Casimir friction between a magnetic and a dielectric material in the nonretarded limit

Johan S. Høye¹ and Iver Brevik²

¹*Department of Physics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway* ²*Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway*

> (Received 15 January 2019; published 19 April 2019) \blacksquare

The repulsive nature of the static Casimir force between two half-spaces, one perfectly dielectric and the other purely magnetic, has been known since Boyer's work [T. H. Boyer, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.9.2078) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.9.2078)**, [2078](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.9.2078) [\(1974\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.9.2078)]. We here analyze the corresponding friction force in the magnetodielectric case. Our main method is that of quantum mechanical statistical mechanics. The basic model we introduce is a harmonic oscillator model: an electric dipole oscillating in the *x* direction and a magnetic one oscillating in the *y* direction, while their separation is in the *z* direction. This is then extended to particles with isotropic polarizabilities. We evaluate the friction force in a variety of cases: forces between moving particles, between a moving particle and a half-plane, and between half-spaces sliding against each other. At the end, explicit results are obtained both for finite and zero temperatures. We restrict ourselves to the nonretarded limit.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.99.042511](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.042511)

I. INTRODUCTION

Casimir friction can take place between moving atoms, between an atom moving parallel to a plane surface at rest, or between closely spaced surfaces moving relative to each other. Being basically a nonequilibrium effect, it is nevertheless described usually in terms of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, meaning that the two-point function for the field components are taken to be proportional to the imaginary part of the retarded Green's function. This means physically that the electromagnetic field is assumed to be in local thermal equilibrium. Usually, magnetic properties of the material are left out, and the effect is accordingly due to fluctuating electric dipoles. Some articles on the subject can be found in Refs. $[1-10]$; cf. also the extensive 2007 article $[11]$.

If we move on to the case of magnetodielectric media, the situation becomes more involved. As shown by Boyer [\[12\]](#page-7-0), the static Casimir force between two parallel (thick) plates, the one being perfectly dielectric and the other perfectly magnetic, will be repulsive. We have recently contributed to the discussion on this kind of repulsive static forces, both with the use of macroscopic electrodynamics [\[13\]](#page-7-0) and from a statistical mechanical standpoint [\[14\]](#page-7-0).

The purpose of this paper is to analyze magnetodielectric *friction* between a particle and a half-space, as well as between two half-spaces. This is a topic not discussed earlier in the literature as far as we know. As one would expect, the friction force will turn out to be very small, actually much smaller than in the standard nonmagnetic case. The force must therefore be regarded as an esoteric quantity, far beyond measurability by present experimental techniques. The significance of the force lies in its existence, not in its magnitude. An important point worth noticing is, however, that it always acts so as to oppose the motion of the plates, just as friction always behaves in ordinary hydrodynamics.

Our basic method will be the one of quantum statistical mechanics. In the next section, we introduce a simplified model for interacting magnetic and dielectric particles and consider then, in Sec. [III,](#page-1-0) a harmonic oscillator model of an electric dipole and a magnetic dipole oscillating respectively in the *x* and *y* directions only while their separation is in the *z* direction. The Hamiltonian is derived and the eigenfrequencies determined. In Sec. [IV,](#page-2-0) we look at the same oscillator model from another angle, namely by using the quantum-mechanical path-integral method. We find the results obtained from the two methods to agree. In Sec. [V,](#page-2-0) we derive the friction force, via the Kubo formula (equivalent to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem) from which the response function needed is obtained. This force turns out to have the proper sign for a braking force, but the force is much smaller than in the purely dielectric case. In Sec. [VI,](#page-4-0) we extend our basic results to a pair of polarizable magnetic and dielectric particles, and consider in Sec. [VII](#page-4-0) the friction between a particle and a half-space and between two half spaces.

In Secs. [V](#page-2-0)[–VII,](#page-4-0) where finite temperatures are considered, the two kinds of particles are assumed to have sharp eigenfrequencies. This has as a consequence that the friction force becomes a δ function in the frequency difference. This singular feature is smoothed out when the eigenfrequencies are replaced by continuous eigenfrequency spectra. This is included in Sec. [VIII.](#page-4-0) In Sec. [IX,](#page-5-0) explicit results are obtained for a pair of half-spaces where both the dielectric as well as the magnetic frequency spectra follow the dielectric one of a metal. Results are then also derived and obtained for the zero-temperature case. The forces obtained are vanishingly small but can be related in a simple way to established explicit results where both half-spaces are dielectric.

Note: Much of the material reported in the following sections builds upon and extends previous papers of ours in this area [\[14–21\]](#page-7-0). Naturally, we have not found it possible to cover the various assumptions and derivations for each subtopic in detail here, but we have attempted to give sufficient references for readers wishing to go into further detail.

We should also mention that we are ignoring retardation effects throughout. (Some investigators might therefore prefer to associate this kind of theory with van der Waals, instead of with Casimir.)

We use Gaussian units throughout.

II. MODEL FOR AN INTERACTING MAGNETIC AND A DIELECTRIC PARTICLE

Magnetic and dielectric particles interact via the radiating electromagnetic field. When a pair of these particles interact at thermal equilibrium, it has been found, as mentioned above, that the induced force is repulsive, in contrast to the usual Casimir force [\[12,14\]](#page-7-0). This is not immediately obvious on physical grounds. However, some physical insight can be obtained, as shown in Ref. [\[14\]](#page-7-0), by constructing a model with three oscillators: two of them interacting with the third one, the latter playing the role of the electromagnetic field. We show now that this construction can be simplified a bit further by use of the quasistatic fields created by electric currents.

Specifically, the quasistatic model may consist of an electric dipole where a charge oscillates in a given direction. The magnetic dipole may be a current oscillating in a circular loop. The current in the electric dipole will create a magnetic field that interacts with the magnetic dipole moment. Conversely the changing magnetic field, produced by the current loop by electromagnetic induction, induces an electric field that interacts with the electric dipole.

