Generation of multipartite continuous-variable entanglement via atomic spin wave

Xihua Yang,^{1,*} Yuanyuan Zhou,¹ and Min Xiao^{2,3,†}

¹*Department of Physics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China*

²*National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures and Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China*

³*Department of Physics, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, USA*

(Received 30 January 2012; published 11 May 2012)

We present a proof-of-principle way to generate nondegenerate multipartite continuous-variable entanglement via atomic spin wave induced by the strong coupling and probe fields in the Λ -type electromagnetically induced transparency configuration in an atomic ensemble. Quantum correlated or anticorrelated and entangled Stokes and anti-Stokes fields, simultaneously produced through scattering the applied laser fields off the atomic spin wave, can be achieved. This method can, in principle, be extended to flexibly and conveniently create multicolor multipartite entangled narrow-band fields to any desired order with long correlation time, which may find promising applications in quantum information processing and quantum networks.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.85.052307](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.052307) PACS number(s): 03*.*67*.*Bg, 42*.*50*.*Dv, 42*.*50*.*Gy, 42*.*65*.*Lm

I. INTRODUCTION

Generating multipartite continuous-variable (CV) entanglement is a key resource for the implementation of quantum information protocols [\[1–3\]](#page-4-0). The most commonly used tool is to employ the linear optical elements, i.e., polarizing beam splitters, to combine squeezed beams to produce multipartite quantum correlations and entanglements [\[4,5\]](#page-4-0). However, such produced entangled multiple fields are degenerate and suffer from short correlation time, thus limiting their potential applications in quantum memory and quantum networks [\[3\]](#page-4-0). Recently, generations of multipartite CV entangled fields with different frequencies have been examined by using nonlinear optical processes. Nussenzveig *et al.* demonstrated the creation of three-color (pump, signal, and idler) entanglement in the above-threshold optical parametric oscillator [\[6\]](#page-4-0); other ways have been proposed by applying either cascaded nonlinearities or concurrent parametric oscillation [\[7,8\]](#page-4-0). Yet, these schemes are still suffering from having relatively short correlation time. An alternative promising avenue is the implementation of nonlinear processes in atomic media to mediate the creation of multientangled fields. By using nondegenerate four-wave mixing (FWM) or Raman-scattering processes in an atomic ensemble, the electromagnetically induced transparency [\[9\]](#page-4-0) (EIT)-based double-*-*-type systems have been actively studied for efficiently creating nondegenerate quantum correlated or entangled narrow-band photon pairs [\[10–12\]](#page-4-0). Such a scheme benefits from the cancellation of resonant absorption and, at the same time, the resonant enhancement of generation efficiency for the nonlinear optical processes [\[13\]](#page-4-0), and the correlation time is determined by the long coherence decay time (\sim ms or even \sim s [\[14\]](#page-5-0)) between the two lower states, thereby having the virtue suitable for quantum memory required in quantum communication [\[10\]](#page-4-0). In order to avoid EIT-induced group-velocity mismatch, a double-EIT scheme has been proposed to enhance the efficiency of the nonlinear interaction for producing entangled coherent states [\[15\]](#page-5-0). Moreover, the generation of multipartite entanglement by using a multiorder coherent Raman-scattering process in a

far-off-resonance medium with a prepared coherence has been examined [\[16\]](#page-5-0), where a broad comb of sideband fields with different frequencies can be obtained.

Motivated by our experimental observation of generating classical multifield correlations and anticorrelations via atomic spin coherence in an ⁸⁵Rb atomic system [\[17\]](#page-5-0), in this paper we propose a convenient and flexible way to create multicolor multipartite CV entanglement via an atomic spin wave established by the strong on-resonant coupling and probe fields in the Λ -type EIT configuration. Multiple entangled Stokes and anti-Stokes fields, simultaneously produced through scattering the applied laser fields off the atomic spin wave, can be obtained by using an atomic ensemble. This method can, in principle, provide an alternative way to create nondegenerate multientangled CV fields (up to an arbitrarily high order) with long correlation time, which may find interesting applications in quantum communication and quantum information processing. Though both the present method and that studied in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-5-0) employ a similar physical mechanism (i.e., a prepared spin coherence) to generate multientangled CV fields with different frequencies, there exist two major differences. First, the frequency difference of the generated entangled fields can be as small as one desires in the present scheme, whereas the frequency difference of the multiple fields is fixed (equal to integer multiples of the frequency separation of the lower doublet) by using the multiorder coherent Raman-scattering process [\[16\]](#page-5-0). Second, two strong on-resonant fields are used to create the spin coherence in the current scheme, in comparison to the two strong far-off-resonant fields used in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-5-0).

