Velocity-dependent dipole forces on an excited atom

M. Donaire* and A. Lambrecht

Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, UPMC-Sorbonnes Universites, CNRS, ENS-PSL Research University, ´ College de France, 4, place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris, France ` (Received 8 September 2015; published 1 February 2016)

We present a time-dependent calculation of the velocity-dependent forces which act on an excited atomic dipole in relative motion with respect to ground state atoms of a different kind. Both its interaction with a single atom and with a dilute atomic plate are evaluated. In either case, the total force consists of a conservative van der Waals component and a nonconservative Röntgen component. On physical grounds, the former corresponds to the velocity-dependent recoil experienced by the excited atom in the processes of absorption and emission of the photons that it exchanges with the ground-state atoms on a periodic basis. The latter corresponds to the time-variation of the Röntgen momentum, which is also mediated by the periodic exchange of quasiresonant photons. We find that, at leading order, all these interactions are linear in velocity. In the nonretarded regime the van der Waals force dominates, being antiparallel to the velocity. On the contrary, in the retarded regime the velocity-dependent forces oscillate in space, van der Waals and Röntgen forces are of the same order in the atom-atom interaction, and the Röntgen component dominates in the atom-surface interaction.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.93.022701](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.93.022701)

I. INTRODUCTION

Frictional forces mediated by the electromagnetic (EM) vacuum field between neutral atoms and dielectric objects in relative motion have been the subject a number of recent works [\[1–10\]](#page-7-0). As already appreciated by Einstein in his celebrated paper of 1917 [\[11\]](#page-7-0), it is the Doppler shift on the photons which interact with a neutral atom in motion with respect to a thermal bath that gives rise to an effective friction which drives the atom to thermal equilibrium. Since then, a number of authors have discussed the possibility of an analogous effect at zero temperature [\[1–10,12–15\]](#page-7-0). In this case, it is the exchange of vacuum photons between the fluctuating currents of neutral objects moving at relative velocities that gives rise to a net transfer of momentum between them in the direction parallel to the velocity. In this respect, the explanatory work by Pendry [\[1\]](#page-7-0) has motivated the investigation of the so-called *quantum friction* in different scenarios. Several approaches and approximations have been considered since then by a number of authors, yielding apparent contradictory results with regard to the dependence of the friction force on the velocity. Nonetheless, recent works agree on the cubic scaling of the friction force with velocity on a ground-state atom at zero temperature in motion with respect to a metallic slab $[8-10]$. For the case of the interaction between two macroscopic objects, the approaches are semiclassical and based on linear response theory and the fluctuation dissipation theorem $[2,3]$. Concerning the interaction between an atom and a dielectric object, the authors of Refs. [\[5,9,12\]](#page-7-0) have treated the atom quantum-mechanically, while the electric response of the system macroscopic object-EM field has been considered semiclassical. On the contrary, the authors of Refs. $[7,10,14]$ have applied time-dependent perturbation theory within a Hamiltonian approach in which the interaction between the macroscopic objects and the EM field is effective and semiclassical. Lastly, the interaction

between two harmonic oscillators (intended to describe two atoms) has been considered by the authors of Refs. [\[6,8,15\]](#page-7-0) within a Hamiltonian approach in which the EM interaction between them reduces to the electrostatic (i.e., nonquantum) one. Finally, all the aforementioned works, except for that by Scheel and Buhmann [\[5\]](#page-7-0), deal with bodies in their (internal) ground states, and they all appeal to some effective mechanism of dissipation to explain quantum friction.

In this article we study the velocity-dependent EM force between two neutral bodies, one of which is initially prepared in an excited state. In the first place we study the interaction between two atoms of different kinds, *A* and *B*, in relative motion, one of which, say atom *A*, is initially prepared in an excited state at zero temperature—see Fig. $1(a)$. This is probably the simplest realistic scenario where a Hamiltonian treatment can be carried out all the way to the end. Important is the fact that dissipation is purely radiative here, which allows us to trace it along the calculation. In order to simplify the calculation we adopt the *quasiresonant approximation* outlined in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0). That is, we assume two-level atoms for which the detuning between their resonant frequencies, Δ_{AB} $\omega_A - \omega_B$, is such that $\Gamma_{A,B} < \Delta_{AB} \ll \omega_{A,B}$, where $\Gamma_{A,B}$ are the linewidths of the corresponding transitions of atoms *A* and *B*, respectively. This condition is easily met in pairs of alkali atoms as well as, in an approximate manner, in pairs of circular Rydberg atoms [\[17\]](#page-7-0). It allows us to truncate our results at leading order in $\Delta_{AB}/\omega_{A,B}$. In addition, we assume that the observation time *T* satisfies $2\pi/|\Delta_{AB}| < T \ll 2\pi/\Gamma_{A,B}$, such that atom *A* remains excited until the observation time. We will extend our approach to the calculation of the velocity-dependent force on an excited atom of type *A* flying at constant velocity parallel to a thin plate made of a random distribution of atoms of kind *B* in their ground state—see Fig. [1\(b\).](#page-1-0)

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. [II](#page-1-0) we explain the fundamentals of our approach. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0) we compute the velocity-dependent van der Waals force. The Röntgen force is evaluated in Sec. [IV.](#page-5-0) Conclusions are summarized in Sec. [V.](#page-6-0)

^{*}donaire@lkb.upmc.fr; mad37ster@gmail.com

FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of the interaction of atom *A* with an atom *B*. Atom *A* moves at constant velocity **v** while atom *B* remains at rest at a distance *R* which varies in time. (b) Sketch of the interaction of an atom *A* with a thin plate made of a random distribution of atoms of kind *B*, with numerical surface density σ . Atom *A* flies with velocity **v** parallel to the plate at a height *d*. The wavy lines represent the pairs of photons exchanged by the atoms. Emission and absorption processes take place at different locations of atom *A*.

II. FUNDAMENTALS OF CALCULATION

We aim at computing the velocity-dependent force on the atom *A* as a result of its EM interaction and its relative motion with respect to atom *B* in the electric-dipole and quasiresonant approximations. Our approach is based on that of Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0), which is appropriately extended to account for dynamical effects. Basically, the extension consists of, in the first place, promoting the center-of-mass (CM) degrees of freedom (d.o.f.) of the atoms to quantum operators, and second, adding the contribution of the Röntgen momentum both to the force operator and to the interaction potential. Following Ref. [\[18\]](#page-7-0), in the electric-dipole approximation the canonical conjugate momenta of the atomic CM position vectors **R**_{*A,B*} are **P**_{*A,B*} = **Q**_{*A,B*} – **d**_{*A,B*} · **B**(**R**_{*A,B*}), with $\mathbf{Q}_{A,B}$ being the kinetic momenta of the CM of each atom. The interaction potential between the free EM field and the atomic dipoles is $W = W_A^E + W_B^E + W_A^R + W_B^R$, where

$$
W_{A,B}^{E} = -\mathbf{d}_{A,B} \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R}_{A,B}), W_{A,B}^{R} = [\mathbf{P}_{A,B} \cdot [\mathbf{d}_{A,B} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}_{A,B})] + [\mathbf{d}_{A,B} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}_{A,B})] \cdot \mathbf{P}_{A,B}]/2m_{A,B}
$$

