Cavity-induced superconducting and $4k_F$ charge-density-wave states

Ameneh Sheikhan^{1,2,*} and Corinna Kollath^{1,2}

¹*Physikalisches Institut, University of Bonn, Nussallee 12, 53115 Bonn, Germany* ²*HISKP, University of Bonn, Nussallee 14-16, 53115 Bonn, Germany*

(Received 25 September 2018; published 21 May 2019)

We propose two experimental setups for fermionic atoms in a high-finesse optical resonator in which either a superconducting state with *s*-wave symmetry of the pairs or a $4k_F$ charge-density wave can self-organize. In order to stabilize the *s*-wave pairing, a two component attractively interacting fermionic gas is confined to a one-dimensional chain structure by an optical lattice. The tunneling of the atoms along the chains is suppressed initially by an energy offset between neighboring sites. A Raman transition using the cavity mode and a transversal pump laser then reintroduces a cavity-assisted tunneling. The feedback mechanism between the cavity field and the atoms leads to a spontaneous occupation of the cavity field and of a state of the fermionic atoms which is dominated by *s*-wave pairing correlations. Extending the setup to a quasi-one-dimensional ladder structure where the tunneling of atoms along the rungs of the ladder is cavity assisted, the repulsively interacting fermionic atoms self-organize into a $4k_F$ charge-density wave. We use adiabatic elimination of the cavity field combined with state-of-the-art density-matrix renormalization-group methods in finite systems in order to identify the steady-state phases of the system.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.99.053611](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.053611)

I. INTRODUCTION

The coupling of light to matter has been the subject of exciting investigations. In particular, the coupling of ultracold atoms to the light field of an optical resonator has lead to many interesting phenomena [\[1\]](#page-6-0). This includes the realization of the Jaynes-Cummings model by the coupling of a single two-level atom to the radiation field of an optical high-finesse cavity [\[2,3\]](#page-6-0) and the recent open-system realization of the Dicke model by the loading of a Bose-Einstein condensate into an optical cavity $[4,5]$. The Dicke model exhibits a quantum phase transition due to a collective coupling of the atoms to the light field; the condensed atoms can either be in a phase characterized by a homogeneous density and a vanishing cavity field or self-organize into a supersolid phase with checkerboard density patterns and occupied cavity field. This phase transition, which was predicted theoretically [\[6–9\]](#page-6-0), is driven by the cavity-induced long-range interactions between atoms. In the open-system realization the selforganized phase is the so-called attractor state of the dissipative system due to the photon loss from the mirrors of the cavity.

The additional application of underlying static lattices has been achieved in order to investigate the direct competition between short-range interactions of the atoms and the cavity-induced long-range interactions [\[10–18\]](#page-6-0). The interplay between these interactions leads to the emergence of complex phases, e.g., a self-organized Mott insulator or a self-organized charge-density-wave state [\[19,](#page-6-0)[20\]](#page-7-0). A variety of different self-organized phases has been proposed theoretically [\[1\]](#page-6-0). For example, the self-organization of bosonic atoms into different geometries [\[21,22\]](#page-7-0), of fermionic atoms into super-radiant phases [\[23–28\]](#page-7-0), and of multimode cavities [\[29–35\]](#page-7-0) has been studied.

Moreover, different theoretical proposals have been designed in order to realize a spin-orbit coupling [\[36–41\]](#page-7-0) and artificial gauge fields [\[42–48\]](#page-7-0) mediated by the cavity field. In these systems the self-organized phases can be topologically nontrivial and carry chiral current.

In this paper interacting fermions are coupled to a cavity mode exploiting Raman processes involving a cavity mode to induce tunneling between two sites of a preexisting lattice. We show the self-organization of fermions into an *s*-wave superconducting state in the case of one-dimensional chains with attractive interaction and into a charge-density wave with $4k_F$ oscillations in the case of a ladder geometry with repulsive interaction.

In the following we first describe the setup for the interacting fermions in one dimension coupled to a cavity mode and introduce the corresponding theoretical model in Sec. [II.](#page-1-0) Particularly, by adiabatically eliminating the cavity mode we derive an effective Hamiltonian for the fermionic atoms together with a self-consistency condition. We also give the stability condition for the nontrivial self-organized solutions of the effective Hamiltonian in which the cavity is occupied. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0) we study the system, solving the self-consistent equation numerically by density-matrix renormalization-group (DMRG) methods. Also the stability of the *s*-wave superconducting phase induced by the cavity is investigated. In Sec. [IV](#page-4-0) we introduce the fermionic system in the ladder geometry coupled to the cavity. In Sec. [V](#page-4-0) we discuss the properties and stability of self-organized phases of this model.

^{*}Corresponding author: asheikhan@uni-bonn.de

FIG. 1. (a) Two different internal states of fermionic atoms represented by spin ↑ and ↓ are loaded into decoupled one-dimensional structures formed by optical lattices and coupled to the dynamical field of an optical cavity. Tunneling along the chain (*y* direction) is strongly suppressed by a potential offset Δ between neighboring lattice sites. (b) Cavity-assisted Raman processes induced by two running-wave pump beams, restore tunneling along the *y* direction for each spin state of the atoms. The ground states are $|g_{\uparrow}\rangle$ and $|g_{\downarrow}\rangle$ and the intermediate states of the Raman process are $|e_{\uparrow}\rangle$ and $|e_{\downarrow}\rangle$, respectively. The two pump laser beams are coupled differently to spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ with Rabi frequencies $\Omega_{p_1\sigma}$ and $\Omega_{p_2\sigma}$, and g_0 is the vacuum-Rabi frequency of the cavity. The cavity-pump detuning is denoted by $\delta_{\rm CP}$.

