Goos-Hänchen and Imbert-Fedorov shifts of vortex beams at air-left-handed-material interfaces

Zhicheng Xiao, Hailu Luo,* and Shuangchun Wen[†]

Key Laboratory for Micro/Nano Optoelectronic Devices of Ministry of Education and School of Information Science and Engineering, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China (Received 14 February 2012; published 18 May 2012)

In this paper, we present a systematic study of beam shifts and angular momenta of paraxial vortex beams at air–left-handed-material (LHM) interfaces. It is shown that, compared to their counterparts at air–right-handed-material (RHM) interfaces, the spatial Goos-Hänchen (GH) and Imbert-Fedorov (IF) shifts remain the same, while the angular GH and IF shifts are reversed at air-LHM interfaces. The spatial and angular shifts of paraxial vortex beams have their respective origins in transverse angular momenta and transverse linear momenta. The spatial GH and IF shifts remain unreversed as a result of both reversions of transverse angular momenta and *z*-component linear momentum, while the angular GH and IF shifts are reversed because the *z*-component linear momentum is reversed and the transverse linear momenta are unreversed at air-LHM interfaces. In addition, we perform a quantitative analysis on spin-orbit angular momentum conversion and orbit-orbit angular momentum conversion, which further helps us understand the essence of vortex beam shifts at air-LHM interfaces and their fundamental distinctions from those at air-RHM interfaces.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.85.053822

PACS number(s): 42.25.-p, 41.20.Jb, 78.20.Ci

I. INTRODUCTION

The reflection and transmission of light at an air-medium interface has been the topic of ongoing investigation and contention. Initially, the amplitudes and directions of reflected and transmitted light were determined by Fresnel formulas and Snell's law. Deviations were later found in total internal reflection (TIR), however. They are the Goos-Hänchen (GH) shift [1-4] and the Imbert-Fedorov (IF) shift [5-10], which are parallel and perpendicular to the incident plane, respectively. Artmann's formula [2] for GH shift and Schilling's formula [6] for IF shift in TIR are widely accepted. The exact formulas for IF shifts in partial reflection and transmission, however, have divided many physicists [8,11–14]. Owing to Hosten's precise measurement, the debate was settled down [15]. Apart from the constant spatial GH and IF shifts, the light beam also experiences angular shifts [16,17], which increase proportionally with propagation distance z. In general, the spatial GH and IF shifts stem from spin-orbit interaction at the air-medium interface [18,19]. To satisfy the z-component angular momentum conservation law, the reflected and transmitted beams must possess extrinsic transverse orbital angular momenta, which result in spatial GH and IF shifts. The angular shift is essentially a diffractive correction on light beams and is governed by the linear momentum conservation law [18,20].

The emergence of left-handed materials (LHMs) has brought about great opportunities and sophisticated pathways to manipulate light [21–24]. As a branch of metamaterials, LHMs usually refer to those materials whose permittivity and permeability are negative simultaneously. LHMs have shown very unique properties, such as negative refraction [23], inverse Doppler effect [25,26], unreversed rotational Doppler effect [27], and inverse Cherenkov radiation [28]. Apart from these properties, beam shifts in LHMs have been demonstrated to

1050-2947/2012/85(5)/053822(10)

[29–33]. Theoretical papers [29,30] indicate that the light beam experiences negative GH shift at the air-LHM interface and this shift can be amplified in a layered structure. The IF shift in partial reflection, however, remains unreversed owing to unreversed spin angular momentum in LHMs [33]. As for the vortex beam, it carries intrinsic orbital angular momentum. Therefore, orbit-orbit conversion is inevitable in reflection and transmission. Will the spatial GH and IF shifts remain unreversed in the presence of orbital angular momentum? What about the angular shifts? What does the physical picture of spin-orbit and orbit-orbit conversions look like? Clarifying these problems not only is conducive to understanding the detailed behaviors of vortex beams at the air-LHM interface but also sheds new light on the essence of the angular momentum and linear momentum of the vortex beam.

be significantly different from right-handed materials (RHMs)

In this paper, we endeavor to offer concrete expressions of the spatial and angular shifts of the vortex beam at the air-LHM interface and elaborate on their relations with the linear and angular momenta. We also contrast these results with the air-RHM interface. Although some of the results are similar to our previous paper [33], we conduct considerably rigorous analyses of the topics and give thorough explanations of the results. The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Sec. II, we adopt the angular spectrum method to derive the electric fields of reflected and transmitted vortex beams at the air-LHM interface. Except for some special cases, for instance, in the vicinity of the critical angle of TIR and the Brewster angle, the expressions of electric fields generally hold true. The longitudinal fields are included as well, which take on polarization-sensitive vortex structure [34], providing a new perspective on the mechanism of IF shifts other than spin-orbit conversion. In Sec. III, we adopt the operator method to calculate the spatial and angular shifts, which is significantly different from the conventional calculation method [14,33–35] and saves us considerable time and effort. The GH and IF shifts in TIR and partial transmission are demonstrated and contrasted with the air-RHM interface. The impact of the incident angle on the beam shifts is analyzed as well. In

^{*}hailuluo@hnu.edu.cn

[†]scwen@hnu.edu.cn

Sec. IV, we calculate the linear and angular momenta of the incident, reflected, and transmitted beams. The origins of the spatial and angular shifts are clarified. A quantitative analysis of the spin-orbit conversion and orbit-orbit conversion is also given.

II. ELECTRIC FIELDS OF REFLECTED AND TRANSMITTED VORTEX BEAMS

In this study, we adopt the angular spectrum method to establish a model for the reflected and transmitted vortex beams at the air-LHM interface. The main procedures of this method are as follows. We first decompose the vortex beams into plane waves with a finite spectral width. Then, we analyze the incident angle and Fresnel coefficients of each plane-wave component separately. In this case, the incident angle and Fresnel coefficients of each plane wave slightly differ from those of the main Fourier component (also known as the central-wave component). Therefore, we expand the Fresnel coefficients in a Taylor series around the central incident angle and make an approximation to the first order. Afterward, we transform the electric fields from momentum space to position space.

The geometry of reflection and transmission is demonstrated in Fig. 1. The incident vortex beam propagates along the z_i axis and impinges on the air-LHM interface. The incident plane of the main Fourier component is xoz. The reflected wave and transmitted wave of the main Fourier component travel along the z_r axis and z_t axis, respectively. The incident angle, reflection angle, and transmission angle of the main Fourier component are θ_i , θ_r , and θ_t , respectively. The relations among the coordinates o-xyz, $o_i-x_iy_iz_i$, $o_r-x_ry_rz_r$, and $o_t-x_ty_tz_t$ are determined by Snell's law:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\tau} \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{\tau} \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{\tau} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \vartheta_{\tau} & 0 & -\sin \vartheta_{\tau} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \vartheta_{\tau} & 0 & \cos \vartheta_{\tau} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$



FIG. 1. (Color online) Geometry of reflection and transmission. The incident plane of the main Fourier component is xoz [marked with green (light gray) lines]. For an arbitrary plane wave, the incident plane is XOZ [marked with blue (dark gray) lines].

where $\tau = i, r$, or t, $[\hat{\mathbf{x}} \ \hat{\mathbf{y}} \ \hat{\mathbf{z}}]$ and $[\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\tau} \ \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{\tau} \ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{\tau}]$ are the unit basis vectors of the coordinates o - xyz and $o_{\tau} - x_{\tau}y_{\tau}z_{\tau}$, respectively, $[\vartheta_i \ \vartheta_r \ \vartheta_t] = [\theta_i \ \pi - \theta_i \ - \theta_t], \theta_i = \theta_r, \text{and} \sin \theta_t = \sin \theta_i / |n|.$ The constant n is the refractive index of the LHM. For an arbitrary plane wave, we assume that the incident plane is XOZ. The incident, reflected, and transmitted plane waves travel along the Z_i , Z_r , and Z_t axes, respectively. The incident angle, reflection angle, and transmission angle of an arbitrary Fourier component are θ'_i , θ'_r , and θ'_t , respectively. The relations among coordinates O-XYZ, Oi- $X_i Y_i Z_i$, $O_r - X_r Y_r Z_r$, and $O_t - X_t Y_t Z_t$ are easily acquired by revising $[\vartheta_i \ \vartheta_r \ \vartheta_t]$ in Eq. (1) as $[\theta'_i \ \pi - \theta'_i \ - \theta'_t]$. From Fig. 1, we derive the incident angle of an arbitrary plane wave, $\theta'_i = \arccos(-k_{x_i} \sin \theta_i / k + k_{z_i} \cos \theta_i / k)$, where k is the wave number in vacuum and k_{x_i} , k_{y_i} , and k_{z_i} are the components of the wave vector along the x_i , y_i , and z_i axes, respectively. We can expand the incident angle θ'_i around the central incident angle θ_i in series of k_{x_i}/k and k_{y_i}/k . Therefore, $\theta'_i \approx \theta_i + k_{x_i}/k$, $\theta'_t \approx \theta_t + k_{x_i}/(|n|\eta k)$, where $\eta = \cos \theta_t / \cos \theta_i.$

