Collective excitations of a harmonically trapped, two-dimensional, spin-polarized dipolar Fermi gas in the hydrodynamic regime

B. P. van Zyl

Department of Physics, St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada B2G 2W5

E. Zaremba

Department of Physics, Astronomy and Engineering Physics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

J. Towers

Jack Dodd Centre for Quantum Technology, Department of Physics, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand (Received 12 July 2014; published 20 October 2014)

The collective excitations of a zero-temperature, spin-polarized, harmonically trapped, two-dimensional dipolar Fermi gas are examined within the Thomas-Fermi-von Weizsäcker hydrodynamic theory. We focus on repulsive interactions and investigate the dependence of the excitation frequencies on the strength of the dipolar interaction and particle number. We find that the mode spectrum can be classified according to bulk modes, whose frequencies are shifted upward as the interaction strength is increased, and an infinite ladder of surface modes, whose frequencies are *independent* of the interactions in the large particle limit. We argue quite generally that it is the *local* character of the two-dimensional energy density that is responsible for the insensitivity of surface excitations to the dipolar interaction strength and not the precise form of the equation of state. This property will not be found for the collective excitations of harmonically trapped, dipolar Fermi gases in one and three dimensions, where the energy density is manifestly nonlocal.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.90.043621](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.043621) PACS number(s): 67*.*85*.*Lm*,* 05*.*30*.*Fk*,* 67*.*10*.*Jn*,* 31*.*15*.*E−

I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [\[1\]](#page-6-0) we presented a fully self-consistent, density-functional theory [\[2\]](#page-6-0) for the description of the equilibrium properties of a harmonically trapped, two-dimensional (2D) spin-polarized dipolar Fermi gas (DFG) at zero temperature ($T = 0$). For dipoles oriented perpendicular to the 2D x -y plane, the dipole-dipole interaction is isotropic and strictly repulsive.

At the heart of the formulation is the Thomas-Fermi–von Weizsäcker (TFvW) energy functional, viz.,

$$
E[n] = \int d^2r \left\{ \frac{1}{2} C_K[n(\mathbf{r})]^2 + \frac{2}{5} C_{dd}[n(\mathbf{r})]^{5/2} \right\}
$$

+
$$
\int d^2r \lambda_v w \frac{\hbar^2}{8M} \frac{|\nabla n(\mathbf{r})|^2}{n(\mathbf{r})} + \int d^2r v_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r})
$$

$$
\equiv \int d^2r \varepsilon_{\text{loc}}[n] + \int d^2r \varepsilon_v w[n]
$$

+
$$
\int d^2r v_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (1)
$$

where $C_K \equiv 2\pi \hbar^2 / M$, $C_{dd} \equiv (32/9\sqrt{\pi})\mu_0 D^2$, and *D* is the magnetic moment of the neutral atoms. The external potential is given by $v_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2}M\omega_0^2 r^2$, where ω_0 is the isotropic 2D trap frequency and M is the mass of an atom. The first term of the local energy density $\varepsilon_{\text{loc}}[n]$ corresponds to the noninteracting kinetic energy of a uniform 2D Fermi gas. The second term in $\varepsilon_{\text{loc}}[n]$ is associated with the *total* dipoledipole interaction energy in the Hartree-Fock approximation [\[2\]](#page-6-0), which, as shown previously $[1,3]$, can be accurately represented in two dimensions as a purely local function of the spatial density $n(r)$. This is in contrast with the situation for 1D and 3D systems, where the total dipole-dipole

interaction energy functional is always nonlocal. Finally, the von Weizsäcker (vW) energy density $\varepsilon_{vW}[n]$ is included to account for the increase in the kinetic energy associated with the spatial inhomogeneity introduced by the external trapping potential [\[4\]](#page-6-0). The parameter λ_{vw} is the so-called vW coefficient, which takes the value $\lambda_{\text{vW}} \simeq 0.02{\text -}0.04$ for particle numbers in the range $N \sim 10^2$ –10⁶ [\[1\]](#page-6-0).

Introducing the vW wave function $\psi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sqrt{n(\mathbf{r})}$ and performing the variational minimization of Eq. (1) with respect to the density, we obtain the Schrödinger-like equation

$$
-\lambda_{\rm vW}\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\nabla^2\psi(\mathbf{r}) + v_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{r})\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \mu\psi(\mathbf{r}),\tag{2}
$$

where $v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r})$ is the effective one-body potential given by

$$
v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{d\varepsilon_{\text{loc}}[n]}{dn} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega_0^2 r^2
$$

= $C_K \psi^2(\mathbf{r}) + C_{dd} \psi^3(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2}M\omega_0^2 r^2.$ (3)

Since $v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r})$ itself depends on $\psi(\mathbf{r})$, the solution of Eq. (2) must be determined self-consistently. The ground-state solution $\psi_0(\mathbf{r})$ determines the self-consistent ground-state density $n_0(\mathbf{r}) = \psi_0^2(\mathbf{r})$ and the chemical potential μ is fixed by the normalization condition

$$
\int d^2r \, \psi_0^2(\mathbf{r}) = N. \tag{4}
$$

Once the solution to Eq. (2) is obtained, we have a complete description of the equilibrium properties of the system. The numerical scheme used to solve for the self-consistent groundstate density is outlined in Ref. [\[1\]](#page-6-0). We note that the inclusion of λ_{vW} results in an equilibrium density profile that decays smoothly into the classically forbidden region.

