# Equilibration of a finite-temperature binary Bose gas formed by population transfer

R. W. Pattinson,<sup>\*</sup> N. P. Proukakis, and N. G. Parker

Joint Quantum Centre (JQC) Durham–Newcastle, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, United Kingdom

(Received 3 July 2014; published 24 September 2014)

We consider an equilibrium single-species homogeneous Bose gas from which a proportion of the atoms are instantaneously and coherently transferred to a second species, thereby forming a binary Bose gas in a non-equilibrium initial state. We study the ensuing evolution towards a new equilibrium, mapping the dynamics and final equilibrium state out as a function of the population transfer and the interspecies interactions by means of classical field methods. While in certain regimes, the condensate fractions are largely unaffected by the population transfer process, in others, particularly for immiscible interactions, one or both condensate fractions are vastly reduced to a new equilibrium value.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.90.033625

PACS number(s): 03.75.Kk, 03.75.Mn, 05.65.+b, 67.85.Fg

# I. INTRODUCTION

Binary mixtures of atomic Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) have been the subject of intense research since the first experimental realization with two sympathetically cooled hyperfine states of <sup>87</sup>Rb [1]. The interplay between the two species, driven by the nonlinear atomic interaction, gives insight into atomic physics [2], supports rich nonlinear phenomena such as phase separation [3–6] and solitons [7–9], and provides an attractive setting for exploring nonequilibrium dynamics [10,11].

Binary mixtures (which we treat as distinct from spinor gases [12]) have been achieved experimentally with two hyperfine states of the same isotope [1,3,5,11,14–18], different atomic species [6,19-24], and different isotopes of the same species [4]. Under the former scenario, it is common first to form a Bose-condensed gas with atoms in a single hyperfine state and then to coherently transfer a population of the atoms into a second hyperfine state. Most commonly this is performed with <sup>87</sup>Rb and its  $|F = 1, m_F = -1\rangle$  and |F = 2,  $m_F = 1$  hyperfine states. The population transfer is induced by the application of one or more electromagnetic coupling pulses, which drive Rabi oscillations between the states, and controllable through the length and amplitude of the pulses. This approach has been employed to study collective modes of the system [3,10], phase dynamics [17,25], pattern formation [11], and crossover from triangular to square vortex lattices [26] and to measure s-wave scattering lengths [2]. The same principle is also exploited for outcoupling atoms to an untrapped state for the production of atom laser beams [27].

Importantly, the time scale of the atom transfer (set by the Rabi frequency) is typically an order of magnitude shorter than the time scale of the external dynamics [10]. In other words, the atom transfer is effectively instantaneous with respect to the external dynamics, such that the binary mixture is formed in a nonequilibrium state. In the mean field context of the ordinary Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE), the dynamics and collective modes of the *condensate* following the population transfer

have been successfully modeled by a system of coupled GPEs (see, e.g., Refs. [10,11,28]). Within this GPE model, the variable  $\psi$  refers directly to the condensate. However, a more fundamental question exists over the *thermodynamic* evolution from the nonequilibrium state. One would anticipate that the instantaneous atom transfer could heat the system and reduce the condensate fraction. This effect is the motivation for the current work.

In order to theoretically model thermal excitations and hence describe variations in the condensate fraction, one must progress beyond the standard mean field approximation to a model which describes both the condensate and the thermal atoms in the gas. Various finite-temperature descriptions of Bose-condensed gases exist (see [29-31] for reviews), briefly classified into two categories: in symmetry-breaking approaches, one maintains a single-mode condensate description, supplementing a slightly amended dissipative GPE for the condensate with a (quantum) Boltzmann equation for the thermal atoms [32,33]; an alternative approach, which is more commonly implemented numerically, is based on the explanation that the main dynamics of interest happen in the low-lying modes. These modes are typically highly occupied and can thus be treated classically. This leads to a multimode description of the system in which the classical field also obeys the GPE, but now encompassing both the condensate and the low-lying excitations in a unified manner [34–46]. In order to make use of such a classical field description, one needs to have populations initially distributed in modes other than the condensate mode (see also variants of this method [30,47-49]based on slightly different initial mode seedings). This can be facilitated by an initial (nonzero) condition across all classical modes, which thus enables mode mixing during the numerical evolution. Subsequently, the "classical field" GPE will thermalize to the classical thermal distribution of the system.

The power of the classical field methods is evidenced in their success in modeling phenomena and extracting quantities not accessible with the standard GPE, such as thermal equilibration dynamics [43,45], condensate fractions [40], critical temperatures [50], correlation functions [51], and spontaneous production of vortex-antivortex pairs in quasi-two-dimensional gases [52].

