Scale breaking and fluid dynamics in a dilute two-dimensional Fermi gas

Clifford Chafin and Thomas Schäfer

Department of Physics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695, USA (Received 5 September 2013; published 28 October 2013)

We study two observables related to the anomalous breaking of scale invariance in a dilute two-dimensional Fermi gas, the frequency shift and damping rate of the monopole mode in a harmonic confinement potential. For this purpose we compute the speed of sound and the bulk viscosity of the two-dimensional gas in the high-temperature limit. We show that the anomaly in the speed of sound scales as $(2P - \rho c_s^2)/P \sim z/[\ln(T/E_B)]^2$ and that the bulk viscosity ζ scales as $\zeta/\eta \sim z^2/[\ln(T/E_B)]^6$. Here, *P* is the pressure, c_s^2 is the speed of sound, *η* is the shear viscosity, *z* is the fugacity, and E_B is the two-body binding energy. We show that our results are consistent with the experimental results of Vogt, Feld, Fröhlich, Pertot, Koschorreck, and Köhl [[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.070404) **108**[, 070404 \(2012\)\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.070404). Vogt *et al.* reported a frequency shift *δω/ω* of the order of a few percent and a damping rate smaller than the background rate $\Gamma/\omega_0 \sim 5\%$.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.88.043636](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.043636) PACS number(s): 03*.*75*.*Ss, 67*.*85*.*Lm, 05*.*60*.*Gg

I. INTRODUCTION

Scale invariant or nearly scale invariant fluids play a role in many areas of physics. Examples include the threedimensional Fermi gas at unitarity, the quark gluon plasma at very high temperature, and a number of fluids that can be described in terms of holographic dualities [\[1,2\]](#page-6-0). A very interesting example is provided by a two-dimensional gas of fermions interacting via a zero range interaction. This system is scale invariant at the classical level, but scale invariance is broken in the quantum theory. The quantum mechanical scattering amplitude depends logarithmically on a scale, which we can take to be the binding energy E_B of the two-body bound state. This is analogous to what happens in QCD in three dimensions. QCD is classically scale invariant, but at the quantum level scale invariance is broken and the coupling depends logarithmically on the QCD scale parameter.

Two properties related to the breaking of scale invariance were recently studied by Vogt *et al.* [\[3\]](#page-6-0). The first is the frequency of the monopole mode in a harmonically trapped gas. One can show that in a scale invariant gas this mode has frequency $2\omega_0$, where ω_0 is the frequency of the harmonic confinement potential [\[4\]](#page-6-0). Deviations from this value provide a measure of scale breaking [\[5,6\]](#page-6-0). Vogt *et al.* [\[3\]](#page-6-0) found that these deviations are small, on the order of a few percent, for the entire range of parameters studied in their experiment. The second observable is the damping of the monopole mode. In a scale invariant fluid the monopole mode is undamped [\[7\]](#page-6-0). The experiments find the that the damping is too small to be reliably measured, although one has to keep in mind that the background damping rate is sizable, $\Gamma \simeq 0.05\omega_0$.

In this work we present a rigorous calculation of the frequency shift and the damping rate of the monopole mode in the high-temperature limit. Our calculation is based on the virial expansion for thermodynamic properties and on kinetic theory for nonequilibrium effects. We show that the results are in agreement with the measured frequency shift and consistent with the failure of the experiment to observe a nonzero damping rate. We note that the experimental data were taken for $T/T_F \sim 0.4$ and a range of values of $\ln(T_F/E_B)$. In the vicinity of the BCS-BEC crossover, corresponding to ln(T_F/E_B) ~ 0, it is not clear that a high-temperature

calculation is quantitatively reliable. Ultimately comparison between theory and experiment will determine in what range of T/T_F the kinetic theory description is applicable. In the case of the three-dimensional Fermi gas at unitarity there is some evidence that kinetic theory is reliable for $T \gtrsim 0.4T_F$ (see, for example, Ref. [\[8\]](#page-6-0)).

Our study builds on earlier work that relates the frequency shift of the monopole mode to scale breaking in the speed of sound [\[5\]](#page-6-0) and on our own work on bulk viscosity in the three-dimensional Fermi gas [\[9\]](#page-6-0). In the latter work we showed that the bulk viscosity of the three-dimensional Fermi gas near unitarity scales as $\zeta \sim [(\Delta P)/P]^2 \eta$, where $\Delta P = P - \frac{2}{d} \mathcal{E}$ is the scale breaking part of the pressure and η is the shear viscosity. Here, d is the number of spatial dimensions and $\mathcal E$ is the energy density. We show that the bulk viscosity of the two-dimensional gas in the limit $T \gg E_B$ is even smaller than this estimate suggests. We find that ζ is suppressed by two additional powers of $\ln(T/E_B)$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce a diagrammatic approach to the virial expansion. We also apply this method to the calculation of the quasiparticle energy. In Sec. [III](#page-2-0) we compute the frequency of the monopole mode. In Sec. [IV](#page-3-0) we describe a calculation of the bulk viscosity in kinetic theory. We use the result to compute the damping of the monopole mode. We present an outlook in Sec. [V.](#page-5-0)

