac δ function $\delta(y, z)$, the interaction with the substrate and between adjacent wires can be taken into consideration analytically via the mutual-impedance density Z_m [29]

$$Z_m = \frac{k\eta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \cos[k\sin(\theta)nL] H_0^{(2)}[knd] + \frac{k\eta}{2d} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{R_m^{\text{TE}}}{\beta_m}.$$
(B3)

It allows one to retrieve the load-impedance density Z_q of a wire by subtracting the electric field induced by adjacent



FIG. 9. Principal geometry of a 3D full-wave simulation model, which is used to calculate the reflection coefficient S_{11} from an array of structured wires.

wires and the substrate

$$Z_q = \frac{E_0}{I} - \frac{k_0 \eta}{4} H_0^{(2)}(k_0 r_{\text{eff}}) - Z_m,$$
(B4)

where $E_0 = (1 + R_0^{\text{TE}}) \exp[j\beta_0 h]$ represents the external electric field at the location of the central wire and induced by the incident wave and its reflection from the substrate. It should be noted that the term corresponding to $Z_{qq}^{(m)}$ in Ohm's law, Eq. (4), accounts for both the self-interaction and the interaction with a substrate and an environment, while in the LPA the mutual-impedance density subtracted on the right-hand side of Eq. (B4) corresponds only to the interaction with a substrate and other wires in the uniform array. Therefore, Eq. (B4) is accordingly modified by subtracting from the right-hand side the self-interaction term, which, luckily, can be accounted by a simple analytical expression $-k_0\eta H_0^{(2)}(k_0r_{\text{eff}})/4$.

APPENDIX C: OPTIMIZATION-AIDED DESIGN PROCEDURE

This section provides a step-by-step guide to design sparse metasurfaces by means of the LPA and an optimization procedure. The design procedure can be decomposed in five main steps, as outlined in the flowchart depicted in Fig. 10. The design procedure begins by specifying the configuration of the metasurface-based design (reflection, transmission, cavity-excited, etc.), geometry of the metasurface and its substrate. Finally, external sources and their positions are selected to efficiently illuminate the metasurface. Once the basic parameters are fixed, the second step is to calculate the Green function and the external field by means of the established simulation-based procedure detailed in Appendix A. Then, the total electric field created by a sparse metasurface is given by Eq. (3).

The third step is independent from the previous two and requires the design of a meta-atom (or meta-atoms) that will form the wires of a sparse metasurface. To that end, the local periodic approximation is used. A metaatom's design should provide a wide range of achievable impedance densities of a corresponding wire when changing its geometrical parameters (or an external bias if there are embedded tunable elements).

Each desired configuration of the diffraction pattern requires a different set of impedance densities, which obey Ohm's law represented by Eq. (4). In the fourth step of the procedure, one finds load-impedance densities of wires composing a sparse metasurface and required to establish a desired distribution of the electric field. In the general case, an arbitrary electric field $E_x(\mathbf{r})$, as it is stressed in Sec. II, requires impedance densities that have $\operatorname{Re}[Z_q] \neq 0$ and imply engineering of active and/or lossy elements. Including in the period additional wires and satisfying the



FIG. 10. Flowchart of the optimization-aided design procedure of a sparse metasurface.



FIG. 11. Block diagram of a particle swarm optimization algorithm employed in this work to achieve a desirable radiation pattern.

power conservation conditions

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[E_{x}^{(\operatorname{exc})}(\mathbf{r}_{q})-\sum_{p=1}^{N}Z_{qp}^{(m)}I_{p}\right]I_{q}^{*}\right\}=\frac{k\eta}{4}|I_{q}|^{2},\quad(C1)$$

makes it sufficient to use purely reactive loads. On the other hand, any realistic passive meta-atom possesses inevitable resistive response whether because of conduction and/or dielectric losses or embedded lossy tunable elements (such as varactor diodes, for instance). Although the LPA allows one to account for these practical aspects of a meta-atom's design, the capacity to judiciously engineer $\text{Re}[Z_q]$ independently from $\text{Im}[Z_q]$ can be very limited. Furthermore, the set of Eq. (C1) should be modified to the following set of inequalities:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[E_{x}^{(\operatorname{exc})}(\mathbf{r}_{q})-\sum_{p=1}^{N}Z_{qp}^{(m)}I_{p}\right]I_{q}^{*}\right\}>\frac{k\eta}{4}|I_{q}|^{2},\quad(C2)$$

