## **Multipartite entanglement and hypergraph states of three qubits**

Ri Qu, Zong-shang Li, Juan Wang, and Yan-ru Bao\*

*School of Computer Science and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072 China and Tianjin Key Laboratory of Cognitive Computing and Application, Tianjin, 30072 China* (Received 15 January 2013; published 25 March 2013)

Several entanglement measures are used to define equivalence classes in the set of hypergraph states of three qubits. Our classifications reveal that (i) under local unitary transformations, hypergraph states of three qubits are split into six classes and only one of them is not equivalent to any graph state; (ii) under stochastic local operations with classical communication, for the single-copy case hypergraph states of three qubits, partitioned into five classes which cannot be converted into a *W* state, are equivalent to graph states; and (iii) when bipartite entanglement in three qubits is considered, hypergraph states of three qubits are split into five classes and only one of them has the same entangled graph as the *W* state.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.87.032329](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.032329) PACS number(s): 03*.*67*.*Mn, 03*.*67*.*Ac

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Any *graph state* [\[1–4\]](#page-3-0) can be constructed on the basis of a (simple and undirected) graph. Although graph states can describe a large family of entangled states including *cluster states* [\[5\]](#page-3-0), *Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states*, *stabilizer states* [\[6\]](#page-3-0), etc., it is clear that they cannot represent all entangled states. To go beyond graph states and still keep the appealing connection to graphs, Ref. [\[7\]](#page-3-0) introduces an axiomatic framework for mapping graphs to quantum states of a suitable physical system, and extends this framework to directed graphs and weighted graphs. Several classes of multipartite entangled states, such as *qudit graph states* [\[8\]](#page-3-0), *Gaussian cluster states* [\[9\]](#page-3-0), *projected entangled pair states* [\[10\]](#page-3-0), and *quantum random networks* [\[11\]](#page-3-0) emerge from the axiomatic framework. In  $[12]$ , we generalize the above axiomatic framework to encoding hypergraphs into so-called quantum hypergraph states.

It is known that hypergraph states include graph states [\[12\]](#page-3-0). One may ask whether hypergraph states are equivalent to graph states under local unitary transformations or stochastic local operations with classical communication (SLOCC), that is, whether hypergraph states can describe more quantum states than graph states under local unitary transformations or SLOCC. The main aim of this work is to answer the above question for the single-copy case of three-qubit hypergraph states. For this, we will address the issue of quantifying and characterizing the entanglement of three-qubit hypergraph states by means of several bipartite and tripartite entanglement measures including *concurrence* [\[13\]](#page-3-0), the *entopic measure* [\[14\]](#page-3-0), the *three-tangle measure* [\[13\]](#page-3-0), and the *Schmidt measure* [\[15\]](#page-3-0). Several publications have shown that there are several classifications of three-qubit pure states by means of the above measures. In [\[16\]](#page-3-0), for the single-copy case all three-qubit pure states are split into six classes, called *A-B-C*, *A-BC*, *B-AC*, *C-AB*, GHZ type, and *W* type, by SLOCC. In Ref. [\[17\]](#page-3-0) all pure states of three qubits are partitioned into eight classes [\[18\]](#page-3-0) by means of the socalled *entangled graph*. In this paper, we will define different equivalence classes in the set of three-qubit hypergraph

states according to the approaches shown in [\[16,17\]](#page-3-0). Our classifications reveal that (i) a class of three-qubit hypergraph states is not equivalent to any graph state under local unitary transformations; (ii) any state in the above class is equivalent to a graph state (up to local unitaries equivalent to the GHZ state) under SLOCC, which implies that hypergraph states cannot describe the *W*-type class [\[16\]](#page-3-0) including a *W state*; and (iii) when bipartite entanglement in three qubits is considered, for any state in the above class each qubit pair is entangled like the *W* state, i.e., its entangled graph contains three edges.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we recall notations of hypergraphs, hypergraph states, etc. In Sec. [III,](#page-1-0) we quantify the entanglement of three-qubit hypergraph states by means of local entropic measures and prove the existence of six classes of hypergraph states of three qubits under local unitary transformations. In Sec. [IV,](#page-2-0) we quantify the entanglement of genuine tripartite entangled hypergraph states of three qubits by means of the three-tangle measure and spilt three-qubit hypergraph states into five classes under SLOCC. We also indicate that no hypergraph state can be converted into a *W* state by SLOCC. In Sec. [V,](#page-2-0) we evaluate the entanglement of hypergraph states of three qubits by means of the Schmidt measure. In Sec. [VI,](#page-2-0) we discuss bipartite entanglement of hypergraph states of three qubits using the concurrence and draw the corresponding entangled graphs. Section [VII](#page-3-0) contains our conclusions.

