Gapless surface states originating from accidentally degenerate quadratic band touching in a three-dimensional tetragonal photonic crystal

Tetsuyuki Ochiai

Research Center for Functional Materials, National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan (Received 2 June 2017; published 17 October 2017)

A tetragonal photonic crystal composed of high-index pillars can exhibit a frequency-isolated accidental degeneracy at a high-symmetry point in the first Brillouin zone. A photonic band gap can be formed there by introducing a geometrical anisotropy in the pillars. In this gap, gapless surface and domain-wall states emerge under a certain condition. We analyze their physical properties in terms of an effective Hamiltonian, and a good agreement between the effective theory and numerical calculation is obtained.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.96.043842

I. INTRODUCTION

Gapless boundary states are often attributed to a certain property in the bulk states. One representative example is a quantum Hall system, where the so-called bulk-edge correspondence is established [1,2]. Namely, if a system is topologically nontrivial in the bulk, then the system sustains gapless boundary states. Such boundary states are generally robust against various perturbations. This bulk-edge correspondence rule is found to hold in a wide variety of classical and quantum systems, including photonic [3], mechanical [4], acoustic [5], and magnonic [6] systems.

Closely related to this rule, two-dimensional (2D) massive Dirac Hamiltonian has the gapless domain-wall states in the system with two domains having opposite sign of the mass term [7]. These domain-wall states have the linear dispersion with the definite slope, irrespective of details in the domain-wall profile. Solely the relative sign of the mass term determines the slope. In this sense, the domain-wall states are topological and robust against the modulation of the domain-wall profile. Similar domain-wall states also exist in a 2D quadratic Hamiltonian with a time-reversal violating perturbation [8].

In optics, such boundary and domain-wall states in 2D systems can be used as a novel channel waveguide that is robust against various perturbations. If the system breaks the time-reversal symmetry by the magneto-optical effect, resulting boundary states can form a nonreciprocal (one-way) waveguide [3,9–11]. If the time-reversal symmetry holds, they can form a helical, or in other words, polarization- or spin-dependent one-way waveguide [12–15]. These waveguides are quite extraordinary and not available by conventional designs of the optical nonreciprocity [16–18].

In three-dimensional (3D) optical systems, similar boundary and/or domain-wall states are also important. There are several reasons for this statement: (1) In three spatial dimensions, a wide variety of nontrivial topology [19–21] and thus a wide variety of optical functionality are available. (2) Optical 3D systems have the built-in photospin-orbit interaction [22] that is essential for possible spin-dependent light transport. (3) Ultimate photonic integrated circuits are photonic 3D architectures [23]. Therefore, functional 3D optical components that are robust against perturbations are definitely in order. In this paper, we propose a way to construct optical gapless surface and domain-wall states in three spatial dimensions. We focus on a 3D tetragonal photonic crystal (PhC) composed of high-refractive-index pillars. At high symmetry points in the Brillouin zone, four bands with two mutually different doubly degenerate representations can be accidentally degenerate quadratically. We first design this accidental degeneracy by tuning geometries of the pillars. Then, we introduce a symmetry-breaking perturbation for the parity with respect to the pillar axis. This causes a gap opening. In the gap, gapless surface and domain-wall states are shown to emerge.

Similar domain-wall states was reported in 3D hexagonal PhCs [24] as 3D photonic topological insulators. There, a linear band touching of the Dirac type (having four eigenstates with two mutually degenerate bands) is argued. We extend this argument to the case of a quadratic band touching. A related structure of tetragonal photonic crystals was also proposed to emulate the topological crystalline insulator (TCI) [25]. However, its design strategy, that is, dropping the system into the tight-binding Hamiltonian of the TCI under the Rayleigh approximation, is very different from ours.

This paper is organized as follows. We first demonstrate numerically the emergence of the gapless surface–domainwall states in Sec. II. We then argue these features by employing an effective Hamiltonian description with an aid of group theory in Sec. III. Summary and discussions are given in Sec. IV.

II. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We consider a 3D tetragonal PhC composed of highrefractive-index pillars. A schematic illustration of the system under study is shown in Fig. 1. We first deal with simple circular pillars. Then, we consider two-step pillars that cause the parity symmetry breaking in the z axis (taken as the pillar axis). The point group of this PhC before the symmetry breaking is D_{4h} , which has two doubly degenerate irreducible representations, E_g and E_u , at high symmetry points Γ , M, Z, and A in the first Brillouin zone [26]. We can design an accidental degeneracy there between the two modes, E_g and E_u .

Figure 2(a) shows the photonic band structure of a tetragonal PhC with the accidentally degeneracy around $\omega a/2\pi c =$ 0.24 at the M point. The parameters of the PhC are specified in the caption of Fig. 2. Here, ω is the angular frequency of light, *a* is the lattice constant of the PhC in plane, and *c*



FIG. 1. (a) Tetragonal photonic crystal composed of circular pillars. The pillar axis is taken to be parallel to the *z* direction. (b) Tetragonal photonic crystal composed of two-step circular pillars. The parity symmetry in the *z* direction is broken. (c) The first Brillouin zone for the bulk photonic crystals of panels (a) and (b), and the surface Brillouin zone relevant to the system without the translational invariance along the *z* direction. Points of high symmetry are also indicated.

is the light velocity in vacuum. The rigorous coupled-wave analysis (RCWA) is employed in the numerical calculation [27–30]. The accidental degeneracy results in a quadratic band touching among the four bands in the (k_x, k_y) plane. As for the k_z direction (along the MA axis), the band dispersion is linear and doubly degenerate. Such a band anisotropy comes from the structural anisotropy of the tetragonal PhC.

By introducing the symmetry breaking to the pillars, the point group of the system reduces to C_{4v} . Both the E_g and E_u modes reduce to the *E* modes of C_{4v} . Since the modes of the same representation repel each other, the accidentally degeneracy between the E_g and E_u modes is lifted after introducing the symmetry breaking, forming a band gap there. We can see clearly the gap opening around $\omega a/2\pi c = 0.245$ in Fig. 2(b). This gap is shown to support gapless domain-wall states.

For later convenience, the electromagnetic field configurations of the bulk modes near the accidental degeneracy are shown in Fig. 2(c). The first and fourth modes become the E_g mode at the M point. As seen in the figure, they are the electricdipole-like modes. The second and third modes become the E_u mode at M. They are the magnetic-dipole-like modes.

In this example, we assume very high refractive index for the pillars. The reason why we take a very high value is as follows. In the PhC of high refractive-index pillars, the photonic bands become flat in frequency. The flat-band frequencies are equal to the frequencies of the Mie resonance in the isolated pillar. However, at high-symmetry points in the Brillouin zone, some bands tend to degenerate. Thus, such bands stick together at the points, and the frequencies. In this way, frequency-isolated degeneracy can take place. In this case, we can easily make a complete band gap (the band gap irrespective of k vectors) by the symmetry breaking.

Next, we consider a domain wall formed by two domains stacked in the z direction. The two domains have the opposite

sign of the parity symmetry breaking (inverted the pillar axis). Two types of the domain wall is considered as shown in Fig. 3. Figure 4 shows the band structure of the domain-wall states, evaluated with the RCWA. In the gap around $\omega a/2\pi c = 0.245$, there are gapless domain-wall dispersion curves forming quadratic band touching at the \overline{M} point in the surface Brillouin zone. The spatial symmetries there are different between the two types. In type I, the mode symmetry at \overline{M} is E_g , whereas in type II, it is E_u (note that the composite system has the D_{4h} symmetry as a whole, though each domain has solely the C_{4v} symmetry).

Similar surface states with quadratic band touching emerge in the upper surface of the single-domain finite-thick PhC of Fig. 1(b) capped by the perfect-electric-conductor (PEC) wall. Figure 5(a) shows such surface states in the finite-thick PhC. Here, the bottom surface is open, touching the outer medium of $\epsilon = 1$, where ϵ is the permittivity. The surface states at the bottom are gapless. If the perfect-magnetic-conductor (PMC) wall is placed at the bottom surface, it produces similar surface states as the type II domain wall, as shown in Fig. 5(b). In this case, the top surface, which is open to the outer medium, has the gapped dispersion of the surface states.