In this paper we extend our earlier work [\[1\]](#page-6-0) to consider the collective excitations that are induced by driving the system away from its equilibrium ground-state density $n_0(\mathbf{r})$. The main motivation of this study is the recent realization of a degenerate, spin-polarized gas of 161Dy atoms [\[5\]](#page-6-0). In view of the reasonable expectation that the quasi-2D analog of this experiment is possible, along with foreseeable studies of the collective excitations, a theoretical investigation of the collective modes is of interest. Although a variety of theoretical techniques have been used to investigate the collective excitations of trapped DFGs in three- $[6-13]$ and lower-dimensional geometries [\[14–17\]](#page-6-0), we feel that it is useful to present another, relatively unknown approach, namely, the TFvW hydrodynamic theory.

The TFvW approach in fact has its roots outside of coldatom physics. It has had a long and successful history in the description of the collective excitations of degenerate electron gases in three [\[18,19\]](#page-6-0) and lower dimensions [\[20–24\]](#page-6-0). Owing to the fact that the TFvW theory is not fundamentally linked to any particular form of the interparticle interactions, there is no *a priori* reason to believe that it should not be equally effective for studying the dynamics of a degenerate DFG, provided the system is assumed to be in the hydrodynamic (HD) regime. In addition, the TFvW theory is numerically easy to implement for any number of atoms *N* and has the virtue of treating the dynamics of the system in a way that is consistent with the equilibrium properties.

The outline of the rest of the paper is as follows. In Sec. Π the TFvW hydrodynamic theory is presented, along with a numerical analysis of the collective modes of the system as the strength of the dipolar interaction and the particle number are varied. In Sec. [III](#page-3-0) we provide analytical support for our numerical calculations. In Sec. [IV](#page-5-0) we summarize and present our conclusions.

II. THOMAS-FERMI-VON WEIZSÄCKER HYDRODYNAMICS

The essence of the TFvW hydrodynamic theory for the collective excitations [\[18\]](#page-6-0) is to treat the system as a classical fluid obeying the usual continuity equation

$$
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{v}) = 0 \tag{5}
$$

and the momentum equation

$$
M\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}\right] = \mathbf{F},\tag{6}
$$

where **F** accounts for total force acting on the atoms

$$
\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r},t) = -\nabla \bigg[v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r},t) - \lambda_{\text{vW}} \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \frac{\nabla^2 \psi(\mathbf{r},t)}{\psi(\mathbf{r},t)} \bigg].
$$
 (7)

Here $v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ is defined by Eq. [\(3\)](#page-0-0) with the replacement of $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ by the dynamic wave function $\psi(\mathbf{r},t)$. Note that when the bracketed quantity in Eq. (7) is evaluated for the (static) ground state $\psi_0(\mathbf{r})$, it is equal to a constant, the chemical potential μ . Thus the total force vanishes for the equilibrium situation.

The collective modes of the system correspond to smallamplitude oscillations around the ground-state distribution *n*₀(**r**). Introducing the density fluctuation $\delta n(\mathbf{r},t) = n(\mathbf{r},t)$ − $n_0(\mathbf{r}) = 2\psi_0(\mathbf{r})\delta\psi(\mathbf{r},t)$ and linearizing the hydrodynamic equations in the fluctuating variables, we have

$$
\frac{\partial \delta n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_0 \mathbf{v}) = 0 \tag{8}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \frac{\delta \mathbf{F}}{M},\tag{9}
$$

where the fluctuating force is given by

∂δn

$$
\delta \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r},t) = -\nabla \bigg[\delta v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r},t) - \lambda_{\text{vW}} \frac{\hbar^2}{2M \psi_0} \nabla^2 \delta \psi(\mathbf{r},t) + \lambda_{\text{vW}} \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \frac{\nabla^2 \psi_0}{\psi_0^2} \delta \psi(\mathbf{r},t) \bigg], \tag{10}
$$

with

$$
\delta v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{d^2 \varepsilon_{\text{loc}}[n]}{dn^2} \bigg|_{n=n_0} \delta n(\mathbf{r},t)
$$

= $2C_K \psi_0 \delta \psi(\mathbf{r},t) + 3C_{dd} \psi_0^2 \delta \psi(\mathbf{r},t).$ (11)

