Multiboson correlation interferometry with multimode thermal sources

Vincenzo Tamma and Simon Laibacher

Institut für Quantenphysik and Center for Integrated Quantum Science and Technology (IQST), Universität Ulm, D-89069 Ulm, Germany (Received 25 September 2014; revised manuscript received 15 November 2014; published 29 December 2014)

We develop a general description of multiboson interferometry based on correlated measurements in arbitrary passive linear interferometers for multimode thermal sources with arbitrary spectral distributions. The multiorder correlation functions describing the multiboson detection probability rates can be expressed in terms of permanents of positive semidefinite matrices, depending on the interferometer evolution, the spectral distribution of the sources, and the times when the correlated measurements occur. The permanent structure of these multiorder probability rates is a manifestation of the underlying physics of multiboson interference and yields an interesting connection with the so-called boson sampling problem.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.90.063836](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.063836) PACS number(s): 42*.*50*.*Ct*,* 03*.*67*.*Ac*,* 42*.*65*.*Sf*,* 89*.*70*.*Eg

I. MOTIVATION

The Hanbury Brown and Twiss (HBT) experiment in 1956 [\[1\]](#page-4-0), aimed at measuring the angular size of a star by performing correlated detections, paved the way for the development of the field of quantum optics. From 1956 until now a number of remarkable experiments [\[2–10\]](#page-4-0) based on high-order correlation measurements with thermal sources have been performed and important applications in highprecision imaging $[11-18]$ and information processing $[19]$ have been highlighted.

This fast advancement in experimental technologies based on thermal light interferometry calls for a general description of multiboson correlation interferometry with thermal sources. Here we fully analyze HBT-like experiments for arbitrary orders of correlation measurements, arbitrary passive linear optical interferometers, and arbitrary spectral distributions of the thermal sources.

Our analysis also brings up an interesting connection with the so-called *boson sampling problem* (BSP) [\[20–25\]](#page-4-0), where the probability of finding *N* single input bosons in $N \ll M$ output ports of an M -port interferometer depends on permanents of random complex matrices [\[20,26\]](#page-4-0).

Differently from the BSP, multiorder correlation measurements at the output of arbitrary interferometers rely additionally on the times the detections occur [\[27–29\]](#page-4-0). Further, for multimode thermal input sources, the detection rates are connected with permanents of positive semidefinite matrices, whose elements depend not only on the interferometer evolution but also on the average rate of bosons emitted by each source and on the detection times. Moreover, we show that these permanents arise from the interference of all multiphoton quantum paths from the sources to the detectors.

After giving a general perspective about *multiboson correlation interferometry* with arbitrary sources in Sec. II, we perform a full analysis for the case of thermal sources in Sec. [III.](#page-1-0) In Secs. [III A 1](#page-1-0) and [III A 2,](#page-2-0) we derive two equivalent, interesting formulations of the *N*th-order correlation functions in terms of matrix permanents depending on the interferometer evolution. Finally, we analyze the probability rates of multiorder correlation measurements for approximately equal detection times in Sec. [III B,](#page-2-0) address the trivial case of thermal sources with equal average boson production rates in Sec. [III C,](#page-3-0) and conclude with final remarks in Sec. [IV.](#page-3-0)

II. MULTIBOSON CORRELATION INTERFEROMETRY (MBCI)

The formulation of MBCI experiments of any given order *N* is the following (see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). First, we prepare a linear *M*port interferometer with bosonic sources; second, we consider correlated detection events in which $N \leq M$ single bosons are detected in an N -port sample \mathcal{D}_N from the total M output ports at joint detection times $\{t_d\}_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N}$, independently of the detection outcomes for the remaining $M - N$ detectors.

