Design of an On-Chip Superconducting Microwave Circulator with Octave Bandwidth

Benjamin J. Chapman,^{1,2,*,†} Eric I. Rosenthal,^{1,2} and K. W. Lehnert^{1,2}

¹JILA, National Institute of Standards and Technology and the University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA ²Department of Physics University of Colorada, Parklander, Parklander, 199200, USA

²Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

(Received 23 September 2018; revised manuscript received 23 February 2019; published 16 April 2019)

We present a design for a superconducting, on-chip circulator composed of dynamically modulated transfer switches and delays. Design goals are set for the multiplexed readout of superconducting qubits. Simulations of the device show that it allows for low-loss circulation (insertion loss < 0.35 dB and isolation >20 dB) over an instantaneous bandwidth of 2.3 GHz. This design improves on the bandwidth of previous superconducting circulators by more than an order of magnitude, making it ideal for integration with broadband quantum-limited amplifiers.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevApplied.11.044048

I. INTRODUCTION

Sophisticated signal processing often requires that Lorentz reciprocity—the scattering symmetry of an electromagnetic system under exchange of source and detector—be broken. In particular, directionally routing propagating electromagnetic modes without adding noise or incurring loss is vital for quantum-information processing with superconducting circuits.

Although Maxwell's equations place no restrictions on the bandwidth over which lossless nonreciprocity can occur, synthesis of low-loss on-chip circulators and gyrators has mostly been limited to narrow-band devices [1–4] (fractional bandwidth <1%). Ferrite circulators [5] provide octave bandwidths, but their large magnets make them difficult to miniaturize or integrate with superconducting circuits. Given the large number of qubits required for faulttolerant quantum computation [6], and the standard use of nonreciprocity in qubit readout, circulator performance metrics such as loss, added noise, bandwidth, dynamic range, and size must be simultaneously optimized.

To address this optimization problem, we propose the superconducting implementation of a recent concept for broadband circulation based on active modulation and delay [7–11]. The approach requires that the delay be commensurate with the modulation frequency, but imposes no constraints on the frequency of the input signal. This aspect is responsible for the concept's bandwidth, allowing for operation all the way down to dc [11]. It improves on previous designs [12–15] for broadband active circulators by

engineering the desired circulation in a hardware-efficient manner, which may, in principle, be lossless. Such schemes are complementary to superconducting traveling-wave isolators, which provide an alternative path to compact broadband nonreciprocity [16].

When modeled as a superconducting integrated circuit, simulations with realistic parameters show our design allows for low-loss circulation (<0.35 dB) with octave bandwidth. At the same time, estimates indicate compression powers on the order of of hundreds of picowatts or greater. With respect to bandwidth and linearity, this represents an order of magnitude improvement over other near-lossless superconducting circulators [1–3], well suited for integration with broadband quantumlimited amplifiers [17–20].

II. THEORY OF OPERATION

The proposed circulator is composed of two transfer switches connected by a pair of delay lines [Fig. 1(a)]. The transfer switches have four ports, and are dynamically modulated with period *T*, toggling between their "through" and "crossed" states. Figure 1(b) shows how the two switches are periodically actuated by square waves with a relative phase of $\theta = \pi/2$. The delays are chosen to have duration $\tau = T/4$.

To see how the circulation is created, consider how a right-propagating wave packet (e.g., a signal-burst incident on ports 1 or 3) is scattered by the network. Figure 1(c) shows how the two switches route the incident signal, depending on the portion of the modulation period in which it arrives. For example, if the signal arrives in the first quarter period of the modulation (the yellow time window), the left (blue) transfer switch is in its through

^{*}chapman.j.benjamin@gmail.com

[†]Current address: Department of Applied Physics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, 06511, USA.

state. But by the time the signal exits the delay line, the right (red) transfer switch is in its crossed state. Signals incident on port 1 are therefore routed to port 2, and signals incident on port 3 are routed to port 4. This process may be continued for the entire modulation period. One observes that the port-to-port signal routing is independent of the incident signal's arrival time, despite the fact that the signal may travel along different paths before exiting the network.

The nonreciprocal character of the network may be verified by repeating this analysis for left-traveling wave packets (e.g., signals incident on ports 2 or 4), as depicted in Fig. 1(d). Again, the port-to-port signal routing is independent of the signal's arrival time: signals incident on port 2 are always routed to port 3, and signals incident on port 4 are always routed to port 1. The scattering of the network is not invariant upon exchange of source and detector. It forms a four-port circulator.

This graphical time-domain representation of the circulator may be complemented by a quantitative frequency-domain analysis in which all m sidebands (detuned by integer multiples of the modulation frequency)

 $\Omega = 2\pi/T$) are treated as ports of the network. The scattering of a signal incident on the first physical port may then be expressed as

$$\begin{split} S_{11}^{m} &= 0, \\ S_{21}^{m} &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{m,0} - r_{m}), \\ S_{31}^{m} &= 0, \\ S_{41}^{m} &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{m,0} + r_{m}), \\ r_{m} &= -\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k} \frac{e^{jk\Omega\tau} e^{j(m-k)\theta}}{(m-k)k}, \end{split}$$
(1)

where the sum on k runs over all odd integers, j is the imaginary unit, $\delta_{\mu,\nu}$ is the Kronecker δ , and m is an index denoting effective ports at different frequencies, which is taken to be zero at the frequency of the input signal (e.g., m = -2 denotes the scattering of signals detuned from the input by -2Ω). The term r_m describes the transmission of an odd excitation of the network's first and third ports:



FIG. 1. Circulation with actively modulated transfer switches and delays. (a) A four-port circulator is constructed from two dynamically modulated transfer switches and a pair of delay lines. The left (blue) switch is shown in the crossed state, and the right (red) switch is shown in the through state. The delay is set to one quarter of the modulation period $\tau = T/4$. (b) The left and right switches are modulated with period *T* and a relative phase of $\pi/2$. For visual clarity, the modulation period is divided into quarter-period intervals indicated by the pastel background colors. (c) The schematics depict the way the switches will route a right-propagating signal, depending on its arrival time. Signals incident on port 1 are always routed to port 2, and signals incident on port 3 are routed to port 4. Note that these are not "snapshots" of the switches' configurations, as the signal is delayed by a quarter period of the modulation as it propagates through the network. (d) The same depiction as (c), but for left-traveling waves incident on ports 2 or 4. To keep the device's orientation the same as in (b), time flows from right to left in this diagram.

its interference with the transmission of an even excitation creates the desired circulation. Such a representation is useful in quantifying the effect of imperfections and in evaluating the device's linearity. (Absent nonidealities, Eq. (1) yields perfect four-port circulation when $\Omega \tau = \pi/2$, $\theta = \pm \pi/2$, for which $r_m = \pm \delta_{m,0}$.) Appendix A includes the full multifrequency scattering matrix derivation, and extension of Eq. (1) to include the effects of group-delay dispersion, finite modulation bandwidth, and loss.

