PHYSICAL REVIEW A

Comments and Addenda

The Comments and Addenda section is for short communications which are not of such urgency as to justify publication in Physical Review Letters and are not appropriate for regular Articles. It includes only the following types of communications: (1) comments on papers previously published in The Physical Review or Physical Review Letters; (2) addenda to papers previously published in The Physical Review or Physical Review Letters; (2) addenda to papers previously published in The Physical Review or Physical Review are the additional information can be presented without the need for writing a complete article. Manuscripts intended for this section should be accompanied by a brief abstract for information-retrieval purposes. Accepted manuscripts will follow the same publication schedule as articles in this journal, and galleys will be sent to authors.

Second virial coefficients of two-dimensional helium: The Beck potential*

R. L. Siddon⁺ and M. Schick

Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98105 (Received 8 November 1973)

The second virial coefficients of two-dimensional systems of He^3 and He^4 have been calculated assuming that the atoms interact via the Beck potential. The virial coefficient and its contribution to the specific heat are almost the same as calculated previously with a Lennard-Jones potential. Therefore small discrepancies between experimental data and our previous calculation are ascribed to effects of the substrate.

In a recent paper,¹ the specific heat of lowdensity helium monolayers was calculated assuming that (i) the system behaves as if it were two dimensional at the temperatures of interest; (ii) the specific heat is adequately represented by the first two terms of its virial expansion; (iii) the helium interaction can be modeled by the standard



FIG. 1. Comparison of the deviation of the specific heat from unity per unit density, $(C/Nk-1)m^{-1}$, for adsorbed He³ as determined experimentally and calculated assuming a Lennard-Jones 6-12 potential.





FIG. 2. Comparison of the deviation of the specific heat from unity per unit density, $(C/Nk-1)m^{-1}$, for adsorbed He⁴ as determined experimentally and calculated assuming a Lennard-Jones 6-12 potential.

9

1753

9

 $(C/Nk-1)n^{-1}$ is shown versus temperature. Here C/Nk is the specific heat in units of Boltzmann's constant and n is the areal particle density in \dot{A}^{-2} . We note that the agreement with the He⁴ data, while good, is inferior to the excellent agreement with the He³ data. It is unlikely that this difference can be attributed to a failure of assumption (i), as it has been calculated² that the first excited state corresponding to motion perpendicular to the substrate has an excitation energy some 70 K greater than at the bottom of the lowest band. That assumption (ii) is adequate is indicated by the fact that the experimental data taken at different densities fall on a universal function of temperature when plotted as in Figs. 1 and 2. This is expected if the first two terms of a virial expansion are adequate, for then

$$C/Nk = 1 - n\beta^2 \frac{d^2 B(\beta)}{d\beta^2}$$
,

where $\beta = 1/kT$ and *B* is the second virial coefficient, so that

$$(C/Nk-1)m^{-1}=-\beta^2\frac{d^2B(\beta)}{d\beta^2},$$

which is a function of temperature only. It is the purpose of this note to report the result of an investigation of the adequacy of assumption (iii).

We have calculated the second virial coefficients B, $\beta dB/d\beta$ which occurs in the virial expansion of the entropy, and $\beta^2 d^2 B/d\beta^2$, assuming that the atoms interact via the Beck³ potential

$$V(r) = A e^{-\alpha r - \gamma r^{6}} - \frac{B}{(r^{2} + a^{2})^{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2.709 + 3a^{2}}{r^{2} + a^{2}}\right),$$

where $A = 4.638 \times 10^{6}$ K, $B = 1.00685 \times 10^{4}$ K Å⁶, a = 0.675 Å, $\alpha = 4.390$ Å⁻¹, and $\gamma = 3.746 \times 10^{-4}$ Å⁻⁶.

