Spin-glass-like behavior in the spin turbulence of spinor Bose-Einstein condensates

Makoto Tsubota,^{1,2} Yusuke Aoki,¹ and Kazuya Fujimoto¹

¹*Department of Physics, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan*

²*The OCU Advanced Research Institute for Natural Science and Technology (OCARINA), Osaka City University, Sumiyoshi-ku,*

Osaka 558-8585, Japan

(Received 16 April 2013; revised manuscript received 28 August 2013; published 6 December 2013)

We study numerically the spin turbulence (ST) in spin-1 ferromagnetic spinor Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs). ST is characterized by a −7*/*3 power law in the spectrum of the spin-dependent interaction energy. The direction of the spin density vector is spatially disordered but temporally frozen in ST, showing an analogy with the spin-glass state. Thus, we introduce the order parameter of spin glass into ST in spinor BECs. When ST develops through some instability, the order parameter grows with a −7*/*3 power law, thus succeeding in describing ST well.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.88.061601](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.061601) PACS number(s): 03*.*75*.*Mn, 67*.*85*.*De, 47*.*37*.*+q

Turbulence has been a great mystery in nature [\[1\]](#page-3-0) mainly because it is a complicated dynamic phenomenon with strong nonlinearity far from an equilibrium state. When we consider such complicated phenomena, it is important to focus on statistical laws. The most important statistical law in turbulence is the Kolmogorov −5*/*3 law. The Kolmogorov spectrum is confirmed in fully developed turbulence in classical fluids, being the smoking gun of turbulence.

Apart from these studies in classical fluid dynamics, there have been studies on quantum fluids such as superfluid helium and atomic Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs). A quantized vortex appears as a stable topological defect in quantum fluids. Such vortices give rise to quantum turbulence (QT) [\[2–4\]](#page-3-0). Quantum turbulence has long been studied in superfluid helium, whereas atomic BEC has only recently become an important research area.

An important interest regarding QT is how to characterize the turbulent state. Numerical simulation of the Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) model shows that the spectrum of imcompressible kinetic energy obeys the Kolmogorov −5*/*3 law in a uniform system [\[5,6\]](#page-3-0) and in a trapped system [\[7\]](#page-3-0). Confirmation of such an energy spectrum power law would certainly provide strong proof of turbulence. However, there must be a better way to characterize QT.

Spinor BECs have spin degrees of freedom and exhibit phenomena characteristic of spin [\[8\]](#page-3-0), which can be another novel stage of turbulence in quantum fluids. The hydrodynamics of spinor BECs has recently been studied by several authors [\[9–12\]](#page-3-0). In previous studies, we found that hydrodynamic instability in spin-1 spinor BECs exhibits unique behavior due to their spin degrees of freedom and form spin turbulence (ST) in which the spin density vector has various directions. Although the spin-dependent interaction can be ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic, we confine ourselves to the case of ferromagnetic interaction in this work. The first study addressed the counterflow between the $m = \pm 1$ components in a uniform system, where *m* is the magnetic quantum number. Through the instability, the counterflow leads to ST, in which the spectrum of the spin-dependent interaction energy obeys a $-7/3$ power law [\[13\]](#page-3-0); the $-7/3$ power law is understood by the scaling analysis of the time-development equation of the spin density vector. Such a spin-disordered state was experimentally created in a trapped system through the instability of the initial helical structure of spins [\[14\]](#page-3-0),

which allowed us to study ST numerically in a similar situation and confirm the −7*/*3 power law again [\[15\]](#page-3-0). An oscillating magnetic field applied to a uniform ferromagnetic system can also create ST with a $-7/3$ power law [\[16\]](#page-3-0).

These three works [\[13,15,16\]](#page-3-0) reveal the important characteristics of ST. First, the −7*/*3 power law is robust independently of whether the system is uniform or trapped, or how the system is excited. Second, observation of the spin motion in all three cases indicates that the spin density vectors become spatially random but temporally frozen, which reminds us of spin glass. Spin glasses are magnetic systems in which the interactions between the magnetic moments are in conflict with each other [\[17\]](#page-3-0). Thus, these systems have no long-range order but exhibit a freezing transition to a state with a kind of order in which the spins are aligned in random directions.