The device's sensitivity to these nonidealities depends on the modulation rate Ω : Equation (1) shows that groupdelay dispersion becomes problematic when an $\Omega/(2\pi)$ shift in frequency causes a delay variation on the scale of τ . Similarly, a finite bandwidth Ω_b of the square-wave modulation truncates the sum in Eq. (1) at $k_{\text{max}} = \Omega_b/\Omega$. Both of these considerations prejudice the design in favor of longer delays and modulation rates, which are much smaller than the frequencies of the circulated signals. Slow modulation also facilitates filtering, allowing suppression of spurious coupling between the modulation and signal lines.

The benefits of slow modulation, however, do not come without a price. Slow modulation is only possible with long delays, which in the context of integrated circuits typically entails greater loss and circuit size and/or reduced bandwidth. In a superconducting design space, though, vanishing conductive losses make long, low-loss, broadband delays possible [21–24], and circuit size is the only remaining penalty. We leverage this fact in the proposed implementation.

III. PROPOSED SUPERCONDUCTING IMPLEMENTATION

The modular nature of the proposed circulator reduces the challenge of designing a low-loss, broadband circulator to the problem of designing low-loss, broadband transfer switches and delays. We address these in succession, and then present simulations of the full device. The final design assumes certain constraints: design goals anticipate use in superconducting qubit readout, in particular, with broadband near-quantum-limited amplifiers [17–19]. Furthermore, to allow for robust, wafer-scale production, we work in the design space allowed by optical lithographic fabrication. It bears emphasizing, however, that the concept's modularity means it is not specific to such a construction. Existing transfer switch or delay designs may also be used [25].

A. Transfer switch

To construct a fast superconducting transfer switch, we arrange two pairs of nominally identical and tunable inductors in a symmetric lattice (bridge) topology [see Fig. 2(a)]. The pairs of inductors tune in a coordinated fashion, allowing the switch to toggle between its through and crossed states [inset of Fig. 2(a)].



FIG. 2. Transfer switch with tunable inductors. A symmetric lattice of tunable inductors forms a transfer switch. (a) When the inductors are tuned such that $l_t \ll l_c$, the switch is in its through state. Tuning $l_c \ll l_t$ realizes the switch's crossed state. Parallel capacitances c are used to match the switch to a characteristic impedance Z_0 . (b) Calculated scattering parameters of the network in (a) parametrized by Eq. (3), with $l_0 = 0.94$ nH, c = 270 fF, $\epsilon = 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$. Solid lines are exact solutions. Dashed lines are the approximations to first order in ϵ given in Eq. (B5). Insertion loss is <0.03 dB between 4 and 8 GHz. The gray rectangle shows the Bode-Fano criterion [Eq. (2)] between 2 and 10 GHz. Its proximity to S_{11} attests to the efficacy of the single-pole matching network.

Constructing the switch with purely reactive elements allows it to be completely free of dissipation. Limiting insertion loss, however, requires impedance matching. Synthesis of a matching network is simplified if the bridge can be strongly imbalanced—that is, if the impedance of the through elements may be made much larger than the impedance of the crossed elements and the characteristic impedance Z_0 , or vice versa. In this limit, the Bode-Fano criterion [26,27] for the switch is approximately that of a series *RL* circuit [28]:

$$-\int_0^\infty \ln|\Gamma(\omega)|d\omega < \pi \frac{R}{L}.$$
 (2)

Here *R* is taken to be $Z_0 = 50$ Ohms, $\Gamma(\omega)$ is the reflection coefficient, and *L* is the small inductance in the network (l_t in the switch's through state, l_c in the crossed state). A broadband match may then be obtained for sufficiently small *L*. For example, limiting reflections to $\Gamma = -20$ dB over an 8 GHz band requires that *L* be less than approximately 1 nH. We make this match in a simple, symmetrical way, with shunting capacitors *c* sandwiching the inductor *L* [Fig. 2(a)].

To quantify the needed imbalance, we analyze the switch in its "through" position and parametrize the pairs of tunable inductors as

$$l_t = l_0,$$

$$l_c = \frac{l_0}{\epsilon}.$$
 (3)

Here ϵ is a small parameter that describes the degree to which the bridge can be imbalanced. A standard circuit analysis (see Appendix B) allows expansion of the switch's scattering parameters in powers of ϵ . We find that the amplitude of both reflection and unwanted transmission (e.g., transmission to isolated ports of the switch) scale to leading order as ϵ , provided the impedance of the resonator $Z_r = \sqrt{l_0/2c}$ is equal to Z_0 , and the capacitive corner-frequency $f_c \equiv (Z_0 c)^{-1}$ is much larger than the maximum frequency of operation (≈ 10 GHz). When $l_0 \approx 1$ nH, this may be accomplished with $c \approx 200$ fF. Fine tuning of the inductance and capacitance may then be used to adjust the passband of the switch around the center frequency $\omega_0 = 1/\sqrt{2l_0c}$. Made in this way, the imbalanced switch is effectively an *RLC* oscillator with quality factor of order 1.

As the switch's isolation and return loss depend directly on ϵ , implementing inductors, which may be tuned over a wide range is a critical task. We suggest two ways in which small ϵ values may be realized.

The first uses laddered arrays of Josephson junctions, which are flux biased close to frustration. Devices made in this way have demonstrated $\epsilon \leq 2 \times 10^{-2}$ [29,30]. The second uses dc superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs) with small, high-aspect-ratio loops ($\simeq 5 \ \mu m \times \simeq 100 \ nm$). These may be fabricated with optical lithography by orienting the loop such that its normal vector is parallel to the chip's surface. We expect $\epsilon < 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ to be possible with such a design. (For more details, see Appendix C.) Implemented in either fashion, the tunable inductors may then be arranged in a bridge geometry and tuned with a pair of flux controls, as in Refs. [3,31–33].

Figure 2(b) shows the magnitudes of the calculated scattering parameters of our switch design, optimized for the 4 to 8 GHz band given $\epsilon = 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$. Between 4 and 8 GHz, insertion loss is <0.03 dB, isolation exceeds 25 dB, and reflections are less than -26 dB. The impedance match is therefore comparable to the Bode-Fano limit for an *RL* circuit (shaded gray rectangle). The switch's group-delay dispersion is nonzero, but as the total delay provided by the switch is approximately the inverse of its bandwidth (and $Q \approx 1$), it imparts a delay, which is small relative to that of the delay lines.