We consider here the case of photonic sources, although our results can be easily extended to atomic interferometers with bosonic sources. The probability rate for an *N*-fold joint detection event in a given sample \mathcal{D}_N of output modes is proportional to the *N*th-order correlation function [\[30–32\]](#page-4-0)

$$
G^{(N)}\big(\lbrace t_d \rbrace; \mathcal{D}_N\big) = \text{tr}\left[\hat{\rho} \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \hat{E}_d^{(-)}(t_d) \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \hat{E}_d^{(+)}(t_d)\right], \quad (1)
$$

where $\hat{E}_d^{(\pm)}(t_d)$ denotes the positive/negative frequency parts of the field operator $\hat{E}_d(t_d) = \hat{E}_d^{(+)}(t_d) + \hat{E}_d^{(-)}(t_d)$ at the *d*th detector. These field operators are connected with the field operators at the input ports by a unitary $M \times M$ matrix U describing the interferometer, which we assume for simplicity to be frequency independent. For a specific set, \mathcal{D}_N , of *N* output ports where a joint detection occurs, the $N \times M$ submatrix

$$
\mathcal{U}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)} \equiv \left[\mathcal{U}_{d,s} \right]_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_N \\ s=1,\dots,M}} \tag{2}
$$

of U allows us to express the electric field operators at the detectors as linear combinations,

$$
\hat{E}_d^{(+)}(t_d) = \sum_{s=1}^M \mathcal{U}_{d,s} \hat{E}_s^{(+)}(t_d),\tag{3}
$$

of the field operators $\hat{E}_s^{(+)}(t_d)$ at the sources. Equivalent expressions hold for the conjugate fields $\hat{E}_d^{(-)}(t_d)$. In the next section we address MBCI experiments with multimode thermal states, while we refer to Refs. [\[27,33\]](#page-4-0) for the case of multimode Fock states.

FIG. 1. Multiboson correlation interferometry of order *N* with a random linear interferometer with $M \ge N$ ports and bosonic sources. Here, we consider multimode thermal sources with arbitrary average boson rates \bar{r}_s , $s = 1, \ldots, M$. After the evolution in the interferometer, described by a unitary random matrix U , correlated detection events are recorded in the *N*-port sample \mathcal{D}_N from the *M* output ports independently of the remaining ports.

III. MBCI WITH THERMAL INPUT STATES

One of the most natural optical sources in quantum optics is a thermal source, which can be easily simulated in a laboratory by using, for example, a laser beam impinging on a rotating ground glass [\[34\]](#page-4-0). Here, we consider the product state

$$
\hat{\rho}_{\text{th}} \equiv \bigotimes_{s=1}^{M} \hat{\rho}_{s} \tag{4}
$$

of *M* independent multimode thermal states [\[30,35\]](#page-4-0),

$$
\hat{\rho}_s = \int \left[\prod_{\omega} d^2 \alpha_s(\omega) \right] P_{s, \text{th}}(\{\alpha_s(\omega)\}) \bigotimes_{\omega} |\alpha_s(\omega)\rangle \langle \alpha_s(\omega)|,
$$
\n(5)

at each of the input ports $s = 1, \ldots, M$, with Glauber-Sudarshan *P* representation [\[36,37\]](#page-4-0)

$$
P_{s,\text{th}}(\{\alpha_s(\omega)\}) \equiv \prod_{\omega} \frac{1}{\pi \bar{n}_s(\omega)} \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha_s(\omega)|^2}{\bar{n}_s(\omega)}\right). \tag{6}
$$

Here, the distribution $\bar{n}_s(\omega) \equiv \bar{r}_s \xi_s(\omega)$ of the mean number of photons for the source *s* is defined by the normalized spectral distribution $\xi_s(\omega)$ and the mean rate \bar{r}_s of photon production. For simplicity, we assume equal Gaussian spectral distributions [\[30\]](#page-4-0)

$$
\xi(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\,\Delta\omega} \exp\left(-\frac{(\omega - \omega_0)^2}{2\,\Delta\omega^2}\right),\tag{7}
$$

with central frequency ω_0 and bandwidth $\Delta\omega$, and their respective Fourier transform

$$
\chi(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \, \xi(\omega) e^{-i\omega u} = e^{-i\omega_0 u} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2 \Delta \omega^2}{2}\right). \tag{8}
$$

For average photon rates \bar{r}_s that are small compared to the inverse of the time resolution of the detectors, the detection of more than one photon in any of the output ports is very unlikely; therefore the use of photon number resolving detectors is not necessary.

