

# Exact form of the exponential Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation operator for an arbitrary-spin particle

Alexander J. Silenko\*

*Research Institute for Nuclear Problems, Belarusian State University, Minsk 220030, Belarus  
and Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia*

(Received 28 May 2016; revised manuscript received 4 August 2016; published 6 September 2016)

The exact exponential Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation operator applicable for a particle with an arbitrary spin is derived. It can be successfully utilized for verifying any Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation method based on the exponential operator. When a verified method is relativistic, the relativistic exponential operator should be expanded in the semirelativistic power series. The obtained exponential operator can be also used for a derivation of the Foldy-Wouthuysen Hamiltonian and its comparison with Hamiltonians found by other methods. This procedure makes it possible to check the validity of any other method of the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.94.032104](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.032104)

The Foldy-Wouthuysen (FW) transformation [1] restoring the Schrödinger form of relativistic wave equations is one of the basic methods of contemporary quantum mechanics (QM). An importance of the FW transformation for physics has significantly increased nowadays due to the great progress of the art of analytic computer calculations. A great advantage of the FW representation is the simple form of operators corresponding to classical observables. In this representation, the Hamiltonian and all operators are even, i.e., block diagonal (diagonal in two spinors). The passage to the classical limit usually reduces to a replacement of the operators in quantum-mechanical Hamiltonians and equations of motion with the corresponding classical quantities. The possibility of such a replacement, explicitly or implicitly used in practically all works devoted to the FW transformation, has been rigorously proved for the stationary case in Ref. [2]. Thanks to these properties, the FW representation provides the best possibility of obtaining a meaningful classical limit of relativistic QM not only for the stationary case [1–4] but also for the nonstationary one [5,6].

Various properties and applications of the FW method have been considered in Refs. [7–9]. The FW transformation is widely used in electrodynamics [10,11], quantum field theory [12], optics [13–15], condensed matter physics [16], nuclear physics [6,17], gravity [18–20], in the theory of the weak interaction [21], and also in quantum chemistry (see the books [22,23] and the reviews [24–31]). It is applicable not only for Dirac fermions but also for particles with any spins [13,32–38].

The general form of an initial Hamiltonian for arbitrary-spin particles is given by [39]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \beta\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{O}, \quad \beta\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}\beta, \quad \beta\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}\beta, \\ \beta\mathcal{O} &= -\mathcal{O}\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The even operators  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  and the odd operator  $\mathcal{O}$  are diagonal and off-diagonal in two spinors, respectively. Equation (1) is applicable for a particle with any spin if the number of components of a corresponding wave function is equal to  $2(2s+1)$ , where  $s$  is the spin quantum number. For a

Dirac particle, the  $\mathcal{M}$  operator usually reduces to the particle rest energy  $mc^2$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_D = \beta mc^2 + \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{O}. \quad (2)$$

The Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$  is Hermitian for fermions and pseudo-Hermitian (more exactly,  $\beta$ -pseudo-Hermitian,  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^\dagger \equiv \beta\mathcal{H}^\dagger\beta$ ) for bosons. We assume that the operators  $\beta\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mathcal{O}$  also possess this property. The transformation operator for bosons is therefore  $\beta$ -pseudounitary ( $U^\dagger = \beta U^{-1}\beta$ ). We mention the existence of bosonic symmetries of the Dirac equation [40].

The FW transformation operator can be presented in the two forms, nonexponential ( $U_{\text{FW}}$ ) and exponential ( $S_{\text{FW}}$ ):

$$\Psi_{\text{FW}} = U_{\text{FW}}\Psi, \quad U_{\text{FW}} = \exp(iS_{\text{FW}}). \quad (3)$$

This operator transforms the initial Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$  to the FW representation:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{FW}} = i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U_{\text{FW}}\left(\mathcal{H} - i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)U_{\text{FW}}^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

The FW Hamiltonian obtained with this operator is even. The FW transformation vanishes either lower or upper spinor for positive and negative energy states, respectively.