Imbalancing an inductive bridge to this degree puts constraints on the junction's plasma (self-resonance) frequency ω_p . When the tunable inductors are flux biased into their high-inductance state, their Josephson inductance changes by a factor of $1/\epsilon$, decreasing the plasma frequency of the unbiased junction by a factor of $\sqrt{\epsilon}$. To ensure that this reduced plasma frequency is always greater than the circulator's operation band, we require $\omega_p > 2\pi \times \sqrt{\epsilon} \times 10$ GHz in the unbiased junctions. For a niobium trilayer process where ω_p is a known function of the junction critical current density J_c [34,35], the above constraint defines a minimum J_c . Given a minimum feature size of 2 μ m, the minimum junction critical current is then set at $I_0 = 9 \ \mu$ A. Finally, the target array inductance of $l_0 \approx 1$ nH can be achieved by cascading $N \approx 40$ of the SQUIDs in series.

An auxiliary benefit of this large plasma-frequency requirement comes in the device's linearity. Ultimately, the power handling of the circulator will depend on how the tunable inductors are implemented and the precise value of the junction critical currents, so an involved calculation is deferred for future work. Estimates may be made, however, by comparison with previous measurements. Switches fabricated with laddered arrays of $35-\mu A$ junctions have reported 1-dB compression points at -53 dBm [30], and circulators made with arrays of $1.92-\mu A$ junctions in dc SQUIDs have reported compression at -90 dBm [3]. Assuming power-handling scales with the square of junction critical currents, a design with $I_0 = 9 \ \mu A$ junctions would not saturate until input power reached between -75 and -65 dBm.

For use in the proposed active circulator, it is not enough for the transfer switches to perform statically: they must also be fast. In principal, the only physical constraint on the switching speed is the junction self-resonance frequency. In practice, however, further restriction of the modulation bandwidth can limit the extent to which photons in the flux control lines couple into the circuit and emerge as noise in the operation band.

In particular, in the regime where the modulation rate Ω is much less than the 4–8 GHz operating frequencies, it is advantageous to limit the modulation bandwidth to half of the minimum operating frequency. This provides ample separation between the modulation band (1/*T* to 2 GHz) and the signal band (4 to 8 GHz), which facilitates filtering. It also ensures that modulation tones, which spuriously couple into the signal lines will be below the signal band, even if they are mixed up in frequency by the second transfer switch. Further discussion of the control

waveforms' effect on the circulator's output spectrum is given in Appendix D.

B. Delay lines and optimal modulation rate

Modulating the transfer switches slowly requires a broadband and low-loss delay on the scale of ns, many microwave periods long. Furthermore, this delay must be relatively free of dispersion. These considerations suggest the use of a transmission-line delay, which supports a TEM or quasi-TEM mode, such as a coplanar waveguide (CPW). Such a line may be tightly meandered to realize the desired broadband delay in a compact manner.

The primary sources of dispersion in such a structure are the resonant modes associated with the ground plane and the bends in the path of the CPW. To account for these, we conduct numerical simulations of meandered CPWs using a planar method-of-moments solver. These show 1ns delays may be achieved over the entire 2–10-GHz band, with return loss better than 30 dB and fractional groupvelocity dispersion $<3 \times 10^{-4}$ per 80 MHz. Dispersion at this level would cause 0.05 dB of circulator insertion loss (see Appendix A). (Dispersion from the quasi-TEM nature of the CPW mode, and the frequency dependence of niobium's surface inductance, are irrelevant on this scale [36,37].)

At temperatures and frequencies where the thermal and photon energies are much less than the superconducting gap, dissipation in a CPW is dominated by dielectric loss in the substrate. For a signal with frequency ω propagating for a time $\tau = \pi/(2\Omega)$, the attenuation *a* from the surrounding dielectric is

$$a = \exp\left(-\frac{\omega\tau}{2Q_i}\right).\tag{4}$$

Here Q_i is the internal quality factor of the CPW, which depends on the loss tangent of the substrate and its participation in the propagating mode. Coplanar waveguides fabricated on silicon routinely demonstrate quality factors $>10^5$ [38], making the dissipation from a 1-ns delay less than 3 mdB for signals in our band.

To estimate the physical size of such a delay and the resulting circuit, we note that for a CPW on silicon where microwaves travel more slowly than the vacuum speed of light c_0 , the needed delay line has length $d \approx \pi c_0/(5\Omega)$. Assuming a minimum lithographic feature size of 2 μ m, a 50 Ohms CPW may be as narrow as 10 μ m, and a reasonable value for the meander pitch is $p = 60 \ \mu$ m. The switches themselves require less than 1 mm², so the entire layout may fit on a chip with area $2dp + 2 \ \text{mm}^2$, as illustrated in Fig. 3(a).

Selecting a precise value for the modulation frequency Ω therefore amounts to balancing competing effects: slower modulation minimizes the loss associated with dispersion and finite modulation bandwidth, but increases



FIG. 3. Optimal modulation rate. (a) A possible layout for the proposed circulator. Delay lines occupy the majority of the chip's area. (b) Estimated chip area (left axis, blue trace) and total insertion loss (right axis, thick red trace) as a function of the modulation frequency. Contributions to the insertion loss from dispersive, dielectric, and finite modulation bandwidth effects are also shown. These assume a fractional group-velocity dispersion of 3×10^{-4} per 80 MHz, an internal quality factor of $Q_i = 10^5$, and a modulation bandwidth of $\Omega_b/(2\pi) = 2$ GHz.

modulation frequency $\Omega/2\pi$ (MHz)

dielectric losses and circuit size. Figure 3(b) summarizes these trade-offs. The blue trace shows circuit size (left axis), while the red traces shows the various loss channels (right axis), calculated with Eq. (4) and the methods described in Appendix A. A modulation rate of $\Omega = 2\pi \times$ 80 MHz ($d \approx 37.5$ cm) is estimated to keep the circulator's insertion loss below 0.1 dB while allowing the device to fit on a 7 by 7 mm chip.

C. Simulated performance

To predict the performance of the proposed superconducting circulator we conduct time-domain numerical simulations of the design. The delays are modeled as ideal transmission lines, which provide a delay of $\tau = \pi/(2\Omega) = 3.125$ ns (commensurate with $\Omega = 2\pi \times 80$ MHz). The transfer switches are modeled by the lumpedelement network depicted in Fig. 2(a), with several nonidealities included.

First, each inductor is replaced by an array of N = 46 inductors in series, each of which is assumed to be tunable

by an external signal Φ_e , via the relation

$$l(\Phi_e) = \frac{l_0}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + (1 - 2\epsilon)\cos^2(\Phi_e)}} + l_g.$$
 (5)

This functional form is chosen to replicate the flux dependence of an asymmetrical dc SQUID's inductance [39], and make contact with Eq. (3) in the limits $\Phi_e \rightarrow 0$ and $\Phi_e \rightarrow \pi/2$. We fix $Nl_0 = 1.02$ nH and $\epsilon = 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$, and the geometric inductance is chosen to be $Nl_g = 207$ pH. Second, alongside each of these tunable inductors we place a parallel capacitance of $c_J = 180$ fF to mimic the self-capacitance of the junctions. Lastly, the tunable inductors are modulated by two approximately square-wave signals with frequency $\Omega = 2\pi \times 80$ MHz. We model the finite modulation bandwidth by synthesizing the bias signals from the sum of the first 25 Fourier components of a square wave, such that the bias waveform has no spectral weight above $\Omega_b/(2\pi) = 25\Omega/(2\pi) = 2$ GHz.