The quadratic band touching and gaplessness of the domain-wall states are robust against changing the domain-wall width. However, the surface states of the PEC or PMC wall can be gapped out by changing the distance to the wall. If the distance is very large compared to relevant wavelength, the system can be regarded as an isolated single-domain PhC with open boundaries. In this case, the surface states at the top are fully gapped, as shown in Fig. 5(b). In this sense, the system is not topological, and gaplessness and robustness are limited in the domain-wall states.

In Fig. 5(c), we plot the field configuration of the gapless surface states near the degenerate point. We should point out that the field configurations have a close resemblance to those of the bulk modes given in Fig. 2(c). Namely, the electric-(magnetic)-dipole-like behavior of the PEC (PMC) surface modes is quite similar to those of the first and fourth (second and third) modes in Fig. 2(c). Note that the bulk modes in Fig. 2(c) are of the symmetry-unbroken system. A similar property is also found for the domain-wall states. We will see, in the next section, that this resemblance can be well understood with the aid of an effective Hamiltonian.

III. UNDERSTANDING VIA EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

To understand the numerical results given in Sec. II, we consider an effective Hamiltonian around the accidental degeneracy. It is generally written as [31]

$$\mathcal{H}_{pq} = \langle \psi_0^{(0p)} | H' | \psi_0^{(0q)} \rangle + \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\langle \psi_0^{(0p)} | H' | \psi_0^{(n)} \rangle \langle \psi_0^{(n)} | H' | \psi_0^{(0q)} \rangle}{E_0^{(0)} - E_0^{(n)}}, \quad (1)$$

$$H = H_0 + H', \tag{2}$$

$$H_0 |\psi_0^{(n)}\rangle = E_0^{(n)} |\psi_0^{(n)}\rangle, \tag{3}$$



FIG. 2. [(a), (b)] Photonic band structure of a tetragonal photonic crystal composed of circular pillars of a high-index material before (a) and after (b) introducing the *z*-parity symmetry breaking. In panel (a), the dielectric constant ϵ , radius *r*, and height *h* of the pillars are taken to be 100, 0.295*a*, and 0.259*a*, respectively, where *a* is the lattice constant in plane. The lattice constant *a_z* in the *z* direction is 0.5*a*. The outer medium is air ($\epsilon = 1$). In panel (b), the pillar is an coaxial two-step one with inner-core radius of 0.75*r* and height *h*. The outer shell has radius *r* and height 0.75*h*. The dielectric constant of the pillar is 100. (c) Electric and magnetic field intensities of the four Bloch modes (ordered in frequency) near the accidental degeneracy in panel (a) (marked by arrow). The Bloch wave vector is $\mathbf{k} = (0.45, 0.45, 0.)2\pi/a$, and the intensities are plotted on a mirror *xy* plane in between two consecutive layers of the pillars. The maximal field intensity on the plane is normalized to be 1. The white circle represents the in-plane position of the pillar edge.

for a generic Hamiltonian H with a degeneracy in the zerothorder approximation. The states $|\psi_0^{(0p)}\rangle$ (p = 1, 2, ..., N)are the *N*-fold degenerate eigenstates of the zeroth-order Hamiltonian H_0 with eigenvalue $E_0^{(0)}$. The other eigenstates are denoted as $|\psi_0^{(n)}\rangle$ with eigenvalue $E_0^{(n)}$ $(n \neq 0)$. The lifting of the degeneracy is described by the effective Hamiltonian.

Besides, the Maxwell equation casts into a Hamiltonian (diagonalization) form as

$$H|\psi\rangle = E|\psi\rangle,$$
 (4)

$$\langle \boldsymbol{G}|\boldsymbol{H}|\boldsymbol{G}'\rangle = -\eta_{\boldsymbol{G}-\boldsymbol{G}'}(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{G})\times(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{G}')\times,\qquad(5)$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{G} | \psi \rangle = \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{G}}, \quad \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2},$$
 (6)

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon(\mathbf{x})} = \sum_{G} \eta_{G} e^{iG \cdot \mathbf{x}},\tag{7}$$

where $\epsilon(x)$ is the periodic permittivity in the PhC and G is a reciprocal lattice vector. The vector h_G is the Fourier



FIG. 3. Schematic illustration of domain walls used in the numerical calculation. Two types of the domain walls are considered.



