Non-Hermitian Swanson model with a time-dependent metric

Andreas Fring[1] and Miled H. Y. Moussa[1,2]

[1]*Department of Mathematics, City University London, Northampton Square, London EC1V 0HB, United Kingdom*
[2]*Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, P.O. Box 369, São Carlos, 13560-970, São Paulo, Brazil*

We provide further nontrivial solutions to the recently proposed time-dependent Dyson and quasi-Hermiticity relation. Here, we solve them for the generalized version of the non-Hermitian Swanson Hamiltonian with time-dependent coefficients. We construct time-dependent solutions by employing the Lewis-Riesenfeld method of invariants and discuss concrete physical applications of our results.

I. INTRODUCTION

$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric (PTS) quantum mechanics has attracted increasing attention since is was demonstrated that PTS Hamiltonians possess real spectra [1] and allow for a unitary evolution with a redefined inner product [2,3]. Phase transitions between the regimes of unbroken and broken $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry, which are a key feature in the energy spectrum, are well understood to occur when two real eigenvalues coalesce to form a complex-conjugate pair [1]. Many interesting new results have recently emerged from the application of PTS concepts to different areas of physics, in the classical and the quantum domains, on both fronts, theoretical as well as experimental. We mention here a few, such as the design of an ultralow-threshold phonon laser [4], the demonstration of defect states [5] and beam dynamics [6] in PTS optical lattices, and the fact that the Jarzynski equality generalizes to the PTS domain [7]. Reinforcing the practical features, there are optical structures described by PTS concepts that enable unprecedented control of light [8]. At a classical level, PTS properties have also been observed in a variety of experimental setups, ranging from quantum optics [9] to NMR [10] and superconductivity [11].

Although the grounds for treating non-Hermitian Hamiltonians using time-independent metric operators have been extensively studied and well established [12,13], the generalization to time-dependent (TD) metric operators has raised controversy [14–17]. In Ref. [14], Mostafazadeh has demonstrated that using a TD metric operator, one cannot ensure the unitarity of the time evolution simultaneously with the observability of the Hamiltonian. From this perspective, with which we agree, the authors of Refs. [15–17] fail to ensure a unitary time evolution by insisting on the observability of the Hamiltonian. However, we have recently suggested [18] that this is not an obstacle and certainly not a no-go theorem. It is very common in the context of PTS quantum mechanics that certain operators, such as position or momentum, may become nonobservable auxiliary variables and only their quasi-Hermitian counterparts can be measured. In [18] we take the view that the Hamiltonian, meaning the operator that satisfies the TD Schrödinger equation (SE), joins this set of observables in the scenario where a TD metric operator is considered. For this proposal to be meaningful the TD quasi-Hermiticity relation and TD Dyson relation need to possess nontrivial solutions. When this is the case, we have unitary time evolution and well-defined observables.

Here we provide a further nontrivial solution to this set of equations for a generalized time-dependent version of the Swanson Hamiltonian [19] by solving its TDSE and by computing some observables. In order to solve the SE, we shall adapt a method presented in Refs. [20,21] for treating TD Hermitian Hamiltonians. This method takes advantage of a unitary TD transformation on the SE, here replaced by a nonunitary transformation to conform to non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, and the diagonalization of a TD invariant on the Lewis and Riesenfeld framework [22].

The authors in Ref. [20] pursued the solution of the SE governed by a general TD quadratic Hamiltonian in order to investigate the mechanism of squeezed states following from the nonlinear amplification terms of the Hamiltonian [23,24]. Here, we shall focus on the technique to treat a TD non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, leaving open the possibility of further analysis of the squeezing mechanism coming from the nonlinear terms of a TD non-Hermitian Hamiltonian.

II. NON-HERMITIAN HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS WITH TD METRIC

Let us briefly review the scheme proposed in [18]: We consider a non-Hermitian TD Hamiltonian $H(t)$ whose associated SE, $i\partial_t|\psi(t)\rangle = H(t)|\psi(t)\rangle$, is mapped by means of the Hermitian TD operator $\eta(t)$ into the SE $i\partial_t|\phi(t)\rangle = h(t)|\phi(t)\rangle$, where the corresponding wave functions are transformed as $|\phi(t)\rangle = \eta(t)|\psi(t)\rangle$ and the Hamiltonians are related by means of the *TD Dyson relation*,

$$h(t) = \eta(t)H(t)\eta^{-1}(t) + i[\partial_t\eta(t)]\eta^{-1}(t). \tag{1}$$

We set here $\hbar = 1$. The key feature in this equation is the fact that $H(t)$ is no longer quasi-Hermitian, i.e., related to $h(t)$ by means of a similarity transformation, due to the presence of the last term. Thus $H(t)$ is not a self-adjoined operator and therefore is not observable. Using the Hermiticity of $h(t)$, we then derived the *TD quasi-Hermiticity relation*,

$$H^\dagger(t)\rho(t) - \rho(t)H(t) = i\partial_t\rho(t), \quad \rho(t) = \eta^\dagger\eta, \tag{2}$$

replacing the standard quasi-Hermiticity relation for a time-independent ρ, given by $H^\dagger\rho = \rho H$. In fact, the TD quasi-Hermiticity relation ensures the TD probability densities in the Hermitian and non-Hermitian systems are related in the standard form

$$\langle\psi(t)|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle_\rho = \langle\psi(t)|\rho(t)|\tilde{\psi}(t)\rangle = \langle\phi(t)|\tilde{\phi}(t)\rangle. \tag{3}$$