Defining the ground-state TFvW Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{h} \equiv -\lambda_{\rm vW} \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 + v_{\rm eff}^0 - \mu, \qquad (12)
$$

where v_{eff}^0 is the effective potential evaluated at ψ_0 , we have

$$
\delta \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r},t) = -\nabla \bigg[\delta v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r},t) + \frac{1}{\psi_0} \hat{h} \delta \psi(\mathbf{r},t) \bigg]. \tag{13}
$$

Keeping in mind that we are considering an isotropic harmonic-oscillator (HO) confinement potential, it is useful to marmonic-oscillator (HO) commement potential, it is useful to
scale all energies and lengths by $\hbar \omega_0$ and $a_{\text{HO}} = \sqrt{\hbar / M \omega_0}$, respectively. In particular, we define the dimensionless variables

$$
\bar{\psi} = a_{\text{HO}}\psi, \quad \bar{C}_K = \frac{C_K}{\hbar \omega_0 a_{\text{HO}}^2} = 2\pi,
$$
\n
$$
\bar{C}_{dd} = \frac{2\pi}{a_{\text{HO}}} \frac{C_{dd}}{C_K}, \quad \bar{\omega} = \frac{\omega}{\omega_0}.
$$
\n(14)

Unless it is needed for clarity, we will for simplicity drop the bar notation in the following. Assuming a harmonic time dependence *e*[−]*iωt* for the fluctuating quantities, Eqs. (8) and (9) may be combined to yield

$$
-\omega^2 \delta n(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla \cdot [n_0(\mathbf{r}) \nabla f(\mathbf{r})] = 0, \qquad (15)
$$

where

$$
f(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \delta v_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{\psi_0} \hat{h} \delta \psi(\mathbf{r}).
$$
 (16)

Equation (15) may be cast in the form of a matrix eigenvalue problem by introducing the orthonormal basis defined by

$$
\hat{h}\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r}),\tag{17}
$$

with

$$
\int d^2r \, \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{ij}.
$$
\n(18)

Expanding the wave function fluctuation as

$$
\delta \psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i} c_i \phi_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad i = 1, \dots, p_{\text{max}}, \quad (19)
$$

the eigenvalue problem for the modes can be shown to take the form [\[18\]](#page-6-0)

$$
\omega^2 c_i = \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\lambda_{\text{vW}}} \sum_j M_{ij} c_j,\tag{20}
$$

where

$$
M_{ij} \equiv \int d^2r \, \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \left[2C_K \psi_0^2(\mathbf{r}) + 3C_{dd} \psi_0^3(\mathbf{r}) + \varepsilon_j \right] \phi_j(\mathbf{r}).
$$
\n(21)

The collective mode frequencies are given by *ω* and the corresponding mode densities $\delta n(\mathbf{r})$ are obtained from Eq. (19) using $\delta n(\mathbf{r}) = 2\psi_0(\mathbf{r})\delta\psi(\mathbf{r})$. Since the basis set $\{\phi_i(\mathbf{r})\}$ includes ψ_0 as one of its elements, we see that $\int d^2r \,\delta n(\mathbf{r}) \propto c_0$. The requirement that the mode density integrates to zero implies $c_0 = 0$. In the case of 2D isotropic HO confinement, the circular symmetry allows us to take $\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) \to \phi_{nm}(r,\theta) =$ $u_{mn}(r)e^{im\theta}$ such that

$$
\int d^2r \, \phi_{nm}^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{n'm'}(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{mm'}, \tag{22}
$$

which implies that the modes for different *m* values are decoupled.

We observe that the solution method described above relies on the smooth behavior of the vW wave function and corresponding equilibrium density. The smoothness of these quantities also results in the smooth decay of the density fluctuations into the classically forbidden region. One numerical advantage that follows from this behavior is that there is no need to specify additional boundary conditions [\[20\]](#page-6-0) in the numerical solution of the hydrodynamic equations [\(5\)](#page-1-0) and [\(6\)](#page-1-0). In our numerical calculations we have considered modes with angular momentum *m* up to a maximum of 9 and a nodal index *n* up to 4. For all of these modes and the ranges of C_{dd} and *N* considered, a value of $p_{\text{max}} = 2500$ was found to be sufficiently large to obtain convergence for the eigenfrequencies and mode densities.

Numerical results

While the TFvW theory can, in principle, be used to calculate the modes for any number of particles, the results are only meaningful for a trapped DFG in the HD regime. In Ref. [\[15\]](#page-6-0) it was shown that the inequality

$$
N\left(\frac{a_{dd}}{a_{\text{HO}}}\right)^2 \gg 1\tag{23}
$$

is the condition determining whether the system is in the HD regime. In Eq. (23) we have introduced the dipolar length $a_{dd} \equiv \mu_0 D^2 \dot{M} / 4\pi \hbar^2$. Taking, for example, a value of $\omega_0 =$ $2\pi \times 1500$ Hz gives $(a_{dd}/a_{HO})^2 \sim 10^{-2}$ for ¹⁶¹Dy and we are just in the HD regime for $N \gtrsim 10^3$. As the value of ω_0 increases, the HD region can be reached for smaller values of *N*. We will be interested primarily in particle numbers in the range $N \sim 10^3$ –10⁵, for which experiments on, e.g., ¹⁶¹Dy, may sensibly be compared with the TFvW theory.