In this paper, we introduce the order parameter of spin glass [\[17,18\]](#page-3-0) to characterize ST in spinor BECs. The spinglass-like behavior is shown clearly in the movies of ST in the supplemental material (SM) [\[19\]](#page-3-0). Movie $(a.mpg)$ shows how the spins become random in the early period $t/\tau =$ 60–360 through the counterflow instability, where τ is the characteristic time in the uniform system [\[13\]](#page-3-0). Movie (b.mpg) in the late period $t/\tau = 4000-4300$ shows the behavior of spins after the −7*/*3 power law appears. We can find a clear difference between two movies; compared with movie (a.mpg), the random spins in movie (b.mpg) look to oscillate at each site rapidly with small amplitude. This introduction of the order parameter of spin glass is so successful that it grows with the setup of the −7*/*3 power law. This success paves the way for two innovative approaches. One is to propose a useful order parameter in the turbulence of quantum fluids. The other is to connect the study of spinor BECs with that of magnetism including spin glass.

We consider a spin-1 spinor BEC at zero temperature. The macroscopic wave functions ψ_m ($m = 1, 0, -1$) obey the GP equation [\[20,21\]](#page-3-0)

$$
i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_m = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 + V \right) \psi_m
$$

+
$$
\sum_{n=-1}^{1} \left[-g \mu_B (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{S}})_{mn} + q (\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{S}})_{mn}^2 \right] \psi_n
$$

+
$$
c_0 n \psi_m + c_1 \sum_{n=-1}^{1} s \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_{mn} \psi_n.
$$
 (1)

FIG. 1. (Color online) Distribution of spin density vectors in ST at $t/\tau = 4000$ obtained by the counterflow instability with $V_R/c_{\text{sound}} = 0.78$, where V_R , c_{sound} , and τ are the relative velocity of counterflow, the sound velocity, and the characteristic time in a uniform system [\[13\]](#page-3-0). The system size is $128\xi \times 128\xi$ with the coherence length *ξ* . This numerical calculation starts from the initial state of the counterflow between the $m = \pm 1$ components, which induces the spin modulation, finally leading to ST [\[13\]](#page-3-0).

Here, V and B are the trapping potential and magnetic field. The parameters M , g , μ_B , and q are the mass of a particle, the Landé *g* factor, the Bohr magneton, and a coefficient of the quadratic Zeeman effect, respectively. The total density *n* and the spin density vector s_i ($i = x, y, z$) are given by $n = \sum_{m=-1}^{1} |\psi_m|^2$ and $s_i = \sum_{m,n=-1}^{1} \psi_m^*(\hat{S}_i)_{mn} \psi_n$ with the spin-1 matrices $(\hat{S}_i)_{mn}$. The parameters c_0 and c_1 are the coefficients of the spin-independent and spin-dependent interactions. We focus on the spin-dependent interaction energy $E_{spin} = \frac{c_1}{2} \int s^2 d\mathbf{r}$, whose coefficient c_1 determines whether the system is ferromagnetic $(c_1 < 0)$ or antiferromagnetic $(c_1 > 0)$. We are interested in the ferromagnetic case in which ST clearly exhibits the −7*/*3 power law.

In this paper, we study the two-dimensional ST obtained by (i) the counterflow instability $[13]$, (ii) the instability of spin helical structure [\[15\]](#page-3-0), and (iii) an oscillating magnetic field [\[16\]](#page-3-0). All parameters of the numerical calculation of (i) and (ii) are the same as in the previous studies. In the case of (iii), we use a method different from the previous study $[16]$; the magnetic field is turned off after the formation of ST [\[22\]](#page-3-0). The parameters are different from the previous study too [\[23\]](#page-3-0).

Figure 1 shows a typical case of ST developing from the instability of the counterflow between the $m = \pm 1$ components [\[13\]](#page-3-0). As ST develops, the spectrum of the spin-dependent interaction energy begins to exhibit the −7*/*3 power law. Figure 2 shows that the −7*/*3 power law appears in all three cases we have studied: counterflow instability in a uniform system $[Fig. 2(a)] [13]$ $[Fig. 2(a)] [13]$, instability of the initial helical structure of the spin density vector in a trapped system [Fig. $2(b)$] [\[15,24\]](#page-3-0), and application of an oscillating magnetic field in a uniform ferromagnetic system [Fig. $2(c)$] [\[16\]](#page-3-0). All cases show that the spin density vector is spatially random but temporally frozen. Such a behavior of spins reminds us of the analogy with spin glass, which invites us to introduce the order parameter of spin glass.