1050-2947/2014/90(3)/033625(8)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>r.w.pattinson@ncl.ac.uk

The seminal numerical demonstration of this method for a single-species Bose gas (in a three-dimensional periodic box) is presented in Ref. [43]. The simulation begins from a strongly nonequilibrium state where the mode occupation numbers  $n_k$  are uniformly distributed over wave number k (up to the cutoff in momentum introduced by the numerical grid or a more rigorous cutoff defined by a "projector" [30]), and the phase of each component is randomized. At early times the system is weakly turbulent. Self-ordering of the system leads to the growth of occupation numbers at low k. Over time the mode occupation  $n_k$  evolves towards an equilibrium corresponding to the "classical" (Rayleigh-Jeans) limit of the Bose-Einstein distribution  $n_k = k_B T / (\epsilon_k - \mu)$ , where  $\epsilon_k$  is the energy of mode k, T is the temperature, and  $\mu$  is the chemical potential [40,42]. Provided the system is in the regime of (N,T) space for Bose-Einstein condensation to occur, then the equilibrium state consists of a quasicondensate at low k, characterized by macroscopic mode populations (within which the k = 0 mode is associated with the true condensate,  $n_0$ ), and a thermal noncondensate at high k, with low mode occupations. The quasicondensate has superfluid ordering and exists in a state of superfluid turbulence, featuring a tangle of quantum vortices which slowly relaxes over time. The thermodynamic equilibrium state, and hence its characteristic condensate fraction and temperature, is uniquely determined by the initial atom number and energy [45].

The miscibility/immiscibility of binary Bose gases is a determining factor in their static and dynamic properties. The species are immiscible when the *interspecies* interactions, characterized by the coefficient  $g_{12}$ , are more repulsive than the *intraspecies* interactions, characterized by  $g_{11}$  and  $g_{22}$ . The immiscibility criteria can be written as [53,54]

$$g_{12}^2 > g_{11}g_{22}.$$
 (1)

In this regime, it is favorable for the two species to separate spatially, supporting phase-separated equilibrium density profiles in, for example, side-by-side and ball-in-shell formations [3–6,53,55–62]. From nonequilibrium conditions, complicated dynamical phenomena can also arise driven by the interplay between the two species, such as superfluid ring excitations [11], transient structures during growth [63], and the formation of topological defects in the form of dark-bright solitons [64]. The evolution of binary Bose gases from highly nonequilibrium initial conditions has also been studied previously in the miscible regime [65,66].

In this work we study the nonequilibrium dynamics following the separation of an equilibrated, homogeneous Bose-condensed gas into two species, performed via classical field simulations. In particular, we map out how the final equilibrated state of each species, characterized by their condensate fractions, varies with the amount of population transfer and the interspecies interactions. In Sec. II we introduce the classical field method of the binary Bose gas and discuss the generation of the equilibrated single-species gas and the subsequent component separation. Section III presents our findings, first considering equally populated components and then generalizing to arbitrary populations. We give our closing remarks in Sec. IV.

### **II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

### A. Classical field description

We consider two weakly interacting Bose-condensed gases, denoted species 1 and 2. Assuming that each mode of each species is highly populated, then each species can be parametrized by a classical field  $\psi_i(\mathbf{r},t)$  (i = 1,2). Each field provides the density distribution of particles as  $\rho_i(\mathbf{r},t) = |\psi_i(\mathbf{r},t)|^2$ .

The dynamics of the classical fields are described by the coupled GPEs

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_1}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_1}\nabla^2 + g_{11}|\psi_1|^2 + g_{12}|\psi_2|^2\right]\psi_1, \quad (2)$$

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_2}\nabla^2 + g_{22}|\psi_2|^2 + g_{12}|\psi_1|^2\right]\psi_2.$$
 (3)

Here  $g_{ii} = 4\pi \hbar^2 a_{ii}/m_i$  parametrizes the strength of the *intra* species interactions within species *i*, where  $a_i$  is the corresponding *s*-wave scattering length and  $m_i$  is the atomic mass of species *i*; the *inter* species interactions are parametrized by  $g_{12} = 2\pi \hbar^2 a_{12}/m_{12}$ , where  $a_{12}$  is the *s*-wave scattering length between the two species and  $m_{12} = m_1 m_2/(m_1 + m_2)$  is the reduced mass. Since we are considering the physical situation where the two species are formed as two hyperfine states of the same atom, we take  $m_1 = m_2 = m$ .

We work with the "natural units" of species 1: density is expressed in terms of the bulk density of species 1,  $\rho_1$ ; length, in terms of the healing length of species 1,  $\xi_1 = \hbar/\sqrt{2mg_{11}\rho_1}$ ; and time, in terms of the quantity  $\hbar/g_{11}\rho_1$ . Then the coupled GPEs are recast in the dimensionless form

$$i\frac{\partial\psi_{1}}{\partial t} = \left[-\nabla^{2} + |\psi_{1}|^{2} + \frac{g_{12}}{g_{11}}|\psi_{2}|^{2}\right]\psi_{1},$$
  
$$i\frac{\partial\psi_{2}}{\partial t} = \left[-\nabla^{2} + \frac{g_{22}}{g_{11}}|\psi_{2}|^{2} + \frac{g_{12}}{g_{11}}|\psi_{1}|^{2}\right]\psi_{2}.$$
 (4)

Henceforth we work exclusively with dimensionless quantities.

