# Broadband Polarization Rotator With Tunable Rotation Angle Composed of Three Wave Plates

Mouhamad Al-Mahmoud<sup>®</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Virginie Coda<sup>®</sup>,<sup>2</sup> Andon Rangelov,<sup>1</sup> and Germano Montemezzani<sup>®</sup>,<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Sofia University, James Bourchier 5 blvd, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria <sup>2</sup>Université de Lorraine, CentraleSupélec, LMOPS, F-57000 Metz, France

(Received 31 October 2019; revised manuscript received 17 December 2019; published 24 January 2020)

A simple scheme for a broadband polarization rotator with tunable rotation angle is proposed and verified experimentally. The rotator consists of only three wave plates, one of which is a full wave plate. The robust approach inspired by the composite pulses analogy allows us to compensate for the wave plate dispersion to a large extent.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevApplied.13.014048

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Components able to convert the polarization state of a light wave are key elements for several optical devices and applications [1-4]. In the case where broadband or tunable light sources are used, robust and wide-bandwidth operation of such components become of crucial importance. As an example we may consider wave-plate (WP) retarders, which are based on the birefringence properties of specific crystals and are intrinsically highly dispersive components with a strong wavelength dependence of the phase retardation. Methods to realize compact achromatic (broadband) retarders have therefore been the subject of interest for several decades [5–14]. Notably, the recent advances leading to improved broadband performance [10-14] take advantage of the formal analogy between the equations describing the change of polarization in the birefringent plate and the Schrödinger equation for the quantum-state dynamics of coupled two-level systems, first pointed out by Ardavan [10]. The related transfer of concepts allows us to apply the fault-tolerant composite pulses approach [15] widely used in the field of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) to polarization optics. To this line of ideas belong also the recent studies of achromatic polarization rotators using a stack of several WPs [16–18] that we discuss in the following.

An optical polarization rotator is an element that rotates the polarization of a linearly polarized input wave by a fixed angle that is independent of the input polarization direction. Probably the most commonly used polarization rotators are Faraday rotators, which rely on the circular birefringence induced by a magnetic induction field (Faraday effect) [19]. Faraday rotators have the useful property of being nonreciprocal with respect to reversing the propagation direction, they are therefore often used The most versatile way to realize tunable polarization rotators rely on the combination of several birefringent WPs. It is well known that two half-wave plates (HWPs) with their fast axes making an angle  $\alpha/2$  lead to a rotator that turns the polarization by an angle  $\alpha$ . It is worth noting that, if for this purpose one uses Fresnel rhombs instead of birefringent retarders, one can obtain a nearly achromatic but very bulky rotator [22]. Indeed, a Fresnel rhomb does not rely on birefringence, its retardation is due to the different phase jump suffered by the *p*- and the *s*polarized wave upon total reflection. As these phase jumps vary only little with wavelength, such a device can be broadband. Nevertheless, in addition to their size, another drawback of Fresnel rhombs is their strong sensitivity to the angle of incidence, which limits the angular aperture

as optical isolators in connection with a polarizer and an analyzer. However, Faraday rotators are generally quite bulky and expensive and are not broadband. They suffer from the strong dispersion and temperature dependence of the Verdet constant connected to the Faraday effect. As an alternative, polarization rotators may be realized using crystals, such as quartz, exhibiting a natural optical activity (circular birefringence). For these commercially available elements, the effect is reciprocal and the rotation angle is governed by the plate thickness and cannot be tuned. Also here the rather strong dispersion of the optical activity limits the useful bandwidth. Yet another approach makes use of twisted nematic liquid crystal (LC) cells [20,21] by the same principle being also the basis of LC display technology. Provided that the cell is sufficiently thick, the polarization can follow adiabatically the local reorientation of the LC molecules. This effect is not wavelength specific and nearly achromatic components can be obtained. However, the rotation angle cannot be easily modified and thermal effects may affect the performance for high powers.

<sup>\*</sup>germano.montemezzani@univ-lorraine.fr

[23]. Therefore, for compact and tunable rotators the use of stacks of birefringent WPs remains the best choice. In this context, Ye [24] has considered a combination of three WPs, a variable WP retarder sandwiched between two crossed quarter-wave plates (QWPs). If the fast axis of the intermediate variable retarder bisects those of the QWPs this arrangement leads to a tunable polarization rotator for which the rotation angle  $\alpha$  is half the retardation of the retarder. This concept was also used by Davis et al. [25] to demonstrate two-dimensional electrically addressed polarization encoding by replacing the spatially homogeneous retarder by a parallel-aligned LC spatial light modulator. However, in both cases the robustness of these devices with respect to a change of wavelength was not discussed. In principle, any rotator composed by a combination of WPs should become broadband if every single WP is broadband. Along this main idea, several studies of composite rotators based on the quantum-optical analogies mentioned previously were performed. Rangelov and Kyoseva [16] have proposed a broadband composite polarization rotator based on the combination of two effective broadband HWPs, each of which is composed by a number of HWPs by the composite approach. The expected device performance was analyzed theoretically in terms of the socalled fidelity (see Sec. II) for a total number of HWPs between 6 and 18. This concept was demonstrated experimentally in Ref. [17] for 6 and 10 HWPs. In addition to the broadband configuration, a narrowband configuration was also implemented by another choice of the orientations of the individual HWP fast axes. This concept was developed further in a recent paper [18], where an even number of HWPs (up to 10) oriented at predetermined angles was used and the broadband behavior was tested through the transmission of a white light source through an analyzer placed after the HWP stack.