which accounts for the resistance of wires and indicates that the power received by a wire is greater than the power it radiates. In many situations, it is preferable to minimize the impact of $Re[Z_q]$ resulting in increased Ohmic losses. Instead of elaborating on the analytical procedure developed in Sec. II and justifying the number of wires, the inverse scattering problem can be efficiently solved by means of a numerical optimization procedure. It can be particularly useful for beam-forming applications representing a subset of all possible far-field configurations when the power is maximized only in certain directions. Therefore, it might not be required to control all 2M + 1of the Fourier harmonics and a lesser number of wires than N = 2M + 1 can be used for beam forming. As optimization parameters, the optimization procedure uses geometrical parameters of meta-atoms (or external biases) and the total number of wires. The geometrical parameters of meta-atoms G_q are related to the load-impedance density Z_q of a wire by means of the LPA. In their turn, loadimpedance densities determine polarization currents and consequently the total electric field found from Eqs. (4)

TABLE I. Geometrical parameters of the samples. Schematics of capacitor and inductor elements is illustrated in Fig. 6(a). The parameter w is the same for all elements and is equal to 0.25 mm, the thickness of the copper cladding is $35 \ \mu$ m.

	Sample 1			Sample 2			Sample 3		
Element number	Element type	B (mm)	A (mm)	Element type	B (mm)	A (mm)	Element type	B (mm)	A (mm)
1	capacitor	10	3.31	capacitor	10	2.58	capacitor	10	1.39
2	capacitor	10	4.78	capacitor	10	5.68	capacitor	5	1.04
3	capacitor	10	1.58	capacitor	10	4.46	capacitor	10	3.47
4	inductor	10	5.45	capacitor	5	1.06	capacitor	5	1.30
5	capacitor	10	4.81	capacitor	5	1.86	capacitor	10	0.53
6	capacitor	5	0.88	capacitor	10	4.46	capacitor	10	4.72
7	capacitor	5	1.99	capacitor	10	2.32	inductor	5	7.29
8	capacitor	10	4.73	capacitor	10	4.73	capacitor	5	1.53
9	capacitor	10	2.41	inductor	10	5.62	capacitor	5	1.18
10	capacitor	10	1.16	capacitor	5	0.45	capacitor	10	0.56
11	capacitor	10	4.31	capacitor	10	7.64	capacitor	10	1.33
12	capacitor	10	3.30	capacitor	10	3.85	capacitor	5	1.07
13	capacitor	10	0.60	capacitor	5	1.29	capacitor	5	1.04
14	capacitor	10	3.52	capacitor	10	2.78	capacitor	10	6.89
15	capacitor	10	3.42	capacitor	10	4.72	capacitor	5	0.97
16	capacitor	10	3.52	capacitor	10	1.64	capacitor	10	6.89
17	capacitor	10	0.60	inductor	10	4.20	capacitor	5	1.04
18	capacitor	10	3.30	capacitor	10	0.84	capacitor	5	1.07
19	capacitor	10	4.31	capacitor	10	0.74	capacitor	10	1.33
20	capacitor	10	1.16	capacitor	10	3.57	capacitor	10	0.56
21	capacitor	10	2.41	capacitor	5	0.82	capacitor	5	1.18
22	capacitor	10	4.73	inductor	10	3.00	capacitor	5	1.53
23	capacitor	5	1.99	capacitor	10	2.25	inductor	5	7.29
24	capacitor	5	0.88	capacitor	10	3.34	capacitor	10	4.72
25	capacitor	10	4.81	capacitor	5	1.29	capacitor	10	0.53
26	inductor	10	5.45	capacitor	10	1.41	capacitor	5	1.30
27	capacitor	10	1.58	capacitor	10	0.65	capacitor	10	3.47
28	capacitor	10	4.78	capacitor	10	0.35	capacitor	5	1.04
29	capacitor	10	3.31	capacitor	5	1.49	capacitor	10	1.39

and (3). The objective function may vary depending on the needs. The one that is used in this work to *equally* split the radiated power between an *arbitrary* number of beams when minimizing the level of secondary lobes is

$$f(G_q) = t^t \left[\prod_{n \in \mathbb{D}} |E_{ff}(\varphi_n)|^2 \right] / \left[\int_0^{2\pi} |E_{ff}(\varphi)|^2 d\varphi \right]^t.$$
(C3)

Here, \mathbb{D} represents a discrete set of desired beams at angles φ_n and *t* is the size of this set. As a concrete optimization algorithm, a particle swarm optimization has been employed in this work, which showed better performances than a real-valued genetic algorithm. A block diagram is displayed in Fig. 11. Once the optimal load-impedance densities are found, the fourth step of the design procedure is completed.