Figure 4(a) shows the first column of the simulated network's scattering parameters. Between 5.9 and 8.2 GHz, the circulator's isolation exceeds 20 dB. Insertion loss in the same band is less than 0.3 dB. Accounting for loss caused by disperion in the CPW delays (which is not present in the time-domain simulation), the total loss of the device is expected to be less than 0.35 dB across this band.

The primary performance limitation is the finite modulation bandwidth: if the simulation is repeated with infinite modulation bandwidth and all other parameters held fixed, isolation is greater than 20 dB between 4 and 8.5 GHz, and insertion loss is improved to better than 0.1 dB. Power emerging out of the circulator's other three ports (at the input frequency) accounts for 0.03 dB of this loss. We attribute the final 0.05 dB to the dispersion of the switches.

The simulated 0.2 dB of insertion loss that results from an $\Omega_b = 2\pi \times 2$ GHz modulation bandwidth is greater than the 0.07 dB expected from the analysis in Appendix A. The discrepancy arises from the sensitive dependence of the inductance on the control signal near the $\Phi_e = \pi/2$ operating point (at $\Phi_e = \pi/2$, $dl/d\Phi_e$ diverges). While the rising edge of the finite-bandwidth flux signal approaches (and then overshoots) its target value of $\pi/2$, the efficacy of the switches is diminished until the control flux settles.

The ripple in the scattering parameters has a free spectral range of 2Ω , and is caused by small reflections at the second transfer switch. As these reflections take two extra passes through the delay lines, they experience an additional delay of $2\tau = \pi/\Omega$ before interfering with the unreflected signal.

Despite the coordinated modulation used to create circulation, the majority of the transmitted power is unchanged in frequency. The orange trace in Fig. 4(b) shows the spectral content of the signal exiting the circulator's second port, when the first port is driven by a 6-GHz tone. Most



FIG. 4. Simulated performance. Time-domain numerical simulations of the circulator, with transfer switches formed from symmetric lattices of tunable inductors modulated at $\Omega = 2\pi \times 80$ MHz. (a) First column of the simulated scattering matrix, when the device is configured for counter-clockwise circulation. The horizontal line at -20 dB is a guide to the eye. (b) Power spectrum of the signal emerging from the circulator's second port, when the circulator is driven by a 6-GHz tone incident on its first port. Power is normalized to the incident signal power $P_{\text{inc.}}$. The thin black trace shows the same quantity in a simulation with infinite modulation bandwidth.

of the power (-0.28 dB) emerges at 6 GHz. Sidebands detuned from 6 GHz by multiples of $2\Omega/(2\pi)$ are also visible. The largest of these sidebands is less than -27 dB. For reference, the thin black trace also shows the same simulation with infinite modulation bandwidth. Although they are suppressed by over 45 dB, modulation sidebands are still present in the spectrum. They are caused by the finite isolation of the transfer switches.

IV. DISCUSSION

We describe the theory of operation for a broadband and low-loss circulator based on active modulation and delay. The device may be understood as a network of two simple components: transfer switches and delays. Design of a superconducting implementation is presented and discussed in terms of the optimal modulation rate Ω , a key design parameter. The device is then simulated numerically: isolation is greater than 20 dB while insertion loss is less than 0.35 dB over a bandwidth of 2.3 GHz. Based on comparison with demonstrated Josephson-junction-based circuits, its 1-dB compression point is expected to be greater than -75 dBm.

The proposed circulator therefore represents a major advance in on-chip nonreciprocity, improving on the bandwidth and power handling of other near-lossless devices [1-3] by an order of magnitude. These gains become especially powerful when the broadband circulator is combined with a linear and broadband near-quantum-limited amplifier [18,20]. Such a quantum microwave receiver, which would be linear at input powers 10^2 times greater than a typical qubit readout tone, and operable over a bandwidth 10^3 times greater than a typical readout cavity's coupling rate, could allow for the simultaneous readout of many qubits in an on-chip package.

Finally, the active nature of the proposed circulator affords new functionalities relative to passive ferrite circulators: its sense of circulation can be dynamically reconfigured, or the circuit can be statically biased to act as a reciprocal switch. Such capabilities could find applications in pulsed measurement schemes, where they would enable routing protocols which could not be realized using only passive ferrite circulators.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Jiansong Gao, Bradley Moores, and Xian Wu for helpful discussions. E.I.R. acknowledges support from the ARO QuaCGR fellowship. This work is supported by the ARO under contract W911NF-14-1-0079 and the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1125844.

APPENDIX A: CIRCULATOR SCATTERING PARAMETERS

Analysis of the network in Fig. 1(a) is simplified by separately considering even and odd excitations of the network's left and right sides. Let the matrix U describe the unitary transformation between the numbered port basis and the basis of left or right and even or odd excitations:

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (A1)

We assume that both the switches and delay lines are impedance matched. We also initially assume lossless, distortionless delays and infinite switch-modulation bandwidth. These last three assumptions are relaxed at the conclusion of the derivation.

Even excitations are unaffected by the state of the switches. As all of the network's components are impedance matched by assumption, common mode excitations are unaltered as they propagate through the network. The scattering matrix for the even mode excitations S_e is, therefore,

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{e}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{A2}$$

To analyze the network's odd dynamics, we introduce a vector whose entries describe the spectral content a_{ω} at the sidebands of the modulation frequency Ω :

$$\mathbf{a}_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \dots & a_{\omega+\Omega} & a_{\omega} & a_{\omega-\Omega} & \dots \end{pmatrix}^{l}.$$
(A3)

Here the superscript ^t indicates transposition. We choose to index this infinite-dimensional vector with positive and negative indices, and designate the term a_{ω} as the 0 index.

Let us define the modulation of a single transfer switch, which is square-wave biased with phase ϕ as $H(t) \equiv \text{sign}[\sin(\Omega t + \phi)]$. By Fourier decomposition,

$$H(t) = \frac{2}{j\pi} \sum_{n} \frac{e^{jn\phi} e^{jn\Omega t} - e^{-jn\phi} e^{-jn\Omega t}}{n}, \qquad (A4)$$

where the sum runs over positive odd integers.

