Quantum trajectories for a system interacting with environment in a single-photon state: Counting and diffusive processes

Anita Dabrowska,¹ Gniewomir Sarbicki,² and Dariusz Chruscinski²

¹*Nicolaus Copernicus University, Torun, Collegium Medicum Bydgoszcz, ul. Jagiello ´ nska 15, 85-067 Bydgoszcz, Poland ´* ² Institute of Physics, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Informatics, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Grudziądzka 5/7, 87-100 Toruń, Poland (Received 24 June 2017; published 8 November 2017)

> We derived quantum trajectories for a system interacting with the environment prepared in a continuous mode single-photon state as the limit of a discrete filtering model with an environment defined as a series of independent qubits prepared initially in the entangled state being an analog of a continuous mode state. The environment qubits interact with the quantum system and they are subsequently measured. The initial correlation between the bath qubits is the source of the non-Markovianity. The conditional evolutions of the quantum system for the limit of the continuous in time observations together with the formulas for the photon counting probabilities are given.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.96.053819](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.053819)

I. INTRODUCTION

Belavkin filtering equations $[1-3]$ (called also stochastic master equations $[4–7]$ $[4–7]$) describe the conditional evolution of an open quantum system interacting with the environment modeled by the Bose field [\[8\]](#page-10-0). The quantum filtering theory, providing the best state estimation based on the result of the continuous in time measurement, is formulated within the framework of quantum stochastic Itô calculus (QSC) [\[9,10\]](#page-10-0). The stochastic evolution of the system depends on the results of the measurement performed continuously in time on the output field—the field after interaction with the system. The indirect measurement allows us to avoid Zeno effect. The exact form of the filtering equation depends on the initial state of the environment and the measurement scheme. The rigorous derivation of the filtering equation for the Bose field in a Gaussian state (vacuum, coherent, squeezed) one can find, for instance, in $[1,2,11]$ $[1,2,11]$. Solutions to the filtering equations are called quantum trajectories.

Recently there is interest in determination of the stochastic master equation for nonclassical states of the Bose field. One of them is a single-photon state $[12-14]$. About the methods of its generation and application in quantum computing and communication one can read in [\[15–22\]](#page-10-0). The filtering equation for a quantum system interacting with the environment prepared in the single-photon state was derived in [\[23–26\]](#page-10-0). The authors of the mentioned papers determined the filters with the aid of embedding of the quantum system into a larger Markovian [\[23,24\]](#page-10-0) or non-Markovian [\[25,26\]](#page-10-0) system. In [\[23,24\]](#page-10-0) the authors used the concept of a quantum cascaded system [\[6\]](#page-10-0) and introduced an ancilla being a source of the Bose field in a desired nonclassical state. The output field from the ancilla is the input field for the quantum system. The authors first derived the filters for the extended system consisting of the system of interest and ancilla and then, by taking the partial trace over the ancilla degrees of freedom, they determined the conditional evolution for the quantum system. The cascaded systems approach to determine the conditional evolution of a quantum system interacting with the single photon, which one can find, for instance, in [\[22\]](#page-10-0), was first proposed in [\[27\]](#page-10-0). Derivation of the quantum trajectories for the light prepared in a continuous mode Fock state in the framework of QSC was given in [\[28,29\]](#page-10-0).

In this paper we present a derivation of the filters for a quantum system interacting with an environment in the single-photon state as a limit of discrete filtering. To determine the quantum trajectories we use, instead of the standard methods based on the concept of an ancilla producing the field in a nonclassical state, the quantum repeated interaction model [\[30–33\]](#page-10-0). We present the model with a quantum system interacting with an infinite chain of identical and independent quantum systems representing an environment. This approach gives an intuitive and rigorous interpretation for the conditional evolution of the open quantum and quantum trajectories.

We consider the model with an environment defined by a series of independent qubits. The environmental qubits interact in turn one by one with the quantum system and they are subsequently measured. Each qubit of the environment interacts with the system only once and the environmental qubits do not interact between themselves. We assume that the environment is prepared initially in an entangled state being a discrete analog of a continuous mode single-photon state. We obtain the stochastic evolutions of the quantum system for the two cases of the monitoring of the environmental qubits: photodetection and homodyne detection. The conditional evolutions of the system are derived by making use of the von Neumann projection postulate. We showed that the quantum system becomes entangled with this part of the environment which has not interacted with the quantum system yet. The prior and posterior evolution of the quantum system are non-Markovian in this case. The memory effects result from the initial correlation between the bath qubits. Together with the stochastic evolution we present also the formulas for the photon counting probabilities giving the whole statistics of the output photons.

The model is based on the discrete version of quantum Itô stochastic calculus. Its rigorous definition together with discussion of its continuous limit were given in a number of publications [\[30,34–42\]](#page-10-0). Derivations of a discrete version of quantum filtering equations with its continuous limits for the case when the environment is initially in a factorized state with the qubits prepared in some mixed state one can find in [\[43\]](#page-10-0), and for the qubits prepared in the ground state (the environment prepared in the vacuum state) one can find them in [\[37,42\]](#page-10-0).

II. REPEATED QUANTUM INTERACTIONS MODEL

Let us consider a quantum system S of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$ interacting with the environment consisting of a sequence of two-level systems which interact in turn one by one with the system S each during the time interval of the length τ . Thus the Hilbert space of the environment is

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}} = \bigotimes_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E},k},\tag{1}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E},k} = \mathbb{C}^2$ is the Hilbert space of the k^{th} qubit interacting with S in the time interval $[k\tau, (k+1)\tau)$. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}}$ can be split as a tensor product:

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{j-1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{[j]}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{j-1} = \bigotimes_{k=0}^{j-1} \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,k},
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{[j]} = \bigotimes_{k=j}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,k}.
$$
(2)

If *jτ* is the current moment then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}}^{j-1}$ can be interpreted as the part of the space of the environment which refers to two-level systems which have already interacted with S and $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{[j]}$ as the space referring to two-level systems which have not interacted with S yet. We will call them, respectively, the past and future environment spaces.

The ground and excited states of the *k*th two-level system we will indicate, respectively, by $|0\rangle_k$ and $|1\rangle_k$. We assume that the environment is prepared in the state

$$
|1_{\xi}\rangle = \sqrt{\tau} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \xi_k \sigma_k^+ |vac\rangle, \tag{3}
$$

where $|vac\rangle = |0\rangle_0 \otimes |0\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2 \otimes |0\rangle_3 \dots$ is the vacuum vector in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E}}$, the operators $\sigma_k^- = |0\rangle_k\langle 1|$ and $\sigma_k^+ = |1\rangle_k\langle 0|$ act nontrivially only in the space $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,k}$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} |\xi_k|^2 \tau = 1$. Note that $|1_{\xi}\rangle$ has the additive decomposition property

$$
|1_{\xi}\rangle = \sqrt{\tau} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \xi_k \sigma_k^+ |vac\rangle + \sqrt{\tau} \sum_{k=j+1}^{+\infty} \xi_k \sigma_k^+ |vac\rangle \qquad (4)
$$

and it can be written in the form

$$
|1_{\xi}\rangle = \sqrt{\tau} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \xi_k |1_k\rangle, \tag{5}
$$

where

$$
|1_k\rangle = |0\rangle_0 \otimes |0\rangle_1 \otimes \ldots |0\rangle_{k-1} \otimes |1\rangle_k \otimes |0\rangle_{k+1} \otimes |0\rangle_{k+2} \ldots
$$
\n(6)

Thus $|\xi_k|^2 \tau$ is the probability that the k^{th} qubit is prepared in the upper state and all the other qubits are in their ground states. The state $|1_{\xi}\rangle$ is a discrete analog of a single-photon state in the model of continuous modes discussed in [\[13,14\]](#page-10-0).

Now, let us describe the evolution of the composed $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{S}$ system. We consider the well-known repeated interactions model where the unitary operator defining the evolution of the total system up to the time $j\tau$ is given by

$$
U_{j\tau} = \mathbb{V}_{j-1} \mathbb{V}_{j-2} \dots \mathbb{V}_0, \quad U_0 = \mathbb{1}, \tag{7}
$$

where \mathbb{V}_k acts nontrivially only in the space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E},k} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and

$$
\mathbb{V}_k = \exp(-i\,\tau\,H_k),\tag{8}
$$

where H_k is a bipartite Hamiltonian acting on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E},k} \otimes \mathcal{H}_S$. It is therefore clear that there is no interaction between subsystems $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E},k}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E},k'}$ for $k \neq k'$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E},k} = \mathbb{C}^2$ one has the following representation:

$$
\mathbb{V}_k = \begin{pmatrix} V_{00} & V_{01} \\ V_{10} & V_{11} \end{pmatrix},\tag{9}
$$

with V_{ij} being the system operators. We assume that the initial state of the total system is the pure product state of the form

$$
|1_{\xi}\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle \tag{10}
$$

and we stress that the environmental state $|1_{\xi}\rangle$ is highly entangled (depending on the profile *ξk*).