There is an infinite set of representations different from the FW representation whose distinctive feature is a block-diagonal form of the Hamiltonian. The FW transformation is *uniquely* defined by the condition that the exponential operator  $S_{\text{FW}}$  is *odd*,

$$\beta S_{\text{FW}} = -S_{\text{FW}}\beta, \quad (5)$$

and Hermitian [41,42] ( $\beta$ -pseudo-Hermitian for bosons). This condition is equivalent to [41,42]

$$\beta U_{\text{FW}} = U_{\text{FW}}^\dagger\beta. \quad (6)$$

Eriksen [41] has found the exact expression for the nonexponential FW transformation operator. It is convenient to present this expression in the form [4],

$$U_E = U_{\text{FW}} = \frac{1 + \beta\lambda}{\sqrt{2 + \beta\lambda + \lambda\beta}}, \quad \lambda = \frac{\mathcal{H}}{(\mathcal{H}^2)^{1/2}}. \quad (7)$$

\*alsilenko@mail.ru

The initial Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{H}$  is arbitrary. It is easy to see that [41]

$$\lambda^2 = 1, \quad [\beta\lambda, \lambda\beta] = 0, \quad [\beta, (\beta\lambda + \lambda\beta)] = 0, \quad (8)$$

where  $[\dots, \dots]$  means a commutator.

The equivalent form of the operator  $U_E$  [4] shows that it is properly unitary ( $\beta$ -pseudounitary for bosons):

$$U_E = \frac{1 + \beta\lambda}{\sqrt{(1 + \beta\lambda)^\dagger(1 + \beta\lambda)}}. \quad (9)$$

The Eriksen formula is an important achievement of the theory of the FW transformation. However, the FW transformation method proposed by Eriksen [41] is semirelativistic. We use the term “semirelativistic” for methods applying an expansion of a derived block-diagonal Hamiltonian in a series of even terms of ascending order in  $1/c$ . For the semirelativistic and the relativistic methods, the zeroth order Hamiltonian is the Schrödinger one and the relativistic FW Hamiltonian of a free particle, respectively. The Eriksen method is not practically used in specific calculations. Since the exact equation (7) contains the square roots of Dirac matrices, it excludes a possibility to obtain a series of relativistic terms with the relativistic FW Hamiltonian of a free particle [1] as the zero-order approximation (see Ref. [43] for more details). Contemporary methods of the FW transformation are relativistic. The use of all semirelativistic methods is restricted due to their divergence at large momenta, when  $p/(mc) > 1$ . In this case (which takes place for an electron near a nucleus), the semirelativistic methods become inapplicable [44] (see also Ref. [43]).

Nevertheless, the calculation of the FW Hamiltonian by the perfectly substantiated Eriksen method [45–47] is very important for checking results obtained by other semirelativistic and relativistic methods (see the examples given in Ref. [43]).

We should mention that the condition (5) is used much more often than the condition (6) to check the correspondence of the final Hamiltonian to the FW representation. For example, this is one of the basic conditions for the generalized Douglas-Kroll-Hess method which is rather widely applied in quantum chemistry [31,44,48,49]. This fact obviously demonstrates the importance of the exact derivation of the exponential FW transformation operator. However, the problem of the exact form of  $S_{FW}$  was never investigated. In the present study, we solve this problem.

Evidently, the exact form of  $S_{FW}$  should be based on the operator  $\lambda$ . It is convenient to use the following relations (see, e.g., Ref. [47]):

$$S_{FW} = -i\beta\Theta, \\ U_{FW} = \cos S_{FW} + i \sin S_{FW} = \cos\Theta + \beta \sin\Theta. \quad (10)$$