are the electric field and Röntgen coupling potentials, respectively, which act upon the free Hamiltonians. These are the free Hamiltonian of the atomic internal d.o.f., H_A + $H_B = \hbar \omega_A |A_+\rangle \langle A_+| + \hbar \omega_B |B_+\rangle \langle B_+|$, the kinetic energy of the atomic CMs, $K_{A,B} = c(Q_{A,B}^2 + c^2 m_{A,B}^2)^{1/2}$, and the Hamiltonian of free photons, $H_{EM} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon} \hbar \omega (a_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon} a_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon}^{\dagger} +$ 1/2). In the above expressions $\mathbf{d}_{A,B}$ denote the electric-dipolemoment operators of atoms A and B , respectively, $m_{A,B}$ are their respective atomic masses, and **E** and **B** are the electric and magnetic field operators, respectively, which can be expressed as series of annihilation and creation field operators of photons

of momentum \hbar **k**:

$$
\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R}_{A,B}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{R}_{A,B}) + \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{R}_{A,B})
$$

\n
$$
= i \sum_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar ck}{2\mathcal{V}\epsilon_{0}}} [\epsilon a_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{A,B}} - \epsilon^{*} a_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon}^{\dagger} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{A,B}}],
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}_{A,B}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{R}_{A,B}) + \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{R}_{A,B})
$$

\n
$$
= i \sum_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2ck\mathcal{V}\epsilon_{0}}} \mathbf{k} \times [\epsilon a_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{A,B}} - \epsilon^{*} a_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon}^{\dagger} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{A,B}}],
$$

where V is a quantization volume, $\omega = ck$ is the photon frequency, and the operators $a_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon}^{\dagger}$ and $a_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon}$ are the creation and annihilation operators of photons of momentum \hbar **k** and polarization ϵ , respectively.

As in Ref. $[16]$, we use the wave-function formalism in the Schrödinger picture $[19]$ $[19]$ to compute the velocitydependent force on an excited atom *A* which moves at certain velocity **v** with respect to an atom B in its ground state. That is, denoting by $\mathbb{U}(T)$ the time-evolution operator at the time of observation *T*, $\mathbb{U}(T) = T \exp \{-i\hbar^{-1} \int_0^T dt [H_A +$ $H_B + H_{EM} + \mathcal{K}_A + \mathcal{K}_B + W_A^E + W_B^E + W_A^R + W_B^R$]}, and by $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ the wave function of the two-atom system right at the moment at which atom *A* gets excited, the total force acting upon atom *A* at $T > 0$ reads, at $O[(W_A^E + W_A^R)^2]$,

$$
\langle \mathbf{F}_A(T) \rangle = \partial_T \langle \mathbf{Q}_A(T) \rangle \n= -i\hbar \partial_T \langle \Psi(0) | \mathbb{U}^\dagger(T) \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_A} \mathbb{U}(T) | \Psi(0) \rangle \n+ \partial_T \langle \Psi(0) | \mathbb{U}^\dagger(T) \mathbf{d}_A \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}_A) \mathbb{U}(T) | \Psi(0) \rangle \n\approx -\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_T} \langle \left[W_A^E + W_A^R \right](T) \rangle / 2 + \partial_T \langle [\mathbf{d}_A \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}_A)](T) \rangle \n\approx \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_T} \langle \mathbf{d}_A \cdot \left[\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{R}_A) + m_A^{-1} \langle \mathbf{Q}_A \rangle \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}_A) \right](T) \rangle \n+ \partial_T \langle [\mathbf{d}_A \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}_A)](T) \rangle.
$$
\n(1)

In this equation, a term quadratic in $B(R_A)$ has been discarded in the last line because it yields a negligible contribution under quasiresonant conditions and we assume that quantum fluctuations over the classical trajectories of the atoms are negligible, both in the positions and in the kinetic momenta of their CMs. That is, denoting by $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(T) =$ $\exp \{-i\hbar^{-1}[\mathcal{K}_A + \mathcal{K}_B]\}$ the free-evolution operator of the CM d.o.f., the (approximately) constant values of the atomic kinetic momenta read $\langle \mathbf{Q}_{A,B} \rangle \equiv \langle \Psi(0) | \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\dagger}(t) \mathbf{Q}_{A,B} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(t) | \Psi(0) \rangle \ \forall \ t.$ Next, neglecting quantum fluctuations over the expectation values of the CM position and momentum vectors, we can write $\langle \Psi(0) | \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\dagger}(t) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{R}_{A,B}, \mathbf{Q}_{A,B}) \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(t) | \Psi(0) \rangle \simeq$ $\mathcal{F}(\langle \mathbf{R}_{A,B}(t) \rangle, \langle \mathbf{Q}_{A,B} \rangle)$ for any functional \mathcal{F} of the operators $\mathbf{R}_{A,B}$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{A,B}$. In particular, the functional gradient $\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_A}$ is replaced with $\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_T}$ in Eq. (1), with $\mathbf{R}_T \equiv \langle [\mathbf{R}_A - \mathbf{R}_B](T) \rangle$, and the constant velocity of atom *A* relates to $\langle \mathbf{Q}_A \rangle$ through the classical relativistic expression

$$
\mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \Psi(0) | \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\dagger}(t) \mathbf{R}_{A} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(t) | \Psi(0) \rangle
$$

$$
\simeq \frac{\langle \mathbf{Q}_{A} \rangle / m_{A}}{\sqrt{1 + \langle Q_{A} \rangle^{2} / (m_{A} c)^{2}}}.
$$

Similar to the calculation carried out in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0) for the van der Waals (vdW) interaction, we apply standard time-dependent perturbation theory at order four in *W* to compute the force of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) under quasiresonant conditions. The only difference here is the additional coupling to the Röntgen momentum. We address first the velocity-dependent force in the absence of the Röntgen momentum, which we refer to as velocity-dependent vdW force. Later, we compute the terms of the force which depend on the Röntgen momentum, which we refer to as Röntgen force for brevity.