The number of particles in each species and the total energy of the system are conserved within the coupled GPEs. The number of particles in each species,  $N_i$ , can be expressed in momentum space as

$$N_i = V \int n_{\mathbf{k}i}(t) d\mathbf{k},\tag{5}$$

where V is the volume of the box and  $n_{ki}$  denotes the mode occupation numbers of species *i* (with  $n_{0i}$  being the corresponding condensate population). The system energy is given by the integral

$$E = \int \left( |\nabla \psi_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\psi_1|^4 + |\nabla \psi_2|^2 + \frac{g_{22}}{2g_{11}} |\psi_2|^4 + \frac{g_{12}}{g_{11}} |\psi_1|^2 |\psi_2|^2 \right) d\mathbf{r}.$$
 (6)

For simplicity, we consider the gases to exist within a three-dimensional periodic box; then the true condensate of each species is identified as the zero-momentum mode. The dimensionless coupled GPEs are solved numerically on a cubic grid of size 64<sup>3</sup> using a fourth-order Runge-Kutta method.

The time step is  $\Delta t = 0.01$  and the (isotropic) grid spacing is  $\Delta = 1$ . This spatial discretization implies that the momentum is discretized into discrete modes and that high momenta are not described. To formalize the latter effect, an ultraviolet cutoff is introduced such that  $n_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = 0$  for  $k > 2\sqrt{3}\pi/\Delta$ , where  $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ . This cutoff, which arises numerically in practice, is also required formally to prevent an ultraviolet catastrophe in the classical field model. As long as the grid size exceeds 16<sup>3</sup>, the equilibrium properties predicted by classical field simulations, e.g., the final condensate fraction, are effectively independent of the grid size [45] (although the time scale to reach equilibrium does depend on the grid size).

### B. Equilibrated single-species Bose gas

Our physical scenario begins with a single-species Bosecondensed gas which is already at equilibrium. Taking this to be species 1 (and dropping the subscript 1, for brevity), we form this equilibrated state via classical field propagation of the GPE for species 1, i.e., Eq. (2) with  $\psi_2 = 0$ , from the nonequilibrium initial conditions

$$\psi(\mathbf{r},t=0) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_k \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}), \tag{7}$$

where the magnitudes of  $a_k$  are uniform and the phases are distributed randomly [43]. We characterize the initial state in terms of its particle density N/V and average energy density  $\langle E \rangle / V$ . The importance of these quantities is that they determine the equilibrium state, including the temperature and condensate fraction, of the single-species gas [45].

A typical evolution of this single-species system is shown in Fig. 1, for the arbitrary parameters N/V = 0.5 and  $\langle E \rangle/V = 1.2$ . Initially the condensate fraction  $n_0/N$  is approximately 0 since the occupation numbers are distributed across k (see inset). Rapidly, the occupation numbers condense towards low k, resulting in a rapid growth of  $n_0/N$ . Later  $n_0/N$  approaches a constant value (of  $n_0/N = 0.77$  for the arbitrary parameters used here) associated with the equilibrium of the gas. The final state has macroscopic occupation of the k = 0 mode, confirming the formation of a condensate (see inset).



FIG. 1. (Color online) Evolution of the condensate fraction for a homogeneous single-species Bose gas starting from highly nonequilibrium initial conditions. Parameters: N/V = 0.5 and  $\langle E \rangle / V = 1.2$ . Inset: Evolution of the mode occupation  $n_k(t)$  [plotted as  $\log_{10}(n_k)$ ].

At equilibrium, the quantity  $\sum_{k' \leq k} n_{\mathbf{k}'}$  shows a characteristic bimodal shape as a function of k, as discussed in Ref. [43]. The lower-k regime is associated with the quasicondensate, and the upper-k regime with the thermal noncondensate. During evolution, we observe this bimodal distribution to emerge rapidly, and for the parameters used here we identify the crossover to occur at  $k \approx 2.7$ . The norm (particle number) and total energy are not conserved during these dynamics, since energetic particles can "evaporate" from the system due to the momentum cutoff. For example, during these dynamics, the total energy decreases by approximately 30%, and the norm by 0.1%. Consistent with [43], we observe the formation of a turbulent tangle of vortices in the quasicondensate during thermalization, which decays over time.

For a different initial energy and particle density the equilibration dynamics show the same qualitative form but approach a different equilibrium state (with different condensate fraction). For a fixed particle density N/V, the final condensate fraction decreases as the energy density  $\langle E \rangle / V$  of the system is increased [45].

At a time  $t_{eq} = 5000$ , when the system is deemed equilibrated, we define the equilibrium state of the single-species gas  $\psi_{1,eq} = \psi_1(t = t_{eq})$ . Note that at this time all vortices have decayed from the system. Our subsequent results are not sensitive to the choice of  $t_{eq}$ , providing the state is indeed equilibrated. Note that, unless noted otherwise, we use the single-species system employed in Fig. 1 (N/V = 0.5 and  $\langle E \rangle/V = 1.2$ ).