To obtain an explicit expression for  $S_{FW}$ , it is instructive to consider the special case  $[\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}] = [\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}] = [\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}] = 0$ . When the operators  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mathcal{O}$  do not explicitly depend on time and

$$\tan 2\Theta = \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{M}}, \quad (11)$$

the odd terms are eliminated and the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$  is transformed to the FW representation [47]. In the considered

special case,

$$(\mathcal{H}^2)^{1/2} = \epsilon + \frac{(\beta\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{O})\mathcal{E}}{\epsilon}, \\ \mathcal{H} = (\beta\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{O}) \left[ 1 + \frac{(\beta\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{O})\mathcal{E}}{\epsilon^2} \right], \\ \epsilon = \sqrt{\mathcal{M}^2 + \mathcal{O}^2},$$

and the operator  $\lambda$  is determined exactly [50]:

$$\lambda = \frac{\beta\mathcal{M} + \mathcal{O}}{\epsilon}. \quad (12)$$

Equation (11) has two solutions,  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ , differing in  $\pi/2$  [10]. Since

$$\tan 2\Theta = \frac{2 \tan \Theta}{1 - \tan^2 \Theta}, \quad \tan \Theta = \frac{\tan 2\Theta}{1 \pm \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 2\Theta}},$$

they are defined by the relations,

$$\tan \Theta_1 = \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\epsilon + \mathcal{M}}, \quad \tan \Theta_2 = -\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\epsilon - \mathcal{M}}. \quad (13)$$

As

$$\cos 2\Theta = \frac{1 - \tan^2 \Theta}{1 + \tan^2 \Theta}, \quad \sin 2\Theta = \tan 2\Theta \cos 2\Theta, \quad (14)$$

the needed trigonometrical operators are given by

$$\cos 2\Theta_1 = \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\epsilon}, \quad \cos 2\Theta_2 = -\frac{\mathcal{M}}{\epsilon}, \quad \sin 2\Theta_1 = \frac{\mathcal{O}}{\epsilon}, \\ \sin 2\Theta_2 = -\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\epsilon}. \quad (15)$$

This equation shows that  $\cos 2\Theta_1 > 0$ ,  $\cos 2\Theta_2 < 0$ .

Thus, there are two unitary transformations of the operator  $\mathcal{H}$  to an even form. They are characterized by the angles  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$ , where the angle  $\Theta_1$  corresponds to the FW transformation. As a result of both transformations, one of the spinors (lower for  $\Theta_1$  and upper for  $\Theta_2$ ) becomes zero as for free particles [10]. Since we consider the FW transformation,  $\Theta = \Theta_1$ .

A comparison with Eq. (11) shows that

$$\sin 2\Theta = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \beta\lambda\beta). \quad (16)$$

The corresponding exponential FW transformation operator is given by

$$S_{FW} = -\frac{i\beta}{2} \arcsin \frac{\lambda - \beta\lambda\beta}{2}. \quad (17)$$

Let us check that Eqs. (16) and (17) obtained in the above-mentioned special case remain valid in the general case of a relativistic particle in arbitrary stationary fields. We can utilize the operator relations (cf. Ref. [47]),

$$\sin \Theta = \frac{\sin 2\Theta}{\sqrt{2(1 + \cos 2\Theta)}} = \frac{\sin 2\Theta}{\sqrt{2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 2\Theta})}}, \quad (18)$$

$$\cos \Theta = \frac{1 + \cos 2\Theta}{\sqrt{2(1 + \cos 2\Theta)}} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 2\Theta}}{\sqrt{2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 2\Theta})}}. \quad (19)$$

These relations repeat the corresponding trigonometrical ones. We can mention that the FW transformation operator  $U_{\text{FW}}$  is equal to unit when the initial Hamiltonian does not contain odd terms ( $\mathcal{O} = 0$ ).

As follows from Eq. (10), the nonexponential FW transformation operator reads

$$U_{\text{FW}} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 2\Theta} + \beta \sin 2\Theta}{\sqrt{2(1 + \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 2\Theta})}}. \quad (20)$$

Equations (8) and (16) result in

$$1 - \sin^2 2\Theta = \frac{1}{4}(\beta\lambda + \lambda\beta)^2. \quad (21)$$

The use of Eqs. (16) and (21) shows the equivalence of Eqs. (7) and (20). This equivalence rigorously proves the validity of Eq. (17) in the general case.

Equation (17) cannot be used for a derivation of the FW Hamiltonian in the *relativistic* case because the operator  $S_{\text{FW}}$  contains the square root of Dirac matrices (or corresponding matrices for particles with other spins). However, this equation opens a wonderful possibility to check a validity of semirelativistic and relativistic methods of the FW transformation. Indeed, a calculation of a *semirelativistic* series for the exponential operator is straightforward.