III. CONDITIONAL EVOLUTION FOR THE COUNTING PROCESS

In what follows we consider the following collision model: the system S interacts with an infinite chain of environmental qubits. Now, after each interaction one performs a measurement on the last qubit which has just interacted with S . Our goal is to describe an evolution of S conditioned on the results of the measurements performed subsequently on the environment qubits at the time instances τ , 2τ , 3τ , Clearly, since the results of measurements are random this gives rise to a random evolution of the state of S .

Let us first consider the measurement of the observable

$$
\sigma_k^+ \sigma_k^- = |1\rangle_k \langle 1|, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{11}
$$

We shall prove that the conditional state of S and the part of the environment which has not interacted with S up to $j\tau$ is at the moment $j\tau$ given by

$$
|\tilde{\Psi}_{j|\pmb{\eta}_{j}}\rangle = \frac{|\Psi_{j|\pmb{\eta}_{j}}\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle\Psi_{j|\pmb{\eta}_{j}}|\Psi_{j|\pmb{\eta}_{j}}\rangle}},
$$
(12)

where $|\Psi_{i|n} \rangle$ is the un-normalized conditional vector of the form

$$
\left| \Psi_{j|\eta_{j}} \right\rangle = \sqrt{\tau} \sum_{k=j}^{+\infty} \xi_{k} \sigma_{k}^{+} |\text{vac}\rangle_{[j, +\infty)} \otimes \left| \alpha_{j|\eta_{j}} \right\rangle
$$

+ $| \text{vac}\rangle_{[j, +\infty)} \otimes \left| \beta_{j|\eta_{j}} \right\rangle$ (13)

where $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j$ is a binary *j* vector $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j = (\eta_j, \eta_{j-1}, \dots, \eta_1)$ with $\eta_k \in \{0,1\}$ which represents results of all measurements of (11) up to the time $j\tau$. We use an obvious notation:

$$
|vac\rangle_{[j,+\infty)} = |0\rangle_j \otimes |0\rangle_{j+1} \otimes \dots
$$
 (14)

The vectors $|\alpha_{j|\eta_j}\rangle$ and $|\beta_{j|\eta_j}\rangle$ from the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$ satisfy the recurrence equations

$$
|\alpha_{j+1|\eta_{j+1}}\rangle = V_{\eta_{j+1}0}|\alpha_{j|\eta_j}\rangle, \qquad (15)
$$

 (16)

 $\left| \beta_{j+1|\eta_{j+1}} \right\rangle = V_{\eta_{j+1}0} |\beta_{j|\eta_j} \rangle + \sqrt{\tau} \xi_j V_{\eta_{j+1}1} |\alpha_{j|\eta_j}$

with the initial condition $|\alpha_0\rangle = |\psi\rangle$, $|\beta_0\rangle = 0$.

Note that the conditional vectors $|\alpha_{j|\eta_i}\rangle$ and $|\beta_{j|\eta_i}\rangle$ depend on all results of the measurements up to the time $j\tau$. Their physical interpretation becomes clear when we write down explicitly their form for particular trajectories. Usually, one skips the condition η_i . In this section, however, to stress the character of the conditional states, we keep the conditional notation.

It is clear that $|\Psi_{j|\eta_j}\rangle$ belongs to the Hilbert space $\bigotimes_{k=j}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E},k} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$. The form of $|\Psi_{j|\eta_j}\rangle$ indicates that the system S becomes entangled with this part of the environment which has not interacted with S yet. It is the main difference between the considered situation and the standard cases when the environment is in the factorizable state [\[37,42\]](#page-10-0). The physical interpretation of $|\Psi_{j|\eta_j}\rangle$ is very intuitive.

(1) The first term in (13) represents the following scenario: all qubits of the environment up to time $j\tau$ were prepared in the ground state and the qubit prepared in the excited state appears only in the future.

(2) The second term represents the situation in which S has already interacted with the qubit prepared in the excited state

and in the future it will interact with the environment being in the vacuum.

These two scenarios occur as a quantum superposition. The probability of the first one is

$$
\frac{\langle \alpha_{j|\eta_j} | \alpha_{j|\eta_j} \rangle \sum_{k=j}^{+\infty} \tau |\xi_k|^2}{\langle \alpha_{j|\eta_j} | \alpha_{j|\eta_j} \rangle \sum_{k=j}^{+\infty} \tau |\xi_k|^2 + \langle \beta_{j|\eta_j} | \beta_{j|\eta_j} \rangle}
$$
(17)

and the probability of the second one is

$$
\frac{\langle \beta_{j|\eta_j} | \beta_{j|\eta_j} \rangle}{\langle \alpha_{j|\eta_j} | \alpha_{j|\eta_j} \rangle \sum_{k=j}^{+\infty} \tau |\xi_k|^2 + \langle \beta_{j|\eta_j} | \beta_{j|\eta_j} \rangle}.
$$
(18)

Sooner or later, it depending on the profile ξ_k of the environment state $|1_{\xi}\rangle$, the system S meets the qubit prepared in the excited state, so finally only the second term gives a nonzero contribution to [\(13\)](#page-1-0), and $|\Psi_{j|\eta_j}\rangle$ becomes separable.

In order to prove [\(13\)](#page-1-0) it is convenient to rewrite $|\Psi_{i}|_{\eta_{i}}$ in the following form:

$$
\left| \Psi_{j|\eta_j} \right\rangle = \left| 0 \right\rangle_j \otimes \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\tau} \xi_k \sigma_k^+ \left| \text{vac} \right\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)} \otimes \left| \alpha_{j|\eta_j} \right\rangle + \left| \text{vac} \right\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)} \otimes \left| \beta_{j|\eta_j} \right\rangle \right) + \left| 1 \right\rangle_j \otimes \left| \text{vac} \right\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)} \otimes \sqrt{\tau} \xi_j \left| \alpha_{j|\eta_j} \right\rangle.
$$
\n(19)

Now the action of V_j on $|\Psi_{j|\eta_j}\rangle$ gives

$$
\mathbb{V}_{j}|\Psi_{j|\eta_{j}}\rangle = |0\rangle_{j} \otimes \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\tau} \xi_{k} \sigma_{k}^{+} |\text{vac}\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)} \otimes V_{00}|\alpha_{j|\eta_{j}}\rangle + |\text{vac}\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)} \otimes (V_{00}|\beta_{j|\eta_{j}}\rangle + \sqrt{\tau} \xi_{j} V_{01}|\alpha_{j|\eta_{j}}\rangle)\right) + |1\rangle_{j} \otimes \left(\sum_{k=j+1}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\tau} \xi_{k} \sigma_{k}^{+} |\text{vac}\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)} \otimes V_{10}|\alpha_{j|\eta_{j}}\rangle + |\text{vac}\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)} \otimes (V_{10}|\beta_{j|\eta_{j}}\rangle + \sqrt{\tau} \xi_{j} V_{11}|\alpha_{j|\eta_{j}}\rangle)\right).
$$
(20)

The conditional vector $|\Psi_{j+1|\eta_{j+1}}\rangle$ from the Hilbert space $\bigotimes_{k=j+1}^{+\infty} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{E},k} \otimes \mathcal{H}_S$ is defined by

$$
(\Pi_{\eta_{j+1}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_S) \mathbb{V}_j |\Psi_{j|\eta_j} \rangle = |\eta_{j+1}\rangle_j \otimes |\Psi_{j+1|\eta_{j+1}}\rangle, \quad (21)
$$

where

$$
\Pi_0 = |0\rangle_j \langle 0|, \quad \Pi_1 = |1\rangle_j \langle 1|,\tag{22}
$$

and $\eta_{i+1} = 0, 1$ stands for the two possible results of the measurement of the observable $\sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^-$. Finally, using (20) one finds that $|\Psi_{j+1|\eta_{j+1}}\rangle$ has the following form:

$$
\left| \Psi_{j+1|\eta_{j+1}} \right\rangle = \sum_{k=j+1}^{+\infty} \xi_k \sqrt{\tau} \sigma_k^+ |vac\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)} \otimes \left| \alpha_{j+1|\eta_{j+1}} \right\rangle
$$

+
$$
|vac\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)} \otimes \left| \beta_{j+1|\eta_{j+1}} \right\rangle
$$
 (23)

with $|\alpha_{j+1|\eta_j+1}\rangle$, $|\beta_{j+1|\eta_j+1}\rangle$ satisfying Eqs. [\(15\)](#page-1-0) and [\(16\)](#page-1-0), which ends the proof of (13) .

Note that knowing the initial state $|\psi\rangle$ of S, the singlephoton state $|1_{\xi}\rangle$ of the environment, and the results of measurements (η_j, \dots, η_1) up to time $j\tau$ one can uniquely determine the state $|\Psi_j| \eta_j$.

Equation (20) shows that after each measurement there are in general two possible scenarios.

(1) The system S meets in the future the qubit prepared in the excited state.

(2) It meets in the future all qubits prepared in the ground state.

In the quantum filtering theory the environment is usually treated as a model of electromagnetic field. In this interpretation we see in (20) that when the result of the outcome is zero then we deal with two possibilities—the system S did not emit a photon or a photon from the environment was absorbed by S. When the photon was detected we see that it was emitted by S or we measured the photon of the external field directly. The form of [\(13\)](#page-1-0) depends on the results of all past measurements, thus it is clear that if all photons achievable for the considered composed system were observed then only the second term gives a nonzero contribution to (13) and there is no longer entanglement between S and the future environment.

