## Autodetaching state of F<sup>-</sup> and autoionizing states of F<sup> $\dagger$ </sup>

A. K. Edwards and D. L. Cunningham

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602 (Received 1 October 1973)

The collisional excitation method is used to excite an autodetaching state of  $F^-$  and several autoionizing states of neutral F. The  $F^-$  state lies at  $14.85 \pm 0.04$  eV above the neutral-fluorine ground state and has as its dominant configuration  $2p^4({}^{1}D)3s^{2}{}^{1}D$ . The observed autoionizing states of fluorine have configurations  $({}^{1}D)ml'$  and  $({}^{1}S)ml''$ .

In two recent papers<sup>1, 2</sup> we reported measurements on autodetaching states of  $O^-$  and  $Cl^-$  and autoionizing states of O. The same apparatus and procedure has been used to observe the autoionizing states of neutral fluorine and an autodetaching state of  $F^-$ .

An  $F^-$  ion beam is extracted from a duoplasmatron, accelerated, momentum analyzed by a magnet, and focussed into a differentially pumped chamber containing helium. The electrons ejected from the region of the  $F^-$  on He collisions are energy analyzed to obtain an electron spectrum. The electron spectrum consists of a smoothly varying background due to electrons stripped from the ion beam plus peaks due to electrons ejected from autodetaching states excited in the collisions. Such a peak appears in the spectrum shown in Fig. 1 corresponding to an  $F^-$  autodetaching transition at 14.85  $\pm$  0.04 eV. Calculations by Matese *et al.*<sup>3</sup>



FIG. 1. Electron spectrum produced by collisions of 4-keV F<sup>-</sup> on He. The doublet structure is due to the splitting of the neutral fluorine  ${}^{2}P_{3/2,1/2}$  ground-state term. Each channel corresponds to approximately 4 meV.



FIG. 2. Electron spectrum produced by collisions of 5-keV neutral F on He.  $E_{obs}$  is the transition energy measured in the laboratory frame and  $E_e$  is the transition energy in the frame of the neutral-fluorine atom.

1012

Fluorine level	Huffman <i>et al.</i> <sup>a</sup> (eV)	Present work (eV) ±0.05 eV
5 <i>s'</i>	19.035	19.02
6 <i>s'</i>	19.404	19.42
( <sup>1</sup> D) 4 <i>p</i> ′		18.66
5 <i>p'</i>		Unresolved
6 <i>p'</i>		19.50
$(^{1}D) \ 3 \ d'$	18.466	18.48
	18.489	
4 <i>d</i> ′	19.145	Unresolved
	19,155	
$({}^{1}S) 4 s'' {}^{2}S$		20.88
5 <i>s"</i>		Unresolved
6 <i>s"</i>		22.37
( <sup>1</sup> S) 3 <i>p</i> " <sup>2</sup> P		20.11
4p"		21,67
$({}^{1}S) 3 d'' {}^{2}D$		21.50

TABLE I. Autoionizing levels of fluorine. The energies are measured with respect to the  ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$  ground state

<sup>a</sup> Reference 4.

- <sup>†</sup>Work supported in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the Research Corporation.
- <sup>1</sup>A. K. Edwards and D. L. Cunningham, Phys. Rev. A <u>8</u>, 168 (1973).
- <sup>2</sup>D. L. Cunningham and A. K. Edwards, Phys. Rev. A <u>8</u>, 2960 (1973).

predict the  $2p^4({}^1D)3s^2 {}^1D F^-$  state to be at 14.85 eV. The structure in the peak is due to the fluorine  ${}^2P$ ground-state splitting of 0.05 eV. More structure in the electron spectrum occurs about  $\frac{1}{2}$  eV lower in energy; however, its position is too uncertain to attempt identification with another  $F^-$  state.

In order to observe the autoionizing states of neutral fluorine, a stripping cell is placed directly in front of the collision chamber to form a beam of F atoms. The electron spectrum from collisions of neutral F with helium is measured. Figure 2 shows a typical spectrum. The energy scale is calibrated using the  $(^{1}D)3d'$  state as measured by Huffman and co-workers<sup>4</sup> in a photoabsorption experiment. They were able to resolve two terms for this configuration. Our resolution was not good enough to separate the terms, so a mean value for the two was used. For the states with the configuration  $({}^{1}D)nl'$  the transition was into the  $F^{+}({}^{3}P)$ continuum, and for the  $({}^{1}S)nl''$  configurations it was into the  $F^+({}^1D)$  continuum. The energies of the autoionizing levels are listed in Table I.

- <sup>3</sup>John J. Matese, Steven P. Rountree, and Ronald J. W. Henry (unpublished). We thank Dr. Henry for the results of these calculations prior to publication.
- <sup>4</sup>R. E. Huffman (private communcation). We are indebted to Dr. Huffman for communicating these results to us prior to publication.