III. VELOCITY-DEPENDENT VAN DER WAALS FORCE

It was found in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0), by using standard time-dependent perturbation theory at order four in the potential $W_A^E + W_B^E$, that the energy of interaction between an excited atom *A* and a ground-state atom *B* at time *T* after the excitation of atom *A* is given by

$$
\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle \simeq \frac{1}{\hbar^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{V}k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{V}k'^2 dk'}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{0}^{4\pi} d\Omega
$$

\n
$$
\times \int_{0}^{4\pi} d\Omega' \Big[i \langle \Psi(0) | \mathbb{U}_0(-T) | \Psi(0) \rangle \Theta(T - 2R/c)
$$

\n
$$
\times \int_{0}^{T} dt \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t'} dt'' \langle \Psi(0) | \mathbf{d}_A
$$

\n
$$
\cdot \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}'}^{(-)}(\mathbf{R}_A) \mathbb{U}_0(T - t) \mathbf{d}_B \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}'}^{(+)}(\mathbf{R}_B) \mathbb{U}_0(t - t') \mathbf{d}_B
$$

\n
$$
\cdot \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(-)}(\mathbf{R}_B)
$$

\n
$$
\times \mathbb{U}_0(t' - t'') \mathbf{d}_A \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(+)}(\mathbf{R}_A) \mathbb{U}_0(t'') | \Psi(0) \rangle \Big]
$$

\n
$$
+ [k \leftrightarrow k']^{\dagger}, \tag{2}
$$

where **R** is the interatomic displacement vector, $\mathbf{R} =$ $\mathbf{R}_A - \mathbf{R}_B$, and $\mathbb{U}_0(t)$ is the unperturbed time-evolution propagator in the absence of *W*. Equation (2) corresponds almost completely to the diagram of Fig. $2(a)$ alone, where the photonic loop is made of two doubly resonant photons. In addition, the diagrams (b)–(f) of Fig. 1 in Ref. $[16]$ provide the Heaviside function $\Theta(T - 2R/c)$, which guarantees causality.

FIG. 2. (a) Diagrammatic representation of Eq. (2) for $\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle$. Time runs along the vertical as indicated by the arrows. **R** is intended, generally, as a quantum operator. Only for both atoms at rest can **R** be treated in this picture as a classical variable. (b) Diagrammatic representation of Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-0) for $\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle^{\mathbf{v}}$ up to order $(v/c)^3$. The interatomic displacement here is a classical variable which depends on time. **v**($T - t$) is the displacement of atom *A* during the lag between the emission of the first photon and the absorbtion of the second photon.

For both atoms at rest (which we denote by the superscript **0**) their position vectors \mathbf{R}_A and \mathbf{R}_B can be considered as classical and constant vectors, and $\mathbb{U}_0(t)$ reads $\mathbb{U}_0^0(t) =$ $\exp[-i\hbar^{-1}(H_A + H_B + H_{EM})t]$. Finally, $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ at zero velocity is made of the tensor product of the EM vacuum state $(|0_\nu\rangle)$ and the internal states of the atoms, the excited one for atom *A* and the fundamental one for atom *B*, $|\Psi^0(0)\rangle=|A_+\rangle\otimes|B_-\rangle\otimes|0_\gamma\rangle$. Substituting next these formulas in Eq. (2), taking the limit to the continuum by replacing the sums over momenta by integrals, and summing over polarizations and integrating in orientations, we arrive at [\[16\]](#page-7-0)

$$
\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle^0 \simeq \frac{4\alpha_f c^3}{\pi \epsilon_0 e^2} \mu_i^A \mu_j^B \mu_p^B \mu_q^A \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk k^2 \text{Im}\{G^{ij}(k'R)\}
$$

$$
\times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk' k'^2 \text{Im}\{G^{pq}(kR)\} \Theta(T - 2R/c)
$$

$$
\times \int_0^T dt \int_0^t dt' \int_0^{t'} dt'' [(ie^{i\omega_A T}e^{-i(T-t)\omega'})
$$

$$
\times e^{-i(t-t')\omega_B} e^{-i(t'-t'')\omega} e^{-it''\omega_A}) + (\omega \leftrightarrow \omega')^{\dagger}],
$$

(3)

where α_f is the fine structure constant, $\mu^A = \langle A_- | \mathbf{d}_A | A_+ \rangle$, $\mu^{B} = \langle B_{-}|\mathbf{d}_{B}|B_{+}\rangle$, and the tensor $\mathbb{G}(kR)$ is the dyadic Green's function of the electric field induced by an electric dipole of frequency *ck* in free space:

$$
\mathbb{G}(kR) = \frac{ke^{ikR}}{4\pi} [\alpha/kR + i\beta/(kR)^2 - \beta/(kR)^3], \qquad (4)
$$

where the tensors α and β read $\alpha = \mathbb{I} - \mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}/R^2$, $\beta = \mathbb{I} - 3\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}/R^2$.

Let us consider next that the atoms move away from each other at relative velocity **v**. For simplicity, we consider atom *B* at rest in our reference frame. We denote the associated observables by the superscript **v**. At leading order, the dominant contribution to the interaction still comes from Eq. (2), but now allowing for the constant motion of the CM of each atom. In order to account for this motion, the position vectors of the CMs, $\mathbf{R}_{A,B}$, and their kinetic momenta, $\mathbf{Q}_{A,B}$, are promoted to quantum operators; the initial state is now the tensor product of the EM vacuum, the initial internal atomic states and the states of the CMs of each atom; and the time-evolution propagator contains the kinetic energy of the CMs defined earlier, $\mathbb{U}_0(t) = \mathbb{U}_0^0(t) \otimes \mathbb{U}_k(t)$. When the CM d.o.f. are considered quantum operators in Eq. (2), the resultant equation reads

$$
\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle^{\mathbf{v}} \simeq \int dt dt' dt'' dk dk' [\langle \Psi(0) |
$$

\n
$$
\times C(A_{\pm}, B_{\pm}, \gamma; k, k', t, t', t'', T) \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(-T) e^{i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}_A}
$$

\n
$$
\times \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(T - t) e^{-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}_B} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(t - t') e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_B}
$$

\n
$$
\times \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(t' - t'') e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_A} \mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(t'') |\Psi(0)\rangle] + [k \leftrightarrow k']^{\dagger},
$$

\n(5)

where we have isolated explicitly the factors which depend on the CM operators alone, with C being a function of the photonic and internal atomic d.o.f. as well as of the time and frequency variables. In the absence of the Röntgen momentum,

the following relation holds in application of the canonical commutation relations, $[R^i_{A,B}, Q^j_{A,B}] \simeq i\hbar\delta^{ij}$,¹

$$
e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{A,B}}e^{-i\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{Q}_{A,B})t/\hbar}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{A,B}}=e^{-i\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{Q}_{A,B}+\hbar\mathbf{k})t/\hbar}
$$

$$
\simeq e^{-i\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{Q}_{A,B})t/\hbar} \exp\bigg[\frac{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{Q}_{A,B}}{m_{A,B}}t\bigg[1-\frac{Q_{A,B}^2/2}{(m_{A,B}c)^2}+\frac{3Q_{A,B}^4/8}{(m_{A,B}c)^4}\bigg]\bigg].
$$
 (6)