The general solution to the set of equations (15) and (16) can be written in the compact form

$$
|\alpha_{j|\eta_j}\rangle = V_{\eta_j 0} V_{\eta_{j-1} 0} \dots V_{\eta_1 0} |\psi\rangle, \qquad (24)
$$

$$
|\beta_{j|\eta_j}\rangle = \sqrt{\tau} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \xi_k \prod_{l=1}^{j} V_{\eta_l \delta_{l(k+1)}} |\psi\rangle.
$$
 (25)

Note that instead of using the notation with the full vector $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j$ we may equivalently provide the location of "1" in the string (η_1, \ldots, η_1) , that is, (l_m, \ldots, l_1) means that one observed *m* photons at $\tau_i = \tau l_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, m$) and no other photons in the period from zero to $j\tau$. Let us provide the formulas for the simplest scenarios.

(1) $\mathbf{\eta}_j = (0, \ldots, 0) = \mathbf{0}_j$, that is, no detections upon τj . In this case one finds

$$
|\alpha_{j|\mathbf{0}_j}\rangle = V_{00}^j|\psi\rangle,\tag{26}
$$

$$
|\beta_{j|0_j}\rangle = \sqrt{\tau} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} V_{00}^{j-k-1} \xi_k V_{01} V_{00}^k |\psi\rangle.
$$
 (27)

(2) One detection at $\tau_1 = \tau l_1$:

$$
|\alpha_{j|l_1}\rangle = V_{00}^{j-l_1} V_{10} V_{00}^{l_1-1} |\psi\rangle, \tag{28}
$$

and

 $\overline{}$

$$
|\beta_{j|l_{1}}\rangle = \sqrt{\tau} \Bigg[V_{00}^{j-l_{1}} \xi_{l_{1}-1} V_{11} V_{00}^{l_{1}-1} + V_{00}^{j-l_{1}} V_{10}^{l_{1}-2} V_{00}^{l_{1}-2-k} \xi_{k} V_{01} V_{00}^{k} + \sum_{k=l_{1}}^{j-1} V_{00}^{j-k-1} \xi_{k} V_{01} V_{00}^{k-l_{1}} V_{10} V_{00}^{l_{1}-1} \Bigg] |\psi\rangle.
$$
 (29)

(3) Two detections (l_2, l_1) :

$$
|\alpha_{j|l_2,l_1}\rangle = V_{00}^{j-l_2} V_{10} V_{00}^{l_2-l_1-1} V_{10} V_{00}^{l_1-1} |\psi\rangle, \tag{30}
$$

and

$$
|\beta_{j|l_2,l_1}\rangle
$$

= $\sqrt{\tau}\left[V_{00}^{j-l_2}V_{10}V_{00}^{l_2-l_1-1}\xi_{l_1-1}V_{11}V_{00}^{l_1-1}\right]$
+ $V_{00}^{j-l_2}\xi_{l_2-1}V_{11}V_{00}^{l_2-l_1-1}V_{10}V_{00}^{l_1-1}$
+ $V_{00}^{j-l_2}V_{10}V_{00}^{l_2-l_1-1}V_{10}\sum_{k=0}^{l_1-2}V_{00}^{l_1-k-2}\xi_kV_{01}V_{00}^k$
+ $V_{00}^{j-l_2}V_{10}\sum_{k=l_1}^{l_2-2}V_{00}^{l_2-k-2}\xi_kV_{01}V_{00}^{k-l_1}V_{10}V_{00}^{l_1-1}$
+ $\sum_{k=l_2}^{j-1}V_{00}^{j-k-1}\xi_kV_{01}V_{00}^{k-l_2}V_{10}V_{00}^{l_2-l_1-1}V_{10}V_{00}^{l_1-1}\right]|\psi\rangle.$
(31)

In the representation of the moments of counts (l_m, \ldots, l_1) one finds

$$
|\alpha_{j|l_m,...,l_1}\rangle = V_{00}^j \prod_{q=1}^{\overleftarrow{m}} V_{10}^{(l_q)} |\psi\rangle, \tag{32}
$$

and a quite involved formula for $|\beta_j\rangle$:

$$
|\beta_{j|l_m,...,l_1}\rangle
$$

= $\sqrt{\tau}V_{00}^j\left[\sum_{p=1}^m\prod_{q=p+1}^{\overleftarrow{m}}V_{10}^{(l_q)}\xi_{l_p-1}V_{11}^{(l_p)}\prod_{q=1}^{p-1}V_{10}^{(l_q)}\right.\right.+ $\sum_{p=0}^m\prod_{q=p+1}^{\overleftarrow{m}}V_{10}^{(l_q)}W_{l_p}^{l_{p+1}}\prod_{q=1}^{\overleftarrow{p}}V_{10}^{(l_q)}\right]|\psi\rangle,$ (33)$

where we defined

and

 $\overline{}$

$$
V_{ij}^{(l)} = V_{00}^{-l} V_{ij} V_{00}^{l-1},
$$

$$
W_{l_p}^{l_{p+1}} = \sqrt{\tau} \sum_{r=l_{p+1}}^{l_{p+1}-1} \xi_{r-1} V_{01}^{(r)}
$$

provided $l_0 = 0$ and $l_{m+1} = j + 1$. The arrows mean that we use the time-ordered products.

In order to obtain the state of S conditioned on all results of the measurements up to the time $j\tau$, we have to perform a partial trace of $|\tilde{\Psi}_{j|\eta_j}\rangle \langle \tilde{\Psi}_{j|\eta_j}|$ with respect to the environmental degrees of freedom (the future space of the environment). Thus the *a posteriori* state of S at the time $j\tau$ is

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{j|\eta_j} = \frac{\rho_{j|\eta_j}}{\text{Tr}\rho_{j|\eta_j}},\tag{34}
$$

where

$$
\rho_{j|\eta_j} = |\alpha_{j|\eta_j} \rangle \langle \alpha_{j|\eta_j} | \sum_{k=j}^{+\infty} \tau |\xi_k|^2 + |\beta_{j|\eta_j} \rangle \langle \beta_{j|\eta_j} |.
$$
 (35)

The probability of a particular trajectory η_i registered from time zero to $j\tau$ is therefore given by

$$
\text{Tr}\rho_{j|\pmb{\eta}_j} = \langle \alpha_{j|\pmb{\eta}_j} | \alpha_{j|\pmb{\eta}_j} \rangle \sum_{k=j}^{+\infty} \tau |\xi_k|^2 + \langle \beta_{j|\pmb{\eta}_j} | \beta_{j|\pmb{\eta}_j} \rangle. \tag{36}
$$

IV. DISCRETE FILTRATION EQUATION FOR THE COUNTING PROCESS

A. General case

From now on we simplify our notation to $|\alpha_i\rangle$ and $|\beta_i\rangle$ skipping the condition η_i . We derive the conditional recurrence equation describing the stochastic evolution of S . Consider first the case when the result of measurement at $(j + 1)\tau$ is zero. Straightforward calculations lead to

$$
\rho_{j+1} = V_{00}\rho_j V_{00}^{\dagger} + \sqrt{\tau}(\xi_j V_{01}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{00}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.}) \n+ \tau |\xi_j|^2 (V_{01}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{01}^{\dagger} - V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{00}^{\dagger}), \quad (37)
$$

where H.c. stands for the Hermitian conjugation. Moreover, one finds

$$
|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\beta_{j+1}| = V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{00}^{\dagger} + \sqrt{\tau}\xi_j^*V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{01}^{\dagger},
$$

$$
|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\alpha_{j+1}| = V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{00}^{\dagger}.
$$
 (38)

The conditional probability of the outcome $\eta_{i+1} = 0$ when the *a posteriori* state of S at $j\tau$ was $\tilde{\rho}_j$ is given by the formula

$$
p_{j+1}(0|\tilde{\rho}_j) = \frac{\text{Tr}\rho_{j+1|0}}{\text{Tr}\rho_j}.
$$
 (39)

Similarly, if $\eta_{i+1} = 1$ one obtains

$$
\rho_{j+1} = V_{10}\rho_j V_{10}^{\dagger} + \sqrt{\tau} (\xi_j V_{11}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{10}^{\dagger} + \text{H.c.})
$$

$$
+ \tau |\xi_j|^2 (V_{11}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{11}^{\dagger} - V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{10}^{\dagger}) \tag{40}
$$

and

$$
|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\beta_{j+1}| = V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{10}^{\dagger} + \sqrt{\tau}\xi_j^*V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{11}^{\dagger},
$$

$$
|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\alpha_{j+1}| = V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{10}^{\dagger}.
$$
 (41)

The conditional probability of the outcome $\eta_{i+1} = 1$ when the *a posteriori* state of S at $j\tau$ was $\tilde{\rho}_j$ is given by the formula

$$
p_{j+1}(1|\tilde{\rho}_j) = \frac{\text{Tr}\rho_{j+1|1}}{\text{Tr}\rho_j},
$$
\n(42)

and clearly $p_{j+1}(0|\tilde{\rho}_i) + p_{j+1}(1|\tilde{\rho}_i) = 1$.