#### C. Nonequilibrium binary Bose gas

Starting from the equilibrated single-species gas at time  $t_{eq}$ , we instantaneously transfer a proportion  $\alpha$  ( $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ ) of the particles into species 2 according to the transformations

$$\psi_1(\mathbf{r}, t = t_{eq}) = \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \psi_{eq}(\mathbf{r}) + \eta_1(\mathbf{r}),$$
  
$$\psi_2(\mathbf{r}, t = t_{eq}) = \sqrt{\alpha} \psi_{eq}(\mathbf{r}) + \eta_2(\mathbf{r}).$$
 (8)

Low-level noise,  $\eta_1(\mathbf{r})$  and  $\eta_2(\mathbf{r})$ , uniformly randomly distributed about 0 with maximum amplitude  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  (where the mean amplitude of  $\psi_i$  is 1 in our dimensionless units) is applied to each species to break symmetries. Our qualitative findings are independent of the noise amplitude. The subsequent dynamics of the two coupled gases are obtained via propagation of the coupled GPEs, (4). Note that, immediately after splitting, the total kinetic energy density is essentially unchanged (to within the effects of the noise terms  $\eta_i$ ); that is, each species is formed with the same average kinetic energy per particle as in the original single-species condensate. The interaction energies following the population transfer depend on the interaction parameters.

Following the work of Berloff for miscible homogeneous binary Bose gases [65,66], in our work we also identify the condensate fraction in each species as the population of the k = 0 mode, having chosen to extend this identification to the immiscible regime as well. This regime is characterized by the formation of phase-separated domains in which one species effectively confines the other. Thus, while each species may be locally homogeneous within each domain, it is inhomogeneous on the larger scale. Previous

work [67,68] on single-component Bose gases which are inhomogeneous due to external trapping has revealed the importance of condensate deformation and the deviation of the Penrose-Onsager condensate mode [30,51,69,70] (obtained by numerical diagonalization) from the k = 0 mode. In a phaseseparated binary Bose gas, we can expect the inhomogeneous density to have a qualitatively similar effect on the nature of the condensate fraction. Nonetheless, we expect that the k = 0 mode will provide an important and insightful metric to characterize the thermodynamic state of the gas.

# III. EQUILIBRATION PROPERTIES OF BINARY BOSE GASES

We seek to establish how a binary Bose gas, formed instantaneously via population transfer, evolves from its nonequilibrium state and what the equilibrium properties are. The key parameters that will dictate the thermodynamical evolution are the population transfer  $\alpha$ , the intraspecies interactions, and the interspecies interactions. Below we explore the dependencies on these parameters.

### A. Equal intraspecies interactions and equal populations

We begin by considering the intraspecies interactions to be equal,  $g_{11} = g_{22}$ , and each species to have the same population ( $\alpha = 0.5$ ). We can write the immiscibility criterion, (1), as  $g_{12}/g_{11} > \sqrt{g_{22}/g_{11}}$  (assuming repulsive intraspecies interactions), which simplifies to  $g_{12}/g_{11} > 1$  for the intraspecies interactions considered here.

Our general findings are summarized in Fig. 2(a), which plots the equilibrium condensate fractions  $n_{0i}/N_i$  for each species as a function of the interspecies interactions  $g_{12}$ (expressed in units of  $g_{11}$ ). The condensate fractions are scaled by their initial values, that is, the condensate fraction of the equilibrated single-species gas ( $n_0/N = 0.77$  for the arbitrary parameters we employ here). The final equilibrium condensate fractions, according to the ergodic theorem, are determined by time-averaging the condensate fractions (here more than 1000 time units) following their saturation to a constant average value. Note that the size of the thermal fluctuations, characterized by the standard deviation of these data, is small. Note also that the results for species 1 and species 2 are indistinguishable to within the statistical noise [see Fig. 2(b)], and so only the results for species 1 are presented in Fig. 2(a).

It is evident in Fig. 2(a) that the equilibrium state of the system depends critically on whether the two-species system is miscible  $(g_{12}/g_{11} \le 1)$  or immiscible  $(g_{12}/g_{11} > 1)$ . We now discuss each case in turn.

### 1. Miscible regime

For  $g_{12}/g_{11} \leq 1$  the final condensate fraction in each of the two condensates remains close to that of the original condensate (represented by the horizontal gray line). To illustrate the dynamics in more detail, we show how the condensate fraction evolves in Fig. 2(b) for the example value  $g_{12}/g_{11} = 0.5$  [thick (red) upper lines]. Immediately following the population transfer at  $t = t_{eq}$ , there is a sharp spike in the condensate fraction; this feature, which we observe for all simulations, is associated with the small but noticeable



FIG. 2. (Color online) Equilibration of a single-species homogeneous Bose gas which is instantaneously transferred (at  $t = t_{eq}$ ) into a binary Bose gas with equally populated components ( $\alpha = 0.5$ ) and equal intraspecies interactions  $(g_{11} = g_{22})$ . (a) Final equilibrium condensate fraction of species 1 as a function of the interspecies interactions  $g_{12}/g_{11}$ . The condensate fraction is expressed in units of  $n_0/N$ , the equilibrated condensate fraction of the single-species gas. Error bars give the standard deviation over 1000 time units, with most being obscured by the markers. Data for species 2 are identical (within statistical uncertainty) to data for species 1. The initial single-species condensate fraction is shown by the horizontal gray line; the miscible-immiscible threshold, by the dashed vertical line. (b) Evolution of the condensate fraction for a miscible case  $[g_{12} = 0.5;$  thick (red) upper curves] and an immiscible case  $[(g_{12} =$ 1.5; thin (blue) lower curves]. Solid (dashed) curves represent species 1 (species 2). The initial single-species condensate fraction is shown for  $t < t_{eq}$  (black curve). Insets: Corresponding evolution of the mode occupation for species 1 [plotted as  $log_{10}(n_{k1})$ ] in the miscible (left) and immiscible (right) cases.