By solution of Maxwell's equations, the magnetic field **H** induced by an oscillating electric dipole moment **P** is given by Eq. [\(28\)](#page-3-0) of Ref. [\[14\]](#page-7-0) as

$$
\mathbf{H} = -\zeta (1 + \zeta r) \frac{e^{-\zeta r}}{r^2} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{P}).
$$
 (1)

Here Fourier transform with respect to time has been performed such that **H** and **P** mean Fourier transformed quantities, and

$$
\zeta = i\frac{\omega}{c},\tag{2}
$$

where ω is frequency and *c* is velocity of light. The **r** is spatial separation, and the hat denotes unit vector. For small ζr in the quasistatic limit, expression (1) simplifies to

$$
\mathbf{H} = -\zeta \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{P}}{r^2}.
$$
 (3)

When transformed back to time dependence, this becomes $(iω \rightarrow \partial/\partial t, t \text{ is time})$

$$
\mathbf{H} = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{P}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{cr^2} = \frac{d\mathbf{l} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{cr^2}I,
$$
 (4)

where *I* is the electric current in a wire element of length and direction *d***l**. Expression (4) is the Biot-Savart law for the magnetic field created by a current element, where $\hat{\bf{r}}$ is the unit vector for the direction in space. The direction of $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is from the current element toward the point of observation.

Because of the symmetry of Maxwell's equations, the electric field **E** created by an oscillating magnetic dipole **M** also follows from Eq. (1) by removing the minus sign in front. However, the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ may still be directed from the electric to the magnetic dipole. This will restore the minus sign, and for small ζr the equation corresponding to Eq. (4) becomes

$$
\mathbf{E} = \zeta \frac{\dot{\mathbf{M}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{cr^2}.
$$
 (5)

With Eqs. (4) and (5) , we now apparently obtain two different expressions for the energy of interaction. These are

$$
-\Delta L_H = -\mathbf{HM} = -2\alpha (\dot{\mathbf{P}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \mathbf{M} \text{ and}
$$

$$
-\Delta L_E = -\mathbf{EP} = -2\alpha (\dot{\mathbf{M}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \mathbf{P}
$$
(6)

with $\alpha = 1/(2cr^2)$. (Later, in Sec. [VI,](#page-4-0) we will let the same symbol α stand for polarizability.) As we will find, these expressions are consistent when used to obtain the equations of motion.

III. EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Consider the simple harmonic oscillator model of an electric dipole oscillating in the *x* direction and a magnetic one oscillating in the *y* direction while their separation is along the *z* direction. With new coordinates $P \rightarrow x$ and $M \rightarrow y$ with \hat{r} pointing in the positive z direction, interaction (6) becomes

$$
\Delta L_H = -2\alpha \dot{x}y \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta L_E = 2\alpha x \dot{y}.\tag{7}
$$

Assume for simplicity that the noninteracting system is two harmonic oscillators with the same eigenfrequency $\omega_0 = 1$. Their Lagrange function is then

$$
L_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) - \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2).
$$
 (8)

Contributions (7) turn out to be equivalent, and we take half of each to obtain the resulting Lagrange function

$$
L = L_0 + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta L_H + \Delta L_E). \tag{9}
$$

[Other combinations by adding a term const. $(\Delta L_H - \Delta L_E)$] do not change the dynamics since $\dot{x}y + x\dot{y} = d(xy)/dt$.]

From Eqs. (7) – (9) , one finds the generalized momenta

$$
p_x = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = \dot{x} - \alpha y
$$
 and $p_y = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{y}} = \dot{y} + \alpha x.$ (10)

With the variational principle, the classical equations of motion follow from Lagrange's equations:

$$
\dot{p}_x - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \ddot{x} + x - 2\alpha \dot{y} = 0,
$$

$$
\dot{p}_y - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = \ddot{y} + y + 2\alpha \dot{x} = 0.
$$
 (11)

With solution of form $x \sim y \sim e^{i\omega t}$, the equation for the two eigenfrequencies becomes

$$
(-\omega^2 + 1)^2 - (-2\alpha i \omega)(2\alpha i \omega) = 0.
$$
 (12)

From this follows $-\omega^2 + 1 = \pm 2\alpha\omega$, by which the eigenfrequencies (> 0) are

$$
\omega_{\pm} = \pm \alpha + \sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}.\tag{13}
$$

With this result, the ground-state energy of the quantized harmonic oscillator system is

$$
E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar(\omega_+ + \omega_-) = \hbar\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2}.
$$
 (14)

Accordingly, at temperature $T = 0$ there is a repulsive Casimir force between the two oscillators (provided α decreases by increasing separation).

At large temperatures, the classical limit is obtained with internal energy $k_B T$ for each oscillator where k_B is Boltzmann's constant. This is independent of couplings and eigenfrequencies of the oscillators. The entropy and free energy of the system have corresponding temperature-dependent contributions. In addition, the entropy and thus the free energy have a contribution that depends upon the logarithm of the product of eigenfrequencies. In the present case with eigenfrequencies [\(13\)](#page-1-0), one finds that this product $\omega_+ \omega_- = 1$ does not depend upon the interaction. Thus, in the classical high-temperature limit, the resulting free energy does not depend upon α . Therefore, the induced interaction vanishes too, which is consistent with the result of Ref. [\[14\]](#page-7-0).

To obtain the Schrödinger equation for the two coupled oscillators, one needs the Hamiltonian

$$
H = p_x \dot{x} + p_y \dot{y} - L. \tag{15}
$$

With Eqs. $(7)-(9)$ $(7)-(9)$ $(7)-(9)$, one finds

$$
H = \frac{1}{2}[(p_x + \alpha y)^2 + (p_y - \alpha x)^2 + x^2 + y^2].
$$
 (16)

The solution of the Schrödinger equation recovers the spectra of two harmonic oscillators with the eigenfrequencies [\(13\)](#page-1-0).

