Linked and knotted synthetic magnetic fields

Callum W. Duncan,^{1,*} Calum Ross,² Niclas Westerberg,¹ Manuel Valiente,^{3,1} Bernd J. Schroers,² and Patrik Öhberg¹

¹SUPA, Institute of Photonics and Quantum Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, United Kingdom

²Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences and Department of Mathematics, Heriot-Watt University,

Edinburgh EH14 4AS, United Kingdom

³Institute for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

(Received 31 August 2018; published 17 June 2019)

We show that the realization of synthetic magnetic fields via light-matter coupling in the Λ scheme implements a natural geometrical construction of magnetic fields, namely, as the pullback of the area element of the sphere to Euclidean space via certain maps. For suitable maps, this construction generates linked and knotted magnetic fields, and the synthetic realization amounts to the identification of the map with the ratio of two Rabi frequencies which represent the coupling of the internal energy levels of an ultracold atom. We consider examples of maps which can be physically realized in terms of Rabi frequencies and which lead to linked and knotted synthetic magnetic fields acting on the neutral atomic gas. We also show that the ground state of the Bose-Einstein condensate may inherit the topological properties of the synthetic gauge field, with linked and knotted vortex lines appearing in some cases.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.99.063613

I. INTRODUCTION

Lord Kelvin's conjecture 150 years ago that atoms are made of knotted vortex structures [1] anticipated today's study, both theoretical and experimental, of topological structures in nature. Nontrivial topological structures have been studied in classical fluids [2–6], plasma physics [7–9], nuclear physics [10,11], condensed-matter physics [12], DNA [13,14], soft matter [15], and light [16–19]. There has also been interest in the physics of topological magnetic field lines, with research focusing on their construction [20] and stability [4,6,21]. Understanding the behavior of matter in nontrivial topological magnetic fields is also important in the study of plasma physics and the determination of stable confining magnetic field configurations in thermonuclear reactors [22,23].

Ultracold atoms allow the realization of synthetic gauge fields in such a way that neutral atoms mimic the dynamics of charged particles in a magnetic field [24–29]. One method of creating a synthetic gauge field is to exploit atom-light couplings by driving internal transitions of the atoms to realize static Abelian gauge fields which are tunable via the applied laser [30–32]. There has also been significant interest in creating knotted structures in quantum gases [33–37], with the first knots in quantum matter having been realized in spinor BECs [38,39]. Further experiments have investigated the formation of a Shankar skyrmion in the spinor BECs with knotted spin structure [40,41]. The imprinting of linked and knotted vortex structures has also been proposed using driving schemes of the internal energy levels [42,43].

The formation of knotted vortex lines (or knotted solitons) has been extensively investigated in superfluids and superconductors [44–46], including the conditions for their stability in

multicomponent superconductors [47]. In addition, there have been proposals for fault-tolerant [48], topologically protected quantum computations [49,50] using vortices in superconductors [51,52] and spin interactions in optical lattices [53,54]. The knotted vortices considered in superconductors are of a different nature from those we consider here. However, as we will explain in Sec. V, knotted or linked magnetic fields generically open up new avenues for quantum computing.

In this paper, we point out and exploit a remarkably direct link between the realization of synthetic magnetic fields in ultracold atoms and a mathematical construction of knotted and linked magnetic fields, due to Rañada [55,56], out of a map from Euclidean 3 space to the 2-sphere. In a nutshell, we show that this map can be realized as the ratio of two complex Rabi frequencies describing the atom-light coupling in a three-level atomic Λ scheme.

The mathematically most natural choice of the Rañada map for a given link or knot is challenging to implement directly in an experiment, but our results suggest that one can implement an approximation to this map which crucially preserves the topology of the knot or link. We propose a general method for constructing this approximation and illustrate it with three examples of maps, called f_H , f_L and f_T , whose associated magnetic field lines are, respectively, Hopf circles, linked rings, and the trefoil knot.

Finally, we find that some of the topological structure of the synthetic gauge-field lines is inherited by the ground state of the dark-state wave function in the Λ scheme. The details of this depend on the potential and magnetic field which appear in the effective Hamiltonian. For instance, if the scalar potential is peaked along a knot or link, the wave function reflects this through a vortex structure along that knot or link. This happens for the trefoil knot and linked rings but not for the Hopf circles, where the scalar potential is spherically symmetric. A similar interplay between linked magnetic field

2469-9926/2019/99(6)/063613(7)

^{*}cd130@hw.ac.uk

lines and vortex lines in a spinorial wave function was recently studied in Ref. [57].