II. EQUILIBRIUM AND QUASIPARTICLE PROPERTIES

A. Two-body interaction

A dilute gas of nonrelativistic spin-1*/*2 fermions can be described by the effective Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L} = \psi^{\dagger} \left(i \partial_0 + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} \right) \psi - \frac{C_0}{2} (\psi^{\dagger} \psi)^2, \tag{1}
$$

where m is the mass of the fermion and C_0 is the coupling constant. The scattering amplitude in the spin singlet channel is

$$
\mathcal{A}(E) = \frac{1}{C_0^{-1} - \Pi(E)},
$$
\n(2)

CLIFFORD CHAFIN AND THOMAS SCHÄFER **PHYSICAL REVIEW A 88**, 043636 (2013)

where $\Pi(E)$ is given by

$$
\Pi(E) = \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{E - \frac{q^2}{m} + i\epsilon} \,. \tag{3}
$$

This can be compared to the general structure of the *s*-wave scattering amplitude in two dimensions [\[10\]](#page-6-0)

$$
\mathcal{A}(E) = \frac{4\pi}{m} \frac{1}{-\pi \cot \delta(E) + i\pi},\tag{4}
$$

where $\delta(E)$ is the *s*-wave scattering phase shift. We conclude that cot $\delta(E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \ln(\frac{E}{E_B})$, where E_B is the two-body binding energy. We also define the scattering length *a* by $E_B = 1/$ (ma^2) . The relation between C_0 and E_B depends on the regularization scheme. In cutoff regularization we find

$$
\frac{1}{C_0(\Lambda)} = \frac{m}{4\pi} \ln\left(\frac{mE_B}{\Lambda^2}\right).
$$
 (5)

B. Thermodynamic potential

In order to compute the thermodynamic potential it is useful to apply a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation to the effective Lagrangian. Introducing a complex difermion field Φ we can write

$$
\mathcal{L} = \psi^{\dagger} \left(i \partial_t + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} \right) \psi + \left[(\psi \sigma_+ \psi) \Phi + \text{H.c.} \right] + \frac{1}{C_0} |\Phi|^2,
$$
\n(6)

where σ_{+} is the Pauli spin raising matrix. The integration over the fermion fields is Gaussian. We obtain an effective action for the bosonic field Φ ,

$$
S = -\text{Tr}\{\ln(G^{-1}[\Phi, \Phi^*])\} + \int d^4x \, \frac{1}{C_0} |\Phi|^2 \,,\tag{7}
$$

where G^{-1} is a 2 × 2 matrix,

$$
G^{-1}[\Phi, \Phi^*] = \begin{pmatrix} i\partial_t + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} & \Phi^* \\ \Phi & i\partial_t - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{8}
$$

The thermodynamic potential Ω is computed using the Matsubara formalism. We continue the fields to imaginary time *τ* and impose periodic (antiperiodic) boundary conditions on the bosonic (fermionic) fields. We also introduce a chemical potential for ψ . We evaluate the partition function by expanding the logarithm in powers of Φ . The leading term is the free fermion loop,

$$
\Omega_1 = \frac{\nu z T}{\lambda^2} \bigg[1 - \frac{z}{2} + O(z^2) \bigg],\tag{9}
$$

where $v = 2$ is the number of degrees of freedom, $z =$ $\exp(\mu/T)$ is the fugacity, and $\lambda = [(2\pi)/(mT)]^{1/2}$ is the thermal wavelength. Terms of order z^2 and higher arise from quantum statistics. The complete $O(z^2)$ result includes quadratic fluctuations in Φ . We find

$$
\Omega_2 = T \sum_n \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \ln[\mathcal{D}^{-1}(i\omega_n, q)],\tag{10}
$$

where $\omega_n = 2\pi nT$ are bosonic Matsubara frequencies and $\mathcal{D}^{-1}(\omega_n,q)$ is the one-loop difermion polarization function

$$
\mathcal{D}^{-1}(i\omega_n, q) = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ \frac{1 - f_k - f_{k+q}}{i\omega_n - \xi_k - \xi_{k+q}} - \frac{1}{E_B - 2\epsilon_k} \right\}.
$$
\n(11)

Here, $f_k = [\exp(\beta \xi_k) + 1]^{-1}$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution, $\xi_k = \epsilon_k - \mu$, and $\epsilon_k = k^2/(2m)$. In order to deriving Eq. (11) we have used the relation between C_0 and E_B given in Eq. (5). The sum over Matsubara frequencies in Eq. (10) can be performed using contour integration. The result can be expressed in terms of the discontinuity of $\mathcal{D}^{-1}(\omega, q)$ along the real axis in the complex frequency plane. We obtain

$$
\Omega_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \, \text{disc}[\ln \mathcal{D}^{-1}(\omega + i\epsilon, k)] f_{\text{BE}}(\omega),\tag{12}
$$

where $f_{BE}(\omega) = [\exp(\beta \omega) - 1]^{-1}$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution function. In order to compute Ω_2 at second order in fugacity *z* we need to evaluate $\mathcal{D}^{-1}(\omega,k)$ to zeroth order in *z*. We get

$$
\mathcal{D}^{-1}(\omega,k) = \frac{m}{4\pi} \ln \left(-\frac{\omega - \frac{\epsilon_k}{2} + 2\mu}{E_B} \right). \tag{13}
$$