After introducing the geometry of reflection and transmission, we start analyzing the angular spectrum of reflected and transmitted beams by using the transformation matrix. Note that the incident, reflected, and transmitted vortex beams are presented in local coordinate systems $o_{\tau} - x_{\tau} y_{\tau} z_{\tau}$. The angular spectrum of incident beam is

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{i} &= \left[\alpha \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{i} + \beta \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{i} - \frac{1}{k} \left(\alpha k_{x_{i}} + \beta k_{y_{i}} \right) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i} \right] \tilde{u}_{i}, \\ \tilde{u}_{i} &= \frac{C_{l} w_{0}}{2} \left[w_{0} \left(-ik_{x_{i}} + \operatorname{sgn}[l]k_{y_{i}} \right) / \sqrt{2} \right]^{|l|} \\ &\times \exp\left[-w_{0}^{2} \left(k_{x_{i}}^{2} + k_{y_{i}}^{2} \right) / 4 \right], \end{split}$$

$$(2)$$

where \tilde{u}_i is the angular spectrum of vortex beams, l is the vortex charge, $C_l = \sqrt{2/(\pi |l|!)}$ is the normalization constant, w_0 is the width of the beam waist, k_{x_i} and k_{y_i} are wave-vector components along the x_i and y_i axes, k is wave number in vacuum, sgn[l] is the sign function, and α and β are Jones vectors, where $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. There are two parameters that characterize the polarization state of paraxial beams: $\sigma = 2 \text{Im}[\alpha^* \beta], \chi = 2 \text{Re}[\alpha^* \beta]. \sigma$ is the degree of circular polarization. A value of $\sigma = +1$ corresponds to the left circularly polarized light beam, whereas a parameter of $\sigma =$ -1 corresponds to the right circularly polarized light beam. $\sigma = 0$ represents linear polarization, and values between 0 and 1 should correspond to elliptically polarized states. χ is the degree of linear polarization. It is generally recognized that the circularly polarized vortex beam has intrinsic angular momentum $(l + \sigma)\hbar$ per photon [36,37].

We first write the electric field in coordinates $O_i \cdot X_i Y_i Z_i$. This target will be achieved in three steps. First, we write the electric field in *o*-*xyz* by using the matrix in Eq. (1). Second, we transform the electric field from *o*-*xyz* to *O*-*XYZ*. This step is accomplished by using the relations $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = (\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i) \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}/|(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i) \times \hat{\mathbf{z}}|, \hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i/|\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i|, \hat{\mathbf{Z}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_i = (k_{x_i} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i + k_{y_i} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i + k_{z_i} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_i)/k$. Therefore, this

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{X}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Y}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{k_{x_i} \cos \theta_i + k_{z_i} \sin \theta_i}{k \sin \theta_i'} & \frac{k_{y_i}}{k \sin \theta_i'} & 0 \\ -\frac{k_{y_i}}{k \sin \theta_i'} & \frac{k_{x_i} \cos \theta_i + k_{z_i} \sin \theta_i}{k \sin \theta_i'} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{X}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Third, we transform the electric field from O-XYZ to O_i - $X_iY_iZ_i$ using a revised version of Eq. (1). The transformation matrix of these three steps is written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_i \\ \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_i \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{k_{y_i}\cot\theta_i}{k} & -\frac{k_{x_i}}{k} \\ -\frac{k_{y_i}\cot\theta_i}{k} & 1 & -\frac{k_{y_i}}{k} \\ \frac{k_{x_i}}{k} & \frac{k_{y_i}}{k} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}}_i \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_i \end{bmatrix}.$$
(4)

In Eq. (4), we assume that terms equivalent to or higher than $(k_{x_i}/k)^2$, $(k_{y_i}/k)^2$ are null.

After we get the expression for the electric field in O_i - $X_i Y_i Z_i$ coordinates, the reflected and transmitted fields can be easily obtained by multiplying the Fresnel coefficients. We define the amplitude reflection coefficients of the main Fourier component as r_p, r_s and the amplitude transmission coefficients of the main Fourier component as t_p, t_s , where p and s denote p-polarized and s-polarized states. We also define the amplitude reflection coefficients of an arbitrary plane wave as r'_{p} , r'_{s} and the amplitude transmission coefficients of an arbitrary plane wave as t'_p, t'_s . To simplify the Fresnel coefficients of an arbitrary wave component, we expand them around the central incident angle θ_i in series of k_{x_i}/k and k_{y_i}/k and retain the first-order term. Thus, $r'_{p,s} = r_{p,s} + \frac{\partial r_{p,s}}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{k_{x_i}}{k}$, $t'_{p,s} = t_{p,s} + \frac{\partial t_{p,s}}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{k_{x_i}}{k}$. Note that the reflected and transmitted electric fields are still presented in $O_r - X_r Y_r Z_r$ and $O_t - X_t Y_t Z_t$ coordinates. Hence, we need to transform them back to the $o_r - x_r y_r z_r$ and $o_t - x_t y_t z_t$ coordinates. The transformation matrices are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{r} \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{r} \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{k_{y_{i}}\cot\theta_{i}}{k} & -\frac{k_{x_{i}}}{k} \\ -\frac{k_{y_{i}}\cot\theta_{i}}{k} & 1 & \frac{k_{y_{i}}}{k} \\ \frac{k_{x_{i}}}{k} & -\frac{k_{y_{i}}}{k} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{r} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{r} \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{r} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t} \\ \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-\eta k_{y_{i}}\cot\theta_{i}}{k} & \frac{k_{x_{i}}}{n\eta k} \\ \frac{\eta k_{y_{i}}\cot\theta_{i}}{k} & 1 & \frac{k_{y_{i}}}{nk} \\ -\frac{k_{x_{i}}}{n\eta k} & -\frac{k_{y_{i}}}{nk} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{t} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{t} \\ \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{t} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(5)

The detailed procedures are similar to Eq. (4). As mentioned previously, we eliminate terms equal to or higher than $(k_{x_i}/k)^2$ and $(k_{y_i}/k)^2$.

The Fresnel coefficients only reveal the amplitudes of reflected and transmitted vortex beams. To get the full expressions of reflected and transmitted vortex beams, we still need the phase-matching conditions. For an arbitrary plane-wave component, the boundary conditions [38] require that in the plane *xoy*, $\exp[i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}] = \exp[i\mathbf{k}_r \cdot \mathbf{r}] = \exp[i\mathbf{k}_t \cdot \mathbf{r}]$, where \mathbf{k}_i , \mathbf{k}_r , and \mathbf{k}_t are the wave vectors of the incident, reflected, and transmitted beams, respectively. Therefore, the phase-matching conditions are presented as follows:

$$k_{x_r} = -k_{x_i}, \quad k_{y_r} = k_{y_i}, \quad k_{z_r} = k_{z_i}; k_{x_r} = k_{x_i}/\eta, \quad k_{y_r} = k_{y_i}, \quad k_{z_r} = nk_{z_i}.$$
(6)



FIG. 2. (Color online) The helical wave fronts of incident, reflected, and transmitted beams. Incident, reflected, and transmitted vortex beams propagate along the z_i, z_r , and z_i axes, respectively. We choose l = 1 for the incident vortex beam. The incident, reflected, and transmitted vortex beams have counterclockwise, clockwise, and clockwise helical wave fronts, respectively.