Written in this way, the action of a switch on the vector \mathbf{a}_{ω} can be expressed as a matrix. In element-wise form, this matrix may be defined piecewise as

$$\mathbf{H}_{mn}(\phi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m - n \text{ even,} \\ \frac{2e^{j(m-n)\phi}}{j\pi(m-n)} & \text{if } m - n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$
(A5)

The action of the delay lines is represented by a diagonal matrix with elements

$$\mathbf{D}_{mn} = e^{jm\Omega\tau} \delta_{m,n}. \tag{A6}$$

We may now calculate the action of the full cascaded network, formed by a left switch with $\phi = 0$ [represented by the matrix $\mathbf{L} \equiv \mathbf{H}(0)$], a delay (represented by the matrix **D**), and a right switch with $\phi = \theta$ [represented by the matrix $\mathbf{R} \equiv \mathbf{H}(\theta)$]. This network transforms a rightpropagating differential excitation by the matrix product **RDL**. If the right-propagating excitation is a pure tone with frequency ω , the output of the network at the *m*th sideband is

$$r_m \equiv (\mathbf{RDL})_{m0} = -\frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_k \frac{e^{jk\Omega\tau} e^{j(m-k)\theta}}{(m-k)k}, \qquad (A7)$$

if m is even and 0 if m is odd. Here the sum on k runs over all (positive and negative) odd integers. The signal transmitted at the input frequency is, therefore,

$$(\mathbf{RDL})_{00} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{k} \frac{e^{ik(\Omega\tau - \theta)}}{k^2},$$
 (A8)

which is 1 when $\Omega \tau - \theta = 0$.

For a left-propagating wave, the action of the network is given by the reverse-ordered product, **LDR**. For a pure tone at ω ,

$$l_m \equiv (\mathbf{LDR})_{m0} = -\frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_k \frac{e^{jk\Omega\tau} e^{jk\theta}}{(m-k)k}, \qquad (A9)$$

when m is even. The spectral weight at the input frequency is

$$(\mathbf{LDR})_{00} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{k} \frac{e^{jk(\Omega\tau+\theta)}}{k^2},$$
 (A10)

which is 1 when $\Omega \tau + \theta = 0$.

The scattering matrix for the odd mode excitations S_0 is, therefore,

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & l_m \\ r_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A11}$$

Note that this scattering matrix describes the way the network scatters an incident signal among its physical ports, as well as effective ports indexed by m, which represent signals at sidebands of the modulation rate Ω .

Finally, to recover the full scattering matrix in the numbered port basis we perform the inverse transformation, $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{U}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{e,o}\mathbf{U}$. Here, $\mathbf{S}_{e,o}$ is a block-diagonal matrix with diagonal entries \mathbf{S}_e and \mathbf{S}_o . This yields

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{m,0} + l_m & 0 & \delta_{m,0} - l_m \\ \delta_{m,0} - r_m & 0 & \delta_{m,0} + r_m & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{m,0} - l_m & 0 & \delta_{m,0} + l_m \\ \delta_{m,0} + r_m & 0 & \delta_{m,0} - r_m & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(A12)

which reduces to the ideal scattering matrix for a four-port circulator when the operation condition $\Omega \tau = \pi/2 = \pm \theta$ is satisfied. It also provides additional information about how the network adds sidebands when this criterion is violated.

The above frequency-domain analysis makes a convenient starting point for studies of group-delay dispersion, frequency-dependent loss, and finite modulation bandwidth. To account for group-delay dispersion and frequency-dependent loss, the delay matrix elements become

$$\mathbf{D}'_{mn} = a_m e^{jm\Omega\tau_m} \delta_{m,n}.$$
 (A13)

The frequency-dependence of the delay and attenuation in the line are now accounted for by the terms τ_m and a_m .

To handle finite modulation bandwidth, we assume that for "intermediate" biasing, the symmetric lattice is approximately balanced. In this configuration, the even-mode scattering matrix is unchanged from Eq. (A2), and the odd-mode scattering matrix is perfectly reflective (the twoby-two identity matrix), with some overall undetermined phase. The full network therefore scatters incident signals promptly, dividing their power equally between all ports. In this limit, finite modulation bandwidth may be treated by truncating the sum in k.

Together, these three effects give revised expressions for l_m and r_m , which we denote l'_m and r'_m :

$$l'_{m} = -\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k}^{k_{\max}} a_{k} \frac{e^{ik\Omega\tau_{k}} e^{jk\theta}}{(m-k)k},$$

$$r'_{m} = -\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k}^{k_{\max}} a_{k} \frac{e^{jk\Omega\tau_{k}} e^{j(m-k)\theta}}{(m-k)k}.$$
(A14)

When k_{max} is finite, l'_m and r'_m have magnitudes, which are less than 1, and Eq. (A12) is amended in two ways. First, the diagonal elements in the even and odd rows become $\tilde{l}_m/2$ and $\tilde{r}_m/2$, respectively. These parameters are constrained by conservation of energy to have magnitudes $|\tilde{l}_m| = \sqrt{1 - l_m^2}$ and $|\tilde{r}_m| = \sqrt{1 - r_m^2}$. Second, the transmission elements, which were perfectly vanishing become $-\tilde{l}_m/2$ in the odd rows and $-\tilde{r}_m/2$ in the even rows.

Results from this revised expression are plotted in Fig. 5, which shows the design's sensitivity to group-delay dispersion in the network's delay lines (a),(b) and the finite



FIG. 5. Performance in the presence of nonidealities. The effects of group-delay dispersion (a),(b) and finite modulation bandwidth (c),(d) on the circulator's insertion loss (a),(c) and isolation (b),(d), calculated with Eq. (A14)

modulation bandwidth of its transfer switches (c),(d). For each case, the insertion loss (a),(c) and isolation (b),(d) are plotted.

APPENDIX B: TRANSFER SWITCH SCATTERING PARAMETERS

Here we derive an analytic expression for the scattering matrix of the four-port transfer switch in Fig. 2(a). This switch has two types of inductors, l_t and l_c , along with capacitors *c* and ports of characteristic impedance Z_0 . To obtain the frequency-dependent scattering matrix $S[\omega]$, we first calculate the admittance matrix $Y[\omega]$ of this circuit in the manner discussed in Ref. [40] and references therein:

$$\mathbf{Y}[\boldsymbol{\omega}] = \mathbf{A}^T \, \mathbf{y}[\boldsymbol{\omega}] \, \mathbf{A},\tag{B1}$$

where \mathbf{A} is the incidence matrix describing nodal connectivity of the network, and \mathbf{y} is the primitive admittance matrix describing the voltage-current relationship across each chord of the network.