The numerator and denominator in the formula for  $\lambda$  commute. Therefore, it is convenient to present this quantity in the form,

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\{\mathcal{H}, (\mathcal{H}^2)^{-1/2}\}, \quad (22)$$

where  $\{\dots, \dots\}$  means an anticommutator. Then, we apply the expansion of the square root in the power series. It is important that this procedure can be performed for arbitrary-spin particles because the initial Hamiltonians can be presented in the form (2). For example, the operator  $\mathcal{M}$  for a spin-1 particle in a magnetic field reads [38,46]

$$\mathcal{M} = mc^2 + \frac{\boldsymbol{\pi}^2}{2m} - \frac{e\hbar}{mc} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\mathbf{S}$  is the spin matrix for the spin-1 particle,  $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \mathbf{p} - (e/c)\mathbf{A}$  is the kinetic momentum operator,  $\mathbf{A}$  is the vector potential, and  $e$  is the charge of a particle. For the electron, it is negative ( $e = -|e|$ ). The two last terms in Eq. (23) can be added to the operator  $\mathcal{E}$  and therefore the operator  $\mathcal{M}$  can be reduced to  $mc^2$ .

For analytic calculations with computer, one can use the formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\mathcal{H}^2} &= mc^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{\mathcal{H}^2 - m^2 c^4}{m^2 c^4}} \\ &= mc^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\beta mc^2 \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{O}^2 + \mathcal{E}^2 + \{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{E}\}}{m^2 c^4}}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and the well-known expansions,

$$\begin{aligned} (1+x)^{-1/2} &= 1 - \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1 \times 3}{2 \times 4}x^2 - \frac{1 \times 3 \times 5}{2 \times 4 \times 6}x^3 + \dots, \\ \arcsin x &= x + \frac{1}{2 \times 3}x^3 + \frac{1 \times 3}{2 \times 4 \times 5}x^5 \\ &\quad + \frac{1 \times 3 \times 5}{2 \times 4 \times 6 \times 7}x^7 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The result of the expansion in the power series can be written as follows:

$$(\mathcal{H}^2)^{-1/2} = \frac{1 + q_{\mathcal{E}} + q_{\mathcal{O}}}{mc^2}, \quad \beta q_{\mathcal{E}} = q_{\mathcal{E}}\beta, \quad \beta q_{\mathcal{O}} = -q_{\mathcal{O}}\beta, \quad (26)$$

where  $q_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $q_{\mathcal{O}}$  denote the sums of even and odd terms, respectively. The resulting expansion of the operator  $(\lambda - \beta\lambda\beta)/2$  in the semirelativistic power series is given by

$$\frac{\lambda - \beta\lambda\beta}{2} = \frac{1}{2mc^2}[2\mathcal{O} + \{\mathcal{E}, q_{\mathcal{O}}\} + \{\mathcal{O}, q_{\mathcal{E}}\}]. \quad (27)$$

The final equation for the exponential FW transformation operator takes the form,

$$S_{\text{FW}} = -\frac{i\beta}{2} \arcsin\left(\frac{1}{2mc^2}[2\mathcal{O} + \{\mathcal{E}, q_{\mathcal{O}}\} + \{\mathcal{O}, q_{\mathcal{E}}\}]\right). \quad (28)$$

This operator can be calculated with any necessary precision while the computational effort depends on this precision.

Equation (28) gives one a wonderful opportunity to verify any FW transformation method based on the exponential operator. If the checked FW transformation method is relativistic, one needs to expand all terms of the relativistic series for  $S_{\text{FW}}$  in powers of  $\mathcal{E}/(mc^2), \mathcal{O}/(mc^2)$ . Comparison with an explicit form of Eq. (28) verifies the checked method. Of course, any expansion can be performed only if the semirelativistic series is convergent (see Refs. [43,44]).