Combining the Fresnel coefficients and Eqs. (2) and (4)–(6), we get the electric fields of reflected and transmitted beams:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{r} &= \left[\alpha \left(r_{p} - \frac{\partial r_{p}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{k_{x_{r}}}{k} \right) + \beta (r_{s} + r_{p}) \cot \theta_{i} \frac{k_{y_{r}}}{k} \right] \tilde{u}_{r} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{r} \\ &+ \left[\beta \left(r_{s} - \frac{\partial r_{s}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{k_{x_{r}}}{k} \right) - \alpha (r_{s} + r_{p}) \cot \theta_{i} \frac{k_{y_{r}}}{k} \right] \tilde{u}_{r} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{r} \\ &- \frac{1}{k} (\alpha r_{p} k_{x_{r}} + \beta r_{s} k_{y_{r}}) \tilde{u}_{r} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{r}, \end{split}$$
(7)
$$\\ \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_{t} &= \left[\alpha \left(t_{p} + \eta \frac{\partial t_{p}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{k_{x_{t}}}{k} \right) + \beta (t_{p} - \eta t_{s}) \cot \theta_{i} \frac{k_{y_{t}}}{k} \right] \tilde{u}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} \\ &+ \left[\beta \left(t_{s} + \eta \frac{\partial t_{s}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{k_{x_{t}}}{k} \right) + \alpha (\eta t_{p} - t_{s}) \cot \theta_{i} \frac{k_{y_{t}}}{k} \right] \tilde{u}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t} \\ &- \frac{1}{r_{r} t} (\alpha t_{p} k_{x_{t}} + \beta t_{s} k_{y_{t}}) \tilde{u}_{i} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{t}, \end{aligned}$$
(8)

where $\tilde{u}_{r,t} = \tilde{u}_i(\gamma_{r,t}k_{x_{r,t}},k_{y_{r,t}})$, $\gamma_r = -1$, $\gamma_t = \eta$. Note that the reflected and transmitted vortex beams experience extra phase shifts [39] associated with diffraction while propagating, which are $\exp[ikz_r(1 - \frac{k_{x_r}^2 + k_{y_r}^2}{2k^2})]$ and $\exp[inkz_t(1 - \frac{k_{x_r}^2 + k_{y_r}^2}{2n^2k^2})]$, respectively.

At this point, we feel obliged to make several comments. First, the phase-matching conditions, as demonstrated in Eq. (6), play a crucial role in this paper. For instance, $k_{x_r} = -k_{x_i}$ and $k_{y_r} = k_{y_i}$ show that the wave front of the reflected vortex beam is reversed (shown in Fig. 2), resulting in a reversed orbital angular momentum $-l\hbar$ per photon. $k_{z_i} = nk_{z_i}$ shows that the transmitted beam in LHMs undergoes negative phase velocity, resulting in a reversed helical wave front (shown in Fig. 2). The reversed wave front in LHMs does not lead to reversed orbital angular momentum, which is explained in Sec. IV. The equation $k_{x_i} = k_{x_i}/\eta$ means that

the transmitted beam experiences a beam deformation in the cross section. Second, in the vicinity of critical angle θ_C of TIR and Brewster angle $\theta_B [|\theta_i - \theta_{B,C}| \leq \theta_0$, where $\theta_0 = 2/(kw_0)$ is the beam divergence angle], we should be cautious. For example, in the vicinity of the critical angle $(|\theta_i - \theta_c| \leq \theta_0)$, the first derivatives of $r_{p,s}$ are extremely large [40], meaning that Eqs. (7) and (8) should be revised to include terms higher than $(k_{x_i}/k)^2$ and $(k_{y_i}/k)^2$. If a *p*-polarized vortex beam impinges on the air-LHM interface with the incident angle being in the vicinity of the Brewster angle $(|\theta_i - \theta_B| \leq \theta_0)$, the power of the reflected beam would be on the scale of θ_0^2 (approximately $10^{-4} \sim 10^{-6}$ for paraxial beams) compared with that of the incident beam. This constitutes the reason why the experiments [41,42] fail to collect reliable data concerning the shift of *p*-polarized beams in the vicinity of the Brewster angle. Third, although the beam shape and the shift of the reflected vortex beam are already clear [18,43,44], the profile of the transmitted vortex beam is far from clear-cut. We formulate a rigorous transmitted field in the Appendix. By referencing the Appendix, the beam shape of the transmitted vortex beam is easily acquired.

III. SPATIAL AND ANGULAR SHIFTS

The paraxial wave equation is identical to the twodimensional Schrödinger equation with *z* replaced by *t*. Hence, the operator formalism proposed by Stoler [45] has become a powerful tool in physical optics [46–48]. In this section, we will apply the operator formalism to calculate the centroid of the reflected and transmitted beams. In momentum space, the transverse position operator is $i \partial_{k_{\perp}}$. Therefore, the centroid of the beams in a given plane z = const is readily given by

$$\langle \mathbf{r}_{\perp} \rangle = \frac{\langle G\tilde{\mathbf{E}} | i \partial_{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}} | G\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle}{\langle G\tilde{\mathbf{E}} | G\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle},\tag{9}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{\perp} = x\hat{\mathbf{x}} + y\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \ \partial_{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial k_x}\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial k_y}\hat{\mathbf{y}}$, and the propagation operator $G = \exp[inkz - \frac{iz}{2nk}(k_x^2 + k_y^2)]$. The above equation can be easily formulated into the following one:

$$\langle \mathbf{r}_{\perp} \rangle = \frac{\langle \tilde{\mathbf{E}} | i \partial_{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}} | \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\mathbf{E}} | \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle} + \frac{z}{nk} \frac{\langle \tilde{\mathbf{E}} | \mathbf{k}_{\perp} | \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\mathbf{E}} | \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle}, \tag{10}$$

where k is the wave number in vacuum and n is the refractive index. The first and second terms in Eq. (10) are the spatial and angular shifts, which are independent of and dependent on z, respectively. From the second term in Eq. (10), we can easily find that the negative refractive index n results in reversed angular shifts in LHMs [33]. For the reflected and transmitted beams, the GH and IF shifts can be expressed as $\langle x_{\tau} \rangle = \Delta x_{\tau} + z_{\tau} \Delta \theta_{x_{\tau}}$ and $\langle y_{\tau} \rangle = \Delta y_{\tau} + z_{\tau} \Delta \theta_{y_{\tau}}$, where $\tau = r, t$.

We first calculate the spatial and angular shifts of reflected beams. Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (10), we get the spatial and angular shifts of reflected beams:

$$\Delta x_r = \frac{\chi l \cot \theta_i (|r_s|^2 - |r_p|^2)}{2k(|\alpha r_p|^2 + |\beta r_s|^2)} + \frac{|\alpha r_p|^2 \frac{\partial \theta_{r_p}}{\partial \theta_i} + |\beta r_s|^2 \frac{\partial \phi_{r_s}}{\partial \theta_i}}{k(|\alpha r_p|^2 + |\beta r_s|^2)},$$
(11)

$$\Delta y_{r} = \frac{-l(|\alpha|^{2}|r_{p}|\frac{\partial|r_{p}|}{\partial\theta_{i}} + |\beta|^{2}|r_{s}|\frac{\partial|r_{s}|}{\partial\theta_{i}})}{k(|\alpha r_{p}|^{2} + |\beta r_{s}|^{2})} - \frac{\cot\theta_{i}}{2k(|\alpha r_{p}|^{2} + |\beta r_{s}|^{2})} \{2\chi |r_{s}||r_{p}|\sin(\phi_{r_{s}} - \phi_{r_{p}}) + \sigma[|r_{p}|^{2} + |r_{s}|^{2} + 2|r_{p}||r_{s}|\cos(\phi_{r_{s}} - \phi_{r_{p}})]\}, (12)$$

$$\Delta \theta_{x_r} = \frac{-(|l|+1)\left(|\alpha|^2 |r_p| \frac{\partial |r_p|}{\partial \theta_i} + |\beta|^2 |r_s| \frac{\partial |r_s|}{\partial \theta_i}\right)}{k z_R (|\alpha r_p|^2 + |\beta r_s|^2)}, \quad (13)$$

$$\Delta \theta_{y_r} = \frac{\chi(|l|+1)\cot\theta_i(|r_p|^2 - |r_s|^2)}{2kz_R(|\alpha r_p|^2 + |\beta r_s|^2)},$$
(14)