II. THEORETICAL MODEL

The considered model, as shown in Fig. $1(a)$, is based on our experimental configuration used in Ref. [\[17\]](#page-5-0), where the relevant energy levels and the applied or generated laser fields form a quintuple- Λ -type system. Levels $|1\rangle$, $|2\rangle$, and $|3\rangle$ correspond, respectively, to the ground-state hyperfine levels 5*S*_{1/2} (*F* = 2), 5*S*_{1/2} (*F* = 3), and the excited state 5*P*_{1/2} in the D_1 line of the ⁸⁵Rb atom with the ground-state hyperfine splitting of 3.036 GHz. The probe field E_p (with frequency ω_p) and Rabi frequency Ω_p) and coupling field E_c (with frequency $ω_c$ and Rabi frequency $Ω_c$) are relatively strong and tuned to resonance with the transitions $|1\rangle$ – $|3\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ – $|3\rangle$, respectively.

^{*}yangxih@yahoo.com.cn

[†] mxiao@uark.edu

FIG. 1. (a) The quintuple- Λ -type system of the D_1 transitions in ⁸⁵Rb atom coupled by the coupling (E_c) , probe (E_p) , and mixing (E_m) fields based on the experimental configuration used in Ref. [\[15\]](#page-5-0), where E_p , E_c , and E_m fields all drive both $|1\rangle - |3\rangle$ and $|2\rangle - |3\rangle$ transitions, and the corresponding Stokes fields $(E_1 \text{ and } E_3)$ and anti-Stokes fields $(E_2 \text{ and } E_4)$ are generated through four FWM processes. (b) The equivalent configuration of (a) with the two lower states driven by the atomic spin wave *S* induced by the strong on-resonant *Ec* and E_p fields in the Λ -type EIT configuration.

A third mixing field E_m , which can be generated from the coupling field with an acousto-optic modulator, off-resonantly couples levels $|2\rangle$ (or $|1\rangle$) and $|3\rangle$. As previously shown [\[17,18\]](#page-5-0), two Stokes fields E_1 and E_3 (with frequencies ω_1 and ω_3) and two anti-Stokes fields E_2 and E_4 (with frequencies ω_2 and ω_4) can be simultaneously created through nondegenerate FWM processes with the coupling, probe, and mixing fields acting on both $|1\rangle$ – $|3\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ – $|3\rangle$ transitions at high atomic density and high laser powers; that is, two coupling (probe) photons are converted into one Stokes E_1 (anti-Stokes E_2) photon and one probe (coupling) photon; also one probe (coupling) photon and one mixing photon are absorbed and one coupling (probe) photon and one anti-Stokes E_4 (Stokes E_3) photon are emitted. In fact, the above simultaneously generated four FWM fields can be equivalently viewed as scattering the coupling, probe, and mixing fields off the atomic spin wave (*S*) preestablished by the strong (on-resonant) coupling and probe fields in the Λ -type EIT configuration formed by levels $|1\rangle$, $|2\rangle$, and $|3\rangle$, where the induced spin wave acts as a frequency converter with frequency equal to the separation between the two lower states [\[19\]](#page-5-0). The equivalent configuration is shown in Fig. 1(b), which can be readily generalized to the *N*-*-*-type (with *N* being a positive integer) system by applying more laser fields tuned to the vicinity of the transitions $|1\rangle - |3\rangle$ and/or $|2\rangle - |3\rangle$ to mix with the induced atomic spin wave.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We first investigate the generation of quantum anticorrelated or entangled Stokes field E_1 and anti-Stokes field E_2 via atomic spin wave in a triple- Λ -type system by blocking the mixing field E_m . We employ the equivalent configuration in Fig. 1(b) to treat the generated Stokes and anti-Stokes fields. We assume that the Rabi frequencies of the scattering fields (i.e., the off-resonant coupling and probe fields) are far smaller than their frequency detunings (e.g., the ratio of the Rabi frequency of the scattering field to its detuning being of the order of a few percent, as studied in Ref. [\[17\]](#page-5-0)), so the coupling between different scattering fields can be neglected and the Heisenberg equations can have linear dependence on the scattering fields; also, the generated Stokes and anti-Stokes fields are assumed to be very weak compared to the scattering fields, thus, the scattering fields can be treated classically, whereas the Stokes field E_1 , anti-Stokes field E_2 , and atomic spin field *S* can be treated quantum mechanically. After adiabatic elimination of the upper excited state, the effective Hamiltonian of the system in the interaction picture has the form [\[19,20\]](#page-5-0)

$$
H_{\rm I} = \hbar [k_1 (a_1^{\dagger} S^+ + a_1 S) + k_2 (a_2^{\dagger} S + a_2 S^+)],
$$