II. RAÑADA'S KNOTTED LIGHT

In the 1980s, Rañada proposed a systematic mathematical construction of linked or knotted electric and magnetic fields [55,56]. This construction has a beautiful geometrical interpretation in terms of the geometry of the 2-sphere which we review briefly in Appendix A. It leads to an explicit formula for a magnetic field in terms of a map $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^2$. Identifying the 2-sphere with $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ via the stereographic projection, the magnetic field is

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\mathbf{\nabla} f^* \times \mathbf{\nabla} f}{(1+|f|^2)^2}.$$
 (1)

This field has vanishing divergence and therefore satisfies the static Maxwell equations, generally with a nontrivial current. Moreover, one checks that field lines are determined by the (complex) condition f = constant. In this way one can therefore construct topologically interesting magnetic fields by drawing on the extensive mathematical literature studying links and knots as level curves of complex functions. The formulation of the magnetic field in Eq. (1), along with the definition of the maps, has been utilized to study the properties of topologically nontrivial vector fields [9,16,18–20,58].

The maps $\mathbb{R}^3 \to S^2$ considered by Rañada and in this paper go via S^3 , i.e., they are compositions

$$f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^3 \to S^3 \to S^2, \tag{2}$$

where the first step is the inverse stereographic projection in three dimensions, and the last step is projection of the Hopf fibration. The details of the map are encoded in the intermediate step $S^3 \rightarrow S^3$. Using complex coordinates $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|u|^2 + |v|^2 = l^2$ to parametrize the 3-sphere of radius l, the inverse stereographic projection maps $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ to

$$u = \frac{2l^2(x+iy)}{l^2+r^2}, \quad v = \frac{2l^2z+il(r^2-l^2)}{l^2+r^2}, \tag{3}$$

with $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ and *l* setting the unit of length. The map (2) then takes the form

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{g[u(x, y, z), v(x, y, z)]}{h[u(x, y, z), v(x, y, z)]},$$
(4)

where g and h are complex functions of u, v, which must not vanish simultaneously.

In this paper we focus on three examples: the standard Hopf map $f_H = u/v$ (defining Hopf circles), the quadratic Hopf map $f_L = u^2/(u^2 - v^2)$ (defining linked rings), and the map $f_T = u^3/(u^3 + v^2)$, which defines the trefoil knot. The first two define links whose topology is independent of the chosen (complex) level; the level curves of f_H are circles or the *z* axis (an "infinite circle"), and any two circles link once. The level curves of f_L are linked rings or the *z* axis; different-level curves link each other four times. The third map defines a trefoil knot when the level set is ∞ or sufficiently large.



FIG. 1. Illustration of the Λ -scheme, with internal atomic energy levels $|e\rangle$, $|g_1\rangle$, and $|g_2\rangle$ coupled by lasers κ_1 and κ_2 .

III. THE A SCHEME

Synthetic gauge potentials for ultracold atoms can be realized in many ways [28,29]. We consider an ensemble of atoms with three internal energy levels where two ground states $|g_1\rangle$ and $|g_2\rangle$ are coupled by two laser beams to a third excited state $|e\rangle$. This configuration of energy levels is called a Λ scheme and is illustrated in Fig. 1. The strength of the atom-light coupling is characterized through space-dependent, complex Rabi frequencies κ_1 , κ_2 . We assume the lasers are resonant with the transitions and with zero two-photon detuning, resulting in the atom-light coupling Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm int} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \kappa_1 \\ 0 & 0 & \kappa_2 \\ \kappa_1^* & \kappa_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (5)

A general state of the light-matter coupled system can then be written as $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=D,+,-} \psi_i(x)|i\rangle$, where $|D\rangle$, $|+\rangle$, $|-\rangle$ depend parametrically on space and are the three eigenstates of $H_{\text{int.}}$ The eigenstate for eigenvalue zero is the dark state

$$|D\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\kappa_1|^2 + |\kappa_2|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_2^* \\ -\kappa_1^* \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (6)

It has no contribution from the excited state and is therefore also robust against detrimental spontaneous decay.