With the assumption that $\rho(t)$ is a positive-definite operator, the operator $\rho(t)$ plays the role of the TD metric, and we conclude that any self-adjoined operator $o(t)$, i.e., observable, in the Hermitian system possesses a counterpart $O(t)$ in the non-Hermitian system given by

$$O(t) = \eta^{-1}(t)o(t)\eta(t), \qquad (4)$$

in complete analogy with the time-independent scenario. Thus as long as the generalized equations (1) and (2) possess nontrivial solutions for $\eta(t)$ and $\rho(t)$, respectively, we have a well-defined physical system with TB observables and unitary time evolution governed by a TD non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. However, we have the slightly unusual feature that the TD Hamiltonian $H(t)$ does not belong to the set of observables. We should also remark that the well-known feature of the metric, which is not unique (see, e.g., [2,25]), will acquire here an additional ambiguity due to the fact that (1) and (2) are, in general, nonlinear differential equations (see [18]) and will therefore usually have several different types of solutions.

III. THE GENERALIZED TIME-DEPENDENT SWANSON HAMILTONIAN

The system we wish to investigate here is related to the non-Hermitian TD Swanson Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = \omega(t)(a^\dagger a + 1/2) + \alpha(t)a^2 + \beta(t)a^{\dagger 2}, \qquad (5)$$

where a and $a^\dagger$ are bosonic annihilation and creation operators, for instance, of a light field mode. In comparison with the time-independent case all parameters have acquired an explicit time dependence $\omega(t), \alpha(t), \beta(t) \in \mathbb{C}$. Clearly, when $\omega(t) \notin \mathbb{R}$ or $\alpha(t) \neq \beta^*(t)$, the Hamiltonian (5) is not Hermitian. It becomes $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric when $\omega(t), \alpha(t), \beta(t)$ are required to be even functions in t or generic functions of it.

Let us now solve the TD Dyson equation by making the following general and, for simplicity, Hermitian ansatz for the Dyson map:

$$\eta(a, a^\dagger, t) = \exp[\epsilon(t)(a^\dagger a + 1/2) + \mu(t)a^2 + \mu^*(t)a^{\dagger 2}] \quad (6)$$

$$= \exp[\lambda_+(t)K_+] \exp[\ln \lambda_0(t)K_0] \exp[\lambda_-(t)K_-]. \qquad (7)$$

We require here the variant (7) of our ansatz to be able to compute the time derivatives of η. The equality follows by recalling that $K_+ = a^{\dagger 2}/2$, $K_- = a^2/2$, $K_0 = (a^\dagger a/2 + 1/4)$ form an $SU(1,1)$ algebra, such that the group element in (6) can be Iwasawa decomposed according to [26]. The TD coefficients read

$$\lambda_+ = \frac{2\mu^* \sinh \Xi}{\Xi \cosh \Xi - \epsilon \sinh \Xi}, \qquad (8a)$$

$$\lambda_- = \lambda_+^*, \qquad (8b)$$

$$\lambda_0 = \left(\cosh \Xi - \frac{\epsilon}{\Xi} \sinh \Xi\right)^{-2}, \qquad (8c)$$

where we abbreviated the argument of the hyperbolic functions to $\Xi = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 - 4|\mu|^2}$, demanding ϵ be real and $\epsilon^2 - 4|\mu|^2 \geqslant 0$.

The notation may be simplified even further by introducing some new quantities. As in [25], we define $z = 2\mu/\epsilon = |z|e^{i\varphi}$ within the unit circle, such that we obtain

$\Xi = \epsilon\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}$. Furthermore, we define $\Phi = |z|/\Gamma_-$, with $\Gamma_\pm = 1 \pm \tilde{\Xi} \coth \Xi$, $\tilde{\Xi} = \Xi/\epsilon$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_\pm = \Gamma_\pm/\tilde{\Xi}$, and, finally, $\chi = \tilde{\Gamma}_+/\tilde{\Gamma}_- = 2/\Gamma_- - 1 = 2\Phi/|z| - 1$. With the notation settled, the coefficients in (8a)–(8c) simplify to

$$\lambda_+ = -\Phi e^{-i\varphi}, \qquad (9a)$$

$$\lambda_- = -\Phi e^{i\varphi}, \qquad (9b)$$

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{\tilde{\Gamma}_-^2 \sinh^2 \Xi} = \Phi^2 - \chi, \qquad (9c)$$

where $\sinh^2 \Xi = \tilde{\Xi}^2 \Phi^2/[|z|^2(\Phi^2 - \chi)] = \tilde{\Xi}^2 \lambda_+ \lambda_-/|z|^2 \lambda_0$.