B. "Small" *τ*

To consider the case of "small" *τ* one needs to specify the form of the Hamiltonian. Let us consider [\[30,37\]](#page-10-0)

$$
H_k = \mathbb{1}_k \otimes H_S + \frac{i}{\sqrt{\tau}} (\sigma_k^+ \otimes L - \sigma_k^- \otimes L^\dagger), \tag{43}
$$

which means that we work in the interaction picture eliminating the free evolution of the environment. Now, a time step *τ* is small if

$$
\tau \omega_{\text{max}} = \tau \max_{ij} |E_i - E_j|/\hbar \ll 1, \tag{44}
$$

and E_i belongs to the spectrum of H_S , that is, $1/\tau$ is much larger than the characteristic frequency of the system. One easily finds

$$
V_{00} = 1_S - i\tau H_S - \tau \frac{1}{2} L^{\dagger} L + O(\tau^2),
$$

\n
$$
V_{10} = \sqrt{\tau} L + O(\tau^{3/2}),
$$

\n
$$
V_{01} = -\sqrt{\tau} L^{\dagger} + O(\tau^{3/2}),
$$

\n
$$
V_{11} = 1_S + O(\tau).
$$
\n(45)

Now, discrete filtration equation [\(37\)](#page-3-0) gives rise to

$$
\rho_{j+1} = \rho_j - i[H_S, \rho_j]\tau - \frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L, \rho_j\right\}\tau - |\beta_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|L\xi_j^*\tau
$$

$$
-L^{\dagger}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|\xi_j\tau - |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j||\xi_j|^2\tau + O(\tau^2), \quad (46)
$$

together with

$$
|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\beta_{j+1}| = |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j| - i[H_S, |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|] \tau
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \{L^{\dagger}L, |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|\} \tau
$$

$$
- |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j| L \xi_j^* \tau + O(\tau^2), \qquad (47)
$$

and

$$
|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\alpha_{j+1}| = |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j| - i[H_S, |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|]\tau -\frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L, |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|\right\}\tau + O(\tau^2). \tag{48}
$$

The conditional probability $p_{j+1}(0|\tilde{\rho}_j)$ reads

$$
p_{j+1}(0|\tilde{\rho}_j) = 1 - k_j \tau,
$$
 (49)

where

$$
k_j = \text{Tr}\left(L^{\dagger}L\tilde{\rho}_j + \frac{\xi_j^*}{\text{Tr}\rho_j}L|\beta_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j| + \frac{\xi_j}{\text{Tr}\rho_j}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|L^{\dagger} + \frac{|\xi_j|^2}{\text{Tr}\rho_j}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|\right).
$$
 (50)

Now, using

$$
\frac{1}{\text{Tr}\rho_{j+1}} = \frac{1}{\text{Tr}\rho_j} \left(1 + k_j \tau \right) + O(\tau^2),\tag{51}
$$

one obtains the following equation for the normalized density matrix (we neglect higher-order terms in *τ*):

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{j+1} = \tilde{\rho}_j + \tilde{\rho}_j k_j \tau - i [H_S, \tilde{\rho}_j] \tau - \frac{1}{2} \{ L^{\dagger} L, \tilde{\rho}_j \} \tau \n- |\tilde{\beta}_j\rangle \langle \tilde{\alpha}_j | L \xi_j^* \tau - L^{\dagger} |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle \langle \tilde{\beta}_j | \xi_j \tau - |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle \langle \tilde{\alpha}_j | |\xi_j|^2 \tau.
$$
\n(52)

Similarly, for the conditional vectors defined by

$$
|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle = \frac{|\alpha_j\rangle}{\sqrt{\text{Tr}\rho_j}}, \quad |\tilde{\beta}_j\rangle = \frac{|\beta_j\rangle}{\sqrt{\text{Tr}\rho_j}}, \quad (53)
$$

one finds the following formulas (provided $\eta_{j+1} = 0$):

$$
|\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j+1}| = |\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}| + |\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}|k_{j}\tau - i[H_{S},|\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}|\tau - \frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L,|\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}|\right\}\tau - |\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j}|L\xi_{j}^{*}\tau,
$$
\n(54)

and

$$
|\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}| = |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j| + |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|k_j\tau - i[H_S,|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|]\tau -\frac{1}{2}\{L^{\dagger}L,|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|\}\tau.
$$
 (55)

If $\eta_{j+1} = 1$ discrete filtration equation (40) gives rise to

$$
\rho_{j+1} = L\rho_j L^{\dagger} \tau + L|\beta_j\rangle \langle \alpha_j| \xi_j^* \tau + |\alpha_j\rangle \langle \beta_j| L^{\dagger} \xi_j \tau + |\alpha_j\rangle \langle \alpha_j| |\xi_j|^2 \tau,
$$
(56)

$$
|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\beta_{j+1}| = L|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|L^{\dagger}\tau + L|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|\xi_j^*\tau \quad (57)
$$

and

$$
|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\alpha_{j+1}| = L|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|L^{\dagger}\tau.
$$
 (58)

The conditional probability of the outcome 1 at the moment $(j + 1)\tau$ when the *a posteriori* state of S at $j\tau$ is $\tilde{\rho}_j$ has the form

$$
p_{j+1}(1|\tilde{\rho}_j) = k_j \tau,\tag{59}
$$

where k_j is given by (50).

053819-5

Finally, for the normalized density matrix, we get

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{j+1} = \frac{1}{k_j} (L \tilde{\rho}_j L^{\dagger} + L | \tilde{\beta}_j) \langle \tilde{\alpha}_j | \xi_j^* + |\tilde{\alpha}_j \rangle \langle \tilde{\beta}_j | L^{\dagger} \xi_j + |\tilde{\alpha}_j \rangle \langle \tilde{\alpha}_j | |\xi_j|^2), \tag{60}
$$

$$
|\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j+1}|=\frac{1}{k_j}(L|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|L^{\dagger}+L|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|\xi_j^*\rangle, \quad (61)
$$

$$
|\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}| = \frac{1}{k_j}L|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|L^{\dagger}.
$$
 (62)

It is clear that η_k ($k = 1, 2, ...$) are random variables with values {0*,*1} and hence one deals with the discrete stochastic process $(\eta_i, \eta_{i-1}, \dots, \eta_1)$. A single realization of this process consists of zeros and ones and the conditional expectations for η_{j+1} read

$$
\mathbb{E}[\eta_{j+1}|\tilde{\rho}_j] = k_j \tau + O(\tau^2),
$$

$$
\mathbb{E}[(\eta_{j+1})^2|\tilde{\rho}_j] = k_j \tau + O(\tau^2).
$$
 (63)

Introducing $n_j = \sum_{k=1}^j n_k$ one has an obvious relation:

$$
\eta_{j+1}=n_{j+1}-n_j=:\Delta n_j.
$$

Hence n_i may be interpreted as a discrete counting process. Therefore to describe the stochastic evolution of S depending on the (stochastic) results of the measurements we need the following set of three coupled equations:

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{j+1} = \tilde{\rho}_j - i[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_j]\tau - \frac{1}{2}\{L^{\dagger}L, \tilde{\rho}_j\}\tau + L_{\rho_j}L^{\dagger}\tau + [|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|, L^{\dagger}]\xi_j\tau + [L, |\tilde{\beta}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|]\xi_j^*\tau + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k_j}(L\tilde{\rho}_jL^{\dagger} + L|\tilde{\beta}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|\xi_j^* + |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|L^{\dagger}\xi_j + |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j||\xi_j|^2) - \tilde{\rho}_j\end{cases} \quad (\text{64})
$$
\n
$$
|\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j+1}| = |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j| - i[H_S, |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|]\tau - \frac{1}{2}\{L^{\dagger}L, |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|\}\tau + L|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|L^{\dagger}\tau + [L, |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|]\xi_j^*\tau
$$

$$
+\left\{\frac{1}{k_j}(L|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|L^{\dagger}+L|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|\xi_j^*)-|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|\right\}(\Delta n_{j+1}-k_j\tau),
$$
\n
$$
|\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}|=|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|-i[H_S,|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|]\tau-\frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L,|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|\right\}\tau+L|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|L^{\dagger}\tau
$$
\n(65)

$$
y_{j+1} = |\alpha_j\rangle \langle \alpha_j| - \iota [n_S, |\alpha_j\rangle \langle \alpha_j|] \iota - \frac{1}{2} \langle L^{\dagger} L, |\alpha_j\rangle \langle \alpha_j| \iota + L |\alpha_j\rangle \langle \alpha_j| L^{\dagger} \iota
$$

+
$$
\left\{ \frac{1}{k_j} L |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle \langle \tilde{\alpha}_j| L^{\dagger} - |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle \langle \tilde{\alpha}_j| \right\} (\Delta n_{j+1} - k_j \tau)
$$
(66)

with the initial condition $\tilde{\rho}_0 = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$, $|\tilde{\alpha}_0\rangle \langle \tilde{\beta}_0| = 0$, and $|\tilde{\alpha}_0\rangle \langle \tilde{\alpha}_0| = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$. If $\Delta n_{j+1} = 1$ then all terms containing the infinitesimal τ are negligible.