If we include the kinetic term and a confining potential V in the full Hamiltonian $H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} + H_{int} + V$ and, using the adiabatic approximation, project the corresponding Schrödinger equation $i\hbar\partial_t |\Psi\rangle = H|\Psi\rangle$ onto the dark state while neglecting the coupling to the other dressed states, then ψ_D is governed by the equation of motion

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_D = \left[\frac{(\mathbf{p}-\mathcal{A})^2}{2m} + \Phi + V\right]\psi_D.$$
 (7)

The vector potential A, the corresponding magnetic field B, and geometric potential Φ are fully determined by the Rabi coefficients κ_1, κ_2 , with the magnetic field and scalar potential conveniently expressed in terms of $\zeta = \kappa_1/\kappa_2$. Explicitly we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{i\hbar(\kappa_1 \nabla \kappa_1^* + \kappa_2 \nabla \kappa_2^* - \kappa_1^* \nabla \kappa_1 - \kappa_2^* \nabla \kappa_2)}{2(|\kappa_1|^2 + |\kappa_2|^2)}, \qquad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{B} = i\hbar \frac{\nabla \zeta \times \nabla \zeta^*}{(1+|\zeta|^2)^2},\tag{9}$$

$$\Phi = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla \zeta^* \cdot \nabla \zeta}{(1+|\zeta|^2)^2}.$$
(10)



FIG. 2. Exact and approximated magnetic field lines, realized as level curves of the complex field f and its Laguerre-Gaussian approximation ζ . We show level surfaces of |f| and $|\zeta|$, and, on each level surface, we show magnetic field lines in light blue. (a) Exact Hopf circles (f_H) . (b) Realized Hopf circles (ζ_H) . (c) Exact linked rings (f_L) . (d) Realized linked rings (ζ_L) . (e) Exact trefoil knot (f_T) . (f) Realized trefoil knot (ζ_T) . The unit of length for the exact magnetic fields (a, c, e) is l, and for the realized fields (b, d, f) it is the laser wavelength λ with $\alpha = 100$.

Note that expressing A in terms of ζ would lead to a singular gauge.

For the synthetic magnetic field and its corresponding gauge potential to be experimentally viable, the lifetime of the dark state needs to be long enough. For example, spontaneous emissions from the excited state would change the lifetime of the dark state, but this is mitigated if the Rabi frequency is large enough to ensure the adiabatic approximation is valid. In addition, strong collisional interactions should be avoided, as any atom-atom interactions will be detrimental to the stability of the dark state. This can be addressed by ensuring the atoms are in the dilute limit or the scattering length is tuned to be small. We also require that any Zeeman coupling terms between the two ground states of the Λ scheme are sufficiently small to allow them to be neglected.

If we identify $\zeta \equiv f$, then the magnetic fields of Rañada, Eq. (1), and the Λ scheme, Eq. (9), are equivalent (in fact, equal with $\hbar = 1/2\pi$). Therefore, to realize the topological magnetic field of a particular f we are required to drive the atomic transitions by the Rabi frequencies such that their ratio ζ forms the mapping f. The Rabi frequencies κ_1 and κ_2 can be chosen independently, giving a considerable amount of flexibility and allowing us, in principle, to realize any link or knot which is the level curve of a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ S^2 . However, we cannot set the Rabi frequencies to be any arbitrary function of space and phase, as they are realized by laser beams which need to fulfill Maxwell's equations. This restriction on the allowed forms of the Rabi frequencies is at the heart of our discussion in the next section.

IV. REALIZATION OF TOPOLOGICAL FIELDS

Our approach is inspired by Refs. [59–61], where linked and knotted optical vortex lines were realized in laser beams as a superposition of Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) modes. These superpositions of LG modes are usually obtained by the use of spatial light modulators (SLMs) [62–68]. LG beams are characterized by their azimuthal, n, and radial, p, indices, and we will denote a single LG mode as \mathcal{L}_{pn} , with the full definition of a LG mode discussed in Appendix B. Our method of constructing the topological synthetic magnetic fields consists of the following steps:

(1) Starting from a map f of the form (4), restrict it to the z = 0 plane, where z is the direction of propagation for the lasers, and note that the result is a ratio of polynomials p and q in x and y.

(2) Expand the polynomials p and q in terms of LG modes restricted to the z = 0 plane and without the common Gaussian factor.

(3) Replace p and q in f by the expansions in the LG modes, including their z dependence (and note that the common Gaussian factor cancels).

(4) Check numerically if the level curves of the resulting function ζ have the same topology as the level curves of *f*.

(5) If they do, realize the level curves as synthetic magnetic field lines via $\zeta = \kappa_1/\kappa_2$, where κ_1 and κ_2 are the LG modes approximating g and h.

All three examples considered in this work pass the check in step 4, but we are not aware of a mathematical proof that this should be true generally.

