# **Field-induced ferromagnetic phase transition in two-dimensional Fermi systems with magnetic dipole-dipole interaction**

G. H. Bordbar,  $^{1,2}$  F. Pouresmaeeli,<sup>1</sup> and A. Poostforush<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Physics Department, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran\**

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1*

(Received 9 July 2018; published 31 August 2018)

Magnetic properties of two-dimensional (2D) spin-polarized Fermi gas with dipole-dipole interaction are studied in the presence of external magnetic field at zero temperature. Within perturbation theory and the second quantization formalism, the total energy is explicitly obtained as a function of three dimensionless parameters: the spin polarization, dipolar coupling, and Zeeman parameters. We examine the effects of these agents on the magnetic properties of 2D Fermi gas. The results show that an induced ferromagnetic phase transition is observed only for adequately large values of magnetic field. This paper offers two controllable factors to change the spin polarization of the system.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.98.023634](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.023634)

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

Fermi gas as one of the fundamental models in many-body physics has been investigated in condensed matter for decades  $[1-3]$ . In this model, the long-range interactions between fermions determine various observed physical phenomena [\[4,5\]](#page-5-0). Due to the realization of the Bose-Einstein condensate for  $53$ Cr atoms [\[6\]](#page-5-0), the dipole-dipole interaction (DDI) has attracted considerable attention. Unlike the Coulomb interaction, dipole-dipole interaction is a noncentral interaction of which both strength and sign can be controlled [\[7\]](#page-5-0).

In Fermi systems, magnetic dipole moments (or spin of particles) interact via dipole-dipole interaction with anisotropic and long-range characteristics which decay faster than the Coulomb interaction at large distance. Due to its particular nature, the DDI has opened perspectives to examine manybody systems [\[8,9\]](#page-5-0). The DDI appears in a strong interaction with highly magnetic fermionic atoms such as  ${}^{53}Cr$  [\[6,10\]](#page-5-0),  $^{167}$ Er [\[11\]](#page-5-0), and  $^{161}$ Dy or  $^{163}$ Dy [\[12\]](#page-5-0). Various associated effects have been discussed in the theoretical literature. These include stability and excitations of dipolar gases [\[13](#page-5-0)[,14\]](#page-6-0), superfluidity in bilayer and multilayer systems [\[15–17\]](#page-6-0), and topological superfluidity in two-dimensional (2D) systems [\[18,19\]](#page-6-0).

In recent years, numerous theoretical studies have been done to clarify the characteristics of the DDI. The magnetic properties of nucleonic systems with tensor force which has a similar structure to the DDI were determined on the basis of Landau–Fermi-liquid theory [\[20,21\]](#page-6-0). In addition, its impact on Fermi systems with spin degrees of freedom was examined via variant approaches. The ground-state energy of threedimensional (3D) Fermi gas with spin-1*/*2 dipolar atoms was found as a function of the average interparticle distance by Mahanti and coworkers [\[22–24\]](#page-6-0) employing the Hartree-Fock approach by considering the spheroid occupation function. Subsequently, theoretical studies on the 3D Fermi gas with

dipolar and short-range interactions were carried out within the Hartree-Fock approximation. Especially, Fregoso *et al.*[\[25,26\]](#page-6-0) showed that biaxial nematic and ferronematic phases occurred in Fermi gases of dipolar atoms. Based on the perturbation theory, the magnetic properties of Fermi gas with dipole force were also achieved theoretically at zero temperature [\[27\]](#page-6-0). On the other hand, the stability of unpolarized 3D dipolar Fermi gas was studied through mean-field theory [\[28\]](#page-6-0). In our earlier paper [\[29\]](#page-6-0), the DDI energy of 3D electron gas was obtained by microscopic analysis. In that paper, it was shown that the energy of this system was presented by the summation of all energy contributions of the states with opposite parity.

Several efforts have been made to study the ground-state properties of 2D dipolar Fermi gas. At weak coupling, the Fermi-liquid properties of 2D dipolar Fermi gas were computed applying perturbation theory by Lu and Shlyapnikov [\[30\]](#page-6-0). These authors expressed thermodynamic quantities as a power series up to second order in the dimensionless dipolar parameter. In another theoretical study, Matveeva and Giorgini [\[31\]](#page-6-0) employed the quantum Monte Carlo method to obtain the numerical results for the phase diagram of dipolar Fermi gas over a wide range of dipolar parameters. Additionally, within the Euler-Lagrange Fermi-hypernetted-chain approximation, theoretical studies on the ground-state properties of dipolar Fermi fluid were performed by Abedinpour *et al.* [\[32\]](#page-6-0).