While the above approaches generally require a rather large number of WPs to achieve a sufficiently broadband operation  $(\geq 6)$ , in the present work we consider a simplified arrangement involving only three WPs. With respect to the approach of Ye [24], which is also based on three WPs, here the middle retarder is replaced by a full-wave plate (FWP) and the two outer QWPs are replaced by HWPs. The concept exploits additionally the freedom of rotation of all three elements in the row. It is shown that such a simple stack leads to a broadband polarization rotator provided that the intermediate WP is placed in such a way as to counteract the dispersion of the HWPs. The rotator is robust against the initial polarization direction and the rotation angle can be tuned by rotating only one of the WPs. In Sec. II we give the theoretical background for the taken approach and compare it with the cases where only two HWPs would be used or the case where the intermediate FWP would be placed under a "wrong" direction (phase shift of  $+2\pi$  rather than  $-2\pi$ ). Notably, our sequence HWP (phase shift of  $+\pi$ )- FWP( $-2\pi$ )-HWP( $+\pi$ ) is reminiscent of schemes used to address fault-tolerant coherent population transfer or phase gates in two-state quantum systems using effective zeroarea pulses or combination of pulses with a vanishing total area [26,27]. An important feature consists of the fact that, unlike for the case of a two HWPs rotator, the three-WP approach leads to a near-unity degree of linear polarization of the output wave even at wavelengths very far from the central design wavelength. In Sec. III we verify successfully the concept experimentally either by using a monochromatic wave at the central design wavelength or a broadband source covering a bandwidth of approximately 400 nm. Theoretical expectation and experimental results agree very well and confirm that such a simple arrangement is suitable for broadband operation.

### **II. THEORY**

The broadband polarization rotator proposed in this work is composed of three WP retarders as shown in Fig. 1(a). The first and the third WPs are HWPs for the central target wavelength  $\lambda_0$  of the device, whereas the intermediate WP is a FWP at the same wavelength. Even though this element leaves the wave unchanged and acts as a neutral element at the wavelength  $\lambda_0$ , the importance



FIG. 1. (a) Principle of the proposed composite polarization rotator composed of three wave plates, a half-wave plate (HWP) followed by a full-wave plate (FWP) and another HWP. The angles  $\theta_i$  are the orientation angles of each wave plate and  $\varphi_i$  are the corresponding retardations. (b) Experimental set-up for the characterization of the composite rotator.

of this crucial element for the broadband behavior will become clear in the following.

As it is well known, a WP retarder is a birefringent element which adds different phases  $\varphi/2$  and  $-\varphi/2$  to two perpendicular linear polarization components of the light propagating through it. In the framework of Jones calculus [1,2] and in the HV basis formed by the Jones vectors for horizontal and vertical linear polarizations, the Jones matrix for a retarder whose slow and fast axes are aligned along the HV axes is given as

$$\mathcal{J}_0(\varphi) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\varphi/2} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1)

Here

$$\varphi = 2\pi L (n_s - n_f) / \lambda \tag{2}$$

is the WP retardation, that is, the phase shift between the two orthogonal polarization components upon passing the element. The quantities  $n_f$  and  $n_s$  are the refractive indices along the fast and slow axes, respectively,  $\lambda$  is the vacuum wavelength of the light and *L* is the thickness of the retarder plate. The most commonly used retarders are the HWPs ( $\varphi = \pm \pi$ ) and the QWPs ( $\varphi = \pm \pi/2$ ). A FWP has a retardation of  $\varphi = \pm 2\pi$ .

If the retarder plate is turned by an angle  $\theta$  around the light propagation axis (perpendicular to the plate), then its Jones matrix  $\mathcal{J}_{\theta}(\varphi)$  in the HV basis can be found from  $\mathcal{J}_{0}(\varphi)$  as

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}\left(\varphi\right) = \mathcal{R}\left(-\theta\right)\mathcal{J}_{0}\left(\varphi\right)\mathcal{R}\left(\theta\right),\tag{3}$$

where  $\mathcal{R}(\theta)$  is an axes rotation matrix given as

$$\mathcal{R}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (4)

Explicitly, the form of  $\mathcal{J}_{\theta}(\varphi)$  is

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}\left(\varphi\right)_{11} = e^{i\varphi/2}\cos^{2}\left(\theta\right) + e^{-i\varphi/2}\sin^{2}\left(\theta\right), \qquad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}(\varphi)_{12} = i\sin\left(2\theta\right)\sin\left(\varphi/2\right),\tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta} (\varphi)_{21} = i \sin (2\theta) \sin (\varphi/2), \tag{7}$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta} \left( \varphi \right)_{22} = e^{-i\varphi/2} \cos^2\left(\theta\right) + e^{i\varphi/2} \sin^2\left(\theta\right). \tag{8}$$

It is worth noting that (up to an unimportant minus sign) the rotation matrix (4) corresponds to the Jones matrix  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}}(\alpha)$  of an optical rotator in the HV basis for a polarization rotation by  $+\alpha$  (with the positive angles defined in

counterclockwise direction), indeed

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}}(\alpha) = \mathcal{R}(-\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$
(9)