A. Form of the topological magnetic fields

Expanding in terms of LG modes, we find the following approximations for the Hopf map f_H , the quadratic Hopf map f_L , and the map f_T for the trefoil knot:

$$\zeta_H = \frac{2\alpha \mathcal{L}_{0-1}}{i\left[\left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2} - 1\right)\mathcal{L}_{00} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\mathcal{L}_{10}\right]},\tag{11}$$

$$\zeta_L = \frac{(2\alpha)^2 \mathcal{L}_{0-2}}{(2\alpha)^2 \mathcal{L}_{0-2} + c_0 \mathcal{L}_{00} + c_1 \mathcal{L}_{10} + c_2 \mathcal{L}_{20}},$$
 (12)

$$\zeta_T = \frac{(2\alpha)^3 \mathcal{L}_{0-3}}{(2\alpha)^3 \mathcal{L}_{0-3} + c'_0 \mathcal{L}_{00} + c'_1 \mathcal{L}_{10} + c'_2 \mathcal{L}_{20} + c'_3 \mathcal{L}_{30}}, \quad (13)$$



FIG. 3. Vortex structure of the ground states of the Hamiltonian in (7) with a vector potential constructed from (a) f_L and (b) f_T . Shown are level sets of the probability density $(|\psi|^2 = \text{const.})$ for a small constant, which visualizes the vortex core structure. The vortices form linked rings in (a) and a trefoil knot in (b), thus replicating the form of the magnetic field lines in both cases. Lengths are in units of s_0 .

where we have defined $\alpha = \omega_0/l$, with ω_0 the beam waist of the laser. Definitions of the coefficients c_i and c'_i , which are polynomials in α , can be found in Appendix B.

A comparison of the exact and realized magnetic fields for all three cases considered is shown in Fig. 2. For all realized fields we have chosen a beam width of $\alpha = 100$ and work in units of the wavelength of the laser λ . The realized fields are found to be stretched out in the z direction compared to the exact fields. For all three examples considered, the topological nature of the realized magnetic field lines is clear, as the level set of each has similar forms to that of the exact fields.

B. Beam-shaping realization

We note here that the specific combinations of LG modes discussed in the previous section are entirely a beam-shaping exercise. That is, the superposition of the LG modes in the denominators of Eqs. (11)–(13) results in a single beam with the required intensity and phase profiles. This single beam is then used as κ_2 in the driving of the Λ scheme.

The atomic transitions accessed in a Λ scheme are typically in the optical regime and thus the diffraction limit of 0.2–0.4 μ m sets the length scale limit. Furthermore, the resolution of current beam-shaping technology imposes limits on the spatial resolution of the resulting gauge field and on the field strength. The atomic cloud size (~100 μ m [69]) is typically smaller than the usual beam waists considered (e.g., ~1 mm [70]). Nonetheless, we do not foresee that the gaugefield configurations discussed here will fall outside what is currently experimentally achievable, as it is not unusual to focus optical beams down to beam waists of 50–200 μ m in other settings [71,72].

C. Ground state of the quantum gas

In order to illustrate the effect the knotted synthetic magnetic fields can have on atoms, we envisage a noninteracting gas of atoms forming a three-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensate which is trapped by a harmonic external potential $V = m\omega^2 r^2/2$, where ω is the trap frequency. We are interested in the properties of the ground state of such a condensate which is interacting with a linked or knotted magnetic field and a geometric potential via Eq. (7). We solve for the ground state



FIG. 4. Ground state of the Hamiltonian in (7) with a vector potential constructed from f_H . The probability density is shown in the (a) *xy* plane (z = 0), (b) *xz* plane (y = 0), and (c) *yz* plane (x = 0). The ground state is real valued and forms a shell structure which is close to spherical but slightly elongated in the *z* direction. Note that the geometric potential Φ is spherically symmetric in this case, but the magnetic field is not. Lengths are in units of s_0 .

 $\psi = \psi_{\rm D}$ using imaginary time propagation [73–75] on a 201³ numerical grid and for the three exact gauge fields defined via the maps $f_{\rm H}$, $f_{\rm L}$, and $f_{\rm T}$. We choose our unit of length to be $s_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$ and take l = 1. It is not immediately obvious what the properties of the ground states of this system should be. The ground state is dependent on the interplay between the strength and shape of the topologically nontrivial magnetic field, the corresponding scalar potential, and the trapping potential. The ground states discussed here reflect the choice of considering a cloud of cold atoms confined in a harmonic potential.

