

Maximally entangled states and fully entangled fraction

Ming-Jing Zhao

Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Beijing Information Science and Technology University, 100192 Beijing, China

(Received 6 November 2014; published 9 January 2015)

We study maximally entangled states and fully entangled fraction in general $d' \otimes d$ ($d' \geq d$) systems. Necessary and sufficient conditions for maximally entangled pure and mixed states are presented. As a natural generalization of the usual fully entangled fraction for $d \otimes d$ systems, we define the maximal overlap between a given quantum state and the maximally entangled states as the fully entangled fraction in $d' \otimes d$ systems. The properties of this fully entangled fraction and its relations to quantum teleportation have been analyzed. The witness for detecting maximally entangled states and quantum states that are useful for quantum teleportation is provided.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.91.012310](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.012310)

PACS number(s): 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud

I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement is a vital resource in quantum information processing. In particular, the maximally entangled states are believed to be the ideal resource in many quantum information processing tasks [1,2]. Much effort has been devoted to increase the degree of entanglement in quantum states. Actually it has been proved that all maximally entangled states are pure in bipartite $d \otimes d$ systems [3]. However, in Ref. [4] a class of mixed states that are also maximally entangled has been introduced. This special class of mixed states is shown to be the ideal resource for quantum teleportation.

One quantity tightly related to maximally entangled states is the fully entangled fraction, which plays important role in teleportation [5]. For instance, the fidelity of optimal teleportation is given by the fully entangled fraction [6–8]. Additionally, the fully entangled fraction in a two-qubit system acts as an index characterizing the nonlocal correlation [9] and plays a significant role in deriving two bounds on the damping rates of the dissipative channel [10].

Since the fully entangled fraction has clear experimental and theoretical significance, an analytic formula for the fully entangled fraction is of great importance. In Ref. [11] an elegant formula for the fully entangled fraction in a two-qubit system is derived analytically by using the method of Lagrange multiplier. For high-dimensional quantum states the analytical computation of the fully entangled fraction is formidably difficult, and less results have been known. In Ref. [12] the upper bound of the fully entangled fraction has been estimated. In Ref. [13] some analytical results have been derived for some special states. The monogamy relations in terms of the fully entangled fraction have been proven for multiqubit pure states, but this is not true for general mixed states [14].

Since the fully entangled fraction of $d \otimes d$ quantum states is the maximal overlap with the maximally entangled pure states, it is only well defined for bipartite systems with the subsystems of the same dimensions. One question is whether there is a similar quantity as the fully entangled fraction in general bipartite systems, and what the theoretical or experimental meanings of such quantities are. Fortunately, the existence of maximally entangled mixed states in general bipartite systems provides us insight to answer this question.

In this paper, we mainly investigate maximally entangled states and the fully entangled fraction in general $d' \otimes d$ ($d' \geq d$) systems. Without loss of generality, we assume $d' = Kd + r$,

$0 \leq r < d$, $K \geq 1$. First, we give necessary and sufficient conditions of maximally entangled pure and mixed states. Based on these results, we define the maximal overlap between a given quantum state and the maximally entangled states as the fully entangled fraction in $d' \otimes d$ systems, which is a natural generalization of the usual fully entangled fraction. The properties of this fully entangled fraction and its relations to quantum teleportation are analyzed to show that the fully entangled fraction is meaningful for general bipartite systems. Finally, the witness for detecting maximally entangled states and the quantum states that are useful for quantum teleportation is provided.

II. MAXIMALLY ENTANGLED STATES

In Ref. [4], it has been shown that the maximally entangled state can be either pure or mixed. In a $d' \otimes d$ system, if a pure state is maximally entangled, then its Schmidt coefficients are all equal to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$. If a mixed state is maximally entangled, then it is a convex combination of maximally entangled pure states that are pairwise orthogonal with each other.