II. SETUP AND THEORETICAL MODEL FOR THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL CHAINS

We consider an ultracold spin-balanced interacting fermionic gas inside an optical cavity, where the cavity is oriented along the *x* direction [see Fig. $1(a)$]. The fermions are subjected to an anisotropic, three-dimensional optical lattice which confines them into an array of decoupled chains along the *y* direction. Tunneling in the *y* direction is suppressed by an energy offset Δ between neighboring sites which, for example, can be created by an ac Stark shift gradient or a superlattice. For both spin states, tunneling is restored by two resonant two-photon Raman transitions similar to the setups discussed in Ref. [\[49\]](#page-7-0) for the gradient and in Ref. [\[50\]](#page-7-0) for the superlattice. We describe here the situation for the gradients in detail which can then be adapted to the superlattice setup. The Raman transitions use two standingwave pump laser beams with frequencies ω_{p_1} and ω_{p_2} along the *y* direction and one mode of the cavity with resonance frequency $\tilde{\omega}_c \approx \omega_{p_1} + \Delta/\hbar \approx \omega_{p_2} - \Delta/\hbar$ [see Fig. 1(b)]. We assume all other cavity modes to be far detuned. The two pump laser beams are coupled differently to two spin states with Rabi frequencies $\Omega_{p_1\sigma}$ and $\Omega_{p_2\sigma}$ where $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$. The intermediate states of the Raman process for each spin are $|e_{\uparrow}\rangle$ and $|e_{\downarrow}\rangle$ with internal atomic transition $\omega_{e\uparrow}$ and $\omega_{e\downarrow}$. The detuning of the pump and cavity modes remains large, i.e., $\omega_{e\uparrow}, \omega_{e\downarrow} \gg \tilde{\omega}_c, \omega_{p_1}, \omega_{p_2}$, so that excitation by single-photon absorption is negligible in comparison to the coherent transfer from one site to the neighboring site. We can eliminate the excited state adiabatically and describe the system in terms of the atomic spin-up and spin-down states and the cavity mode. Considering the periodic structure of the underlying lattice we can express the Hamiltonian in the tight-binding approximation. Using the rotating frame with frequency $\omega_p = \frac{\omega_{p_1} + \omega_{p_2}}{2}$,

we obtain

$$
H = H_c + H_{ac} + H_{int},
$$

\n
$$
H_c = \hbar \delta_{CP} a^{\dagger} a,
$$

\n
$$
H_{ac} = -\hbar \tilde{\Omega} (a^{\dagger} + a) \sum_{j,\sigma} (c^{\dagger}_{j,\sigma} c_{j+1,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}),
$$

\n
$$
H_{int} = U \sum_j n_{j,\uparrow} n_{j,\downarrow}.
$$
\n(1)

Here, $c_{j,\sigma}$ ($c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger}$) annihilates (creates) a fermionic atom with spin $\sigma = \uparrow$, \downarrow on site *j* of the chain. The operator $n_{j,\sigma} =$ $c^{\dagger}_{j,\sigma} c_{j,\sigma}$ is the density operator. The fermions interact with the on-site interaction strength *U*. The cavity field operator $a(a^{\dagger})$ annihilates (creates) a cavity photon in the considered cavity mode and *Hc* represents the dynamics of the cavity field in the rotating frame. The average cavity-pump detuning is denoted by $\delta_{\rm CP} = (\tilde{\omega}_c - \omega_p)$.

The cavity-assisted tunneling along the chain is described by the term H_{ac} with the effective Rabi frequency $\hbar\tilde{\Omega} = \frac{\hbar\Omega_{p_1\uparrow}g_0}{\hbar\Omega_{p_2\uparrow}g_0}$ Δ_{ab} . Ω , denotes the Rabi frequency of the first $\frac{m_2 p_1 + g_0}{\omega_{e_1} - \omega_{p_1}}$ ϕ_{\parallel} ϕ_{\perp} . $\Omega_{p_1 \uparrow}$ denotes the Rabi frequency of the first pump beam for the spin-up state and *g*⁰ denotes the vacuum-Rabi frequency of the cavity. The overlap integrals ϕ_{\parallel} and ϕ_{\perp} are effective parameters depending on the Wannier states and can be tuned via the geometry of the optical lattice and the cavity mode [\[43\]](#page-7-0). In order to balance the strength of the tunneling in two directions along the chain for both spin-up and -down states, the Rabi frequency of the pump beam $i =$ 1, 2 for spin $\sigma = \uparrow$, \downarrow is chosen as $\Omega_{p_i \sigma} = \frac{\Omega_{p_1 \uparrow}(\omega_{e \sigma} - \omega_{p_i})}{(\omega_{e \uparrow} - \omega_{p_1})}$.

Considering the dissipative nature of the imperfect optical resonator, the dynamics of the system follows the Lindblad master equation:

$$
\dot{\rho}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \rho(t)] + \mathcal{D}[\rho(t)],
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \kappa (2a\rho a^{\dagger} - \rho a^{\dagger} a - a^{\dagger} a \rho),
$$
 (2)

where κ is the bare cavity loss rate. The resulting equation of motion for the cavity mode is given by

$$
i\partial_t a = -\tilde{\Omega}K + (\delta_{\rm CP} - i\kappa)a. \tag{3}
$$

Here, $K = \sum_{j,\sigma} (c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j+1,\sigma} + \text{H.c.})$ is defined as the tunneling operator. Further, we eliminate the cavity field dynamics adiabatically from the equations of motion by using its steadystate solution α of the equation $\partial_t \langle a \rangle = 0$ which is given by

$$
\alpha = \langle a \rangle = \frac{\tilde{\Omega}}{\delta_{\rm CP} - i\kappa} \langle K \rangle.
$$
 (4)

Replacing the cavity operator by its stationary state value in the equations of motion for the fermionic operators leads us to an effective Hamiltonian for the fermions which is the wellknown Hubbard model:

$$
H_F = -JK + H_{\text{int}}.\tag{5}
$$

The feedback of the cavity field on the dynamics of the atoms appears through the self-consistent determination of the tunneling amplitude $J = A\langle K \rangle$ with $A = \frac{2\hbar \tilde{\Omega}^2 \delta_{CP}}{\delta_{CP}^2 + \kappa^2}$. We will call *A* loosely the pump strength, since this is typically one of the easiest experimental ways to tune the value of *A*. The stationary states of the system are determined by the solution of the effective Hamiltonian [Eq. (5)] together with the self-consistency equation. In order to determine the steady states, we calculate the dependence of the expectation value of the tunneling $\langle K \rangle / L$ on the tunneling amplitude *J* within the effective model and solve numerically the self-consistency condition. Additionally to the existence of nontrivial solutions, their stability needs to be assured.