To simplify the above matrices  $\mathcal{J}_{\theta}(\varphi)$  and  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{R}}(\alpha)$ , it is convenient to express them in the left-right circular polarization basis (LR), where the basis Jones vectors are  $L = 1/\sqrt{2}(1, i)$  (left circular polarized) and  $R = 1/\sqrt{2}(1, -i)$ (right circular polarized). We call the corresponding Jones matrices in this basis  $J_{\theta}(\varphi)$  and  $J_R(\alpha)$  (note the different symbol with respect to the HV basis), a straightforward calculation leads to

$$J_R(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\alpha} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix},$$
 (10)

and

$$J_{\theta}(\varphi) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\frac{\varphi}{2} & ie^{-2i\theta}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2} \\ ie^{2i\theta}\sin\frac{\varphi}{2} & \cos\frac{\varphi}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (11)

For our sequence of HWP-FWP-HWP shown in Fig. 1(a) we have  $\varphi_1 = \pi$ ,  $\varphi_2 = \pm 2\pi$ , and  $\varphi_3 = \pi$ , the corresponding orientations for the three WPs are  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ , and  $\theta_3$ , respectively. The overall Jones matrix describing this composite sequence is therefore

$$J = J_{\theta_3}(\pi) J_{\theta_2}(\pm 2\pi) J_{\theta_1}(\pi), \qquad (12)$$

which gives

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-2i(\theta_3 - \theta_1)} & 0\\ 0 & e^{2i(\theta_3 - \theta_1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\alpha} & 0\\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (13)

Obviously the last equality shows that J corresponds to the rotator matrix in the LR basis in Eq. (10), therefore this sequence acts as a rotator with a rotation angle

$$\alpha = 2(\theta_3 - \theta_1). \tag{14}$$

This equivalence is exact at the central wavelength, for which the retardations  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$ , and  $\varphi_3$  correspond exactly to those given previously. However, we are principally interested in the behavior found when these retardations depart from the values  $\pi$ ,  $\pm 2\pi$ , and  $\pi$ , as a result of using a different wavelength [see Eq. (2)]. Therefore, in order to explore the behavior in the  $\varphi$  space, we define the fidelity factor according to

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[ J_R^{-1} \left( \alpha \right) J \right], \tag{15}$$

where we note that  $J_R^{-1}(\alpha) = J_R(-\alpha)$ . The fidelity  $\mathcal{F}$  is therefore a kind of measure on how close the composite

matrix *J* approaches the target matrix  $J_R(\alpha)$ . In the case where the output light maintains a linear polarization state, the fidelity  $\mathcal{F}$  finds a more direct physical interpretation, as is mentioned later in the experimental section.

Let us consider the relative deviation  $\delta$  from the retardation values  $\varphi_i = \varphi(\lambda_0)$  of the three WPs defined as

$$\delta \equiv \frac{\varphi(\lambda)}{\varphi(\lambda_0)} - 1 = \frac{\Delta n(\lambda)}{\Delta n(\lambda_0)} \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} - 1, \quad (16)$$

where  $\lambda_0$  is the central wavelength for which the composite structure is designed and  $\Delta n = n_s - n_f$  in Eq. (2).

While the orientation angles  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_3$  are related by Eq. (14), the optimum angle  $\theta_2$  can be found by maximizing the integral of the fidelity  $\mathcal{F}$  over the range  $-1 \le \delta \le 1$ , that is,

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} |\mathcal{F}(\delta)| \, d\delta, \tag{17}$$

which means searching for the broadest fidelity curves  $\mathcal{F}(\delta)$ . The above integral can be considered as a quality measure (figure of merit) for the robustness of the rotator and its maximum possible value equals 1. It can be shown analytically that this integral is maximized if

$$\theta_2 = \theta_1 + \alpha/4 - \pi/2 = \theta_3 - \alpha/4 - \pi/2.$$
 (18)

The additional angle  $\pi/2$  appearing above is important. In fact, the analysis is performed by assuming  $\varphi_2(\lambda_0) = +2\pi$ , however, the additional angle of  $\pi/2$  implies that the role of the slow and fast axis should be permuted for the intermediate FWP, meaning that the optimum is found for a negative retardation  $\varphi_2(\lambda_0) = -2\pi$  [by simultaneously dropping the  $-\pi/2$  term in Eq. (18)].

To illustrate the expected robustness of the composite rotator we depict in Fig. 2 the expected fidelity as a function of the relative retardation deviation  $\delta$ . The quantity being represented is

$$|\mathcal{F}(\delta)| = \frac{1}{2} |\operatorname{Tr}\left[J_R^{-1}\left(\alpha\right) J(\delta)\right]|, \qquad (19)$$

with

$$J(\delta) \equiv J_{\theta_3} \left[ \pi (1+\delta) \right] J_{\theta_2} \left[ -2\pi (1+\delta) \right] J_{\theta_1} \left[ \pi (1+\delta) \right].$$
(20)