Since the polarization rate of the system is very sensitive to the strength of the magnetic field, controlling the magnitude of the external field becomes consequential. Moreover, sudden changes in the response function to the magnetic field lead to the emergence of phase transition. Therefore, the magnetic field acts as an effective factor for creating the ferromagnetic order and tuning the spin polarization of the system. Despite numerous studies on the effect of DDI in Fermi systems, including dipolar Fermi gas, there have been no reviews yet to investigate the effect of external magnetic field on these polarized systems. In the present paper, we investigate the magnetic properties of a two-dimensional polarized Fermi gas with spin 1*/*2 at zero temperature. The chargeless Fermionic particles

<sup>\*</sup>Permanent address.

interacting via the dipole-dipole interaction are subjected to an external uniform magnetic field along the *z* axis. Employing the perturbation theory, the analytic expression for the groundstate energy in the weak-coupling regime is obtained. The numerical results are in reasonable agreement with theoretical calculations. In this approach based on the second quantization formalism, the DDI energy is represented as a sum of partial energies with even and odd quantum numbers. Finally, the effects of magnetic field and dipolar parameter on the magnetic properties of the system are reported.

# **II. MODEL AND THEORY**

We consider a uniform 2D Fermi gas consisting of *N* fermionic particles with mass *m*, spin 1*/*2, and magnetic dipole moment  $\mathbf{d} = d_0 \mathbf{S}$ , where **S** is the spin operator and  $d_0$  is the strength of the magnetic dipole moment. By applying the uniform magnetic field along the *z* direction, the spin-polarized system subsequently consists of *n*<sup>+</sup> parallel and *n*<sup>−</sup> antiparallel spins with respect to the magnetic-field direction where  $n_{\sigma}$ denotes the number density for spin  $\sigma = +, -$ .

The spin polarization as the most used parameter is defined as

$$
\xi = \frac{n_+ - n_-}{n},\tag{1}
$$

where  $-1 \le \xi \le 1$ , and  $n = n_+ + n_-$  is the total number density of the system.

For this system, the total Hamiltonian is described as

$$
\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{d}_i \cdot \mathbf{B} + \hat{H}_{d-d},
$$
 (2)

where the first and the second terms are the kinetic energy and Zeeman energy of the Fermi particles.

The magnetic dipole-dipole interaction between two particles with dipole moments  $\mathbf{d}_i$  and  $\mathbf{d}_j$  is as follows:

$$
\hat{H}_{d-d} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{d}_i \cdot \mathbf{d}_j - 3(\mathbf{d}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})(\mathbf{d}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}})}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^3}.
$$
 (3)

In the above equation,  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}/|\mathbf{r}|$  are the relative position of particles and its unit vector, respectively. For this interacting many-body system, the dipolar interaction is presented in the second quantization formalism [\[33\]](#page-6-0) for any quantum state (denoted by  $\beta \equiv \mathbf{k}, \sigma$ ) as follows:

$$
\hat{H}_{dd} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_4} \langle \beta_1, \beta_2 | \hat{V}_{dd} | \beta_3, \beta_4 \rangle \ a_{\beta_1}^{\dagger} a_{\beta_2}^{\dagger} a_{\beta_4} a_{\beta_3}. \tag{4}
$$

In the above equation, the two-body dipolar interaction is given by

$$
\hat{V}_{dd} = \frac{C_{dd}}{4\pi} \frac{\hat{S}_{12}}{|\mathbf{r}|^3},\tag{5}
$$

where

$$
C_{dd} = \mu_0 d_0^2, \quad \hat{S}_{12} = (\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2) - 3(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{\hat{r}})(\mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \mathbf{\hat{r}}). \tag{6}
$$

For a 2D Fermi gas, one can calculate the matrix elements of Eq. (4) with respect to the single-particle wave function expressed by a plane-wave function and spin state with *z* component,  $\chi_{\sigma}$ , in the surface area of *A*:

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{k}, \sigma \rangle = A^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \chi_{\sigma}.
$$
 (7)