Lemma 1. A $d' \otimes d$ bipartite mixed state ρ is maximally entangled if and only if

$$\rho = \sum_{m=1}^K p_m |\psi_m\rangle\langle\psi_m|, \quad \sum_{m=1}^K p_m = 1, \quad (1)$$

where

$$|\psi_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |f_{im}\rangle \otimes |e_i\rangle, \quad (2)$$

with $\{|f_{im}\rangle\}_{im}$ and $\{|e_i\rangle\}_i$ satisfying $\langle f_{i'm'} | f_{im}\rangle = \delta_{ii'} \delta_{mm'}$ and $\langle e_i | e_{i'}\rangle = \delta_{ii'}$.

In the following, we will show two necessary and sufficient conditions for maximally entangled states. We denote d -dimensional vector $|i\rangle$ as the vector having only one nonzero entry 1 in the $(i-1)$ th position, and d' -dimensional vector $|i + (m-1)d\rangle$ as the vector having only one nonzero entry 1 in the $i + (m-1)d - 1$ th position, $i = 0, \dots, d-1$, $m = 1, \dots, K$. In this way, $\{|i\rangle\}$ and $\{|i + (m-1)d\rangle\}$ are orthonormal bases of the d -dimensional Hilbert space and

Kd -dimensional Hilbert space respectively. Define

$$|\chi_m\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |i + (m-1)d\rangle \otimes |i\rangle. \quad (3)$$

$\{|\chi_m\rangle\}$ are maximally entangled pure states that are pairwise orthogonal. Employing these maximally entangled states $\{|\chi_m\rangle\}$, we introduce the first necessary and sufficient condition for the maximally entangled state.

Theorem 1. ρ is maximally entangled if and only if there exists unitary operator U acting on the first subsystem such that

$$(U \otimes I) \rho (U^\dagger \otimes I) = \sum_{m=1}^K p_m |\chi_m\rangle \langle \chi_m|, \quad (4)$$

where p_m is the eigenvalue of ρ , $p_m \geq 0$, $\sum_{m=1}^K p_m = 1$.

Proof. First, if a quantum state ρ satisfies Eq. (4), then it is obvious that both $\sum_{m=1}^K p_m |\chi_m\rangle \langle \chi_m|$ and ρ are maximally entangled.

Second, suppose ρ is maximally entangled, i.e., $\rho = \sum_{m=1}^K p_m |\psi_m\rangle \langle \psi_m|$, $\sum_{m=1}^K p_m = 1$, $|\psi_m\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |f_{im}\rangle \otimes |e_i\rangle$ with $\langle f_{i'm'} | f_{im}\rangle = \delta_{i'i'} \delta_{m'm'}$ and $\langle e_i | e_{i'}\rangle = \delta_{i'i'}$. Since both $\{|i\rangle\}$ and $\{|e_i\rangle\}$ are orthonormal bases of the d -dimensional Hilbert space, and both $\{|i + (m-1)d\rangle\}$ and $\{|f_{im}\rangle\}$ are orthonormal bases of the Kd -dimensional Hilbert space, then there exist unitary operators \tilde{U}_1 and \tilde{U}_2 acting on the two subsystems respectively such that $\tilde{U}_1 |f_{im}\rangle = |i + (m-1)d\rangle$ and $\tilde{U}_2 |e_i\rangle = |i\rangle$ for all i and m , which implies

$$|\chi_m\rangle = \tilde{U}_1 \otimes \tilde{U}_2 |\psi_m\rangle, \quad (5)$$

$$|\psi_m\rangle = \tilde{U}_1^\dagger \otimes \tilde{U}_2^\dagger |\chi_m\rangle, \quad (6)$$

for all m and

$$\tilde{U}_1 \otimes \tilde{U}_2 \rho \tilde{U}_1^\dagger \otimes \tilde{U}_2^\dagger = \sum_m p_m |\chi_m\rangle \langle \chi_m|. \quad (7)$$