#### **II. PRELIMINARIES**

Formally, a *hypergraph* is a pair (*V ,E*), where *V* is the set of *vertices*,  $E \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V)$  is the set of *hyperedges* and  $\mathcal{P}(V)$  denotes the power set of the set *V*. Let  $V \equiv \{A, B, C\}$  since we consider only three-vertex hypergraphs in this paper. Moreover, for a set of hyperedges  $F \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V)$ , adding all hyperedges of *F* to a hypergraph  $g = (V, E)$  will give a new hypergraph  $g + F \equiv$  $(V, E\Delta F)$ , where  $E\Delta F$  denotes the symmetric difference between *E* and *F*, that is,  $E\Delta F = E \cup F - E \cap F$ .

Let *I* be the 2 × 2 identity matrix and  $Z_k$  be the  $2^k \times 2^k$ diagonal matrix which satisfies

$$
(Z_k)_{jj} = \begin{cases} -1, & j = 2^k, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: baoyanru@tju.edu.cn

<span id="page-1-0"></span>where  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . Suppose  $e \subseteq V$ . Then the three-qubit *hypergraph gate*  $Z_e$  is defined as  $Z_{|e|} \otimes I^{\otimes 3-|e|}$  which means that  $Z_{|e|}$  acts on the qubits in *e* while the identity *I* acts on the rest.

A three-qubit *hypergraph state*  $|g\rangle$  can be constructed using  $g = (V, E)$  as follows. Each vertex labels a qubit (associated with a Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ) initialized in  $|\phi\rangle =$  $|+\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{4}$  $\overline{z}$ (|0) + |1)). The state |*g*) is obtained from the initial state  $|+\rangle^{\otimes 3}$  by applying the hyperedge operator  $Z_e$  for each hyperedge  $e \in E$ , that is,

$$
|g\rangle = \prod_{e \in E} Z_e |+\rangle^{\otimes 3} \tag{2}
$$

Thus hypergraph states of three qubits correspond to  $(\mathbb{C}^2, |+\rangle, \{Z_k | 0 \leq k \leq 3\})$  by the axiomatic approach while graph states are related to  $(\mathbb{C}^2, |+ \rangle, Z_2)$  [\[7,12\]](#page-3-0).

It is known that real equally weighted states [\[19\]](#page-3-0) are equivalent to hypergraph states [\[12\]](#page-3-0). In fact, we define a mapping *c* on  $\mathcal{P}(V)$  as

$$
\forall e \subseteq V, \quad c(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & e = \Phi, \\ \prod_{k \in e} x_k, & e \neq \Phi. \end{cases}
$$

Then we can construct a 1:1 mapping *u* between hypergraphs and Boolean functions which satisfies  $\forall g = (V, E)$ ,

$$
u(g) = \bigoplus_{e \in E} c(e),\tag{3}
$$

where  $\oplus$  denotes the addition operator over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Thus we have

$$
|g\rangle = \prod_{e \in E} Z_e |+\rangle^{\otimes 3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^3}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^3 - 1} (-1)^{\bigoplus e \in C} \langle x \rangle \equiv |\psi_{u(g)}\rangle, \tag{4}
$$

where  $|\psi_{u(g)}\rangle$  is just the real equally weighted state associated with the Boolean function  $u(g)$ .

For the single-copy case it is known that two pure states can be obtained with certainty by means of LOCC if and only if they are related by local unitaries [\[14\]](#page-3-0). Moreover, they can be converted by means of SLOCC if and only if they are associated with an invertible local operator [\[16\]](#page-3-0). Let *g* and *g* be two hypergraphs. We say that they are *LU equivalent*, if there exists a local unitary *U* such that

$$
|g\rangle = U|g'\rangle, \tag{5}
$$

i.e.,  $|g\rangle$  and  $|g'\rangle$  are equivalent under local unitary operations. If there exists an invertible local operator *O* such that

$$
|g\rangle = O|g'\rangle, \tag{6}
$$

that is,  $|g\rangle$  and  $|g'\rangle$  are equivalent under SLOCC, then we say that *g* and *g* are *SLOCC equivalent*.