The circuit described in Fig. 2(a) has four nodes in addition to ground (each node labeled by the number of the port connected to it) and eight chords (chord numbers 1 through 4 across the inductors, and chord numbers 5 through 8 connecting the capacitors to ground). The incidence matrix **A** is therefore the 8×4 matrix,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
(B2)

and the primitive admittance matrix is the 8×8 diagonal matrix,

 $\mathbf{y}[\omega]$

$$= \operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{1}{j\,\omega l_t}, \, \frac{1}{j\,\omega l_c}, \, \frac{1}{j\,\omega l_c}, \, \frac{1}{j\,\omega l_t}, \, j\,\omega c, \, j\,\omega c, \, j\,\omega c, \, j\,\omega c, \, j\,\omega c\right\}.$$
(B3)

Substituting Eqs. (B2) and (B3) into Eq. (B1) provides a straightforward construction of the admittance matrix, which is readily transformed into the four-port scattering matrix via the formula [28]:

$$\mathbf{S}[\omega] = (\mathbf{I} + Z_0 \mathbf{Y}[\omega])^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I} - Z_0 \mathbf{Y}[\omega]\right).$$
(B4)

Here I is the 4×4 identity matrix.

The analytic expression for $S[\omega]$ can now be expanded in ϵ , the small parameter, which describes bridge imbalance. We assume the switch is in its crossed position, and take $l_t = l_0/\epsilon$ and $l_c = l_0$. To further simplify the result, we also introduce the capacitive time constant $\tau_c = Z_0 c$. The network described in Fig. 2(a) then functions as a matched crossover switch when the condition $l_0/(2c) = Z_0^2/(1 + \omega^2 \tau_c^2)$ is met—that is, when the impedance of the resonator $\sqrt{l_0/(2c)}$ equals the characteristic impedance of the surrounding lines Z_0 , and $\omega^2 \tau_c^2 \ll 1$. Enforcing this condition, the first column of the switch's scattering matrix is,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{S}_{11}| &= \epsilon \left(\frac{|\tau_c^2 \omega^2 - 1|}{2\tau_c \omega} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \\ |\mathbf{S}_{21}| &= |\mathbf{S}_{11}|, \\ |\mathbf{S}_{31}| &= \epsilon \left(\frac{|\tau_c \omega + j|^2}{2\tau_c \omega} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \\ |\mathbf{S}_{41}| &= \left| \frac{j + \tau_c \omega}{j - \tau_c \omega} - j \epsilon \left(\frac{(\tau_c \omega + j)^2}{2\tau_c \omega} \right) \right| + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$
(B5)

Equation (B5) shows that the switch's isolation and return loss scale linearly with ϵ in the limit of large imbalance.

APPENDIX C: WIDELY TUNABLE dc-SQUID INDUCTORS

In this section we discuss two ways to make the highly tunable inductors needed for the transfer switch. The first uses laddered arrays of Josephson junctions, as depicted in Fig. 6(a). Inductors of this type are demonstrated and discussed in Refs. [20,29].



FIG. 6. Tunable inductor design. (a) Top view of a ladder of alternating rf SQUIDs, as studied in Refs. [20,29]. The linear inductors may be realized either with the geometric inductance of galvanic connections, or with high-critical-current Josephson junctions. When biased close to frustration the impedance of the array can exceed a resistance quantum [29]. (b) Top view of a series array of dc SQUIDs, with SQUID loops in the plane of the chip. The tunability of such arrays may be limited by the geometric inductance of the SQUIDs, with SQUID loops. (c) Side view of a series array of dc SQUIDs, with SQUID loops orthogonal to the plane of the chip. In this "vertical" geometry, the vertical dimension of the loop is set by the interlayer spacing, which can substantially reduce the loop's geometric inductance [41].

The second uses arrays of dc SQUIDs formed by two Josephson junctions arranged in parallel. The tunability of a dc SQUID may be limited by (a) the degree to which the critical currents of the two junctions may be made identical, and (b) the geometric inductance of the loop [39]. Cascading an array of these elements in series dilutes the nonlinearity by decreasing the superconducting phase drop across each junction, thus increasing power handling [31].

In an optical lithographic process where the SQUID is fabricated in the plane of the chip [see schematic in Fig. 6(b)], such a loop can be made no smaller than several microns on a side. For Josephson junctions with critical currents on the scale of 10 μ A, the geometric inductance of such a loop is often the limitation on tunability.

That limitation can be removed by orienting the SQUID "vertically," such that a vector normal to its loop is parallel with the chip's surface [Fig. 6(c)]. The small dimension of the loop is now determined by the interlayer separation (approximately 100 nm), rather than the minimum feature size in the optical lithographic process. This decreases the volume in which the loop can store magnetic energy by a factor of approximately 50, reducing its geometric inductance by the same amount [41].

Critical-current symmetry is a process-dependent quantity, but for niobium-trilayer processes, variations are typically below 5% [35]. Subject to that limitation, a vertical SQUID with nominal junction critical currents of 10 μ A could be tuned by a factor of 40. This is the basis for our estimate that $\epsilon \leq 2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ would be possible with such a design.

APPENDIX D: CROSS TALK AND ADDED NOISE

In principle, a circulator based on delays and dynamically modulated switches need not add noise. Firstly, an ideal circulator functions with unit gain, so noiseless circulation is permitted by the fundamental theorem for phase-insensitive amplifiers [42]. Secondly, dynamically modulated switches may route signals without adding noise [43]. Nevertheless, the proposed circulator is an active, flux-actuated device. It may therefore add noise via the fluctuations of its control flux.

These variations around the optimal flux bias point may cause stochastic changes in the circulator's scattering parameters. The result is a sort of multiplicative noise on the circulated signal. This may be mitigated by thermalizing the bias lines to cryogenic temperatures, where the Johnson noise is small relative to the approximately $100-\mu A$ bias currents that generate the flux controls. In similar flux-modulated circulators, thermalization at 4 K keeps added noise below half a photon; further reduction is possible with filtering or thermalization to lower temperatures [3].

Cross talk between the bias lines and the microwave circuit can also create unwanted photons at the circulator's output. Effects caused by spurious coupling may be reduced with careful layout, such as designing flux lines that are higher-order multipole sources of magnetic field, to decrease the range over which stray fluxes are broadcast. But even by itself, the intentional coupling engineered between the bias lines and the loops of the SQUIDs may be problematic, as it can allow the time-varying control flux to drive current out of the circulator's ports. Figure 7(a) illustrates how this can happen in a simple model comprised of a dc SQUID with self-capacitance c_I , inserted into a transmission line of characteristic impedance Z_0 . As each transmission line presents a Z_0 path to ground, there exist finite-impedance Amperian loops that carry current out of the circuit when $d\Phi_e/dt \neq 0$ [see Fig. 7(b)].