Using the following variables, the two-body system can be expressed in the center-of-mass and relative coordinates:

$$
\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \quad \mathbf{K}' = \mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4, \quad \kappa = \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}{2},
$$
  

$$
\kappa' = \frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4}{2}.
$$
 (8)

Regarding the singularity of dipolar interaction at the origin, we use the expansion of plane waves in terms of cylindrical waves to simplify the calculations:

$$
e^{i\,\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} i^{-m} J_m(k_\rho \rho) e^{-im\phi_k} e^{im\phi}.
$$
 (9)

In Eq. (4), the two-body matrix elements are obtained as

$$
V(\kappa, \kappa') = \langle \mathbf{k}_1 \sigma_1, \mathbf{k}_2 \sigma_2 | \hat{V}_{dd} | \mathbf{k}_3 \sigma_3, \mathbf{k}_4 \sigma_4 \rangle
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{2\pi}{A} \frac{C_{dd}}{4\pi} \sum_{\substack{SM_s \\ S'M'_s}} F(\kappa, \kappa') V_{m, SM_s; m', S'M'_s}
$$
  

$$
\times \left\{ C_{SM_s}^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} C_{S'M'_s}^{\sigma_3 \sigma_4} \right\} \delta_{\mathbf{KK'}} , \tag{10}
$$

where

$$
F(\kappa, \kappa') = \sum_{m,m'} e^{im\phi_{\kappa}} e^{-im'\phi_{\kappa'}}
$$
  
 
$$
\times \int i^{m-m'} J_m(\kappa \rho) \frac{1}{\rho^3} J_{m'}(\kappa' \rho) \rho \, d\rho. \quad (11)
$$

In this expression,  $J_m(\kappa \rho)$  is the Bessel function of order *m* with wave number *κ* and  $C_{SM_s}^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}$  is the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient corresponding to the addition of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ . Also  $V_{m,SM_s;m',S'M'_s} = \langle m, SM_s | \hat{S}_{12} | m', S'M'_s \rangle$  are the matrix elements of  $\hat{S}_{12}$ . Accordingly, the two-body dipolar Hamiltonian in the second quantization form is found as

$$
\hat{H}_{dd} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1\sigma_2\\ \sigma_3\sigma_4}} V(\kappa, \kappa') a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\sigma_1}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q},\sigma_2}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p},\sigma_4} a_{\mathbf{k},\sigma_3}, \quad (12)
$$

where

$$
\kappa' = \frac{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}}{2}, \quad \kappa = \kappa' + \mathbf{q}.\tag{13}
$$

The ground-state properties of dipolar Fermi gas are characterized by three parameters: spin polarization *ξ* , the dimensionless dipolar coupling parameter *λ*, and the dimensionless Zeeman parameter  $\Lambda$ . The dipolar and Zeeman parameters can be expressed as

$$
\lambda = \frac{C_{dd}}{8\pi \varepsilon_F} k_F{}^3, \quad \Lambda = \frac{2 d_0 B}{\varepsilon_F} \tag{14}
$$

where  $\varepsilon_F$  and  $k_F = \sqrt{4\pi n}$  are the Fermi energy and Fermi wave number of full-polarized 2D Fermi gas, respectively.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>For a small dipolar parameter, the kinetic energy has a dominant effect compared to dipole-dipole interaction. In other words, the DDI interaction behaves as a perturbative effect at weak coupling. Under the aforementioned condition, the perturbation theory can be applied as an appropriate method to calculate the first-order energy. Consequently, one can compute the DDI energy by computing the expectation value of the Hamiltonian in the normalized ground state,  $|F\rangle$ :

$$
E^{(1)} = \langle F|\hat{H}_{d-d}|F\rangle.
$$
 (15)

The normalized ground state is only occupied by states with momenta less than the Fermi wave number. Therefore, the following conditions should be taken into account:

$$
\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}, \quad \sigma_1 = \sigma_4, \quad \sigma_2 = \sigma_3. \tag{16}
$$

This makes it possible to reach the nonzero value of the dipolar energy. It is also worth mentioning that the direct term  $(q = 0)$ has no contribution in the two-body energy.

At zero temperature, the distribution function of particles with spin  $\sigma$  corresponding to a circular Fermi surface is given by

$$
n_{\sigma, \mathbf{k}} = \langle a_{\sigma \mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} a_{\sigma \mathbf{k}} \rangle = \Theta(k_{F\sigma} - k) \tag{17}
$$

where  $\Theta(x)$  is the step function.