We should also add that the FW Hamiltonian can be calculated with the exponential operator as follows (cf. Ref. [1]):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{FW}} &= \mathcal{H} + i[S_{\text{FW}}, \mathcal{G}] + \frac{i^2}{2!}[S_{\text{FW}}, [S_{\text{FW}}, \mathcal{G}]] \\ &\quad + \frac{i^3}{3!}[S_{\text{FW}}, [S_{\text{FW}}, [S_{\text{FW}}, \mathcal{G}]]] + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} - i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \left[ S_{\text{FW}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right] \equiv -\frac{\partial S_{\text{FW}}}{\partial t}. \quad (30)$$

Unlike the original FW approach [1], this approach needs not subsequent iterations.

This result allows one to verify any other FW transformation method which is not based on the exponential operator. For this purpose, one has to expand all terms of the relativistic series for the FW Hamiltonian calculated by the checked method in powers of  $\mathcal{E}/(mc^2), \mathcal{O}/(mc^2)$  and then has to compare the obtained expression with the FW Hamiltonian given by Eqs. (29) and (30). The computational effort, of course, grows when the maximum powers increase. However, any error usually manifests itself in noncoincidence of the first few terms (see, for example, Refs. [43,51]).

Let us verify the original method by Foldy and Wouthuysen [1] as an example of the application of Eqs. (24)–(28). A calculation of the exponential FW transformation operator in the stationary case with allowance for all terms up to the order

of  $m^{-4}$  results in

$$\begin{aligned} S_{FW} = & -\frac{i}{2mc^2}\beta\mathcal{O} - \frac{i}{4m^2c^4}[\mathcal{O},\mathcal{E}] + \frac{i}{6m^3c^6}\beta\mathcal{O}^3 \\ & - \frac{i}{8m^3c^6}\beta[[\mathcal{O},\mathcal{E}],\mathcal{E}] + \frac{3i}{16m^4c^8}\{\mathcal{O}^2,[\mathcal{O},\mathcal{E}]\} \\ & - \frac{i}{16m^4c^8}[[[\mathcal{O},\mathcal{E}],\mathcal{E}],\mathcal{E}]. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The original method [1] belongs to iterative methods. The result of successive iterations expressed by the equation,

$$U = \dots \exp(iS^{(n)}) \dots \exp(iS'') \exp(iS') \exp(iS) \quad (32)$$

can be presented in the exponential form with the use of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula (see Ref. [43] and references therein). This formula defines the product of two exponential operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(A)\exp(B) = & \exp(A + B + \frac{1}{2}[A,B] + \frac{1}{12}[A,[A,B]] \\ & - \frac{1}{12}[B,[A,B]] - \frac{1}{24}[A,[B,[A,B]]] \\ & + \text{higher order commutators}). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

To verify the original method [1], it is sufficient to take into account three first iterations and to hold terms up to the order of  $m^{-3}$ . In the considered stationary case, they are given by [7,9,43]

$$\begin{aligned} S = & -\frac{i}{2mc^2}\beta\mathcal{O}, \quad S' = -\frac{i}{4m^2c^4}[\mathcal{O},\mathcal{E}] + \frac{i}{6m^3c^6}\beta\mathcal{O}^3, \\ S'' = & -\frac{i\beta}{8m^3c^6}[[\mathcal{O},\mathcal{E}],\mathcal{E}]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The result of these iterations can be approximately presented as follows (see Ref. [43]):

$$\begin{aligned} U = & \exp(iS'') \exp(iS') \exp(iS) = \exp(i\mathfrak{S}), \\ \mathfrak{S} = & S'' + S' + S - \frac{i}{2}[S,S'] = -\frac{i}{2mc^2}\beta\mathcal{O} - \frac{i}{4m^2c^4}[\mathcal{O},\mathcal{E}] \\ & + \frac{i}{6m^3c^6}\beta\mathcal{O}^3 - \frac{i}{8m^3c^6}\beta[[\mathcal{O},\mathcal{E}],\mathcal{E}] + \frac{i}{16m^3c^6}\beta[\mathcal{O}^2,\mathcal{E}]. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The operator  $\mathfrak{S}$  differs from  $S_{FW}$  due to the even last term. Therefore, the original method of the FW transformation [1] does not lead to the FW representation. This paradoxical fact was first mentioned by Eriksen and Korlsrud [42] (see also Refs. [9,43,45,46]). The original method [1] can be corrected due to an additional transformation [43]. This correction allows one to reach the FW representation.