### **III. ENTROPIC MEASURE AND LU-EQUIVALENT CLASSES**

Given three qubits *A*, *B*, and *C*, we can regard the threequbit system as a bipartite system. For instance, *A* is one part of the system and the remaining two qubits *B* and *C* is the other. Correspondingly, a pure state  $|\phi\rangle$  of three qubits

can be viewed as a bipartite state  $|\phi_{A(BC)}\rangle$ . The local entropic measure  $E_2^A(|\phi\rangle)$  is given by the smallest eigenvalue of the reduced density matrix  $\rho_A \equiv \text{Tr}_{BC}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)$ . Similarly, we also can define  $E_2^B(|\phi\rangle)$  and  $E_2^C(|\phi\rangle)$ . It is known the local entropic measures are an entanglement monotone for the single-copy case and invariant under local unitary operations.

*Proposition 1.* All three-vertex hypergraphs are split into six LU-equivalence classes as follows:

$$
G_0 = \{(V, E) | E \in \wp(\{\{\Phi\}, \{A\}, \{B\}, \{C\}\})\},
$$
  
\n
$$
G_1 = \{g + \{\{B, C\}\}| g \in G_0\},
$$
  
\n
$$
G_2 = \{g + \{\{A, C\}\}| g \in G_0\}, G_3 = \{g + \{\{A, B\}\}| g \in G_0\},
$$
  
\n
$$
G_4 = \{g + E | E \subseteq \{\{A, B\}, \{A, C\}, \{B, C\}\} \wedge |E|
$$
  
\n
$$
\ge 2 \wedge g \in G_0\}, G_5 = \{(V, E) | V \in E\}.
$$
  
\n(7)

*Proof.* We first prove that for  $0 \le k \le 5$  any two hypergraphs in  $G_k$  are LU equivalent. Let  $g_0 \equiv (V, \Phi)$ ,  $g_1 \equiv$  $(V, \{\{B, C\}\})$ ,  $g_2 \equiv (V, \{\{A, C\}\})$ , and  $g_3 \equiv (V, \{\{A, B\}\})$ . It is clear for  $0 \leq k \leq 3$  that the hypergraph  $g_k$  is LU equivalent to any hypergraph in  $G_k$ . Let  $g_4 \equiv (V, \{\{A, B\}, \{B, C\}, \{A, C\}\})$ . It is known that  $g_4$  and  $(V, \{(A, B), \{A, C\}\})$  are LU equivalent [\[1\]](#page-3-0). Thus the hypergraph *g*<sup>4</sup> is LU equivalent to any hypergraph in  $G_4$ . Let  $g_5 \equiv (V, {V})$ . According to Proposition 2 in [\[12\]](#page-3-0), the hypergraph  $g<sub>5</sub>$  is also LU equivalent to any hypergraph in *G*5.

Now we prove that any two hypergraphs in  $\{g_k | 0 \leq k \leq 5\}$ are not LU equivalent by means of local entropic measures. For any  $g = (V, E)$ , it is known that  $|g\rangle = |\psi_{u(g)}\rangle$  by (4). Thus the reduced density matrix  $\rho_A(g)$  of  $|g\rangle$  is obtained from

$$
\rho_A(g) = \text{Tr}_{BC}(|g\rangle\langle g|) = \left[a_{ij}^{(A)}\right]_{2 \times 2},\tag{8}
$$

where  $a_{ij}^{(A)} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{x_B, x_C=0}^1 (-1)^{u(g)(i, x_B, x_C) \oplus u(g)(j, x_B, x_C)}$ . By simple computation, we can obtain

$$
\rho_A(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & a \\ a & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix},\tag{9}
$$

where  $a \equiv a_{01}^{(A)} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{x_B, x_B = 0}^1 (-1)^{u(g)(0, x_B, x_C) \oplus u(g)(1, x_B, x_C)}$ . According to (3), we get

$$
u(g_0)(x_A, x_B, x_C) = 0, \quad u(g_1)(x_A, x_B, x_C) = x_B x_C,
$$
  
\n
$$
u(g_2)(x_A, x_B, x_C) = x_A x_C,
$$
  
\n
$$
u(g_3)(x_A, x_B, x_C) = x_A x_B,
$$
  
\n
$$
u(g_4)(x_A, x_B, x_C) = x_A x_B \oplus x_A x_C \oplus x_B x_C,
$$
  
\n
$$
u(g_5)(x_A, x_B, x_C) = x_A x_B x_C.
$$
\n(10)