Using the relations

$$\eta(t)\begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^\dagger \end{pmatrix}\eta^{-1}(t) = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_0}}\begin{pmatrix} -1 & \lambda_+ \\ -\lambda_- & \chi \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ a^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (10)$$

we obtain, after some algebra, the transformed Hamiltonian

$$h(z, \epsilon, t) = \eta(t)H(t)\eta^{-1}(t) + i\dot{\eta}(t)\eta^{-1}(t)$$

$$= W(z, \epsilon, t)(a^\dagger a + 1/2) + V(z, \epsilon, t)a^2 + T(z, \epsilon, t)a^{\dagger 2}, \qquad (11)$$

where the coefficient functions are

$$W(z, \epsilon, t) = -\frac{1}{\lambda_0}\left[\omega(\chi + \lambda_+\lambda_-) + 2(\alpha\lambda_+ + \beta\chi\lambda_-)\right.$$
$$\left. -\frac{i}{2}(\dot{\lambda}_0 - 2\lambda_+\dot{\lambda}_-)\right], \qquad (12a)$$

$$V(z, \epsilon, t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}\left(\alpha + \omega\lambda_- + \beta\lambda_-^2 + \frac{i}{2}\dot{\lambda}_-\right), \qquad (12b)$$

$$T(z, \epsilon, t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}\left[\omega\chi\lambda_+ + \alpha\lambda_+^2 + \beta\chi^2\right.$$
$$\left. +\frac{i}{2}(\lambda_0\dot{\lambda}_+ + \lambda_+^2\dot{\lambda}_- - \lambda_+\dot{\lambda}_0)\right]. \qquad (12c)$$

As is common, the overdot denotes derivatives with respect to time.

For the Hamiltonian $h(t)$ to be Hermitian we need to impose that W is real and, in addition, $T = V^*$. From the first constraint we derive the equality

$$\dot{\lambda}_0 = 2|\omega|(\chi + \Phi^2)\sin\varphi_\omega + 2\Phi[\dot{\Phi} + 2|\alpha|\sin(\varphi - \varphi_\alpha)$$
$$- 2|\beta|\chi\sin(\varphi + \varphi_\beta)], \qquad (13)$$

while the second one leads to the coupled nonlinear differential equations

$$\dot{\Phi} = \frac{2}{\chi - 1}\{[|\omega|\Phi\sin\varphi_\omega + |\alpha|\sin(\varphi - \varphi_\alpha)](1 - \Phi^2)$$
$$+ |\beta|[(2\chi - 1)\Phi^2 - \chi^2]\sin(\varphi + \varphi_\beta)\},$$

$$\dot{\varphi} = \frac{2}{(\chi - 1)\Phi}[|\alpha|(1 - \Phi^2)\cos(\varphi - \varphi_\alpha)$$
$$+ |\beta|(\Phi^2 - \chi^2)\cos(\varphi + \varphi_\beta)] + 2|\omega|\cos\varphi_\omega. \qquad (14)$$

Here, φ_α, φ_β, and φ_ω are the polar angles of α, β, and ω, respectively, and χ is a function of Φ and $|z|$, as defined above. Therefore, in a way similar to that in Ref. [25], we may

consider $|z|$ the only free parameter that determines the metric, with ϵ following from the relation

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} \text{arctanh} \frac{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2} \Phi}{\Phi - |z|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} \ln \left[\frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - |z|^2})\Phi - |z|}{(1 - \sqrt{1 - |z|^2})\Phi - |z|} \right], \quad (15)$$

which may be derived from the parameter $\Phi = |z|/\Gamma_-$, as defined above, which in turn depends, as well as on φ, on the solution of the system (14) and the TD coefficients of the starting Hamiltonian (5). Evidently, for a given pair $(|z|, \Phi)$, i.e., a given choice of $|z|$, this must be further corroborated by a real solution of ϵ in Eq. (15), with the argument of the arctanh (ln) being not greater than unity (greater than zero), thus requiring $|z|^2 > 2\Phi/(1 + \Phi^2)$. We finally observe that $|z|$ can conveniently be considered a time-independent parameter, constraining the time dependence to the remaining parameters, φ and ϵ.

IV. SOLUTIONS OF THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION FOR THE GENERALIZED TIME-DEPENDENT SWANSON HAMILTONIAN

In order to solve the SE for $H(t)$ we shall adapt to the case of TD non-Hermitian Hamiltonians a method presented in Ref. [20] for solving the SE for TD Hermitian Hamiltonians. This technique takes advantage of a TD transformation on the SE for the desired Hamiltonian, here a nonunitary transformation to conform with non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, and the diagonalization of a TD invariant within the Lewis and Riesenfeld framework [22]. The Lewis and Riesenfeld method ensures that a solution of the SE governed by a TD Hermitian Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(t)$ is an eigenstate of an associated Hermitian invariant $I(t)$, defined as $\partial_t I(t) + i[\mathcal{H}(t), I(t)] = 0$, apart from a TD global phase factor. The method in Ref. [20] proposes that, instead of solving the SE for $\mathcal{H}(t)$ by deriving an invariant directly associated with this Hamiltonian, a transformation is performed on the SE for bringing the original Hamiltonian to another form which already has an associated invariant.