The simplest strategy to compute the integral over ω and k is to compute $n = (\partial \Omega)/(\partial \mu)$ and then integrate over μ . The result can be used to extract the interaction part of the second virial coefficient. We find

$$
\delta b_2 = e^{\beta E_B} - 2 \int \frac{dk}{k} \frac{e^{-2\beta \epsilon_k}}{[\ln(a^2 k^2)]^2 + \pi^2},
$$
 (14)

with $\beta = 1/T$. The result agrees with the expectation from the standard Beth-Uhlenbeck expression for the second virial coefficient in terms of the phase shift,

$$
\delta b_2 = e^{\beta E_B} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int dk \left(\frac{d\delta}{dk}\right) e^{-2\beta \epsilon_k}.
$$
 (15)

The integral can be computed in terms of a function called *ν*(*x*) in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-6-0). We find

$$
\delta b_2(T) = v(\beta E_B), \quad v(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^t \, dt}{\Gamma(t+1)}.
$$
 (16)

For small *x* the function $v(x)$ can be expanded in inverse powers of $ln(1/x)$ (see Appendix [B](#page-6-0) and Ref. [\[12\]](#page-6-0)). This expansion determines the virial coefficient in the limit $T \gg E_B$. We get

$$
\delta b_2(T) = \frac{1}{\ln(T/E_B)} + \frac{\gamma_E}{[\ln(T/E_B)]^2} + \cdots, \qquad (17)
$$

where γ_E is Euler's constant. We note that the virial expansion in two dimensions was previously studied in Ref. [\[13\]](#page-6-0). Since this work was submitted for publication, additional investigations have appeared in Refs. [\[14,15\]](#page-6-0).

C. Quasiparticle properties

We can construct a systematic expansion for the twodimensional gas in the dilute limit by writing the Lagrangian in terms of fermion and boson degrees of freedom. For this purpose we write the Lagrangian as the sum of free and interacting terms, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1$, with

$$
\mathcal{L}_0 = \psi^{\dagger} \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\omega, p)\psi + \Phi^* \mathcal{D}^{-1}(\omega, p)\Phi,
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{L}_1 = [(\psi \sigma_+ \psi)\Phi + \text{H.c.}] + \Phi^* [C_0^{-1} - \mathcal{D}^{-1}(\omega, p)] \Phi.
$$
\n(18)

Here, $S(\omega, p) = [\omega - \xi_p]^{-1}$ is the fermion propagator and $\mathcal{D}(\omega, p)$ is the boson propagator given in Eq. [\(13\).](#page-1-0) The bosonic term in the interaction serves as a counterterm that removes fermion loop insertions in the boson self-energy order by order in the expansion. The leading-order fermion self-energy is given by

$$
\Sigma(i\omega_m,k) = T \sum_{n} \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{D}(i\omega_n + i\omega_m, q+k) \mathcal{S}(i\omega_n, q).
$$
\n(19)

The Matsubara sum can be performed as in the previous section. The resulting contour integral receives contributions from the cut in the boson propagator and the pole in the fermion propagator. At leading order in the fugacity expansion we can neglect the cut contribution. In order to compute the quasiparticle self-energy we analytically continue the pole term to the on-shell point $i\omega_m = \xi_k$. The result can be determined analytically as an expansion in $[\ln(T/E_B)]^{-1}$. We get

$$
\operatorname{Re}\Sigma(k) = -\frac{2zT}{\ln(T/E_B)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\ln(T/E_B)} \left[-Ei \left(-\frac{\epsilon_k}{T} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{\epsilon_k}{2T} \right) \right] + \cdots \right\},\
$$

$$
\operatorname{Im}\Sigma(k) = -\frac{2\pi zT}{[\ln(T/E_B)]^2},\tag{20}
$$

where *Ei* is the exponential integral. The quasiparticle energy is $E_k = \epsilon_k + \text{Re}\Sigma(k)$ and the width is $\Gamma_K = -2\text{Im}\Sigma(k)$. We note that $\mathrm{Re}\Sigma$ is momentum independent at leading order in $[\ln(T/E_B)]^{-1}$, but develops momentum dependence at nextto-leading order. The imaginary part only appears at order $[\ln(T/E_B)]^{-2}$ and is momentum independent.

III. FREQUENCY SHIFT OF THE MONOPOLE MODE

We follow the work of Refs. [\[5,16,17\]](#page-6-0) and compute the frequency of the monopole mode using a variational method. The use of variational methods in hydrodynamics was pioneered in Refs. [\[18,19\]](#page-6-0). The Euler equations follow from the variational principle $\delta S = 0$, with $S = \int dt L$ and

$$
L = \int d^2 r \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho \vec{u}^2 - \mathcal{E}(\rho, \bar{s}) - \frac{\rho}{m} V_{ext}(r) -\phi \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{u} \rho) \right) - \xi \left(\frac{\partial \rho \bar{s}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{u} \rho \, \bar{s}) \right) \right].
$$
\n(21)

The hydrodynamic variables are the mass density *ρ*, the velocity \vec{u} , and the entropy per particle $\vec{s} = s/n$. Here, *s* is the entropy density and *n* is the particle density. The fields *φ* and *ξ* are Lagrange multipliers that enforce the continuity and entropy conservation equations. $\mathcal{E}(\rho,\bar{s})$ is the energy density and *V*ext is an external potential. We consider a harmonic,

rotationally invariant potential $V_{ext} = \frac{1}{2} m \omega_0 \vec{r}^2$. We also add Lagrange multipliers μ_0 and T_0 to allow for solutions with finite particle number and entropy,

$$
L' = L + \frac{1}{m} \int d^2 r (\rho \mu_0 + \rho \bar{s} T_0).
$$
 (22)