The order parameter of spin glass is ordinarily introduced as follows: An equilibrium system is supposed to consist of *N* lattice sites, each site *i* having spin S_i ($i = 1, 2, ..., N$). The time average $\langle S_i(t) \rangle$ and the space average $[S_i(t)]$ are defined as

$$
\langle S_i(t) \rangle = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T S_i(t) dt, \quad [S_i(t)] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i S_i(t). \tag{2}
$$

Then it is possible to introduce two order parameters, namely the magnetization $M = [\langle S_i(t) \rangle]$ and $q = [\langle S_i(t) \rangle]^2$ [\[17,18\]](#page-3-0). If the system is paramagnetic, both *M* and *q* vanish. A ferromagnetic order gives nonzero *M* and *q*. When $M = 0$ but q is nonzero, the state is called spin glass $[18]$, and the directions of spin are spatially random but temporally frozen.

To apply the order parameter to our case, we have to consider two things. First, we address the spin density vector $s(r,t)$ instead of $S_i(t)$. Our spinor BECs are usually trapped so that the amplitude of the spin density vector is not uniform. To extract this effect and focus on the direction of the spin density vector, we define the order parameter with the unit vector $\hat{s}(r,t) = s(r,t)/|s(r,t)|$. The definition of the space average of Eq. (2) is replaced by

$$
[\hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{r},t)] = \frac{1}{A} \int_{A} \hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{r},t) d\mathbf{r}
$$
 (3)

FIG. 2. (Color online) Spectrum of the spin-dependent interaction energy in three cases: (a) counterflow instability in a uniform system, (b) instability of the initial helical structure of the spin density vector in a trapped system, and (c) application of an oscillating magnetic field in a uniform ferromagnetic system. Each spectrum is calculated at (a) $t/\tau = 4000$, (b) $t\omega = 200$, and (c) $t/\tau = 9000$, when ST is fully developed. In the case of (c), the magnetic field is tuned off at $t/\tau = 5000$. The parameters ω , a_h , R_{TF} , n_0 , ξ , and *L* are, respectively, the trapping frequency, the harmonic oscillator length, the Thomas-Fermi radius, the total density in the uniform system, the coherence length, and the system size. The details of these parameters are described in [\[13,15,23\]](#page-3-0), respectively. The blue broken lines show the −7*/*3 power law. The spectrum in all cases exhibits the −7/3 power law in the region $[(a) 2π/L < k < 2π/ξ_s, (b) 2π/R_{TF} < k < 2π/ξ_s, (c) 2π/L < k < 2π/ξ_s]$ [\[25\]](#page-3-0), where $ξ_s$ is the spin coherence length.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Time development of the exponent n_p and the spin-glass order parameter $\sqrt{q(t)}$ for (a) the counterflow instability in a uniform system, (b) the instability of the initial helical structure of the spin density vector in a trapped system, and (c) the application of the oscillating magnetic field in a uniform ferromagnetic system. In (b), the squares and triangles show that the calculation of \sqrt{q} is performed in the regions $r < R_{\text{TF}}/2$ and $r < R_{\text{TF}}$, respectively. The exponents n_p in (a)–(c) are evaluated by the least-squares method in the region [(a) $4\pi/L < k < 2\pi/\xi_s$, (b) $2\pi/R_{\text{TF}} < k < 2\pi/\xi_s$, (c) $4\pi/L < k < 2\pi/\xi_s$]. Then, in the uniform systems of (a) and (b), we omit the point $2\pi/L$, where the spectrum can be affected by the finite-size effect. The insets of (a) and (b) are the enlarged graphs of n_p .

with the system area *A*. Second, the system starts from some initial state to develop ST, which should be characterized by the time-dependent order parameter. Thus, we introduce the time average of $\hat{s}(r,t)$ during the period $[t, t + T]$ as

$$
\langle \hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} \hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{r},t_1) dt_1 \tag{4}
$$

and we define the time-dependent order parameter

$$
q(t) = \left[\langle \hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle_T^2 \right]. \tag{5}
$$

When we calculate $q(t)$, we should be careful how to take T . Generally, a longer T is desirable, but in reality, we should estimate $q(t)$ with some finite *T*. The criterion for the appropriate value of T would be that T should be longer than the characteristic time of the system. In this system, the velocity characteristic of spin is given by $c_s = \sqrt{|c_1|n/M}$ [\[26\]](#page-3-0). Then, a typical characteristic time is the system size *L* divided by the velocity *cs*. We will show in the following that this time L/c_s is so long that the system in the initial state becomes sufficiently disturbed by the time. Thus, we take *T* comparable to L/c_s . In ST, the order parameter $q(t)$ decreases with T, but the dependence is weak. If the spin density vector is completely frozen in ST, *q*(*t*) should be unity.