It should be clear that as an initial condition one may take an arbitrary mixed state ρ_0 . Then one replaces $|\tilde{\alpha}_i\rangle \langle \tilde{\alpha}_i|$ by X_i and $|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|$ by Y_j with initial conditions $X_0 = \rho_0$ and $Y_0 = 0$.

V. CONTINUOUS LIMIT FOR THE COUNTING PROCESS

In the continuous limit $\tau \to 0$ one obtains from (64)–(66) the stochastic differential equations

$$
d\tilde{\rho}_t = -i[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_t]dt - \frac{1}{2}\{L^{\dagger}L, \tilde{\rho}_t\}dt + L\tilde{\rho}_t L^{\dagger}dt + \left[\tilde{\rho}_t^{01}, L^{\dagger}\right]\xi_t dt + \left[L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{10}\right]\xi_t^* dt + \left\{\frac{1}{k_t}\left(L\tilde{\rho}_t L^{\dagger} + L\tilde{\rho}_t^{10}\xi_t^* + \tilde{\rho}_t^{01}L^{\dagger}\xi_t + \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}|\xi_t|^2\right) - \tilde{\rho}_t\right\}[dn(t) - k_t dt],
$$
(67)

$$
d\tilde{\rho}_t^{01} = -i[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_t^{01}]dt - \frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{01}\right\}dt + L\tilde{\rho}_t^{01}L^{\dagger}dt + \left[L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}\right]\xi_t^*dt + \left\{\frac{1}{k_t}\left(L\tilde{\rho}_t^{01}L^{\dagger} + L\tilde{\rho}_t^{00}\xi_t^*\right) - \tilde{\rho}_t^{01}\right\}[dn(t) - k_t dt],\tag{68}
$$

$$
d\tilde{\rho}_t^{00} = -i[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}]dt - \frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}\right\}dt + L\tilde{\rho}_t^{00}L^{\dagger}dt + \left(\frac{1}{k_t}L\tilde{\rho}_t^{00}L^{\dagger} - \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}\right)[dn(t) - k_t dt],\tag{69}
$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_t^{10} = (\tilde{\rho}_t^{01})^{\dagger}$ and the initial condition $\tilde{\rho}_0 = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, $\tilde{\rho}_0^{01} = 0$, and $\tilde{\rho}_0^{00} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$. The stochastic process $n(t)$ satisfies

$$
\mathbb{E}[dn(t)|\tilde{\rho}_t] = k_t dt \tag{70}
$$

and

$$
k_t = \text{Tr}(L^{\dagger}L\tilde{\rho}_t + L\tilde{\rho}_t^{10}\xi_t^* + \tilde{\rho}_t^{01}L^{\dagger}\xi_t + \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}|\xi_t|^2). \tag{71}
$$

One can easily check that for the nonselective measurement we obtain from [\(67\)](#page-5-0)–[\(69\)](#page-5-0) *a priori* evolution given by

$$
\dot{\tilde{\rho}}_t = -i[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_t] - \frac{1}{2} \{ L^{\dagger} L, \tilde{\rho}_t \} + L \tilde{\rho}_t L^{\dagger} \n+ [\tilde{\rho}_t^{01}, L^{\dagger}] \xi_t + [L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{10}] \xi_t^*,
$$
\n(72)

$$
\dot{\tilde{\rho}}_t^{01} = -i \left[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_t^{01} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ L^\dagger L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{01} \right\} + L \tilde{\rho}_t^{01} L^\dagger \n+ \left[L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{00} \right] \xi_t^*,
$$
\n(73)

$$
\dot{\tilde{\rho}}_t^{00} = -i \left[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_t^{00} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ L^\dagger L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{00} \right\} + L \tilde{\rho}_t^{00} L^\dagger. \tag{74}
$$

All realization of the counting process $n(t)$ may be divided into disjoint sectors: C_m contains realizations with exactly m counts at some moments $t_m > ... > t_2 > t_1 > 0$ and no other photons from zero to *t*. Denote by $p_0^t(t_m,t_{m-1},\ldots,t_2,t_1)$ the probability density of observing a particular trajectory corresponding to *m* counts at $t > t_m > ... > t_2 > t_1 > 0$ and no other photons in $(0,t]$ (called also an exclusive probability density). It is given by

$$
p_0^t(t_m, t_{m-1}, \dots, t_2, t_1) dt_m dt_{m-1} \dots dt_1
$$

= $\| |\alpha_{t|t_m, \dots, t_1} \rangle \|^2 \int_t^{+\infty} dt' |\xi_{t'}|^2 + \| |\beta_{t|t_m, \dots, t_1} \rangle \|^2.$ (75)

Probability of no counts up to time *t*

$$
P_0^t(0) = \| |\alpha_{t|0_t} \rangle \|^2 \int_t^{+\infty} dt' |\xi_{t'}|^2 + \| |\beta_{t|0_t} \rangle \|^2, \qquad (76) \qquad \text{from}
$$

$$
- \lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{t}{j} \Big[1_S - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\Big(\frac{t^2}{j^2}\Big) \Big]^{j-k-1} \xi_k L^{\dagger} \Big[1_S - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\Big(\frac{t^2}{j^2}\Big) \Big]^k |\psi\rangle.
$$
 (83)

The limits (81) and (83) one obtains from [\(26\)](#page-3-0) and [\(27\)](#page-3-0) by taking [\(45\)](#page-4-0) and division $\tau = t/j$.

For a count at the time t' and no other counts in the interval $(0,t]$ we have the conditional vectors

$$
|\alpha_{t|t'}\rangle = \sqrt{dt'} \mathbf{T}_{t-t'} L \mathbf{T}_{t'} |\psi\rangle, \tag{84}
$$

and

$$
|\beta_{j|t'}\rangle = \sqrt{dt'} \bigg[\mathbf{T}_t \xi_{t'} - \mathbf{T}_{t-t'} L \left(\int_0^{t'} ds \, \mathbf{T}_{t'-s} \xi_s L^\dagger \mathbf{T}_s \right) - \left(\int_{t'}^t ds \, \mathbf{T}_{t-s} \xi_s L^\dagger \mathbf{T}_{s-t'} \right) L \mathbf{T}_{t'} \bigg] |\psi\rangle. \tag{85}
$$

The conditional vector (84) one obtains as the limit

$$
\lim_{j \to +\infty} \sqrt{t/j} \left[\mathbb{1}_{S} - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\left(\frac{t^{2}}{j^{2}}\right) \right]^{j(1-\frac{t'}{t})} L\left[\mathbb{1}_{S} - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\left(\frac{t^{2}}{j^{2}}\right) \right]^{\frac{jt'}{t} - 1} |\psi\rangle
$$
\n(86)

and the conditional vector (85) one obtains from

 \mathbf{I}

$$
\lim_{j \to +\infty} \sqrt{t/j} \left\{ \left[1_S - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\left(\frac{t^2}{j^2}\right) \right]^{j-1} \xi_{\frac{jt'}{t} - 1} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
- \left[1_S - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\left(\frac{t^2}{j^2}\right) \right]^{j(1 - \frac{t'}{t})} L \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{jt'}{t} - 2} \frac{t}{j} \left[1_S - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\left(\frac{t^2}{j^2}\right) \right]^{\frac{jt'}{t} - 2 - k} \xi_k L^{\dagger} \left[1_S - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\left(\frac{t^2}{j^2}\right) \right]^k
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{k=\frac{jt'}{t}}^{j-1} \frac{t}{j} \left[1_S - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\left(\frac{t^2}{j^2}\right) \right]^{j-k-1} \xi_k L^{\dagger} \left[1_S - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\left(\frac{t^2}{j^2}\right) \right]^{\frac{k-\frac{jt'}{t}}} L \left[1_S - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\left(\frac{t^2}{j^2}\right) \right]^{\frac{jt'}{t} - 1} \right\} |\psi\rangle, \quad (87)
$$

where we put $t' = l_1 t/j$ and by definition we have $dt = t/j$. The expressions one gets from [\(28\)](#page-3-0) and [\(29\)](#page-3-0).

which follows directly from (36) . The probability of having exactly *m* counts up to time *t* reads

$$
P_0^t(m) = \int_0^t dt_m \int_0^{t_m} dt_{m-1} \dots \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 p_0^t(t_m, t_{m-1}, \dots, t_2, t_1).
$$
\n(77)

To compute $P_0^t(0)$ one needs conditional vectors $|\alpha_{t|0_t}\rangle$ and $|\beta_{t}|\mathbf{0}_t$). Introducing a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian (like in the Wigner-Weisskopf theory)

$$
G = H_S - \frac{i}{2} L^{\dagger} L, \qquad (78)
$$

and the corresponding (nonunitary) propagator

$$
\mathbf{T}_t = e^{-iGt},\tag{79}
$$

one finds

and

$$
|\alpha_{t|\mathbf{0}_t}\rangle = \mathbf{T}_t|\psi\rangle \tag{80}
$$

from the limit

$$
\lim_{j \to +\infty} \left[\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}} - iG \frac{t}{j} + O\left(\frac{t^2}{j^2}\right) \right]^j |\psi\rangle \tag{81}
$$

$$
f_{\rm{max}}
$$

$$
|\beta_{t|\mathbf{0}_t}\rangle = -\int_0^t dt' \mathbf{T}_{t-t'} \xi_{t'} L^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}_{t'} |\psi\rangle \tag{82}
$$