The Fermi wave number can be determined through the expectation value of the number operator:

$$
n_{\sigma} = A^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \langle a_{\sigma \mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} a_{\sigma \mathbf{k}} \rangle
$$
  
=  $A^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Theta(k_{F\sigma} - |\mathbf{k}|) = \frac{k_{F\sigma}^2}{4\pi}.$  (18)

The resulting expression for the DDI energy is given as

$$
E^{(1)} = -\frac{C_{dd}}{4\pi A} \sum_{\mathbf{kq}} \sum_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{SM_s} \frac{2q(-1)^{1-S+m}}{4m^2 - 1} \times |C_{SM_s}^{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}|^2 V_{SM_s;SM_s} \Theta(k_{F\sigma_1} - |\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}|) \Theta(k_{F\sigma_2} - |\mathbf{k}|). \tag{19}
$$

Substituting Eq. (18) into Eq. (19), and employing the diagonal matrix elements of  $\hat{S}_{12}$  and the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, the first-order perturbation energy of DDI can be written as

$$
E^{(1)} = \frac{C_{dd}}{4\pi} \frac{A}{16\pi} \left[ \frac{128}{45\pi} \left( k_{F+}^5 + k_{F-}^5 \right) - 2k_F^5 \{ I(\xi) + h(\xi) \} \right],\tag{20}
$$

where

$$
I(\xi) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi k_F^5} \sum_{\sigma = +, -} \int_{|k_{F+} - k_{F-}|}^{k_{F+} + k_{F-}} 2q^2 \left[ k_{F\sigma}^2 \arccos\left(\frac{k_{F\sigma}}{q_{\sigma}}\right) - q_{\sigma} \sqrt{k_{F\sigma}^2 - q_{\sigma}^2} \right] dq, \tag{21}
$$

$$
h(\xi) \equiv \frac{2}{3k_F^5} \begin{cases} k_{F-}^2 (k_{F+} - k_{F-})^3 & k_{F+} > k_{F-} \\ k_{F+}^2 (k_{F-} - k_{F+})^3 & k_{F+} < k_{F-} \end{cases}
$$
 (22)

$$
q_{\pm} = \frac{q^2 \pm k_{F+}^2 \mp k_{F-}^2}{2q},\tag{23}
$$

$$
k_{F\pm}^2 = 2\pi n (1 \pm \xi). \tag{24}
$$

The contributions of kinetic energy and Zeeman energy per particle are also given as

$$
\frac{E^{(0)}}{N} = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi n}{2m} (1 + \xi^2), \quad \frac{E_M}{N} = -d_o B \xi. \tag{25}
$$

Therefore, the total energy per particle of 2D Fermi gas with the dipole-dipole interaction in terms of the dimensionless parameters can be expressed as

$$
\frac{E}{N} = \frac{E^{(0)}}{N} + \frac{E_M}{N} + \frac{E^{(1)}}{N}
$$
\n
$$
= \varepsilon_0 \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 + \xi^2) - \Lambda \xi + \lambda \left\{ \frac{128}{45\pi} \left[ \left( \frac{1 + \xi}{2} \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} + \left( \frac{1 - \xi}{2} \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} \right] - 2[I(\xi) + h(\xi)] \right\} \right)
$$
\n(26)

where  $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\varepsilon_F}{2} = \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{4m} = \frac{\hbar^2 \pi n}{m}$ .

In Eq.  $(26)$ , the second term in the bracket reaches zero value when the 2D Fermi gas is fully polarized. Therefore, the circular Fermi surface is a suitable approximation for this case. It should be noted that the deformation of the Fermi surface stems from the anisotropic nature of dipole-dipole interaction. Consequently, it is expected that by considering the deformation in the distribution function the value of DDI energy is changed slightly.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The total energy per particle [Eq.  $(26)$ ] is calculated to compute the magnetic properties of spin-polarized 2D Fermi gas with the dipole-dipole interaction subjected to an external magnetic field. In this section, the numerical results are presented.

In Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) the total energy per particle of 2D Fermi gas versus spin-polarization parameter for various values of  $\Lambda$  at  $\lambda = 0.5$  is plotted. It can be seen that the energy is reduced with increasing the Zeeman parameter, and the 2D Fermi gas becomes more stable. It is obvious that the energy slightly changes for the Zeeman parameters with values less than about 0.5, and consequently the corresponding magnetic field does not have a substantial influence. Furthermore, the symmetry of total energy with respect to spin polarization is broken in the presence of magnetic field, and the minimum value of the energy of the system occurs at the nonzero value of the spin-polarization parameter in  $0 < \xi \leq 1$ . At  $\lambda = 0.5$ , we have found that for the Zemman parameter  $\Lambda \lesssim 2.0$  the value of spin polarization corresponding to the minimum point of the energy is less than  $+1$ , and so the system is partially polarized.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 1. The total energy per particle (in units of  $\varepsilon_0$ ) vs spinpolarization parameter for various values of  $\Lambda$  at  $\lambda = 0.5$ .

However, the minimum energy (ground-state energy) happens at the polarization of  $+1$  for larger values of the Zeeman parameter, and the system reaches the saturation point. This means that the ferromagnetic state is available, and 2D Fermi gas is fully polarized. It should be noted that this threshold limit of the Zeeman parameter increases as the dipolar parameter enhances.

The partial DDI energy per particle of spin-polarized Fermi gas corresponding to the azimuthal quantum number, *m*, at  $\lambda = 0.5$  and  $\Lambda = 1$  is listed in Table I. The DDI energy is found to be a negative (positive) value for the even (odd) values of  $m$ , except in the case of  $m = 0$ .

Invariance of the DDI against the spatial inversion leads to the conservation of the parity, where the spatial wave functions with even (odd) azimuthal quantum numbers have even (odd) parity. The factor (−1)*<sup>m</sup>* in Eq. [\(19\)](#page-2-0), indicating the parities of the system, confirms this result. This behavior has been also reported for tensor force in two-nucleon systems. As can be expected, with an increase in values of *m*, the magnitude of DDI energy decreases, and it approaches a specific value.

The ground-state energy per particle of polarized 2D Fermi gas versus dipolar parameter is shown for some values of Zeeman parameter in Fig. 2. It is seen that with enhancement of dipolar parameter the energy per particle for each Zeeman parameter increases. By increasing the Zeeman parameter, the rate of change of energy increases at each dipolar parameter. This indicates that an increase of the magnitude of magnetic field induces growth of the increasing rate of Zeeman energy.

TABLE I. The partial DDI energy per particle (in units of  $\varepsilon_0$ ) corresponding to the azimuthal quantum number *m*, at  $\lambda = 0.5$  and  $\Lambda = 1.$ 

Azimuthal quantum number $m$	Partial DDI energy per particle
$\theta$	0.079391
$\pm 1$	0.026464
$\pm 2$	$-0.00529$
$\pm$ 3	0.002268
$+4$	$-0.00126$



FIG. 2. The ground-state energy per particle of polarized 2D Fermi gas (in units of  $\varepsilon_0$ ) vs dipolar parameter for some values of Zeeman parameter.

In Fig. 3, the variation of ground-state energy per particle with respect to the Zeeman parameter for different values of the dipolar parameter is plotted. With an increase of Zeeman parameter up to 0*.*5, the energy nearly remains constant. However, the energy is dropped drastically for values of  $\Lambda \geq 0.5$ . This behavior reveals that the magnetic field is an ˜ efficient factor in this range. It is also seen that with the growth of the dipolar parameter the magnitude of energy per particle increases for a distinct Zeeman parameter.

For the equilibrium state, the spin-polarization parameter (or dimensionless magnetization) as a function of dipolar parameter for various Zeeman parameters is presented in Fig. [4.](#page-4-0) It is observed that for each value of  $\Lambda$  the spin polarization increases with decreasing the dipolar parameter. This point stems from the fact that as the dipolar parameter decreases the interparticle distance of fermions increases, leading to a reduction in repulsion between the particles. Therefore, it is not necessary to adhere to the Pauli exclusion principle, and spins tend to orient in parallel. In other words, the probability of existence of the ferromagnetic state is more than that of the paramagnetic state. For small values of Zeeman parameter, the Fermi gas is nearly unpolarized. Conversely, at large values of this parameter, the system has a high polarization even at higher values of *λ*. Consequently, the magnetic field plays a



FIG. 3. The variation of the ground-state energy per particle (in units of  $\varepsilon_0$ ) vs Zeeman parameter for different values of dipolar parameter.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 4. The spin-polarization parameter corresponding to the equilibrium state as a function of dipolar parameter for various Zeeman parameters.

substantial role against the dipolar interaction in polarization of this system.