Note that $I \otimes A |\chi_m\rangle = B^T \otimes I |\chi_m\rangle$, where B is a block diagonal matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} A & & & & & \\ & A & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & A & & \\ & & & & I_{d'-Kd} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Subsequently, $|\psi_m\rangle = \tilde{U}_1^\dagger G^T \otimes I |\chi_m\rangle$ with

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{U}_2^\dagger & & & & & \\ & \tilde{U}_2^\dagger & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \tilde{U}_2^\dagger & & \\ & & & & I_{d'-Kd} & \end{pmatrix}$$

for all m . Denote $U^\dagger = \tilde{U}_1^\dagger G^T$, then we have

$$|\psi_m\rangle = U^\dagger \otimes I |\chi_m\rangle \quad (8)$$

for all m and $U \otimes I \rho U^\dagger \otimes I = \sum_{m=1}^K p_m |\chi_m\rangle \langle \chi_m|$. Therefore, ρ is maximally entangled if and only if there exists a unitary

operator U acting on the first subsystem such that Eq. (4) holds. \blacksquare

Theorem 1 not only gives a necessary and sufficient condition for maximally entangled states, but also provides a canonical form for maximally entangled states. For example, in a $2d \otimes d$ system, the maximally entangled pure states can be transformed into

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |ii\rangle \quad (9)$$

by unitary operations acting on the first subsystem. Also, maximally entangled mixed states can be transformed into

$$\frac{1}{d} \left(p_1 \sum_{i,j=0}^{d-1} |ii\rangle \langle jj| + p_2 \sum_{i,j=0}^{d-1} |i+d,i\rangle \langle j+d,j| \right), \quad (10)$$

$p_1, p_2 > 0$, $p_1 + p_2 = 1$.

Now we give another necessary and sufficient condition for maximally entangled states.

Theorem 2. ρ is maximally entangled if and only if there exists unitary operator U acting on the first subsystem such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^K \langle \chi_m | (U \otimes I) \rho (U^\dagger \otimes I) | \chi_m \rangle = 1 \quad (11)$$

with $|\chi_m\rangle$ defined in Eq. (3).

Proof. For all maximally entangled states in Eq. (4), it is easy to verify that $\sum_{m=1}^K \langle \chi_m | U \otimes I \rho U^\dagger \otimes I | \chi_m \rangle = \sum_{m=1}^K p_m = 1$.

On the other hand, if a quantum state ρ satisfies Eq. (11), then we only need to prove that $\rho' = U \otimes I \rho U^\dagger \otimes I$ is maximally entangled. Let $\rho' = \sum_k \lambda_k |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_k|$, $\sum_k \lambda_k = 1$, $\lambda_k > 0$, be the spectral decomposition, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^K \langle \chi_m | U \otimes I \rho U^\dagger \otimes I | \chi_m \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^K \langle \chi_m | \rho' | \chi_m \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^K \sum_k \lambda_k |\langle \chi_m | \phi_k \rangle|^2 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_k \lambda_k = 1$ and $\lambda_k > 0$, one gets

$$\sum_{m=1}^K |\langle \chi_m | \phi_k \rangle|^2 = 1 \quad (12)$$

for all k . Since $\{|\chi_m\rangle\}$ are orthonormal, we can extend them into a basis of $d'd$ -dimensional Hilbert space, $\{|\chi_m\rangle, |\chi'_t\rangle\}_{m,t}$. Under this basis, $|\phi_k\rangle$ can be expressed as $|\phi_k\rangle = \sum_m a_{mk} |\chi_m\rangle + \sum_t b_{tk} |\chi'_t\rangle$ with $\sum_m |a_{mk}|^2 + \sum_t |b_{tk}|^2 = 1$ for all k . Taking into account Eq. (12), which implies $\sum_m |a_{mk}|^2 = 1$, we

get

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_k\rangle &= \sum_{m=1}^K a_{mk} |\chi_m\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |\xi_{ik}\rangle \otimes |i\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