Any representation which block diagonalizes the Hamiltonian but differs from the FW representation can be successfully used for a calculation of energy spectrum of particles in stationary states. However, an application of such a representation for other purposes is restricted. In particular, the representation which differs from the FW one is, at least, inconvenient for description of spin processes (see, for example, Refs. [4,18,52]).

Thus, we have derived the exact exponential FW transformation operator which is applicable for a particle with an arbitrary spin. This result provides an opportunity to verify any FW transformation method based on the exponential operator. Moreover, the validity of any other FW transformation method can also be checked. For this purpose, one has to use Eq. (29) in order to calculate the FW Hamiltonian and to compare it with the corresponding Hamiltonian found by the checked method. The latter possibility may need a greater computational effort.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Belarusian Republican Foundation for Fundamental Research, Grant No. Ф16D-004, and by the Heisenberg-Landau program of the German Ministry for Science and Technology (Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung).

- 
- [1] L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, On the Dirac theory of spin 1/2 particles and its non-relativistic limit, *Phys. Rev.* **78**, 29 (1950).
  - [2] A. Ya. Silenko, Pis'ma Zh. Fiz. Elektron. Chast. Atom. Yadra **10**, 144 (2013) [Classical limit of relativistic quantum mechanical equations in the Foldy-Wouthuysen representation, *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **10**, 91 (2013)].
  - [3] J. P. Costella and B. H. J. McKellar, The Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation, *Am. J. Phys.* **63**, 1119 (1995).
  - [4] V. P. Neznamov and A. J. Silenko, Foldy-Wouthuysen wave functions and conditions of transformation between Dirac and Foldy-Wouthuysen representations, *J. Math. Phys.* **50**, 122302 (2009).
  - [5] T. Goldman, Gauge invariance, time-dependent Foldy-Wouthuysen transformations, and the Pauli Hamiltonian, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 1063 (1977); M. M. Nieto, Hamiltonian Expectation Values for Time-Dependent Foldy-Wouthuysen Transformations: Implications for Electrodynamics and Resolution of the External-Field  $\pi N$  Ambiguity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **38**, 1042 (1977); A. J. Silenko, Energy expectation values of a particle in nonstationary fields, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 012111 (2015).
  - [6] S. Scherer, G. I. Poulis, and H. W. Fearing, Low-energy Compton scattering by a proton: Comparison of effective Hamiltonians with relativistic corrections, *Nucl. Phys. A* **570**, 686 (1994).
  - [7] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964).
  - [8] P. Urban, *Topics in Applied Quantumelectrodynamics* (Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1970).
  - [9] E. de Vries, Foldy-Wouthuysen Transformations and Related Problems, *Fortschr. Phys.* **18**, 149 (1970).