IV. INDUCED INTERACTION

Because of the special form of the Lagrange function for the model studied, it is of interest to verify that its evaluation via the path integral is consistent with the result of Sec. [III.](#page-1-0) With imaginary time

$$
\lambda = i\frac{t}{\hbar}, \quad \frac{1}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{1}{d\lambda}, \tag{17}
$$

the Lagrangian [\(7\)](#page-1-0)–[\(9\)](#page-1-0) becomes

$$
-L = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left[\left(\frac{dx}{d\lambda} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy}{d\lambda} \right)^2 \right] + x^2 + y^2 \right\} + \alpha \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\frac{dx}{d\lambda} y - x \frac{dy}{d\lambda} \right].
$$
 (18)

With Fourier-transformed quantities

$$
\tilde{x}(K) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \int_0^{\beta} x(\lambda) e^{iK\lambda} d\lambda, \quad x(K) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{x}(K) e^{-iK\lambda},\tag{19}
$$

and likewise for $\tilde{y}(K)$ one finds

$$
\int_0^{\beta} L d\lambda = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{K}{\hbar} \right)^2 + 1 \right] \times \left[\tilde{x}(K)\tilde{x}(-K) + \tilde{y}(K)\tilde{y}(-K) \right] + \Delta L_K \right\}, \quad (20)
$$

$$
\Delta L_K = -\alpha \frac{i}{\hbar} \{-iK\tilde{x}(K)\tilde{y}(-K) - \tilde{x}(K)[iK\tilde{y}(-K)] \}
$$

$$
= -2\alpha \frac{K}{\hbar} \tilde{x}(K)\tilde{y}(-K). \quad (21)
$$

The $K (= i \hbar \omega)$ are the Matsubara frequencies

$$
K = \frac{2\pi n}{\beta} \tag{22}
$$

with *n* integer and $\beta = 1/(k_B T)$, where k_B is Boltzmann's constant.

Now $\tilde{x}(-K) = \tilde{x}(K)^*$ by which one can introduce real vari-Now $x(-K) = x(K)^*$ by which one can introduce real variables such that $\tilde{x}(K) = [b_x(K) + ic_x(K)]/\sqrt{2}$, etc., by which *K* can be restricted to $K > 0$ by adding together terms $\pm K$. We get

$$
\Delta L_K + \Delta L_{-K} = -2\alpha i \frac{K}{\hbar} [c_x(K)b_y(K) - b_x(K)c_y(K)]. \tag{23}
$$

In the path integral, the exponential of expression (20) is integrated with respect to $b_x(K)$, $c_x(K)$, etc., that form Gaussian integrals.

With neglect of ΔL_K , one is left with the reference system of two independent oscillators, where for the present case one finds the averages

$$
\langle |\tilde{x}(K)|^2 \rangle = \langle |\tilde{y}(K)|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{u^2 + 1}, \quad u = \frac{K}{\hbar}.
$$
 (24)

For a given value of *K*, the perturbation gives a contribution F_K to the free energy. With (21) and (24) , this is

$$
-\beta F_K = \frac{1}{2} \langle \Delta L_K \Delta L_{-K} \rangle = -2\alpha^2 \frac{u^2}{(u^2 + 1)^2}.
$$
 (25)

The total contribution follows by summation over $K =$ $2\pi n/\beta$. At $T = 0$ ($\beta \rightarrow \infty$), one can integrate, and with $du =$ $dK/\hbar = 2\pi/(\hbar\beta)$ *dn*, one obtains the free energy contribution

$$
F = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_K \to \frac{2\alpha^2 \hbar}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u^2 \, du}{(u^2 + 1)^2} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \alpha^2.
$$
 (26)

Thus, in terms of α , the perturbation in result [\(14\)](#page-1-0) is recovered. In the classical limit $\beta \to 0$, only the $K = 0$ term contributes, but since $F_0 = 0$ the *F* vanishes in this limit, as concluded below Eq. [\(14\)](#page-1-0).

The study of the interaction between dielectric and magnetic media initiated by Boyer [\[12\]](#page-7-0) has given rise to later studies on the magnetodielectric interaction. In addition to the papers [\[3](#page-6-0)[,13,14\]](#page-7-0) referred to above, we mention that of Zhao *et al.* dealing with repulsive static Casimir forces in chiral metamaterials [\[22\]](#page-7-0) and that of Nesterenko and Nesterenko dealing with Casimir friction [\[23\]](#page-7-0).

V. CASIMIR FRICTION

Earlier, we have obtained results for the friction when interactions are instantaneous like the electrostatic interaction [\[1,](#page-6-0)[15–19\]](#page-7-0). Also, some results have been obtained for interactions that vary with time, but general results are less worked out and the situation is less clear [\[20\]](#page-7-0). With the present model where electric and magnetic dipole moments interact, the situation is intermediate with quasistatic interactions. This simplifies, and as we will find below, our results for static interactions can be generalized. Details of explanations and derivations can thus be found in our earlier works.

Earlier, we studied the friction for the basic system of two harmonic oscillators with coordinates x_1 and x_2 . They

$$
-Aq(t) = \psi(\mathbf{r}(t))x_1x_2,\tag{27}
$$

as given by Eq. (11) of Ref. $[16]$. Here and below, the numeral I is used to designate the equations of this reference. The $\psi(\mathbf{r}(t))$ is the coupling strength which varies due to the relative motion with velocity **v**. The *A* is an operator since x_1 and x_2 are so in the quantum case while $q(t)$ is a classical function that gives the time dependence.