This potential has the correct theoretical dependence on distance for large particle separation and a short-range repulsive part fitted to theoretical values. In addition it reproduces the experimentally determined second virial coefficient of the bulk gas. The Beck potential differs from the Lennard-Jones potential primarily in that the repulsion is somewhat "softer" and the minimum

T	В	$\beta \frac{dB}{d\beta}$	$\beta^2 \frac{d^2 B}{d \beta^2}$	Т	В	$\beta \frac{dB}{d\beta}$	$\beta^2 \frac{d^2 B}{d \beta^2}$
0.100 00	24.158 74	76.045 54	13.834 99	3,100 00	-1.48217	-8.25368	3,35871
0.200 00	-10.33279	27.95711	23.654 06	3,200 00	-1.22259	-8.09844	3.21520
0.300 00	-17.87006	10.264 92	25.856 90	3.300 00	-0.975 70	-7.94842	3.08246
0.400 00	-19.46510	1.28891	25.13684	3.400 00	-0.74058	-7.80348	2.95938
0.500 00	-19.15321	-3.82810	23.36636	3,500 00	-0.51641	-7.66342	2.84498
0.600 00	-18.15963	-6.91511	21,322 50	3,600 00	-0.30243	-7.52808	2.73838
0.700 00	-16.93843	-8.82837	19,311 99	3,700 00	-0.09796	-7.39726	2.63882
0.800 00	-15.67542	-10.02061	17.44975	3.800 00	0.09762	-7.27080	2.54562
0.900 00	-14.44936	-10.75105	15.77061	3,900 00	0.284 89	-7.14851	2.45819
1.000 00	-13.29242	-11.17677	14.27580	4 000 00	0.464.38	-7,03022	2 375 98
1,100 00	-12.21549	-11.39713	12.95301	4 100 00	0.63656	-6.915.74	2 2 98 52
1,200 00	-11.21955	-11.47712	11.78526	4.200.00	0.801 87	-6.80493	2,22539
1,300 00	-10.30102	-11.46036	10.75483	4,300.00	0.96073	-6.69762	2.15621
1.400 00	-9.45443	-11.37680	9.844 93	4.400.00	1.11351	-6.593 65	2.09065
1.500 00	-8.67371	-11.24731	9.04037	4,500 00	1.260 56	-6.49289	2.02840
$1.600\ 00$	-7.95282	-11.086666	8.32764	4,600 00	1.40219	-6.39519	1,96919
1.700 00	-7.28605	-10.90539	7.694 96	4,70000	1.53870	-6.30041	1,912 79
1.800 00	-6.66817	-10.711 09	7.13205	4,800 00	1.67038	-6.20844	1.858 96
1.900 00	-6.09443	-10.50926	6.63004	4,900 00	1.79747	-6.11914	1.80752
2.000 00	-5.56059	-10.303 88	6.18122	5 000 00	1 000 00	0.000.41	1 75000
2,100 00	-5.06285	-10.097 81	5.77894	5,000 00	2 950 92	-0.03241	1.70040
2.20000	-4.597 83	-9.89314	5.41745	7,000,00	2,350 35	-3.26471 -4.70217	1 069 02
2.300 00	-4.16253	-9.691 34	5.091 75	8,000,00	4 316 32	-4 233 48	0 844 91
2.40000	-3.75427	-9.49346	4.79753	9,000,00	4.791.93	-3 846 58	0.663.91
2.500 00	-3.37066	-9.30020	4.53105	10 000 00	5 17987	-3 520 58	0.513.55
2.600 00	-3.00958	-9,112 05	4.28904	11 000 00	5 501 99	-3.241.27	0.386.07
2.70000	-2.66914	-8,92927	4.06867	12 000 00	5 773 38	-2 998 65	0.276.25
2.800 00	-2.34762	-8.752 03	3.86748	13 000 00	6 004 81	-2.33535	0.21025
2.90000	-2.04351	-8.58038	3.68330	14 000 00	6 204 17	-2 596 30	0.09541
3,000 00	-1.75544	-8.414 29	3.51426	15.000 00	6.377 42	-2.426 98	0.01901