FIG. 1. Collective excitation frequencies $\omega_{m,n}$ for $N = 10^3$ atoms. Here C_{dd} is dimensionless, as defined in Eq. (14) , and the curves are labeled by (*m,n*). Note that for this particle number, the $\omega_{m,0}$ modes appear to be independent of the interaction strength on the scale of the plot.

In Fig. 1 we present a subset of the collective mode spectra corresponding to $N = 10^3$ atoms, with $C_{dd} \in [0, 40]$ defined in Eq. [\(14\)](#page-1-0). The value $C_{dd} = 0$ is only of academic interest as it corresponds to the noninteracting limit and the HD regime cannot be reached for any number of particles. The various collective mode frequencies $\omega_{m,n}$ are labeled by m , the angular momentum quantum number, and *n*, the radial node index. It is clear from Fig. 1 that the $n = 0$ modes exhibit a behavior as a function of C_{dd} that is different from the $n \neq 0$ modes. In particular, the modes for $n \neq 0$ shift up in frequency as C_{dd} is increased, eventually saturating to a constant value. In contrast, the $\omega_{m,0}$ modes appear to be independent of the interaction strength C_{dd} on the scale of the plot.

Apart from the $\omega_{1,0}$ mode, the $n = 0$ modes do in fact exhibit a weak dependence on N and C_{dd} . This can be seen more clearly in Fig. [2,](#page-3-0) which shows the dependence of these modes on C_{dd} for $N = 10^2$ and 10^5 . The behavior of the $\omega_{1,0}$ mode is special since it is governed by the generalized Kohn theorem [\[25\]](#page-6-0), which states that, for harmonic confinement, the lowest-lying dipolar mode frequency is exactly equal to the trap frequency, independent of the interactions or particle number. It is therefore noteworthy that the TFvW theory captures this important property for all *N* and for arbitrary interaction strengths. On the other hand, the frequencies $\omega_{m,0}$ of the $m > 1$ modes are generally *not* independent of C_{dd} and exhibit a softening with increasing interaction strength and eventually saturate to a constant value as $C_{dd} \rightarrow \infty$. This behavior is most evident for $N = 10^2$, but as Fig. 1 indicates, by $N = 10^3$ $N = 10^3$ the $n = 0$ modes are virtually flat. In Fig. 3 we show results for $N = 10^5$; by this point, the flat dispersion of the $n = 0$ modes is well established and the C_{dd} dependence of *all* the modes has reached a limiting behavior that corresponds to the Thomas-Fermi (TF) limit ($\lambda_{\text{vW}} = 0$).

Of all the $n \neq 0$ modes, the $m = 0, n = 1$ breathing mode is of particular interest. The frequency of this mode $\omega_{0,1}$ starts out of particular interest. The frequency of this mode $ω_{0,1}$ starts out at $2ω_0$ and eventually saturates to $\sqrt{5ω_0}$ as C_{dd} is increased. This behavior is significant since it is known that for a

FIG. 2. Collective excitation frequencies $\omega_{m,0}$ for $N = 10^2$ (solid curves) and $N = 10^5$ (dashed curves) atoms. This figure illustrates that for large particle numbers, the $\omega_{m,0}$ modes become independent of the interaction strength. As shown in Eq. [\(47\)](#page-5-0), the dashed curves have the frequency $\omega_{m,0} = \sqrt{m}$.

harmonically trapped quantum gas, with a two-body interaction obeying the scaling relation $V(\beta \mathbf{r}) = \beta^{-2} V(\mathbf{r})$, there is an underlying SO(2*,*1) symmetry that ensures the existence of a breathing oscillation at exactly $2\omega_0$, independent of the details of the interaction [\[26–28\]](#page-6-0). When we turn off interactions, the TFvW theory has a local kinetic energy density proportional to $n^2(\mathbf{r})$ that is of the same form as a contact interaction at the mean-field level. Therefore, the presence of the breathing mode at $2\omega_0$ with $C_{dd} \rightarrow 0$ is entirely expected. However, when C_{dd} differs from zero, its $n^{5/2}(\mathbf{r})$ contribution to the local energy density breaks the scale invariance and, as a result, the breathing mode is no longer pinned to $2\omega_0$.

In Fig. 4 we display a selection of the collective mode frequencies as a function of the number of particles *N*. With a view to future experiments on ¹⁶¹Dy, we have fixed C_{dd} 2*.*6. Although modest, the dependence of the collective mode frequencies on particle number is a direct result of the vW term in the full TFvW theory.

III. ANALYTICAL RESULTS

In this section we present analytical calculations for the collective modes, in both the weakly ($C_{dd} \rightarrow 0$) and strongly

FIG. 3. Same as in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) but for $N = 10^5$ atoms.