For the state (4) , Eq. (1) can be rewritten in terms of firstorder correlation functions

as [\[30\]](#page-4-0)

 ϵ

$$
G^{(N)}\big(\lbrace t_d \rbrace; \mathcal{D}_N\big) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} G^{(1)}(t_d, t_{\sigma(d)}), \tag{10}
$$

where σ is an element of the symmetric group Σ_N of order *N*. Since the different sources *s* are independent, by defining [\[30\]](#page-4-0)

$$
\mathcal{J}_s^{(1)}(t_d, t_{d'}) \equiv \mathcal{U}_{d,s}^* \mathcal{U}_{d',s} \, \text{tr}\left[\hat{\rho}_s \hat{E}_s^{(-)}(t_d) \hat{E}_s^{(+)}(t_{d'})\right] \n= K^2 \mathcal{U}_{d,s}^* \mathcal{U}_{d',s} \bar{r}_s \chi_s(t_{d'} - t_d),
$$
\n(11)

where we used the narrow bandwidth approximation $\Delta \omega \ll \omega_0$,¹ Eq. (9) becomes

$$
G^{(1)}(t_d, t_{d'}) = \sum_{s=1}^{M} \mathcal{G}_s^{(1)}(t_d, t_{d'}).
$$
 (12)

We point out that the *N*th-order correlation function $G^{(N)}$ in Eq. (10) corresponds to the permanent of the matrix $[G^{(1)}(t_d,t_{d'})]_{d,d'}$ with elements defined by Eqs. (12) and (11). In the following sections, we derive two equivalent formulations of $G^{(N)}$ in terms of matrix permanents depending on the entries of $U^{(D_N)}$ in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-0) and emphasize the underlying physics of multiphoton interference.

A. *N***th-order correlation functions and permanents**

1. First formulation

A compact expression of $G^{(N)}(\lbrace t_d \rbrace; \mathcal{D}_N)$ in Eq. (10) can be obtained by defining the positive semidefinite matrix

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\{t_d\}}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)} \equiv \left[\mathcal{A}_{d,d'}\chi(t_{d'}-t_d)\right]_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_N \\ d' \in \mathcal{D}_N}}.\tag{13}
$$

Here $A_{d,d'}$ are elements of the positive semidefinite matrix

$$
\mathcal{A}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)} \equiv \mathcal{U}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)} \operatorname{diag}(\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_M) \mathcal{U}^{\dagger(\mathcal{D}_N)},\tag{14}
$$

while the positive semidefinite matrix $\chi_{\{t_d\}}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)} \equiv [\chi(t_{d'} (t_d)$]_{*d,d'*∈ D_N} describes the pairwise degree of correlation of the *N* detections depending on the detection times.

Moreover, the presence of both $\mathcal{U}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\dagger(\mathcal{D}_N)}$ is evidence of the multiphoton interference occurring in the optical network, as becomes clearer later. When we apply these definitions together with Eqs. (11) and (12) , Eq. (10) becomes

$$
G^{(N)}\big(\{t_d\}; \mathcal{D}_N\big) = K^{2N} \operatorname{perm}\big(\mathcal{B}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)}_{\{t_d\}}\big). \tag{15}
$$

Thus, we find that the probability rate for an *N*-fold detection in a given sample \mathcal{D}_N of output ports with thermal sources is mainly given by a single permanent of a positive semidefinite $N \times N$ matrix $\mathcal{B}_{t_d}^{(D_N)}$. From a physical point of view, while the matrix $\mathcal{A}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)}$ contains the interferencelike terms associated with the interferometer evolution, the time-dependent matrix $\chi^{(D_N)}_{\{t_d\}}$ accounts for the degree of correlation in time between the different correlated measurements, as described in Sec. [III B.](#page-2-0)

¹In this case, the field operators can be approximated $[38]$ as $\hat{E}_s^{(+)}(t) = i K \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \, \hat{a}_s(\omega) e^{-i\omega t}$, with the annihilation operators $\hat{a}_s(\omega)$ and a constant *K*.