with $|\xi_{ik}\rangle \equiv \sum_m a_{mk} |i + (m-1)d\rangle$ for all k . From the orthonormality of the eigenvectors $|\phi_k\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{k'} | \phi_k \rangle &= \sum_{m,m'} a_{m'k'}^* a_{mk} \langle \chi_{m'} | \chi_m \rangle \\ &= \sum_m a_{mk'}^* a_{mk} \\ &= \delta_{kk'}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_{i'k'} | \xi_{ik} \rangle &= \sum_{m,m'} a_{m'k'}^* a_{mk} \langle i' + (m'-1)d | i + (m-1)d \rangle \\ &= \sum_m a_{mk'}^* a_{mk} \delta_{ii'} \\ &= \delta_{kk'} \delta_{ii'}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Combining Eqs. (13) and (15), one gets easily that the quantum state ρ' is maximally entangled by Lemma 1. Therefore ρ is maximally entangled if and only if there exists unitary operator U acting on the first subsystem such that Eq. (11) holds. ■

III. FULLY ENTANGLED FRACTION

The fully entangled fraction for any quantum state ρ in a $d \otimes d$ system is defined as the maximal overlap with maximally entangled pure states,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\rho) &= \max_{U,V} \langle \chi_1 | U \otimes V \rho U^\dagger \otimes V^\dagger | \chi_1 \rangle \\ &= \max_U \langle \chi_1 | U \otimes I \rho U^\dagger \otimes I | \chi_1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It measures how close a state is to maximally entangled states. The fully entangled fraction can be used to characterize whether a quantum state in a $d \otimes d$ system can be used to teleport a d -dimensional quantum state faithfully. But one shortcoming of this quantity is that it is only well defined in a $d \otimes d$ system and it does not make sense in quantum systems with different dimensional subsystems.

To deal with this matter, we propose the fully entangled fraction of quantum state ρ in a $d' \otimes d$ system as

$$\begin{aligned} F(\rho) &= \max_{U,V} \sum_{m=1}^K \langle \chi_m | (U \otimes V) \rho (U^\dagger \otimes V^\dagger) | \chi_m \rangle \\ &= \max_U \sum_{m=1}^K \langle \chi_m | (U \otimes I) \rho (U^\dagger \otimes I) | \chi_m \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $d' = Kd + r$, $0 \leq r < d$, $K \geq 1$.

$F(\rho)$ has the following properties.

- (i) $F(\rho)$ is invariant under local unitary transformations.
- (ii) $F(\rho)$ is linear and convex.
- (iii) $F(\rho) = 1$ if and only if ρ is maximally entangled.

(iv) $\frac{K}{d'} \leq F(\rho) \leq 1$ for all $d' \otimes d$ quantum states ρ . Especially for a $d \otimes d$ mixed state ρ , $\frac{1}{d} \leq F(\rho) \leq 1$.

(v) $\frac{K}{d'} \leq F(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{d}$ for all $d' \otimes d$ separable states ρ .

Since the first three properties are easy to derive, here we only prove the last two properties.

Proof of property (4). For any $d' \otimes d$ mixed state ρ , we assume $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{d'd} \lambda_i |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i|$ be the spectral decomposition such that $\sum_{i=1}^{d'd} \lambda_i = 1$, $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$ and $\{|\phi_i\rangle\}_{i=1}^{d'd}$ are the normalized orthogonal eigenvectors in a $d' \otimes d$ Hilbert space. Then $F(\rho) = \max_U \sum_{i=1}^{d'd} \lambda_i a_i$, with $a_i = \sum_{m=1}^K \langle \chi_m | U \otimes I |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i | U^\dagger \otimes I | \chi_m \rangle$, which satisfies $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{d'd} a_i = K$. One gets that $\sum_{i=1}^{d'd} \lambda_i a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{d'd} \lambda_i = 1$ becomes an equality if and only if $a_i = 1$ for all i . Therefore $F(\rho) = 1$ if and only if ρ is maximally entangled state.

