

**Erratum: Dependence of Berry's phase on the sign of the  $g$  factor for conical rotation of a magnetic field, measured without any dynamical phase shift [Phys. Rev. A **83**, 052109 (2011)]**

Atsuo Morinaga, Koichi Toriyama, Hiroataka Narui, Takatoshi Aoki, and Hiromitsu Imai

(Received 5 May 2016; published 24 May 2016)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.93.059904](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.059904)

In this paper, the phase shift between the  $m_F = -1$  state with a negative  $g$  factor and the  $m_F = 1$  state with a positive  $g$  factor for a whole rotation of the magnetic field was measured for  $\pi/3 < \theta < 2\pi/3$ , where  $\theta$  is an angle between the rotation axis and the direction of the magnetic field. The phase shift was  $4\pi\cos\theta$  on the basis of the phase at  $\theta = \pi/2$ , and we concluded that the Berry phase depended on the sign of the  $g$  factor. However, it was not reasonable. Afterward, we measured the phase shift between the two states for a whole region of  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$  [1]. As stated in Ref. [1], if we rewrite the measured phase shift on the basis of the phase at  $\theta = 0$ , it is coincident with  $-4\pi(1 - \cos\theta)$ , which is independent of the sign of the  $g$  factor [2]. Thus, we confirmed that the Berry phase depends on the spin component and the signed solid angle but does not depend on the sign and the magnitude of the  $g$  factor as originally predicted by Berry [3]. Therefore, the abstract and the conclusion should be corrected similarly.

- 
- [1] A. Morinaga and Y. Hasegawa, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 013403 (2015).  
[2] S. Welte, C. Basler, and H. Helm, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 023412 (2014).  
[3] M. V. Berry, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **392**, 45 (1984).