2. Second formulation

We just demonstrated that the correlation function $G^{(N)}$ for a given sample \mathcal{D}_N of output ports is proportional to the permanent of an *N* × *N* matrix, $\mathcal{B}_{\{t_d\}}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)}$. We notice that $\mathcal{B}_{\{t_d\}}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)}$ is not a submatrix of the unitary matrix U as in the case of the BSP with single-photon sources. We now show that $G^{(N)}$ can also be expressed as a weighted sum of modulus squared permanents of matrices only built from columns of the interferometer submatrix $U^{(\mathcal{D}_N)}$ in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-0).

By substituting Eq. (12) in Eq. (10) , we obtain

$$
G^{(N)}\big(\{t_d\}; \mathcal{D}_N\big) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{s=1}^M \mathcal{G}_s^{(1)}(t_d, t_{\sigma(d)}). \tag{16}
$$

We now define the sets of ascending elements

$$
S_N = \{ \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{N_1 \text{ times}}, \ldots, \underbrace{s, \ldots, s}_{N_s \text{ times}}, \ldots, \underbrace{M, \ldots, M}_{N_M \text{ times}} \}, \qquad (17)
$$

where $N_s(\mathcal{S}_N) \ge 0$ and $\sum_{s=1}^M N_s(\mathcal{S}_N) = N$, with the associated weighting factors

$$
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}_N) \equiv \prod_{s=1}^M \frac{1}{N_s(\mathcal{S}_N)!}.
$$
\n(18)

These definitions allow us to write Eq. (16) as

$$
G^{(N)}\big(\lbrace t_d \rbrace; \mathcal{D}_N\big) = \sum_{\mathcal{S}_N} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}_N) \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \sum_{\delta \in \Omega^{(\mathcal{S}_N)}} \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \mathcal{G}^{(1)}_{\delta(d)}(t_d, t_{\sigma(d)}),
$$
\n(19)

where $\Omega^{(S_N)}$ is the set of all *N*! bijective functions that map the set \mathcal{D}_N to the set \mathcal{S}_N . By using Eq. [\(11\)](#page-1-0) together with the matrices

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\sigma}^{(\mathcal{D}_N, \mathcal{S}_N)} \equiv \left[\mathcal{U}_{d,c}^* \mathcal{U}_{\sigma(d),c} \right]_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N}, \tag{20}
$$

containing interferencelike elements, Eq. (19) can be expressed as

$$
G^{(N)}(\lbrace t_d \rbrace; \mathcal{D}_N) = K^{2N} \sum_{\mathcal{S}_N} \left\{ \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{S}_N) \left[\prod_{c \in \mathcal{S}_N} \bar{r}_c \right] \times \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \left[\prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \chi(t_{\sigma(d)} - t_d) \right] \text{perm} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\sigma}^{(\mathcal{D}_N, \mathcal{S}_N)} \right) \right\}.
$$
\n(21)

The correlation function $G^{(N)}$ in Eq. (21) contains all contributions from the possible configurations S_N in Eq. (17) of ways the *N* detected photons can originate from the *M* sources. In particular, each contribution has a weighting factor depending on the product of the respective average photon rates \bar{r}_c . Furthermore, each possible configuration S_N is associated with a weighted sum over σ [with weighting factors associated with a weighted sum over σ [with weighting factors $\prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \chi(t_{\sigma(d)} - t_d)$] of the permanents of the corresponding "interference" matrices $C_{\sigma}^{(\mathcal{D}_N, \mathcal{S}_N)}$.