energy driven into the system by the noise terms  $\eta_i$  in the transformations, (8). We have confirmed that reducing the noise amplitude reduces the amplitude of this feature but does not affect the equilibrium properties. There is a subsequent small modulation of the condensate fractions, but these settle to values which are very close to the original. During the evolution the mode occupations (left inset) undergo no visible changes, being dominated throughout by the macroscopic population of the k = 0 mode.

We observe similar dynamics for all miscible cases  $(g_{12}/g_{11} \leq 1)$ , with the final equilibrium condensate fraction differing by at most a few percent from the original condensate. In general, the population transfer process modifies the total energy per particle: while the kinetic energy per particle is unchanged, the self-interaction energy becomes reduced (due to the quadratic scaling of the self-interaction energy with the particle density) and interspecies interaction energy is introduced. Over time there is then a weak equilibration within each species.

Within the miscible regime two special cases exist. For  $g_{12}/g_{11} = 0$  the two species evolve independently over time. For  $g_{12}/g_{11} = 1$ , and assuming  $g_{11} = g_{22}$ , the energy per particle in each species is the same as in the original Bose gas (and with the same proportion of kinetic and interaction energy), barring the weak effect of noise introduced during splitting. For both of these special cases the final condensate fraction is identical (within noise) to that of the original single-species Bose gas.

### 2. Immiscible regime

For  $g_{12}/g_{11} > 1$  the equilibrium condensate fractions are dramatically reduced [Fig. 2(a)]. Consider the example case for  $g_{12}/g_{11} = 1.5$  [thin (blue) upper lines in Fig. 2(b)]. Following the population transfer, the condensate fractions drop rapidly (over tens of time units), leveling out at around 58% of their initial value. The origin of this reduction is as follows. The population transfer forms two immiscible species with overlapping density profiles (identical apart from the imposed noise) and drives interaction energy into the system. From this energetically unfavourable state, the strong and repulsive interspecies interactions induce the species to phase-separate. This process imparts opposing momentum to the species, which is evident in the sudden population of finite-k modes following population transfer [Fig. 2(b), right inset]. In doing so, the interspecies interaction energy becomes converted into kinetic energy, raising the average kinetic energy per particle and leading to a lower final condensate fraction. For the miscible case, the population transfer can also drive interaction energy into the system, but crucially, there is no subsequent phase separation and hence no significant increase in the kinetic energy. As discussed earlier, in the immiscible regime, the deformation of one species due to effective confinement by the other may lead to the population of the k = 0 mode not coinciding with the Penrose-Onsager condensate.

The results presented in Fig. 2(a) are independent of the original single-species condensate fraction (hence the motivation for scaling the *y* axis in terms of this quantity). We have verified this by starting from single-species condensates with different condensate fractions (obtained from starting the single-species simulation at different energy densities) and found the results to be consistent with the data in Fig. 2(a). In other words, the final condensate fraction is a fixed ratio of the initial fraction, depending only on the interactions  $g_{12}/g_{11}$ .

#### B. Equal intraspecies interactions but unequal populations

We now move on to consider unequal populations and consider the example case of  $\alpha = 0.8$ . The final condensate



FIG. 3. (Color online) Equilibration of a single-species homogeneous Bose gas which is instantaneously transferred (at  $t = t_{eq}$ ) into a binary Bose gas with unequal populations ( $\alpha = 0.8$ ) and equal intraspecies interactions ( $g_{11} = g_{22}$ ). (a) Final equilibrium condensate fractions for species 1 (circles) and species 2 (squares). Most error bars are obscured by the markers. (b) Evolution of the condensate fraction for a miscible case [ $g_{12} = 0.5$ ; thick (red) curves] and an immiscible case [ $g_{12} = 1.5$ ; thin (blue) curves]. Solid (dashed) curves represent species 1 (species 2). The initial single-species condensate fraction is shown for  $t < t_{eq}$  (black curve).

fraction, shown in Fig. 3(a), shows a more complicated dependence on  $g_{12}/g_{11}$  than for equal populations [Fig. 2(a)]. In particular, we now see that species 1 (circles), which has the smaller number of particles, often has a lower condensate fraction than species 2 (triangles). What is more, species 2 can develop a condensate fraction which is slightly *higher* than the original condensate (gray line). The special nature of the values  $g_{12}/g_{11} = 0$  and 1 is even more evident for unequal populations; only here do the condensate fractions become equal to each other and equal to the original condensate.