A stability condition can be derived by probing the dynamics of the cavity field and only considering its linear fluctuations above the desired solution similar to the approach in Refs. $[1,51]$ $[1,51]$. Using Eq. (3) , the equations of motion of the coordinate and momentum quadratures of the cavity field, i.e., $x_a = \langle a + a^{\dagger} \rangle$ and $p_a = -i \langle a - a^{\dagger} \rangle$, are

$$
\partial_t x_a = -\kappa x_a + \delta_{\rm CP} p_a,
$$

\n
$$
\partial_t p_a = -\delta_{\rm CP} x_a - \kappa p_a + 2\tilde{\Omega} \langle K \rangle
$$
\n(6)

with stationary solutions $x_a^{(s)} = \frac{2\delta_{\text{CP}}\tilde{\Omega}(K)^{(s)}}{\delta_{\text{CP}}^2 + \kappa^2}$ and $p_a^{(s)} = \frac{2\kappa \tilde{\Omega}(K)^{(s)}}{\delta_{\text{CP}}^2 + \kappa^2}$. We consider linear fluctuations around the stationary solutions, $x_a = x_a^{(s)} + \tilde{x}_a$ and $p_a = p_a^{(s)} + \tilde{p}_a$, and also linearize the average of the tunneling in terms of the fluctuations $\langle K \rangle \approx$ $\langle K \rangle^{(s)} + \frac{d \langle K \rangle^{(s)}}{dx_a^{(s)}} \tilde{x}_a$, where $\langle K \rangle^{(s)}$ is the value of the tunneling corresponding to the stationary solution $x_a^{(s)}$. From Eqs. (6) we can derive a set of differential equations for the fluctuations:

$$
\partial_t \tilde{x}_a = -\kappa \tilde{x}_a + \delta_{\text{CP}} \tilde{p}_a,
$$

$$
\partial_t \tilde{p}_a = \left(-\delta_{\text{CP}} + 2\tilde{\Omega} \frac{d \langle K \rangle^{(s)}}{dx_a^{(s)}} \right) \tilde{x}_a - \kappa \tilde{p}_a.
$$
 (7)

The eigenvalues of the Jacobian of this set of differential equations are given by

$$
\lambda_{\pm} = -\kappa \pm \sqrt{\left(-\delta_{\rm CP}^2 + 2\delta_{\rm CP}\tilde{\Omega}\frac{d\langle K \rangle^{(s)}}{dx_a^{(s)}}\right)}.
$$
 (8)

The stable stationary solutions are the ones for which the eigenvalues have a negative real part. Thus, the stability condition for the system with $\delta_{CP} > 0$ reads

$$
\left(\frac{d\langle K \rangle^{(s)}/L}{dJ^{(s)}/|U|}\right) < \frac{|U|}{AL} \tag{9}
$$

where the derivative of the tunneling is evaluated at the stationary solution.

The model [Eq. (2)] possesses a Z_2 symmetry, since it is invariant under the transformation $a \to -a$, $c_{j,\sigma} \to (-1)^j c_{j,\sigma}$. For the parameters under consideration, the tunneling *K* has the same sign as *J*, such that there exists only a nontrivial steady state if the cavity-pump detuning δ_{CP} is positive (blue detuned). Without loss of generality we consider $J \geq 0$ in our calculations.

III. SELF-ORGANIZED *S***-WAVE SUPERCONDUCTING STATE**

We determine the physical properties of the effective model using the DMRG algorithm. A high-performance DMRG code for finite systems with open boundary conditions which uses the ITensor library [\[52\]](#page-7-0) enables us to target correlations over long distances. We focus in our simulations on a filling $n = \frac{N_t + N_{\downarrow}}{L} = 0.9375$ and zero magnetization M_z $N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow} = 0$ where N_{\uparrow} (N_{\downarrow}) is the number of fermions with spin up (down) and *L* is the number of sites of the chain. We expect the main findings to be stable with respect to parameter changes. In DMRG simulations we use a chain of $L = 192$ with $N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow} = 180$ or $L = 384$ with $N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow} = 360$. The results presented in this paper are calculated using a bond dimension of up to $M = 5000$ which leads, as we verified, to a good accuracy for the considered cases.

Before we determine the self-consistent solutions, we give a brief summary of the phase diagram of the one-dimensional Hubbard model [Eq. (5)]. At the considered filling $n =$ 0.9375, the noninteracting model has a normal phase and for any weak attractive interaction a superconducting phase occurs (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). The properties of this *s*-wave superconducting phase have been investigated previously (see, for example, Refs. [\[53,54\]](#page-7-0)). In one dimension, this superconducting phase is characterized by dominating *s*-wave pairing correlations defined by $\langle \Delta_s(j) \Delta_s^{\dagger}(j+l) \rangle$ with the onsite pairs $\Delta_s(j)$ = $c_{j,\uparrow}c_{j,\downarrow}-c_{j,\downarrow}c_{j,\uparrow}$ which decay algebraically with distance. In this superconducting phase a gap in the spin sector is present such that the spin correlations decay exponentially fast with distance. Other correlations which have a contribution of the gapless sector—as, for example, the density-density correlations—show an algebraic decay which, however, is faster than the decay of the superconducting correlations.