In the last line we expanded K up to terms of order five in $Q_{A,B}/m_{A,B}c$. Applying the above relation to Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-0), we end up with the expression

$$
\langle W_{A}^{E}(T) \rangle^{V} \simeq \int dt dt' dt'' dk dk' \Big\{ \langle \Psi(0) | \mathbb{U}_{K}(-t')C(A_{\pm}, B_{\pm}, \gamma; k, k', t, t', t'', T) \times \exp\left[i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{A}}{m_{A}}(T - t')\left[1 - \frac{Q_{A}^{2}}{2(m_{A}c)^{2}} + \frac{3Q_{A}^{4}}{8(m_{A}c)^{4}}\right]\right] \times \exp\left[-i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{B}}{m_{B}}(t - t')\left[1 - \frac{Q_{B}^{2}}{2(m_{B}c)^{2}} + \frac{3Q_{B}^{4}}{8(m_{B}c)^{4}}\right]\right] e^{i\mathbf{k}' \cdot (\mathbf{R}_{A} - \mathbf{R}_{B})} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{R}_{A} - \mathbf{R}_{B})} \times \exp\left[i\mathbf{k} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{A}}{m_{A}}(t' - t'')\left[1 - \frac{Q_{A}^{2}}{2(m_{A}c)^{2}} + \frac{3Q_{A}^{4}}{8(m_{A}c)^{4}}\right]\right] \mathbb{U}_{K}(t') |\Psi(0)\rangle + \{k \leftrightarrow k'\}^{\dagger}, \tag{7}
$$

where terms of the order of $\hbar k/Q_{A,B}$ times less have been ignored in the exponentials.² We observe that the factors which depend on the CM d.o.f. are evaluated on the state of the CM at time t' , $\mathbb{U}_{\mathcal{K}}(t')|\Psi(0)\rangle$. Neglecting quantum fluctuations in the CM d.o.f. and making use of the approximation

$$
\mathbf{v} \simeq \frac{\langle \mathbf{Q}_A \rangle}{m_A} \bigg[1 - \frac{\langle Q_A \rangle^2}{2(m_A c)^2} + \frac{\langle Q_A \rangle^4}{8(m_A c)^4} \bigg],\tag{8}
$$

we find

$$
\langle W_{A}^{E}(T) \rangle^{\mathbf{v}} \simeq \int dt dt' dt' dk dk' [\langle \Psi(0) | C(A_{\pm}, B_{\pm}, \gamma; k, k', t, t', t'', T) | \Psi(0) \rangle
$$

$$
\times e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot [\langle \mathbf{R}_{A}(T) \rangle - \mathbf{v}(T - t'') - \langle \mathbf{R}_{B} \rangle]} e^{i\mathbf{k}' \cdot [\langle \mathbf{R}_{A}(T) \rangle - \langle \mathbf{R}_{B} \rangle]} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}(v/c)^{4} (t'' - t')/4} e^{i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{v}(v/c)^{4} (T - t')/4}] + [k \leftrightarrow k']^{\dagger}.
$$
 (9)

This result shows that, up to terms of order three in v/c , the net effect of the application of Eqs. (6) and (8) is the substitution of the operators $\mathbf{R}_{A,B}$ in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0) by their corresponding classical vectors which evolve in time as $\langle \mathbf{R}_B(t) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{R}_B \rangle$ constant, $\langle \mathbf{R}_A(t) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{R}_A(T) \rangle - \mathbf{v}(T - t)$, with $0 \le t \le T$. The absence of Doppler shifts of order $(v/c)^3$ is remarkable.

The resultant diagrammatic representation of $(W_A^E(T))^v$ up to $O[(v/c)^3]$ is shown in Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-2-0) Once integrated in orientations, the interaction energy of the moving atom *A* reads

$$
\langle W_{A}^{E}(T) \rangle^{V} \simeq \frac{4\alpha_{f}c^{3}}{\pi \epsilon_{0}e^{2}} \mu_{i}^{A} \mu_{j}^{B} \mu_{p}^{A} \mu_{q}^{A} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk' \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk \left\{ \int_{0}^{T} dt \int_{0}^{t} dt' \int_{0}^{t'} dt'' [k'^{2} \text{Im}\{G^{ij}(k'R_{T})\}k^{2} \text{Im}\{G^{pq}[k|R_{T} - \mathbf{v}(T - t'')] \}] \right\} \times \Theta[T(1 + v_{R}/c) - 2R_{T}/c] (ie^{i\omega_{A}T} e^{-i(T - t)\omega'} e^{-i(t' - t')\omega_{B}} e^{-i(t' - t'')\omega} e^{-it''\omega_{A}}] + [\omega \leftrightarrow \omega']^{\dagger} \left\}, \tag{10}
$$

where \mathbf{R}_T was defined earlier as the interatomic displacement vector at the time of observation. In Eq. (10) the argument of the first Green function is shifted with respect to the one in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0) by an amount proportional to the displacement of atom *A* during the lag between the emission of the first photon and the absorbtion of the second photon by atom A , $\mathbf{v}(T - t'')$. Correspondingly, the argument of the Heaviside function is shifted by an amount $v_R T/c$, where v_R is the component of **v** parallel to \mathbf{R}_T . The shift in the argument of the Green function gives rise to two kinds of terms at $O(v_R/c)$,

$$
\mathbb{G}[k|\mathbf{R}_T - \mathbf{v}(T - t'')|] \simeq \frac{k e^{ik(R_T - v_R T + v_R t'')}}{4\pi} \left[\frac{\alpha_T}{k[R_T - v_R (T - t'')]}, \frac{i\beta_T}{\{k[R_T - v_R (T - t'')]}\}^2 - \frac{\beta_T}{\{k[R_T - v_R (T - t'')]}\}^3 \right] \simeq \frac{k e^{ikR_T}}{4\pi} \left\{ e^{-ikv_R (T - t'')} \left[\frac{\alpha_T}{kR_T} + \frac{i\beta_T}{(kR_T)^2} - \frac{\beta_T}{(kR_T)^3} \right] + \frac{v_R (T - t'')}{R_T} \left[\frac{\alpha_T}{kR_T} + \frac{2i\beta_T}{(kR_T)^2} - \frac{3\beta_T}{(kR_T)^3} \right] \right\},
$$
\n(11)

where the tensors read $\alpha_T = \mathbb{I} - \mathbf{R}_T \mathbf{R}_T/R_T^2$, $\beta_T = \mathbb{I} - 3\mathbf{R}_T \mathbf{R}_T/R_T^2$. The terms within the first square brackets of the second line of Eq. (11) can be interpreted as Doppler shifts on the frequency of the photon emitted from atom *A*, whereas the terms within

¹The inclusion of the Röntgen momentum would yield contributions of higher order in W , hence being negligible.

 2 This approximation relies on the assumption that the active electrons within the atoms are nonrelativistic, which implies an implicit wavelength cutoff of the order of the electronic Compton wavelength, which translates into the assumption $m_ec/m_Av \ll 1$.

the second square brackets are multiplied by the lag between the photons emitted and absorbed by atom *A*. This distinction, however, is merely formal, since in both cases the origin of these terms lies in the Doppler shifts of the complex exponentials of Eq. [\(9\)](#page-3-0), restricted to terms linear in v_R/c .

