

**Erratum: Thermalization of the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model in blackbody radiation  
[Phys. Rev. A **95**, 042107 (2017)]**

T. Macrì, M. Ostilli, and C. Presilla  
(Received 3 September 2017; published 12 October 2017)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.96.049905](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.049905)

In our paper we have erroneously stated that the quantity,

$$R_j = \frac{e^{-\beta E(j, m_z^{(1)})}}{Z_j}, \tag{1}$$

appearing in Eq. (62), attains the limit,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R_j = 0, \tag{2}$$

with an exponential decrease in  $N$  in both the paramagnetic and the ferromagnetic regions. However, a proper evaluation of the partition function  $Z_j$  shows that for  $N$  large  $R_j$  vanishes as  $1/\sqrt{N}$  in the ferromagnetic region and approaches a constant value in the paramagnetic one. The correct result does not affect the conclusions of our paper in any way. In fact, independent of the vanishing speed,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R_j = 0$  implies  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{(P)} \geq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{(Q)}$ , a condition effectively used only in the ferromagnetic region.

We also observe that Eqs. (47) and (48) contain some typographical errors, the correct expressions, respectively, being

$$Z_j = e^{\beta \mathcal{J} j(j+1)/N} \sum_{m_z \in [-j, -(j-1), \dots, j]} e^{-\beta m_z (\mathcal{J} m_z / N - \Gamma)} = e^{\beta \mathcal{J} j(j+1)/N} \sum_{x \in [-1, -(j-1)/j, \dots, 1]} e^{-\beta \alpha x N (\mathcal{J} \alpha x - \Gamma)}, \tag{3}$$

and

$$Z_j = \sqrt{\frac{\pi N}{\beta \mathcal{J}}} e^{\beta \mathcal{J} j(j+1)/N} e^{\beta \Gamma^2 N / (4 \mathcal{J})}. \tag{4}$$

More importantly, we notice that Eq. (4) (for simplicity we keep only the leading term in  $N$ ) holds only for  $|\Gamma|N/(2\mathcal{J}) < j$ , i.e., in the ferromagnetic region  $\Gamma_c^- < \Gamma < \Gamma_c^+$ , where  $\Gamma_c^\pm = \pm 2j\mathcal{J}/N$ . Further details on the expression of  $Z_j$  in the paramagnetic regions  $\Gamma < \Gamma_c^-$  and  $\Gamma > \Gamma_c^+$  together with an analysis of the ground-state properties of the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model will be given elsewhere.