FIG. 4. Dispersion relation of the domain-wall states in the composite structure with the two domains of the symmetry-broken tetragonal photonic crystal. The pillar axis of one domain is inverted from that in the other domain. The parameters are the same as in the Fig. 2(b). The domain-wall states of type I (II) are indicated by red filled (blue open) circle. The shaded region is the projection of the bulk band structure.

coefficient of the magnetic field of Bloch momentum k and angular frequency ω :

$$\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \operatorname{Re}[\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})e^{-i\omega t}], \qquad (8)$$

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{G} h_{G} e^{i(k+G) \cdot \mathbf{x}},\tag{9}$$

where Re represents the real part.

To derive the effective Hamiltonian, we put $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0 + \delta \mathbf{k}$ and $\eta_G = \eta_G^0 + \delta \eta_G$. Here, \mathbf{k}_0 is taken to be a high-symmetry point in the Brillouin zone, where the accidental degeneracy takes place, and η_G^0 is the Fourier coefficient of the permittivity of the PhC with the *z*-parity symmetry. The deviation $\delta \eta_G$ is parametrized linearly by ξ and satisfies $\delta \eta_{\sigma_z G} = -\delta \eta_G$, where σ_z represents the *z*-parity operation. The zeroth-order Hamiltonian is given by Eq. (5) at $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0$ and $\eta_G = \eta_G^0$. The perturbation part is the rest of *H*, namely, $H' = H - H_0$.

Suppose that the system has the D_{4h} symmetry at a high-symmetry point $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0$ in the Brillouin zone and that E_g and E_u modes are accidentally degenerate. The effective Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} consists of two parts, namely, the $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ part $\mathcal{H}_{\delta k}$ and symmetry-breaking part \mathcal{H}_{ξ} . The $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ part satisfies

$$\mathcal{H}_{\delta k} = D^{\dagger}(A) \mathcal{H}_{A\delta k} D(A), \tag{10}$$

$$D(A) = B\operatorname{diag}(D_{E_g}(A), D_{E_u}(A)), \qquad (11)$$

where A is an element of D_{4h} , and $D_{E_{g(u)}}(A)$ is its representation matrix for irreducible representation $E_{g(u)}$. Namely,

$$A\boldsymbol{E}_{E_{g(u)}}^{(p)}(A^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{q=1,2} \boldsymbol{E}_{E_{g(u)}}^{(q)}(\boldsymbol{x})[D_{E_{g(u)}}(A)]_{qp}, \qquad (12)$$

where $E_{E_{g(u)}}^{(p)}(\mathbf{x})$ (p = 1,2) is the electric field eigenstate of the doubly degenerate representation $E_{g(u)}$. The symmetry-

breaking part satisfies

$$\mathcal{H}_{\xi} = D^{\dagger}(A)\mathcal{H}_{\xi}D(A) \quad (A \in C_{4v}), \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\xi} = -D^{\dagger}(\sigma_z)\mathcal{H}_{\xi}D(\sigma_z). \tag{14}$$

Using this relation, we can show that the effective Hamiltonian up to the second order in δk and first order in ξ has the following form:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{++} & \mathcal{H}_{+-} \\ \mathcal{H}_{-+} & \mathcal{H}_{--} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{++} = \left(a_{0x}\delta k_{\parallel}^2 + a_{0z}\delta k_z^2\right)\hat{1} + a_{3x}\left(\delta k_x^2 - \delta k_y^2\right)\sigma_3 + a_{1xy}\delta k_x\delta k_y\sigma_1,$$
(16)

$$\mathcal{H}_{+-} = (a_{3z}\delta k_z + \mathrm{i}b_3\xi)\sigma_3,\tag{17}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{-+} = (a_{3z}\delta k_z - \mathrm{i}b_3\xi)\sigma_3,\tag{18}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{--} = \left(a_{0x}' \delta k_{\parallel}^2 + a_{0z}' \delta k_z^2\right) \hat{1} + a_{3x}' \left(\delta k_x^2 - \delta k_y^2\right) \sigma_3 + a_{1xy}' \delta k_x \delta k_y \sigma_1,$$
(19)

where $\hat{1}$ is the 2×2 unit matrix, and σ_i (i = 1,2,3) is the Pauli matrix. The coefficients a_{0x} , a_{0z} , a_{1xy} , a_{3x} , a_{3z} , a'_{0x} , a'_{0z} , a'_{1xy} , a'_{3x} , and b_3 are all real and are determined from the unperturbed eigenstates.