Thus it is easy to obtain the values of the local entropic measures of  $E_2^A$ ,  $E_2^B$ , and  $E_2^C$  for all states in  $\{|g_k\rangle|$   $0 \le k \le 5\}$ . These values are shown in Table [I.](#page-2-0) Clearly, any two hypergraphs in  ${g_k | 0 \le k \le 5}$  are not LU equivalent since the local entropic measures are invariant under local unitary operations.

Note that the state  $|g_4\rangle$  is, up to local unitaries, equivalent to a GHZ state. Clearly, any three-vertex (simple and undirected) graph belongs to one of  $G_0$ ,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $G_3$ , and  $G_4$  while it does not belong to *G*5. From the above proposition, it follows that any state associated with  $G<sub>5</sub>$  is not equivalent to graph states under local unitary transformations.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>TABLE I. Values of several entanglement measures associated with different hypergraph subsets.

	$E_2^A$	$E_2^B$	$E_2^C$	τ	$C_{AB}$	$C_{AC}$	$C_{BC}$
$G_0$							
$G_1$		$\overline{2}$					
$G_2$			7				
$G_3$							
$G_4$							
$G_5$							C.

## **IV. THREE-TANGLE MEASURE AND SLOCC-EQUIVALENT CLASSES**

Let a three-qubit pure state  $|\phi\rangle = \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{1} a_{ijk} |ijk\rangle$ . The three-tangle measure  $\tau(|\phi\rangle)$  is given by

$$
\tau(|\phi\rangle) = 2 \bigg| \sum a_{ijk} a_{i'j'm} a_{npk'} a_{n'p'm'} \varepsilon_{ii'} \varepsilon_{jj'} \varepsilon_{kk'} \varepsilon_{mm'} \varepsilon_{nn'} \varepsilon_{pp'} \bigg|, \tag{11}
$$

where  $\varepsilon_{01} = -\varepsilon_{10} = 1$  and  $\varepsilon_{00} = \varepsilon_{11} = 0$  [\[13\]](#page-3-0). It is known that the measure is an entanglement monotone and invariant under local unitary transformations. Moreover, the threetangle measure is also invariant under permutations of the qubits.

*Proposition 2.* All three-qubit hypergraph states are partitioned into five classes under SLOCC, that is, in the set of three-vertex hypergraphs there exist five SLOCC-equivalence classes  $G_0$ ,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $G_3$ , and  $G_4 \cup G_5$  which are respectively associated with the classes *A-B-C*, *A-BC*, *B-AC*, *C-AB*, and GHZ type defined in [\[16\]](#page-3-0).

*Proof.* By (10) and (11), it is easy to obtain  $\tau(|g_k\rangle)$  =  $0(0 \le k \le 3), \ \tau(|g_4\rangle) = 1, \text{ and } \ \tau(|g_5\rangle) = \frac{1}{4}.$  According to the method shown in  $[16]$ , we can use the values of the local entropic measures and three-tangle measure to obtain that three-vertex hypergraphs are split into five SLOCCequivalence classes:  $G_0$ ,  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ ,  $G_3$ , and  $G_4 \cup G_5$ , which correspond to the classes *A-B-C*, *A-BC*, *B-AC*, *C-AB*, and GHZ type.

Clearly, three-vertex hypergraphs are SLOCC equivalent to graphs of three vertices, that is, three-qubit hypergraph states are equivalent to graph states of three qubits under SLOCC. In particular, any hypergraph state associated with  $G_4 \cup G_5$  can be converted into a GHZ state by means of SLOCC. According to the above proposition, any hypergraph state of three qubits is not of the *W*-type class defined in [\[16\]](#page-3-0). Thus we can obtain the following corollary, which implies that hypergraph states do not represent all states of three qubits:

*Corollary 1.* A three-qubit hypergraph state cannot be converted into a *W* state by SLOCC.