The substitution of Eq. [\(11\)](#page-3-0) into Eq. [\(10\)](#page-3-0) yields three different contributions to $\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle^{\mathbf{v}}$. We denote the interaction associated with the first term of Eq. [\(11\)](#page-3-0) by $\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle_{Dop}^{\mathbf{v}}$, and the interaction associated with the second term of Eq. (11) by $\langle W_A(T) \rangle_{Lag}^{\mathbf{v}}$. Lastly, the Heaviside function contributes at leading order with a term $\langle W_A^E \rangle^{\mathbf{v}}_{\Theta} = \frac{-v_R \partial}{2 \partial v_R} \langle W_A^E [2R_T(1 - v_R/c)/c] \rangle^{\mathbf{0}}|_{v_R=0}$. In summary, we obtain

$$
\langle W_{A}^{E}(T) \rangle_{\Theta}^{V} = \frac{2\mathcal{U}_{ijpq} \Delta_{AB} v_{R}}{R_{T}^{5} c^{2}} \Big[\beta_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} - k_{B}^{2} R_{T}^{2} \big(\beta_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} + 2 \alpha_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} \big) + k_{B}^{4} R_{T}^{4} \alpha_{T}^{ij} \alpha_{T}^{pq} \Big] \sin (2k_{A} R_{T}) - \frac{4 \mathcal{U}_{ijpq} \Delta_{AB} v_{R}}{R_{T}^{4} c^{2}} k_{B} \big[\beta_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} - k_{B}^{2} R_{T}^{2} \alpha_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} \big] \cos (2k_{A} R_{T}), \tag{12}
$$

which is of the order of $v_R \Delta_{AB} R_T/c^2$ times the interaction $\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle^0$ calculated in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0), with $\mathcal{U}_{ijpq} = \mu_i^A \mu_q^A \mu_j^B \mu_p^B /$ $[(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2\hbar\Delta_{AB}]$. As for the Doppler term we find

$$
\langle W_{A}(T)\rangle_{Dop}^{V} = \frac{2\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{ijpq}}{R_{T}^{6}} \Big[\beta_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} - \tilde{k}_{A}^{2} R_{T}^{2} \big(\beta_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} + 2\alpha_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} \big) + \tilde{k}_{A}^{4} R_{T}^{4} \alpha_{T}^{ij} \alpha_{T}^{pq} \Big] \cos(2\tilde{k}_{A} R_{T})
$$

+
$$
\frac{4\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{ijpq}}{R_{T}^{5}} \tilde{k}_{A} \Big[\beta_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} - \tilde{k}_{A}^{2} R_{T}^{2} \alpha_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} \Big] \sin(2\tilde{k}_{A} R_{T}) - \frac{2\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{ijpq}}{R_{T}^{6}} \Big[\beta_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} - k_{B}^{2} R_{T}^{2} \big(\beta_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} + 2\alpha_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} \big) + k_{B}^{4} R_{T}^{4} \alpha_{T}^{ij} \alpha_{T}^{pq} \Big]
$$

$$
\times \cos(2k_{B} R_{T} + \tilde{\Delta}_{AB} T) - \frac{4\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{ijpq}}{R_{T}^{5}} k_{B} \Big[\beta_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} - k_{B}^{2} R_{T}^{2} \alpha_{T}^{ij} \beta_{T}^{pq} \Big] \sin(2k_{B} R_{T} + \tilde{\Delta}_{AB} T), \tag{13}
$$

which corresponds to the result obtained in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0) for $\langle W_A(T) \rangle^0$ but for the replacement of k_A and Δ_{AB} with their Doppler-shifted values, $k_A \rightarrow \tilde{k}_A = k_A(1 - v_R/c)$, $\Delta_{AB} = \omega_A - \omega_B \rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_{AB} = \omega_A - \omega_B(1 + v_R/c)$, and $\mathcal{U}_{ijpq} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{ijpq} =$ $\mu_i^A \mu_j^A \mu_j^B \mu_j^B / [(4\pi \epsilon_0)^2 \hbar \tilde{\Delta}_{AB}]$. Finally, the lag term reads

$$
\langle W_A(T) \rangle_{\text{Lag}}^{\text{v}} = (v_R / R_T \Delta_{AB}) 2\mathcal{U}_{ijpq} \left\{ [2c^{-1} \Delta_{AB} R_T \cos(2k_A R_T) - \sin(2k_A R_T)] \left[3\beta_T^{ij} \beta_T^{pq} / R_T^6 + 2\frac{k_A^2}{R_T^4} (\beta_T^{ij} \beta_T^{pq} + 2\alpha_T^{ij} \beta_T^{pq}) \right] \right\}
$$

$$
+ k_A^4 \alpha_T^{ij} \alpha_T^{pq} / R_T^2 \left] - [2c^{-1} \Delta_{AB} R_T \sin(2k_A R_T) + \cos(2k_A R_T)] (5k_A \beta_T^{ij} \beta_T^{pq} / R_T^5 - 3k_A^3 \alpha_T^{ij} \beta_T^{pq} / R_T^3) \right\}, \tag{14}
$$

where oscillating transient terms of frequency much higher than Δ_{AB} have been omitted for simplicity.

Next, we proceed to compute the velocity-dependent vdW force on atom *A* at order v/c , $\mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{\text{vdW}}$, which is the gradient of the difference between the electric interaction at velocity $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and at zero velocity,

$$
\langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{\text{vdW}} \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_T} \left[\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle_{Dop}^{\mathbf{v}} - \langle W_A^E(T) \rangle^{\mathbf{0}} + \langle W_A^E(T) \rangle_{Dop}^{\mathbf{v}} + \langle W_A^E(T) \rangle_{Lag}^{\mathbf{v}} \right]. \tag{15}
$$

Averaging Eq. (13) in time for $T \gg |\Delta_{AB}^{-1}|$, averaging over dipole orientations, and restricting ourselves to the near-field and far-field regimes for which $k_A R_T \ll 1$ and $k_A R_T \gg 1$, respectively, we are left with

$$
\langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{\text{vdW}} \rangle \simeq -20 \frac{\mathcal{U}}{R_T^7} \frac{(k_A + k_B)}{\Delta_{AB}} \mathbf{v}_R, \quad k_A R_T \ll 1,
$$

$$
\langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{\text{vdW}} \rangle \simeq 4 \frac{\mathcal{U} k_A^5}{9R_T^2} \frac{k_B}{\Delta_{AB}} \mathbf{v}_R[\sin(2k_A R_T)]
$$

$$
-2c^{-1} \Delta_{AB} R_T \cos(2k_A R_T)], \quad k_A R_T \gg 1, \quad (16)
$$

where $\mathcal{U} = \mu_A^2 \mu_B^2 / [(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2 \hbar \Delta_{AB}]$. Interestingly, while in the near-field both Doppler and lag terms contribute at the same order to $\langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{vdW} \rangle$, Doppler terms dominate in the far field.

Terms coming from $\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle_{\Theta}^{\mathbf{v}}$ are negligible in both cases. In addition, while $\langle \mathbf{F}_{A}^{\text{vdW}} \mathbf{O}(v) \rangle$ is antiparallel to \mathbf{v}_R in the near field so that it can be referred to as a friction force, it oscillates in space in the far field. Physically, $\langle \mathbf{F}_A^{\text{vdW}} \rangle$ corresponds to the velocity-dependent recoil experienced by atom *A* during the processes of absorption and emission of the photons that it exchanges with atom *B*, which takes place at a rate $|\Delta_{AB}|$. $\langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{vdW} \rangle$ is indeed proportional to the adimensional factor $v_R \omega_{A,B}/c\Delta_{AB}$, and hence to the component of the decay rate of atom *A* which depends on the presence of atom *B*, $\mathbf{F}_{A}^{\text{vdW}} = -\sum_{\epsilon} \int d^3k \hbar \mathbf{k} \frac{d}{2dT} (|\langle A_{-}B_{-}, \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, \epsilon}| \mathbb{U}(T) | \Psi(0) \rangle|^2)^3$ The expression within parentheses is the probability of emission of a single photon of momentum \hbar **k** and polarization ϵ at a time *T* after the excitation of atom *A*.