 $r_{p,s} = |r_{p,s}| \exp[i\phi_{r_{p,s}}], \quad \sigma = 2\mathrm{Im}[\alpha^*\beta],$ where $\chi =$ $2\text{Re}[\alpha^*\beta]$, and $z_R = kw_0^2/2$ is the Rayleigh length. When evaluating the energy term $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{E}} | \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle$, we discard the cross-polarization terms (terms proportional to k_{x_r}/k or k_{y_r}/k) and z_r -component electric field \tilde{E}_{z_r} since their average energy density is proportional to θ_0^2 . The first terms of Eqs. (11) and (12) are vortex-induced spatial GH and IF shifts. They were initially proposed by Bliokh et al. [18] and Fedoseyev [43], respectively. Experimental demonstrations were accomplished by Merano et al. [44] and Dasgupta and Gupta [41] at the air-glass interface. Note that the vortex-induced spatial GH shift only exists in mixed linearly polarized beams (the polarization vector is oblique to the incident plane), while the vortex-induced spatial IF shift occurs in the arbitrary polarized state. The second term in Eq. (11) coincides with the well-known Artmann's formula [2]. The second term of Eq. (12) is the spin-dependent IF shift [6,14,35]. In partial reflection and TIR, it turns into the Bliokh's formula [14] and Schilling's formula [6], respectively. Equations (13) and (14) are the angular shifts. In general, they are proportional to θ_0^2 . For *p*-polarized beams, these angular shifts could be remarkably magnified through Brewster resonance [17] (finally in the scale of θ_0 near the Brewster angle). In these cases, the cross-polarization terms are not negligible when we calculate the energy intensity.

A careful assessment of Eqs. (11)-(14) indicates that, in the partial reflection region, the spatial and angular GH and IF shifts at loss-free air-LHM and air-RHM interfaces are identical. In TIR, shifts are different, however. In this case, the vortex-induced shifts and angular shifts are null. The phase $\phi_{r_{ns}}$ has the same magnitude but the opposite sign for RHMs and LHMs, resulting in a negative GH shift [29,30] in LHMs. The IF shifts for circularly polarized beams and mixed linearly polarized beams are $-\sigma \cot \theta_i [1 + \cos(\phi_{r_s} - \phi_{r_s})]$ $(\phi_{r_p})]/k$ and $-\chi \cot \theta_i \sin(\phi_{r_s} - \phi_{r_p})/k$, respectively. Here, we theoretically predict that, owing to the phase reversion, the IF shift in the total reflection region would also be reversed at the air-LHM interface when the incident beam is in the mixed linearly polarized state. This prediction is illustrated in Fig. 3. With the refractive index $n_R = -n_L = 1.515$, we choose incident $\theta_i = \pi/4$ to avoid the deformation of reflected beams [40]. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show that the IF shift in TIR remains unreversed when the incident beam is in the circularly polarized state. Figures 3(c) and 3(d) suggest that the IF shift in TIR is reversed when the incident beam is in the mixed linearly polarized state.



FIG. 3. (Color online) The GH and IF shifts in TIR. The parameters are incident angle $\theta_i = \pi/4$, refractive index of LHM $n_L = -1.515$, refractive index of RHM $n_R = 1.515$, vortex charge l = 1, propagation distance $z = z_R$, and beam waist $w_0 = 20\lambda$, where λ is the wavelength in vacuum. To make the shifts more noticeable, we amplify them by 20 times. In (a) and (b), the incident beam is left circularly polarized. The actual beam shifts of (a) are $\langle x_r^L \rangle = 1.129\lambda$ and $\langle y_r^L \rangle = -0.282\lambda$. The actual beam shifts of (b) are $\langle x_r^R \rangle = -1.129\lambda$ and $\langle y_r^R \rangle = -0.282\lambda$. In (c) and (d), the incident beam is mixed linearly polarized with $\chi = 1$. The actual beam shifts of (c) are $\langle x_r^L \rangle = 1.129\lambda$ and $\langle y_r^L \rangle = 0.101\lambda$. The actual beam shifts of (d) are $\langle x_r^R \rangle = -1.129\lambda$ and $\langle y_r^R \rangle = -0.101\lambda$.

Now, we begin to study the shifts of transmitted vortex in LHMs. We substitute Eq. (8) into Eq. (10) and get the spatial and angular shifts of transmitted beams:

$$\Delta x_{t} = \frac{\chi \eta l \cot \theta_{i}(|t_{p}|^{2} - |t_{s}|^{2})}{2k(|\alpha t_{p}|^{2} + |\beta t_{s}|^{2})} - \frac{\eta \left(|\alpha t_{p}|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{i_{p}}}{\partial \theta_{i}} + |\beta t_{s}|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{i_{s}}}{\partial \theta_{i}}\right)}{k(|\alpha t_{p}|^{2} + |\beta t_{s}|^{2})},$$
(15)

21

$$\Delta y_{t} = \frac{-l(|\alpha|^{2}|t_{p}|\frac{\partial|t_{p}|}{\partial\theta_{i}} + |\beta|^{2}|t_{s}|\frac{\partial|t_{s}|}{\partial\theta_{i}})}{k(|\alpha t_{p}|^{2} + |\beta t_{s}|^{2})} + \frac{\cot\theta_{i}}{2k(|\alpha t_{p}|^{2} + |\beta t_{s}|^{2})} \{2\chi\eta|t_{s}||t_{p}|\sin(\phi_{t_{s}} - \phi_{t_{p}}) - \sigma[|t_{p}|^{2} + |t_{s}|^{2} - 2\eta|t_{p}||t_{s}|\cos(\phi_{t_{s}} - \phi_{t_{p}})]\}, (16)$$

$$\Delta \theta_{x_t} = \frac{\eta(|l|+1) \left(|\alpha|^2 |t_p| \frac{\partial |t_p|}{\partial \theta_i} + |\beta|^2 |t_s| \frac{\partial |t_s|}{\partial \theta_i} \right)}{k_{Z,R} \left(|\alpha t_p|^2 + |\beta t_s|^2 \right)}, \quad (17)$$

$$\Delta \theta_{y_i} = \frac{\chi(|l|+1)\cot\theta_i(|t_p|^2 - |t_s|^2)}{2kz_{R_y}(|\alpha t_p|^2 + |\beta t_s|^2)},$$
(18)

where $t_{p,s} = |t_{p,s}| \exp[i\phi_{t_{p,s}}]$ and $z_{R_x} = nk\eta^2 w_0^2/2$ and $z_{R_y} =$ $nkw_0^2/2$ are the Rayleigh lengths along x_t and y_t axes, respectively. These Rayleigh lengths of the transmitted vortex beam in LHMs are both negative owing to negative phase



FIG. 4. (Color online) The spatial GH and IF shifts of transmitted vortex beams at air-LHM and air-RHM interfaces. The parameters are incident angle $\theta_i = \pi/4$, refractive index of LHM $n_L = -1.515$, refractive index of RHM $n_R = 1.515$, polarization state $\sigma = 1/2$, $\chi = 1/2$, propagation distance $z = z_R$, and beam waist $w_0 = 20\lambda$. To make the shifts more noticeable, we amplify them by a factor of 200. In (a) and (b), the vortex charge is l = 1. The actual beam shifts of (a) and (b) are $\Delta x_t^L = 0.006\lambda$ and $\Delta y_t^L = 0.085\lambda$. In (c) and (d), the vortex charge is l = -1. The actual beam shifts of (c) and (d) are $\Delta x_t^L = -0.006\lambda$ and $\Delta y_t^L = -0.033\lambda$.