Note that here a unique value of the parameter  $\delta$  can be considered for the three WPs provided that their dispersion is the same, which is the case for the system used in our experimental study. Note also that it is sufficient to consider target rotation angles  $|\alpha| \le 90^{\circ}$  because larger angles are redundant, also the situation for negative angles  $\alpha$  is symmetric to that for positive angles. First we show in Fig. 2(a) the standard case where two HWPs under a relative angle  $\alpha/2$  are used to create a rotator by an angle  $\alpha$ . While such a configuration acts as a perfect rotator at the central wavelength ( $\mathcal{F} = 1$  for  $\delta = 0$ ), for all four considered angles  $\alpha$  between 15 and 90° the fidelity is found to drop quite quickly as  $\delta$  departs from zero. This means that such a structure is not spectrally robust. Interestingly, the robustness improves already significantly by means of a small modification, still using only two WPs. If the second HWP is turned by an additional 90°, its retardation becomes negative  $(= -\pi)$  and, as seen in Fig. 2(b), the function  $\mathcal{F}(\delta)$  remains large over a much wider range of the parameter  $\delta$ . Figure 2(c) show the case where the sequence of Fig. 1(a) is implemented with  $\varphi_1 = \pi$ ,  $\varphi_2 = +2\pi$ , and  $\varphi_3 = \pi$ . This corresponds to the situation where the fast axes of the two HWPs and of the central FWP are all oriented within an angle  $\alpha/2$ . In this case the retardation dispersion associated to the FWP reinforces the dispersion of the HWPs and the fidelity drops even faster than in the case of Fig. 2(a). Finally, Fig. 2(d) show our chosen configuration for which the orientation angles follow Eq. (18) meaning that the slow axis of the FWP is aligned in between the fast axes of the external HWPs. It is evident that in this case the function  $\mathcal{F}(\delta)$  gets flatter on



FIG. 2. Absolute value of the fidelity  $|\mathcal{F}|$  as a function of the relative retardation deviation  $\delta$  for four different optical rotator configurations. (a) Two HWPs with their fast axes making an angle of  $\alpha/2$ , equivalent to  $\varphi = \pi$  for both WPs at the central wavelength. (b) Two HWPs with the fast axis of the first making an angle  $\alpha/2$  with the slow axis of the second, equivalent to  $\varphi_1 = \pi = -\varphi_2$ . (c) Three WPs, a FWP sandwiched between two HWPs. The fast axis of the FWP makes an angle of  $+\alpha/4$  ( $-\alpha/4$ ) with respect to the fast axis of the first (third) WP. The retardations are  $\varphi_1 = \pi$ ,  $\varphi_2 = +2\pi$ , and  $\varphi_3 = \pi$ . (d) Same as (c) but the fast and slow axes of the FWP are switched [see Eq. (18)], here  $\varphi_1 = \pi$ ,  $\varphi_2 = -2\pi$ , and  $\varphi_3 = \pi$ . The curves are for following target rotation angles:  $\alpha = 15^{\circ}$  (dotted red line),  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$  (solid black line),  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$  (dashed blue line), and  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$  (dashed-dotted green line).

the top and is wider than in any other case in Fig. 2. As we discuss later, the experimentally most relevant range for the parameter  $\delta$  is roughly  $-0.5 \leq \delta \leq 0.5$ , for which this three-WP configuration of Fig. 2(d) is clearly outperforming any other case in Fig. 2. It is also worth noting that the curve  $\mathcal{F}(\delta)$  is found to be broader for small target rotation angles  $\alpha$  than for larger ones. This situation is distinct from that treated earlier by Rangelov *et al.* [16] using composite structures with a larger number of HWPs ( $\geq 6$ ), where the fidelity curve was found to be slightly wider for the largest target rotation angles.

Even though the fidelity is high, when the wavelength departs from the central design wavelength  $\lambda_0$ , the WP sequence will not act exactly as the nominally designed rotator. However, the function remains in very good approximation that of a rotator. On the one hand, it is impossible to avoid that the output wavelength would get a (small) elliptical polarization component for  $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$ . On the other hand, the polarization rotation angle, characterized by the angle leading to maximum transmission through a properly oriented analyzer, will be a smooth function of  $\delta$  (or  $\lambda$ ). We discuss these issues for the cases in the right column of Fig. 2 for which the fidelity curves are broader. It is worth noting that the configurations of the left column of Fig. 2 perform much worse also in this matter.

Figure 3 shows the theoretical expected rotation angle as a function of  $\delta$  for the sequences used for Figs. 2(b) and 2(d). The depicted rotation angle is obtained by applying the matrix  $J(\delta)$  in (20) to an initial horizontal linear polarization and projecting the obtained Jones vector into an analyzer oriented at a variable angle  $\gamma$ . The angle  $\gamma$ for which the transmission would be maximum (maximum squared projection) corresponds to the expected rotation angle. It is seen that in both cases the rotation angle corresponds to the target one at  $\delta = 0$  and decreases symmetrically for positive and negative values of  $\delta$ . In the most important case of three WPs, the behavior is very well approximated (but not exactly given) by the function  $\alpha(\delta) = \alpha(\delta = 0) \cos^2(\delta\pi/2)$ .