On the other hand, the minimum of the function $g(\lambda_i, a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{d'd} \lambda_i a_i$ is $\frac{K}{d'}$ by Lagrange multiplier. It reaches its minimum if and only if $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{d'}$ and $a_i = \frac{K}{d'}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d'd$. This gives rise to $\rho = \frac{1}{d'} I$. ■

Proof of property (5). For a $d' \otimes d$ pure separable state $|00\rangle$, $F(|00\rangle) = \max_U \sum_{m=1}^K \langle \chi_m | U \otimes I |00\rangle \langle 00 | U^\dagger \otimes I | \chi_m \rangle = \frac{1}{d} \max_U \sum_{m=1}^K |U_{(m-1)d,0}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{d}$. Notice that the fully entangled fraction is local unitary invariant and convex, we know $F(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{d}$ for all $d' \otimes d$ separable states. ■

One plausible weakness of the fully entangled fraction is that for any given quantum state, its fully entangled fraction may depend on the dimension of the Hilbert space associated to the state. For example, $F(|00\rangle \langle 00|) = \frac{1}{d}$ for $|00\rangle \langle 00|$ in a $d \otimes d$ Hilbert space. Therefore, the fully entangled fraction of $|00\rangle \langle 00|$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ if we consider it as a $2 \otimes 2$ state and $\frac{1}{3}$ if we consider it as a $3 \otimes 3$ state. So before calculating the fully entangled fraction, we first need to identify the associated Hilbert space. This is also the problem that the usual fully entangled fraction $F(\rho)$ should be confronted with. However, the problem is not so serious. The reason is that once we say how much entanglement one quantum state has, we are subconsciously comparing this state with others. If one state is maximally entangled, $F(\rho) = 1$, then it means that it has more entanglement than others with $F(\rho) < 1$ in the same Hilbert space. So for ρ_1 and ρ_2 in the same Hilbert space, if $F(\rho_1) > F(\rho_2)$, it implies that ρ_1 has more entanglement than ρ_2 and ρ_1 may be more useful in some sense.

Now we show the roles played by $F(\rho)$ in the following quantum teleportation. Suppose Alice and Bob previously share a pair of particles in an arbitrary $d' \otimes d$ quantum state ρ . To transform an unknown d -dimensional state $|\psi\rangle$ from Alice to Bob, Alice first performs a generalized joint Bell measurement $|\phi_{s,t,m}\rangle \langle \phi_{s,t,m}|$ with $|\phi_{s,t,m}\rangle = U_{st} \otimes I (\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_i |i\rangle |i + (m-1)d\rangle)$ on her parties, here $U_{st} = h^t g^s$, $h|j\rangle = |(j+1) \bmod d\rangle$, $g|j\rangle = \omega^j |j\rangle$ with $\omega = \exp\{-2i\pi/d\}$, $s, t = 1, 2, \dots, d$, $m = 1, \dots, K$. According to the measurement results s, t, m of Alice, Bob chooses particular unitary transformations $T_{s,t,m}$ to act on his particle. By lengthy calculation, we find the transmission fidelity of the teleportation protocol defined by $T_{s,t,m}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(\rho) &= \frac{1}{d+1} + \frac{1}{d(d+1)} \sum_{s,t,m} \langle \chi_m | (I \otimes U_{st}^\dagger T_{stm}^\dagger) \\ &\quad \times \rho (I \otimes T_{stm} U_{st}) | \chi_m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So the optimal fidelity is

$$\frac{1}{d+1} \left[1 + \max_V \sum_m \langle \chi_m | (I \otimes V) \rho (I \otimes V^\dagger) | \chi_m \rangle \right], \quad (18)$$

where V are arbitrary $d \times d$ unitary operators.