By diagonalizing the effective Hamiltonian, four eigenvalues are obtained. At $\delta \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} = 0$, we have two doubly degenerate eigenvalues of a massive Dirac type:

$$\varepsilon = \pm \sqrt{(a_{3z}\delta k_z)^2 + (b_3\xi)^2}.$$
 (20)

At $\delta k_z = \xi = 0$, the four eigenvalues become

$$\varepsilon = a_{0x} \delta \boldsymbol{k}_{\parallel}^2 \pm \sqrt{a_{3x}^2 \left(\delta k_x^2 - \delta k_y^2\right)^2 + a_{1xy}^2 \delta k_x^2 \delta k_y^2}, \qquad (21)$$

$$a_{0x}^{\prime}\delta k_{\parallel}^{2} \pm \sqrt{a_{3x}^{\prime 2} \left(\delta k_{x}^{2} - \delta k_{y}^{2}\right)^{2} + a_{1xy}^{\prime 2} \delta k_{x}^{2} \delta k_{y}^{2}}, \qquad (22)$$

which are stuck together at $\delta \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} = 0$. These properties are fully consistent with the band diagram in Fig. 2. We should point out that there is the linear term of δk_z in the effective Hamiltonian, whereas linear terms of $\delta \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}$ are absent. Since the linear term is dominating in the vicinity of $\delta k_z = 0$, the quadratic term in δk_z is fairly neglected there.

We consider a composite structure with two domains characterized by opposite sign of parameter ξ . The other parameters are common in the two domains. The effective Hamiltonian in the composite structure is obtained by replacing δk_z by $-i\partial/\partial z$ and ξ by $\xi(z)$. Typically, $\xi(z)$ is given by $\xi_0 \tanh(z/w)$, where z = 0 is the center coordinate of the domain wall and w is its width. The eigenvalue equation for the domain-wall states is thus given by

$$\mathcal{H}\left(\delta k_z \to -i\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \xi \to \xi(z)\right)\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \varepsilon\psi(\boldsymbol{x}).$$
(23)



FIG. 5. [(a), (b)] Dispersion curves of the surface states in the 16-layer-thick tetragonal photonic crystal without the *z*-parity symmetry. Projection of the bulk band structure is also plotted. The parameters are the same as in the Fig. 2(b). In panel (a), the PhC is capped by the perfect-electric-conductor (PEC) wall placed with distance $(a_z - h)/2$ from the top of the PhC. The bottom surface is open. In panel (b), the PhC is capped by the perfect-magnetic-conductor (PMC) wall with distance $(a_z - h)/2$ from the bottom of the PhC. The top surface is open. The surface states at the top (bottom) are indicated by red filled (blue open) circle. (c) Electric and magnetic field intensities of the surface modes near the \overline{M} point in the surface Brillouin zone [marked by arrows in panels (a) and (b)]. The Bloch wave vector is $\mathbf{k} = (0.45, 0.45)2\pi/a$, and the intensities are plotted on a PEC-PMC wall. The maximal field intensity on the wall is normalized to be 1. The white circle represents the in-plane position of the two-step pillar edge.