where $S = (1/\sqrt{N_a}) \sum_i |1\rangle_{ii} \langle 2|$ is the collective atomic spin field with N_a as the total number of atoms in the interaction volume. $k_{1,2} = g_{23,13} \Omega_{p,c} \sqrt{N_a/\Delta_{1,2}}$ with $\Delta_1 = \omega_1 - \omega_{32}$ $ω_c$ - $ω_{31}$ ($Δ_2 = ω_2$ - $ω_{31} = ω_p$ - $ω_{32}$) as the detuning of the Stokes (anti-Stokes) field from the resonant transition $|2\rangle - |3\rangle$ $(|1\rangle-|3\rangle)$. *g*₂₃ (*g*₁₃) is the coupling coefficient between the Stokes (anti-Stokes) field and its respective atomic states. Following Ref. [\[20\]](#page-5-0), we define the exchange constant of motion (C_1) as

$$
C_1 = k_1(a_1^{\dagger}S^+ + a_1S) + k_2(a_2^{\dagger}S + a_2S^+).
$$

By solving the Heisenberg equations of motion for the operators, the equation of motion for the atomic spin operator *S* can be expressed as

$$
\frac{d^2S}{dt^2} - 2iC_1\frac{dS}{dt} - (k_1^2 - k_2^2)S = 0.
$$

We set $\beta = \sqrt{C_1^2 - (k_1^2 - k_2^2)}$ and obtain the solutions for the operators as functions of their initial values for the case of $k_1 \neq k_2$:

$$
S(t) = e^{iC_1t} \left[\left(\cos(\beta t) + \frac{iC_1}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) \right) S(0) - \frac{i k_1}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) a_1^{\dagger}(0) - \frac{i k_2}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) a_2(0) \right],
$$

\n
$$
a_1(t) = -\frac{i k_1}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) e^{-iC_1t} S^+(0)^{\dagger} + \frac{-k_1^2 \beta + k_1^2 \beta \cos(\beta t) e^{-iC_1t} + i k_1^2 C_1 \sin(\beta t) e^{-iC_1t} + \beta (C_1^2 - \beta^2)}{\beta (C_1^2 - \beta^2)} a_1(0)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{-k_1 k_2 \beta + k_1 k_2 \beta \cos(\beta t) e^{-iC_1t} + i k_1 k_2 C_1 \sin(\beta t) e^{-iC_1t}}{\beta (C_1^2 - \beta^2)} a_2^{\dagger}(0),
$$

\n
$$
a_2(t) = -\frac{i k_2}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) e^{iC_1t} S(0) + \frac{k_1 k_2 \beta - k_1 k_2 \beta \cos(\beta t) e^{iC_1t} + i k_1 k_2 C_1 \sin(\beta t) e^{iC_1t}}{\beta (C_1^2 - \beta^2)} a_1^{\dagger}(0)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{k_2^2 \beta - k_2^2 \beta \cos(\beta t) e^{iC_1t} + i k_2^2 C_1 \sin(\beta t) e^{iC_1t} + \beta (C_1^2 - \beta^2)}{\beta (C_1^2 - \beta^2)} a_2(0).
$$

FIG. 2. The evolutions of *V* as a function of interaction time in terms of the normalized time $k_1 t$ under different k_2 values with $k_1 = 1$ and $C_1 = 30k_1$. (a) $k_2 = 0.3$, (b) $k_2 = 1.1$, and (c) $k_2 = 3$.

As seen in Fig. $1(b)$, the collective atomic state is initially in a coherent superposition state, and the Stokes and anti-Stokes fields are initially in vacuum, so the initial state of the atom-field system can be written as $|\varphi_0\rangle$ = $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_a}}\sum_i \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_a}}\right)$ $\frac{1}{2}$ |1_{*i*} + $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{2} |2\rangle_i |0_s\rangle |0_{as}\rangle$ (here we assume $\Omega_p = \Omega_c$ for simplicity), where $|1\rangle|0_s\rangle|0_{as}\rangle$ ($|2\rangle|0_s\rangle|0_{as}\rangle$) represents an atom in state $|1\rangle$ ($|2\rangle$) and the Stokes and anti-Stokes fields in vacuum. We use the criterion $V = (\Delta u)^2 +$ $(\Delta v)^2$ < 4 proposed in Ref. [\[21\]](#page-5-0) to verify the two-field entanglement of the generated Stokes and anti-Stokes fields, where $u = x_1 + x_2$ and $v = p_1 - p_2$ with $x_j = (a_j + a_j^T)$ and $p_j = -i(a_j - a_j)$. It should be noted that in the above analysis, we did not consider the case of $k_2 = k_1$, and did not take into account the decoherence associated to the atomic ground states due to the finite interaction time between atoms and light and the atomic fluctuations. This will be analyzed in a subsequent paper by using the Heisenberg-Langevin approach.