In an analogous way, for two counts at t' and t'' , where $0 < t' < t''$, and no other counts in the interval $(0, t]$, one gets

$$
|\alpha_{t\mid t'',t'}\rangle = \sqrt{dt''dt'}\mathbf{T}_{t-t''}L\mathbf{T}_{t''-t'}L\mathbf{T}_{t'}|\psi\rangle,
$$
\n(88)

$$
|\beta_{t|t'',t'}\rangle = \sqrt{dt''dt'} \Bigg[\mathbf{T}_{t-t''} L \mathbf{T}_{t''} \xi_{t'} + \mathbf{T}_{t-t'} \xi_{t''} L \mathbf{T}_{t'} - \mathbf{T}_{t-t''} L \mathbf{T}_{t''-t'} L \Bigg(\int_0^{t'} ds \mathbf{T}_{t'-s} \xi_s L^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}_s \Bigg) - \mathbf{T}_{t-t''} L \Bigg(\int_{t'}^{t''} ds \mathbf{T}_{t''-s} \xi_s L^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}_{s-t'} \Bigg) L \mathbf{T}_{t''-t} - \Bigg(\int_{t''}^{t} ds \mathbf{T}_{t-s} \xi_s L^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}_{s-t''} \Bigg) L \mathbf{T}_{t''-t'} L \mathbf{T}_{t'} \Bigg] |\psi\rangle.
$$
 (89)

At first sight the above formulas seem to be complicated but the physical interpretation of individual terms is very intuitive. The term defined by the conditional vector $|\alpha_t\rangle$ gives the contribution to the probability of a particular trajectory conditioned on the assumption that the two-level system of the environment prepared in the upper state will appear after the time *t*, so all photons measured by us up to *t* were emitted by the system S. The conditional vector $|\beta_t\rangle$ gives a contribution to the probability based on the assumption that the system S has already interacted with the two-level system of the environment prepared in the upper state. So, for instance, if we did not observe any photon up to the time *t*, it means the system S did not emit any photon and if it met a photon of the external field in the period from zero to *t* it absorbed it. For the case of some counts in the expressions for $|\beta_t\rangle$ one can recognize two sources of the measured photons: the external field and the system S . The photon of the external field can be absorbed by S or directly measured.

The derived formulas can be applied for any quantum system S . We show the solution to the problem taking as S a two-level atom prepared initially in the ground state $|0\rangle$. We take $L = \sqrt{\Gamma} \sigma^-$ and for simplicity $H_S = 0$. From [\(80\)](#page-6-0) and [\(82\)](#page-6-0) one can easily find the analytical expression for the probability of not having any count in the time interval (0*,t*]:

$$
P_0^t(0) = \int_t^{+\infty} dt' |\xi_{t'}|^2 + \Gamma e^{-\Gamma t} \left| \int_0^t dt' \xi_{t'} e^{\frac{\Gamma t'}{2}} \right|^2.
$$
 (90)

Moreover, we can check easily that the probabilities of two or more counts are equal to zero and the probability of having one count in the interval $(0,t]$ is equal to $1 - P_0^t(0)$. By considering all possible trajectories one can check that the *a priori*solution has the form

$$
[1 - p(t)]|0\rangle\langle 0| + p(t)|1\rangle\langle 1| \tag{91}
$$

where

$$
p(t) = \Gamma e^{-\Gamma t} \left| \int_0^t dt' \xi_{t'} e^{\frac{\Gamma t'}{2}} \right|^2 \tag{92}
$$

is the *a priori* probability of excitation at time *t*. The problem of the most efficient excitation of the two-level system by a single photon in a propagating mode was studied, for instance, in [\[17,18,20\]](#page-10-0). But instead of the numerical treatment of the problem, our approach offers the analogical formulas for the probabilities of particular trajectories.

VI. CONDITIONAL EVOLUTION FOR THE DIFFUSION PROCESS

In this section we consider the measurement of the observable

$$
\sigma_k^x = \sigma_k^+ + \sigma_k^- = |+\rangle_k \langle +| - |-\rangle_k \langle -|,\tag{93}
$$

with $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and

$$
|+\rangle_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_k + |1\rangle_k), \quad |-\rangle_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_k - |1\rangle_k) \quad (94)
$$

being vectors from the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon,k}$.

We prove that the conditional state of S and this part of the environment which has not interacted with S up to the time $j\tau$ at the moment $j\tau$ can be written in the form of [\(12\)](#page-1-0) with the conditional vectors $|\alpha_i\rangle$ and $|\beta_i\rangle$ which satisfy the following recurrence equations:

$$
|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (V_{00} + q_{j+1} V_{10}) |\alpha_j\rangle,
$$
(95)

$$
|\beta_{j+1}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [(V_{00} + q_{j+1} V_{10}) |\beta_j\rangle
$$

$$
+ \sqrt{\tau} \xi_j (V_{01} + q_{j+1} V_{11}) |\alpha_j\rangle]
$$
(96)

(97)

with the initial condition $|\alpha_0\rangle = |\psi\rangle$, $|\beta_0\rangle = 0$. By $q_{i+1} =$ 1*,* − 1 we indicated the result of the measurement performed at $(j + 1)\tau$ on the *j*th qubits in the basis (94).

The proof is straightforward if we notice that Eq. (20) can be written in the form

$$
\mathbb{V}_{j}|\Psi_{j}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|+\rangle_{j}\otimes\left\{\sum_{n=j+1}^{+\infty}\sqrt{\tau}\xi_{k}\sigma_{k}^{+}|\text{vac}\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)}\otimes(V_{00}+V_{10})|\alpha_{j}\rangle+|\text{vac}\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)}\otimes[(V_{00}+V_{10})|\beta_{j}\rangle+\sqrt{\tau}\xi_{j}(V_{01}+V_{11})|\alpha_{j}\rangle]\right\} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|-\rangle_{j}\otimes\left\{\sum_{k=j+1}^{+\infty}\sqrt{\tau}\xi_{k}\sigma_{k}^{+}|\text{vac}\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)}\otimes(V_{00}-V_{10})|\alpha_{j}\rangle+|\text{vac}\rangle_{[j+1,+\infty)}\otimes[(V_{00}-V_{10})|\beta_{j}\rangle+\sqrt{\tau}\xi_{j}(V_{01}-V_{11})|\alpha_{j}\rangle]\right\}.
$$

The conditional vector $|\Psi_{j+1}\rangle$ from the Hilbert space $\bigotimes_{k=j+1}^{+\infty} H_{\mathcal{E},k} \otimes H_S$ is in this case defined by

$$
(\Pi_+ \otimes \mathbb{1}_S) \mathbb{V}_j |\Psi_j\rangle = |+\rangle_j \otimes |\Psi_{j+1}\rangle,\tag{98}
$$

$$
(\Pi_- \otimes \mathbb{1}_S) \mathbb{V}_j |\Psi_j\rangle = |-\rangle_j \otimes |\Psi_{j+1}\rangle,\tag{99}
$$

where $\Pi_+ = |+\rangle_j \langle +|$ and $\Pi_- = |-\rangle_j \langle -|$. By [\(97\)](#page-7-0) we see that $|\Psi_{j+1}\rangle$ has the form [\(23\)](#page-2-0) with the conditional vectors $|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle$ and $|\beta_{j+1}\rangle$ given by [\(95\)](#page-7-0) and [\(96\)](#page-7-0), and this ends the proof.

The form of $|\Psi_j\rangle$ reflects the fact that the system S becomes entangled with the part of the environment which has not interacted with S yet. We get the *a posteriori* state of S by taking the trace from $|\Psi_i\rangle \langle \Psi_i|$ over the future environment space. We obtain the conditional normalized density matrix of the form (34) and for the conditional matrix ρ_j we have

$$
2\rho_{j+1} = V_{00}\rho_j V_{00}^{\dagger} + V_{10}\rho_j V_{10}^{\dagger} + \sqrt{\tau}[\xi_j^*(V_{00}|\beta_j)\langle\alpha_j|V_{01}^{\dagger} + V_{10}|\beta_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{11}^{\dagger}) + \xi_j(V_{01}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{00}^{\dagger} + V_{11}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{10}^{\dagger})]
$$

+
$$
|\xi_j|^2 \tau(V_{01}|\alpha_j)\langle\alpha_j|V_{01}^{\dagger} + V_{11}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{11}^{\dagger} - V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{00}^{\dagger} - V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{10}^{\dagger})
$$

+
$$
q_{j+1}[V_{10}\rho_j V_{00}^{\dagger} + V_{00}\rho_j V_{10}^{\dagger} + \sqrt{\tau}\xi_j^*(V_{10}|\beta_j)\langle\alpha_j|V_{01}^{\dagger} + V_{00}|\beta_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{11}^{\dagger}) + \sqrt{\tau}\xi_j(V_{01}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{10}^{\dagger} + V_{11}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{00}^{\dagger})]
$$