We can show that this equation supports the domain wall states with

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{b_3}{a_{3z}} \int^z dz' \xi(z')} e^{i\delta \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\parallel}}, \tag{24}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{++}\psi_{+} = \varepsilon\psi_{+},\tag{25}$$

$$\varepsilon = a_{0x} \delta \boldsymbol{k}_{\parallel}^2 \pm \sqrt{a_{3x}^2 \left(\delta k_x^2 - \delta k_y^2\right)^2 + a_{1xy}^2 \delta k_x^2 \delta k_y^2}, \qquad (26)$$

provided $(b_3/a_{3z})\xi_0 > 0$. On the other hand, if $(b_3/a_{3z})\xi_0 < 0$, we have

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \psi_{-} \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{b_{3}}{a_{3z}} \int^{z} dz' \xi(z')} e^{i\delta \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\parallel}},$$
(27)

$$\mathcal{H}_{--}\psi_{-} = \varepsilon\psi_{-},\tag{28}$$

$$\varepsilon = a'_{0x} \delta k_{\parallel}^2 \pm \sqrt{a'_{3x}^2 \left(\delta k_x^2 - \delta k_y^2\right)^2 + a'_{1xy}^2 \delta k_x^2 \delta k_y^2}.$$
 (29)

Here, we neglect the δk_z^2 term.

These eigenvalues of the domain-wall states are the same as those of the bulk modes without the symmetry breaking $(\xi = 0)$ at $\delta k_z = 0$ [Eqs. (21) and (22)], within the effective theory. In fact, in the numerical calculation given in Sec. II, the dispersion curves of the domain-wall states around $\omega a/2\pi c =$ 0.245 in Fig. 4 are quite similar in shape to the dispersion curves of the bulk modes around $\omega a/2\pi c = 0.24$ in Fig. 2(a), after reducing the relative splitting of the domain-wall dispersion curves at \overline{M} . First of all, they both exhibit the gapless band structures. Second, the band curvatures around \overline{M} of the domain-wall states have the same trend as the curvature around M of the bulk modes. Third, the spatial symmetries have the same set $(E_g \text{ and } E_u)$. The relative splitting can be explained by fully taking account of the $\delta k_z^2 \rightarrow -\partial^2/\partial z^2$ term. In this way, a good agreement between the effective theory and numerical calculation is obtained.

We also note that the surface states of Fig. 5 can be also explained within the effective Hamiltonian. There, the PEC or PMC boundary condition is imposed. In terms of the effective theory, the radiation field F(=E,B,D,H) is written as the superposition of the E_g and E_u modes (at $\delta k = 0$) of the unperturbed Hamiltonian:

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{p=1,2} \left[\psi_{+}^{(p)}(\mathbf{x}) F_{E_g}^{(p)}(\mathbf{x}) + \psi_{-}^{(p)}(\mathbf{x}) F_{E_u}^{(p)}(\mathbf{x}) \right].$$
(30)

If we put the PEC (PMC) wall at a mirror plane $(z = z_0)$ of the unperturbed tetragonal PhC as assumed in Fig. 5, we must have $\psi_{-(+)}(z_0) = 0$. This is because, by symmetry, the E_g mode has $E_x = E_y = H_z = 0$ at $z = z_0$, and the E_u mode has $H_x = H_y = E_z = 0$ at $z = z_0$. The PEC (PMC) boundary condition imposes $E_x = E_y = 0$ ($H_x = H_y = 0$) for the superposed radiation field at the wall, so that $\psi_{-(+)}(z_0) = 0$ is derived.

If $(b_3/a_{3z})\xi > 0$, the effective Hamiltonian has the eigenstates

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{b_3}{a_{3z}}\xi z} e^{i\delta \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\parallel}}, \tag{31}$$

$$\varepsilon = a_{0x} \delta \boldsymbol{k}_{\parallel}^2 \pm \sqrt{a_{3x}^2 \left(\delta k_x^2 - \delta k_y^2\right)^2 + a_{1xy}^2 \delta k_x^2 \delta k_y^2} \qquad (32)$$

for the PEC wall at the bottom and

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \psi_{-} \end{pmatrix} e^{\frac{b_3}{a_{3z}}\xi z} e^{i\delta \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\parallel}}, \tag{33}$$

$$\varepsilon = a'_{0x}\delta \boldsymbol{k}_{\parallel}^2 \pm \sqrt{a'^2_{3x} \left(\delta k_x^2 - \delta k_y^2\right)^2 + a'^2_{1xy}\delta k_x^2 \delta k_y^2}$$
(34)

for the PMC wall at the top.