We observe the presence of vortex structures in the ground states for the linked rings and the trefoil knot, which are shown in Fig. 3 by the level sets of $|\psi|^2$ and in the movies in the Supplemental Material [76]. However, there is no vortex structure in the ground state for the Hopf circles shown in Fig. 4, for this choice of parameters. The vortex structures in the other ground states are determined by the maxima of the scalar potential Φ , whose level sets for near-maximal values are very similar to the level sets for the small probability density shown in Fig. 3. We are not aware of a simple mathematical reason for the relation between the level sets of Φ and the magnetic field lines which we observe for the linked rings and the trefoil knot.

The detection of such topological structures in the gas requires a tomographic approach where three-dimensional (3D) vortex core structures are imaged using a nondestructive measurement of the density [77]. Alternatively, the presence of nontrivial gauge fields can be indirectly detected by measuring the shape oscillations of the gas [78].

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that certain magnetic fields which are the pullback of the normal area element of the 2-sphere to Euclidean 3-space can be realized as a synthetic magnetic field in the resonant Λ scheme. Based on this observation, we propose a five-step method of realizing general synthetic topological magnetic fields using a superposition of LG modes. We have derived the required LG superpositions for three examples—the Hopf circles, the linked rings, and the trefoil knot—and show their topological nature. In some cases, the topological form of these magnetic fields can be transferred to the ground states of the ultracold gas in the form of linked and knotted vortex cores. The general method presented in this work is

not limited to the three examples considered, and we expect more links and knots defined by a map f to be realizable.

The 3D nature of the generated states and the versatility of our method opens a possible avenue for a physical realization of the motion group of links or knots [79,80]. This group is a generalization of the braid group of a surface and includes elements which describe truly three-dimensional motions, for example, motions where one circle is pulled through another. If such motions proceed through configurations which are the level sets of a complex-valued function they can, in principle, be realized in our scheme. The quantum states of the condensate would potentially pick up exotic and non-Abelian phases in such motions, reflecting the intricate (and little studied) representation theory of the motion group. It would clearly be interesting to investigate this possibility and to study its potential use in fault-tolerant, topologically protected quantum computing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank C. Maitland for helpful discussions. C.W.D. and N.W. acknowledge support from EPSRC CM-CDT Grant No. EP/L015110/1. C.R. acknowledges an EPSRC-funded Ph.D. studentship. P.Ö. and M.V. acknowledge support from EPSRC Grant No. EP/M024636/1.

APPENDIX A: DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY BEHIND RAÑADA'S CONSTRUCTION

Rañada's construction of a magnetic field in terms of a map $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^2$ is most easily stated in the language of differential forms and pull-backs. This clarifies the coordinate-independent nature of the formula for the magnetic field and provides a basis for generalizations. We give a succinct

A fundamental role is played by the 2-form representing the area element Ω of the 2-sphere. Parametrizing the 2-sphere via stereographic projection in terms of a complex coordinate $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, this 2-form is

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d\mathcal{Z}^* \wedge d\mathcal{Z}}{(1+|\mathcal{Z}|^2)^2}.$$
 (A1)

It is manifestly closed, i.e., satisfies $d\Omega = 0$, and normalized to unit area. Given a map $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^2$, Rañada's magnetic field is the pull-back

$$f^*\Omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{df^* \wedge df}{(1+|f|^2)^2} \tag{A2}$$

of Ω with f. This pull-back is a 2-form on \mathbb{R}^3 and is automatically closed; it satisfies $d(f^*\Omega) = 0$ because pull-back commutes with the exterior derivative. The magnetic field **B** given by Eq. (1) in the main text is the vector field associated to $f^*\Omega$ using the metric and volume element of Euclidean space. The closure of $f^*\Omega$ is then equivalent to **B** having vanishing divergence.

APPENDIX B: LAGUERRE-GAUSSIAN EXPANSION TECHNIQUE

We provide the details for the expansions of the three example maps,

$$f_H = \frac{u}{v}, \quad f_L = \frac{u^2}{u^2 - v^2}, \quad f_T = \frac{u^3}{u^3 + v^2},$$
 (B1)

considered in the main text in terms of the complete set of Laguerre-Gaussian beams, following the five-step method also proposed in the main text. The LG modes are

$$\mathcal{L}_{pn}(\rho,\phi,z) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{1+\frac{z^2}{z_R^2}}} \left(\frac{\rho\sqrt{2}}{w(z)}\right)^{|n|} L_p^{|n|} \left(\frac{2\rho^2}{w^2(z)}\right) e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{w^2(z)}} e^{-\frac{ik\rho^2 z}{2(z^2+z_R^2)}} e^{-in\phi} e^{i(2p+|n|+1)\arctan\frac{z}{z_R}},\tag{B2}$$