The authors in Ref. [20] pursued the solution of the SE governed by a general TD quadratic (Hermitian) Hamiltonian in order to investigate the mechanism of squeezed states [23,24] following from the nonlinear amplification terms of the Hamiltonian. They thus consider the unitary squeeze operator for transforming the SE for the TD quadratic Hamiltonian, reducing it to a form associated with a linear Hamiltonian which already has an associated invariant [27]. Here, we shall focus on the method to approach a TD non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, leaving open the analysis of the squeezing mechanism coming from the nonlinear terms of a TD non-Hermitian Hamiltonian.

In the present contribution a strategy similar to that in Ref. [20] will be used, starting with the non-Hermitian $H(t)$ and then deriving the transformed Hermitian $h(t)$ through the metric operator $\eta(t)$, instead of a unitary transformation. We further identify this transformed Hamiltonian with the Hermitian quadratic one treated in Ref. [20], whose solutions have been derived. Evidently, we must disregard the linear

amplification process considered in Ref. [20] since it is absent from $h(t)$. To this end, we next rewrite the coefficients of the Hermitian (11) considering Eqs. (13) and (14). Under Eqs. (13) and (14) we obtain the real frequency

$$W(|z|, \varphi, t) = |\omega| \cos \varphi_\omega + \frac{2\Phi}{1 - \chi}[|\alpha| \cos(\varphi - \varphi_\alpha)$$
$$- |\beta| \cos(\varphi + \varphi_\beta)]. \quad (16)$$

From the system (14) we obtain $V(|z|, \varphi, t) = T^*(|z|, \varphi, t) = V_R(|z|, \varphi, t) + i V_I(|z|, \varphi, t) = \kappa(|z|, \varphi, t)e^{i\zeta(|z|, \varphi, t)}$, with $\kappa = (V_R^2 + V_I^2)^{1/2}$, $\zeta = \arctan(V_I/V_R)$, and

$$V_R(|z|, \varphi, t) = \frac{1}{1 - \chi}(|\omega| \Phi \sin \varphi_\omega \sin \varphi$$
$$+ |\alpha| \cos \varphi_\alpha - |\beta| \chi \cos \varphi_\beta), \quad (17a)$$

$$V_I(|z|, \varphi, t) = \frac{1}{\chi - 1}(|\omega| \Phi \sin \varphi_\omega \cos \varphi$$
$$- |\alpha| \sin \varphi_\alpha - |\beta| \chi \sin \varphi_\beta). \quad (17b)$$

Note that when starting with a Hermitian Hamiltonian (5), with real ω and $\alpha = \beta^*$, we verify from Eqs. (16) and (17) that $W(|z|, \varphi, t) = |\omega|$ and $V(|z|, \varphi, t) = \alpha(t)$, such that $h = H$.

The solutions of the Schrödinger equation generated by Hamiltonian (11), given in Ref. [20] as

$$|v_n(t)\rangle = U(t)|n\rangle, \quad (18)$$

define a complete set of states, with $|n\rangle$ being the Fock states and $U(t)$ being the unitary operator

$$U(t) = \Upsilon(t)S[\xi(t)]D[\theta(t)]R[\Omega(t)]. \quad (19)$$

Here, $S[\xi(t)] = \exp\{[\xi(t)a^{\dagger 2} - \xi^*(t)a^2]/2\}$ is the squeeze operator, with $\xi(t) = r(t)e^{i\phi(t)}$ defining the squeeze parameters, which follow from another set of coupled nonlinear differential equations,

$$\dot{r}(t) = -2\kappa(t) \sin[\zeta(t) - \phi(t)], \quad (20a)$$

$$\dot{\phi}(t) = -2W(t) - 4\kappa(t) \coth[2r(t)] \cos[\zeta(t) - \phi(t)], \quad (20b)$$

where $D[\theta(t)] = \exp[\theta(t)a^\dagger - \theta^*(t)a]$ is the displacement operator and $\theta(t)$ satisfies the equation $i\dot{\theta}(t) = \Omega(t)\theta(t)$, with

$$\Omega(t) = W(t) + 2\kappa(t) \tanh r(t) \cos[\zeta(t) - \phi(t)]. \quad (21)$$

Finally, $R[\Omega(t)] = \exp[-i\varpi(t)a^\dagger a]$ is the rotation operator, with $\varpi(t) = \int_0^t \Omega(t')dt'$, and $\Upsilon(t) = \exp(-i\varpi(t)/2)$ is a global phase factor.