In Fig. 3(b) we show the evolution for a miscible case,  $g_{12}/g_{11} = 0.5$  [thick (red) curves]. After the population transfer and following the noise-induced cusp, the condensate fraction  $n_{i,0}(t)/N_i$  of species 1 decays slowly to around 50% of its initial value, while that of species 2 increases by approximately 10%. For the immiscible case,  $g_{12}/g_{11} = 1.5$  [thin (blue) curve], we see the much faster and larger decay



FIG. 4. (Color online) Equilibrated condensate fractions (units of  $n_0/N$ ) for species 1 (left) and species 2 (right) as a function of the population transfer  $\alpha$  and the interspecies interactions  $g_{12}/g_{11}$ . Species are assumed to have equal intraspecies interactions  $(g_{11} = g_{22})$ .

of the species 1 condensate fraction, decreasing by around 90%, while that of species 2 increases slightly (a few percent). These curves are typical of the behavior in the miscible and immiscible regimes, respectively, provided that one is away from the special values of  $g_{12}$ .

In the immisicible regime, the condensate in species 1 mostly "boils off," leaving behind only a weakly populated condensate. This is, again, associated with the phase separation dynamics which proceed the population transfer. Here, for unequal splitting, species 1 gains more kinetic energy per particle than species 2, due to the low total mass/inertia of species 1.

### C. Equal intraspecies interactions and general splitting

Figure 4 shows the equilibrium condensate fractions (scaled by their initial value) in each species as a function of both the population transfer  $\alpha$  and the interspecies interactions  $g_{12}$ . Light/dark areas represent low/high condensate fractions. The two special values of interspecies interactions,  $g_{12}/g_{11} = 0$ and 1, are even more apparent since the condensate fractions here remain unchanged for all values of  $\alpha$ . The values  $\alpha = 0$ and  $\alpha = 1$  represent special cases of the population transfer for which only one species is relevant, while  $\alpha = 0.5$  (discussed earlier) is special in that both species have identical dynamics and properties. In the rest of the parameter space the general trend as  $\alpha$  increases is for a reduction in the condensate fraction of species 1 and an increase in that of species 2. For sufficiently extreme population transfer ( $\alpha \gtrsim 0.8$  or  $\alpha \lesssim 0.2$ ) the condensate fraction of the smaller component diminishes to around 0 (white regions in Fig. 4) and the condensate fraction in the larger component can slightly exceed the original value. In the immiscible regime  $(g_{12}/g_{11} > 1)$  this extends to more moderate values of  $\alpha$ .

### **D.** Unequal intraspecies interactions

All of the results discussed so far have been for equal intraspecies interactions,  $g_{11} = g_{22}$ . For  $g_{11} \neq g_{22}$  the results are qualitatively similar, except with the miscible and immiscible regimes becoming shifted according to the immiscibility criterion  $g_{12}/g_{11} > \sqrt{g_{22}/g_{11}}$ . For the  $|F = 1, m_F = -1\rangle$  and  $|F = 2, m_F = 1\rangle$  hyperfine states of <sup>87</sup>Rb,  $g_{11}$  and  $g_{22}$  differ by less than 5% [2] such that this shift is small.

### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Starting from an equilibrated Bose-condensed gas, we have considered the experimentally motivated scenario in which a proportion of the atoms is coherently transferred into a different species, thereby forming a nonequilibrium binary Bose gas mixture. Using classical field simulations in a homogeneous box we have analyzed the ensuing dynamics and final state of each species, highlighting the strong dependence on whether the components are miscible or immiscible. For equally populated components, the final condensate fractions vary little from those of the original Bose gas when the components are miscible but decrease significantly when the components are immiscible. This can be related to the phase separation dynamics which follow the population transfer and which cause an increase in the kinetic energy at the expense of the interspecies interactions. For unequal populations the general trend is for the smaller component to undergo a reduction in the condensate fraction, which, for even moderate parameters, can lead to the condensate's fraction becoming effectively 0; meanwhile, the condensate fraction of the larger components typically increases, albeit by a smaller amount.

In considering a homogeneous system we have revealed the rudimentary role of interactions on the equilibration dynamics. In experiments, however, Bose gases are confined in trapping potentials, usually harmonic in shape, which further influence the system through the imposition of an inhomogeneous density profile and a boundary. While this significantly changes the stationary density distributions of binary condensates, e.g., leading to ball-in-shell phase-separated states [3,53,55,57,59,61], and their dynamical properties, e.g., quench dynamics [64] and shape oscillations [3,10], the atomic interactions remain a dominant influence on the system. Importantly, the miscible and immiscible regimes, which drive our main observations in the condensate fractions, persist in the presence of a trap (albeit with the crossover shifted by the effects of quantum pressure arising from the inhomogeneous density [71]). Indeed, for the scenario of a single-species Bose gas the equilibration dynamics have been shown to be qualitatively similar between homogeneous [40,44] and trapped [72]systems. As such, we can expect our results also to provide qualitative insight into the equilibration of trapped Bose gases. Our chosen identification of the condensate as the k = 0mode (which does not account for condensate deformation induced by phase-separated density inhomogeneities) could be improved by defining the condensate as the macroscopically occupied eigenmode (which, in practice, requires numerically intensive diagonalization of the one-body density matrix). This would also be crucial for considering the experimentally relevant scenario of harmonic external confinement of the gas. In this context we remark that recent studies of quenched immiscible trapped binary condensates indicate that the condensate fractions are practically independent of the actual spatial distribution of the condensates [64].