Т	В	$\beta \frac{dB}{d\beta}$	$\beta^2 \frac{d^2 B}{d \beta^2}$	Т	В	$\beta \frac{dB}{d\beta}$	$eta^2 rac{d^2 B}{d \ eta^2}$
0,100 00	-1184.902 99	-1862.79440	-1089.534 90	3.100 00	-8.92001	-16.947 97	1.213 41
0.200 00	-415.03446	-608.62908	-303,522 98	3,20000	-8.38978	-16.45649	1.23922
0,300 00	-231.01533	-328,933 90	-156.00750	3.300 00	-7.89052	-15.99546	1,25558
0.40000	-154.06728	-214.40946	-99.31243	3,40000	-7.41951	-15,56192	1.26418
0,500 00	-113,29204	-154,43853	-69.62802	3.50000	-6.97436	-15.15332	1,26639
0.600 00	-88,560 74	-118.52249	-51.278 90	3.600 00	-6.55294	-14.76741	1,26336
0,70000	-72.16735	-95,100 98	-38,80713	3.70000	-6.15335	-14.40224	1.25607
0.800 00	-60.58833	-78.89125	-29,83611	3.800 00	-5,773 90	-14.05605	1.24529
0.900 00	-52.00843	-67.16229	-23.15052	3,900 00	-5.41308	-13.72731	1.23168
1 000 00	45 409 00	50 979 90	18 050 74				
1,000,00	-45,408 09	-20,37330	-18.050 74	4.000 00	-5.06951	-13.41464	1.215 81
1,100,00	-40.17560	-01.090 91	-14,09705	4.100 00	-4.74196	-13.11683	1,19811
1,200,00	-35,924 25	-40,24000	-10.99780	4.20000	-4.42931	-12.83275	1.17898
1.300.00	-32,398 88	-41,93217	-8.546 07	4.30000	-4.13055	-12.56144	1.15873
1.400.00	-29.425 03	-38.393.05	-6.59421	4.40000	-3.84476	-12.30200	1.13763
1.500.00	-26.87973	-35.44346	-5.03245	4.500 00	-3.57110	-12.05361	1.11588
1.600 00	-24.67400	-32.95095	-3.777 94	4.60000	-3.30880	-11.81554	1.09368
1,700 00	-22.74196	-30.81858	-2.76728	4.70000	-3.05716	-11.58714	1.07117
1.80000	-21.03386	-28.97408	-1.95133	4.80000	-2.81552	-11.36778	1.04848
1.90000	-19.51143	-27.36273	-1.29170	4.900 00	-2.58331	-11.15691	1.02571
2,00000	-18.14476	-25.94246	-0.75811	5 000 00	9.959.07	10.054.00	1 000 05
2.100 00	-16.91014	-24.68053	-0.32655	5.00000	-2.33997	-10.954 03	1,002 95
2.200 00	-15.78854	-23.55114	0.02215	6.00000	-0.51974	-9.276.89	0,78616
2.300 00	-14.76448	-22.53370	0.30336	7.00000	0.81368	-8.05014	0,600.02
2.40000	-13.82525	-21.61168	0.52945	8.00000	1,824.01	-7.10876	0,44367
2.50000	-12.96031	-20.77160	0.71044	9.000 00	2,61713	-6.360.62	0.31147
2,600 00	-12.16083	-20.00243	0.85445	10,00000	3,254.66	-5.74988	0,19815
2,700 00	-11,41938	-19,295 03	0,96809	11.000.00	3.77810	-5.24057	0.09912
2,80000	-10.72962	-18,641 80	1.056 77	12.000.00	4.21506	-4.80834	0.01001
2,900 00	-10,08615	-18,03635	1.124 91	13.000.00	4.584.88	-4.435 98	-0.073 84
				14.00000	4.90146	-4.11087	-0.15758
3.00000	-9.48429	-17.47327	1.17613	15,00000	5.17509	-3.82338	-0.24677

TABLE II. Second virial coefficient and related quantities for two-dimensional He⁴ using the Beck potential.

occurs at a separation which is 0.1 Å larger.