with (ρ, ϕ, z) being the cylindrical coordinates, *n* the azimuthal index giving the angular momentum, *p* the radial index, and *C* a normalization constant. We use the usual optical definitions of the beam waist $w(z) = \omega_0 \sqrt{1 + (z/z_R)^2}$ and Rayleigh range $z_R = \pi \omega_0^2 / \lambda$. For z = 0, the LG modes can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{pn}(\rho,\phi,0) = \frac{\tilde{C}}{w_0} e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{w_0^2}} L_p^n \left(\frac{2\rho^2}{w_0^2}\right) \left(\frac{x-iy}{w_0}\right)^n.$$
(B3)

The functions f_H , f_L , and f_T are ratios of polynomials g and h in the complex coordinates u and v, which, in turn, are functions of the Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) as given in the main text. Restricting f_H , f_L , and f_T to z = 0, we obtain ratios of polynomials p and q in the variables x and y. By expanding in LG modes without the overall Gaussian factor $\exp(-\rho^2/w_0^2)$, we obtain an expansion with coefficients which are polynomials in the parameter $\alpha \equiv \omega_0/l$.

In this way, we arrive at the following *exact* identities:

$$\begin{split} f_{H}|_{z=0} &= \left. \frac{2\alpha\mathcal{L}_{0-1}}{i\left[\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2} - 1\right)\mathcal{L}_{00} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}\mathcal{L}_{10} \right]} \right|_{z=0}, \\ f_{L}|_{z=0} &= \left. \frac{(2\alpha)^{2}\mathcal{L}_{0-2}}{(2\alpha)^{2}\mathcal{L}_{0-2} - \left(-\frac{\alpha^{4}}{2} + \alpha^{2} - 1\right)\mathcal{L}_{00} - (\alpha^{4} - \alpha^{2})\mathcal{L}_{10} + \frac{\alpha^{4}}{2}\mathcal{L}_{20}} \right|_{z=0}, \\ f_{T}|_{z=0} &= \left. \frac{(2\alpha)^{3}\mathcal{L}_{0-3}}{(2\alpha)^{3}\mathcal{L}_{0-3} + \frac{1}{4}\left[(-4 + 2\alpha^{2} + 2\alpha^{4} - 3\alpha^{6})\mathcal{L}_{00} + \alpha^{2}(-2 - 4\alpha^{2} + 9\alpha^{4})\mathcal{L}_{10} + (2\alpha^{4} - 9\alpha^{6})\mathcal{L}_{20} + 3\alpha^{6}\mathcal{L}_{30} \right]} \right|_{z=0}. \end{split}$$

Dropping the restriction on the expressions on the right-hand side to z = 0 defines the approximations ζ_H , ζ_L , and ζ_T to the functions f_H , f_L , and f_T , which we used in the main text. The coefficients c_i and c'_i used there are defined by the above expansions.

As discussed in the main text, the Λ -configuration synthetic magnetic fields are obtained using two laser beams with Rabi frequencies κ_1 and κ_2 given by the numerator and denominator of ζ_H , ζ_L , and ζ_T . In all cases, $\zeta = \kappa_1/\kappa_2$ provides a physically realizable approximation to the given function f and yields synthetic magnetic field lines whose topology agrees with that of the level curves of the complex function f.