For the two-HWP configuration, the decrease of the rotation angle with increasing  $|\delta|$  is initially less steep. However, for this configuration the output light field polarization exhibits a rather strong ellipticity. In contrast, for the three-WP sequence the output light remains always very close to a linear polarization state, which represents the major advantage of this configuration. To prove this, we represent for both cases in Fig. 4 the component  $S_3$  of the Stokes vector [1] as a function of  $\delta$ . The  $S_3$  component is defined as

$$S_3 \equiv I_R - I_L, \tag{21}$$

where  $I_R$  and  $I_L$  are the normalized intensity transmissions of the output light field through a right-circular and a left-circular analyzer, respectively. Right and left circular



FIG. 3. Output polarization rotation angle as a function of the relative retardation deviation  $\delta$ . (a) Sequence of two HWPs ( $\varphi_1 = \pi$ ,  $\varphi_2 = -\pi$ ) as in Fig. 2(b). (b) Sequence of three WPs ( $\varphi_1 = \pi$ ,  $\varphi_2 = -2\pi$  and  $\varphi_3 = \pi$ ) as in Fig. 2(d). The curves for four different target rotation angles  $\alpha$  have the same styles as in Fig. 2.

polarized light have  $S_3 = +1$  and  $S_3 = -1$ , respectively, whereas linear polarized light has  $S_3 = 0$  and lies on the equator of the polarization Poincaré sphere. A scrutiny of Fig. 4 clearly shows that the  $S_3$  component remains always very small in the case of the three-WP sequence treated in the present work, whereas significant values of  $S_3$  are found for the sequence composed of two HWPs. By defining the degree of linear polarization as

$$\xi \equiv \sqrt{1 - (S_3)^2} \tag{22}$$

we find that  $\xi$  is always very close to 1 for the three-WP sequence ( $\xi > 99.9\%$  for  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ ,  $\xi > 99.4\%$  for  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ , and still  $\xi > 95\%$  for the worst case of  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ ). In contrast, for the two-HWP case, because the circular polarized component is much stronger, the degree of linear polarization can decrease to  $\xi \approx 87.5\%$  for  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ , to  $\xi \approx 61.7\%$  for  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ , and to  $\xi \approx 40\%$  for  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ .

It is noteworthy that the curves for the rotation angle in Fig. 3 give the orientation of the longest main axis of the output polarization ellipse. Only in the case where the output light is still linear polarized, which is essentially true



FIG. 4. The  $S_3$  component of the output polarization Stokes vector for the two cases shown in Fig. 3. The blue curves are for the two-HWP case of Fig. 3(a), the red curves are for the three-WP sequence of Fig. 3(b). Solid curves are for a target rotation angle  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ , whereas dashed curves are for  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ .

for Fig. 3(b) as discussed previously, does this angle correspond to the rotation angle of the linear polarization. In the specific case of Fig. 3(a) the apparently broader curves with respect to Fig. 3(b) are related to the strong ellipticity of the output wave for values of  $\delta$  close to  $\pm 0.5$  seen in Fig. 4. This pushes up artificially the apparent rotation angle around these values. This kind of artifact does not mean that the two-WP configuration would be better than the three-WP configuration. In fact, the fidelity, which is broader in the three-WP configuration, gives a much better measure of the usefulness of the device, as discussed later.

The above discussion clearly shows that, unlike the other configurations, the three-WP sequence with nominal retardations  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) = (\pi, -2\pi, \pi)$  offers a combination of a robust behavior under variation of  $\delta$  and of the wavelength, as well as of an always nearly linearly polarized output light field. In the next section, we investigate this configuration experimentally.

#### **III. EXPERIMENTS**

The experimental set-up for the characterization of our optical rotator is shown in Fig. 1(b). The heart of the system is composed of two crystal polarizers (acting as polarizer and analyzer) surrounding the three-WP rotator sequence. The light source is either a monochromatic laser source (He-Ne laser at  $\lambda = 632.8$  nm) or a broadband white light source (Thorlabs SLS201L/M). Three distinct operation modes are used. In the case of the monochromatic He-Ne laser source the spectral filter and the mirror behind the analyzer are removed and the detection of the light transmitted through the analyzer occurs by means of a Si photodiode. The same detection mode is used in the case where the broadband light source is combined with a spectral filter at different wavelengths to leave

a quasi-monochromatic 10 nm wide (full width at half maximum) spectrum through the system. Finally, by inserting the switchable mirror before the detector, the use of the broadband source without the spectral filter allows to detect the whole transmitted spectrum through the analyzer with the help of a spectrometer connected to a computer (OceanOptics USB4000-VIS-NIR).

The three WPs composing the rotator sequence are realized using three tunable LC retarders (Thorlabs LCC1413-A), whose retardations are adjusted with an external applied voltage. The voltage-retardation curve of each of the LC retarders has been precalibrated over the spectral range of interest using a Soleil-Babinet optical compensator (Thorlabs SBC-VIS) put in series with the LC retarder and whose mechanically adjustable retardation is known.

The above calibration allows to establish the LC WP retardation for each applied voltage at each of the filtered wavelengths (450, 500, 550, 600, 633, 700, 750, and 800 nm) and to determine the spectral dependence of the relative deviation  $\delta$  for a given central wavelength  $\lambda_0$ . The latter is determined by considering the wavelength dependence of the maximum retardation (maximum  $\Delta n$ ) found for zero applied voltage. The obtained nonlinear relationship  $\delta(\lambda)$  is shown in Fig. 5 for the case where  $\lambda_0 = 633$  nm is the wavelength at which  $\delta(\lambda_0) = 0$ . Clearly in this case the spectral range between 450 and 800 nm spans a  $\delta$  range between  $\approx 0.65$  and -0.3. The fitted dispersion curve in Fig. 5 follows the relationship

$$\delta(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda_0^2 - \bar{\lambda}^2}{\lambda^2 - \bar{\lambda}^2} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} - 1, \qquad (23)$$



FIG. 5. Experimental WP relative retardation deviation  $\delta$  as a function of  $\lambda$  referred to the retardation at a central wavelength  $\lambda_0 = 633$  nm (blue circles). The red fitted curve is according to (23).