Varying *S'* with respect to the hydrodynamic variables and setting $\vec{u} = 0$ we get the hydrostatic equations

$$
\vec{\nabla}P = \frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho}\bigg|_{\vec{s}}\vec{\nabla}\rho + \frac{\partial P}{\partial \vec{s}}\bigg|_{\rho}\vec{\nabla}\vec{s} = -n\vec{\nabla}V_{\text{ext}},\qquad(23)
$$

$$
\vec{\nabla}T = \frac{\partial T}{\partial \rho}\bigg|_{\vec{s}}\vec{\nabla}\rho + \frac{\partial T}{\partial \vec{s}}\bigg|_{\rho}\vec{\nabla}\bar{s} = 0.
$$
 (24)

The partial derivatives are related by the Maxwell relation

$$
\rho \frac{\partial T}{\partial \rho}\bigg|_{\bar{s}} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \bar{s}}\bigg|_{\rho}.
$$
\n(25)

We denote the solution to the hydrostatic equations by ρ_0 and \bar{s}_0 . Small oscillations around this solution are governed by

$$
L_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2 r \left[\rho_0 \vec{u}^2 - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial \rho} \right)_{\vec{s}} (\delta \rho)^2 - 2\rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \rho} \right)_{\vec{s}} \delta \rho \delta \vec{s} - \rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \vec{s}} \right)_{\rho} (\delta \vec{s})^2 \right].
$$
 (26)

A variational ansatz for monopole vibrations is given by \vec{u} = $\vec{u}_0 e^{-i\omega t}$, with $\vec{u}_0 = \alpha \vec{r}$. This ansatz corresponds to an exact solution of the Euler equation in the scale invariant case. The continuity equation implies that

$$
\delta \rho = -\frac{i}{\omega} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho_0 \vec{u}_0), \quad \delta \bar{s} = -\frac{i}{\omega} \vec{u}_0 \cdot \vec{\nabla} (\bar{s}_0). \tag{27}
$$

The variational estimate for the mode frequency *ω* is obtained by setting L_2 to zero. Using the hydrostatic equations [Eqs. (23) and (24)] and the Maxwell relation [Eq. (25)] we find $[5]$

$$
\frac{\omega^2}{4\omega_0^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \bigg[\int d^2 r \gamma_2(r) \bigg] / \bigg[\int d^2 r n_0(r) V_{\text{ext}}(r) \bigg], \quad (28)
$$

with $\gamma_2 = 2P - \rho c_s^2$, where c_s^2 is the speed of sound. This result has a simple physical interpretation: The deviation of the monopole frequency from the value $\omega = 2\omega_0$ in a scale invariant theory [\[4\]](#page-6-0) is governed by the trap average of the difference between the actual speed of sound and the sound speed $c_{s,0}^2 = 2P/\rho$ in a scale invariant gas.

In the dilute limit we can compute γ_2 using the virial equation of state. We find

$$
\gamma_2 = \frac{m z^2 E_B^2}{\pi} \nu'' \bigg(\frac{E_B}{T} \bigg). \tag{29}
$$

We perform the trap average using the density profile in the high-temperature limit,

$$
n_0(x) = \frac{mT}{2\pi} \left(\frac{T_F}{T}\right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{m\omega_0^2 r^2}{2T}\right),\tag{30}
$$

where $T_F = \sqrt{N}\omega_0$ is the Fermi temperature of the trap. We can now compute the frequency shift in the dilute limit:

$$
\frac{\omega^2}{4\omega_0^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{8} \frac{T_F^2 E_B^2}{T^4} v'' \left(\frac{E_B}{T}\right).
$$
 (31)

FIG. 1. (Color online) Frequency shift relative to the prediction $\omega = 2\omega_0$ for a scale invariant fluid of the collective monopole mode in a two-dimensional Fermi gas. The frequency shift is shown as a function of $\ln(k_F a)$ for $T/T_F = 0.42$. The solid line is based on the virial expansion, the dashed line shows the result at leading order in $1/[\ln(T/E_B)]$, and the data are taken from Fig. 1(c) in Ref. [\[3\]](#page-6-0).

The result is shown in Fig. 1. We observe that the frequency shift is in agreement with the data for $\ln(k_F a) \gtrsim 1.75$. For smaller values of $\ln(k_F a)$ the contribution of the bound state is large and the virial expansion breaks down. In Fig. 1 we also show the contribution of the leading $[\ln(T/E_B)]^{-1}$ term in the second virial coefficient. We observe that the leading term dominates for $ln(k_F a) \gtrsim 2$.

IV. BULK VISCOSITY AND THE DAMPING OF THE MONOPOLE MODE

A. Chapman-Enskog expansion

In the context of hydrodynamics the damping of the monopole mode is determined by the bulk viscosity of the two-dimensional Fermi gas. In this section we use kinetic theory and the Chapman-Enskog expansion to compute the bulk viscosity. An analogous calculation of the shear viscosity and the damping of the quadrupole mode can be found in Refs. [\[20,21\]](#page-6-0) (see also Refs. [\[22–24\]](#page-6-0)).