 $(C_{dd} \rightarrow \infty)$ interacting regimes, within the TF approximation (TFA), viz., $N \gg 1$. Of particular interest will be an examination of the $\omega_{m,0}$ modes ($m > 1$), which in Sec. [II](#page-1-0) were numerically found to be almost independent of the interaction strength C_{dd} for $N \gtrsim 10^3$.

The TFvW theory is reduced to the TFA by simply setting the vW parameter λ_{vW} in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-0-0) to zero. The TFA equilibrium density profile $[n_0(r) = \psi_0^2(r)]$ is then determined by

$$
2\pi\psi_0^2 + C_{dd}\psi_0^3 + \frac{r^2}{2} = \mu.
$$
 (24)

While this cubic equation can be solved in closed form for arbitrary C_{dd} , it is difficult to make analytic progress with this form of the equilibrium wave function.

However, the collective mode spectra can be obtained analytically in the two limiting cases $C_{dd} \rightarrow 0$ and $C_{dd} \rightarrow \infty$. In these limits, the equilibrium wave function takes the form

$$
\psi_0(r) = \left(\frac{R^2 - r^2}{2C_\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha},\tag{25}
$$

FIG. 4. Collective excitation frequencies $\omega_{m,n}$ at *fixed* $C_{dd} = 2.6$ as a function of the particle number. We have not displayed the $\omega_{m,0}$ modes here as they exhibit no dispersion on the scale of the plot.

where $\alpha = 2$ for $C_{dd} \rightarrow 0$ ($C_2 = C_K$) and $\alpha = 3$ for $C_{dd} \rightarrow$ ∞ ($C_3 = C_{dd}$). The constant *R* is the TF radius, which is fixed by normalizing the density to the correct number of particles *N*, viz.,

$$
R = \left(\frac{(2+\alpha)(2C_{\alpha})^{2/\alpha}N}{\alpha\pi}\right)^{\alpha/(4+2\alpha)}.\tag{26}
$$

One can view Eq. [\(25\)](#page-3-0) as arising from a polytropic *local* energy density of the form

$$
\varepsilon_{\rm loc}[n] = C_{\alpha} \frac{2}{2 + \alpha} n^{(2 + \alpha)/2},\tag{27}
$$

with an effective potential given by

$$
v_{\text{eff}}^0(r) = \frac{d\varepsilon_{\text{loc}}[n]}{dn}\bigg|_{n=n_0} + \frac{1}{2}r^2 = C_\alpha n_0(\mathbf{r})^{\alpha/2} + \frac{1}{2}r^2. \tag{28}
$$

In the TF limit, the hydrodynamic equation for the density fluctuation [Eq. (15)] reads

$$
-\omega^2 \delta n(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla \cdot [n_0(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \delta v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r})] = 0 \tag{29}
$$

or

$$
-\omega^2 \delta n(\mathbf{r}) - \nabla n_0(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \delta v_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{r}) - n_0(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \delta v_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{r}) = 0. \tag{30}
$$

Equation (27) gives for the fluctuating effective potential

$$
\delta v_{\text{veff}}(\mathbf{r}) = \alpha C_{\alpha} [\psi_0(r)]^{\alpha - 1} \delta \psi(\mathbf{r}). \tag{31}
$$

To obtain a solution of Eq. (30) , we use the wave function fluctuation as the dependent variable, which we write as $\delta \psi(\mathbf{r}) = [\psi_0(r)]^{1-\alpha} y(r) r^m e^{im\theta}$. With the change of variable $x = r^2/R^2$, Eq. (30) takes the form of the hypergeometric differential equation [\[29\]](#page-7-0)

$$
x(1-x)y''(x) + [c - (a+b+1)x]y'(x) - aby(x) = 0,
$$
\n(32)

where primes denote differentiation with respect to the argument and we have identified

$$
a = \frac{2 + m\alpha - \sqrt{4 + m^2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha\omega^2}}{2\alpha},
$$
 (33)

$$
b = \frac{2 + m\alpha + \sqrt{4 + m^2\alpha^2 + 4\alpha\omega^2}}{2\alpha},
$$
 (34)

$$
c = m + 1. \tag{35}
$$

Note that C_{α} does not appear anywhere in Eq. (32), implying that the mode frequencies ω are independent of C_{α} for a polytropic energy density.