³Denoting by $\psi_{(0)}P_{A-B-\gamma}(T)$ the probability of emission of a single photon at a time *T* after the excitation of atom *A*, $\psi(0)P_{A-B-y}(T) =$ $\int d^3k \sum_{\epsilon} \langle \Psi(0) | \mathbb{U}^{\dagger}(T) | A_{-} B_{-}, \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, \epsilon} \rangle \langle A_{-} B_{-}, \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, \epsilon} | \mathbb{U}(T) | \Psi(0) \rangle$, the following relation holds at our approximation order: $\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle =$ $-i\hbar \int d^3k \sum_{\epsilon} \frac{d}{dT} (\langle \Psi(0) | \mathbb{U}^{\dagger}(T) | A - B_-, \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, \epsilon} \rangle) \langle A - B_-, y \gamma_{\mathbf{k}, \epsilon} \rangle \mathbb{U}(T)$ $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ + c.c., with $|A_{-}B_{-},\gamma_{k,\epsilon}\rangle$ being a single-photon state of momentum \hbar **k** and polarization ϵ . From here we have that $\mathbf{F}_{A}^{\text{vdW}} = -\sum_{\epsilon} \int d^3k \hbar \mathbf{k} \frac{d}{2dT} |\langle A_{-}B_{-}, \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\epsilon} | \mathbb{U}(T) | \Psi(0) \rangle|^2.$

Finally, for the sake of completeness we compute the velocity-dependent vdW force on the excited atom *A* as it flies with velocity **v** parallel to a thin plate (Π) parallel to the *xy* plane and made of a random distribution of ground-state atoms of the kind *B*. The numerical atomic surface density is denoted by *σ*, and the distance of atom *A* to the plate by *d*—see Fig. $1(b)$. For simplicity, we adopt the pairwise summation approximation, according to which the total force over atom *A* is the sum of all the pair forces between atom *A* and each atom *B*. Equipped with our computation of $\langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{\text{vdW}} \rangle$, the problem becomes simply geometrical, and its result is

$$
\langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{\text{vdW}} \rangle_{\Pi} \simeq \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{\text{vdW}}(x, y, d) \rangle
$$

= $-8\pi \sigma \frac{\mathcal{U}}{21d^5} \frac{(k_A + k_B)}{\Delta_{AB}} \mathbf{v}, \quad k_A d \ll 1,$

$$
\langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{\text{vdW}} \rangle_{\Pi} \simeq -2\pi \sigma \frac{\mathcal{U}k_A^3}{9d^2} \frac{k_B}{\Delta_{AB}} \mathbf{v}[\sin(2k_A d) -2c^{-1} \Delta_{AB} d \cos(2k_A d)], \quad k_A d \gg 1.
$$
 (17)

In contrast to the result of Ref. [\[5\]](#page-7-0), $\langle \mathbf{F}_A^{\text{vdW}} \rangle$ _{*A O*(*v*)} \rangle _{*II*} is always a friction force for $k_A d \ll 1$, while the direction of the force of Eq. (79) in Ref. [\[5\]](#page-7-0) depends on the sign of Δ_{AB} . However, this essential discrepancy is due to the particular form of the effective permittivity adopted by the authors to characterize the plate there—i.e., a Drude–Lorentz form—and not to an essential difference between their approach and ours. As a matter of fact, inserting the polarizability of atom B in the scattering Green's function of Eq. (65) in Ref. [\[5\]](#page-7-0) and adopting the quasiresonant approximation, a term is obtained of the same order as that in our Eq. (16) in the nonretarded regime, although with different numerical prefactors.

IV. RONTGEN FORCE ¨

In this section we consider the force induced by the coupling to the Röntgen momentum and the force due to the time variation of the Röntgen momentum itself. They correspond in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), respectively, to conservative and nonconservative forces.

As for the conservative force induced by the inclusion of the interaction W_A^R in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), it not only yields an additional potential energy $\langle W_A^R \rangle$ but also contributes to $\langle W_A^E \rangle$ through the insertion of W_A^R into the time-evolution operators. Since W_A^R is already linear in $\langle \mathbf{Q}_A \rangle$, it provides terms of orders v/c , $(v/c)^3$, and higher to the conservative force without the need to consider the quantum nature of the CM d.o.f. within the EM operators. Thus, an analogous formula to that for $\langle W_A^E(T) \rangle$ in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-2-0) but for the replacement of one of the operators W_A^E by W_A^R yields the contribution of W_A^R to the interaction energy. Upon applying the operator $-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_T}$ we find, at order $O(v/c)$,

$$
\langle \mathbf{F}_{A}^{R-c} \rangle \simeq \frac{-2\alpha_{f}c^{2}}{\pi \epsilon_{0}e^{2}\Delta_{AB}} \mu_{i}^{A} \mu_{j}^{B} \mu_{p}^{B} \mu_{q}^{A} \epsilon^{i}{}_{lm} v^{l} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{T}}
$$

$$
\times \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk k^{2} \frac{\text{Im}\{\mathcal{G}^{mj}(kR_{T})\}}{k - k_{A} - i\eta} \right]
$$

$$
\times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk' k'^{2} \frac{\text{Im}\{\mathcal{G}^{pq}(k'R_{T})\}}{k' - k_{A} - i\eta} + \text{c.c.},
$$

where the superscript $R-c$ in $\langle \mathbf{F}_A^{R-c} \rangle$ denotes "Röntgenconservative," ϵ_{ilm} is the three-dimensional Levi–Civita tensor, and the causal-adiabatic approximation has been considered with $\eta \to 0^+$. As explained in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-7-0), the latter amounts to considering averages in time over a time interval much greater than $|\Delta_{AB}^{-1}|$. Mathematically, this is achieved by replacing each interaction Hamiltonian *W* by *Weηt* within the time integrals, with $\eta \to 0^+$, and by extending the lower limits of integration to $-\infty$. In the above equation $G(kR)$ is the dyadic Green's function of the magnetic field induced at a distance *R* by an electric dipole of frequency *ck* in free space. Their components are

$$
\mathcal{G}^{mj}(kR) = \frac{e^{ikR}}{4\pi cR} (1 + i/kR)\epsilon^{msj} R_s/R. \tag{18}
$$

After performing the frequency integrals in the complex plane we end up with

$$
\mathbf{F}_{A}^{R-c} \rangle = \frac{-4\pi \alpha_f c k_A^4}{\epsilon_0 e^2 \Delta_{AB}} \mu_i^A \mu_j^B \mu_p^B \mu_q^A \epsilon^i_{Im} v^l
$$

$$
\times \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_T} \text{Re}\{\mathcal{G}^{mj}(k_A R_T) G^{pq}(k_A R_T)\}.
$$

Straightforward evaluation of the Green's functions at $k_A R_T$ reveals that, in the near field, $\langle \mathbf{F}_A^{R-c} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{F}_A^{vdW} \rangle \sim$ $|\Delta_{AB}|k_A R_T^2/c \ll 1$, whereas in the far field the ratio goes like ∼|*-AB*|*/kA* 1. From this we conclude that the conservative Röntgen force is always negligible.