The fifth and the final step of the design procedure is to compose the whole metasurface out of designed meta-atoms with optimized geometrical parameters and validate the final design using 3D full-wave numerical simulations. It is useful to note that the developed optimization procedure itself does not include full-wave simulations and utilizes only numerical arrays calculated beforehand. It makes the optimization and the development of a final design much faster than in the case when only direct optimization of a whole metasurface is performed.

APPENDIX D: GEOMETRICAL PARAMETERS OF EXPERIMENTAL SAMPLES

Table I provides geometrical parameters of elements constituting the fabricated experimental samples.

- N. Yu, P. Genevet, M. A. Kats, F. Aieta, J.-P. Tetienne, F. Capasso, and Z. Gaburro, Light propagation with phase discontinuities: Generalized laws of reflection and refraction, Science 334, 333 (2011).
- [2] C. Pfeiffer and A. Grbic, Metamaterial Huygens' Surfaces: Tailoring Wave Fronts with Reflectionless Sheets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 197401 (2013).
- [3] D. Germain, D. Seetharamdoo, S. N. Burokur, and A. de Lustrac, Phase-compensated metasurface for a conformal microwave antenna, Appl. Phys. Lett. 103, 124102 (2013).
- [4] D. J. Gregoire, 3-D conformal metasurfaces, IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett. 12, 233 (2013).
- [5] L. Liang, M. Qi, J. Yang, X. Shen, J. Zhai, W. Xu, B. Jin, W. Liu, Y. Feng, C. Zhang, H. Lu, H.-T. Chen, L. Kang, W. Xu, J. Chen, T. J. Cui, P. Wu, and S. Liu, Anomalous terahertz reflection and scattering by flexible and conformal coding metamaterials, Adv. Opt. Mater. 3, 1374 (2015).
- [6] S. Walia, C. M. Shah, P. Gutruf, H. Nili, D. R. Chowdhury, W. Withayachumnankul, M. Bhaskaran, and S. Sriram,

Flexible metasurfaces and metamaterials: A review of materials and fabrication processes at micro- and nano-scales, Appl. Phys. Rev. **2**, 011303 (2015).

- [7] J. Cheng, S. Jafar-Zanjani, and H. Mosallaei, All-dielectric ultrathin conformal metasurfaces: Lensing and cloaking applications at 532 nm wavelength, Sci. Rep. 6, 38440 (2016).
- [8] S. M. Kamali, A. Arbabi, E. Arbabi, Y. Horie, and A. Faraon, Decoupling optical function and geometrical form using conformal flexible dielectric metasurfaces, Nat. Commun. 7, 11618 (2016).
- [9] H.-X. Xu, S. Tang, C. Sun, L. Li, H. Liu, X. Yang, F. Yuan, and Y. Sun, High-efficiency broadband polarizationindependent superscatterer using conformal metasurfaces, Photon. Res. 6, 782 (2018).
- [10] K. Wu, P. Coquet, Q. J. Wang, and P. Genevet, Modelling of free-form conformal metasurfaces, Nat. Commun. 9, 3494 (2018).
- [11] H. Li, C. Ma, F. Shen, K. Xu, D. Ye, J. Huangfu, C. Li, L. Ran, and T. A. Denidni, Wide-angle beam steering based on an active conformal metasurface lens, IEEE Access 7, 185264 (2019).
- [12] P. Nayeri, F. Yang, and A. Z. Elsherbeni, *Reflectarray Antennas: Theory, Designs and Applications* (Wiley Online Library, New Jersey, 2018).
- [13] V. S. Asadchy, M. Albooyeh, S. N. Tcvetkova, A. Díaz-Rubio, Y. Ra'di, and S. A. Tretyakov, Perfect control of reflection and refraction using spatially dispersive metasurfaces, Phys. Rev. B 94, 075142 (2016).
- [14] N. Mohammadi Estakhri and A. Alù, Wave-Front Transformation with Gradient Metasurfaces, Phys. Rev. X 6, 041008 (2016).
- [15] E. F. Kuester, M. A. Mohamed, M. Piket-May, and C. L. Holloway, Averaged transition conditions for electromagnetic fields at a metafilm, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 51, 2641 (2003).
- [16] A. Epstein and G. V. Eleftheriades, Huygens' metasurfaces via the equivalence principle: Design and applications, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 33, A31 (2016).
- [17] Y. Ra'di, D. L. Sounas, and A. Alù, Metagratings: Beyond the Limits of Graded Metasurfaces for Wave Front Control, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 067404 (2017).
- [18] A. Epstein and O. Rabinovich, Unveiling the Properties of Metagratings via a Detailed Analytical Model for Synthesis and Analysis, Phys. Rev. Appl. 8, 054037 (2017).
- [19] A. M. H. Wong and G. V. Eleftheriades, Perfect Anomalous Reflection with a Bipartite Huygens' Metasurface, Phys. Rev. X 8, 011036 (2018).
- [20] V. Popov, F. Boust, and S. N. Burokur, Constructing the near Field and far Field with Reactive Metagratings: Study on the Degrees of Freedom, Phys. Rev. Appl. 11, 024074 (2019).
- [21] A. Epstein and G. V. Eleftheriades, Synthesis of Passive Lossless Metasurfaces Using Auxiliary Fields for Reflectionless Beam Splitting and Perfect Reflection, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 256103 (2016).
- [22] A. Díaz-Rubio, V. S. Asadchy, A. Elsakka, and S. A. Tretyakov, From the generalized reflection law to the realization of perfect anomalous reflectors, Sci. Adv. 3, e1602714 (2017).