FIG. 6. Experimentally measured rotation angle  $\alpha_{exp}$  (blue dots) versus the target rotation angle  $\alpha$  for the nominal wavelength  $\lambda = \lambda_0 = 632.8$  nm. The two insets show the measured transmitted intensity *I* through the analyzer oriented at an angle  $\beta$  for  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$ , as well as the function  $I(\beta)$  given in the text. The orientation angles of the WPs are arranged according to Eq. (18).

with an effective oscillator wavelength  $\bar{\lambda} = 221.2$  nm. The above expression is obtained by assuming a simplified Sellmeier-like function for the dispersion of the LC birefringence  $\Delta n = n_s - n_f$  entering Eq. (2).

We first analyze the behavior of the three-WP rotator at the wavelength  $\lambda_0$  for which it is designed. In this case the He-Ne laser source at  $\lambda_0 = 632.8$  nm is used and the LC WPs are adjusted to be HWPs or FWPs at this wavelength. Figure 6 compares the target rotation angles  $\alpha$  with the experimentally measured polarization rotation angles and confirms an excellent agreement. The target rotation angles are adjusted by changing the orientations  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_3$  according to (18) and the experimental rotation angles  $\alpha_{exp}$  are obtained by finding the minimum and maximum transmitted intensity upon rotation of the analyzer behind the rotator sequence in Fig. 1(b). The insets in Fig. 6 show examples of such measurements for  $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ and  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ . The dependence of the transmitted intensity  $I(\beta)$  on the analyzer orientation  $\beta$  (with  $\beta = 0$  being the extinction position in absence of the rotator sequence) is  $I(\beta) = I_0 \sin^2 (\beta - \alpha_{exp}) + I_{min}$ , where  $I_0$  is the modulation amplitude and  $I_{\min}$  is the minimum transmission. The full contrast seen in the insets in Fig. 6 confirms that the output light maintains its linear polarization. Indeed, the degree of linear polarization  $\xi$  in (22) corresponds to the fringe visibility of such measurements given by  $(I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}})/(I_{\text{max}} + I_{\text{min}}) = I_0/(I_0 + 2I_{\text{min}}).$ 

The rotation angle of the above WP sequence can be used as a tunable rotator by reorienting only one of the three WPs, the first or the third. Let us rotate the first WP, initially oriented under the angle  $\theta_1$  by a supplementary angle  $\Delta \theta_1$ , so that  $\theta'_1 = \theta_1 + \Delta \theta_1$ . With  $\alpha = 2(\theta_3 - \theta_1)$ 



FIG. 7. Tuning of the experimental rotation angle  $\alpha'_{exp}$  upon a variation  $\Delta\theta_1$  of the orientation of the first HWP in the sequence and  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$  for  $\Delta\theta_1 = 0$ . The solid red curve corresponds to the relation  $\alpha' = \alpha - 2\Delta\theta_1$ . The inset shows the experimental rotation angle  $\alpha_{exp}$  as a function of the input polarization angle  $\gamma$  for the target rotation of  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ . Here  $\gamma = 0$  corresponds to horizontal input polarization (the bisectrix of the HWP orientation angles),  $\lambda_0 = \lambda = 632.8$  nm.

and  $\alpha' = 2(\theta_3 - \theta'_1)$  the new target rotation angle  $\alpha'$  shall vary twice as fast as  $\theta'_1$ , that is,  $\alpha' = \alpha - 2\Delta\theta_1$ . This is confirmed in Fig. 7 that shows the tuned rotation angle  $\alpha'$  for a variation of  $\Delta\theta_1$  between  $-90^\circ$  and  $+90^\circ$  and an initial target angle  $\alpha = 60^\circ$ .

Unlike the rotation of polarization by a single HWP, which depends on the input polarization direction  $\gamma$ , a rotator should rotate the linear polarization by the same amount independently of  $\gamma$ . The inset in Fig. 7 shows the constancy of  $\alpha_{exp}$  upon variation of  $\gamma$  for the same rotator sequence used for Fig. 6 and  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ . The expected independence on the input polarization angle is therefore well-verified experimentally.

Next we test the broadband behavior and the robustness of the rotator if the used wavelength differs from the nominal wavelength  $\lambda_0$ . In this case, in order to better center the available spectrum into the corresponding range of the  $\delta$  parameter, we choose  $\lambda_0 = 550$  nm. First we send the whole spectrum of the broadband source through the composite rotator and detect the corresponding spectrum after passing the analyzer with the optical spectrometer [see Fig. 1(b)]. The analyzer is put either in transmission mode (transmitted intensity =  $I_{\parallel}$ ) or in extinction mode (transmitted intensity =  $I_{\perp}$ ). In transmission mode the analyzer transmission axis is put parallel to the expected output polarization direction under the target rotation angle  $\alpha$  for the nominal wavelength, whereas in extinction mode it is put perpendicular to this direction. Figure 8 shows the intensities  $I_{\parallel}$  (red) and  $I_{\perp}$  (blue) for six values of  $\alpha$ . For small  $\alpha$  the extinction in extinction mode is nearly perfect over the whole spectrum, for larger