B. Uncorrelated versus correlated detections

From the result in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-1-0) it is evident that the pairwise degree of correlation between the *N* detections in an *N*thorder correlation measurement is established by the positive semidefinite matrix $\chi_{t_d}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)} \equiv [\chi(t_{d'} - t_d)]_{d, d' \in \mathcal{D}_N}$, whose elements are defined by $\tilde{E}q$. [\(8\)](#page-1-0). Here, we consider the two extremal cases of completely uncorrelated or correlated detections.

In particular, the contribution to $G^{(N)}$ in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-1-0) by a given pair of detection events at detectors $d \neq d'$ vanishes if $|t_d - t_{d'}| \Delta \omega \gg 1$. If $|t_d - t_{d'}| \Delta \omega \gg 1$ for all d, d' , we find $\chi(t_{d'} - t_d) = \delta_{d,d'}$ and the only contributions to $G^{(N)}$ are the ones for which $d = d'$. In this case, Eq. [\(15\)](#page-1-0) trivially reduces to

$$
G^{(N)}(|t_d - t_{d'}| \Delta \omega \gg 1; \mathcal{D}_N) = K^{2N} \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} \mathcal{A}_{d,d}
$$

$$
= \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N} G_d^{(1)}(t_d, t_d), \quad (22)
$$

where clearly the detections in the *N* output ports are physically independent of each other and no multi-photon interference occurs.

On the other hand, in the condition of approximately equal detection times ($|t_d - t_{d'}| \Delta \omega \ll 1$), which implies $\prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_v} \chi(t_{\sigma(d)} - t_d) = 1 \,\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_N$, Eq. (15) simplifies to $d \in \mathcal{D}_N$ $\chi(t_{\sigma(d)} - t_d) = 1 \ \forall \sigma \in \Sigma_N$, Eq. [\(15\)](#page-1-0) simplifies to

$$
G^{(N)}(|t_d - t_{d'}| \Delta \omega \ll 1; \mathcal{D}_N) = K^{2N} \text{ perm}\left(\mathcal{A}^{(\mathcal{D}_N)}\right), \quad (23)
$$

which only depends on the mean photon rates of each source and on the interferometer transformation. Here, the complete interference between all possible *N*-photon multipath contributions to a joint detection emerges from the permanent structure of the *N*th-order correlation function.

In an analogous way, the equivalent expression of $G^{(N)}$ in Eq. (21) simplifies to the incoherent sum

$$
G^{(N)}(|t_d - t_{d'}| \Delta \omega \ll 1; \mathcal{D}_N)
$$

\$\approx K^{2N} \sum_{S_N} \left\{ \mathcal{N}(S_N) \left[\prod_{c \in S_N} \bar{r}_c \right] | \text{perm} \left(\mathcal{U}^{(\mathcal{D}_N, S_N)} \right) |^2 \right\}\$ (24)

of weighted modulus squared permanents of the matrices

$$
\mathcal{U}^{(\mathcal{D}_N, \mathcal{S}_N)} \equiv \left[\mathcal{U}_{d,c} \right]_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_N \\ c \in \mathcal{S}_N}}.
$$
\n(25)

Each matrix corresponds to a configuration S_N defining the number $N_s(\mathcal{S}_N)$ of photons each source contributes to the *N*fold detection and can be obtained by repeating each column *s* of the matrix $U^{(D_N)}$ in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-0) N_s times. The terms interfering in the modulus square of perm $(\mathcal{U}^{(\mathcal{D}_N, \mathcal{S}_N)})$ correspond to all possible indistinguishable *N*-photon paths which connect the *N* sources S_N with the *N* detectors of a given sample D_N , as illustrated in Fig. [2](#page-3-0) in the case $N = 2$.