- [23] D. Kwon, Lossless scalar metasurfaces for anomalous reflection based on efficient surface field optimization, IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett. 17, 1149 (2018).
- [24] A. Epstein and G. V. Eleftheriades, Passive lossless huygens metasurfaces for conversion of arbitrary source field to directive radiation, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 62, 5680 (2014).
- [25] A. Epstein and G. V. Eleftheriades, Arbitrary powerconserving field transformations with passive lossless omega-type bianisotropic metasurfaces, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 64, 3880 (2016).
- [26] Indeed, the matrix composed of coefficients $C_n^{(q)}$ is not degenerate since $G_x x(r, \phi; \mathbf{r}_q)$ related to different points \mathbf{r}_q are linearly independent. On the other hand, symmetries of the systems should be respected, e.g., a linear array of wires in vacuum radiates symmetrically and there is an additional constraint between the Fourier coefficients $C_n = C_n n$.
- [27] X. Wang, A. Díaz-Rubio, A. Sneck, A. Alastalo, T. Mäkelä, J. Ala-Laurinaho, J. Zheng, A. V. Räisänen, and S. A. Tretyakov, Systematic design of printable metasurfaces: Validation through reverse-offset printed millimeterwave absorbers, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 66, 1340 (2018).
- [28] S. Tretyakov, Analytical Modeling in Applied Electromagnetics (Artech House, Norwood, MA, 2003).
- [29] V. Popov, M. Yakovleva, F. Boust, J.-L. Pelouard, F. Pardo, and S. N. Burokur, Designing Metagratings via Local Periodic Approximation: From Microwaves to Infrared, Phys. Rev. Appl. 11, 044054 (2019).

- [30] V. Popov, F. Boust, and S. N. Burokur, Beamforming with metagratings at microwave frequencies: Design procedure and experimental demonstration, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 68, 1533 (2020).
- [31] P. Katehi and N. Alexopoulos, On the modeling of electromagnetically coupled microstrip antennas—the printed strip dipole, IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 32, 1179 (1984).
- [32] A. Epstein, J. P. S. Wong, and G. V. Eleftheriades, Cavityexcited Huygens' metasurface antennas for near-unity aperture illumination efficiency from arbitrarily large apertures, Nat. Commun. 7, 10360 (2016).
- [33] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, in *Proceedings of ICNN'95 International Conference on Neural Networks* (IEEE, Perth, WA, Australia, 1995), Vol. 4, p. 1942.
- [34] V. S. Asadchy, Y. Ra'di, J. Vehmas, and S. A. Tretyakov, Functional Metamirrors Using Bianisotropic Elements, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 095503 (2015).
- [35] V. S. Asadchy, A. Díaz-Rubio, and S. A. Tretyakov, Bianisotropic metasurfaces: Physics and applications, Nanophotonics 7, 1069 (2018).
- [36] S. A. Schelkunoff, Some equivalence theorems of electromagnetics and their application to radiation problems, Bell Syst. Tech. J. 15, 92 (1936).
- [37] S. A. Schelkunoff, A mathematical theory of linear arrays, Bell Syst. Tech. J. 22, 80 (1943).
- [38] K. Huang, F. Qin, H. Liu, H. Ye, C.-W. Qiu, M. Hong, B. Luk'yanchuk, and J. Teng, Planar diffractive lenses: Fundamentals, functionalities, and applications, Adv. Mater. 30, 1704556 (2018).