- [10] A. J. Silenko, Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation for relativistic particles in external fields, *J. Math. Phys.* **44**, 2952 (2003).
- [11] A. J. Silenko, Dirac equation in the Foldy-Wouthuysen representation describing the interaction of spin-1/2 relativistic particles with an external electromagnetic field, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **105**, 1224 (1995); Quantum-Mechanical Description of the Electromagnetic Interaction of Relativistic Particles with Electric and Magnetic Dipole Moments, *Russ. Phys. J.* **48**, 788 (2005).
- [12] V. P. Neznamov, On the theory of interacting fields in the Foldy-Wouthuysen representation, *Phys. Part. Nucl.* **37**, 86 (2006).
- [13] S. A. Khan, The Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation technique in optics, *Optik - International Journal for Light and Electron Optics* **117**, 481 (2006).
- [14] F. A. Reuse, *Electrodynamique et Optique Quantiques* (Presses Polytechniques et Universitaires Romandes, Lausanne, 2007).
- [15] Y. Hinschberger and P.-A. Hervieux, Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation applied to the interaction of an electron with ultrafast electromagnetic fields, *Phys. Lett. A* **376**, 813 (2012).
- [16] K. Yu. Bliokh, Topological spin transport of a relativistic electron, *Europhys. Lett.* **72**, 7 (2005); P. Gosselin, A. Bérard, and H. Mohrbach, Semiclassical diagonalization of quantum Hamiltonian and equations of motion with Berry phase corrections, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **58**, 137 (2007); P. Gosselin, A. Bérard, H. Mohrbach, and S. Ghosh, Berry curvature in graphene: A new approach, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **59**, 883 (2009).
- [17] K. Golec, Some remarks on the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation for the Dirac Hamiltonian with an external Yang-Mills field, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **17**, 93 (1986); H. Arodz and K. Golec, Foldy-Wouthuysen representation and the relativistic equations of motion for a classical colored, spinning particle, *Phys. Rev. D* **33**, 534 (1986).
- [18] A. J. Silenko and O. V. Teryaev, Semiclassical limit for Dirac particles interacting with a gravitational field, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 064016 (2005).
- [19] P. Gosselin, A. Bérard, and H. Mohrbach, Semiclassical dynamics of Dirac particles interacting with a static gravitational field, *Phys. Lett. A* **368**, 356 (2007); P. Gosselin and H. Mohrbach, Dirac particles in a gravitational field, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1739 (2011).
- [20] Yu. N. Obukhov, A. J. Silenko, and O. V. Teryaev, Spin in an arbitrary gravitational field, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 084014 (2013); Spin-torsion coupling and gravitational moments of Dirac fermions: Theory and experimental bounds, **90**, 124068 (2014).
- [21] A. J. Silenko, Spin rotation of charged particles in crystals caused by parity nonconserving interaction, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B* **114**, 259 (1996); Foldy-Wouthuysen representation in the standard model of electroweak interactions, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **112**, 922 (1997).
- [22] K. G. Dyall and K. Faegri, *Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Chemistry* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007).
- [23] M. Reiher and A. Wolf, *Relativistic Quantum Chemistry: The Fundamental Theory of Molecular Science* (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009).
- [24] D. Peng and M. Reiher, Exact decoupling of the relativistic Fock operator, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **131**, 1081 (2012).
- [25] J. Autschbach, Density Functional Theory applied to calculating optical and spectroscopic properties of metal complexes: NMR and Optical Activity, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **251**, 1796 (2007).
- [26] M. Reiher, Douglas-Kroll-Hess Theory—A Relativistic Electrons-Only Theory for Chemistry, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **116**, 241 (2006).
- [27] W. Liu, Ideas of relativistic quantum chemistry, *Mol. Phys.* **108**, 1679 (2010).
- [28] D. Peng and M. Reiher, Local relativistic exact decoupling, *J. Chem. Phys.* **136**, 244108 (2012).
- [29] T. Nakajima and K. Hirao, The Douglas-Kroll-Hess Approach, *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 385 (2012).
- [30] M. Reiher, Sequential decoupling of negative-energy states in Douglas-Kroll-Hess theory, in *Handbook of Relativistic Quantum Chemistry*, edited by W. Liu (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2015).
- [31] M. Reiher, Relativistic Douglas-Kroll-Hess theory, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2**, 139 (2012).
- [32] A. D. Bryden, A Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation for particles of spin 3/2, *Nucl. Phys.* **53**, 165 (1964).
- [33] D. L. Pursey, A Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation for particles of arbitrary spin, *Nucl. Phys.* **53**, 174 (1964).
- [34] R. F. Guertin, Foldy-Wouthuysen transformations for any spin, *Ann. Phys.* **91**, 386 (1975).
- [35] J. León, M. Quirós, and J. R. Mittelbrunn, Group content of the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation and the nonrelativistic limit for arbitrary spin, *J. Math. Phys.* **20**, 1068 (1979); V. Simulik, Link between the relativistic canonical quantum mechanics of arbitrary spin and the corresponding field theory, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **670**, 012047 (2016).
- [36] K. M. Case, Some generalizations of the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation, *Phys. Rev.* **95**, 1323 (1954).
- [37] A. J. Silenko, Hamilton operator and the semiclassical limit for scalar particles in an electromagnetic field, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **156**, 1308 (2008); Quantum-mechanical description of spin-1 particles with electric dipole moments, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 073015 (2013); Scalar particle in general inertial and gravitational fields and conformal invariance revisited, **88**, 045004 (2013); New symmetry properties of pointlike scalar and Dirac particles, **91**, 065012 (2015).
- [38] A. J. Silenko, High precision description and new properties of a spin-1 particle in a magnetic field, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 121701(R) (2014).
- [39] A. J. Silenko, Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation and semiclassical limit for relativistic particles in strong external fields, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 012116 (2008).
- [40] V. M. Simulik and I. Yu. Krivsky, Bosonic symmetries of the massless Dirac equation, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Alg.* **8**, 69 (1998); Bosonic symmetries of the Dirac equation, *Phys. Lett. A* **375**, 2479 (2011); V. M. Simulik, I. Yu. Krivsky, and I. L. Lamer, Bosonic symmetries, solutions, and conservation laws for the Dirac equation with nonzero mass, *Ukr. J. Phys.* **58**, 523 (2013); V. M. Simulik and I. Yu. Krivsky, Application of the generalized Clifford-Dirac algebra to the proof of the Dirac equation Fermi-Bose duality, *TWMS Journ. Appl. Engin. Math.* **3**, 46 (2013).
- [41] E. Eriksen, Foldy-Wouthuysen Transformation. Exact Solution with Generalization to the Two-Particle Problem, *Phys. Rev.* **111**, 1011 (1958).