#### **V. SCHMIDT MEASURE**

Any pure state  $|\phi\rangle$  of three qubits can be represented as

$$
|\phi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{R} a_i |\phi_i^{(A)}\rangle \otimes |\phi_i^{(B)}\rangle \otimes |\phi_i^{(C)}\rangle, \tag{12}
$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  (*i* = 1,2, ..., *R*), and  $|\phi_i^{(A)}\rangle$ ,  $|\phi_i^{(B)}\rangle$ , and  $|\phi_i^{(C)}\rangle$ are one-qubit pure states. The Schmidt measure of  $|\phi\rangle$  is defined as

$$
E_S(|\phi\rangle) = \log_2(r),\tag{13}
$$

where  $r$  is the minimal number  $R$  of terms in the sum of (12) over all linear decompositions into product states. It is known that the measure is an entanglement monotone and invariant under local unitary transformations.

*Proposition 3.* The Schmidt measure of any three-qubit hypergraph state is either 0 or 1.

*Proof.* In Ref. [\[16\]](#page-3-0) it was shown that the Schmidt measure  $E<sub>S</sub>$  of any state in the class *A-B-C* is 0 while  $E<sub>S</sub>$  of any state in the classes *A-BC*, *B-AC*, *C-AB*, and GHZ type is 1. However, the Schmidt measure of any state in the *W*-type class is equal to  $log<sub>2</sub>(3)$ . According to Corollary 1, the Schmidt measure of any three-quibt hypergraph state is equal to either 0 or 1.  $\blacksquare$ 

#### **VI. CONCURRENCE AND ENTANGLED GRAPHS**

Concurrence is a famous bipartite entanglement measure. Let  $|\phi\rangle$  be a pure state of three qubits *A*, *B*, and *C*. The reduced density matrix  $\rho_{AB}$  of  $|\phi\rangle$  is defined as  $\rho_{AB} \equiv \text{Tr}_C(|\phi\rangle \langle \phi|)$ . One can evaluate the so-called spin-flipped operator defined as

$$
\widetilde{\rho}_{AB} = (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho_{AB}^* (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y), \qquad (14)
$$

where  $\sigma_{v}$  is the Pauli matrix and an asterisk denotes complex conjugation. Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ , and  $\lambda_4$  be eigenvalues of the matrix  $\rho_{AB}\tilde{\rho}_{AB}$  in decreasing order. The concurrence  $C_{AB}$  between two qubits *A* and *B* is defined as

$$
C_{AB} \equiv \max\{0, \sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2} - \sqrt{\lambda_3} - \sqrt{\lambda_4}\}.
$$
 (15)

It is known that  $\rho_{AB}$  is separable or disentangled if and only if  $C_{AB} = 0$ . Moreover, the concurrence  $C_{A(BC)}$  between one qubit *A* and the other two qubits is equal to  $2\sqrt{\det p_A}$  where  $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_{BC}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|)$ . Thus we can obtain

$$
C_{A(BC)} = 2\sqrt{E_2^A (1 - E_2^A)}.
$$
 (16)

Similarly, we can also define  $C_{AC}$ ,  $C_{BC}$ ,  $C_{B(AC)}$  and  $C_{C(AB)}$ .

From (16), we can evaluate the values of  $C_{A(BC)}$ ,  $C_{B(AC)}$ , and  $C_{C(AB)}$  for three-qubit hypergraph states by using the local entropic measures obtained in Sec. [III.](#page-1-0) Since  $\tau \equiv \tau_{ABC}$  $C_{A(BC)}^2 - C_{AB}^2 - C_{AC}^2$  (which is the original definition of the three-tangle measure [\[13\]](#page-3-0)) and  $\tau$  is variant under permutations of the qubits, it is easy to obtain the values of  $C_{AB}$ ,  $C_{AC}$ , and  $C_{BC}$  for three-qubit hypergraph states. These values are shown in Table I.

Reference [\[17\]](#page-3-0) introduces the concept of an entangled graph such that each qubit of a multipartite system is associated with a vertex, while a bipartite entanglement between two specific qubits is represented by an edge between these vertices. The entangled graph of an *n*-qubit state can visually show how a bipartite entanglement is "distributed" among *n* qubits. According to  $C_{AB}$ ,  $C_{AC}$ , and  $C_{BC}$ , we can draw entangled graphs of three-qubit hypergraph states, which are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) Thus all hypergraph states of three qubits are classified into five classes as follows. The entangled graph associated with  $G_0 \cup G_4$  has no edge while that related to

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Entangled graphs associated with three-vertex hypergraphs.