In kinetic theory we write the stress tensor of the gas in terms of the quasiparticle distribution function $f_p(\vec{x},t)$. We assume that the gas is spin symmetric so that $f_p^{\uparrow} = f_p^{\downarrow} \equiv f_p$. Close to equilibrium we can write

$$
f_p(\vec{x},t) = f_p^0(\vec{x},t) + \delta f_p(\vec{x},t) = f_p^0(\vec{x},t) \left(1 - \frac{\psi_p}{T}\right), \quad (32)
$$

where $f_p^0(\vec{x},t)$ is the local equilibrium distribution in a fluid with local velocity $\vec{u}(\vec{x},t)$, temperature $T(\vec{x},t)$, and chemical potential $\mu(\vec{x},t)$. In the case of a fluid undergoing a scaling expansion the off-equilibrium factor has the form $\psi_p =$ $\chi^{B}(\vec{p})\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{u}$. The off-equilibrium distribution δf_{p} induced by the bulk stress $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u}$ is determined by the Boltzmann equation:

$$
Df_p \equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_p \cdot \vec{\nabla}_x + \vec{F} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_p\right) f_p(\vec{x}, t) = C[f_p]. \tag{33}
$$

Here, $\vec{v}_p = \vec{\nabla}_p E_p$ is the quasiparticle velocity, $\vec{F} = -\vec{\nabla}_x E_p$ is the force term, and $C[f_p]$ is the collision term. Using the methods described in Ref. [\[9\]](#page-6-0) the left-hand side of the Boltzmann equation can be written as

T

$$
\frac{T}{f_0}Df_0 = \left\{ \frac{\alpha \rho c_T^2}{c_V} h - mc_s^2 + \left[\frac{1}{2} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_p - \frac{\alpha \rho c_T^2}{c_V} + \rho c_s^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \right]_T + \frac{\alpha \rho c_T^2}{c_V} T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \Big|_P \right\} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u}.
$$
\n(34)

where *h* is the enthalpy per particle, c_s and c_T are the speed of sound at constant entropy per particle and temperature, respectively, c_V is the specific heat at constant volume, and α is the thermal expansion coefficient. This result can be simplified by writing $E_p = \epsilon_p + \Delta E_p$ and dropping terms of order z^2 . We get

$$
\frac{T}{f_0}Df_0 = \left\{\frac{\alpha\rho c_T^2}{c_V}h - mc_s^2 + \left[1 - \frac{\alpha\rho c_T^2}{c_V}\right]\epsilon_p + \left[\frac{1}{2}\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\nabla}_p + \mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + T\frac{\partial}{\partial T} - 1\right]\Delta E_p\right\}\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{u}.
$$
\n(35)

This result satisfies a number of consistency checks. Equation (35) vanishes for a free gas and for a general scale invariant gas characterized by a temperature-independent second virial coefficient and a scale invariant dispersion law of the form $\Delta E_p \sim zTg(\epsilon_p/T)$ where $g(x)$ is an arbitrary function.

In order to solve the Boltzmann equation in the limit $T \gg E_B$ we use the second virial coefficient given in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-1-0) and the quasiparticle energy using Eq. [\(20\).](#page-2-0) We expand all quantities to leading nontrivial order in $[\ln(T/E_B)]^{-1}$. We get $\frac{\dot{T}}{f_0}Df_0 \equiv X_p(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u})$ with

$$
X_p = \frac{2zT}{[\ln(T/E_B)]^3} \left\{ \frac{\epsilon_p}{T} - (1 + 2\gamma_E) + 2\left[Ei\left(-\frac{\epsilon_p}{T}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{\epsilon_p}{2T}\right)\right] \right\},\tag{36}
$$

where $Ei(x)$ is the exponential integral. We note that both the thermodynamic terms and the self-energy contain contributions of order $[\ln(T/E_B)]^{-2}$, but these terms cancel and X_p scales as $[\ln(T/E_B)]^{-3}$. Equation (36) satisfies two sum rules:

$$
\int d\Gamma_p f_p^0 X_p = 0, \quad \int d\Gamma_p f_p^0 \epsilon_p X_p = 0, \tag{37}
$$

with $d\Gamma_p = d^2p/(2\pi)^2$. The sum rules follow from conservation of particle number and energy.

B. Collision term

The linearized collision operator can be written as

$$
C[f_p^0 + \delta f_p] \equiv \frac{f_p^0}{T} C_L[\chi_B(p)](\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u}), \qquad (38)
$$

where, at leading order in the fugacity, the collision term is dominated by two-body collisions. We have

$$
C_{L}[\chi_{B}(p_{1})] = \int \left(\prod_{i=2}^{4} d\Gamma_{i}\right) w(1,2;3,4) f_{p_{2}}^{0}
$$

× $[\chi_{B}(p_{1}) + \chi_{B}(p_{2}) - \chi_{B}(p_{3}) + \chi_{B}(p_{4})],$ (39)

where $w(1,2; 3,4)$ is the transition rate,

$$
w(1,2;3,4) = (2\pi)^3 \delta^2 \left(\sum_i \vec{p}_i\right) \delta \left(\sum_i E_i\right) |\mathcal{A}|^2, \qquad (40)
$$

and A is the scattering amplitude given in Eq. [\(2\).](#page-0-0) In order to be consistent with the calculation of the streaming term we expand the scattering amplitude to leading order in $[\ln(T/E_B)]^{-1}$. We get

$$
\mathcal{A} = \frac{4\pi}{m \ln(T/E_B)}.
$$
\n(41)