If we allow local unitary operations first before the above quantum teleportation, then it gives rise to the relation between the optimal fidelity of the teleportation and the fully entangled fraction,

$$f_{\max}(\rho) = \frac{1}{d+1} + \frac{dF(\rho)}{d+1}. \quad (19)$$

For separable states ρ , $F(\rho) \leq \frac{1}{d}$, the optimal fidelity $f_{\max}(\rho)$ of ρ in quantum teleportation is no more than $\frac{2}{d+1}$. However if $F(\rho) > \frac{1}{d}$, then its optimal fidelity is not less than $\frac{2}{d+1}$ and ρ is useful for quantum teleportation. In this sense, the fully entangled fraction $F(\rho)$ can be used to detect quantum teleportation resource.

IV. ENTANGLEMENT WITNESS

To detect maximally entangled states and quantum teleportation resource in general bipartite system experimentally, we construct the following entanglement witness. First, let us define the Hermitian operators,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{i+(m-1)d} &= |(m-1)d\rangle\langle(m-1)d| \\ &\quad - |i+(m-1)d\rangle\langle i+(m-1)d|, \\ \lambda_{k+(m-1)d, l+(m-1)d} &= |k+(m-1)d\rangle\langle l+(m-1)d| \\ &\quad + |l+(m-1)d\rangle\langle k+(m-1)d|, \\ \lambda'_{k+(m-1)d, l+(m-1)d} &= i(|k+(m-1)d\rangle\langle l+(m-1)d| \\ &\quad - |l+(m-1)d\rangle\langle k+(m-1)d|), \end{aligned}$$

for the first subsystem, and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i &= |0\rangle\langle 0| - |i\rangle\langle i|, \\ \lambda_{kl} &= |k\rangle\langle l| + |l\rangle\langle k|, \\ \lambda'_{kl} &= i(|k\rangle\langle l| - |l\rangle\langle k|), \end{aligned}$$

for the second subsystem, with $i = 1, \dots, d-1$; $k, l = 0, \dots, d-1, k < l$; $m = 1, \dots, K$.

Furthermore, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i &= \sum_{m=1}^K \lambda_{i+(m-1)d}, \\ \mu_{kl} &= \sum_{m=1}^K \lambda_{k+(m-1)d, l+(m-1)d}, \\ \mu'_{kl} &= i \sum_{m=1}^K \lambda'_{k+(m-1)d, l+(m-1)d}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $A_i = U\mu_i U^\dagger$, $A_{kl} = U\mu_{kl} U^\dagger$, $A'_{kl} = U\mu'_{kl} U^\dagger$, with U any $d' \times d'$ unitary matrix. We define the linear witness operator to

be

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &\equiv \frac{1}{d^2} \left[I_{d'} \otimes I_d + d \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} A_i \otimes \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} A_i \otimes \lambda_j \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{0 \leq k < l \leq d-1} [A_{kl} \otimes \lambda_{kl} - A'_{kl} \otimes \lambda'_{kl}]. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Theorem 3. ρ is maximally entangled if and only if

$$\langle \Gamma \rangle_\rho = 1, \quad (21)$$

and it is useful in quantum teleportation if and only if

$$\langle \Gamma \rangle_\rho > \frac{1}{d} \quad (22)$$

for some unitary operator U acting on the first subsystem.