The variations of kinetic energy, the DDI energy, and Zeeman energy per particle are separately shown with respect to the dipolar parameter at  $\Lambda = 2.5$  in Fig. 5. In the perturbative regime, the contribution of kinetic energy is relatively large in comparison to the contribution of DDI energy. Furthermore, the Zeeman energy substantially has a dominant effect compared to others. The numerical results at  $\lambda \leq 0.8$  which represent a fully polarized Fermi gas in Fig. 4 are in a reasonable agreement with the results of fully polarized 2D dipolar gas reported in Refs. [\[30–32\]](#page-6-0).

In Fig. 6, the influence of Zeeman parameter on the spin-polarization parameter (dimensionless magnetization) at equilibrium state for various values of *λ* is illustrated. For small values of  $\Lambda$ , the spin-polarization parameter almost reaches zero where it demonstrates spin symmetry of 2D Fermi gas. With the growth of Zeeman parameter, 2D Fermi gas can be partially polarized, and the spin polarization of the system is maximized for small values of *λ*. Moreover, the value of the spin-polarization parameter increases rapidly with an increase in Zeeman parameter. This phenomenon reveals the existence of an induced ferromagnetic phase transition in the presence of a strong magnetic field. It should be noted that for any value of dipolar parameter the value of spin polarization



FIG. 5. The variation of kinetic energy, the DDI energy, and Zeeman energy (in units of  $\varepsilon_0$ ) vs dipolar parameter at  $\Lambda = 2.5$ .





FIG. 6. The influence of Zeeman parameter on the spinpolarization parameter at the equilibrium state for various values of *λ*.

corresponding to the large value of  $\Lambda$  is equal to  $+1$ , and the ferromagnetic state becomes more expectable. Accordingly, the magnetic field acts as a symmetry breaking that generates the ferromagnetic order. In addition, the threshold limit of the Zeeman parameter enhances with increasing the dipolar parameter.

The response of a system to the magnetic field, the magnetic susceptibility, is defined as

$$
\chi(n, B) = \left[\frac{\partial M(n, B)}{\partial B}\right]_n.
$$

Figure 7 depicts the dimensionless magnetic susceptibility,  $\chi/\frac{Nd_0^2}{\epsilon_0}$ , as a function of Zeeman parameter at four values of dipolar parameter. It is clear that for each *λ* a maximum point occurs at the specific Zeeman parameter  $(\Lambda_m)$  in this curve. This result is found to be direct evidence for a ferromagnetic phase transition induced by external magnetic field. Moreover, the maximum point of the Zeeman parameter corresponding to the phase transition point clearly depends on the strength of the DDI.

In Fig. [8,](#page-5-0) the phase diagram shows the ferromagnetic and paramagnetic phases separated by a single line. It can be observed that  $\Lambda_m$  enhances monotonically with an increase



FIG. 7. The dimensionless magnetic susceptibility ( $\chi/\frac{Nd_0^2}{\epsilon_0}$ ) as a function of Zeeman parameter at four values of dipolar parameter.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

FIG. 8. Phase diagram for the spin-polarized 2D Fermi gas with dipole-dipole interaction in the presence of magnetic field.

in dipolar parameter. It is concluded that in stronger dipolar coupling the induced phase transition is observed at larger values of magnetic field. To sum up, the results show that the spin polarization of the system can be changed through two agents, the magnetic field and the dipolar coupling parameter. The value of the dipolar coupling parameter can be controlled by number density and particular choices of atoms.

# **IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

In summary, the magnetic characteristics of 2D polarized Fermi gas with the dipole-dipole interaction subjected to an external magnetic field were investigated employing the perturbation theory. In the framework of second quantization formalism, the total energy was calculated in terms of spin polarization, dipolars, and Zeeman parameters. The results of our investigation are quite convincing in comparison with those reported for 2D fully polarized dipolar Fermi gas [\[30–32\]](#page-6-0).