- [42] E. Eriksen and M. Korlsrud, Canonical transformations of Dirac's equation to even forms. Expansion in terms of the external fields, *Nuovo Cimento Suppl.* **18**, 1 (1960).
- [43] A. J. Silenko, General properties of the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation and applicability of the corrected original Foldy-Wouthuysen method, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 022108 (2016).
- [44] M. Reiher and A. Wolf, Exact decoupling of the Dirac Hamiltonian. I. General theory, *J. Chem. Phys.* **121**, 2037 (2004).
- [45] E. de Vries and J. E. Jonker, Non-relativistic approximations of the Dirac Hamiltonian, *Nucl. Phys. B* **6**, 213 (1968).
- [46] A. Ya. Silenko, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **176**, 189 (2013) [Comparative analysis of direct and "step-by-step" Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation methods, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **176**, 987 (2013)].
- [47] A. J. Silenko, General method of the relativistic Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation and proof of validity of the Foldy-Wouthuysen Hamiltonian, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 022103 (2015).
- [48] M. Reiher and A. Wolf, Exact decoupling of the Dirac Hamiltonian. II. The generalized Douglas-Kroll-Hess transformation up to arbitrary order, *J. Chem. Phys.* **121**, 10945 (2004).
- [49] T. Nakajima and K. Hirao, Numerical illustration of third-order Douglas-Kroll method: Atomic and molecular properties of superheavy element 112, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **329**, 511 (2000); The higher-order Douglas-Kroll transformation, *J. Chem. Phys.* **113**, 7786 (2000); A. Wolf, M. Reiher, and B. A. Hess, The generalized Douglas-Kroll transformation, *ibid.* **117**, 9215 (2002); C. van Wüllen, Relation between different variants of the generalized Douglas-Kroll transformation through sixth order, *ibid.* **120**, 7307 (2004).
- [50] A. J. Silenko, Validation of the Eriksen Method for the Exact Foldy-Wouthuysen Representation, *Pis'ma Zh. Fiz. Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra* **10**, 321 (2013) [*Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **10**, 198 (2013)].
- [51] W. Liu and D. Peng, Exact two-component Hamiltonians revisited, *J. Chem. Phys.* **125**, 044102 (2006).
- [52] J. Q. Quach, Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation of the generalized Dirac Hamiltonian in a gravitational-wave background, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 084047 (2015).