Equation (32) has two linearly independent solutions. The appropriate solution is determined by the requirement that the *velocity field* be finite at both $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. This is equivalent to demanding that the density fluctuation be regular at $x = 0$ and the outgoing current density vanish at $x = 1$. It is straightforward to show from Eq. [\(9\)](#page-1-0) that the velocity field is given by

$$
\mathbf{v} \propto e^{im\theta} x^{(m-1)/2} [2xy'(x)\hat{\mathbf{r}} + my(x)(\hat{\mathbf{r}} + i\hat{\theta})].
$$
 (36)

Equation (36) is finite at both $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ if and only if the function $y(x)$ and its derivative $y'(x)$ are regular at both

 $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. In order to satisfy this condition, the correct solution to Eq. (32) is

$$
y(x) = {}_2F_1[a, b, c; x],
$$
\n(37)

where we must choose $a = -n$ (or, equivalently, $b = -n$), with *n* a non-negative integer, in order that the power-series expansion of the hypergeometric function $y(x) = {}_2F_1[a,b,c;x]$ terminate. It follows immediately that the mode frequencies are given by

$$
\omega_{m,n}^{(\alpha)} = \sqrt{\alpha n^2 + \alpha mn + 2n + m}.\tag{38}
$$

As stated earlier, the discrete mode spectrum is indeed independent of C_{α} but depends on the polytropic index α . For $\alpha = 2$ we are in the $C_{dd} \rightarrow 0$ limit and we obtain

$$
\omega_{m,n}^{(2)} = \sqrt{2n^2 + 2mn + 2n + m},\tag{39}
$$

while for $\alpha = 3$ we approach the $C_{dd} \rightarrow \infty$ limit, for which the mode spectrum is given by

$$
\omega_{m,n}^{(3)} = \sqrt{3n^2 + 3mn + 2n + m}.\tag{40}
$$

Equations (39) and (40) agree perfectly with the numerical TFvW mode spectra for large *N* in the appropriate limits. Since $\omega_{m,n}^{(3)} > \omega_{m,n}^{(2)}$, we can now also qualitatively understand the reason why the frequencies of all of the $n \neq 0$ modes are shifted up in frequency as C_{dd} is increased from zero to infinity.

Finally, the density fluctuations are given by

$$
\delta n_{m,n}(\mathbf{r}) \propto [\psi_0(r)]^{2-\alpha}
$$

$$
\times {}_2F_1[-n,2/\alpha+m+n,m+1;r^2/R^2]r^m e^{im\theta}.
$$

(41)

Owing to the vanishing of $\psi_0(r)$ at the edge of the cloud in the TFA, this result shows that the density fluctuation is regular at *r* = *R* for $\alpha \le 2$, but *irregular* for $\alpha > 2$. Thus the requirement that the density fluctuation be regular at $r = R$ is not always the correct boundary condition to impose in order to obtain the discrete mode spectrum (38) . It is also worth noting that, for $\lambda_{\rm VW} \neq 0$, the numerically obtained mode densities do not exhibit any singular behavior. However, in the $N \to \infty$ limit they asymptotically approach the TFA solutions.

Nodeless excitations

We observe from Eq. (38) that the $n = 0$ mode frequencies we observe from Eq. (36) that the $n = 0$ mode requencies
are given by $\omega_{m,0}^{(\alpha)} = \sqrt{m}$ for arbitrary values of the polytropic index α . However, this does not account for the flat dispersion of the $n = 0$ modes found numerically in the large- N limit (see dashed curves in Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0) since the local energy density is not of the polytropic form for arbitrary values of the interaction strength C_{dd} . Although the mode frequencies *must* be pinned to \sqrt{m} in the weakly and strongly interacting limits, they in principle could exhibit some dispersion as a function of C_{dd} . The flat dispersion of the $n = 0$ modes for $N \gg 1$ and *arbitrary* C_{dd} is not obvious and merits some additional discussion.

When $n = 0$, $_2F_1[0, 2/\alpha + m, m + 1; r^2/R^2] = 1 \forall m \in$ \mathbb{Z}^+ and $\forall x \in [0,1]$ and it follows from Eq. (41) that

$$
\delta n_{m,0}(\mathbf{r}) = A \frac{dn_0(r)}{dr} r^{m-1} e^{im\theta} \quad (m \ge 1), \tag{42}
$$

FIG. 5. Density fluctuations corresponding to the $\omega_{1,0}$ dipolar (Kohn) mode. The left panel corresponds to $N = 10^2$ particles and the right panel to $N = 10^5$ particles. Within each panel, from left to right, we have $C_{dd} = 0.5, 10, 20, 40$, respectively.

where *A* is a constant. The fact that the density fluctuation has this form follows from the assumption of a polytropic local energy density, viz., Eq. (27) , but its validity is in fact more general. Assuming that Eq. [\(42\)](#page-4-0) is valid for an arbitrary local energy density, the fluctuating effective potential is given by

$$
\delta v_{\text{eff}}(r,\theta) = \frac{d^2 \varepsilon_{\text{loc}}[n]}{dn^2} \bigg|_{n=n_0} \delta n_{m,0}
$$

= $Ar^{m-1} e^{im\theta} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{d\varepsilon_{\text{loc}}}{dn} \bigg|_{n=n_0} \right)$
= $-Ar^m e^{im\theta}$, (43)

where in going from the second to third line in Eq. (43) we have made use of the fact that in the TFA with harmonic confinement, the spatial density is defined by

$$
\left. \frac{d\varepsilon_{\text{loc}}[n]}{dn} \right|_{n=n_0} + \frac{1}{2}r^2 = \mu. \tag{44}
$$