- [1] D. Ceperley, Ground state of the fermion one-component [plasma: A Monte Carlo study in two and three dimensions,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.18.3126) Phys. Rev. B **[18](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.18.3126)**, [3126](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.18.3126) [\(1978\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.18.3126).
- [2] P. Bloom, Two-dimensional Fermi gas, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.12.125) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.12.125)**, [125](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.12.125) [\(1975\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.12.125).
- [3] G. Bertaina, Two-dimensional short-range interacting attractive and repulsive Fermi gases at zero temperature, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. **[217](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01763-9)**, [153](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01763-9) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01763-9).
- [4] S. V. Kravchenko, D. A. Rinberg, S. G. Semenchinsky, and V. M. Pudalov, Evidence for the influence of electron-electron interaction on the chemical potential of the two-dimensional electron gas, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.3741) **[42](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.3741)**, [3741](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.3741) [\(1990\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.42.3741).
- [5] G. D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics* (Springer, New York, 2013).
- [6] A. Griesmaier, J. Werner, S. Hensler, J. Stuhler, and T. Pfau, Bose-Einstein Condensation of Chromium, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.160401) **[94](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.160401)**, [160401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.160401) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.160401).
- [7] S. Giovanazzi, A. Görlitz, and T. Pfau, Tuning the Dipolar Interaction in Quantum Gases, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.130401) **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.130401)**, [130401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.130401) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.130401).

The DDI energy was also represented as a sum of partial energy of states with opposite parities. The results indicated that for  $\Lambda < 0.5$  the magnetic field has a nearly negligible effect. When the magnetic field is applied, the minimum energy becomes available at  $0 < \xi \leq 1$  depending on the strength of the magnetic field. As a consequence, the magnetic field causes symmetry breaking, and creates the ferromagnetic order. By increasing the Zeeman parameter, the ground-state energy decreases, and gives rise to a more stable system. It is evident that the effect of the magnetic field on the magnetic properties of 2D Fermi gas becomes more significant and visible when the Zeeman parameter is greater than 0*.*5. By increasing the Zeeman parameter, the rate of changes of energy increases for any dipolar parameter. For a fixed Zeeman parameter, it was found that the ground-state energy grows with increasing the dipolar parameter. Furthermore, the spin polarization increases as the dipolar parameter decreases. Therefore, we can conclude that the dipole-dipole interaction plays a weak role on the magnitude of spin polarization of this system with respect to that of the magnetic field. It was seen that an induced ferromagnetic phase transition occurs in the presence of magnetic field, and for larger dipolar parameters this phenomenon becomes observable in the stronger magnetic field. Finally, based on the results of this research, the dipolar coupling parameter and the magnetic field were suggested as controllable means of changing spin polarization for 2D Fermi gas.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We wish to thank Shiraz University Research Council. G.H.B. also wishes to thank Physics Department of University of Waterloo for the great hospitality during his sabbatical. G.H.B. wishes to thank Prof. Michel Gingras (University of Waterloo) for his useful discussions.