+
$$
q_{j+1}|\xi_j|^2 \tau(V_{01}|\alpha_j)\langle\alpha_j|V_{11}^{\dagger} + V_{11}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{01}^{\dagger} - V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{00}^{\dagger} - V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{10}^{\dagger}),
$$
(100)

$$
2|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\beta_{j+1}| = V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{00}^{\dagger} + V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{10}^{\dagger} + \sqrt{\tau}\xi_j^*(V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{01}^{\dagger} + V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{11}^{\dagger})+q_{j+1}(V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{00}^{\dagger} + V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|V_{10}^{\dagger}) + q_{j+1}\sqrt{\tau}\xi_j^*(V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{01}^{\dagger} + V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{11}^{\dagger}), \qquad (101)
$$

$$
2|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\alpha_{j+1}|=V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{00}^{\dagger}+V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{10}^{\dagger}+q_{j+1}(V_{10}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{00}^{\dagger}+V_{00}|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|V_{10}^{\dagger}).
$$
\n(102)

Hence for a small τ and the matrix [\(45\)](#page-4-0) we obtain the difference equations

$$
2\rho_{j+1} = \rho_j - i[H_S, \rho_j]\tau - \frac{1}{2}\{L^{\dagger}L, \rho_j\}\tau + L\rho_jL^{\dagger}\tau + [L, |\beta_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j||\tau\xi_j^* + [|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|, L^{\dagger}]\tau\xi_j + q_{j+1}\sqrt{\tau}(L\rho_j + \rho_jL^{\dagger} + |\beta_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|\xi_j^* + |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|\xi_j) + O(\tau^2),
$$
\n(103)

$$
2|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\beta_{j+1}| = |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j| - i[H_S, |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|] \tau - \frac{1}{2} \{L^{\dagger}L, |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|\} \tau + L|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|L^{\dagger} \tau + [L, |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|] \xi_j^* \tau + q_{j+1}\sqrt{\tau}(L|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j| + |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\beta_j|L^{\dagger} + |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|\xi_j^*)+ O(\tau^2),
$$
\n(104)

$$
2|\alpha_{j+1}\rangle\langle\alpha_{j+1}| = |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j| - i[H_S, |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|]\tau - \frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L, |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|\right\}\tau + L|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|L^{\dagger}\tau + q_{j+1}\sqrt{\tau}(L|\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j| + |\alpha_j\rangle\langle\alpha_j|L^{\dagger}) + O(\tau^2).
$$
\n(105)

The conditional probability of the outcome q_{j+1} at the moment $(j + 1)\tau$ if the *a posteriori* state of S at $j\tau$ is

$$
\tilde{\rho}_j = \frac{\rho_j}{\text{Tr}\rho_j} \tag{106}
$$

is defined by

$$
p_{j+1}(q_{j+1}|\tilde{\rho}_j) = \frac{\text{Tr}\rho_{j+1}}{\text{Tr}\rho_j},\tag{107}
$$

where ρ_{j+1} is given by (103) and one can check that

$$
p_{j+1}(q_{j+1}|\tilde{\rho}_j) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + q_{j+1}r_j\sqrt{\tau}) + O(\tau^2),
$$
\n(108)

where

$$
r_j = \text{Tr}(L\tilde{\rho}_j + \tilde{\rho}_j L^{\dagger} + |\tilde{\beta}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|\xi_j^* + |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|\xi_j),\tag{109}
$$

where $|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle = |\alpha_j\rangle / \sqrt{\text{Tr} \rho_j}$ and $|\tilde{\beta}_j\rangle = |\beta_j\rangle / \sqrt{\text{Tr} \rho_j}$. Let us introduce the stochastic process

$$
w_j = \sqrt{\tau} \sum_{k=1}^j (q_k - r_{k-1}\sqrt{\tau}).
$$
\n(110)

The process w_j in the limit $\tau \to 0$ converges to the Wiener process. One can check using the formula (108) that the mean values The process w_j in the finite $\tau \to 0$ converges to the wiener process. One can check using the formula (106) that the $\mathbb{E}[q_k|\tilde{\rho}_{k-1}] \simeq r_{k-1}\sqrt{\tau}$ and $\mathbb{E}[(q_{k+1})^2|\tilde{\rho}_{k-1}] \simeq 1$. By making use of the follow

$$
\frac{1}{\text{Tr}\rho_{j+1}} \simeq \frac{2}{\text{Tr}\rho_j} \left(1 - q_{j+1} r_j \sqrt{\tau} + r_j^2 \tau \right),\tag{111}
$$

one may derive the set of difference stochastic equations

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{j+1} - \tilde{\rho}_j = -i[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_j]\tau - \frac{1}{2}\{L^{\dagger}L, \tilde{\rho}_j\}\tau + L\tilde{\rho}_jL^{\dagger}\tau + [|\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|, L^{\dagger}]\xi_j\tau + [L, |\tilde{\beta}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|]\xi_j^*\tau + (L\tilde{\rho}_j + \tilde{\rho}_jL^{\dagger} + |\tilde{\beta}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_j|\xi_j^* + |\tilde{\alpha}_j\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_j|\xi_j - \tilde{\rho}_jr_j)\Delta w_{j+1},
$$
\n(112)

$$
|\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j+1}| = |\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}| - i[H_{S},|\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}||\tau - \frac{1}{2}\{L^{\dagger}L,|\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}||\tau + L|\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}|L^{\dagger}\tau + [L,|\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j}||\xi_{j}^{*}\tau + (L|\tilde{\alpha}_{j})\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}| + |\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}|L^{\dagger} + |\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j}|\xi_{j}^{*} - |\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\beta}_{j}|r_{j}\rangle\Delta w_{j+1},
$$
\n(113)

$$
|\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j+1}| = |\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j}| - i[H_{S},|\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j}||\tau - \frac{1}{2}\{L^{\dagger}L,|\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j}||\tau + L|\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j}|L^{\dagger}\tau + (L|\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j}| + |\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j}|L^{\dagger} - |\tilde{\alpha}_{j}\rangle\langle\tilde{\alpha}_{j}|r_{j})\Delta w_{j+1},
$$
\n(114)

where

 $\Delta w_{j+1} = w_{j+1} - w_j = q_{j+1}\sqrt{\tau} - r_j\tau.$

In the limit $\tau \to 0$ we obtain the following stochastic differential equations:

$$
d\tilde{\rho}_t = -i[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_t]dt - \frac{1}{2}\{L^{\dagger}L, \tilde{\rho}_t\}dt + L\tilde{\rho}_t L^{\dagger}dt + \left[\tilde{\rho}_t^{01}, L^{\dagger}\right]\xi_t dt + \left[L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{10}\right]\xi_t^* dt + \left(L\tilde{\rho}_t + \tilde{\rho}_t L^{\dagger} + \tilde{\rho}_t^{01}\xi_t + \tilde{\rho}_t^{10}\xi_t^* - \tilde{\rho}_t r_t\right)dw(t),
$$
\n(115)

$$
d\tilde{\rho}_t^{01} = -i[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_t^{01}]dt - \frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{01}\right\}dt + L\tilde{\rho}_t^{01}L^{\dagger}dt + \left[L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}\right]\xi_t^*dt + \left(L\tilde{\rho}_t^{01} + \tilde{\rho}_t^{01}L^{\dagger} + \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}\xi_t^* - \tilde{\rho}_t^{01}r_t\right)dw(t), \quad (116)
$$

$$
d\tilde{\rho}_t^{00} = -i[H_S, \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}]dt - \frac{1}{2}\left\{L^{\dagger}L, \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}\right\}dt + L\tilde{\rho}_t^{00}L^{\dagger}dt + \left(L\tilde{\rho}_t^{00} + \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}L^{\dagger} - \tilde{\rho}_t^{00}r_t\right)dw(t),\tag{117}
$$

where

$$
r_t = \text{Tr}\left(L\tilde{\rho}_t + \tilde{\rho}_t L^\dagger + \tilde{\rho}_t^{10} \xi_t^* + \tilde{\rho}_t^{01} \xi_t\right),\tag{118}
$$

 $\tilde{\rho}_t^{10} = (\tilde{\rho}_t^{01})^{\dagger}$, and initially we have $\tilde{\rho}_0 = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$, $\tilde{\rho}_0^{01} = 0$, and $\tilde{\rho}_0^{00} = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we derived a filtering equation (quantum trajectories) for a system interacting with the environment prepared in a continuous mode single-photon state. The initial state of the "system + photon field" is factorized; however, the initial state of the field is highly entangled (depending on the photon profile ξ_t). We consider both the counting and diffusion processes. Although such a filtering equation was already derived by Gough *et al.* [\[23,24\]](#page-10-0) our approach is different and much simpler. The authors of [\[23,24\]](#page-10-0) start with the general quantum stochastic differential equation of Hudson and Parthasarathy [\[9\]](#page-10-0) and apply the general technique to the case of a correlated single-photon state. It is, therefore, clear that one has to assume the reader is familiar with quantum stochastic calculus. Our approach is more direct: starting with the simple repeated interaction model [\[30–33\]](#page-10-0) we present the model of a quantum system interacting with an infinite chain of identical and independent quantum systems representing the environment. This approach gives an intuitive and rigorous interpretation for the conditional evolution of the open quantum and quantum trajectories. Another advantage of our approach is simple and very intuitive interpretation of quantum trajectories. In particular we derive the probability density of observing a particular trajectory corresponding to *m* counts at $t > t_m > ... > t_2 > t_1 > 0$ and probability of no counts up to time *t*. Our filtering equations in a continuous limit are consistent with the results given in [\[23,24,29\]](#page-10-0). It should be stressed that initial correlations of the photon field imply that the averaged filtering equation (over all possible results of measurements) is not of the standard Kossakowski-Lindbald form. Due to the presence of initial correlations the resulting averaged dynamics is highly non-Markovian. Interestingly, the non-Markovian nature of the physics here is rather due to the preexisting entanglement in the environment, and not to any information back flow as is often the case in other non-Markovian systems [\[44\]](#page-10-0). This problem we are going to analyze in a forthcoming paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments. This paper was partially supported by the National Science Center Project No. 2015/17/B/ST2/02026.