Since $r^m e^{im\theta}$ is a solution to Laplace's equation in two dimensions, it follows that $\nabla^2 \delta v_{\text{eff}} = 0$ and

$$
\nabla \cdot (n_0 \nabla \delta v_{\rm eff}) = -m \delta n_{m,0}.
$$
 (45)

Equation [\(30\)](#page-4-0) thus reduces to

$$
-\omega_{m,0}^2 \delta n_{m,0} + m \delta n_{m,0} = 0, \tag{46}
$$

which immediately yields

$$
\omega_{m,0} = \sqrt{m}.\tag{47}
$$

We have therefore demonstrated that the $n = 0$ density fluctuation in Eq. [\(42\)](#page-4-0) is indeed a solution of the TF hydrodynamic equations with a mode spectrum that is *independent* of the explicit form of the local energy density $\varepsilon_{\text{loc}}[n]$. This conclusion was arrived at earlier using a different approach [\[30,31\]](#page-7-0).

A similar result was also found for a two-component Fermi gas interacting via an *s*-wave contact interaction by Amoruso *et al.* [\[32\]](#page-7-0). However, in this latter work, the fact that the nodeless density fluctuations have a frequency $\omega_{m,0} = \sqrt{m}$ was only established in the weak-coupling limit.

In the TFvW theory the nodeless excitations are not precisely of the form given in Eq. (42) , except for $m =$ 1. According to the generalized Kohn theorem, the lowest dipolar excitation corresponds to a rigid oscillation of the equilibrium density. Thus, for small oscillation amplitudes, the density fluctuation is proportional to the radial derivative of the equilibrium density. In Fig. 5 we display the density fluctuations $\delta n_{1,0}(r)$ for $N = 10^2$ (left panel) and $N = 10^5$ (right panel) for a variety of interaction strengths C_{dd} . In all cases, the density fluctuations are found to be proportional to $dn_0(r)/dr$ to within numerical accuracy. For $N = 10^2$ it can be seen that the density fluctuation is smooth through the edge of the cloud. The same is true for $N = 10^5$, but is less apparent due to the scale along the *r* axis. On an expanded scale, the sharp feature at the edge of the cloud looks very similar to the behavior in the $N = 10^2$ curves. This feature arises from the vW term in the energy functional and would be absent in the strictly TFA calculation. For example, $\delta n_{1,0}(r) \propto r$ for $C_{dd} = 0$ in the TFA. Figure [6](#page-6-0) illustrates the density fluctuation *δn*_{5,0} for the $ω_{5,0}$ mode for $N = 10^2$ (left panel) and $N = 10^5$ (right panel). Similar curves are found for all of the *m >* 1 excitations; with increasing *m*, the factor r^{m-1} leads to an increased localization of the density fluctuation to the edge of the cloud.

IV. CLOSING REMARKS

We have applied the TFvW hydrodynamic theory to examine the $T = 0$ collective modes of a harmonically confined, spin-polarized 2D DFG with purely repulsive interactions. Our numerical analysis reveals a rich mode spectrum, with the surface modes $(n = 0)$ being of particular interest owing to their independence of the interaction strength, even for relatively few particles. We have argued quite generally that this behavior arises from the local nature of the energy density of the 2D DFG with repulsive interactions and will not be found in the 1D or 3D DFG, where the interaction is nonlocal. While the bulk modes ($n \neq 0$) are sensitive to the interaction strength, for $N \gg 1$, they quickly saturate to a constant value, given by Eq. [\(40\)](#page-4-0). We have also provided a detailed analytical

FIG. 6. Same as in Fig. [5](#page-5-0) but for the $\omega_{5,0}$ mode.

analysis of the system in the TFA, viz., $N \gg 1$, which has provided insight into the numerical results found in the full TFvW theory.

We anticipate that future experiments on 161 Dy in the quasi-2D limit will be faithful realizations of the system discussed in this paper and be able to examine the collective excitations with purely repulsive interactions. Given that current experiments can readily excite the quadrupole $\omega_{2,0}$ and breathing modes $\omega_{0,1}$ of trapped quantum gases, it will be of interest to see if our theoretical predictions for the surface and breathing mode are verified experimentally. Specifically, in the case of ¹⁶¹Dy, $\bar{C}_{dd} \approx 2.6$ and for *N* ∼ 10⁵ atoms (i.e., well into the HD regime) we predict the quadrupole mode to have a frequency of $\sqrt{2\omega_0}$, while the breathing mode should

have its frequency shifted up by approximately 6% from its scale-invariant value of 2*ω*0.