In the present case, however, the Hamiltonian is given by Eq. [\(16\)](#page-2-0), and for small α the perturbing interaction is

$$
-Aq(t) = \psi(\mathbf{r}(t))S, \quad S = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_x}{m_x} y - x \frac{p_y}{m_y} \right),
$$

$$
\psi(\mathbf{r}(t)) = 2\alpha,
$$
 (28)

when the oscillators have masses m_x and m_y and also when they are extended to have eigenfrequencies ω_x and ω_y . (For small α , this expression is mainly the perturbation of the Lagrangian too, since $p_x/m_x \approx \dot{x}$.) One sees that the x_1x_2 part of interaction (27) is replaced with the *S* given by (28). However, further evaluations can still follow closely those of Ref. $[16]$. Thus, Eqs. (12) – (16) of Ref. $[16]$ will be the same. The response function (15) of Ref. $[16]$ is needed to find the friction force. It is given by the Kubo formula [\[21,24,25\]](#page-7-0)

$$
\phi_{\text{BA}}(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \text{Tr}\{\rho[A, \mathbf{B}(t)]\},\tag{29}
$$

where ρ is the density matrix. Only the time-dependent part of the interaction $-Aq(t) = \nabla \psi(\mathbf{r}_0) \mathbf{v}$ ($\Delta \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{v}t$) is needed. The $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \psi S$ is the force. Further, with Eqs. (16) and (I7) of Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0),

$$
\phi_{BA}(t) = \mathbf{G}\phi(t), \quad \mathbf{G} = (\nabla\psi)(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\psi), \quad \phi = \text{Tr}\{\rho C(t)\}.
$$
\n(30)

But Eq. (I7) of Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0) is modified into

$$
C(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [S, S(t)], \qquad (31)
$$

JOHAN S. HØYE AND IVER BREVIK PHYSICAL REVIEW A **99**, 042511 (2019)

where $S(t) = e^{iHt/\hbar} S e^{-iHt/\hbar}$ is the Heisenberg operator with *H* being the unperturbed Hamiltonian. The expression for the friction force \mathbf{F}_f is given by Eq. (I9) of Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0),

$$
\mathbf{F}_f = -\mathbf{G} \int_0^\infty \phi(u)u \, du,\tag{32}
$$

which we will use here too. [Its Fourier-transformed version is Eq. (I11) of Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0)].

To evaluate the commutator $C(t)$, the harmonic oscillator annihilation and creation operators a_i and a_i^{\dagger} are used for which

$$
[a_i, a_i^{\dagger}] = 1, \quad a_i(t) = a_i e^{-i\omega_i t}, \quad a_i^{\dagger}(t) = a_i^{\dagger} e^{i\omega_i t}, \quad (33)
$$

with $i = 1, 2$, since below we will replace coordinates x and *y* with x_1 and x_2 . Besides Eq. (112) from Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0) for the operator x_i , we here will need the momentum operator p_i

$$
x_i = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m_i\omega_i}}(a_i + a_i^+), \quad p_i = \frac{1}{i}\sqrt{\frac{\hbar m_i\omega_i}{2}}(a_i - a_i^+). \quad (34)
$$

In addition to Eq. (113) of Ref. $[16]$,

$$
L_i^+ = L_i = \langle n_i | a_i a_i^+(t) + a_i^+ a_i(t) | n_i \rangle
$$

= $(2n_i + 1) \cos(\omega_i t) + i \sin(\omega_i t),$ (35)

we need

$$
L_i^- = \langle n_i | a_i a_i^+(t) - a_i^+ a_i(t) | n_i \rangle
$$

= cos $(\omega_i t) + i(2n_i + 1) \sin (\omega_i t)$. (36)

For the thermal average of $\phi(t)$, the result will be similar to (114) of Ref. $[16]$:

$$
\phi(t) = \langle \langle n_1 n_2 | C(t) | n_1 n_2 \rangle \rangle = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2} D \right) M,
$$

$$
D = \frac{\hbar}{2m_1 m_2 \omega_1 \omega_2}.
$$
 (37)

Based on interaction (27) , Eq. (114) of Ref. $[16]$ is obtained with $M = L_1 L_2 - L_1^* L_2^*$. With the present interaction (28), there will be four similar terms:

$$
M = \frac{1}{4} [(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)(L_1^+ L_2^+ - L_1^{+*} L_2^{+*}) - 2\omega_1 \omega_2 (L_1^- L_2^- - L_1^{-*} L_2^{-*})]
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{i}{2} \{ (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2)[(2\langle n_1 \rangle + 1)\cos(\omega_1 t)\sin(\omega_2 t) + (2\langle n_2 \rangle + 1)\cos(\omega_2 t)\sin(\omega_1 t)] - 2\omega_1 \omega_2 [(2\langle n_1 \rangle + 1)\cos(\omega_2 t)\sin(\omega_1 t) + (2\langle n_2 \rangle + 1)\cos(\omega_1 t)\sin(\omega_2 t)] \}. \tag{38}
$$

Integral (I16) of Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0) was needed to obtain the friction force

$$
\int_0^\infty t e^{-\eta t} \cos(\omega_1 t) \sin(\omega_2 t) dt \to -\frac{\pi}{2\Omega} \delta(\Omega),
$$

$$
\eta \to 0,
$$
 (39)

where $\Omega = \omega_1 - \omega_2$. The factor $e^{-i\eta t}$ is needed for convergence. Since only $\Omega \to 0$ contributes for the case of small *v* at finite temperature, a factor $(\omega_1 - \omega_2)^2 = \Omega^2$ can be neglected

in (38), by which it simplifies to

$$
M = i\omega_1\omega_2[(2\langle n_2 \rangle + 1) - (2\langle n_1 \rangle + 1)]\sin(\Omega t). \tag{40}
$$

With this, Eq. (39) is replaced by

$$
\int_0^\infty t e^{-\eta t} \sin(\Omega t) dt \to \frac{\pi}{\Omega} \delta(\Omega), \quad \eta \to 0. \tag{41}
$$