In general, the lower the column repetition rate in Eq. (25) is for a given configuration S_N , the higher is the number of physically interfering *N*-photon quantum paths and the corresponding *degree of multiphoton interference*. In particular, the only configurations where no column repetition occurs are the ones where *N* sources contribute to an *N*-fold

FIG. 2. (Color online) Possible sets S_2 of the source or sources contributing to an *N*-fold detection at the $N = 2$ ports of a given sample $\mathcal{D}_N = \{x, y\}$ from the *M* interferometric output ports in Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) in the case of average photon rates $\bar{r}_a, \bar{r}_b \neq 0$ and $\bar{r}_s = 0 \ \forall s \neq a, b$. In set (a) both sources *a* and *b* contribute one photon, leading to two indistinguishable two-photon quantum paths, each corresponding to a different term of the associated permanent. In sets (b) and (c), since both detected photons stem from a single source, only one two-photon quantum path is possible, corresponding now to a single permanent term counted twice. Indeed, in both cases the associated matrix is constructed with two identical columns according to the contributing source.

detection [see Fig. $2(a)$ for $N = 2$], as in the original boson sampling formulation with single-photon sources. Indeed, these configurations correspond to *N*! interfering *N*-photon paths.

C. Equal average photon rates

We now consider the trivial case where all thermal sources have mean photon rates $\bar{r}_s = \bar{r} \ \forall s$ and derive two notable properties for the permanents of the matrices $C_{\sigma}^{(D_N, S_N)}$ in Eq. [\(20\)](#page-2-0) and $U^{(D_N, \hat{S_N})}$ in Eq. [\(25\)](#page-2-0). In this case, we easily find that the correlation function in Eq. (15) reduces to the constant expression

$$
G^{(N)}\big(\{t_d\}; \mathcal{D}_N\big) = K^{2N} \bar{r}^N, \tag{26}
$$

which, as expected [\[39\]](#page-4-0), is independent of the evolution in the interferometer. If we compare Eq. (26) with Eq. (21) in the limit of identical mean photon rates, we find that the property

$$
\sum_{S_N} \mathcal{N}(S_N) \text{perm}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\sigma}^{(\mathcal{D}_N, S_N)}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \sigma = 1 \\ 0 & \sigma \neq 1 \end{cases}
$$
 (27)

holds for the matrices $C_{\sigma}^{(D_N, S_N)}$ in Eq. [\(20\)](#page-2-0). Further, since Eq. (26) is independent of the detection times t_d , it must also correspond to the expression [\(24\)](#page-2-0) in the condition of equal mean photon rates. This yields the second property

$$
\sum_{S_N} \mathcal{N}(S_N) |\text{perm}\left(\mathcal{U}^{(\mathcal{D}_N, S_N)}\right)|^2 = 1 \tag{28}
$$

for the matrices $U^{(D_N, S_N)}$ in Eq. [\(25\)](#page-2-0). These two properties arise since the photon-counting probability rates for sources with equal average intensity are physically independent from the interferometer.

IV. FINAL REMARKS

We performed a full analysis of multiboson correlation interferometry of arbitrary order $N \le M$, where M is the number of ports of a random passive linear interferometer, for thermal sources with arbitrary spectral distributions.

We showed that the probability rates of detecting single bosons in at least *N* output ports, with $N \le M$, are proportional to the permanents of positive semidefinite $N \times N$ matrices, leading to an interesting connection with the boson sampling problem. Each matrix is given by the Hadamard product (product of the corresponding entries) of a timedependent matrix, describing the degree of correlation in time between the measurements, and the interference-dependent matrix associated with the interferometer evolution and the average photon rate of each source.

Moreover, we demonstrated that, for approximately equal detection times, the *N*-boson probability rates can be cast as a time-dependent weighted sum of modulus squared permanents of matrices with interferencelike elements depending only on the interferometer evolution. Indeed, each different permanent is associated with a possible physical configuration for the number of bosons each source contributes to the detection and describes the interference of all the corresponding multiboson quantum paths from the sources to the detectors. The higher the number of sources contributing to the joint detection is, the larger the number of corresponding interfering multipath amplitudes is.