We show how $q(t)$ grows toward ST with a $-7/3$ power law of the spin-dependent interaction energy. Figure 3 shows the time dependence of the power exponent of the spectrum of the spin-dependent interaction energy obtained by the least-squares method and $q(t)$ for the cases of Figs. [2\(a\)–2\(c\).](#page-1-0) Figures $3(a)$ and $3(b)$ show that $q(t)$ increases obviously as the exponent n_p approaches $-\frac{7}{3}$. Furthermore, the magnetiza- $\text{tion } |\mathbf{m}(t)|=|[\langle \hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle_T]|$ is much smaller than $\sqrt{q(t)}$ because $[s(r, t)]$ is conserved to keep vanishing under Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0) without the magnetic field. On the other hand, in the case of Fig. 3(c), the state at $t/\tau = 5000$ is much disturbed [\[22\]](#page-3-0), so that the power exponent n_p is already close to $-\frac{7}{3}$. As the time passes, the exponent approaches $-7/3$ and $q(t)$ grows too. Also, the magnetization $|\mathbf{m}(t)|$ is almost zero at $t/\tau = 5000$. Thus, the two order parameters $q(t)$ and $|m(t)|$ are found to be effective for describing the ST in the three cases.

Only the case (b) is affected by the inhomogeneity. Because the system is trapped, the amplitude of the spin density vector is reduced going from the center to the boundary. The low condensate density near the boundary induces a large fluctuation of the condensate phase, thus causing $s(r,t)$ to fluctuate temporally. As a result, the space average of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) depends on the area A of the integral. Figure $3(b)$ shows two cases for which the radius of *A* is $R_{\text{TF}}/2$ and R_{TF} , with the Thomas-Fermi radius being R_{TF} . The larger value of $q(t)$ for *R*TF*/*2 means that the central spins are more likely to be frozen.

We note that the time $T = L/c_s$ is so long that the system becomes disturbed enough, which is found by the time development of n_p . The time *T* is (a) 572 τ , (b) 40/ ω , and (c) 572τ , respectively. We find that n_p rapidly changes in the period $0 < t < T$, which means that the distribution of the spin density vector in the wave-number space changes rapidly too. Thus, in this period, the spin density vector temporally can point in various directions. Therefore, the time L/c_s is appropriate for the calculation of the spin-glass order parameter $q(t)$.

We do not know the origin of the spin-glass-like behavior currently. Of course, our system of spinor BECs is much different from a magnetic system yielding spin glass, and the spin turbulence is not spin glass. One possible mechanism causing the spin-glass-like behavior may be related with the growth of large-scale spin structures, which is reported for twocomponent BECs [\[27\]](#page-3-0) and spin-1 ferromagnetic spinor BECs under magnetic fields [\[28\]](#page-3-0). We will report this issue shortly.

In summary, we have studied numerically ST in spin-1 spinor BECs. The spectrum of the spin-dependent interaction energy is found to exhibit a −7*/*3 power law independently of the details of the system or how the ST is created. The direction of the spin density vector is spatially disordered but temporally frozen in ST, which shows an analogy with the spin-glass state. Thus we introduced the order parameter of the spin glass into ST in spinor BECs. The order parameter $q(t)$ grows with a −7*/*3 power law, well describing ST. These behaviors should be accessible experimentally. Some unsolved problems of ST are described in SM [\[19\]](#page-3-0).

We thank Shin-ichi Sasa for useful discussions.