With the wave vectors in Eq. (18), we directly obtain the solutions of the Schrödinger equation generated by Hamiltonian (5), given by

$$|\psi_n(t)\rangle = \eta^{-1}(t)|v_n(t)\rangle = \eta^{-1}(t)U(t)|n\rangle. \quad (22)$$

For a generic superposition $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n c_n |\psi_n(t)\rangle$ it follows that

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \eta^{-1}(t)V(t)|v(0)\rangle, \quad (23)$$

with the evolution operator

$$V(t) = U(t)U^\dagger(0)$$
$$= \Upsilon(t)S[\xi(t)]D[\theta(t)]R[\Omega(t)]S^\dagger[\xi(0)]D^\dagger[\theta(0)]. \quad (24)$$

At this point it is worth mentioning a theorem which can be straightforwardly adapted from Ref. [20] to the context of TD non-Hermitian quantum mechanics: If $I(t)$ is an invariant associated with a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H(t)$, then $I_\eta(t) = \eta(t)I(t)\eta^{-1}(t)$ is also an invariant but is associated with the transformed Hermitian Hamiltonian $h(t)$, with both invariants $I(t)$ and $I_\eta(t)$ sharing the same eigenvalue spectrum. Moreover, the Lewis and Riesenfeld phase is invariant under the transformation $\eta(t)$. It is not difficult to see that this theorem fully supports the solutions presented in Eqs. (22) and (23).

Before analyzing the observables associated with the pseudo-Hermitian $H(t)$, it is worth addressing two particular cases: when the coefficients of $H(t)$ are real TD functions and when considering a time-independent metric operator.

A. On the solutions for the TD coupled differential equations (14), (20), and (28)

Before addressing particular cases where the coefficients of the Hamiltonian (5) are real TD functions and/or a time-independent metric operator is considered, we add a few comment on the coupled equations ruling the evolution of the metric parameters Φ and φ [Eqs. (14) and (28)] and the squeezing parameters r and ϕ [Eq. (20)]. As advanced in Ref. [20], despite its time dependence, the system (20) can be solved analytically, by quadrature, under particular constraints linking together its TD functions and thus leaving a lower degree of arbitrariness. Some solutions for system (20) have been presented in Ref. [20], and reasoning by analogy with this reference it will be possible to find analytical solutions for systems (14) and (28), at least for some specific demands on the TD functions. For example, considering a real TD function

$$\omega(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{f}(t)}{2} + 2|\beta|\Phi \cos(\varphi - \varphi_\alpha) \qquad (25)$$

and $\varphi_\beta(t) = -\varphi_\alpha(t)$, we eliminate the parameter time from the system (14) to obtain, with $\varsigma(t) = \varphi(t) + f(t)$ and a constant $v = \varphi_\alpha(t) + f(t)$, the first-order differential equation

$$\frac{d\Phi}{d\varsigma} = \frac{\Phi}{\tan(\varsigma - v)}, \qquad (26)$$

whose integration leads to a constant of motion and thus to the solutions for Φ and φ.

V. PARTICULAR CASES

A. The generalized TD Swanson's Hamiltonian with real coefficients $\omega(t),\alpha(t),\beta(t)$

When considering the TD coefficients $\omega(t),\alpha(t),\beta(t)$ to be real functions instead of complex ones, the equations in Secs. III and IV considerably simplify. Let us start by requiring $h(t)$ in Eq. (11) to be Hermitian. By imposing that W is real we now obtain

$$\dot{\lambda}_0 = 2\Phi[\dot{\Phi} + 2(\alpha - \beta\chi)\sin\varphi], \qquad (27)$$

while the imposition $T = V^*$ leads to the simplified coupled nonlinear differential equations

$$\dot{\Phi} = \frac{2}{\chi - 1}\{\alpha(1 - \Phi^2) + \beta[(2\chi - 1)\Phi^2 - \chi^2]\}\sin\varphi, \qquad (28a)$$

$$\dot{\varphi} = 2\omega - \frac{2}{(1 - \chi)\Phi}[\alpha(1 - \Phi^2) + \beta(\Phi^2 - \chi^2)]\cos\varphi. \qquad (28b)$$

Again, $|z|$ can be taken as the only free parameter that determines the metric, with ϵ following from Eq. (15). To further identify the transformed Hermitian $h(t)$ with the quadratic Hamiltonian whose SE is solved in Ref. [20], we rewrite the coefficients of $h(t)$ considering Eqs. (27) and (28). We thus obtain the real frequency

$$W(|z|,\varphi,t) = \omega + \frac{2\Phi}{1 - \chi}[\alpha - \beta]\cos\varphi \qquad (29)$$

and the simplified real function

$$V(|z|,\varphi,t) = T(|z|,\varphi,t) = \kappa(|z|,\varphi,t) = \frac{\alpha - \beta\chi}{1 - \chi}. \qquad (30)$$

From the above equations the solutions presented in Eqs. (22) and (23) follow straightforwardly.

B. The generalized TD Swanson's Hamiltonian with a time-independent metric operator

When a time-independent metric operator is considered, the coefficients of the transformed Hamiltonian $h(z,\epsilon,t)$ simplify to

$$W(z,\epsilon,t) = -\frac{1}{\lambda_0}[\omega(\chi + \lambda_+\lambda_-) + 2(\alpha\lambda_+ + \beta\chi\lambda_-)], \quad (31a)$$

$$V(z,\epsilon,t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}(\alpha + \omega\lambda_- + \beta\lambda_-^2), \qquad (31b)$$

$$T(z,\epsilon,t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}(\omega\chi\lambda_+ + \alpha\lambda_+^2 + \beta\chi^2), \qquad (31c)$$