 $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup G_3$  has only one edge. Moreover, the entangled graph corresponding to  $G<sub>5</sub>$  is a complete graph with three vertices, which means that for any hypergraph state associated with  $G_5$  each qubit pair is entangled in the same way as the *W* state, i.e., its entangled graph is the same as that of the *W* state. However, hypergraph states of three qubits do not represent all the states whose entangled graphs have just two edges. This implies that hypergraph states do not represent all states of three qubits.

# **VII. CONCLUSIONS**

This work uses several bipartite and tripartite entanglement measures to quantify and characterize the entanglement of hypergraph states of three qubits, as shown in Table [I.](#page-2-0)

- [1] M. Hein, J. Eisert, and H. J. Briegel, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.69.062311) **69**, 062311 [\(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.69.062311)
- [2] H. Aschauer, W. Dur, and H. J. Briegel, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.71.012319) **71**, 012319 [\(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.71.012319)
- [3] R. Raussendorf, D. E. Browne, and H. J. Briegel, *[Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.022312)* **68**[, 022312 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.022312)
- [4] R. Raussendorf and H. J. Briegel, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5188) **86**, 5188 [\(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5188)
- [5] H. J. Briegel and R. Raussendorf, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.910) **86**, 910 [\(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.910)
- [6] D. Gottesman, Phys. Rev. A **54**[, 1862 \(1996\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.54.1862) **57**[, 127 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.57.127)
- [7] R. Ionicioiu and T. P. Spiller, Phys. Rev. A **85**[, 062313 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.062313)
- [8] S. Y. Looi, L. Yu, V. Gheorghiu, and R. B. Griffiths, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.042303)* A **78**[, 042303 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.042303)
- [9] N. C. Menicucci, S. T. Flammia, and P. van Loock, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.042335) A **83**[, 042335 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.042335)

According to the values of these measures, we define the equivalence classes of hypergraph states and prove that the states associated with  $G<sub>5</sub>$  are not equivalent to any graph state under local unitary transformations. However, hypergraph states of three qubits are equivalent to graph states under SLOCC. And no hypergraph state of three qubits can be converted into a *W* state by SLOCC. Thus hypergraph states cannot represent all pure states of three qubits. Moreover, when bipartite entanglement in three qubits is considered, the states corresponding to  $G<sub>5</sub>$  are related to an entangled graph which contains three edges, while entangled graphs of graph states include at most one edge. Although any hypergraph state associated with  $G<sub>5</sub>$  is not (up to local unitaries or SLOCC) equivalent to the *W* state, its every qubit pair is entangled as in the *W* state. This property of bipartite entanglement of the *W* state has been used in some quantum information processing tasks. Thus it would be helpful for these tasks that the *W* state be replaced by a state  $|g_5\rangle$  which might be prepared more easily than the *W* state in some cases.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This work is supported by the Chinese National Program on Key Basic Research Project (973 Program, Grant No. 2013CB329304) and the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 61170178, 61272254, and 61272265).

- [10] F. Verstraete, M. M. Wolf, D. Perez-Garcia, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96[, 220601 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.220601) D. Pérez-García et al., Quantum Inf. Comput. **8**, 650 (2008); N. Schuch, M. M. Wolf, F. Verstraete, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**[, 140506 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.140506)
- [11] S. Perseguers *et al.*, Nat. Phys. **6**[, 539 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys1665)
- [12] Ri Qu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **87**[, 022311 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.022311)
- [13] V. Coffman, J. Kundu, and W. K. Wootters, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.052306) **61**, [052306 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.052306)
- [14] G. Vidal, J. Mod. Opt. **47**[, 355 \(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09500340008244048)
- [15] J. Eisert and H. J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. A **64**[, 022306 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.64.022306)
- [16] W. Dür, G. Vidal, and J. I. Cirac, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.62.062314) 62, 062314 [\(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.62.062314)
- [17] M. Plesch and V. Bužek, *Phys. Rev. A* 67[, 012322 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.012322)
- [18] In fact, in Ref. [17] all pure states of three qubits are split into four classes since entangled graph isomorphism is considered.
- [19] D. Bruß and C. Macchiavello, Phys. Rev. A **83**[, 052313 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.052313)