The specific scenario we consider, a binary Bose gas with equal masses, has been performed experimentally with trapped Bose gases of <sup>87</sup>Rb, most commonly utilizing the hyperfine states  $|F = 1, m_F = -1\rangle$  and  $|F = 2, m_F = 1\rangle$  [3,11,16,17,25]. This system has  $g_{12}^2 = 1.002g_{11}g_{22}$  [2] and

lies just in the immiscible regime. Our results suggest a sizable reduction in the condensate fraction of at least one of the two components, intrinsic to the rapid population transfer procedure performed in experiments. In future work, we hope to extend our analysis to trapped Bose gases to determine more precisely the effect of interactions and trapping on the equilibration of the system.

- C. J. Myatt, E. A. Burt, R. W. Ghrist, E. A. Cornell, and C. E. Wieman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 586 (1997).
- [2] M. Egorov, B. Opanchuk, P. Drummond, B. V. Hall, P. Hannaford, and A. I. Sidorov, Phys. Rev. A 87, 053614 (2013).
- [3] D. S. Hall, M. R. Matthews, J. R. Ensher, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1539 (1998).
- [4] S. B. Papp, J. M. Pino, and C. E. Wieman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 040402 (2008).
- [5] S. Tojo, Y. Taguchi, Y. Masuyama, T. Hayashi, H. Saito, and T. Hirano, Phys. Rev. A 82, 033609 (2010).
- [6] D. J. McCarron, H. W. Cho, D. L. Jenkin, M. P. Köppinger, and S. L. Cornish, Phys. Rev. A 84, 011603 (2011).
- [7] C. Becker, S. Stellmer, P. Soltan-Panahi, S. Dörscher, M. Baumert, E.-M. Richter, J. Kronjäger, K. Bongs, and K. Sengstock, Nat. Phys. 4, 496 (2008).
- [8] M. A. Hoefer, J. J. Chang, C. Hamner, and P. Engels, Phys. Rev. A 84, 041605(R) (2011).
- [9] C. Hamner, Y. Zhang, J. J. Chang, C. Zhang, and P. Engels, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 264101 (2013).
- [10] M. R. Matthews, D. S. Hall, D. S. Jin, J. R. Ensher, C. E. Wieman, E. A. Cornell, F. Dalfovo, C. Minniti, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 243 (1998).
- [11] K. M. Mertes, J. W. Merrill, R. Carretero-González, D. J. Frantzeskakis, P. G. Kevrekidis, and D. S. Hall, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 190402 (2007).
- [12] Spinor condensates possess a spin degree of freedom and may be considered as two- or three-component condensates, but where each species is associated with a different spin state [13].
- [13] D. M. Stamper-Kurn and M. Ueda, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 1191 (2013).
- [14] P. Maddaloni, M. Modugno, C. Fort, F. Minardi, and M. Inguscio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2413 (2000).
- [15] G. Delannoy, S. G. Murdoch, V. Boyer, V. Josse, P. Bouyer, and A. Aspect, Phys. Rev. A 63, 051602 (2001).
- [16] J. M. McGuirk, D. M. Harber, H. J. Lewandowski, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 150402 (2003).
- [17] R. P. Anderson, C. Ticknor, A. I. Sidorov, and B. V. Hall, Phys. Rev. A 80, 023603 (2009).
- [18] H.-J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kurn, J. Stenger, S. Inouye, A. P. Chikkatur, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2228 (1999).
- [19] G. Ferrari, M. Inguscio, W. Jastrzebski, G. Modugno, G. Roati, and A. Simoni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 053202 (2002).
- [20] G. Modugno, M. Modugno, F. Riboli, G. Roati, and M. Inguscio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 190404 (2002).
- [21] G. Thalhammer, G. Barontini, L. De Sarlo, J. Catani, F. Minardi, and M. Inguscio, Phys. Rev. Lett 100, 210402 (2008).
- [22] A. D. Lercher, T. Takekoshi, M. Debatin, B. Schuster, R. Rameshan, F. Ferlaino, R. Grimm, and H.-C. Nägerl, Euro. Phys. J. D 65, 3 (2011).

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work made use of the facilities of N8 HPC provided and funded by the N8 consortium and EPSRC (Grant No.EP/K000225/1). The Centre is coordinated by the Universities of Leeds and Manchester. N.P.P. acknowledges funding from EPSRC (Grant No. EP/K03250X/1).