For h to be Hermitian we again impose that W is real and $T = V^*$. The first constraint leads to the relation

$$|\omega|(\chi + \Phi^2)\sin\varphi_\omega + 2\Phi[|\alpha|\sin(\varphi - \varphi_\alpha) \\ - |\beta|\chi\sin(\varphi + \varphi_\beta)] = 0, \qquad (32)$$

while the latter gives rise to the equations

$$|\omega|(1 - \chi)\Phi\cos\varphi_\omega - |\alpha|(1 - \Phi^2)\cos(\varphi - \varphi_\alpha) \\ + |\beta|(\chi^2 - \Phi^2)\cos(\varphi + \varphi_\beta) = 0, \qquad (33a)$$

$$|\omega|(1 + \chi)\Phi\sin\varphi_\omega + |\alpha|(1 + \Phi^2)\sin(\varphi - \varphi_\alpha) \\ - |\beta|(\chi^2 + \Phi^2)\sin(\varphi + \varphi_\beta) = 0. \qquad (33b)$$

From Eqs. (32) and (33b) we obtain the relation

$$|\alpha|(1 - \Phi^2)\sin(\varphi - \varphi_\alpha) = |\beta|(\chi^2 - \Phi^2)\sin(\varphi + \varphi_\beta), \quad (34)$$

which, together with Eq. (33a), gives us

$$\sin(\varphi - \varphi_\alpha) = \frac{|\beta|(\chi^2 - \Phi^2)}{|\omega|(1 - \chi)\Phi \cos \varphi_\omega} \sin(\varphi_\alpha + \varphi_\beta), \quad (35a)$$

$$\sin(\varphi + \varphi_\beta) = \frac{|\alpha|(1 - \Phi^2)}{|\omega|(1 - \chi)\Phi \cos \varphi_\omega} \sin(\varphi_\alpha + \varphi_\beta). \quad (35b)$$

By substituting Eq. (35) back into Eq. (32), we finally obtain the equation

$$|z|\Phi^3 + (2 - |z|^2)\Phi^2 - 3|z|\Phi + |z|^2 = 0, \quad (36)$$

whose roots enable us to compute φ from Eq. (35) and then ϵ from the relation given in Eq. (15). Here, the real frequency $W(|z|,\varphi,t)$ and the complex $V(|z|,\varphi,t) = T^*(|z|,\varphi,t)$ still follow from Eqs. (16) and (17), respectively, with time-independent z and ϵ.

1. A time-independent metric operator with real TD coefficients $\omega(t), \alpha(t), \beta(t)$

When a time-independent metric operator is considered together with real TD parameters $\omega(t), \alpha(t), \beta(t)$, it follows from Eq. (32) that $\varphi = 0$, and from Eq. (33a) we derive the equation

$$(|\alpha| - |\beta|)\Phi^2 + |\omega|(1 - \chi)\Phi - |\alpha| + |\beta|\chi^2 = 0, \quad (37)$$

which leads to the relation

$$\frac{\tanh(2\Xi)}{\bar{\Xi}} = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta - z\omega} \quad (38)$$

and, consequently, to the metric parameter

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} \operatorname{arctanh} \frac{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}{|\alpha| + |\beta| - |z||\omega|} \quad (39a)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} \ln \frac{|\alpha| + |\beta| - |z||\omega| + (|\alpha| - |\beta|)\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}{|\alpha| + |\beta| - |z||\omega| - (|\alpha| - |\beta|)\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}. \quad (39b)$$

However, a time-independent metric brings about the constraint on the TD parameters of the Hamiltonian

$$\frac{|\dot{\alpha}| + |\dot{\beta}| - |z||\dot{\omega}| + (|\dot{\alpha}| - |\dot{\beta}|)\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}{|\alpha| + |\beta| - |z||\omega| + (|\alpha| - |\beta|)\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}$$

$$= \frac{|\dot{\alpha}| + |\dot{\beta}| - |z||\dot{\omega}| - (|\dot{\alpha}| - |\dot{\beta}|)\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}{|\alpha| + |\beta| - |z||\omega| - (|\alpha| - |\beta|)\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}, \quad (40)$$

where we have assumed a time-independent $|z|$ as the only free parameter that determines the metric, with ϵ following from Eq. (41). The existence of a real solution for ϵ requires the argument of the arctanh (ln) not to be greater than unity (to be greater than zero), and consequently, there is no real solution for $|z| \in [|z_-|, |z_+|]$, with

$$|z_\pm| = \frac{(|\alpha| + |\beta|)|\omega| \pm (|\alpha| - |\beta|)(|\omega|^2 - 4|\alpha||\beta|)}{|\omega|^2 + (|\alpha| - |\beta|)^2}. \quad (41)$$

The roots $|z_\pm|$ present the same form as those in Ref. [25], the difference being that $|\omega|$, $|\alpha|$, and $|\beta|$ are TD functions instead of constant parameters, additionally constrained by Eq. (40), thus making it more difficult to observe the

requirements for a real solution for ϵ. Finally, when we identify the Hamiltonian $h(z,\epsilon,t)$ with the Hermitian quadratic one in [20], we obtain for $W(|z|,\varphi,t)$ and $V(|z|,\varphi,t) = T^*(|z|,\varphi,t) = \kappa(|z|,\varphi,t)e^{i\zeta(|z|,\varphi,t)}$ the same expressions as in Eqs. (29) and (30), respectively, with time-independent $|z|$ and ϵ.