In conclusion, our general analysis of multiboson correlation interferometry with thermal sources provides a deeper insight into the fundamental physics of multiboson interference for arbitrary order HBT-like experiments where highly interesting correlation effects emerge.

Note added. Recently, related independent research [\[40\]](#page-4-0) came to our attention. Differently from the multimode thermal sources addressed in our article, the authors consider monochromatic thermal sources, which correspond to the limit considered in Eq. [\(23\)](#page-2-0). Further they calculate the probability to find single photons in exactly *N* of the *M* output ports and the vacuum in the others. Differently here we focus on the determination of experimental probability rates for correlated detections in an N -port sample \mathcal{D}_N at arbitrary time sequences ${t_d}_{d \in \mathcal{D}_N}$ independently of the detection outcomes for the remaining ports.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

V.T. would like to thank M. Freyberger, F. Nägele, W. P. Schleich, and K. Vogel for useful conversations about this research project, as well as J. Franson, S. Lomonaco, T. Pittmann, and Y. H. Shih for fruitful discussions during his visit at UMBC in the summer of 2013. V.T. acknowledges the support of the German Space Agency DLR with funds provided by the Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology (BMWi) under Grant No. DLR 50 WM 1136. This work was also supported by a grant from the Ministry of Science, Research and the Arts of Baden-Württemberg (Az: 33-7533-30-10/19/2).