To leading order in *z* we can approximate the quasiparticle energy by the noninteracting result $E_p \simeq \epsilon_p$. The linearized Boltzmann equation

$$
X_p = C_L[\chi_B(p)]\tag{42}
$$

can be solved by expanding the off-equilibrium factor $\chi_B(p)$ in Laguerre polynomials:

$$
\chi_B(p) = \sum_{i=2}^N c_i L_i^0\left(\frac{\epsilon_p}{T}\right). \tag{43}
$$

Restricting the sum to terms of order $i \geq 2$ guarantees that the orthogonality constraints $[Eq. (37)]$ $[Eq. (37)]$ are satisfied. The coefficients *ci* can be determined by taking moments of the Boltzmann equation [Eq. (42)] with $L_i^0(\epsilon_p/T)$ for $i =$ 2, ..., *N*. As a first approximation we can take $N = 2$. We find

$$
\chi_B(p) = \frac{1}{4\pi \ln(T/E_B)} \left[2 - 4\left(\frac{\epsilon_p}{T}\right) + \left(\frac{\epsilon_p}{T}\right)^2 \right].
$$
 (44)

The convergence of the expansion in Laguerre polynomials was studied in the case of three dimensions in Ref. [\[9\]](#page-6-0). We found that corrections to the leading term contribute to the bulk viscosity at a level of less than 5%.

C. Off-equilibrium stress and bulk viscosity

In fluid dynamics the trace of the stress tensor in the fluid rest frame is given by $\Pi = \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{ii} = P - \zeta(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u})$, where ζ is the bulk viscosity. In kinetic theory this expression has to be matched against

$$
\Pi[f_p] = \frac{\nu}{2} \int d\Gamma_p(\vec{v}_p \cdot \vec{p}) f_p + \nu \int d\Gamma_p E_p f_p - \mathcal{E}[f_p],
$$
\n(45)

where $v = 2$ is the number of spin degrees of freedom, $d\Gamma_p = d^2p/(2\pi)^2$ is the phase space measure, $f_p = f(\vec{p}, \vec{x}, t)$ is the quasiparticle distribution function, E_p is the quasiparticle energy, and $\vec{v}_p = \vec{\nabla} E_p$ is the quasiparticle velocity. We split the distribution in an equilibrium and a nonequilibrium piece, $f_p = f_p^0 + \delta f_p$, and write the bulk stress as

$$
\Pi[f_p^0 + \delta f_p] \equiv \Pi[f_p^0] + \delta \Pi \equiv \Pi^0 + \delta \Pi. \tag{46}
$$

The term $\delta \Pi$ is then identified with viscous correction $-\zeta(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u})$ in fluid dynamics. We compute $\Pi[f_p^0 + \delta f_p]$ by

functionally expanding Eq. (45) in powers of δf_p . We find [\[9\]](#page-6-0)

$$
\delta \Pi = \nu \int d\Gamma_p \,\delta f_p \bigg(\frac{1}{2} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_p + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} - 1 \bigg) \Delta E_p. \tag{47}
$$

It is interesting to note that the bulk stress is determined by the same scale violating part of the self-energy that appears in the streaming term (35) . This ensures that in a scale invariant gas there is no bulk viscosity irrespective of the structure of the off-equilibrium distribution function.

We can now compute the bulk viscosity by inserting the solution of the linearized Boltzmann equation given in Eq. (44) into the expression for the bulk stress. Comparing the result to $\delta \Pi = -\zeta (\nabla \cdot \vec{u})$ determines the bulk viscosity. We find

$$
\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{z^2 \lambda^{-2}}{[\ln(T/E_B)]^4}.
$$
\n(48)

This result is valid in the limit $z \ll 1$ and $\ln(T/E_B) \gg 1$. Higher-order corrections in *z* require a calculation of the pressure at the level of the third virial coefficient and the inclusion of three-body scattering in the collision term. Higherorder terms in $[\ln(T/E_B)]^{-1}$ can be determined by computing the self-energy to all orders in the logarithm of T/E_B , as we have done for the second virial coefficient. However, unless we include bosonic quasiparticles in the kinetic theory, the result for the bulk viscosity will still break down for $T \sim E_B$. We can compare Eq. (48) to the result for the shear viscosity obtained in [\[20,21\]](#page-6-0)

$$
\eta = \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{\pi} \left[\ln(T/E_B) \right]^2,\tag{49}
$$

where we have taken the limit $ln(T/E_B) \gg 1$ used in the calculation of the bulk viscosity. We observe that ζ is suppressed by two additional powers of $[\ln(T/E_B)]^{-1}$ compared to the expectation [\[9\]](#page-6-0) $\zeta \sim [(\Delta P)/P]^2 \eta$, where $\Delta P = P - \mathcal{E}$ is the scale breaking part of the equilibrium pressure. The reason for this extra suppression is related to the fact that the leading scale violating term in the quasiparticle energy is just a shift in the chemical potential, which does not contribute to the bulk pressure. Finally, we can write Eq. (48) in terms of dimensionless ratios. We find

$$
\frac{\zeta}{n} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{[\ln(T/E_B)]^4} \left(\frac{T_F^{\text{loc}}}{T}\right),\tag{50}
$$

where T_F^{loc} is the Fermi temperature of the homogeneous gas.