velocity [49]. The first terms in Eqs. (15) and (16) are vortex-induced spatial GH and IF shifts. Until now, no experiments have been reported on these vortex-induced GH and IF shifts. The vortex-induced GH shift of the transmitted beam occurs when the incident beam is in the mixed linearly polarized state. The vortex-induced IF shift, however, exists in any polarization state. The second term in Eq. (15) is the phase-dependent GH shift. In lossy media, this part is not negligible. The second term in Eq. (16) is the spin-dependent IF shift. If the LHM is loss free, this term degenerates into the Bliokh's formula [14]. A special case is "total transmission," where n = -1. In this case, $t_p = t_s = 1$, and we get $\langle x_t \rangle = \langle y_t \rangle = 0.$

We closely examine Eqs. (15)–(18) and find that spatial GH and IF shifts of partial transmitted beams remain unreversed at the air-LHM interface compared to the air-RHM interface. The angular shifts, however, are reversed. Figure 4 shows the spatial GH and IF shifts of transmitted beams at the air-LHM and air-RHM interfaces. The beam profiles are drawn according to Eqs. (A4), (A7), and (A8). We can easily find that the transmitted beam is stretched along the x_t axis, which is a pure geometrical phenomenon. We assume that the LHM is low loss or loss free. This assumption is reasonable considering state-of-the-art micro- and nanomanufacturing technology. For example, by incorporating gain media into the fishnet structure [50] or exploiting the second-order magnetic resonance of the fishnet structure [51], we can obtain a



FIG. 5. (Color online) The spatial GH and IF shifts of transmitted vortex beams at air-LHM and air-RHM interfaces. The parameters are the refractive index of LHM $n_L = -1.515$, the refractive index of RHM $n_R = 1.515$, polarization state $\sigma = 1/2$, and $\chi = 1/2$. The spatial shifts are presented on the scale of λ .

low-loss, three-dimensional, polarization-independent LHM in the visible spectral range. Based on this assumption, the phase-dependent term of the spatial GH shift vanishes, and the spatial GH shift only depends on vortex. This argument is confirmed by Figs. 4(a) and 4(c), where the vortex charge is l = 1 and l = -1, respectively. Figures 4(a) and 4(c) indicate that when the vortex charge is reversed, the spatial GH shift is also reversed. The spatial IF shift is both vortex and spin dependent. Therefore, when the vortex charge is flipped, the absolute value of the spatial IF shift is altered [but not flipped; see Figs. 4(a) and 4(c)]. In Fig. 5, we demonstrates how the incident angle impacts the spatial GH and IF shifts. When the incident angle increases, both GH and IF shifts increase. The IF shift fails to converge when θ_i approaches 90° . We note that in this case, the energy transmission coefficient is zero. Hence, the spatial shifts of transmitted beams are meaningless. Figure 5 also suggests that both spatial GH and IF shifts can be enhanced by raising the vortex charge.

We proceed to analyze the angular GH and IF shifts. Figure 6 demonstrates how the incident angle affects the angular shifts. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show the angular GH shift at the air-LHM and air-RHM interfaces, respectively. They indicate that the angular GH shift is reversed at the air-LHM interface. Figures 6(c) and 6(d) show the angular IF shift at the air-LHM and air-RHM interfaces, respectively. They indicate that the angular IF shift is reversed at the air-LHM interface. For the angular IF shift at the air-LHM interface, there exists a minimum point as incident angle increases from 0° to 90° . These angular shifts increase proportionally as we raise the absolute value of vortex charge.



FIG. 6. (Color online) The angular GH and IF shifts of transmitted vortex beams at air-LHM and air-RHM interfaces. The parameters are the refractive index of LHM $n_L = -1.515$, the refractive index of RHM $n_R = 1.515$, polarization state $\sigma = 1/2$, and $\chi = 1/2$. The angular shifts are presented on the scale of θ_0^2 .

IV. LINEAR AND ANGULAR MOMENTA

In this section, we start to analyze the linear and angular momenta of reflected and transmitted vortex beams. In general, the linear momentum density can be divided into the orbital part and the spin part [52], which reads

$$\mathbf{p} = \operatorname{Im}[\mathbf{E}^* \cdot (\nabla)\mathbf{E}] + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Im}[\nabla \times (\mathbf{E}^* \times \mathbf{E})], \qquad (19)$$

where the first term is orbital momentum density \mathbf{p}^{o} , the second term is spin momentum density \mathbf{p}^{s} , and \mathbf{E} is the electric field in position space. In momentum space, we can write Eq. (19) as

$$\mathbf{p} = |G\tilde{\mathbf{E}}|^2 \mathbf{k} + (k_x \hat{\mathbf{y}} - k_y \hat{\mathbf{x}}) (G^* \tilde{E}_x^* G \tilde{E}_y - G \tilde{E}_x G^* \tilde{E}_y^*).$$
(20)

Therefore, the momentum per unit length is

$$\mathbf{P} = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{E}} | \mathbf{k} | \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle + \langle \tilde{E}_x | k_x | \tilde{E}_y \rangle \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \langle \tilde{E}_y | k_y | \tilde{E}_x \rangle \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \qquad (21)$$

where the first term is orbital momentum \mathbf{P}^{O} and the second and third terms are spin momentum \mathbf{P}^{S} . From the above equation, we find that $P_{z} \propto nk$, which means P_{z} in LHMs is opposite to P_{z} in RHMs. For both paraxial and nonparaxial beams, the spin part makes no contribution to the linear momentum [48,53]: $\mathbf{P}^{S} = \mathbf{0}$.

In position space, the angular momentum density is denoted by $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$. In momentum space, we replace \mathbf{r} with the operator $i\partial_{\mathbf{k}\perp} + z\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and get the angular momentum:

$$\mathbf{J} = \langle G\tilde{\mathbf{E}} | (i\partial_{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}} + z\hat{\mathbf{z}}) \times \mathbf{k} | G\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle
+ \left[\langle G\tilde{E}_{y} | i(\partial_{k_{x}}k_{x} + \partial_{k_{y}}k_{y}) | G\tilde{E}_{x} \rangle
- \langle G\tilde{E}_{x} | i(\partial_{k_{x}}k_{x} + \partial_{k_{y}}k_{y}) | G\tilde{E}_{y} \rangle \right] \hat{\mathbf{z}},$$
(22)

where the first term is orbital angular momentum \mathbf{J}^O and the second and third terms are spin angular momentum \mathbf{J}^S . Note that the transverse spin angular momenta are null, which means $J_x^S = J_y^S = 0$. We substitute the propagation operator $G = \exp[inkz - \frac{iz}{2nk}(k_x^2 + k_y^2)]$ into Eq. (22) and get the orbital and spin angular momenta [47,48,53]:

$$\mathbf{J}^{O} = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{E}} | ink \partial_{k_{y}} | \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \langle \tilde{\mathbf{E}} | - ink \partial_{k_{x}} | \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \langle \tilde{\mathbf{E}} | i (k_{y} \partial_{k_{x}} - k_{x} \partial_{k_{y}}) | \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rangle \hat{\mathbf{z}},$$
(23)

$$= \left[\langle E_y | i \left(\partial_{k_x} k_x + \partial_{k_y} k_y \right) | E_x \rangle - \langle \tilde{E}_x | i \left(\partial_{k_x} k_x + \partial_{k_y} k_y \right) | \tilde{E}_y \rangle \right] \hat{\mathbf{z}}.$$
(24)

We find that the transverse orbital angular momenta J_x^O and J_y^O are proportional to nk, which means they have opposite directions in LHMs compared to their counterparts in RHMs. Equations (23) and (24) also indicate that the *z*-component orbital angular momentum J_z^O and spin angular momentum J_z^S have the same directions in LHMs and RHMs. Comparing Eq. (10) with Eqs. (21) and (23), we can easily get the following relations:

$$\Delta x = -\frac{J_y^O}{P_z^O}, \quad \Delta y = \frac{J_x^O}{P_z^O}; \quad \Delta \theta_x = \frac{P_x^O}{P_z^O}, \quad \Delta \theta_y = \frac{P_y^O}{P_z^O},$$
(25)

where Δx and Δy are spatial shifts and $\Delta \theta x$ and $\Delta \theta y$ are angular shifts.