As for the nonconservative (*R*-*nc*) force,

⟨

$$
\langle \mathbf{F}_{A}^{R\text{-}nc} \rangle = \partial_{T} \langle \Psi(0) | \mathbb{U}^{\dagger}(T) \mathbf{d}_{A} \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}_{A}) \mathbb{U}^{\dagger}(T) | \Psi(0) \rangle \tag{19}
$$

corresponds to the time derivative of the Röntgen momentum. It vanishes at zero velocity and an analogous calculation to the one for $\langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{\text{vdW}} \rangle$ must be performed for $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, i.e., the CM d.o.f. must be promoted to quantum variables. The only differences with respect to the calculation of $\langle \mathbf{F}_A^{\text{vdW}} \rangle$ are the replacements of an operator W_A^E with $-\mathbf{d}_A \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{R}_A)$ and of $-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_T}$ with ∂_T . This procedure leads to a formula similar to that in Eq. (10) :

$$
\langle F_A^{R\text{-}nc} \rangle_i = \frac{2\alpha_f c^3}{\pi \epsilon_0 e^2} \epsilon_i^{rp} \mu_r^A \mu_q^B \mu_m^B \mu_n^A \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int dk' dk k'^2 k^2
$$

\n
$$
\times \text{Re} \,\partial_T \int_{-\infty}^T dt \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \int_{-\infty}^{t'} dt'' e^{\eta(t+t'+t'')}
$$

\n
$$
\times \{[[\text{Im}\{G^{mn}(k'R_T)\}]\text{Im}\{G^{pq}[k|R_T - \mathbf{v}(T-t'')]]\}
$$

\n
$$
+ \text{Im}\{G^{pq}(k'R_T)\} \text{Im}\{G^{mn}[k|R_T - \mathbf{v}(T-t'')]]\}
$$

\n
$$
\times i e^{i\omega_A T} e^{-i(T-t)\omega'} e^{-i(t-t')\omega_B} e^{-i(t'-t'')\omega} e^{-it''\omega_A}]
$$

\n
$$
+ [\omega \leftrightarrow \omega'], \qquad (20)
$$

where the causal-adiabatic approximation has been applied with $\eta \to 0^+$. Same as for Eq. [\(11\)](#page-3-0), the **v**-dependent Green's functions within the integrand can be split into Doppler terms and lag terms linear in **v**. The Doppler terms yield a null contribution to the Röntgen force. The lag terms of the dyadic Green's functions are, in addition to the second term of Eq. (11)

from
$$
\mathbb{G}[k|\mathbf{R}_T - \mathbf{v}(T - t'')|]
$$
,
\n
$$
\delta G_{nm}^{\text{Lag},R}(kR_T)
$$
\n
$$
= v_R(T - t'') \frac{k e^{ikR_T}}{4\pi R_T} \left[\frac{\alpha_{T,nm}}{kR_T} + \frac{2i\beta_{T,nm}}{(kR_T)^2} - \frac{3\beta_{T,nm}}{(kR_T)^3} \right],
$$

a term coming from $\mathcal{G}[k|\mathbf{R}_T - \mathbf{v}(T - t'')|]$ which is also proportional to $v_R(T - t'')/R_T$,

$$
\delta \mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{Lag},R}_{pq}(kR_T) = v_R(T-t'') \frac{k e^{ikR_T}}{4\pi c R_T} \left(\frac{1}{kR_T} + \frac{2i}{k^2 R_T^2}\right) \epsilon_{s}^{p} \frac{R_T^s}{R_T},
$$

and two more terms proportional to $v_{\perp}(T - t'')/R_T$ coming from the expansion of the unit radial vector within the tensors α, β in G[k |**R**_{*T*} - **v**(*T* - *t*")|] and in G[k |**R**_{*T*} - **v**(*T* - *t*")|],

$$
\delta G_{nm}^{\text{Lag}\perp}(kR_T) = (T - t'') \frac{k e^{i k R_T}}{4\pi R_T} [1/kR_T + 3i/(kR_T)^2
$$

$$
- 3/(kR_T)^3] \times (\delta_n^i R_{T,i} R_T^{-1} \delta_m^j v_{\perp,j} + \delta_n^i v_{\perp,i} \delta_m^j R_{T,j} R_T^{-1}),
$$

$$
\delta \mathcal{G}_{pq}^{\text{Lag}\perp}(kR_T) = -(T - t'') \frac{k e^{i k R_T}}{4\pi c R_T} (1/kR_T + i/k^2 R_T^2) \epsilon_s^p q v_{\perp}^s,
$$

respectively, with **v**[⊥] being the component of **v** perpendicular to \mathbf{R}_T . The reason for the neglect of $\delta \mathbb{G}^{\text{Lag}\perp}$ in the calculation of $\langle \mathbf{F}_{A O(v)}^{\text{vdW}} \rangle$ is that its contribution does not survive the orientational average there, whereas it does in $\langle \mathbf{F}_{A}^{R-nc} \rangle$. Finally, performing the time and frequency integrals of Eq. (20) , we are left with

$$
\langle F_A^{R\text{-}nc} \rangle_i = \frac{-2k_A^5 \alpha_f c^2 \pi}{\Delta_{AB}^2 \epsilon_0 e^2} \epsilon_i^{rp} \frac{\mu_r^A \mu^{B,q} \mu_m^B \mu_n^A}{(T - t'')} \{6G^{mn}(k_A R_T) \times \left[\delta \mathcal{G}_{pq}^{\text{Lag},R}(k_A R_T) + \delta \mathcal{G}_{pq}^{\text{Lag}\perp}(k_A R_T) \right] \} + k_A \left[G^{mn}(k R_T) \left[\delta \mathcal{G}_{pq}^{\text{Lag},R}(k R_T) \right] \right. + \delta \mathcal{G}_{pq}^{\text{Lag}\perp}(k R_T) \left] \right]_{k=k_A}^r + \{G \to \delta G, \delta \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G} \},
$$
\n(21)

where the prime on the big square brackets denotes the derivative with respect to *k* of the functions therein. Note that, in contrast to its cancellation found in Ref. [\[5\]](#page-7-0) for an atom in an incoherent superposition of states, vanishing of $\langle \mathbf{F}_A^{R-nc} \rangle$ does not hold for an atom in a metastable excited state. On physical grounds, a nonvanishing value of the Röntgen momentum must be expected for a polarizable atom *A* in motion and subject to the electric and magnetic fields induced by a second atom *B* at rest $[20]$. Less obvious is the fact that the Röntgen momentum varies in time.