- [1] A. Barchielli and V. P. Belavkin, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/24/7/022) **[24](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/24/7/022)**, [1495](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/24/7/022) [\(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/24/7/022).
- [2] L. Bouten, M. Guță, and H. Maassen, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/9/010) [37](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/9/010), [3189](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/9/010) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/37/9/010).
- [3] V. P. Belavkin, *Quantum Aspects of Optical Communications*, edited by C. Bendjaballah, O. Hirota, and S. Reynaud,

Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 378 (Springer, Berlin, 1991), pp. 151–163.

[4] H. Carmichael, *An Open Systems Approach to Quantum Optics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993).

- [5] H. P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*, (Oxford University, New York, 2002).
- [6] C. W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum Noise* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010).
- [7] H. M. Wiseman and G. J. Milburn, *Quantum Measurement and Control* (Cambridge University, Cambridge, England, 2010).
- [8] A. Barchielli, *Open Quantum Systems III*, edited by S. Attal, A. Joye, and C.-A. Pillet, Lecture Notes Math. 1882 (Springer, Berlin, 2006), pp. 207–292.
- [9] R. L. Hudson and K. R. Parthasarathy, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01258530) **[93](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01258530)**, [301](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01258530) [\(1984\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01258530).
- [10] K. R. Parthasarathy, *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus*, Monographs in Mathematics 85 (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992).
- [11] A. Dąbrowska and J. E. Gough, [Russian J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1061920816020035) **[23](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1061920816020035)**, [172](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1061920816020035) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1061920816020035).
- [12] R. Loudon, *The Quantum Theory of Light*, 3rd ed. (Oxford University, Oxford, 2000).
- [13] P. P. Rohde, W. Mauerer, and C. Silberhorn, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/4/091) **[9](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/4/091)**, [91](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/4/091) [\(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/9/4/091).
- [14] G. J. Milburn, [Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2008-00699-5) **[159](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2008-00699-5)**, [113](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2008-00699-5) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2008-00699-5).
- [15] A. I. Lvovsky, H. Hansen, T. Aichele, O. Benson, J. Mlynek, and S. Schiller, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.050402) **[87](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.050402)**, [050402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.050402) [\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.050402).
- [16] [M. G. Raymer, J. Noh, K. Banaszek, and I. A. Walmsley,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.023825) *Phys.* Rev. A **[72](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.023825)**, [023825](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.023825) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.72.023825).
- [17] M. Stobińska, G. Alber, and G. Leuchs, [Euro. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/86/14007) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/86/14007)**, [14007](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/86/14007) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/86/14007).
- [18] M. Stobińska, G. Alber, and G. Leuchs, [Adv. Quantum Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3276(10)60008-1) **[60](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3276(10)60008-1)**, [457](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3276(10)60008-1) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3276(10)60008-1).
- [19] A. M. Brańczyk, T. C. Ralph, W. Helwig, and C. Silberhorn, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/6/063001) **[12](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/6/063001)**, [063001](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/6/063001) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/6/063001).
- [20] Y. Wang, J. Minář, L. Sheridan, and V. Scarani, *[Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.063842)* **[83](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.063842)**, [063842](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.063842) [\(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.063842).
- [21] B. Q. Baragiola, R. L. Cook, A. M. Brańczyk, and J. Combes, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.013811) **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.013811)**, [013811](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.013811) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.013811).
- [22] S. Basiri-Esfahani, U. Akram, and G. J. Milburn, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/8/085017) **[14](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/8/085017)**, [085017](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/8/085017) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/8/085017).
- [23] J. E. Gough, M. R. James, and H. I. Nurdin, *50th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference, 2011* (IEEE, 2011), pp. 5570–5576.
- [24] [J. E. Gough, M. R. James, H. I. Nurdin, and J. Combes,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.043819) *Phys.* Rev. A **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.043819)**, [043819](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.043819) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.043819).
- [25] [J. E. Gough, M. R. James, and H. I. Nurdin,](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2011.0524) *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* A **[370](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2011.0524)**, [5408](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2011.0524) [\(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2011.0524).
- [26] [J. E. Gough, M. R. James, and H. I. Nurdin,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-012-0373-z) Quantum Inf. Process. **[12](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-012-0373-z)**, [1469](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-012-0373-z) [\(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-012-0373-z).
- [27] [K. M. Gheri, K. Ellinger, T. Pellizzari, and P. Zoller,](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3978(199806)46:4/5<401::AID-PROP401>3.0.CO;2-W) Fotschr. Phys. **[46](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3978(199806)46:4/5<401::AID-PROP401>3.0.CO;2-W)**, [401](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3978(199806)46:4/5<401::AID-PROP401>3.0.CO;2-W) [\(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3978(199806)46:4/5<401::AID-PROP401>3.0.CO;2-W).
- [28] H. T. Song, G. F. Zhang, and Z. R. Xi, [SIAM J. Control Optim.](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1023099) **[54](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1023099)**, [1602](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1023099) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1137/15M1023099).
- [29] B. Q. Baragiola and J. Combes, [Phys. Rev. A](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.023819) **[96](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.023819)**, [023819](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.023819) [\(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.023819).
- [30] S. Attal and Y. Pautrat, [Ann. Henri Poincaré](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-005-0242-8) **[7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-005-0242-8)**, [59](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-005-0242-8) [\(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-005-0242-8).
- [31] C. Pellegrini, [Ann. Probab.](https://doi.org/10.1214/08-AOP391) **[36](https://doi.org/10.1214/08-AOP391)**, [2332](https://doi.org/10.1214/08-AOP391) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1214/08-AOP391).
- [32] C. Pellegrini and F. Petruccione, [J. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/42/425304) **[42](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/42/425304)**, [425304](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/42/425304) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/42/42/425304).
- [33] C. Pellegrini, [Stoch. Proc. Appl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2010.03.010) **[120](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2010.03.010)**, [1722](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2010.03.010) [\(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2010.03.010).
- [34] P.-A. Meyer, *Quantum Probability for Probabilists*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1538 (Springer, New York, 1995).
- [35] L. Accardi and A. Bach, in *Quantum Probability and Applications (Rome, 1987)*, edited by L. Accardi and W. von Walden-fels, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1396 (Springer, Berlin, 1989), Vol. 4, p. 7.
- [36] J. Gough, [Lett. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:MATH.0000035039.56638.e1) **[67](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:MATH.0000035039.56638.e1)**, [207](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:MATH.0000035039.56638.e1) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:MATH.0000035039.56638.e1).
- [37] J. Gough and A. Sobolev, [Open Syst. Inf. Dyn.](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:OPSY.0000047568.89682.10) **[11](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:OPSY.0000047568.89682.10)**, [235](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:OPSY.0000047568.89682.10) [\(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:OPSY.0000047568.89682.10).
- [38] Y. Pautrat, [Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-004-3396-6) **[8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-004-3396-6)**, [121](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-004-3396-6) [\(2005\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11040-004-3396-6).
- [39] L. Sahu, [Proc. Math. Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12044-008-0034-4) **[118](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12044-008-0034-4)**, [443](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12044-008-0034-4) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12044-008-0034-4).
- [40] B. Käummerer, in *Quantum Independent Increment Processes*, edited by M. Schäurmann and U. Franz, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1866 (Springer, Berlin, 2006), Vol. 2, p. 259.
- [41] L. Bouten and R. Handel, [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3001109) **[49](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3001109)**, [102109](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3001109) [\(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3001109).
- [42] L. Bouten, R. Handel, and M. R. James, [SIAM Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1137/060671504) **[51](https://doi.org/10.1137/060671504)**, [239](https://doi.org/10.1137/060671504) [\(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1137/060671504).
- [43] T. A. Brun, [Amer. J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1475328) **[70](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1475328)**, [719](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1475328) [\(2002\)](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.1475328).
- [44] [H.-P. Breuer, E.-M. Laine, J. Piilo, and B. Vacchini,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.021002) Rev. Mod. Phys. **[88](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.021002)**, [021002](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.021002) [\(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.021002).