Otherwise, if $(b_3/a_{3z})\xi < 0$, the eigenstates become Eq. (33) for the PMC wall at the bottom, and Eq. (31) for the PEC wall at the top. Actually, we found the latter case in Sec. II. These equations explain very well why the field configurations of the PEC and PMC surface states in Fig. 5(c) have a close resemblance to those of the E_g and E_u bulk modes in Fig. 2(c).

If the PEC or PMC wall is not put on the mirror plane, the dispersion relation of the surface states change from Eqs. (32) and (34). In such cases, the simple argument given above is not available because of a symmetry mismatch at the wall.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In summary, we have shown that an accidentally degenerate quadratic band touching of four bands in a tetragonal PhC composed of circular pillars can yield gapless domain-wall states after breaking the parity symmetry along the pillar axis. The dispersion relation of the domain-wall states is nearly equal to the quadratic dispersion of the bulk modes of the PhC at $k_z = 0$ before the symmetry breaking. Moreover, the surface modes of a similar dispersion emerge at the boundary surface capped by the PEC or PMC wall. We explicitly present these property by numerical calculations of the Maxwell equation and by an effective Hamiltonian description.

The anisotropic band structure of the tetragonal PhC makes the surface band structure highly surface dependent. For instance, if we cut the system at a plane normal to the x direction, the translational invariance in the (y,z) plane holds. In this case, no surface states are predicted around $(\delta k_y, \delta k_z) =$ (0,0) under a rough estimation in the effective Hamiltonian. However, it is still possible to have nontrivial surface states that are not described well within the effective theory.

A gap formation by the parity symmetry breaking can occur also for the accident degeneracy between two nondegenerate modes of D_{4h} , such as A_{1g} and A_{2u} , that reduce to the same irreducible representation (A_1 in this case) of C_{4v} . The reduced modes repel each other because of the same irreducible representation. The effective 2×2 Hamiltonian around the accidentally degeneracy becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = (a_{0x}\delta \boldsymbol{k}_{\parallel}^{2} + a_{0z}\delta k_{z}^{2})\hat{1} + (a_{1z}\delta k_{z} + b_{1}\xi)\sigma_{1} + (a_{2z}\delta k_{z} + b_{2}\xi)\sigma_{2} + (a_{3x}\delta \boldsymbol{k}_{\parallel}^{2} + a_{3z}\delta k_{z}^{2})\sigma_{3}.$$
(35)

by a similar symmetry argument as in Sec. III. Here, the coefficients a_{0x} , a_{0z} , a_{1z} , b_1 , a_{2z} , b_2 , a_{3x} , and a_{3z} are all real.

This effective Hamiltonian supports the domain-wall states with

$$\varepsilon = (a_{0x} + a_{3x})\delta \boldsymbol{k}_{\parallel}^2, \qquad (36)$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{b_1+ib_2}{a_{1z}+ia_{2z}}\int^z \xi(z')dz'} e^{i\delta \mathbf{k}_{\parallel}\cdot\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}}, \quad (37)$$

if the two domains are stacked in the *z* direction and have opposite signs of ξ , satisfying $a_{1z}b_2\xi(z \to \infty) < a_{2z}b_1\xi(z \to \infty)$. Again, the term quadratic in δk_z is neglected. If $a_{1z}b_2\xi(z \to \infty) > a_{2z}b_1\xi(z \to \infty)$, the domain-wall states become

$$\varepsilon = (a_{0x} - a_{3x})\delta k_{\parallel}^2, \tag{38}$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{b_1 - ib_2}{a_{1z} - ia_{2z}} \int^z \xi(z')dz'} e^{i\delta \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\parallel}}.$$
 (39)

Although these domain-wall states are robust insensitive to domain-wall profile $\xi(z)$, they are gapped, in contrast to the case discussed in Secs. II and III. Therefore, the gapless domain-wall states are limited for the accidentally degeneracy between the two mutually different doubly degenerate modes, E_g and E_u .