At repulsive interaction, a charge-density wave is the dominating feature. In the Hubbard model, due to its symmetries, the average value of the density still remains constant, since the state consists of a coherent superposition of two density

FIG. 2. Phase diagram of the Hubbard chain with doping. The *s*-wave superconductor is the dominant phase for the attractive interaction $(U < 0)$. The sketch shows the onsite singlet pairs which then condense. The charge-density wave with wave vector $2k_F$ is the dominant phase for repulsive interaction $(U > 0)$. It is characterized by oscillating density-density correlations which are depicted in the sketch.

waves which then add up to constant density. The density wave nature shows up as an oscillating slow algebraic decay in the density-density correlations. The oscillation period in the Hubbard chain depends on the density and is given by $2\pi n = 2k_F$. Therefore, it is called a $2k_F$ -charge-density wave. We note that in the weakly interacting regime the bosonization method predicts a relation between the exponent of the algebraic decay of the superconducting correlations and the exponent of the algebraic decay of the density-density correlations; the density-density correlation decays as l^{-K_p} , in which K_{ρ} is known as the Luttinger liquid parameter, while the *s*-wave pairing correlation decays as $l^{-1/K_{\rho}}$. Thus, the value of K_ρ decides which of the correlations dominates. For $U < 0$, the superconducting correlation is the dominant one and $K_{\rho} > 1$, whereas, for $U > 0$, $K_{\rho} < 1$ such that the density-density correlation decays slowest.

In order to determine the nontrivial self-consistent solutions, we calculate using DMRG methods the dependence of the expectation value of the tunneling $\langle K \rangle$ on the tunneling amplitude *J* and solve the self-consistency condition numerically. In Fig. 3 the expectation value of the tunneling $\langle K \rangle / L$ is represented for different tunneling amplitudes rescaled by the interaction. The self-consistency condition can be rewritten as $\langle K \rangle / L = \frac{|U|}{AL} J / |U|$. This form makes it clear that the selfconsistency condition has an easy graphical interpretation. Using the dependence of the expectation value of the tunneling on the tunneling amplitude shown in Fig. 3, the condition can be interpreted graphically by determining the intersection of this curve $\langle K \rangle / L$ with a linear curve $\frac{|U|}{AL} J / |U|$ where its slope $(\frac{AL}{|U|})^{-1}$ depends on the pump strength *A*. Moreover the graphical interpretation of the stability condition is that a solution is stable if at the corresponding intersection the derivative of the curve for the expectation value of the tunneling is less than the slope of the linear curve. For large values of the pump strength there exists a stable nontrivial self-consistent solution of the self-consistency equation as shown for one example in Fig. 3. The exact dependence of the expectation value of the tunneling at low values of the tunneling amplitude *J* determines whether there exists a critical pump strength below which only an empty cavity field is the trivial solution of the

FIG. 3. The expectation value of the tunneling $\langle K \rangle / L$ for an attractive Hubbard chain $U < 0$ vs the rescaled tunneling amplitude $J/|U|$. Shown are two system sizes $L = 192$ and 384 with filling $n = 0.9375$ which lie on top of each other. When the tunneling is very large compared to the interaction the value of the tunneling approaches the noninteracting value $(U = 0)$ shown by the red arrow. The green solid line is the linear curve with slope $(\frac{AL}{|U|})^{-1} \approx 3.87$. The crossing of the two curves gives the self-consistent solution with $J = \frac{2}{8} |U|$.

self-consistency equation. Our numerical solution suggests that above a critical pump strength the nontrivial solutions emerge. Due to the finite resolution of the numerical data and the slight finite-size effects, we cannot pinpoint an exact value of this critical pump strength.

The nontrivial self-consistent solutions at large pump strength *A* are stable, since the slope of the expectation value of the tunneling $\langle K \rangle / L$ versus the tunneling amplitude *J*/|*U*| is smaller than the slope $(\frac{AL}{|U|})^{-1}$ and fulfills thus the stability condition. In the nontrivial self-consistent solution, the pump photons scatter via the cavity-assisted tunneling into the cavity and lead to a finite cavity occupation. In this steady state the fermions organize into an *s*-wave superconducting phase which is formed by the pairs of spinup and spin-down fermions. The expected algebraic decay of the superconducting correlations is shown in Fig. [4](#page-4-0) for an attractive interaction with $J = \frac{2}{8} |U|$. Compared to other correlations in the system the decay of the *s*-wave pairing correlation is the slowest decay. The faster algebraic decay of the density-density correlations $\left[\langle \Delta n(j) \Delta n(j + l) \rangle \right]$ with the density fluctuations $\Delta n(j) = n(j) - \langle n(j) \rangle$ is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-4-0) The density-density correlations oscillates with the period of $2\pi n = 2k_F$ where k_F is the Fermi wave vector. For the parameters used in Fig. [4](#page-4-0) the Luttinger liquid parameter extracted from the density-density correlations $K_{\rho} = 1.13$ is very close to the Luttinger liquid parameter extracted from the *s*-wave pairing correlations $K_{\rho} = \frac{1}{0.89} = 1.124$. One would expect that in the thermodynamic limit $(L \rightarrow \infty)$ these two values become equal and therefore confirm the predicted relation by bosonization. The cavity-induced *s*-wave superconducting phase is stable by the cavity dissipation and leaking of photons from the cavity signals the emergence of the superradiant phase and equivalently the superconducting phase.

FIG. 4. The density-density and *s*-wave pairing correlations of the attractive Hubbard chain with $J = \frac{2}{8} |U|$ calculated from DMRG for a system size $L = 384$ and $N = 360$ particles $(n = 0.9375)$. Whereas both correlations decay algebraically, the *s*-wave pairing correlation is the dominant correlation. The density-density correlation has oscillations with period of $2\pi n = 2k_F$ on top and is fitted with the function $l^{-\gamma}$ { $a + b \cos[2\pi n(l - l_0)]$ } (dashed line). Fits with algebraic functions ∼*l* [−]^γ are also shown (solid lines).

