Erratum: Gravitationally coupled Dirac equation for antimatter [Phys. Rev. A 87, 032101 (2013)]

U. D. Jentschura

(Received 17 May 2013; published 21 June 2013)

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.87.069903](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.069903) PACS number(s): 03*.*65*.*Pm, 11*.*10*.*−z, 03*.*70*.*+k, 95*.*36*.*+x, 99*.*10*.*Cd

The central result of our paper [Phys. Rev. A **87**, 032101 (2013)] is given by the particle-antiparticle symmetry relation [see Eq. (47) of our paper],

$$
E \leftrightarrow -E, \quad f(r) \leftrightarrow g(r), \quad \varkappa \leftrightarrow -\varkappa,
$$
 (1)

valid for eigensolutions of the Dirac equation in a generalized Schwarzschild metric. This result is not affected by the current Erratum, but a number of typographical errors in intermediate steps of the derivation need to be corrected. First, we have to point out that Eq. (40) of our paper should have read

$$
\overline{\gamma}^{0}\overline{\gamma}^{\mu}\Gamma_{\mu} = -\frac{\widetilde{\gamma}^{0}\widetilde{\gamma}\cdot\hat{r}}{v(r)w(r)}G(r),\tag{2a}
$$

$$
G(r) = \frac{2v'(r)w(r) + v(r)w'(r)}{2v(r)w(r)},
$$
\n(2b)

where $\hat{r} = \vec{r}/|\vec{r}|$ is the position unit vector. Furthermore, $\tilde{\gamma}^0$ is the Dirac β matrix,

$$
\widetilde{\gamma}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \widetilde{\widetilde{\gamma}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ -\vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}
$$

and $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the vector of flat-space Dirac γ matrices. For the record, we would like to clarify that Eq. (40) of our paper remains valid if the curved-space $\tilde{\gamma}^{\mu}$ matrices on the left-hand side of Eq. (40) o valid if the curved-space $\overline{\gamma}^{\mu}$ matrices on the left-hand side of Eq. (40) of our paper are replaced by flat-space $\tilde{\gamma}^{\mu}$ matrices. The correction from Eq. (2) entails the following change for Eq. (46) of our correction from Eq. (2) entails the following change for Eq. (46) of our paper,

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1 - \varkappa}{r} + G(r)\right)g(r) = v(r)\left(m - \frac{E}{w(r)}\right)f(r),\tag{4a}
$$

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1+\varkappa}{r} + G(r)\right) f(r) = v(r) \left(m + \frac{E}{w(r)}\right) g(r),\tag{4b}
$$

where the invariant line element is $ds^2 = w(r)^2 dt^2 - v(r)^2 d\vec{r}^2$. Furthermore, Eq. (45) of our paper also needs to be modified (we suppress one intermediate step),

$$
\frac{i\partial_t\psi(\vec{r})}{w^2(r)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m}{w(r)} & \frac{\vec{\sigma}\cdot\hat{r}}{v(r)w(r)} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + i\frac{\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L}}{r} - iG(r) \right) \\ \frac{\vec{\sigma}\cdot\hat{r}}{v(r)w(r)} \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + i\frac{\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L}}{r} - iG(r) \right) & -\frac{m}{w(r)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(r)\chi_{\varkappa\mu}(\hat{r}) \\ ig(r)\chi_{-\varkappa\mu}(\hat{r}) \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= \begin{pmatrix} \left[\frac{1}{v(r)w(r)} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r}(\varkappa - 1) - G(r) \right)g(r) + \frac{m}{w(r)}f(r) \right] \chi_{\varkappa\mu}(\hat{r}) \\ i\left[\frac{1}{v(r)w(r)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r}(\varkappa + 1) + G(r) \right) f(r) - \frac{m}{w(r)}g(r) \right] \chi_{-\varkappa\mu}(\hat{r}) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{E}{w^2(r)} \begin{pmatrix} f(r)\chi_{\varkappa\mu}(\hat{r}) \\ ig(r)\chi_{-\varkappa\mu}(\hat{r}) \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5}
$$

For completeness, we also indicate that the vector of $\vec{\alpha}$ matrices in Eq. (41) should be understood as $\alpha^{i} = \overline{\gamma}^{0} \overline{\gamma}^{i}$, where $\overline{\gamma}^0 = \tilde{\gamma}^0 \overline{\gamma} w(r)$ and $\overline{\gamma}^i = \tilde{\gamma}^i / v(r)$. We reemphasize that the symmetry relation (47) of our paper, on which all further considerations in the paper and all conclusions are based remains valid in the paper and all conclusions are based, remains valid.