At thermal equilibrium $2\langle n_i \rangle + 1 = \coth(\beta \hbar \omega_i/2)$ by which Eq. (118) of Ref. $[16]$ will be as before:

$$
\coth\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta\hbar\omega_1\right) - \coth\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta\hbar\omega_2\right) \to \frac{\frac{1}{2}\beta\hbar\Omega}{\sinh^2(\frac{1}{2}\beta\hbar\omega_1)},
$$

$$
\omega_2 \to \omega_1.
$$
 (42)

Finally, for finite temperature, the friction force (32) is ob-tained by multiplying Eq. (42) with [\(41\)](#page-3-0), the factor $-i\omega_1\omega_2$ from (40) , the $1/(2i)$ and *D* from (37) , and the **G** from (30) . By that result, (I19) of Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0) multiplied with $\omega_1 \omega_2 \rightarrow \omega_1^2$ is obtained:

$$
\mathbf{F_f} = -\frac{\pi \beta \hbar^2 (\nabla \psi)(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \psi)}{8m_1 m_2 \sinh^2(\frac{1}{2}\beta \hbar \omega_1)} \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_2).
$$
 (43)

The extra factor with frequency squared reflects the difference in dimension of the ψ in interactions [\(27\)](#page-3-0) and [\(28\)](#page-3-0).

VI. POLARIZABLE PARTICLES

Although interactions [\(6\)](#page-1-0) and [\(7\)](#page-1-0) give repulsive Casimir force, the friction force (43) still has the proper sign for a braking force. The magnitude of the force, however, has the same form as for the attractive situation. The only modification besides a different ψ is an extra ω_1^2 factor that reflects the ζ of expression [\(3\)](#page-1-0). Now result (43) can be extended to a pair of polarizable magnetic and dielectric particles following the development of Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0), whose equations will be designated with the numeral II. The main change is the form of the interaction that can be written in the form

$$
-AF(t) = \psi_{ij}\dot{s}_{1i}s_{2j}, \quad \left(\sum_{ij}\right). \tag{44}
$$

With [\(28\)](#page-3-0), there is also an $s_{1i}s_{2i}$ term, but all weight can be put on only one of them as concluded below Eq. [\(8\)](#page-1-0).

By introducing magnetic and electric polarizabilities α_1 and α_2 , respectively, one has for isotropic particles $1/m_i =$ $\omega_i^2 \alpha_i$ as mentioned in Eq. (II43) of Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0). [This is consistent with the Fourier transform of the response function (II21) used in Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0). Note that the meaning of α is here and henceforth different from that in the previous sections.] The friction force (43) in the *l* direction then gets the form

$$
F_{fl} = -G_{lq}v_q H \frac{\pi \beta \omega_1^2}{2} \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_2),\tag{45}
$$

which is Eq. (II43) from Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0) with the additional factor ω_1^2 . The *H* is given by (II40) [\[17\]](#page-7-0)

$$
H = \frac{\hbar^2 \omega_1 \omega_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2}{4 \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta \hbar \omega_1\right) \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta \hbar \omega_2\right)}.
$$
(46)

The explicit form of the interaction follows from expression [\(6\)](#page-1-0)

$$
\psi_{ij}\dot{s}_{1i}s_{2j} = -\frac{1}{cr^2}(\dot{s}_1 \times \hat{r})s_2 = \frac{1}{cr^3}\varepsilon_{kij}x_k \dot{s}_{1i}s_{2j}.
$$
 (47)

(The symbol ε_{kij} equals 1 for kij in cyclic order, -1 in opposite order, and 0 otherwise.) The gradient Eq. (II26) of Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0) of the interaction is needed:

$$
T_{lij} = \frac{\partial \psi_{ij}}{\partial x_l} = \frac{1}{c} \left(\frac{\delta_{lk}}{r^3} - \frac{3x_l x_k}{r^5} \right) \varepsilon_{kij}.
$$
 (48)

Since the various components of the dipole moments are independent of each other $(\langle s_{li} s_{lj} \rangle = 0, i \neq j, l = 1, 2)$, as expressed by Eq. $(III9)$ [\[17\]](#page-7-0), one with Eq. (48) inserted modifies Eq. (II28) [\[17\]](#page-7-0) to

$$
G_{lq} = T_{lij} T_{qij} = \frac{2}{c^2} \left(\frac{\delta_{lq}}{r^6} + \frac{3x_l x_q}{r^8} \right).
$$
 (49)

This inserted in expression (45) gives the friction between the two oscillators. It extends Eq. (II43) from Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0) in a simple way to the present situation.

VII. FRICTION BETWEEN A PARTICLE AND A HALF-SPACE AND BETWEEN TWO HALF-SPACES

The result for a pair of particles can be extended in a straightforward way to the situation with a particle and a halfspace and to two parallel half-spaces by use of the equations of Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0). Low particle density is assumed in this section by which forces are additive.

Assume that the half-space is located at $z \ge z_0$ such that its surface is parallel to the *xy* plane at vertical position $z = z_0$. The dielectric particle, located at $z = 0$, moves with constant velocity v along the x axis. Only G_{11} is needed, and with expression (49) one finds

$$
G_h = \rho \int_{z > z_0} G_{11} dx dy dz = \frac{\pi \rho}{2c^2 z_0^3}
$$
 (50)

to replace result (II37) from Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0). Here, ρ is the number density of particles.

For two parallel half-spaces with particle densities ρ_1 and ρ_2 and separated by a distance *d*, the friction force per unit area follows by use of Eq. (II38) from Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0), which in the present case gives

$$
G = \rho_2 \int_d^{\infty} G_h dz_0 = \frac{\pi}{4c^2 d^2} \rho_1 \rho_2.
$$
 (51)

Altogether with Eq. (45) , the friction force between a particle and a half-space becomes

$$
F_h = -G_h v \omega_1^2 H \frac{\pi \beta}{2} \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_2),\tag{52}
$$

and likewise between two half-spaces the friction force per unit area becomes

$$
F = -Gv\omega_1^2 H \frac{\pi \beta}{2} \delta(\omega_1 - \omega_2). \tag{53}
$$

These results are the extension of results (II44) and (II45) from Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0). (The *v* by mistake is missing in the reference.) Compared with the results of Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0), factors $1/z_0^2$ or $1/d^2$ are replaced by the factor $(\omega_1/c)^2$ besides a slightly different numerical factor.