We first offer a qualitative explanation of why spatial shifts are unreversed in LHMs but angular shifts are reversed in LHMs. Equation (25) indicates that spatial shifts have no direct relation to the z-component orbital angular momentum J_z^O and spin angular momentum J_z^S . They are dependent on the transverse angular momenta \mathbf{J}_{\perp}^O and the z-component linear momentum P_z^O [47]. Since the directions of \mathbf{J}_{\perp}^O and P_z^O are both reversed in LHMs, the spatial shifts $\Delta \mathbf{r}_{\perp}$ remain unreversed as a result. The angular shifts, however, solely depend on linear momentum. The reason why angular shifts are reversed in LHMs is that the transverse linear momentum \mathbf{P}_{\perp}^O is unreversed but the z-component linear momentum P_z^O is reversed.

From now on, we will perform a quantitative analysis of the linear and angular momenta and confirm the momentum conservation laws. Therefore, we assume that the LHM is loss free and the amplitude reflection coefficients $r_{p,s}$ and amplitude transmission coefficients $t_{p,s}$ are real variables. By substituting Eqs. (2), (7), and (8) into Eq. (21), we obtain the linear momenta of the incident, reflected, and transmitted beams:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{i} &= k\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i}, \\ \mathbf{P}_{r} &= \frac{-(|l|+1)}{2z_{R}} \frac{\partial Q_{r}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{r} + \frac{\chi(|l|+1)}{2z_{R}} \left(r_{p}^{2} - r_{s}^{2}\right) (\cot\theta_{i}) \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{r} \\ &+ k Q_{r} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{r}, \\ \mathbf{P}_{t} &= \frac{(|l|+1)}{2\eta^{2} z_{R}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta_{i}} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + \frac{\chi(|l|+1)}{2\eta z_{R}} \left(t_{p}^{2} - t_{s}^{2}\right) (\cot\theta_{i}) \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t} \\ &+ \frac{nkT}{\eta} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{t}, \end{aligned}$$
(26)

where $Q_r = |\alpha r_p|^2 + |\beta r_s|^2$ is the energy reflection coefficient and $T = |\alpha t_p|^2 + |\beta t_s|^2$. The energy transmission coefficient is $Q_t = |n|\eta T$. Note that the linear momenta of incident, reflected, and transmitted beams are presented in three different coordinate systems $o_{i,r,t}$ - $x_{i,r,t}y_{i,r,t}z_{i,r,t}$. We can verify that the linear momenta along the *x* and *y* axes satisfy the conservation law [19,20]

$$P_{z_i} \sin \theta_i = P_{z_r} \sin \theta_i - P_{x_r} \cos \theta_i + |n| \eta^2 (P_{x_t} \cos \theta_t - P_{z_t} \sin \theta_t), \qquad (27)$$
$$P_{y_i} = P_{y_r} + |n| \eta^2 P_{y_t} = 0.$$

For the incident beam, there is no transverse linear momentum. To satisfy the linear momentum conservation law, transverse linear momenta P_{x_r} , P_{y_r} , P_{x_l} , P_{y_l} are produced. These transverse linear momenta are responsible for angular shifts.

We proceed to analyze the angular momenta. By substituting Eqs. (2), (7), and (8) into Eqs. (23) and (24), we get the angular momenta of incident, reflected, and transmitted beams:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{i} &= (l+\sigma)\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i}, \\ \mathbf{J}_{r} &= \left[-\frac{l}{2}\frac{\partial Q_{r}}{\partial \theta_{i}} - \frac{\sigma}{2}(r_{p}+r_{s})^{2}\cot\theta_{i} \right]\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{r} \\ &+ \frac{\chi l}{2}(r_{p}^{2}-r_{s}^{2})(\cot\theta_{i})\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{r} + (-lQ_{r}+\sigma r_{p}r_{s})\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{r}, \end{aligned} (28) \\ \mathbf{J}_{t} &= \left[-\frac{nl}{2\eta}\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta_{i}} - \frac{n\sigma}{2\eta}(t_{p}^{2}+t_{s}^{2}-2\eta t_{p}t_{s})\cot\theta_{i} \right]\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} \\ &+ \frac{n\chi l}{2}(t_{s}^{2}-t_{p}^{2})(\cot\theta_{i})\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t} + \left[\frac{l(1+\eta^{2})T}{2\eta^{2}} + \frac{\sigma t_{p}t_{s}}{\eta} \right]\hat{\mathbf{z}}_{t}, \end{aligned}$$

where the spin angular momenta of incident, reflected, and transmitted beams are σ , $\sigma r_p r_s$, and $\sigma t_p t_s \eta^{-1}$, respectively. For each individual photon, the angular momenta of the incident, reflected, and transmitted beams are $(l + \sigma)\hbar$, $(-l + \sigma r_p r_s/Q_r)\hbar$, and $[l(\eta + \eta^{-1})/2 + \sigma t_p t_s/T]\hbar$, respectively. Though the orbital and spin momenta of the reflected photon and transmitted photon depend on the absolute value of the refractive index, they are independent of the sign of the refractive index. The z-component angular momenta satisfy the conservation law

$$J_{z_i} \cos \theta_i = -J_{z_r} \cos \theta_i - J_{x_r} \sin \theta_i + |n| \eta^2 (J_{x_t} \sin \theta_t + J_{z_t} \cos \theta_t).$$
(29)

From Eqs. (28) and (29), we can infer that there are two types of momentum conversions. The first type is spin-orbit conversion. The *z*-component spin angular momentum of incident beam $\sigma \cos \theta_i$ converts into transverse angular momenta $J_{x_r}^O$ and $J_{x_r}^O$, resulting in spin-dependent IF shifts. The second type is orbit-orbit conversion. The *z*-component orbital angular momentum of incident beam $l \cos \theta_i$ converts into transverse angular momenta $J_{x_r}^O$ and $J_{x_r}^O$, resulting in vortex-induced IF shifts. The vortex-induced GH shifts, though related to $J_{y_{r,i}}^O$, are not governed by the angular momentum conservation law.

In TIR, the linear and angular momenta of incident and reflected beams are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_{i} &= k \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i}, \quad \mathbf{P}_{r} = k \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{r}; \quad \mathbf{J}_{i} = (l + \sigma) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{i}, \\ \mathbf{J}_{r} &= -\cot \theta_{i} \left[\sigma + \sigma \cos \left(\phi_{r_{s}} - \phi_{r_{p}} \right) + \chi \sin \left(\phi_{r_{s}} - \phi_{r_{p}} \right) \right] \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{r} \\ &- \left(|\alpha|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{r_{p}}}{\partial \theta_{i}} + |\beta|^{2} \frac{\partial \phi_{r_{s}}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \right) \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{r} \\ &+ \left[-l + \sigma \cos \left(\phi_{r_{s}} - \phi_{r_{p}} \right) + \chi \sin \left(\phi_{r_{s}} - \phi_{r_{p}} \right) \right] \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{r}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(30)$$

We can easily verify that they fulfill the conservation law

$$P_{z_i} \sin \theta_i = P_{z_r} \sin \theta_i,$$

$$J_{z_i} \cos \theta_i = -J_{z_r} \cos \theta_i - J_{x_r} \sin \theta_i.$$
(31)