In this manner, the flux bias can create photons at a range of frequencies. To quantify this effect, we numerically integrate the classical equation of motion for the circuit in Fig. 7(b) [39], and Fourier transform its steady-state dynamics to obtain the power spectral density p(f). We fix the junction asymmetry at 5%, neglect the geometric inductance of the junctions, and take the capacitance to be $c_J = 180$ fF and the quality factor to be Q = 3.5. Power balance then allows expression of the steady-state photon



FIG. 7. Noise from active flux control. (a) Circuit model for noise from a dynamically modulated flux Φ_e . (b) Alternative representation for the circuit in (a). The pink path traces an Amperian loop, which encloses a time-varying flux. The resulting electromotive force drives current through the resistors. (c) Steady-state photon number vs photon frequency for the circuit in (b), calculated with Eq. (D1). The three traces show the resulting occupations when the external flux $\Phi_e(t)$ is modulated with a Fourier-series approximation of an $\Omega = 2\pi \times 80$ MHz square wave capped at 2 GHz (olive), a sigma approximation [44] of an $\Omega = 2\pi \times 80$ MHz square wave capped at 2 GHz (purple), and a sigma approximation of an $\Omega = 2\pi \times 15$ MHz square wave capped at 750 MHz (teal).

occupation n_{SS} in a cavity irradiated by this noise source:

$$n_{\rm SS} \le \frac{p(f)}{\hbar\omega}.$$
 (D1)

We write Eq. (D1) as an inequality to indicate that it is a kind of worst-case scenario: if any of the flux Φ_e is returned within the Amperian loop drawn in Fig. 7(b), the irradiated noise will decrease; it vanishes completely in the limit where the flux is returned symmetrically within (and outside of) the Amperian loop.

Figure 7(c) shows the steady-state photon number at frequencies between 4 and 8 GHz, for a flux signal comprised of the first 25 terms in the Fourier series of an $\Omega = 2\pi \times 80$ MHz square wave (blue trace). The presence of nonzero occupation at frequencies above the largest spectral component of the modulation (2 GHz) is a signature of the SQUID's nonlinearity.

Photon population is well above the approximately 10^{-4} threshold for residual cavity occupation now being approached in circuit QED experiments [45–47], but this may be improved by regulation of the flux control signal's spectral content. The purple trace in Fig. 7(d) shows the same measurement, now performed with a sigma approximation [44] of the square wave—essentially a low-pass filtered square wave. The resulting occupancies are suppressed by several orders of magnitude. Reducing the modulation rate to $\Omega = 2\pi \times 15$ MHz and capping the modulation bandwidth at 750 MHz provides an additional reduction in the occupancy (teal trace). The price of this filtering is paid in loss. Because the switching time is increased by the 750 MHz cap on the modulation bandwidth, insertion loss climbs to -0.5 dB.

Ultimately, the above analysis is meant to model how a junction's nonlinearity can mix flux control signals up in frequency, and how these microwave photons are emitted out of the circulator's ports. This radiation can be controlled by regulating the modulation spectrum, and with symmetric arrangement of the bias lines in layout. Nevertheless, this model is merely an approximation: we discuss it here to illustrate possible recourse.

- K. M. Sliwa, M. Hatridge, A. Narla, S. Shankar, L. Frunzio, R. J. Schoelkopf, and M. H. Devoret, Reconfigurable Josephson Circulator/Directional Amplifier, Phys. Rev. X 5, 041020 (2015).
- [2] F. Lecocq, L. Ranzani, G. A. Peterson, K. Cicak, R. W. Simmonds, J. D. Teufel, and J. Aumentado, Nonreciprocal Microwave Signal Processing with A Field-Programmable Josephson Amplifier, Phys. Rev. Appl. 7, 024028 (2017).
- [3] Benjamin J. Chapman, Eric I. Rosenthal, Joseph Kerckhoff, Bradley A. Moores, Leila R. Vale, J. A. B. Mates, Gene C. Hilton, Kevin Lalumière, Alexandre Blais, and K. W. Lehnert, Widely Tunable On-Chip Microwave Circulator

for Superconducting Quantum Circuits, Phys. Rev. X 7, 041043 (2017).

- [4] Baleegh Abdo, Markus Brink, and Jerry M. Chow, Gyrator Operation using Josephson Mixers, Phys. Rev. Appl. 8, 034009 (2017).
- [5] C. E. Fay and R. L. Comstock, Operation of the ferrite junction circulator, IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech. 13, 15 (1965).
- [6] Austin G. Fowler, Matteo Mariantoni, John M. Martinis, and Andrew N. Cleland, Surface codes: Towards practical large-scale quantum computation, Phys. Rev. A 86, 032324 (2012).
- [7] Christophe Galland, Ran Ding, Nicholas C. Harris, Tom Baehr-Jones, and Michael Hochberg, Broadband onchip optical non-reciprocity using phase modulators, Opt. Express 21, 14500 (2013).
- [8] Yisu Yang, Christophe Galland, Yang Liu, Kang Tan, Ran Ding, Qi Li, Keren Bergman, Tom Baehr-Jones, and Michael Hochberg, Experimental demonstration of broadband Lorentz non-reciprocity in an integrable photonic architecture based on Mach-Zehnder modulators, Opt. Express 22, 17409 (2014).
- [9] Benjamin J. Chapman, Ph.D. thesis, University of Colorado, Boulder, 2017. Chapter 7.
- [10] Ruochen Lu, John Krol, Liuqing Gao, and Songbin Gong, Frequency independent framework for synthesis of programmable non-reciprocal networks, arXiv:1801.01548 (2018).
- [11] Mathew Biedka, Qianteng Wu, Xiating Zou, Shihan Qin, and Yuanxun Ethan Wang, in 2018 IEEE Radio and Wireless Symposium (RWS) (IEEE, Anaheim, CA, USA, 2018), p. 80.
- [12] Negar Reiskarimian and Harish Krishnaswamy, Magneticfree non-reciprocity based on staggered commutation, Nat. Commun. 7, 11217 (2016).
- [13] Mathew M. Biedka, Rui Zhu, Qiang Mark Xu, and Yuanxun Ethan Wang, Ultra-wide band non-reciprocity through sequentially-switched delay lines, Sci. Rep. 7, 40014 (2017).
- [14] Tolga Dinc, Mykhailo Tymchenko, Aravind Nagulu, Dimitrios Sounas, Andrea Alù, and Harish Krishnaswamy, Synchronized conductivity modulation to realize broadband lossless magnetic-free non-reciprocity, Nat. Commun. 8, 795 (2017).
- [15] Eric I. Rosenthal, Benjamin J. Chapman, Andrew P. Higginbotham, Joseph Kerckhoff, and K. W. Lehnert, Breaking Lorentz Reciprocity with Frequency Conversion and Delay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 147703 (2017).
- [16] Leonardo Ranzani, Shlomi Kotler, Adam J. Sirois, Michael P. DeFeo, Manuel Castellanos-Beltran, Katarina Cicak, Leila R. Vale, and José Aumentado, Wideband Isolation by Frequency Conversion in a Josephson-Junction Transmission Line, Phys. Rev. Appl. 8, 054035 (2017).
- [17] J. Y. Mutus, Theodore C. White, Rami Barends, Yu Chen, Zijun Chen, Ben Chiaro, Andrew Dunsworth, Evan Jeffrey, Julian Kelly, Anthony Megrant, C. Neill, P. J. J. O'Malley, P. Roushan, D. Sank, A. Vainsencher, J. Wenner, K. M. Sundqvist, A. N. Cleland, and John M. Martinis, Strong environmental coupling in a Josephson parametric amplifier, Appl. Phys. Lett. **104**, 263513 (2014).