VIII. GENERAL POLARIZABILITY

For a pair of oscillators with sharp frequencies ω_1 and ω_2 , the result (45) along with results (49) , (52) , and (53) are rather singular due to the δ function. However, for realistic oscillators with frequency spectra, this singular behavior disappears. With Eqs. (II46)–(II48) from Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0), a polarizability α_{aK} = $h(K^2)$ ($a = 1, 2, K = i\hbar\omega$) has a frequency spectrum $\alpha_a(m^2)$ such that [\[17,26\]](#page-7-0)

$$
h(K^{2}) = \int \frac{\alpha_{a}(m^{2})m^{2}}{K^{2} + m^{2}} d(m^{2}),
$$
 (54)

$$
\alpha_a(m^2)m^2 = -\frac{1}{\pi}\text{Im}[h(-m^2 + i\gamma)],
$$

\n
$$
m = \hbar\omega, \quad \gamma \to 0 + .
$$
\n(55)

Integrations over the frequencies give integrals (II49) and (II50) from Ref. [\[17\]](#page-7-0) with an extra factor ω^2 [$\int \delta(\omega_1 \omega_2$) $d(m_2^2)$] $d(m_1^2) = 4(\hbar \omega_1)^2 d\omega_1$]:

$$
H_0 = \frac{\pi \beta}{2} \int \omega^2 \frac{m^4 \alpha_1(m^2) \alpha_2(m^2)}{\sinh^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\beta m\right)} d\omega.
$$
 (56)

With this and Eqs. (45) , (52) , and (53) , the various forces (II51) from Ref. [17] are replaced by

$$
F_{fl} = -G_{lq}v_qH_0
$$
, $F_h = -G_h vH_0$, $F = -GvH_0$. (57)

IX. FRICTION AT FINITE AND ZERO TEMPERATURE

At $T = 0$, the friction forces (57), linear in *v*, vanish. However, for higher order in v , there will be nonzero friction also in this case as energy excitations with $\omega_2 \neq \omega_1$ become possible. To obtain it, we follow the development of Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0) where energy dissipation is utilized with basis in the response function [\(29\)](#page-3-0). There, this method was used both for finite and zero temperatures, and we do the same here to first recover the friction force (57) with (56) inserted for two half-spaces. Further, to obtain explicit answers, we use the frequency distribution for a metal for the dielectric half-space and assume it to be of the same form also for the magnetic one as a specific case.

The situation with two half-spaces is considered where Fourier transform is utilized in the *x* and *y* directions. Then, $\nabla \rightarrow -i\mathbf{k}_{\perp}, d\mathbf{k}_{\perp} = dk_x dk_y, \mathbf{v} \parallel \mathbf{k}_{\perp}.$ With the present interac-tion [\(47\)](#page-4-0), one has $(k \rightarrow p)$

$$
\psi_{ij} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \varepsilon_{pij} \tag{58}
$$

with Fourier transform (in three dimensions)

$$
c\tilde{\psi}_{ij} = ik_p \tilde{\psi} \varepsilon_{pij}, \quad \tilde{\psi} = \frac{4\pi}{k^2}.
$$
 (59)

Likewise, the transform of [\(48\)](#page-4-0) is

$$
c\tilde{T}_{lij} = k_l k_p \tilde{\psi} \varepsilon_{pij}.
$$
 (60)

The G_{lq} of [\(49\)](#page-4-0) is integrated over **r**-space. This can be converted to **k**-space with use of both **k** and −**k** in the product of the two \tilde{T} . With (60), this gives

$$
c^2 \tilde{G}_{lq} = c^2 \tilde{T}_{lij} \tilde{T}_{qij} = k_l k_q k_p k_m \tilde{\psi}^2 \varepsilon_{pij} \varepsilon_{mij} = 2k_l k_q k^2 \tilde{\psi}^2. \tag{61}
$$

Now the transform should be limited to the *xy* plane by which [\[19\]](#page-7-0)

$$
\tilde{\psi} \to \hat{\psi}(z_0, k_\perp) = \frac{2\pi e^{-q|z_0|}}{q},\tag{62}
$$

where $q = k_{\perp}, k_{\perp}^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2, z_0 = z_2 - z_1$. This is Eq. (III37), where the numeral III here and below designates the equations of Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0). With $\pm ik_z = q$ for $z > 0$ and $\pm ik_z = -q$ for $z < 0$ one finds Eq. (III40)

$$
-ik_jik_j = k_x^2 + k_y^2 + (\pm q)^2 = k_{\perp}^2 + q^2 = 2q^2, \qquad (63)
$$

by which we can write

$$
c^{2}\hat{G}_{11} = c^{2}\hat{G}_{xx} = \frac{1}{2}q^{2}\hat{G}(z_{0}, q), \quad \hat{G}(z_{0}, q) = 4q^{2}\hat{\psi}^{2}, \quad (64)
$$

since by integration over orientations the average is $\langle k_x^2 \rangle =$ $(k_x^2 + k_y^2)/2 = q^2/2$. In the present case, integrals (III43) and (III44) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0) are modified to $(dk_x dk_y = 2\pi q dq)$

$$
\hat{G}(q) = \int_{z_1 > d,} \int_{z_2 < 0} \hat{G}(z_0, q) dz_1 dz_2 = \frac{1}{c^2 q^2} (2\pi)^2 e^{-2qd},
$$
\n(65)

$$
G = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} q^2 \hat{G}(q) 2\pi q \, dq = \frac{\pi \rho_1 \rho_2}{4c^2 d^2},\qquad(66)
$$

by which result (51) is recovered.