VI. OBSERVABLES

A. The generalized TD Swanson Hamiltonian

Considering the observables for the generalized TD Swanson Hamiltonian, we start by focusing on the derivation of all the Hermitian operators on the continuous variety of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_z$ for any $|z| \in [-1, 1]$. As argued in [18], the Hamiltonian H itself is not one of the Hermitian operators due to the presence of the gaugelike term in Eq. (1). We use Eq. (15) to rewrite the metric operator in Eq. (7) in the form [25,28]

$$\eta(t) = \left(\frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - |z|^2})\Phi - |z|}{(1 - \sqrt{1 - |z|^2})\Phi - |z|}\right)^{\frac{a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}(za^2 + z^* a^{\dagger 2}) + \frac{1}{2}}{2\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}} \quad (42a)$$

$$= \left(\frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - |z|^2})\Phi - |z|}{(1 - \sqrt{1 - |z|^2})\Phi - |z|}\right)^{\frac{(1 - |z|\cos\varphi)p^2 + (1 + |z|\cos\varphi)\omega^2 x^2 - |z|\omega\sin\varphi\{x,p\}}{4\omega\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}}, \quad (42b)$$

which we use to solve the quasi-Hermiticity condition $O^\dagger(t)\mu(t) = \mu(t)O(t)$. Given (42), we only find the observables

$$O(t) = (1 - |z|\cos\varphi)p^2 + (1 + |z|\cos\varphi)\omega^2 x^2$$
$$- |z|\omega \sin\varphi\{x,p\}, \quad (43)$$

demonstrating that neither the position $x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}}(a + a^\dagger)$ nor the momentum $p = i\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}}(a^\dagger - a)$ operators remain Hermitian as they are in the standard L^2 metric with regard to the TD $\eta(t)$ metric even for particular choices of $|z|$. Using the relation $O(t) = \eta^{-1}(t)o\eta(t)$ together with Eq. (14), we may compute the quasi-Hermitian position $X(t)$ and momentum $P(t)$ operators

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{|z|\sqrt{\Phi^2 - \chi}} \left\{ [(1 - i|z|\sin\varphi)\Phi - |z|]x \right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{i}{\omega}(1 - |z|\cos\varphi)\Phi p \right\}, \quad (44a)$$

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{|z|\sqrt{\Phi^2 - \chi}} \{ [(1 + i|z|\sin\varphi)\Phi - |z|]p$$
$$- i\omega(1 + |z|\cos\varphi)\Phi x \}, \quad (44b)$$

corroborating the conclusion we have drawn from Eq. (43).

B. Particular cases

The observables computed above in Eqs. (43) and (44) also apply to the cases where real coefficients $\omega(t), \alpha(t), \beta(t)$ are assumed and when a time-independent metric operator is considered, the difference being that Φ and φ now follow from, instead of Eq. (14), the coupled Eqs. (28) in the former case

and Eqs. (35) and (36) in the latter case. However, when a time-independent metric operator is considered simultaneously with real coefficients $\omega(t), \alpha(t), \beta(t)$, the Hermitian observables in Eq. (43) and those in Eq. (44) simplify to

$$O(t) = (1 - |z|)p^2 + (1 + |z|)\omega^2 x^2, \tag{45a}$$

$$X(t) = \frac{1}{|z|\sqrt{\Phi^2 - \chi}} \left[(\Phi - |z|)x + \frac{i}{\omega}(1 - |z|)\Phi p \right]$$

$$= \cosh(\Xi)x + \frac{i}{\omega} \frac{(1 - |z|)}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} \sinh(\Xi)p, \tag{45b}$$

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{|z|\sqrt{\Phi^2 - \chi}} [(\Phi - |z|)p - i\omega(1 + |z|)\Phi x]$$

$$= \cosh(\Xi)p - i\omega \frac{(1 + |z|)}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} \sinh(\Xi)x. \tag{45c}$$

Equations (45) are exactly the same form as those in Ref. [25], the difference being that here we have TD parameters. Therefore, when considering the Hamiltonian (5) with time-independent real parameters together with a time-independent metric operator, it is straightforward to verify that all the above derivations are in complete agreement with those in [25].

VII. CONCLUSION

We have studied a generalized Swanson Hamiltonian allowing for TD complex coefficients and a TD metric operator. We treated the model within the framework introduced in Ref. [18], where, despite the lack of observability of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian under a TD metric operator, their associated observables are computed as in the case where a time-independent metric is considered. To solve the SE for the generalized TD Swanson's Hamiltonian we have adapted a technique presented in Ref. [20] which relies on the Lewis and Riesenfeld TD invariants. Apart from deriving the solutions of the SE for our TD non-Hermitian Hamiltonian we have thus computed their associated observables, analyzing particular cases where a time-independent metric operator is considered and TD real coefficients are assumed for the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian.