D. Damping of monopole oscillations

The damping of the monopole mode is determined by the amount of energy dissipated by viscous effects. Note that the cloud remains approximately isothermal so that thermal conductivity does not contribute to dissipation. The rate of energy dissipation is

$$
\dot{E} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2 r \,\zeta(r) (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u})^2,\tag{51}
$$

and the damping constant is $\Gamma = -\dot{E}/(2E)$, where *E* is energy of the collective mode. Using the velocity profile $\vec{u} \sim \vec{r}$ of the

FIG. 2. (Color online) Damping of the collective monopole mode in a two-dimensional Fermi gas. The figure shows Γ/ω_0 , the damping rate in units of the trap frequency, as a function of $\ln(k_F a)$ for $T/T_F =$ 0.42 and $N = 4 \times 10^3$.

monopole mode we find

$$
\Gamma = \left[\int d^2 r \, \zeta(r) \right] / \left[m \int d^2 x \, n_0(r) \vec{r}^2 \right]. \tag{52}
$$

Note that, in contrast to the shear case $[20,21]$, there is no difficulty in carrying out the integral over $\zeta(r)$ because the bulk viscosity is proportional to a positive power of the fugacity. The result in Eq. (52) can be evaluated in the same way as the frequency shift considered in Sec. [III.](#page-2-0) We get

$$
\frac{\Gamma}{\omega_0} = \frac{1}{32\pi N^{1/2}} \frac{1}{[\ln(T/E_B)]^4} \left(\frac{T_F}{T}\right),\tag{53}
$$

where *N* is the number of particles and $T_F = \sqrt{N\omega_0}$ is the Fermi temperature of the trap. The result is plotted in Fig. 2. We consider the conditions explored in the experiment of Vogt *et al.* [\[3\]](#page-6-0), $N = 4 \times 10^3$ and $T/T_F = 0.42$. Based on our results for the frequency shift we assume that Eq. (53) is reliable for $\ln(k_F a) \gtrsim 2$. We observe that the damping constant in this regime is extremely small, $\Gamma/\omega_0 < 10^{-4}$. This is consistent with the measurements of Vogt *et al.* [\[3\]](#page-6-0), who find Γ/ω_0 < 5×10^{-2} , but our result implies that it will be very difficult to measure the bulk viscosity of the two-dimensional gas in the BCS limit. We also note that the source of the background damping rate remains poorly understood (see Ref. [\[25\]](#page-6-0)).

V. OUTLOOK

The main results obtained in this work are the scaling of the pressure anomaly $(\Delta P)/P \sim z/[\ln(T/E_B)]^2$ and the bulk viscosity $\zeta/\eta \sim z^2/[\ln(T/E_B)]^6$ in the high-temperature limit $z \ll 1$ and $ln(T/E_B) \gg 1$. These results quantitatively explain the observed frequency shift of the monopole mode and the failure of the experiment to detect a nonzero damping rate.

It would be interesting to extend the calculation to the regime $T \sim E_B$. In the context of kinetic theory this would presumably require the inclusion of explicit bosonic degrees of freedom. Alternatively, one might try to compute the pressure and the bulk viscosity using purely diagrammatic methods. At weak coupling the mechanism for generating bulk viscosity is related to a finite relaxation time for rearranging the internal energy of the gas among noninteracting and interacting terms in the single-particle energy. For $T \sim E_B$ the physical mechanism is likely to be related to the formation of molecules. This involves three-body collisions and is therefore suppressed in the low-density limit $z \ll 1$, but the process may be enhanced by powers of $ln(T/E_B)$.

It is also important to consider the frequency dependence of the bulk viscosity. Taylor and Randeria [\[5\]](#page-6-0) proved the sum rule

$$
\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \,\zeta(\omega) = 3P - \mathcal{E} - \rho c_s^2. \tag{54}
$$

At high temperature the right-hand side scales as $z^2 m T^2 / [\ln(T/E_B)]^3$. On the left-hand side the contribution of the transport peak is $(\Delta \omega)z^2 mT/[\ln(T/E_B)]^4$, where $\Delta \omega$ is the width of the transport peak. Consistency with the sum rule then requires that $\Delta \omega \leq T \ln(T/E_B)$. This bound can be compared to the width of the transport peak in the shear channel, which is $\Delta \omega \sim zT/[\ln(T/E_B)]^2 \ll T$. Taylor and Randeria [\[5\]](#page-6-0) also studied the tail of the spec-tral function (see also Ref. [\[26\]](#page-6-0)). They found $\zeta(\omega)$ ~ $z^2\lambda^{-2}T/$ $\{\omega[\ln(\omega/E_B)]^2[\ln(T/E_B)]^2\}$, where we have used the high-temperature limit of the contact. This result matches the kinetic theory prediction for $\omega \sim T$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank John Thomas for useful discussions, and E. Taylor and M. Randeria for communications regarding their work. This work was supported in part by the US Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG02-03ER41260.