At this point, we would like to add three comments. First, it is worth noting that we adopted the Minkowski momentum in Eqs. (27) and (29) in this paper. Although the Abraham-Minkowski dilemma has been solved [54–56], why Minkowski momentum is a proper form in this study, we believe, is an interesting problem worth further investigation. Second, two important papers [34,57] were published while our paper was being peer reviewed. One paper [34] adopted the real-space approach and revealed the role of longitudinal field E_z in IF shifts for the first time. We think additional analysis of the connection between longitudinal field and spin-orbit conversion could also be carried out in the momentum space. The other paper [57] unambiguously separated the effects of beam shape and other parameters (such as polarization, the property of the interface) on GH and IF shifts, which have long escaped researchers' attention over the past years. But the discussions are confined to a reflected vortex beam. Generalization to a transmitted vortex beam, we think, remains quite challenging. Third, owing to the close similarity between light beams and matter waves, scientists have found that electron beams can also possess orbital angular momentum by passing through a spiral phase plate [58] or nanofabricated diffraction hologram [59]. In this regard, the vortex electron beam might also experience vortex-induced shifts in a potential well [60,61]. By properly designing the potential well and taking advantage of quantum weak measurements or other measuring technology, we may even observe the vortex-induced beam shifts of an electron beam in experiment.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have derived the reflected and transmitted fields of a vortex beam at the air-LHM interface via the angular spectrum method. By using this method, we have managed to get the formulas of spatial GH shifts, spatial IF shifts, angular GH shifts, and angular IF shifts. These formulas suggest that the spatial GH and IF shifts remain unreversed at the air-LHM interface compared with the air-RHM interface. By raising the vortex charge, the spatial shifts can be remarkably enhanced. In TIR, apart from the reversed GH shift, we predict that the IF shift would also be reversed when the incident beam is in a mixed linearly polarized state. The physical interpretation of these interesting phenomena lies in the reversed transverse angular momenta and reversed linear momenta. Although the spatial shifts have no direct relation to the z-component angular momentum (the z-component angular momentum is not reversed in LHMs), they are actually the outcomes of spinorbit and orbit-orbit conversion. Therefore, the unreversed spatial shifts are indirect evidence of unreversed angular momentum of LHMs. As for angular shifts, they are reversed at the air-LHM interface and can be amplified by enhancing the vortex charge. This is direct evidence of the reversed linear momentum of LHMs. In addition to this qualitative analysis, we also offer concrete expressions of the transverse linear and angular momenta, which explicitly reveal the physical picture of spin-orbit and orbit-orbit conversions. These momentum conversions are governed by the *z*-component angular momentum conservation law.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by projects of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 61025024 and No. 11074068).

APPENDIX: ELECTRIC FIELDS IN POSITION SPACE

In this Appendix, we will give the full analytical expressions of the electric fields of reflected and transmitted beams. The electric fields in position space are given by inverse Fourier transformation,

$$u(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk_x dk_y \tilde{u}(k_x, k_y) \exp[i(k_x x + k_y y)]$$
$$\times \exp\left[inkz - \frac{iz}{2nk} (k_x^2 + k_y^2)\right].$$
(A1)

We first apply Eq. (A1) to calculate the reflected fields. Substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (A1), we get the reflected beams:

$$\mathbf{E}_{r} = \left\{ \alpha r_{p} + \frac{i}{k} \left[\alpha \frac{\partial r_{p}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r}} - \beta (r_{s} + r_{p}) \cot \theta_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{r}} \right] \right\} u_{r} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{r} \\ + \left\{ \beta r_{s} + \frac{i}{k} \left[\beta \frac{\partial r_{s}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r}} + \alpha (r_{s} + r_{p}) \cot \theta_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{r}} \right] \right\} u_{r} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{r} \\ + \frac{i}{k} \left(\alpha r_{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r}} + \beta r_{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{r}} \right) u_{r} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{r}, \qquad (A2) \\ u_{r} = \frac{C_{l} k w_{0}}{2(z_{R} + i z_{r})} \left[\frac{k w_{0}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{x_{r} - i \operatorname{sgn}[l] y_{r}}{z_{R} + i z_{r}} \right]^{|l|}$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\frac{k(x_r^2 + y_r^2)}{2(z_R + iz_r)} + ikz_r\right].$$
 (A3)

Note that the orbital angular momentum of the reflected beam is $-l\hbar$ per photon. Equations (A2) and (A3) are the full expressions of the reflected electric fields.

We proceed to calculate the transmitted electric fields. Substituting Eq. (8) into Eq. (A1), we get the transmitted fields:

$$\mathbf{E}_{t} = \left\{ \alpha t_{p} - \frac{i}{k} \left[\alpha \eta \frac{\partial t_{p}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{t}} + \beta (t_{p} - \eta t_{s}) \cot \theta_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{t}} \right] \right\} u_{t} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} \\ + \left\{ \beta t_{s} - \frac{i}{k} \left[\beta \eta \frac{\partial t_{s}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{t}} + \alpha (\eta t_{p} - t_{s}) \cot \theta_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{t}} \right] \right\} u_{t} \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{t} \\ + \frac{i}{nk} \left(\alpha t_{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{t}} + \beta t_{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{t}} \right) u_{t} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{t}.$$
(A4)

The formula for u_t is rather lengthy. If |l| is an even number, then the formula is

$$u_{t} = \frac{C_{l}|n|kw_{0}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(z_{R_{x}} + iz_{t})(z_{R_{y}} + iz_{t})}} \left[\frac{w_{0}}{\sqrt{2}}\right]^{|l|} \exp\left[-\frac{nk}{2}\left(\frac{x_{t}^{2}}{z_{R_{x}} + iz_{t}} + \frac{y_{t}^{2}}{z_{R_{y}} + iz_{t}}\right) + inkz_{t}\right]$$

$$\times \left\{\sum_{m=0,2,4,\dots}^{|l|} C_{|l|}^{m} \left[\frac{-i\eta}{\sqrt{a}}\right]^{|l|-m} \left[\frac{\operatorname{sgn}[l]}{\sqrt{c}}\right]^{m} \exp[-ab^{2} - cd^{2}]\Gamma\left(\frac{1+|l|-m}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1+m}{2}\right)$$

$$\times_{1}F_{1}\left(\frac{1+|l|-m}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, ab^{2}\right) {}_{1}F_{1}\left(\frac{1+m}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, cd^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1,3,5,\dots}^{|l|-1} C_{|l|}^{m} \left[\frac{-i\eta}{\sqrt{a}}\right]^{|l|-m} \left[\frac{\operatorname{sgn}[l]}{\sqrt{c}}\right]^{m} 4\sqrt{acbd} \exp[-ab^{2} - cd^{2}]\Gamma\left(1+\frac{|l|-m}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(1+\frac{m}{2}\right)$$

$$\times_{1}F_{1}\left(1+\frac{|l|-m}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, ab^{2}\right) {}_{1}F_{1}\left(1+\frac{m}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, cd^{2}\right)\right\};$$
(A5)

if |l| is an odd number, then the formula is

$$u_{t} = \frac{C_{l}|n|kw_{0}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(z_{R_{x}} + iz_{t})(z_{R_{y}} + iz_{t})}} \left[\frac{w_{0}}{\sqrt{2}}\right]^{|l|} \exp\left[-\frac{nk}{2}\left(\frac{x_{t}^{2}}{z_{R_{x}} + iz_{t}} + \frac{y_{t}^{2}}{z_{R_{y}} + iz_{t}}\right) + inkz_{t}\right]$$

$$\times \left\{\sum_{m=0,2,4,\dots}^{|l|-1} C_{|l|}^{m} \left[\frac{-i\eta}{\sqrt{a}}\right]^{|l|-m} \left[\frac{\operatorname{sgn}[l]}{\sqrt{c}}\right]^{m} 2\sqrt{a}b \exp[-ab^{2} - cd^{2}]\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{|l| - m}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1 + m}{2}\right)\right]$$

$$\times {}_{1}F_{1}\left(1 + \frac{|l| - m}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, ab^{2}\right) {}_{1}F_{1}\left(\frac{1 + m}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, cd^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1,3,5,\dots}^{|l|} C_{|l|}^{m} \left[\frac{-i\eta}{\sqrt{a}}\right]^{|l|-m} \left[\frac{\operatorname{sgn}[l]}{\sqrt{c}}\right]^{m} 2\sqrt{c}d \exp[-ab^{2} - cd^{2}]\Gamma\left(\frac{1 + |l| - m}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{m}{2}\right)$$

$$\times {}_{1}F_{1}\left(\frac{1 + |l| - m}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, ab^{2}\right) {}_{1}F_{1}\left(1 + \frac{m}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, cd^{2}\right)\right\},$$
(A6)

where $C_{|l|}^m$ is the binomial coefficient, Γ is the Gamma function, ${}_1F_1$ is the Kummer confluent hypergeometric function, $a = \eta^2 w_0^2/4 + i z_t/2nk$, $b = i x_t/2a$, $c = w_0^2/4 + i z_t/2nk$, and $d = i y_t/2c$. Equations (A4)–(A6) fully describe the transmitted vortex beams. Although Eqs. (A5) and (A6) are cumbersome, we still manage to get the electric fields for several low-order vortex beams:

$$u_t^{l=1} \propto \left(\frac{\eta x_t}{z_{R_x} + iz_t} + \frac{iy_t}{z_{R_y} + iz_t}\right),\tag{A7}$$

$$u_t^{l=-1} \propto \left(\frac{\eta x_t}{z_{R_x} + iz_t} - \frac{iy_t}{z_{R_y} + iz_t}\right),\tag{A8}$$

$$u_t^{l=2} \propto \left[\left(\frac{\eta x_t}{z_{R_x} + iz_t} + \frac{iy_t}{z_{R_y} + iz_t} \right)^2 + \frac{iz_t(1 - \eta^2)}{nk(z_{R_x} + iz_t)(z_{R_y} + iz_t)} \right],\tag{A9}$$

$$u_t^{l=-2} \propto \left[\left(\frac{\eta x_t}{z_{R_x} + iz_t} - \frac{iy_t}{z_{R_y} + iz_t} \right)^2 + \frac{iz_t(1 - \eta^2)}{nk(z_{R_x} + iz_t)(z_{R_y} + iz_t)} \right].$$
(A10)

- [1] F. Goos and H. Hänchen, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 436, 333 (1947).
- [2] K. Artmann, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 437, 87 (1948).
- [3] H. M. Lai, F. C. Cheng, and W. K. Tang, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 3, 550 (1986).
- [4] F. Lima, T. Dumelow, E. L. Albuquerque, and J. A. P. da Costa, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 28, 306 (2011).
- [5] F. I. Fedorov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 105, 465 (1955).

- [6] H. Schilling, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 471, 122 (1965).
- [7] C. Imbert, Phys. Rev. D 5, 787 (1972).
- [8] M. A. Player, J. Phys. A 20, 3667 (1987).
- [9] J.-M. Ménard, A. E. Mattacchione, H. M. van Driel, C. Hautmann, and M. Betz, Phys. Rev. B 82, 045303 (2010).
- [10] C. A. Dartora, G. G. Cabrera, K. Z. Nobrega, V. F. Montagner, M. H. K. Matielli, F. K. R. de Campos, and H. T. S. Filho, Phys. Rev. A 83, 012110 (2011).

ZHICHENG XIAO, HAILU LUO, AND SHUANGCHUN WEN

- [11] F. Pillon, H. Gilles, and S. Girard, Appl. Opt. 43, 1863 (2004).
- [12] M. Onoda, S. Murakami, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 083901 (2004).
- [13] K. Y. Bliokh and Y. P. Bliokh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 073903 (2006).
- [14] K. Y. Bliokh and Y. P. Bliokh, Phys. Rev. E 75, 066609 (2007).
- [15] O. Hosten and P. Kwiat, Science 319, 787 (2008).
- [16] C. C. Chan and T. Tamir, Opt. Lett. 10, 378 (1985).
- [17] M. Merano, A. Aiello, M. P. van Exter, and J. P. Woerdman, Nat. Photonics 3, 337 (2009).
- [18] K. Y. Bliokh, I. V. Shadrivov, and Y. S. Kivshar, Opt. Lett. 34, 389 (2009).
- [19] V. G. Fedoseyev, J. Opt. 13, 064025 (2011).
- [20] V. G. Fedoseyev, Opt. Commun. 282, 1247 (2009).
- [21] J. B. Pendry, A. J. Holden, W. J. Stewart, and I. Youngs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4773 (1996).
- [22] R. A. Shelby, D. R. Smith, and S. Schultz, Science **292**, 77 (2001).
- [23] H. J. Lezec, J. A. Dionne, and H. A. Atwater, Science 316, 430 (2007).
- [24] R. Liu, C. Ji, J. J. Mock, J. Y. Chin, T. J. Cui, and D. R. Smith, Science 323, 366 (2009).
- [25] N. Seddon and T. Bearpark, Science 302, 1537 (2003).
- [26] J. Chen, Y. Wang, B. Jia, T. Geng, X. Li, L. Feng, W. Qian, B. Liang, X. Zhang, M. Gu, and S. Zhuang, Nat. Photonics 5, 239 (2011).
- [27] H. Luo, S. Wen, W. Shu, Z. Tang, Y. Zou, and D. Fan, Phys. Rev. A 78, 033805 (2008).
- [28] Z. Duan, B.-I. Wu, J. Lu, J. A. Kong, and M. Chen, Opt. Express 16, 18479 (2008).
- [29] P. R. Berman, Phys. Rev. E 66, 067603 (2002).
- [30] I. V. Shadrivov, A. A. Zharov, and Y. S. Kivshar, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 2713 (2003).
- [31] C. Menzel, C. Rockstuhl, T. Paul, S. Fahr, and F. Lederer, Phys. Rev. A 77, 013810 (2008).
- [32] C. M. Krowne, Phys. Lett. A 373, 466 (2009).
- [33] H. Luo, S. Wen, W. Shu, Z. Tang, Y. Zou, and D. Fan, Phys. Rev. A 80, 043810 (2009).
- [34] A. Y. Bekshaev, Phys. Rev. A 85, 023842 (2012).
- [35] A. Aiello and J. P. Woerdman, Opt. Lett. 33, 1437 (2008).

- [36] L. Allen, M. W. Beijersbergen, R. J. C. Spreeuw, and J. P. Woerdman, Phys. Rev. A 45, 8185 (1992).
- [37] A. T. O'Neil, I. MacVicar, L. Allen, and M. J. Padgett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 053601 (2002).
- [38] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (Wiley, New York, 1999).
- [39] J. W. Goodman, *Introduction to Fourier Optics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1996).
- [40] H. Okuda and H. Sasada, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 25, 881 (2008).
- [41] R. Dasgupta and P. K. Gupta, Opt. Commun. 257, 91 (2006).
- [42] Y. Qin, Y. Li, H. He, and Q. Gong, Opt. Lett. 34, 2551 (2009).
- [43] V. G. Fedoseyev, Opt. Commun. 193, 9 (2001).
- [44] M. Merano, N. Hermosa, J. P. Woerdman, and A. Aiello, Phys. Rev. A 82, 023817 (2010).
- [45] D. Stoler, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 71, 334 (1981).
- [46] S. J. Van Enk and G. Nienhuis, J. Mod. Opt. 41, 963 (1994).
- [47] A. Aiello, N. Lindlein, C. Marquardt, and G. Leuchs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 100401 (2009).
- [48] K. Y. Bliokh, M. A. Alonso, E. A. Ostrovskaya, and A. Aiello, Phys. Rev. A 82, 063825 (2010).
- [49] H. Luo, W. Hu, Z. Ren, W. Shu, and F. Li, Opt. Commun. 266, 327 (2006).
- [50] S. Xiao, V. P. Drachev, A. V. Kildishev, X. Ni, U. K. Chettiar, H.-K. Yuan, and V. M. Shalaev, Nature (London) 466, 735 (2010).
- [51] C. García-Meca, J. Hurtado, J. Martí, A. Martínez, W. Dickson, and A. V. Zayats, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 067402 (2011).
- [52] M. V. Berry, J. Opt. A 11, 094001 (2009).
- [53] C.-F. Li, Phys. Rev. A 80, 063814 (2009).
- [54] S. M. Barnett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 070401 (2010).
- [55] P. W. Milonni and R. W. Boyd, Adv. Opt. Photonics 2, 519 (2010).
- [56] B. A. Kemp, J. Appl. Phys. **109**, 111101 (2011).
- [57] A. Aiello, New J. Phys. **14**, 013058 (2012).
- [58] M. Uchida and A. Tonomura, Nature (London) 464, 737 (2010).
- [59] J. Verbeeck, H. Tian, and P. Schattschneider, Nature (London) 467, 301 (2010).
- [60] K. Y. Bliokh, Y. P. Bliokh, S. Savel'ev, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 190404 (2007).
- [61] K. Y. Bliokh, M. R. Dennis, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 174802 (2011).