From the results presented here we may next explore some interesting applications such as the generation of squeezing from a non-Hermitian parametric oscillator. Moreover, our TD Hamiltonian can be also considered to describe the non-Hermitian dynamical Casimir effect, and thus the rate of particle creation resulting, for example, from the accelerated movement of a cavity mirror can also be computed. The results for the generation of squeezing and the rate of photon creation derived from a non-Hermitian quadratic Hamiltonian can then be compared with the well-known results of the Hermitian Hamiltonians, thus delivering more timely hints on the physics of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians.

Another application motivated by this work is the possibility of engineering effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonians within trapped ions, circuit or cavity QED, NMR, and other systems presenting great flexibility of handling its internal interactions. By mastering not only the technique for treating non-Hermitian Hamiltonians but also that for constructing non-Hermitian interactions, we seek to contribute to the implementation of processes such as quantum simulation and quantum logical implementation, bringing additional ingredients to the usual Hermitian quantum mechanics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

[1] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5243 (1998).

[2] F. G. Scholtz, H. B. Geyer, and F. J. W. Hahne, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **213**, 74 (1992).

[3] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 205 (2002); **43**, 2814 (2002); **43**, 3944 (2002).

[4] H. Jing, S. K. Özdemir, X.-Y. Lü, J. Zhang, L. Yang, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 053604 (2014).

[5] A. Regensburger, M.-A. Miri, C. Bersch, J. Nager, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 223902 (2013).

[6] K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 103904 (2008).

[7] S. Deffner and A. Saxena, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 150601 (2015).

[8] B. Peng, Ş. K. Özdemir, F. Lei, F. Monifi, M. Gianfreda, G. Lu Long, S. Fan, F. Nori, C. M. Bender, and L. Yang, Nat. Phys. **10**, 394 (2014); A. Regensburger, C. Bersch, M.-A. Miri, G. Onishchukov, D. N. Christodoulides, and U. Peschel, Nature (London) **488**, 167 (2012); M. Zhang, G. S. Wiederhecker, S. Manipatruni, A. Barnard, P. McEuen, and M. Lipson,

Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 233906 (2012); A. Guo, G. J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. A. Siviloglou, and D. N. Christodoulides, ibid. **103**, 093902 (2009).

[9] C. E. Ruter, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, M. Segev, and D. Kip, Nat. Phys. **6**, 192 (2010); L. Feng, M. Ayache, J. Huang, Y.-L. Xu, M. H. Lu, Y. F. Chen, Y. Fainman, and A. Scherer, Science **333**, 729 (2011).

[10] C. Zheng, L. Hao, and G. L. Long, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A **371**, 20120053 (2013).

[11] J. Rubinstein, P. Sternberg, and Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 167003 (2007); N. M. Chtchelkatchev, A. A. Golubov, T. I. Baturina, and V. M. Vinokur, ibid. **109**, 150405 (2012).

[12] C. M. Bender, Contemp. Phys. **46**, 277 (2005); Rep. Prog. Phys. **70**, 947 (2007); A. Mostafazadeh, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **7**, 1191 (2010); C. Bender, A. Fring, U. Günther, and H. Jones, J. Phys. A **45**, 440301 (2012); M. Znojil, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **54**, 3867 (2015).

[13] C. Figueira de Morisson Faria and A. Fring, J. Phys. A **39**, 9269 (2006); Laser Phys. **17**, 424 (2007).

[14] A. Mostafazadeh, Phys. Lett. B **650**, 208 (2007).

[15] M. Znojil, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 085003 (2008).

[16] J. Gong and Q.-H. Wang, J. Phys. A **46**, 485302 (2013).

[17] M. Maamache, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 032106 (2015).

[18] A. Fring and M. H. Y. Moussa, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 042114 (2016).

[19] M. S. Swanson, J. Math. Phys. **45**, 585 (2004).

[20] S. S. Mizrahi, M. H. Y. Moussa, and B. Baseia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **8**, 1563 (1994); B. Baseia, S. S. Mizrahi, and M. H. Y. Moussa, Phys. Rev. A **46**, 5885 (1992); C. J. Villas-Bôas, F. R. de Paula, R. M. Serra, and M. H. Y. Moussa, *ibid.* **68**, 053808 (2003); C. J. Villas-Boas, F. R. de Paula, R. M. Serra, and M. H. Y. Moussa, J. Opt. B **5**, 391 (2003).

[21] S. Dey and A. Fring, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 084005 (2014).

[22] H. R. Lewis, Jr. and W. B. Riesenfeld, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1458 (1969).

[23] D. F. Walls and G. J. Milburn, *Quantum Optics* (Springer, Berlin, 1995).

[24] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).

[25] D. P. Musumbu, H. B. Geyer, and W. D. Heiss, J. Phys. A **40**, F75 (2007).

[26] A. B. Klimov and S. M. Chumakov, *A Group-Theoretical Approach to Quantum Optics: Models of Atom-Field Interactions* (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009); S. M. Barnett and P. Radmore, *Methods in Theoretical Quantum Optics* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1997).

[27] R. R. Puri and S. V. Lawande, Phys. Lett. A **70**, 69 (1979).

[28] H. B. Geyer, W. D. Heiss, and F. G. Scholtz, arXiv:0710.5593.