To have an explicit result, we may assume the Drude model for a metal to represent the dielectric half-space. Then with Eqs. (III49)–(III51) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0)

$$
\varepsilon = 1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{\zeta(\zeta + \nu)}, \quad 2\pi \rho_1 \alpha \to \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 1},
$$

$$
m^2 \alpha_1(m^2) = D_1 m, \quad D_1 = \frac{\hbar \nu}{\rho_1(\pi \hbar \omega_p)^2}
$$
(67)

for small *m*. The ω_p^2 is the plasma frequency and v is a constant related to the resistivity of the metal. The relation between polarizability α and ε , also valid for large ε , was established in Ref. [\[18\]](#page-7-0). Now, we here assume the magnetic half-space has a similar frequency spectrum

$$
m^2\alpha_2(m^2) = D_2m.\tag{68}
$$

With expression (56) for H_0 instead of (III35), integral (III57) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0) is modified into

$$
H_0 = \frac{\pi \beta}{2\hbar} D_1 D_2 \int_0^\infty \frac{m^4 dm}{\sinh^2(\frac{1}{2}\beta m)} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta^4 \hbar} D_1 D_2 I,\qquad(69)
$$

$$
I = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^4 e^{-x} dx}{(1 - e^{-x})^2} = \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty x^4 n e^{-nx} dx
$$

$$
= 4! \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^4} = \frac{4\pi^4}{15}.\qquad(70)
$$

With (57) and (66) , this gives the friction force

$$
F = -\frac{2\pi^6}{15} \left(\frac{d}{\beta c \hbar}\right)^2 \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2 D_1 D_2}{\beta^2 d^4} \hbar v.
$$
 (71)

Compared with result (III59) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0), the main difference is the factor in parentheses, and this factor is very small for reasonable values of d and β .

To obtain the $T = 0$ friction, the response function [\(37\)](#page-3-0) can be written in the form of (III21) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0) since $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ is needed for $T = 0$. With (38) , we find

$$
\phi(t) = C_{-} \sin(\omega_{-}t) + C_{+} \sin(\omega_{+}t), \quad \omega_{\pm} = |\omega_{1} \pm \omega_{2}|,
$$

$$
C_{\pm} = \left(\frac{\omega_{\mp}}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{H}{\hbar} \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta\hbar\omega_{\pm}\right), \tag{72}
$$

with *H* given by [\(46\)](#page-4-0). The $C_$ term determines the $T > 0$ contribution just found above. For $T \to 0$, one finds (III47) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0) modified into

$$
C_{+} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\omega_{-}}{2} \right)^{2} \hbar \omega_{1} \omega_{2} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}.
$$
 (73)

This modifies integral (III48) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0) to

$$
J(\omega_v) = 2\pi \tau |\omega_v| \hbar^3
$$

$$
\times \int_0^{|\omega_v|} \left(\frac{\omega_-}{2}\right)^2 \omega_1 \omega_2 m_1 m_2 \alpha_1(m_1^2) \alpha_2(m_2^2) d\omega_1
$$
 (74)

with $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = \omega_+ = |\omega_v|$, $\omega_v = \mathbf{k}_\perp \mathbf{v}$. The τ is half the time for energy dissipation at velocity *v*. With frequency spectra (67) and (68) , integral (III52) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0) is replaced by

$$
J(\omega_v) = 2\pi \tau |\omega_v| \hbar^3 D_1 D_2 \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{|\omega_v|} (\omega_1 - \omega_2)^2 \omega_1 \omega_2 d\omega_1
$$

= $2\tau \omega_v^6 H_P$, $H_P = \frac{\pi}{120} \hbar^3 D_1 D_2$. (75)

By integration over orientations in the *xy* plane, the integral above (III53) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0) is modified to $(\omega_v = k_x v)$

$$
\int k_x^6 d\phi = k_{\perp}^6 \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^6 \phi d\phi = 2\pi q^6 \frac{5}{16}, \quad (q = k_{\perp}),
$$
\n(76)

such that k_x^6 can be replaced by $5q^6/16$ by which

$$
J(\omega_v) = 2\tau v^6 H_P \frac{5}{16} q^6. \tag{77}
$$

With Eq. [\(65\)](#page-5-0) for $\hat{G}(q)$ integral (III54) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0) is now modified to

$$
G_P = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{5}{16} q^6 \hat{G}(q) 2\pi q \, dq = \frac{75\pi}{64c^2 d^6} \rho_1 \rho_2. \tag{78}
$$

In the present case, the energy dissipation (III55) becomes $\Delta E_P = 2\tau H_P v^6 G_P$ by which the friction force per unit area at temperature $T = 0$ now replaces result (III56) with

$$
F_P = -\frac{\Delta E}{2\tau v} = -\frac{5\pi^2}{512d^6} \left(\frac{v}{c}\right)^2 \rho_1 \rho_2 D_1 D_2 (\hbar v)^3. \tag{79}
$$

Here the main difference from result (III56) from Ref. [\[19\]](#page-7-0) is the very small factor $(v/c)^2$. This is similar to the finite temperature result (71) , where another very small factor appeared for the same system with a magnetic half-space moving parallel to a dielectric one.

X. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The basic microscopical system that we have analyzed is an oscillating electric dipole transversely oriented with respect to an analogous magnetic dipole. This is extended to isotropic polarizable particles. In the quasistatic approximation, the magnetic field created by an electric current according to Biot-Savart's law is used. The quasistatic approximation implies that the static approximation used in most of our earlier works in this area [\[14–21\]](#page-7-0) can be generalized and extended to the magnetodielectric case in a natural way. A characteristic property of the calculated force expressions is that they are heavily truncated relative to the ordinary friction forces valid for purely dielectric media.