APPENDIX A: THERMODYNAMICS

In this Appendix we compute thermodynamic properties like the scale breaking contributions to the equation of state and the speed of sound using the virial equation of state. We follow the methods used in Ref. [\[9\]](#page-6-0). At second order in the virial expansion we have $P = \frac{vT}{\lambda^2}(z + b_2(T)z^2)$. At this order the scale breaking contribution to the equation of state is

$$
\frac{P - \mathcal{E}}{P} = -zTb_2'(T). \tag{A1}
$$

The enthalpy per particle is

$$
h = 2T\{1 - z[b_2(T) - \frac{1}{2}Tb'_2(T)]\}
$$
 (A2)

and the specific heats are given by

$$
c_V = \frac{\nu z}{\lambda^2} \{ 1 + z[2b_2(T) + T^2 b_2''(T)] \},\tag{A3}
$$

$$
c_P = c_V + \frac{\nu z}{\lambda^2} \{ 1 + z[4b_2(T) - 2Tb_2'(T)] \}. \tag{A4}
$$

The speed of sound at constant *T* and *s/n* as well as the thermal expansion coefficient are as follows:

$$
c_T^2 = \frac{T}{m} [1 - 2zb_2(T)],
$$
 (A5)

$$
c_s^2 = \frac{2T}{m} \left\{ 1 - z \left[b_2(T) + Tb'_2(T) + \frac{1}{2} T^2 b''_2(T) \right] \right\}, \quad (A6)
$$

$$
\alpha = \frac{1}{T} \{ 1 + z[2b_2(T) - Tb'_2(T)] \}.
$$
 (A7)

Using these results we can compute the scale breaking parameter *γ*2:

$$
\gamma_2 = 2P - \rho c_s^2 = \frac{mT^2 z^2}{\pi} [2Tb_2'(T) + T^2 b_2''(T)]. \tag{A8}
$$

APPENDIX B: ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF *ν***(***x***)**

The asymptotic expansion of $v(x)$ can be found by writing

$$
v(x) = \int_0^\infty dt \, \frac{\exp[-t \ln(1/x)]}{\Gamma(t+1)} \tag{B1}
$$

- [1] T. Schäfer and D. Teaney, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/72/12/126001) **72**, 126001 [\(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/72/12/126001)
- [2] A. Adams, L. D. Carr, T. Schäfer, P. Steinberg, and J. E. Thomas, New J. Phys. **14**[, 115009 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/11/115009)
- [3] E. Vogt, M. Feld, B. Fröhlich, D. Pertot, M. Koschorreck, and M. Köhl, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**[, 070404 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.070404)
- [4] L. P. Pitaevskii and A. Rosch, Phys. Rev. A **55**[, R853 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.R853)
- [5] E. Taylor and M. Randeria, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**[, 135301 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.135301)
- [6] J. Hofmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**[, 185303 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.185303)
- [7] Y. Castin and F. Werner, in *BEC-BCS Crossover and the Unitary Fermi Gas*, edited by W. Zwerger, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 836 (Springer, New York, 2011).
- [8] T. Enss, R. Haussmann, and W. Zwerger, [Ann. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2010.10.002) **326**, 770 [\(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2010.10.002)
- [9] K. Dusling and T. Schäfer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**[, 120603 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.120603)
- [10] M. Randeria, J.-M. Duan, and L.-Y. Shieh, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.327) **41**, 327 [\(1990\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.327)
- [11] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 5th ed. (Academic Press, San Diego, 1994).
- [12] A. Erdelyi, *Higher Transcendetal Functions*, Vol. 3 (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955).

and Taylor expanding the γ function, $\Gamma(t + 1)^{-1} = 1 + \gamma_E t +$ $(\frac{\gamma_E^2}{2} - \frac{\pi^2}{12})t^2 + O(t^3)$. We find

$$
\nu(x) = \frac{1}{\ln(1/x)} + \frac{\gamma_E}{[\ln(1/x)]^2} + \frac{\gamma_E^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{6}}{[\ln(1/x)]^3} + \cdots
$$
 (B2)

We can now apply this results to the thermodynamic quantities studied in Appendix [A.](#page-5-0) We find, in particular,

$$
P - \mathcal{E} = \frac{mT^2 z^2}{\pi [\ln(T/E_B)]^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\gamma_E}{\ln(T/E_B)} + \cdots \right\}, \quad (B3)
$$

$$
\gamma_2 = -\frac{mT^2 z^2}{\pi [\ln(T/E_B)]^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2\gamma_E - 2}{\ln(T/E_B)} + \cdots \right\}.
$$
 (B4)

- [13] X.-J. Liu, H. Hu, and P. D. Drummond, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.054524) **82**, 054524 [\(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.054524)
- [14] V. Ngampruetikorn, J. Levinsen, and M. M. Parish, arXiv[:1309.0272.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1309.0272)
- [15] M. Barth and J. Hofmann, arXiv[:1309.6573.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1309.6573)
- [16] E. Taylor, H. Hu, X.-J. Liu, and A. Griffin, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.033608) **77**, [033608 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.033608)
- [17] E. Taylor, H. Hu, X.-J. Liu, L. P. Pitaevskii, A. Griffin, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A **80**[, 053601 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.053601)
- [18] P. R. Zilsel, Phys. Rev. **79**[, 309 \(1950\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.79.309)
- [19] H. Ito, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/ptp/9.2.117) **9**, 117 (1953).
- [20] T. Schäfer, *Phys. Rev. A* **85**[, 033623 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.033623)
- [21] G. M. Bruun, Phys. Rev. A **85**[, 013636 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.013636)
- [22] Lei Wu and Y. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A **85**[, 045601 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.045601)
- [23] T. Enss, C. Küppersbusch, L. Fritz, *[Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.013617)* 86, 013617 [\(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.013617)
- [24] S. K. Baur, E. Vogt, M. Köhl, and G. M. Bruun, *[Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.043612)* **87**[, 043612 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.043612)
- [25] S. Chiacchiera, D. Davesne, T. Enss, and M. Urban, arXiv[:1309.3651.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1309.3651)
- [26] J. Hofmann, Phys. Rev. A **84**[, 043603 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.043603)