- [18] C. Macklin, K. O'Brien, D. Hover, M. E. Schwartz, V. Bolkhovsky, X. Zhang, W. D. Oliver, and I. Siddiqi, A near-quantum-limited Josephson traveling-wave parametric amplifier, Science 350, 307 (2015).
- [19] Tanay Roy, Suman Kundu, Madhavi Chand, A. M. Vadiraj, A. Ranadive, N. Nehra, Meghan P. Patankar, J. Aumentado, A. A. Clerk, and R. Vijay, Broadband parametric amplification with impedance engineering: Beyond the gain-bandwidth product, Appl. Phys. Lett. **107**, 262601 (2015).
- [20] O. Naaman, D. G. Ferguson, and R. J. Epstein, High saturation power Josephson parametric amplifier with GHz bandwidth, arXiv:1711.07549 (2017).
- [21] G. K. G. Hohenwarter, E. K. Track, R. E. Drake, and R. Patt, Forty five nanoseconds superconducting delay lines, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 3, 2804 (1993).
- [22] Yi Wang, Hieng Tiong Su, Frederick Huang, and M. J. Lancaster, Wide-band superconducting coplanar delay lines, IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech. 53, 2348 (2005).
- [23] Hieng Tiong Su, Yi Wang, Frederick Huang, and Michael J. Lancaster, Superconducting delay lines, J. Supercond. Novel Magn. 21, 7 (2008).
- [24] Y. P. Zhong, H.-S. Chang, K. J. Satzinger, M.-H. Chou, A Bienfait, C. R. Conner, E. Dumur, J. Grebel, G. A. Peairs, R. G. Povey, D. I. Schuster, and A. N. Cleland, Violating Bell's inequality with remotely-connected superconducting qubits, arXiv:1808.03000 (2018).
- [25] Ofer Naaman, United States Patent No. 9929978 B2 (2018).
- [26] Hendrik Wade Bode *et al.*, *Network Analysis and Feedback Amplifier Design* (van Nostrand, Toronto, 1945).
- [27] Robert M. Fano, Theoretical limitations on the broadband matching of arbitrary impedances, J. Franklin. Inst. 249, 57 (1950).
- [28] David M. Pozar, *Microwave Engineering* (Wiley, 2011), 4th ed.
- [29] M. T. Bell, I. A. Sadovskyy, L. B. Ioffe, A. Yu. Kitaev, and M. E. Gershenson, Quantum Superinductor with Tunable Nonlinearity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 137003 (2012).
- [30] O. Naaman, J. A. Strong, D. G. Ferguson, J. Egan, N. Bailey, and R. T. Hinkey, Josephson junction microwave modulators for qubit control, J. Appl. Phys. **121**, 073904 (2017).
- [31] Joseph Kerckhoff, Kevin Lalumière, Benjamin J. Chapman, Alexandre Blais, and K. W. Lehnert, On-Chip Superconducting Microwave Circulator from Synthetic Rotation, Phys. Rev. Appl. 4, 034002 (2015).
- [32] Benjamin J. Chapman, Bradley A. Moores, Eric I. Rosenthal, Joseph Kerckhoff, and K. W. Lehnert, General purpose multiplexing device for cryogenic microwave systems, Appl. Phys. Lett. 108, 222602 (2016).
- [33] Benjamin J. Chapman, Eric I. Rosenthal, Joseph Kerckhoff, Leila R. Vale, Gene C. Hilton, and K. W. Lehnert,

Single-sideband modulator for frequency domain multiplexing of superconducting qubit readout, Appl. Phys. Lett. **110**, 162601 (2017).

- [34] M. Maezawa, M. Aoyagi, H. Nakagawa, I. Kurosawa, and S. Takada, Specific capacitance of Nb/AlOx/Nb Josephson junctions with critical current densities in the range of 0.1 – 18 ka/cm², Appl. Phys. Lett. 66, 2134 (1995).
- [35] S. K. Tolpygo, V. Bolkhovsky, S. Zarr, T. J. Weir, A. Wynn, A. L. Day, L. M. Johnson, and M. A. Gouker, Properties of unshunted and resistively shunted Nb/AlOx-Al/Nb Josephson junctions with critical current densities from 0.1 to 1 ma/μm², IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 27, 1 (2017).
- [36] G. Hasnain, A. Dienes, and J. R. Whinnery, Dispersion of picosecond pulses in coplanar transmission lines, IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech. 34, 738 (1986).
- [37] Jiansong Gao, Ph.D. thesis, School California Institute of Technology, 2008.
- [38] A. D. O'Connell, M. Ansmann, R. C. Bialczak, M. Hofheinz, N. Katz, Erik Lucero, C. McKenney, M. Neeley, H. Wang, E. M. Weig, A. N. Cleland, and J. M. Martinis, Microwave dielectric loss at single photon energies and millikelvin temperatures, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 112903 (2008).
- [39] Theodore Van Duzer and Charles William Turner, *Principles of Superconductive Devices and Circuits* (Prentice Hall, New York, 1981), 2nd ed.
- [40] Kasi Nagappan, Step-by-step formation of bus admittance matrix, IEEE Trans. Power Apparatus Syst. 89, 812 (1970).
- [41] D. Hover, Y.-F. Chen, G. J. Ribeill, S. Zhu, S. Sendelbach, and R. McDermott, Superconducting low-inductance undulatory galvanometer microwave amplifier, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 063503 (2012).
- [42] Carlton M. Caves, Quantum limits on noise in linear amplifiers, Phys. Rev. D 26, 1817 (1982).
- [43] Bernard Yurke and John S. Denker, Quantum network theory, Phys. Rev. A 29, 1419 (1984).
- [44] Cornelius Lanczos, *Applied analysis*, (Courier Corporation, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1988), p. 225.
- [45] Jen-Hao Yeh, Jay LeFebvre, Shavindra Premaratne, F. C. Wellstood, and B. S. Palmer, Microwave attenuators for use with quantum devices below 100 mK, J. Appl. Phys. 121, 224501 (2017).
- [46] Fei Yan, Dan Campbell, Philip Krantz, Morten Kjaergaard, David Kim, Jonilyn L. Yoder, David Hover, Adam Sears, Andrew J. Kerman, Terry P. Orlando, Simon Gustavsson, and William D. Oliver, Distinguishing Coherent and Thermal Photon Noise in a Circuit Quantum Electrodynamical System, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 260504 (2018).
- [47] Z. Wang, S. Shankar, Z. K. Minev, P. Campagne-Ibarcq, A. Narla, and Michel H. Devoret, Cavity attenuators for superconducting qubits, arXiv:1807.04849 (2018).