IV. SETUP AND THEORETICAL MODEL FOR THE LADDER STRUCTURE

We extend the model to an array of ladders in which the tunneling along the legs of the ladders is the standard tunneling and only the tunneling on the rungs of the ladders is induced by the Raman process (see Fig. 5). In order to reach this situation, decoupled ladders with an energy offset Δ between their legs are generated by three-dimensional optical (super)lattices (see, for example, Ref. [\[44\]](#page-7-0) for more

FIG. 5. A balanced mixture of fermionic atoms in two internal states is loaded into a structure of decoupled ladders formed by optical lattices. Tunneling along the rung of the ladder is strongly suppressed by a potential offset Δ between neighboring lattice sites and restored by a cavity-assisted Raman process.

details). No energy offset along the legs of the ladders is employed. Thus, the tunneling along the legs is the standard tunneling and the tunneling along the rungs of the ladders is initially suppressed and reenforced by the cavity-assisted tunneling introduced in the previous section. Performing the same approximations as before, the Hamiltonian describing the ladder structures reads

$$
H = H_c + H_{\parallel} + H_{ac} + H_{\text{int}},
$$

\n
$$
H_c = \hbar \delta_{\text{CP}} a^{\dagger} a,
$$

\n
$$
H_{\parallel} = -J_{\parallel} \sum_{j,\sigma,m=0,1} (c_{m,j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{m,j+1,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}),
$$

\n
$$
H_{ac} = -\hbar \tilde{\Omega} (a^{\dagger} + a) \sum_{j,\sigma} (c_{0,j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1,j,\sigma} + \text{H.c.}),
$$

\n
$$
H_{\text{int}} = U \sum_{j,m=0,1} n_{m,j,\uparrow} n_{m,j,\downarrow}.
$$
\n(10)

Here, $c_{m,j,\sigma}$ ($c^{\dagger}_{m,j,\sigma}$) annihilates (creates) an atom with spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ on rung *j* and leg *m* of the ladder. For notational simplicity we introduce the tunneling operator along the rungs of the ladder as $K_{\perp} = \sum_{j,\sigma} (c_{0,j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1,j,\sigma} + \text{H.c.})$. The dynamics of the system is again described by a Lindblad master equation due to the presence of losses from the cavity. Using the adiabatic elimination of the cavity field, one can derive an effective Hamiltonian for the fermions with a self-consistency condition given by

$$
H_F = H_{\parallel} + H_{\perp} + H_{\text{int}},
$$

\n
$$
H_{\perp} = -J_{\perp}K_{\perp},
$$

\n
$$
J_{\perp} = A\langle K_{\perp}\rangle.
$$
\n(11)

The pump strength $A = \frac{2\hbar\tilde{\Omega}^2 \delta_{CP}}{\delta_{CP}^2 + \kappa^2}$ is defined as before. The effective Hamiltonian is the Hubbard Hamiltonian on a ladder which shows the power-law decay of the correlation functions and has been studied before (see, e.g., [\[53,55\]](#page-7-0) for analytical studies and Refs. [\[56–59\]](#page-7-0) for numerical studies). The stability condition for the solutions is $\left(\frac{d\langle K_{\perp}\rangle^{(s)}/L}{dJ_{\perp}^{(s)}/J_{\parallel}}\right) < \left(\frac{AL}{J_{\parallel}}\right)^{-1}$.

We simulate the effective model employing the DMRG method for a ladder of size $2 \times L$ with $L = 192$, $N = 360$ particles, and $M_z = 0$. The maximum bond dimension we consider is $M = 5000$ and we checked the convergence of our results in this parameter.

V. SELF-ORGANIZATION OF THE 4*kF* **CHARGE-DENSITY WAVE**

In order to obtain information about the properties of the fermions in the steady states, we need to consider the properties of the effective Hamiltonian. At repulsive interaction in the Hubbard model on a ladder, a crossover between two interesting phases occurs.

At intermediate rung tunneling J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} , an unconventional superconductor emerges. This has a singlet pairing on a rung and is therefore not of *s*-wave nature (see sketch in Fig. [6\)](#page-5-0). At small and large rung tunneling the corresponding ordered state is a charge-density wave, i.e., a periodic modulation in the charge sector here with wave vector $4k_F$ which is called the $4k_F$ charge-density wave (CDW^{4kF}) [\[53,55\]](#page-7-0). k_F is the Fermi

FIG. 6. Phase diagram of the Hubbard ladder at small doping away from half filling. The unconventional superconducting state with a singlet pairing on the rungs is the dominant phase for the intermediate values of the ratio of the rung tunneling to the tunneling within a leg $(J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel})$. The charge-density wave with wave vector $4k_F$ is the dominant phase for small and large J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} .

wave vector which is set by the filling as $2\pi n = 4k_F$ (see Fig. 6). Due to symmetry arguments this oscillation is not directly reflected in the average value of the charge density, but in the density-density correlations.

Both the singlet-pair correlations, $\langle \Delta_d(j) \Delta_d^{\dagger}(j+l) \rangle$ with the singlet on a rung $\Delta_d(j) = c_{0,j,\uparrow}c_{1,j,\downarrow} - c_{0,j,\downarrow}c_{1,j,\uparrow}$, and the density-density correlations, $\langle \Delta n(j) \Delta n(j + l) \rangle$, decay algebraically. The slowest and, thus, the dominating decay is characterizing the properties of the state. The algebraic decay of the correlations in the Hubbard ladder has been studied previously using DMRG [\[56–59\]](#page-7-0).

FIG. 7. Graphical interpretation of the self-consistency condition. The dependence of the rung tunneling (blue circles) on different ratios of the tunneling amplitudes J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} is shown for the repulsive Hubbard ladder with $U = 8J_{\parallel}$ in a system of $L = 192$ rungs and $N =$ 360 particles ($n = 0.9375$) and for the noninteracting Hubbard ladder (red dashed line). The intersections with the linear function (green solid line) $(\frac{AL}{J_{\parallel}})^{-1} \approx 0.64$ give the solutions of the self-consistency condition. For $U = 8J_{\parallel}$, the intersection at $J_{\perp} = 2J_{\parallel}$ indicates a stable nontrivial self-consistent solution. The intersection around $J_{\perp} = 1.5J_{\parallel}$ is not stable. The green shaded region marks the regime of possible stable solutions.