- [1] W. Thomson, Philos. Mag. 34, 15 (1867).
- [2] H. K. Moffatt, J. Fluid Mech. 35, 117 (1969).
- [3] H. Moffatt and A. Tsinober, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 24, 281 (1992).
- [4] D. Kleckner and W. T. Irvine, Nat. Phys. 9, 253 (2013).
- [5] A. Enciso and D. Peralta-Salas, Proc. IUTAM 7, 13 (2013).
- [6] M. W. Scheeler, D. Kleckner, D. Proment, G. L. Kindlmann, and W. T. M. Irvine, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 15350 (2014).
- [7] S. Chandrasekhar and L. Woltjer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 44, 285 (1958).
- [8] M. A. Berger, Plasma Phys. Contr. F. 41, B167 (1999).
- [9] A. Thompson, J. Swearngin, A. Wickes, and D. Bouwmeester, Phys. Rev. E 89, 043104 (2014).
- [10] R. A. Battye and P. M. Sutcliffe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4798 (1998).
- [11] P. Sutcliffe, P. Roy. Soc. Lon. A: Math., 463, 3001 (2007).
- [12] P. Sutcliffe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 247203 (2017).
- [13] W. R. Taylor, Nature **406**, 916 (2000).
- [14] A. Suma and C. Micheletti, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 114, E2991 (2017).
- [15] U. Tkalec, M. Ravnik, S. Čopar, S. Žumer, and I. Muševič, Science 333, 62 (2011).
- [16] W. T. Irvine and D. Bouwmeester, Nat. Phys. 4, 716 (2008).
- [17] W. T. Irvine, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43, 385203 (2010).
- [18] H. Kedia, I. Bialynicki-Birula, D. Peralta-Salas, and W. T. M. Irvine, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 150404 (2013).
- [19] H. Kedia, D. Peralta-Salas, and W. T. M. Irvine, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 51, 025204 (2018).
- [20] H. Kedia, D. Foster, M. R. Dennis, and W. T. M. Irvine, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 274501 (2016).
- [21] D. Kleckner, L. H. Kauffman, and W. T. Irvine, Nat. Phys. 12, 650 (2016).
- [22] H. K. Moffatt, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 3663 (2014).
- [23] H. K. Moffatt, J. Plasma Phys. **81**, 905810608 (2015).
- [24] Y.-J. Lin, R. L. Compton, A. R. Perry, W. D. Phillips, J. V. Porto, and I. B. Spielman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 130401 (2009).
- [25] Y.-J. Lin, R. L. Compton, K. Jimenez-Garcia, J. V. Porto, and I. B. Spielman, Nature (London) 462, 628 (2009).
- [26] Y.-J. Lin, R. L. Compton, K. Jimenez-Garcia, W. D. Phillips, J. V. Porto, and I. B. Spielman, Nat. Phys. 7, 531 (2011).
- [27] S.-L. Zhu, H. Fu, C.-J. Wu, S.-C. Zhang, and L.-M. Duan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 240401 (2006).
- [28] J. Dalibard, F. Gerbier, G. Juzeliūnas, and P. Öhberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1523 (2011).
- [29] N. Goldman, G. Juzeliūnas, P. Öhberg, and I. B. Spielman, Rep. Prog. Phys. 77, 126401 (2014).
- [30] G. Juzeliūnas and P. Öhberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 033602 (2004).

- [31] G. Juzeliūnas, P. Öhberg, J. Ruseckas, and A. Klein, Phys. Rev. A 71, 053614 (2005).
- [32] G. Juzeliūnas, J. Ruseckas, P. Öhberg, and M. Fleischhauer, Phys. Rev. A 73, 025602 (2006).
- [33] J. Liang, X. Liu, and Y. Duan, Europhys. Lett. 86, 10008 (2009).
- [34] Y.-K. Liu and S.-J. Yang, Phys. Rev. A 87, 063632 (2013).
- [35] Y.-K. Liu, S. Feng, and S.-J. Yang, Europhys. Lett. 106, 50005 (2014).
- [36] D. Proment, M. Onorato, and C. F. Barenghi, J. Phy.: Conf. Ser. 544, 012022 (2014).
- [37] Y. M. Bidasyuk, A. V. Chumachenko, O. O. Prikhodko, S. I. Vilchinskii, M. Weyrauch, and A. I. Yakimenko, Phys. Rev. A 92, 053603 (2015).
- [38] Y. Kawaguchi, M. Nitta, and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 180403 (2008).
- [39] D. S. Hall, M. W. Ray, K. Tiurev, E. Ruokokoski, A. H. Gheorghe, and M. Möttönen, Nat. Phys. 12, 478 (2016).
- [40] R. Shankar, J. Phys. 38, 1405 (1977).
- [41] W. Lee, A. H. Gheorghe, K. Tiurev, T. Ollikainen, M. Möttönen, and D. S. Hall, Sci. Adv. 4, eaao3820 (2018).
- [42] J. Ruostekoski and Z. Dutton, Phys. Rev. A 72, 063626 (2005).
- [43] F. Maucher, S. A. Gardiner, and I. G. Hughes, New J. Phys. 18, 063016 (2016).
- [44] E. Babaev, L. D. Faddeev, and A. J. Niemi, Phys. Rev. B 65, 100512(R) (2002).
- [45] E. Babaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 177002 (2002).
- [46] E. Babaev, Phys. Rev. D 70, 043001 (2004).
- [47] F. N. Rybakov, J. Garaud, and E. Babaev, arXiv:1807.02509.
- [48] P. W. Shor, Phys. Rev. A 52, R2493 (1995).
- [49] A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. 303, 2 (2003).
- [50] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1083 (2008).
- [51] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B 61, 10267 (2000).
- [52] S. Das Sarma, C. Nayak, and S. Tewari, Phys. Rev. B 73, 220502(R) (2006).
- [53] D. Jaksch, H.-J. Briegel, J. I. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1975 (1999).
- [54] L.-M. Duan, E. Demler, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 090402 (2003).
- [55] A. F. Rañada, Lett. Math. Phys. 18, 97 (1989).
- [56] A. F. Ranada, J. Phy. A: Math. Gen. 23, L815 (1990).
- [57] C. Ross and B. J. Schroers, Lett. Math. Phys. 108, 949 (2018).
- [58] I. M. Besieris and A. M. Shaarawi, Opt. Lett. 34, 3887 (2009).
- [59] M. R. Dennis, R. P. King, B. Jack, K. O'Holleran, and M. J. Padgett, Nat. Phys. 6, 118 (2010).
- [60] J. Romero, J. Leach, B. Jack, M. R. Dennis, S. Franke-Arnold, S. M. Barnett, and M. J. Padgett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 100407 (2011).