FIG. 8. Spectra of the normalized intensities  $I_{\parallel}$  (red curves) and  $I_{\perp}$  (blue curves) transmitted through the analyzer in transmission and extinction mode, respectively. For  $I_{\parallel}$  the transmission direction of the analyzer corresponds to the target output polarization at the nominal wavelength  $\lambda_0 = 550$  nm of the composite rotator.

rotation angles a weak transmitted intensity persists at the border of the spectrum. This is connected to the narrower fidelity function  $|\mathcal{F}(\delta)|$  for large than for small angles  $\alpha$  seen in Fig. 2(d).

The red curves for  $I_{\parallel}$  in Fig. 8 do not permit a direct comparison with the theoretically expected intensity in transmission mode because they are influenced by the source spectrum and detector sensitivity, as well as the transmission spectrum of all optical elements in the set-up. To take away all these effects and permit this comparison, we therefore normalize the intensity  $I_{\parallel}$  as  $\tilde{I}_{\parallel} \equiv I_{\parallel}/(I_{\parallel} +$  $I_{\perp}$ ). Figure 9 shows the corresponding spectra for  $\tilde{I}_{\parallel}(\lambda)$ together with the theoretically expected ones. The latter are obtained by applying the resulting Jones matrix (19) to the input polarization Jones vector and projecting the resulting expected output polarization onto the analyzer to obtain the expected transmitted intensity as the square of the module of the projected polarization vector. The conversion between the wavelength  $\lambda$  and the relative retardation deviation  $\delta$  is made by means of Eq. (23) as discussed in connection with Fig. 5. As can be seen in Fig. 9, the agreement between the measured and the expected normalized transmission spectra is excellent. Even in the worst-case scenario ( $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$  and a wavelength exceeding the nominal wavelength by 300 nm), the normalized transmitted intensity  $I_{\parallel}$  is still approximately 70%. A careful analysis shows that, in the case where the output light is still



FIG. 9. Normalized transmitted intensity spectra  $\tilde{I}_{\parallel}(\lambda)$ , the dotted blue curves give the experimental spectra whereas the underlying solid red lines are the theoretically expected spectra. The nominal wavelength for the three-WP rotator is  $\lambda_0 = 550$  nm. The upper scale gives the corresponding values for  $\delta$ .

linearly polarized, the normalized transmitted intensity  $I_{\parallel}$  corresponds to the square of the fidelity  $|\mathcal{F}(\delta)|^2$ , which justifies the choice of the fidelity as the quantity to optimize. In our case, by measuring the fringe visibility for



FIG. 10. Variation of the polarization rotation angle  $\alpha_{exp}$  with wavelength. The points are measured values at seven distinct wavelengths and the curves give the theoretically expected dependence. The  $\alpha$  values characterizing each curve are the target rotation angles for the nominal central wavelength  $\lambda_0 = 550$  nm. The upper abscissa give the values for  $\delta$  corresponding to the wavelengths.

wavelength-filtered radiation as in the insets of Fig. 6, the degree of linear polarization  $\xi$  is found to be close to 100% throughout, as expected theoretically (see Fig. 4 and the related discussion). Thus, the above condition is satisfied and the measurements of Fig. 9 can be considered as direct measurements of the fidelity squared.

Therefore the decrease of  $\tilde{I}_{\parallel}$  far from the nominal wavelength is not due to a decrease of  $\xi$ , but to the dependence of the rotation angle on the parameter  $\delta$  discussed in Fig. 3(b). To check this, we have performed measurements of the experimental rotation angle at seven filtered wavelengths ( $\lambda = 450, 500, 550, 600, 650, 700, \text{ and } 750 \text{ nm}$ ) by inserting the corresponding spectral filter after the broadband light source in the set-up. The experimentally measured and theoretically expected rotation angles [see also Fig. 3(b)] are shown in Fig. 10 and show very good agreement.

## **IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

We have proposed theoretically and verified experimentally a design for a composite broadband polarization rotator composed of only three WPs, two HWPs and one FWP for the central nominal wavelength. This design is simpler with respect of earlier broadband composite rotators composed of a larger number of WPs [16–18]. We have shown that the output polarization state remains nearly linear even for strong departure from the nominal central wavelength  $\lambda_0$  and that the polarization rotation angle has its maximum at  $\lambda_0$  and diminishes smoothly away from this wavelength. The rotation angle can be tuned by rotating only one of the WPs and is robust against the initial polarization direction. It is useful to compare the values of the figure of merit O defined in Eq. (17) for our selected configuration, the three other configurations of Fig. 2, and the case of Ref. [18], which gave the wider fidelity curves to date. By taking the example of a target rotation angle  $\alpha = 60^{\circ}$ , the standard rotator composed of two HWPs of Fig. 2(a) has a figure of merit Q = 0.504, the addition of a FWP with the "wrong" sign of the phase shift as in Fig. 2(c) leads to a similar value of Q = 0.491. In contrast, the zero-pulse-area-like two-HWP configuration of Fig. 2(b), that we have discussed to a larger extent, leads to an improvement because Q = 0.750. However, as discussed previously, this configuration is related to strongly elliptical polarized output light for a certain range of the detuning parameter  $\delta$ . Finally, the three-WP design studied in this work has Q = 0.808. This value compares favorably with the corresponding values for the schemes of Ref. [18], which are 0.812, 0.720, 0.863, and 0.727 for a total number of WPs N equal to 4, 6, 8, and 10, respectively. Only the case N = 8 is associated with a significantly better figure of merit than for the present much simpler configuration.