- [23] F. Wang, D. Xiong, X. Li, D. Wang, and E. Tiemann, Phys. Rev. A 87, 050702 (2013).
- [24] B. Pasquiou, A. Bayerle, S. M. Tzanova, S. Stellmer, J. Szczepkowski, M. Parigger, R. Grimm, and F. Schreck, Phys. Rev. A 88, 023601 (2013).
- [25] D. S. Hall, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1543 (1998).
- [26] V. Schweikhard, I. Coddington, P. Engels, S. Tung, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 210403 (2004).
- [27] M.-O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, D. M. Kurn, D. S. Durfee, C. G. Townsend, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 582 (1997).
- [28] I. Vidanović, N. J. van Druten, and M. Haque, New J. Phys. 15, 035008 (2013).
- [29] N. P. Proukakis and B. Jackson, J. Phys. B 41, 203002 (2008).
- [30] P. B. Blakie, A. S. Bradley, M. J. Davis, R. J. Ballagh, and C. W. Gardiner, Adv. Phys. 57, 363 (2008).
- [31] N. P. Proukakis, S. A. Gardiner, M. Davis, and M. Szymańska, eds., *Quantum Gases: Finite Temperature and Non-Equilibrium Dynamics* (Imperial College Press, London, 2013).
- [32] A. Griffin, T. Nikuni, and E. Zaremba, *Bose-Condensed Gases at Finite Temperatures* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).
- [33] E. Zaremba, T. Nikuni, and A. Griffin, J. Low Temp. Phys. 116, 277 (1999).
- [34] B. V. Svistunov, J. Mosc. Phys. Soc. 1, 373 (1991).
- [35] Yu. Kagan, B. V. Svistunov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP 74, 279 (1992).
- [36] Yu. Kagan, B. V. Svistunov, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP 75, 387 (1992).
- [37] Yu. Kagan and B. V. Svistunov, Sov. Phys. JETP 78, 187 (1994).
- [38] K. Damle, S. N. Majumdar, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. A 54, 5037 (1996).
- [39] Yu. Kagan and B. V. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3331 (1997).
- [40] M. J. Davis, S. A. Morgan, and K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 160402 (2001).
- [41] K. Góral, M. Gajda, and K. Rzążewski, Opt. Express 8, 92 (2001).
- [42] A. Sinatra, C. Lobo, and Y. Castin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 210404 (2001).
- [43] N. G. Berloff and B. V. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. A 66, 013603 (2002).
- [44] M. J. Davis, S. A. Morgan, and K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. A 66, 053618 (2002).
- [45] C. Connaughton, C. Josserand, A. Picozzi, Y. Pomeau, and S. Rica, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 263901 (2005).
- [46] M. Brewczyk, M. Gajda, and K. Rzażewski, J. Phys B: At. Mol. Opt. 40, R1 (2007).

- [47] H. T. C. Stoof and M. J. Bijlsma, J. Low Temp. Phys. 124, 431 (2001).
- [48] R. A. Duine and H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 65, 013603 (2001).
- [49] A. S. Bradley and P. B. Blakie, Phys. Rev. A 90, 023631 (2014).
- [50] M. J. Davis and P. B. Blakie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 060404 (2006).
- [51] T. M. Wright, N. P. Proukakis, and M. J. Davis, Phys. Rev. A 84, 023608 (2011).
- [52] T. P. Simula and P. B. Blakie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 020404 (2006).
- [53] T.-L. Ho and V. B. Shenoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3276 (1996).
- [54] C. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).
- [55] H. Pu and N. P. Bigelow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1130 (1998).
- [56] D. Gordon and C. M. Savage, Phys. Rev. A 58, 1440 (1998).
- [57] M. Trippenbach, K. Góral, K. Rzazewski, B. Malomed, and Y. B. Band, J. Phys. B 33, 4017 (2000).
- [58] D. M. Jezek and P. Capuzzi, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 015602 (2002).
- [59] S. Gautam and D. Angom, J. Phys. B 43, 095302 (2010).
- [60] A. Balaź and A. I. Nicolin, Phys. Rev. A 85, 023613 (2012).

- [61] R. W. Pattinson, T. P. Billam, S. A. Gardiner, D. J. McCarron, H. W. Cho, S. L. Cornish, N. G. Parker, and N. P. Proukakis, Phys. Rev. A 87, 013625 (2013).
- [62] A. Roy, S. Gautam, and D. Angom, Phys. Rev. A 89, 013617 (2014).
- [63] R. W. Pattinson, N. G. Parker, and N. P. Proukakis, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 497, 012029 (2014).
- [64] I.-K. Liu, R. W. Pattinson, T. P. Billam, S. A. Gardiner, S. L. Cornish, T.-M. Huang, W.-W. Lin, S.-C. Gou, N. G. Parker, and N. P. Proukakis, arXiv:1408.0891.
- [65] N. G. Berloff and C. Yin, J. Low Temp. Phys. 145, 187 (2006).
- [66] H. Salman and N. G. Berloff, Physica D 238, 1482 (2009).
- [67] G. E. Astrakharchik and K. V. Krutitsky, Phys. Rev. A 84, 031604 (2011).
- [68] C. A. Müller and C. Gaul, New J. Phys. 14, 075025 (2012).
- [69] O. Penrose and L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. 104, 576 (1956).
- [70] S. P. Cockburn, A. Negretti, N. P. Proukakis, and C. Henkel, Phys. Rev. A 83, 043619 (2011).
- [71] L. Wen, W. M. Liu, Y. Cai, J. M. Zhang, and J. Hu, Phys. Rev. A 85, 043602 (2012).
- [72] P. B. Blakie and M. J. Davis, Phys. Rev. A 72, 063608 (2005).