Proof. By expanding the operator $|\chi_m\rangle\langle\chi_m|$ in Eq. (3) in terms of the Hermitian operators $\lambda_{i+(m-1)d}, \lambda_{k+(m-1)d, l+(m-1)d}, \lambda'_{k+(m-1)d, l+(m-1)d}$ on the first subsystem, and $\lambda_i, \lambda_{kl}, \lambda'_{kl}$ on the second subsystem, $i = 1, \dots, d-1$; $k, l = 0, \dots, d-1, k < l$; $m = 1, \dots, K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_m\rangle\langle\chi_m| &= \frac{1}{d^2} \left[I_{d_{m-1}} \otimes I_d + d \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \lambda_{i+(m-1)d} \otimes \lambda_i \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \lambda_{i+(m-1)d} \otimes \lambda_j \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{0 \leq k < l \leq d-1} [\lambda_{k+(m-1)d, l+(m-1)d} \\ &\quad \otimes \lambda_{kl} - \lambda'_{k+(m-1)d, l+(m-1)d} \otimes \lambda'_{kl}]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Inserting Eq. (23) into $\sum_{m=1}^K U \otimes I |\chi_m\rangle\langle\chi_m| U^\dagger \otimes I$, one gets ρ is maximally entangled if and only if Eq. (21) holds for some unitary operator U acting on the first subsystem by Theorem 2, and ρ is useful for quantum teleportation if and only if Eq. (22) holds for some unitary operator U acting on the first subsystem, by the result in Sec. III. ■

For example, in a $4 \otimes 2$ system, the witness given by Eq. (20) is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \frac{1}{4} [I_4 \otimes I_2 + U\lambda_1 U^\dagger \otimes \lambda_1 + U\lambda_3 U^\dagger \otimes \lambda_1 \\ &\quad + U\lambda_{01} U^\dagger \otimes \lambda_{01} + U\lambda_{23} U^\dagger \otimes \lambda_{01} \\ &\quad - U\lambda'_{01} U^\dagger \otimes \lambda'_{01} - U\lambda'_{23} U^\dagger \otimes \lambda'_{01}]. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Then any quantum state is maximally entangled if and only if $\langle \Gamma \rangle_\rho = 1$, and the state is useful for quantum teleportation if and only if $\langle \Gamma \rangle_\rho > \frac{1}{2}$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have studied maximally entangled states and the fully entangled fraction in general $d' \otimes d$ systems. We have presented necessary and sufficient conditions of the maximally entangled states. The maximal overlap between a given quantum state and the maximally entangled states has been

characterized by the fully entangled fraction, analogous to the case in $d \otimes d$ systems, as a natural generalization of the usual fully entangled fraction. The properties of the fully entangled fraction and its relation to quantum teleportation have been analyzed. This investigation completes the previous results for the fully entangled fraction. The witness for detecting the maximally entangled states and the resource for quantum

teleportation has been provided, which may be helpful for experimental detection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by NSF of China under Grants No. 11401032 and No. 61473325.

-
- [1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [2] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 865 (2009).
- [3] D. Cavalcanti, F. G. S. L. Brandão, and M. O. Terra Cunha, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 040303(R) (2005).
- [4] Z. G. Li, M. J. Zhao, S. M. Fei, H. Fan, and W. M. Liu, *Quantum Inf. Comp.* **12**, 0063 (2012).
- [5] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895 (1993); D. Bouwmeester, J. W. Pan, K. Mattle, M. Eibl, H. Weinfurter, and A. Zeilinger, *Nature (London)* **390**, 575 (1997); D. Boschi, S. Branca, F. De Martini, L. Hardy, and S. Popescu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1121 (1998).
- [6] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 3824 (1996).
- [7] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, *Phys. Rev. A* **60**, 1888 (1999).
- [8] S. Albeverio, S. M. Fei, and W. L. Yang, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 012301 (2002).
- [9] Z. W. Zhou and G. C. Guo, *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 032108 (2000).
- [10] S. K. Özdemir, K. Bartkiewicz, Y. X. Liu, and A. Miranowicz, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 042325 (2007).
- [11] J. Grondalski, D. M. Etlinger, and D. F. V. James, *Phys. Lett. A* **300**, 573 (2002).
- [12] M. Li, S. M. Fei, and Z. X. Wang, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 032332 (2008).
- [13] M. J. Zhao, Z. G. Li, S. M. Fei, and Z. X. Wang, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, 275203 (2010).
- [14] S. Lee and J. Park, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 054309 (2009).