Finally, a worthwhile extension of this work would be to examine both the equilibrium state and the collective excitations when the dipoles are oriented at some angle relative to the *z* axis, in which case the interaction will be nonlocal and anisotropic. The inclusion of finitetemperature effects in the formalism would also be of some interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

- [1] B. P. van Zyl, E. Zaremba, and P. Pisarski, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.043614) **[87](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.043614)**, [043614](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.043614) [\(2013\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.043614).
- [2] R. M. Dreizler and E. K. U. Gross, *Density Functional Theory: An Approach to the Quantum Many-Body Problem* (Springer, Berlin, 1990).
- [3] B. Fang and B.-G. Englert, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.052517) **[83](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.052517)**, [052517](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.052517) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.052517).
- [4] C. F. von Weizsäcker, [Z. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01337700) **[96](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01337700)**, [431](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01337700) [\(1935\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01337700).
- [5] M. Lu, N. Q. Burdick, and B. L. Lev, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.215301) **[108](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.215301)**, [215301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.215301) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.215301).
- [6] F. Waechtler, A. R. P. Lima, and A. Pelster, [arXiv:1311.5100v1.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1311.5100v1)
- [7] S. K. Adhikari, [J. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/45/23/235303) **[45](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/45/23/235303)**, [235303](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/45/23/235303) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/45/23/235303).
- [8] M. Abad, A. Recati, and S. Stringari, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.033639) **[85](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.033639)**, [033639](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.033639) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.033639).
- [9] A. R. P. Lima and A. Pelster, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.063629) **[81](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.063629)**, [063629](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.063629) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.063629).
- [10] [C. Zhao, L. Jiang, X. Liu, W. M. Liu, X. Zou, and H. Pu,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.063642) Phys. Rev. A **[81](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.063642)**, [063642](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.063642) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.063642).
- [11] A. R. P. Lima and A. Pelster, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.021606) **[81](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.021606)**, [021606\(](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.021606)R) [\(2010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.81.021606).
- [12] [T. Sogo, L. He, T. Miyakawa, S. Yi, H. Lu, and H. Pu,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/5/055017) New J. Phys. **[11](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/5/055017)**, [055017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/5/055017) [\(2009\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/11/5/055017).
- [13] M. A. Baranov, M. S. Mar'enko, V. S. Rychkov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.013606) **[66](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.013606)**, [013606](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.013606) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.013606).
- [14] [S. H. Abedinpour, R. Asgari, B. Tanatar, and M. Polini,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.10.006) Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **[340](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.10.006)**, [25](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.10.006) [\(2014\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2013.10.006).
- [15] M. Babadi and E. Demler, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.063638) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.063638)**, [063638](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.063638) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.063638).
- [16] [M. A. Baranov, M. Dalmonte, G. Pupillo, and P. Zoller,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr2003568) Chem. Rev. **[112](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr2003568)**, [5012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr2003568) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr2003568).
- [17] L. M. Sieberer and M. A. Baranov, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.063633) **[84](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.063633)**, [063633](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.063633) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.063633).
- [18] E. Zaremba and H. C. Tso, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.8147) **[49](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.8147)**, [8147](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.8147) [\(1994\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.49.8147).
- [19] E. Zaremba, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.R10512) **[53](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.R10512)**, [R10512](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.R10512) [\(1996\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.53.R10512).
- [20] B. P. van Zyl, Ph.D. thesis, Queen's University, 2000.
- [21] M. Hochgräfe, B. P. van Zyl, Ch. Heyn, D. Heitmann, and E. Zaremba, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.033316) **[63](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.033316)**, [033316](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.033316) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.033316).
- [22] B. P. van Zyl and E. Zaremba, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.245317) **[63](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.245317)**, [245317](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.245317) [\(2001\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.245317).
- [23] [B. P. van Zyl, E. Zaremba, and D. A. W. Hutchinson,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.2107) *Phys. Rev.* B **[61](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.2107)**, [2107](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.2107) [\(2000\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.2107).
- [24] B. P. van Zyl and E. Zaremba, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.2079) **[59](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.2079)**, [2079](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.2079) [\(1999\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.2079).
- [25] L. Brey, N. F. Johnson, and B. I. Halperin, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.10647) **[40](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.10647)**, [10647](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.10647) [\(1989\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.10647).
- [26] L. P. Pitaevskii and A. Rosch, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.R853) **[55](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.R853)**, [R853](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.R853) [\(1997\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.R853).
- [27] C. Gao and Z. Yu, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.043609) **[86](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.043609)**, [043609](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.043609) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.043609).
- [28] J. Hofmann, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.185303) **[108](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.185303)**, [185303](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.185303) [\(2012\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.185303).
- [29] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 4th ed. (Academic, New York, 1980).
- [30] I. Boettcher, S. Floerchinger, and C. Wetterich, [J. Phys. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/44/23/235301) **[44](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/44/23/235301)**, [235301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/44/23/235301) [\(2011\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/44/23/235301).
- [31] R. Combescot and X. Leyronas, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.190405) **[89](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.190405)**, [190405](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.190405) [\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.190405).
- [32] M. Amoruso, I. Meccoli, A. Minguzzi, and M. P. Tosi, Eur. Phys. J. D **8**, 361 (2000).