The very small friction relative to the case with both halfspaces dielectric can be understood from the magnetic field [\(4\)](#page-1-0). Compared with the electric field created by the same dipole moment, it is reduced by a factor $r\zeta$. The characteristic length of the system is $r \sim d$, and at finite temperature the excitation energies to be transferred between the oscillators are $\hbar \omega \sim 1/\beta$ (ζ = *i*ω/*c*). Thus, *r*ζ ∼ *d*/(β*ch*), the square of which appears in result [\(71\)](#page-5-0). Likewise for $T = 0$, energy transfer can take place by excitations from the ground state with energies $\hbar \omega \sim \hbar \omega_v$, $\omega_v = \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \mathbf{v}$, i.e., $\omega \sim k_{\perp} v$. Further, *rk*_⊥ ∼ *dk*_⊥ ∼ 1 by which *r* ζ ∼ *v*/*c*, the square of which appears in result (79).

The corresponding results with both half-spaces dielectric have earlier been shown to be consistent with other approaches with full agreement or with some deviations restricted to numerical prefactors [1[,18,19\]](#page-7-0).

We have made use of quantum mechanical statistical methods throughout, as in our earlier works. These methods turn out to be compact and effective.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge financial support from the Research Council of Norway, Project No. 250346.

- [1] K. A. Milton, J. S. Høye, and I. Brevik, [Symmetry](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym8050029) **[8](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym8050029)**, [29](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym8050029) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.3390/sym8050029).
- [2] M. G. Silveirinha, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/6/063011) **[16](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/6/063011)**, [063011](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/6/063011) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/6/063011).
- [3] G. V. Dedkov and A. A. Kyasov, [Phys. Usp.](https://doi.org/10.3367/UFNe.2016.12.038006) **[60](https://doi.org/10.3367/UFNe.2016.12.038006)**, [559](https://doi.org/10.3367/UFNe.2016.12.038006) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.3367/UFNe.2016.12.038006).
- [4] G. V. Dedkov and A. A. Kyasov, Fiz. Tverd. Tela **60**, 2311 (2018) [\[Phys. Solid State](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063783418120119) **[60](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063783418120119)**, [2349](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063783418120119) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063783418120119)].
- [5] J. B. Pendry, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/9/47/001) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/9/47/001)**, [10301](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/9/47/001) [\(1997\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/9/47/001).
- [6] G. Barton, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113044) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113044)**, [113044](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113044) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113044).
- [7] G. Barton, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/35/335004) **[23](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/35/335004)**, [335004](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/35/335004) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/35/335004).
- [8] F. Intravaia, R. O. Behunin, and D. A. R. Dalvit, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.050101) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.050101)**, [050101\(R\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.050101) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.050101).
- [9] F. Intravaia, V. E. Mkrtchian, S. Buhmann, S. Schell, D. A. R. Dalvit, and C. Henkel, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214020) **[27](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214020)**, [214020](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214020) [\(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214020).
- [10] F. Intravaia, R. O. Behunin, C. Henkel, K. Busch, and D. A. R. Dalvit, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.100402) **[117](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.100402)**, [100402 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.100402).
- [11] A. I. Volokitin and B. N. J. Persson, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1291) **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1291)**, [1291](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1291) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.1291).
- [12] T. H. Boyer, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.9.2078) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.9.2078)**, [2078](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.9.2078) [\(1974\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.9.2078).
- [13] I. Brevik, P. Parashar, and K. V. Shajesh, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.032509) **[98](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.032509)**, [032509](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.032509) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.032509).
- [14] J. S. Høye and I. Brevik, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.022503) **[98](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.022503)**, [022503](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.022503) [\(2018\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.022503).
- [15] J. S. Høye and I. Brevik, [Physica A \(Amsterdam, Neth.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(92)90098-B) **[181](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(92)90098-B)**, [413](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(92)90098-B) [\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(92)90098-B).
- [16] J. S. Høye and I. Brevik, [Europhys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/91/60003) **[91](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/91/60003)**, [60003](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/91/60003) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/91/60003).
- [17] J. S. Høye and I. Brevik, [Eur. Phys. J. D](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2012-30033-5) **[66](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2012-30033-5)**, [149](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2012-30033-5) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2012-30033-5).
- [18] J. S. Høye and I. Brevik, [Entropy](https://doi.org/10.3390/e15083045) **[15](https://doi.org/10.3390/e15083045)**, [3045](https://doi.org/10.3390/e15083045) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.3390/e15083045).
- [19] J. S. Høye and I. Brevik, [Eur. Phys. J. D](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2014-40766-6) **[68](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2014-40766-6)**, [61](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2014-40766-6) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2014-40766-6).
- [20] J. S. Høye and I. Brevik, [Physica A \(Amsterdam, Neth.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(93)90602-Z) **[196](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(93)90602-Z)**, [241](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(93)90602-Z) [\(1993\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(93)90602-Z).
- [21] I. Brevik and J. S. Høye, [Physica A \(Amsterdam, Neth.\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(88)90233-6) **[153](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(88)90233-6)**, [420](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(88)90233-6) [\(1988\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(88)90233-6).
- [22] R. Zhao, J. Zhou, T. Koschny, E. N. Economou, and C. M. Soukoulis, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.103602) **[103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.103602)**, [103602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.103602) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.103602).
- [23] V. V. Nesterenko and A. V. Nesterenko, [JETP Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1134/S0021364014100087) **[99](https://doi.org/10.1134/S0021364014100087)**, [581](https://doi.org/10.1134/S0021364014100087) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1134/S0021364014100087).
- [24] R. Kubo, in *Lectures in Theoretical Physics, Boulder 1958*, edited by W. E. Brittin and L. G. Dunham (Interscience, New York, 1959), Vol. I.
- [25] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics*, 3rd ed., Part 1 (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1985).
- [26] J. S. Høye and K. Olaussen, [J. Chem. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.444131) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.444131)**, [2583](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.444131) [\(1982\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.444131).