- [1] R. Hanbury Brown and R. Q. Twiss, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/1781046a0) **[178](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/1781046a0)**, [1046](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/1781046a0) [\(1956\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/1781046a0).
- [2] F. Arecchi, E. Gatti, and A. Sona, [Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9163(66)91034-1) **[20](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9163(66)91034-1)**, [27](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9163(66)91034-1) [\(1966\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0031-9163(66)91034-1).
- [3] M. Yasuda and F. Shimizu, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3090) **[77](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3090)**, [3090](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3090) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3090).
- [4] S. Fölling, F. Gerbier, A. Widera, O. Mandel, T. Gericke, and I. Bloch, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03500) **[434](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03500)**, [481](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03500) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03500).
- [5] M. Schellekens, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1118024) **[310](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1118024)**, [648](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1118024) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1118024).
- [6] I. N. Agafonov, M. V. Chekhova, T. S. Iskhakov, and A. N. Penin, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.053801) **[77](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.053801)**, [053801](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.053801) [\(2008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.053801).
- [7] J. Liu and Y. Shih, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.023819) **[79](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.023819)**, [023819](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.023819) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.023819).
- [8] S. S. Hodgman, R. G. Dall, A. G. Manning, K. G. H. Baldwin, and A. G. Truscott, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1198481) **[331](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1198481)**, [1046](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1198481) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1198481).
- [9] S. Oppel, T. Büttner, P. Kok, and J. von Zanthier, *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **[109](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.233603)**, [233603](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.233603) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.233603).
- [10] A. Perrin, R. Bücker, S. Manz, T. Betz, C. Koller, T. Plisson, T. Schumm, and J. Schmiedmayer, [Nat. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2212) **[8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2212)**, [195](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2212) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2212).
- [11] T. B. Pittman, Y. H. Shih, D. V. Strekalov, and A. V. Sergienko, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.52.R3429) **[52](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.52.R3429)**, [R3429](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.52.R3429) [\(1995\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.52.R3429).
- [12] R. S. Bennink, S. J. Bentley, and R. W. Boyd, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.113601)* **[89](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.113601)**, [113601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.113601) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.113601).
- [13] [A. Valencia, G. Scarcelli, M. D'Angelo, and Y. Shih,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.063601) *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **[94](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.063601)**, [063601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.063601) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.063601).
- [14] F. Ferri, D. Magatti, A. Gatti, M. Bache, E. Brambilla, and L. A. Lugiato, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.183602) **[94](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.183602)**, [183602](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.183602) [\(2005\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.183602).
- [15] K. W. C. Chan, M. N. O'Sullivan, and R. W. Boyd, [Opt. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.34.003343) **[34](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.34.003343)**, [3343](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.34.003343) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.34.003343).
- [16] [Q. Liu, X.-H. Chen, K.-H. Luo, W. Wu, and L.-A. Wu,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053844) *Phys.* Rev. A **[79](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053844)**, [053844](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053844) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.053844).
- [17] Y. Zhou, J. Simon, J. Liu, and Y. Shih, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.043831) **[81](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.043831)**, [043831](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.043831) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.043831).
- [18] T. Peng, H. Chen, Y. Shih, and M. O. Scully, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.180401)* **[112](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.180401)**, [180401](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.180401) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.180401).
- [19] V. Tamma and J. Seiler, Cnot-Gate Simulation based on Multi-Photon Interference with Thermal Light (unpublished).
- [20] S. Aaronson and A. Arkhipov, in *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing* (ACM, New York, 2011), pp. 333–342; Theory Comput. **[9](http://dx.doi.org/10.4086/toc.2013.v009a004)**, [143](http://dx.doi.org/10.4086/toc.2013.v009a004) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.4086/toc.2013.v009a004).
- [21] J. D. Franson, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1234061) **[339](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1234061)**, [767](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1234061) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1234061).
- [22] T. Ralph, [Nat. Photonics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2013.175) **[7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2013.175)**, [514](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2013.175) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2013.175).
- [23] B. T. Gard, K. R. Motes, J. P. Olson, P. P. Rohde, and J. P. Dowling, [arXiv:1406.6767.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1406.6767)
- [24] A. P. Lund, A. Laing, S. Rahimi-Keshari, T. Rudolph, J. L. O'Brien, and T. C. Ralph, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.100502) **[113](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.100502)**, [100502](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.100502) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.100502).
- [25] V. Tamma, Int. J. Quantum Inf. **12**, 1560017 (2015).
- [26] L. G. Valiant, [Theor. Comput. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(79)90044-6) **[8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(79)90044-6)**, [189](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(79)90044-6) [\(1979\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3975(79)90044-6).
- [27] V. Tamma and S. Laibacher, $arXiv:1410.8121$.
- [28] V. Tamma and F. Nagele, [Proc. SPIE](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2030215) [8875](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2030215), [88750F](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2030215) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2030215).
- [29] F. Nägele, Multiphoton Interference and Quantum Algorithms, Master's Thesis, Universität Ulm, 2014.
- [30] R. J. Glauber, *Quantum Theory of Optical Coherence: Selected Papers and Lectures* (Wiley & Sons, New York, 2007).
- [31] M. O. Scully, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997).
- [32] Y. Shih, *An Introduction to Quantum Optics: Photon and Biphoton Physics* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2011).
- [33] V. Tamma and S. Laibacher, Multiphoton Correlation Sampling with Arbitrary Fock States (unpublished).
- [34] T. Gonsiorowski and J. C. Dainty, [J. Opt. Soc. Am.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/JOSA.73.000234) **[73](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/JOSA.73.000234)**, [234](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/JOSA.73.000234) [\(1983\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/JOSA.73.000234).
- [35] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1995).
- [36] E. C. G. Sudarshan, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.277) **[10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.277)**, [277](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.277) [\(1963\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.277).
- [37] R. J. Glauber, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.84) **[10](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.84)**, [84](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.84) [\(1963\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.84).
- [38] R. Loudon, *The Quantum Theory of Light* (Oxford University Press, London, 2000).
- [39] C. Weedbrook, S. Pirandola, R. García-Patrón, N. J. Cerf, T. C. Ralph, J. H. Shapiro, and S. Lloyd, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.84.621) **[84](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.84.621)**, [621](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.84.621) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.84.621).
- [40] S. Rahimi-Keshari, A. P. Lund, and T. C. Ralph, [arXiv:1408.3712.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1408.3712)