- [8] T. Miyakawa, T. Sogo, and H. Pu, Phase-space deformation of a trapped dipolar Fermi gas, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.061603) **[77](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.061603)**, [061603](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.061603) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.061603).
- [9] J. Stuhler, A. Griesmaier, T. Koch, M. Fattori, T. Pfau, S. Giovanazzi, and L. Santos, Observation of Dipole-Dipole Interaction in a Degenerate Quantum Gas, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.150406) **[95](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.150406)**, [150406](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.150406) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.150406).
- [10] R. Chicireanu, A. Pouderous, R. Barbé, B. Laburthe-Tolra, E. Maréchal, L. Vernac, and O. Gorceix, Simultaneous magnetooptical trapping of bosonic and fermionic chromium atoms, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.053406) **[73](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.053406)**, [053406](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.053406) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.053406).
- [11] J. J. McClelland and J. L. Hanssen, Laser Cooling without [Repumping: A Magneto-Optical Trap for Erbium Atoms,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.143005) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.143005)**, [143005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.143005) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.143005).
- [12] N. Leefer, A. Cingöz, and D. Budker, Measurement of hyperfine structure and isotope shifts in the Dy 421 nm transition, [Opt. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.34.002548) **[34](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.34.002548)**, [2548](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.34.002548) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.34.002548).
- [13] K. Góral and L. Santos, Ground state and elementary excitations of single and binary Bose-Einstein condensates of trapped dipolar gases, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.023613) **[66](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.023613)**, [023613](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.023613) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.023613).
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[14] Y. Yamaguchi, T. Sogo, T. Ito, and T. Miyakawa, Density-wave instability in a two-dimensional dipolar Fermi gas, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.013643) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.013643)**, [013643](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.013643) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.013643).
- [15] A. C. Potter, E. Berg, D.-W. Wang, B. I. Halperin, and E. Demler, Superfluidity and Dimerization in a Multilayered System of Fermionic Polar Molecules, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.220406) **[105](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.220406)**, [220406](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.220406) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.220406).
- [16] A. Pikovski, M. Klawunn, G. V. Shlyapnikov, and L. Santos, Interlayer Superfluidity in Bilayer Systems of Fermionic Polar Molecules, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.215302) **[105](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.215302)**, [215302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.215302) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.215302).
- [17] M. A. Baranov, A. Micheli, S. Ronen, and P. Zoller, Bilayer superfluidity of fermionic polar molecules: Many-body effects, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.043602) **[83](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.043602)**, [043602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.043602) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.043602).
- [18] N. R. Cooper and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Stable Topological Super[fluid Phase of Ultracold Polar Fermionic Molecules,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.155302) Phys. Rev. Lett. **[103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.155302)**, [155302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.155302) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.155302).
- [19] J. Levinsen, N. R. Cooper, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Topological  $p_x + i p_y$  [superfluid phase of fermionic polar molecules,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.013603) Phys. Rev. A **[84](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.013603)**, [013603](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.013603) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.013603).
- [20] P. Haensel and A. Jerzak, Tensor forces and spin susceptibility of a Fermi liquid, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91050-4) **[112](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91050-4)**, [285](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91050-4) [\(1982\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)91050-4).
- [21] E. Olsson, P. Haensel, and C. Pethick, Static response of Fermi liquids with tensor interactions, [Phys. Rev. C](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.025804) **[70](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.025804)**, [025804](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.025804) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.70.025804).
- [22] S. D. Mahanti and S. J. Sudhanshu, The ground state of chargeless fermions with finite magnetic moment, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/39/6/002) **[39](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/39/6/002)**, [1239](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/39/6/002) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/39/6/002).
- [23] S. S. Jha and S. D. Mahanti, Hartree-Fock variational bounds for ground state energy of chargeless fermions with finite magnetic moment in the presence of a hard core potential: A

stable ferromagnetic state, [Pramana Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12043-007-0080-y) **[68](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12043-007-0080-y)**, [819](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12043-007-0080-y) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12043-007-0080-y).

- [24] S. S. Jha and S. D. Mahanti, Ground state of interacting quantum magnetic dipoles: Transition from a ferromagnetic Fermi liquid to an antiferromagnetic solid, [Phys. Rev. E](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.052101) **[82](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.052101)**, [052101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.052101) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.052101).
- [25] B. M. Fregoso, K. Sun, E. Fradkin, and B. M. Lev, Biaxial nematic phases in ultracold dipolar Fermi gases, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/10/103003) **[11](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/10/103003)**, [103003](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/10/103003) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/10/103003).
- [26] B. M. Fregoso and E. Fradkin, Ferronematic Ground State of the Dilute Dipolar Fermi Gas, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.205301) **[103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.205301)**, [205301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.205301) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.205301).
- [27] B. M. Fregoso and E. Fradkin, Unconventional magnetism in imbalanced Fermi systems with magnetic dipolar interactions, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.214443) **[81](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.214443)**, [214443](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.214443) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.214443).
- [28] T. Sogo, M. Urban, P. Schuck, and T. Miyakawa, Spontaneous generation of spin-orbit coupling in magnetic dipolar Fermi gases, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.031601) **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.031601)**, [031601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.031601) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.031601).
- [29] G. H. Bordbar and F. Pouresmaeeli, Microscopic analysis of homogeneous electron gas by considering dipole-dipole interaction, [Mod. Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217984917503341) **[31](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217984917503341)**, [1750334](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217984917503341) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217984917503341).
- [30] Z.-K. Lu and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Fermi liquid of twodimensional polar molecules, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.023614) **[85](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.023614)**, [023614](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.023614) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.023614).
- [31] N.Matveeva and S. Giorgini, Liquid and crystal phases of dipolar fermions in two dimensions, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.200401) **[109](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.200401)**, [200401.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.200401) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.200401).
- [32] S. H. Abedinpour, R. Asgari, B. Tanatar, and M. Polini, Groundstate and dynamical properties of two-dimensional dipolar Fermi liquids, [Ann. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.10.006) **[340](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.10.006)**, [25](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.10.006) [\(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.10.006).
- [33] A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971).