- [1] P. A. Davidson, *Turbulence: An Introduction for Scientists and Engineers* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004).
- [2] *Progress in Low Temperature Physics*, edited by W. P. Halperin and M. Tsubota (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2009), Vol. XVI.
- [3] M. Tsubota, K. Kasamatsu, and M. Kobayashi, in *Novel Superfluids*, edited by K. H. Bennemann and J. B. Ketterson (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2013), Vol. 1, p. 156.
- [4] L. Skrbek and K. R. Sreenivasan, [Phys. Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3678335) **24**, 011301 [\(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3678335)
- [5] C. Nore, M. Abid, and M. E. Brachet, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.3896) **78**, 3896 [\(1997\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.3896) Phys. Fluids **9**[, 2644 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.869473)
- [6] M. Kobayashi and M. Tsubota, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.065302) **94**, 065302 [\(2005\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.065302) [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.3248) **74**, 3248 (2005).
- [7] M. Kobayashi and M. Tsubota, Phys. Rev. A **76**[, 045603 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.76.045603)
- [8] Y. Kawaguchi and M. Ueda, Phys. Rep. **520**[, 253 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.07.005)
- [9] A. Lamacraft, Phys. Rev. A **77**[, 063622 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.063622)
- [10] R. Barnett, D. Podolsky, and G. Refael, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.024420) **80**, 024420 [\(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.80.024420)
- [11] K. Kudo and Y. Kawaguchi, Phys. Rev. A **82**[, 053614 \(2010\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.053614) **84**[, 043607 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.043607)
- [12] E. Yukawa and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. A **86**[, 063614 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.063614)
- [13] K. Fujimoto and M. Tsubota, Phys. Rev. A **85**[, 033642 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.033642)
- [14] M. Vengalattore, S. R. Leslie, J. Guzman, and D. M. Stamper-Kurn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**[, 170403 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.170403)
- [15] K. Fujimoto and M. Tsubota, Phys. Rev. A **85**[, 053641 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.053641)
- [16] Y. Aoki and M. Tsubota, [J. Low Temp. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10909-012-0806-1) **171**, 382 (2013).
- [17] K. Binder and A. P. Young, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.58.801) **58**, 801 (1986).
- [18] D. Sherrington and S. Kirkpatrick, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1792) **35**, 1792 [\(1975\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1792)
- [19] See Supplemental Material at [http://link.aps.org/supplemental/](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.061601) [10.1103/PhysRevA.88.061601](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.061601) for the movie of the dynamics of the spin density vector and for some unsolved problems of ST.
- [20] T. Ohmi and K. Machida, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.67.1822) **67**, 1822 (1998).
- [21] T.-L. Ho, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.742) **81**, 742 (1998).
- [22] We apply an oscillating magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 \sin(\omega_M t)$ along the *x* direction with the frequency $\omega_M = 2\pi c_0 n_0 / 100\hbar$. After ST appears, we turn off the magnetic field at $t/\tau = 5000$ and investigate the time development of the system.
- [23] We transform Eq. (1) to the nondimensional equation by using the characteristic length $\hbar/\sqrt{2Mc_0n_0}$ and time \hbar/c_0n_0 . Here, $n_0 = N/L_xL_y$ is the initial total density, where *N*, L_x , and L_y are the total particle number and the system size in the *x* and *y* directions, respectively. The nondimensional system size $\tilde{L}_x \times \tilde{L}_y$ is 128 \times 128*,* which is discretized into 512 \times 512 bins. In our numerical calculation, the parameters $\tilde{L}_x \tilde{L}_y$, $c_1 \tilde{L}_x \tilde{L}_y/c_0$, $g\mu_B|\mathbf{B}_0|/c_0n_0$, and $q|\mathbf{B}_0|^2/c_0n_0$ are 128², 128²/20, 0*.*1, and 0*.*1, which are the coefficients of the spin-independent interaction, the spin-dependent interaction, the linear Zeeman, and the quadratic Zeeman terms in the nondimensional form of Eq. (1), respectively.
- [24] In the case of Fig. $2(b)$, the total density is not uniform, which leads to the deviation from the −7*/*3 power because the uniformity of the total density is assumed in the derivation of the $-7/3$ power law [13].
- [25] In the wave-number region higher than $2\pi/\xi_s$, the spectrum may reflect the structure of spin vortices and domain walls. In classical turbulence, the dissipation is important in the high wave-number region, so that ST may show the characteristic behavior in this region, which will be investigated in the future.
- [26] The velocity c_s is the spin coherence length $\hbar/\sqrt{|c_1|nM}$ divided by the time $\hbar/|c_1|n$. This time is characteristic of spin because $|c_1|$ *n* is the order of the spin interaction energy.
- [27] M. Karl, B. Nowak, and T. Gasenzer, Sci. Rep. **3**[, 2394 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep02394)
- [28] K. Kudo and Y. Kawaguchi, Phys. Rev. A **88**[, 013630 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.013630)