In conclusion, we believe that the three-WP rotator discussed in this work will be useful for light polarization management of broadband, short-pulse, or tunable light sources.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by EU Horizon-2020 ITN project LIMQUET (Contract No. 765075) and by the Bulgarian Science Fund Grant No. DN 18/14.

- M. A. Azzam and N. M. Bashara, *Ellipsometry and Polarized Light* (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1977).
- [2] D. H. Goldstein, *Polarized Light* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2011), 3rd ed.
- [3] F. J. Duarte, *Tunable Laser Optics* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2015), 2nd ed.
- [4] D. S. Kliger, J. W. Lewis, and C. E. Randall, *Polarized Light in Optics and Spectroscopy* (Academic Press, Boston, 1990).
- [5] C. D. West and A. S. Makas, The spectral dispersion of birefringence, especially of birefringent plastic sheets, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 39, 791 (1949).
- [6] M. G. Destriau and J. Prouteau, Réalisation d'un quart d'onde quasi achromatique par juxtaposition de deux lames cristallines de même nature, J. Phys. Radium 10, 53 (1949).
- [7] S. Pancharatnam, Achromatic combinations of birefringent plates. Part I. An achromatic circular polarizer, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. A 41, 130 (1955).
- [8] S. Pancharatnam, Achromatic combinations of birefringent plates. Part II. An achromatic quarter-wave plate, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. A 41, 137 (1955).
- [9] C. M. McIntyre and S. E. Harris, Achromatic wave plates for the visible spectrum, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 58, 1575 (1968).
- [10] A. Ardavan, Exploiting the Poincaré–Bloch symmetry to design high-fidelity broadband composite linear retarders, New J. Phys. 9, 24 (2007).
- [11] S. S. Ivanov, A. A. Rangelov, N. V. Vitanov, T. Peters, and T. Halfmann, Highly efficient broadband conversion of light polarization by composite retarders, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 29, 265 (2012).
- [12] T. Peters, S. S. Ivanov, D. English, A. A. Rangelov, N. V. Vitanov, and T. Halfmann, Variable ultrabroadband and narrowband composite polarization retarders, Appl. Opt. 51, 7466 (2012).
- [13] E. Dimova, S. S. Ivanov, G. Popkirov, and N. V. Vitanov, Highly efficient broadband polarization retarders and tunable polarization filters made of composite stacks of ordinary wave plates, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A **31**, 952 (2014).
- [14] E. Dimova, W. Huang, G. Popkirov, A. A. Rangelov, and E. Kyoseva, Broadband and ultra-broadband modular halfwave plates, Opt. Commun. 366, 382 (2016).
- [15] M. H. Levitt, Composite pulses, Progr. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 18, 61 (1986).
- [16] A. A. Rangelov and E. Kyoseva, Broadband composite polarization rotator, Opt. Commun. 338, 574 (2015).
- [17] E. Dimova, A. A. Rangelov, and E. Kyoseva, Tunable bandwidth optical rotator, Photon. Res. 3, 177 (2015).
- [18] E. Stojanova, M. Al-Mahmoud, H. Hristova, A. A. Rangelov, E. Dimova, and N. V. Vitanov, Achromatic

polarization rotator with tunable rotation angle, J. Opt. **21**, 105403 (2019).

- [19] K. D. Möller, *Optics* (Univ. Science Books, Mill Valley, 1988), 3rd ed.
- [20] Z. Zhuang, Y. J. Kim, and J. S. Patel, Achromatic linear polarization rotator using twisted nematic liquid crystals, Appl. Phys. Lett. **76**, 3995 (2000).
- [21] T. Y. Chung, M. C. Tsai, C. K. Liu, J. H. Li, and K. T. Cheng, Achromatic linear polarization rotators by tandem twisted nematic liquid crystal cells, Sci. Rep. 8, 13691 (2018).
- [22] A. Messaadi, M. M. Sanchez-Lopez, A. Vargas, P. Garcia-Martinez, and I. Moreno, Achromatic linear retarder with tunable retardance, Opt. Lett. 43, 3277 (2018).

- [23] H. Fabricius, Achromatic prism retarder for use in polarimetric sensors, Appl. Opt. 30, 426 (1991).
- [24] C. Ye, Construction of an optical rotator using quarter-wave plates and an optical retarder, Opt. Eng. 34, 3031 (1995).
- [25] J. A. Davis, D. E. McNamara, D. M. Cottrell, and T. Sonehara, Two-dimensional polarization encoding with a phaseonly liquid-crystal spatial light modulator, Appl. Opt. 39, 1549 (2000).
- [26] G. S. Vasilev and N. V. Vitanov, Complete population transfer by a zero-area pulse, Phys. Rev. A 73, 023416 (2006).
- [27] B. T. Torosov and N. V. Vitanov, High-fidelity errorresilient composite phase gates, Phys. Rev. A 90, 012341 (2014).