Straightforward evaluation of the Green's functions in the equation above reveals that, in the near field, $\langle \mathbf{F}_A^{R-nc} \rangle / \langle \mathbf{F}_A^{vdW} \rangle \sim k_A^2 R_T^2 \ll 1$ and hence it is negligible. On the contrary, in the retarded regime, after performing the orientational average over the random orientation of the electric dipoles, we find

$$
\left\langle \mathbf{F}_{A}^{R\text{-}nc} \right\rangle \simeq \frac{2k_{A}^{6} \mathcal{U}}{9R_{T}^{2} \Delta_{AB}} \sin\left(2k_{A}R_{T}\right)[2\mathbf{v}_{R} - \mathbf{v}_{\perp}], \quad k_{A}R_{T} \gg 1, \tag{22}
$$

whose radial component equals $\langle \mathbf{F}_{A}^{vdW} \rangle$.

Finally, as we did for the velocity-dependent vdW force we compute here the Röntgen force on the excited atom A as it flies with velocity **v** parallel to a thin plate (Π) of ground-state atoms of the kind *B*, at a distance *d*. Assuming that $k_A d \gg 1$, we obtain

$$
\left\langle \mathbf{F}_{A}^{R\text{-}nc} \right\rangle_{\Pi} \simeq \pi \sigma \frac{-2\mathcal{U}k_{A}^{5}}{9d\Delta_{AB}} \mathbf{v}[\cos\left(2k_{A}d\right) + 2\sin\left(2k_{A}d\right) / k_{A}d].
$$
\n(23)

The dominant term in this equation comes from the integration over the plane of the component of $\langle \mathbf{F}_{A}^{R-nc} \rangle$ which is parallel to **v**_⊥. Interestingly, this term is an order $k_A d$ or ω_A / Δ_{AB} greater than the velocity-dependent vdW force in the retarded regime.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We performed the time-dependent calculation of the velocity-dependent forces acting on an excited atomic dipole that moves at constant velocity with respect to ground-state atoms of a different kind, in the quasiresonant approximation at zero temperature. With this aim, we used time-dependent quantum perturbation theory. Both the interaction with a single ground-state atom and with a thin plate made of a random distribution of independent atoms were evaluated. We find that, at leading order, these interactions are linear in the velocity and no relativistic corrections enter at this order.

In either case, the total force consists of a conservative van der Waals component and a nonconservative Röntgen component. For their computation, the position and kinetic momentum vectors of the CM of the atoms have been promoted to quantum variables. We have shown that relativistic corrections to the van der Waals force enter at order $(v/c)^5$.

In the nonretarded regime, the van der Waals force dominates, being always antiparallel to the velocity—hence it can be referred to as quantum friction. On physical grounds, this force corresponds to the velocity-dependent recoil experienced by the excited atom during the processes of absorption and emission of the photons that it exchanges with the ground-state atoms, which takes place at a rate $|\Delta_{AB}|$. This force is indeed proportional to $v\omega_{A,B}/c\Delta_{AB}$ and hence to the emission rate of the excited atom which is induced by the ground-state atoms.

On the contrary, in the retarded regime the velocitydependent forces oscillate in space, the van der Waals and the Röntgen forces are of the same order in the atom-atom interaction, and the Röntgen component dominates in the atom-surface interaction. The latter corresponds to the timevariation of the Röntgen momentum, which is also mediated by the periodic exchange of quasiresonant photons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Stefan Scheel and Diego Dalvit for fruitful discussions. We are also grateful to the referee for having encouraged us to investigate the effect of the Röntgen current. Financial support from ANR-10-IDEX-0001-02-PSL and ANR-13-BS04–0003-02 is gratefully acknowledged.

- [1] J. B. Pendry, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/9/47/001) **[9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/9/47/001)**, [10301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/9/47/001) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/9/47/001).
- [2] A. I. Volokitin and B. N. J. Persson, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.205413) **[74](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.205413)**, [205413](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.205413) [\(2006\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.205413).
- [3] T. G. Philbin and U. Leonhardt, [New J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/3/033035) **[11](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/3/033035)**, [033035](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/3/033035) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/3/033035).
- [4] J. B. Pendry, [New J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/3/033028) **[12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/3/033028)**, [033028](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/3/033028) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/3/033028).
- [5] S. Scheel and S. Y. Buhmann, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.042902) **[80](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.042902)**, [042902](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.042902) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.042902).
- [6] G. Barton, [New J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113044) **[12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113044)**, [113044](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113044) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113044).
- [7] G. Barton, [New J. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113045) **[12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113045)**, [113045](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113045) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/12/11/113045).
- [8] J. S. Høye and I. Brevik, [Eur. Phys. J. D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2011-20109-1) **[64](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2011-20109-1)**, [1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2011-20109-1) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2011-20109-1).
- [9] F. Intravaia, R. O. Behunin, and D. A. R. Dalvit, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.050101) **[89](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.050101)**, [050101\(R\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.050101) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.050101).
- [10] F. Intravaia, V. E. Mkrtchian, S. Y. Buhmann, S. Scheel, D. A. R. Dalvit, and C. Henkel, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214020) **[27](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214020)**, [214020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214020) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214020).
- [11] A. Einstein, Phys. Z. **18**, 121 (1917).
- [12] J. F. Annett and P. M. Echenique, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.34.6853) **[34](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.34.6853)**, [6853](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.34.6853) [\(1986\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.34.6853).
- [13] L. S. Levitov, [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/8/6/002) **[8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/8/6/002)**, [499](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/8/6/002) [\(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/8/6/002).
- [14] G. Barton, [Ann. Phys. \(NY\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1996.0013) **[245](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1996.0013)**, [361](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1996.0013) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/aphy.1996.0013).
- [15] J. S. Høye and I. Brevik, [Phys. A \(Amsterdam, Neth.\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(92)90098-B) **[181](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(92)90098-B)**, [413](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(92)90098-B) [\(1992\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(92)90098-B); **[196](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(93)90602-Z)**, [241](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(93)90602-Z) [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4371(93)90602-Z).
- [16] M. Donaire, R. Guérout, and A. Lambrecht, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.033201)* **[115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.033201)**, [033201](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.033201) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.033201); There, a factor two is missing in the expression for $\langle W_A(T) \rangle$. It has been corrected here in Eq.[\(2\)](#page-2-0) and thereafter.
- [17] J. M. Raimond, M. Brune, and S. Haroche, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.565) **[73](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.565)**, [565](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.565) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.565).
- [18] C. Baxter, M. Babiker, and R. Loudon, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.47.1278) **[47](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.47.1278)**, [1278](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.47.1278) [\(1993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.47.1278).
- [19] R. Shankar, *Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (Springer Science + Business Media Inc., New York, 1994).
- [20] M. Donaire, B. A. van Tiggelen, and G. L. J. A. Rikken, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **[27](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214002)**, [214002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214002) [\(2015\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/27/21/214002).

M. DONAIRE AND A. LAMBRECHT PHYSICAL REVIEW A **93**, 022701 (2016)