FIG. 8. The density-density correlations (orange squares) and the unconventional pair correlations (blue circles) vs distance *l* computed starting from site $j = 20$ in a Hubbard ladder with $U =$ 8*J*_|, *J*_⊥ = 2*J*_| for systems of *L* = 192 rungs and *N* = 352 particles $(n = 0.9375)$. Both correlations decay algebraically with distance. The curves are fitted with the function $l^{-\beta}$ { $a + b \cos[2\pi n(l - l_0)]$ } with the period of $2\pi n = 4k_F$ (dashed lines). The fits with only the algebraic function are also shown as a guide to the eye (solid lines). The density-density correlations decay slower than the superconducting correlations and, thus, are dominating, which is the signature of

the charge-density wave CDW⁴*kF* .

As in the previous situation, a graphical interpretation of the self-consistency condition $\langle K_{\perp}\rangle/L = \frac{J_{\parallel}}{AL}J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel}$ exists. Graphically, this means that in order to find the solutions of the self-consistency equation one needs to find the intersections of $\langle K_{\perp}\rangle/L$ and a linear line with the slope $\left(\frac{AL}{J_{\parallel}}\right)^{-1}$. In Fig. 7 the expectation value of the rung tunneling $\langle K_{\perp} \rangle / L$ is plotted versus the tunneling amplitude J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} . A monotonic rise with a turning in the curvature is found. Due to this complex dependence of the expectation value of the rung tunneling on the tunneling amplitude, different situations can occur depending on the value of the pump strength *A*: (i) possibly no intersection, i.e., no nontrivial solution exists, (ii) one intersection, and (iii) more than one intersection for a certain pump strength (shown). Our numerical solution suggests a critical pump strength below which no nontrivial stable solution of the self-consistency condition exists, i.e., in this steady state the cavity is not occupied and no tunneling between the different legs of the ladders occurs. Above the critical value in an interval of the pump strength $A_{cr,1} < A <$ *A*cr,² our numerical solution gives at least two solutions of the self-consistency condition. However, in the case of two nontrivial solutions only the solution with the larger value of the tunneling amplitude is stable according to the stability condition. For strong values of the pump strength, $A > A_{cr,2}$, our results show only one stable nontrivial solution. As shown in Fig. 7 the solutions with $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} > 1.65$ are stable. For each of the stable nontrivial solutions the cavity field becomes dynamically occupied and the two legs of the ladder are coupled by the cavity-induced tunneling.

For the considered interaction strength of $U = 8J_{\parallel}$ we determine the crossover between *d*-wave superconductor and $4k_F$ -charge-density wave by comparing the algebraic decay of the singlet-pair correlations, i.e., $\langle \Delta_d(j) \Delta_d^{\dagger}(j+l) \rangle$, and density-density correlations for different rung tunneling. As an example, we show in Fig. [8](#page-5-0) the density correlation and singlet-pair correlations for the solution with $J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} = 2$. The period of $4k_F = 2\pi n$ is clearly seen in both correlations. Whereas at small distances the singlet-pair correlation has a larger amplitude than the density-density correlation, at long distances the $4k_F$ -density-density correlation shows the slower decay and is dominating. The bosonization predicts that the exponent of the *d*-wave pairing correlation is equal to the inverse of the exponent of the density-density correlation which is not yet the case for the results shown in Fig. [8.](#page-5-0) One would expect that this relation is fulfilled in the thermodynamic limit and here we can discuss the slower decay of the *d*-wave pairing correlations compared to other correlations up to the system size considered in the simulations $(L = 192)$.

The crossover between the phases approximately occurs at $(J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel})_{cr}$ ≈ 1.6 with a dominating CDW^{4kF} phase for larger values of J_{\perp}/J_{\parallel} which corresponds to the stable nontrivial solutions of the self-consistency condition (see Fig. [7\)](#page-5-0). This means that in the self-organized setup at the considered interaction strength only the CDW^{4kF} phase is stabilized. Let us note that we find a similar behavior for different interaction strength, different fillings, and different anisotropies of

- [1] H. Ritsch, P. Domokos, F. Brennecke, and T. Esslinger, Cold [atoms in cavity-generated dynamical optical potentials,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.553) Rev. Mod. Phys. **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.553)**, [553](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.553) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.553).
- [2] G. S. Agarwal, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 2013).
- [3] S. Haroche and J.-M. Raimond, *Exploring the Quantum* (Oxford University, New York, 2006).
- [4] K. Baumann, C. Guerlin, F. Brennecke, and T. Esslinger, Dicke quantum phase transition with a superfluid gas in an optical cavity, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09009) **[464](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09009)**, [1301](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09009) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09009).
- [5] H. Keßler, J. Klinder, M. Wolke, and A. Hemmerich, Steering Matter Wave Superradiance with an Ultranarrow-Band Optical Cavity, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.070404) **[113](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.070404)**, [070404](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.070404) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.070404).
- [6] P. Domokos and H. Ritsch, Collective Cooling and Self-Organization of Atoms in a Cavity, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.253003) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.253003)**, [253003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.253003) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.253003).
- [7] F. Dimer, B. Estienne, A. S. Parkins, and H. J. Carmichael, Proposed realization of the dicke-model quantum phase transition in an optical cavity qed system, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.75.013804) **[75](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.75.013804)**, [013804](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.75.013804) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.75.013804).
- [8] G. S. D. Nagy and P. Domokos, Self-organization of a Bose-Einstein condensate in an optical cavity, [Eur. Phys. J. D](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2008-00074-6) **[48](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2008-00074-6)**, [127](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2008-00074-6) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2008-00074-6).
- [9] F. Piazza, P. Strack, and W. Zwerger, Bose-Einstein condensation versus Dicke-Hepp-Lieb transition in an optical cavity, [Ann. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.08.015) **[339](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.08.015)**, [135](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.08.015) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.08.015).
- [10] T. J. Elliott and I. B. Mekhov, Engineering many-body dynam[ics with quantum light potentials and measurements,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.013614) Phys. Rev. A **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.013614)**, [013614](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.013614) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.013614).

tunneling along the legs of the ladder. Thus, it seems that the unconventional superconducting state cannot be stabilized easily by the coupling to the cavity.