- [61] M. J. Padgett, K. O'Holleran, R. P. King, and M. R. Dennis, Contemp. Phys. 52, 265 (2011).
- [62] D. McGloin, G. Spalding, H. Melville, W. Sibbett, and K. Dholakia, Opt. Express 11, 158 (2003).
- [63] S. Bergamini, B. Darquié, M. Jones, L. Jacubowiez, A. Browaeys, and P. Grangier, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 21, 1889 (2004).
- [64] V. Boyer, R. M. Godun, G. Smirne, D. Cassettari, C. M. Chandrashekar, A. B. Deb, Z. J. Laczik, and C. J. Foot, Phys. Rev. A 73, 031402(R) (2006).
- [65] S. E. Olson, M. L. Terraciano, M. Bashkansky, and F. K. Fatemi, Phys. Rev. A 76, 061404(R) (2007).
- [66] A. L. Gaunt, T. F. Schmidutz, I. Gotlibovych, R. P. Smith, and Z. Hadzibabic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 200406 (2013).
- [67] F. Nogrette, H. Labuhn, S. Ravets, D. Barredo, L. Béguin, A. Vernier, T. Lahaye, and A. Browaeys, Phys. Rev. X 4, 021034 (2014).
- [68] R. M. W. van Bijnen, C. Ravensbergen, D. J. Bakker, G. J. Dijk, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, and E. J. D. Vredenbregt, New J. Phys. 17, 023045 (2015).
- [69] A. Jaouadi, N. Gaaloul, B. Viaris de Lesegno, M. Telmini, L. Pruvost, and E. Charron, Phys. Rev. A 82, 023613 (2010).
- [70] J. Leach, M. R. Dennis, J. Courtial, and M. J. Padgett, New J. Phys. 7, 55 (2005).

- [71] T. Roger, C. Maitland, K. Wilson, N. Westerberg, D. Vocke, E. M. Wright, and D. Faccio, Nat. Commun. 7, 13492 (2016).
- [72] L. Caspani, R. P. M. Kaipurath, M. Clerici, M. Ferrera, T. Roger, J. Kim, N. Kinsey, M. Pietrzyk, A. Di Falco, V. M. Shalaev, A. Boltasseva, and D. Faccio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 233901 (2016).
- [73] M. L. Chiofalo, S. Succi, and M. P. Tosi, Phys. Rev. E 62, 7438 (2000).
- [74] A. K. Roy, N. Gupta, and B. M. Deb, Phys. Rev. A 65, 012109 (2001).
- [75] P. Bader, S. Blanes, and F. Casas, J. Chem. Phys. 139, 124117 (2013).
- [76] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevA.99.063613 for movies of the three example ground-state wave functions.
- [77] J. M. Higbie, L. E. Sadler, S. Inouye, A. P. Chikkatur, S. R. Leslie, K. L. Moore, V. Savalli, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 050401 (2005).
- [78] D. R. Murray, S. M. Barnett, P. Öhberg, and D. Gomila, Phys. Rev. A 76, 053626 (2007).
- [79] D. L. Goldsmith, Michigan Math. J. 28, 3 (1981).
- [80] C. Aneziris, A. Balachandran, L. Kauffman, and A. Srivastava, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 06, 2519 (1991).
- [81] M. Fecko, *Differential Geometry and Lie Groups for Physicists* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2006).