An important issue is the robustness of our domain-wall states against structural disorder and absorption loss. Taking account of disorder and loss in the PhC, the band gap under GAPLESS SURFACE STATES ORIGINATING FROM

consideration (strictly speaking, a spectral dip of the zero density of states of photons) generally shrinks its frequency window. Therefore, domain-wall states whose frequencies lie near the band edge are strongly affected by disorder and loss. However, in the midgap region, there are no photonic eigenstates other than the domain-wall ones, so that the domain-wall states are less affected and will survive from a perturbation viewpoint. However, since the gaplessness of the domain-wall states in our system is "symmetry protected," a possible disorder that destroys the C_{4v} symmetry will cause a gap in the domain-wall states. What happens if the C_{4v} symmetry holds on ensemble average of disorder is an important question to be solved. Thus, effects of possible strong or weak disorder on the photonic domain-wall states are interesting topics but are beyond the scope of the present paper.

In this paper, we have picked up a photonic system as a representative example of the quadratic accidental degeneracy. However, the argument in this paper is simply based on the group theory. Therefore, the prediction given here is not limited in the photonic systems but can emerge a wide class of wave phenomena on a certain crystal structure with the $D_{4h} \rightarrow C_{4v}$ point group.

We hope this paper stimulates further investigations of the gap and surface-state formation via accidental degeneracy together with symmetry breaking.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 17K05507.

- [1] X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 43, 11025 (1991).
- [2] Y. Hatsugai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3697 (1993).
- [3] F. D. M. Haldane and S. Raghu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 013904 (2008).
- [4] S. D. Huber, Nat. Phys. 12, 621 (2016).
- [5] Z. Yang, F. Gao, X. Shi, X. Lin, Z. Gao, Y. Chong, and B. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 114301 (2015).
- [6] R. Shindou, R. Matsumoto, S. Murakami, and J.-I. Ohe, Phys. Rev. B 87, 174427 (2013).
- [7] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D 13, 3398 (1976).
- [8] T. Ochiai, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 82, 124005 (2013).
- [9] Z. Wang, Y. D. Chong, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, Nature (London) 461, 772 (2009).
- [10] X. Ao, Z. Lin, and C. T. Chan, Phys. Rev. B 80, 033105 (2009).
- [11] T. Ochiai and M. Onoda, Phys. Rev. B 80, 155103 (2009).
- [12] M. Hafezi, E. A. Demler, M. D. Lukin, and J. M. Taylor, Nat. Phys. 7, 907 (2011).
- [13] A. B. Khanikaev, S. H. Mousavi, W.-K. Tse, M. Kargarian, A. H. MacDonald, and G. Shvets, Nat. Mater. 12, 233 (2013).
- [14] G. Q. Liang and Y. D. Chong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 203904 (2013).
- [15] L.-H. Wu and X. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 223901 (2015).
- [16] D. M. Pozar, *Microwave Engineering* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2005).

- [17] R. J. Potton, Rep. Prog. Phys. 67, 717 (2004).
- [18] T. Ochiai, Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater. 16, 014401 (2015).
- [19] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B 78, 195125 (2008).
- [20] L. Lu, L. Fu, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, Nat. Photon. 7, 294 (2013).
- [21] L. Lu, C. Fang, L. Fu, S. G. Johnson, J. D. Joannopoulos, and M. Soljačić, Nat. Phys. **12**, 337 (2016).
- [22] F. Jonsson and C. Flytzanis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 193903 (2006).
- [23] J. D. Joannopoulos, S. G. Johnson, J. N. Winn, and R. D. Meade, *Photonic Crystals: Molding the Flow of Light* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011).
- [24] A. Slobozhanyuk, S. H. Mousavi, X. Ni, D. Smirnova, Y. S. Kivshar, and A. B. Khanikaev, Nat. Photon. 11, 130 (2017).
- [25] V. Yannopapas, Phys. Rev. B 84, 195126 (2011).
- [26] T. Inui, Y. Tanabe, and Y. Onodera, *Group Theory and Its Applications in Physics* (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
- [27] E. Noponen and J. Turunen, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 11, 2494 (1994).
- [28] L. Li, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 14, 2758 (1997).
- [29] S. G. Tikhodeev, A. L. Yablonskii, E. A. Muljarov, N. A. Gippius, and T. Ishihara, Phys. Rev. B 66, 045102 (2002).
- [30] Z.-Y. Li and L.-L. Lin, Phys. Rev. E 67, 046607 (2003).
- [31] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK, 1977).