The calculation of the second virial coefficient for the two-dimensional systems was carried out in a manner essentially identical to that described in Ref. 1. The sole difference is that quantities were calculated only for temperatures less than 15 K so that, to obtain the same estimated accuracy as in Ref. 1, phase shifts needed to be calculated only for momenta K up to a value of $10/\sigma$, where σ is the Lennard-Jones range parameter. Our results for B, $\beta dB/d\beta$, and $\beta^2 d^2 B/d\beta^2$ are given in Tables I and II. In Fig. 3 are shown the differences $B_{LI} - B_{Beck}$, $\beta^2 d^2 (B_{LI} - B_{Beck})/d\beta^2$ for He^3 and He^4 , which are seen to be extremely small in the temperature range of interest. A comparison of Figs. 2 and 3 indicates that the difference in the calculated specific heats arising from the use of the Beck potential rather than the Lennard-Jones is much smaller than the discrepancy between the measured He⁴ specific heats and that calculated in Ref. 1 with the Lennard-Jones interaction. Thus the discrepancy cannot be attributed to the use of that potential, but is rather al-



FIG. 3. Shown here are the differences between the calculated second virial coefficients using the Lennard-Jones and Beck potentials for adsorbed He³ and He⁴. Also shown is the second derivative of this difference with respect to inverse temperature.

most certainly due to the presence of the substrate.

There are many ways in which the presence of the substrate is made manifest in a calculation of the specific heat. First, there are effects which are simply due to the presence of the periodic substrate potential. This potential causes the first density-independent terms in the virial expansion of the specific heat to differ from unity by an amount which decreases at high temperatures; that is,

$$C/Nk = 1 + f(T) - n\beta^2 \frac{d^2B}{d\beta^2} + \cdots,$$

with f(T) approaching zero as T increases without limit. Such a correction is evidently not large, however, for if it were, the experimental data plotted as $(C/Nk-1)n^{-1}$ would not fall on a universal curve. The presence of the periodic potential also causes a change in the second virial coefficient by destroying the translational invariance assumed

in Ref. 1. However, the fact that the substrate potential has such a small effect on the singleparticle spectrum² indicates that this effect is also likely to be small. It is our belief that the more important substrate effects are those which alter the interaction of two adsorbed atoms from that which exists between them in free space. This belief is strengthened by the fact that the discrepancy between the calculations of Ref. 1 and the experimental data is greater for the He⁴, which is somewhat more tightly bound to the substrate, than for the He³. The interaction between adsorbed atoms can be altered, inter alia, by the polarization of the atoms due to the substrate⁴ and by exchange of virtual substrate phonons.⁵ It is hoped that an investigation of the small discrepancy which now exists between the measured and calculated specific heat of adsorbed monolayers can be used to elucidate the effective interaction between adsorbed atoms and the mechanisms by which this interaction is produced.

*Supported in part by the National Science Foundation. †Present address: Department of Physics, United States

Naval Academy, Annapolis, Md. 21402.

- ¹R. L. Siddon and M. Schick, Phys. Rev. A <u>9</u>, 907 (1974).
- ²D. E. Hagen, A. D. Novaco, and F. J. Milford, in Proceedings of the Symposium on Adsorption—Desorp-

tion Phenomena, Florence, Italy, April 1971 (Academic, New York, 1972).

- ³D. E. Beck, Mol. Phys. <u>14</u>, 311 (1969).
- ⁴O. Sinanoglu and K. S. Pitzer, J. Chem. Phys. <u>32</u>, 1279 (1960).
- ⁵M. Schick and C. E. Campbell, Phys. Rev. A <u>2</u>, 1591 (1970).

1756