VI. CONCLUSION

To summarize, the coupling mechanism we introduced between atoms and the cavity field can lead to a self-organization into an *s*-wave superconducting phase for a chain of attractively interacting atoms and into a $4k_F$ -charge-density wave on a ladder geometry with repulsive interaction. These steady states are stable and protected against dissipative fluctuations of the system and can be realized in the experiment by the super-radiant phase in which the cavity field is occupied and photons leak from the cavity. We could not stabilize in the ladder geometry the unconventional superconducting phase for the considered parameters even changing the interaction, particle filling, and anisotropy of the tunneling along the legs of the ladder.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank T. Giamarchi, M. Köhl, and E. Orignac for fruitful discussions. We acknowledge funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (TR 185 project B3, FOR1807, SFB 1238 project C05, and Einzelantrag) and the European Research Council (Grant No. 648166). A.S. thanks the research council of Shahid Beheshti University.

- [11] M. Reza Bakhtiari, A. Hemmerich, H. Ritsch, and M. Thorwart, Nonequilibrium Phase Transition of Interacting Bosons in an Intra-Cavity Optical Lattice, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.123601) **[114](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.123601)**, [123601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.123601) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.123601).
- [12] C. Maschler and H. Ritsch, Cold Atom Dynamics in a Quantum Optical Lattice Potential, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.260401) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.260401)**, [260401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.260401) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.260401).
- [13] C. Maschler, I. B. Mekhov, and H. Ritsch, Ultracold atoms in [optical lattices generated by quantized light fields,](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2008-00016-4) Eur. Phys. J. D **[46](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2008-00016-4)**, [545](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2008-00016-4) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2008-00016-4).
- [14] J. Larson, B. Damski, G. Morigi, and M. Lewenstein, Mott-Insulator States of Ultracold Atoms in Optical Resonators, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.050401) **[100](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.050401)**, [050401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.050401) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.050401).
- [15] W. Niedenzu, R. Schulze, A. Vukics, and H. Ritsch, Microscopic dynamics of ultracold particles in a ring-cavity optical lattice, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.043605) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.043605)**, [043605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.043605) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.043605).
- [16] A. O. Silver, M. Hohenadler, M. J. Bhaseen, and B. D. Simons, [Bose-Hubbard models coupled to cavity light fields,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.023617) Phys. Rev. A **[81](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.023617)**, [023617](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.023617) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.023617).
- [17] S. Fernández-Vidal, G. De Chiara, J. Larson, and G. Morigi, Quantum ground state of self-organized atomic crystals in optical resonators, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.043407) **[81](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.043407)**, [043407](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.043407) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.043407).
- [18] Y. Li, L. He, and W. Hofstetter, Lattice-supersolid phase of strongly correlated bosons in an optical cavity, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.051604) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.051604)**, [051604\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.051604) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.051604).
- [19] R. Landig, L. Hruby, N. Dogra, M. Landini, R. Mottl, T. Donner, and T. Esslinger, Quantum phases from competing short- and long-range interactions in an optical lattice, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17409) **[532](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17409)**, [476](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17409) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17409).
- [20] J. Klinder, H. Keßler, M. Reza Bakhtiari, M. Thorwart, and A. Hemmerich, Observation of a Superradiant Mott Insulator in the Dicke-Hubbard Model, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.230403) **[115](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.230403)**, [230403](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.230403) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.230403).
- [21] S. Safaei, C. Miniatura, and B. Grémaud, Triangular and honeycomb lattices of cold atoms in optical cavities, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.043810) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.043810)**, [043810](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.043810) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.043810).
- [22] J. Leonard, A. Morales, P. Zupancic, T. Esslinger, and T. Donner, Supersolid formation in a quantum gas breaking a continuous translational symmetry, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21067) **[543](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21067)**, [87](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21067) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21067).
- [23] J. Larson, G. Morigi, and M. Lewenstein, Cold Fermi atomic gases in a pumped optical resonator, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.023815) **[78](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.023815)**, [023815](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.023815) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.023815).
- [24] M. Müller, P. Strack, and S. Sachdev, Quantum charge glasses of itinerant fermions with cavity-mediated long-range interactions, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.023604) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.023604)**, [023604](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.023604) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.023604).
- [25] F. Piazza and P. Strack, Umklapp Superradiance with a Collisionless Quantum Degenerate Fermi Gas, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143003) **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143003)**, [143003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143003) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143003).
- [26] J. Keeling, M. J. Bhaseen, and B. D. Simons, Fermionic Super[radiance in a Transversely Pumped Optical Cavity,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143002) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143002)**, [143002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143002) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143002).
- [27] Y. Chen, Z. Yu, and H. Zhai, Superradiance of Degenerate Fermi Gases in a Cavity, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143004) **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143004)**, [143004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143004) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143004).
- [28] Y. Chen, H. Zhai, and Z. Yu, Superradiant phase transition of [fermi gases in a cavity across a Feshbach resonance,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.021602) Phys. Rev. A **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.021602)**, [021602\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.021602) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.021602).
- [29] S. Gopalakrishnan, B. L. Lev, and P. M. Goldbart, Emergent crystallinity and frustration with Bose-Einstein condensates in multimode cavities, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1403) **[5](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1403)**, [845](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1403) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1403).
- [30] S. Nimmrichter, K. Hammerer, P. Asenbaum, H. Ritsch, and M. Arndt, Master equation for the motion of a polarizable particle in a multimode cavity, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/8/083003) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/8/083003)**, [083003](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/8/083003) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/8/083003).
- [31] P. Strack and S. Sachdev, Dicke Quantum Spin Glass of Atoms and Photons, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.277202) **[107](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.277202)**, [277202](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.277202) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.277202).
- [32] S. Gopalakrishnan, B. L. Lev, and P. M. Goldbart, Frustration and Glassiness in Spin Models with Cavity-Mediated Interactions, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.277201) **[107](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.277201)**, [277201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.277201) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.277201).
- [33] H. Habibian, A. Winter, S. Paganelli, H. Rieger, and G. Morigi, Bose-Glass Phases of Ultracold Atoms Due to Cavity Backaction, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.075304) **[110](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.075304)**, [075304](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.075304) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.075304).
- [34] A. Janot, T. Hyart, P. R. Eastham, and B. Rosenow, Superfluid Stiffness of a Driven Dissipative Condensate with Disorder, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.230403) **[111](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.230403)**, [230403](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.230403) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.230403).
- [35] M. Buchhold, P. Strack, S. Sachdev, and S. Diehl, Dicke-model quantum spin and photon glass in optical cavities: Nonequilibrium theory and experimental signatures, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.063622) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.063622)**, [063622](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.063622) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.063622).
- [36] Y. Deng, J. Cheng, H. Jing, and S. Yi, Bose-Einstein Conden[sates with Cavity-Mediated Spin-Orbit Coupling,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143007) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143007)**, [143007](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143007) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143007).
- [37] L. Dong, L. Zhou, B. Wu, B. Ramachandhran, and H. Pu, Cavity-assisted dynamical spin-orbit coupling in cold atoms, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.011602) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.011602)**, [011602\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.011602) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.011602).
- [38] J.-S. Pan, X.-J. Liu, W. Zhang, W. Yi, and G.-C. Guo, Topolog[ical Superradiant States in a Degenerate Fermi Gas,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.045303) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[115](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.045303)**, [045303](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.045303) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.045303).
- [39] B. Padhi and S. Ghosh, Spin-orbit-coupled Bose-Einstein condensates in a cavity: Route to magnetic phases through cavity transmission, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.023627) **[90](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.023627)**, [023627](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.023627) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.023627).
- [40] F. Mivehvar and D. L. Feder, Synthetic spin-orbit interactions and magnetic fields in ring-cavity qed, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.013803) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.013803)**, [013803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.013803) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.013803).
- [41] F. Mivehvar and D. L. Feder, Enhanced stripe phases in spin-orbit-coupled Bose-Einstein condensates in ring cavities, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.023611) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.023611)**, [023611](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.023611) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.023611).
- [42] C.-M. Halati, A. Sheikhan, and C. Kollath, A cavity-induced artificial gauge field in a Bose-Hubbard ladder, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.063621) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.063621)**, [063621](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.063621) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.063621).
- [43] C. Kollath, A. Sheikhan, S. Wolff, and F. Brennecke, Ultracold Fermions in a Cavity-Induced Artificial Magnetic Field, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.060401) **[116](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.060401)**, [060401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.060401) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.060401).
- [44] A. Sheikhan, F. Brennecke, and C. Kollath, Cavity-induced chiral states of fermionic quantum gases, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043609) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043609)**, [043609](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043609) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043609).
- [45] A. Sheikhan, F. Brennecke, and C. Kollath, Cavity-induced generation of nontrivial topological states in a two-dimensional Fermi gas, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.061603) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.061603)**, [061603\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.061603) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.061603).
- [46] S. Wolff, A. Sheikhan, and C. Kollath, Dissipative time evolution of a chiral state after a quantum quench, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.043609) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.043609)**, [043609](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.043609) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.043609).
- [47] W. Zheng and N. R. Cooper, Superradiance Induced Particle Flow Via Dynamical Gauge Coupling, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.175302) **[117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.175302)**, [175302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.175302) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.175302).
- [48] K. E. Ballantine, B. L. Lev, and J. Keeling, Meissner-Like Effect for a Synthetic Gauge Field in Multimode Cavity Qed, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.045302) **[118](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.045302)**, [045302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.045302) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.045302).
- [49] H. Miyake, G. A. Siviloglou, C. J. Kennedy, W. C. Burton, and W. Ketterle, Realizing the Harper Hamiltonian with Laser-Assisted Tunneling in Optical Lattices, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.185302) **[111](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.185302)**, [185302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.185302) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.185302).
- [50] M. Aidelsburger, M. Atala, M. Lohse, J. T. Barreiro, B. Paredes, and I. Bloch, Realization of the Hofstadter Hamiltonian with Ultracold Atoms in Optical Lattices, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.185301) **[111](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.185301)**, [185301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.185301) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.185301).
- [51] L. Tian, Cavity-assisted dynamical quantum phase transition at bifurcation points, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043850) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043850)**, [043850](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043850) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.043850).
- [52] ITensor c++ library available at <http://itensor.org.>
- [53] T. Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* (Oxford University, New York, 2004).
- [54] F. H. L. Essler, H. Frahm, F. Göhmann, A. Klümper, and V. Korepin, *The One-Dimensional Hubbard Model* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 2005).
- [55] E. Orignac and T. Giamarchi, Effects of disorder on two strongly correlated coupled chains, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.7167) **[56](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.7167)**, [7167](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.7167) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.7167).
- [56] R. M. Noack, S. R. White, and D. J. Scalapino, Correlations in a Two-Chain Hubbard Model, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.882) **[73](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.882)**, [882](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.882) [\(1994\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.882).
- [57] R. M. Noack, S. R. White, and D. J. Scalapino, The doped twochain Hubbard model, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/30/3/007) **[30](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/30/3/007)**, [163](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/30/3/007) [\(1995\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/30/3/007).
- [58] R. M. Noack, S. R. White, and D. J. Scalapino, The ground state of the two-leg Hubbard ladder a density-matrix renormalization group study, [Physica C](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4534(96)00515-1) **[270](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4534(96)00515-1)**, [281](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4534(96)00515-1) [\(1996\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4534(96)00515-1).
- [59] M. Dolfi, B. Bauer, S. Keller, and M. Troyer, Pair correlations in doped Hubbard ladders, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195139) **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195139)**, [195139](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195139) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.195139).