Figures 2(a)–2(c) show the interaction time evolutions of *V* under different k_2 values with $k_1 = 1$ and $C_1 = 30 k_1$. It can be seen that under different k_2 values, V , with the initial value of 4, evolves with interaction time and becomes less than 4, which is a sufficient indication that genuine bipartite entanglement is produced; in addition, *V* exhibits an oscillation as a function of interaction time with the period of $T = \frac{2\pi}{C_1 - \beta}$ $\frac{4\pi C_1}{|k_1^2 - k_2^2|}$ (for $C_1 \gg k_1, k_2$). It is interesting to note that as shown in Fig. $2(b)$ (with k_2 about equal to k_1), there exists a certain interaction time (at about $t = T/2$) that the minimum value of *V* nearly equals zero, which indicates the creation of two perfectly squeezed fields. The increase or decrease of k_2 would lead to the increase of the minimal value of *V* [see Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(c)$], i.e., the degree of bipartite entanglement would be weakened. Further calculations show that the average photon numbers of the generated Stokes and anti-Stokes fields also oscillate with the same period as *V* , and the maximal bipartite entanglement takes place when the average photon numbers of the Stokes and anti-Stokes fields reach their peak values.

The generated bipartite quantum anticorrelations and entanglement can be intuitively understood in terms of the interaction between the laser fields and atomic medium. As seen in Fig. $1(a)$, the Stokes field E_1 and anti-Stokes

field E_2 are produced through two FWM processes, where every Stokes (anti-Stokes) photon generation is obtained by absorbing two coupling (probe) photons and emitting one probe (coupling) photon. In fact, the generated Stokes (or anti-Stokes) field can be equivalently regarded as the result of frequency down- (up-) conversion process through mixing the scattering field with the atomic spin wave *S* prebuilt by the strong coupling and probe fields [as shown in Fig. $1(b)$]. Since the generation of a Stokes (or an anti-Stokes) photon is accompanied with the generation (or annihilation) of an atomic spin-wave excitation, the up-converted frequency component (i.e., anti-Stokes field) is quantum anticorrelated with the down-converted frequency component (i.e., Stokes field), therefore strong bipartite entanglement can be established. Note that in the present scheme, the Rabi frequencies of the scattering fields should be small compared to their frequency detunings so that the coupling between different scattering fields can be neglected; thus, the probability of getting both the Stokes and anti-Stokes fields at the same time is small. In order to enhance the production efficiency, strong atomic spin coherence and large optical depth should be employed, which can be achieved, respectively, by using strong coupling and probe fields with nearly-equal Rabi frequencies and increasing the cell temperature [\[17,18\]](#page-5-0); in addition, as the generated Stokes and anti-Stokes fields are obtained by scattering the applied fields off the same atomic spin wave, the spin wave should be strong enough to ensure that different scattering fields have little influence on it, which can be realized by using a substantially strong probe and coupling field as well.

The above idea for producing bipartite entanglement via atomic spin wave can be easily extended to generate multipartite entanglement with any desired order when more applied fields, tuned to the vicinity of the transitions $|1\rangle - |3\rangle$ and/or $|2\rangle$ – $|3\rangle$, mix with the induced atomic spin wave. For example, when *N* external fields (including the coupling and probe fields) are applied, 2*N*-2 entangled fields can be obtained. We demonstrate this concept by realizing tripartite entanglement through scattering an additional mixing field *Em* off the atomic spin wave, as shown in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) We consider the case of generating three fields E_1 , E_2 , and E_3 through scattering the coupling, probe, and mixing fields off the atomic spin wave, where the effective Hamiltonian of the system in the interaction

picture has the form [\[19,20\]](#page-5-0)

$$
H_{\rm I} = \hbar [k_1 (a_1^{\dagger} S^+ + a_1 S) + k_2 (a_2^{\dagger} S + a_2 S^+) + k_3 (a_3^{\dagger} S^+ + a_3 S)].
$$

Note that demonstration of tripartite entanglement between fields *E*1, *E*2, and *E*⁴ can be carried out in the same way. We now define the exchange constant of motion (C_2) as

$$
C_2 = k_1(a_1^{\dagger}S^+ + a_1S) + k_2(a_2^{\dagger}S + a_2S^+) + k_3(a_3^{\dagger}S^+ + a_3S).
$$

We set $\beta = \sqrt{C_2^2 - (k_1^2 + k_3^2 - k_2^2)}$ and obtain the solutions for the operators as follows:

$$
S(t) = e^{iC_2t} \left[\left(\cos(\beta t) + \frac{iC_2}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) \right) S(0) - \frac{i k_1}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) a_1^{\dagger}(0) - \frac{i k_2}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) a_2(0) - \frac{i k_3}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) a_3^{\dagger}(0) \right],
$$

\n
$$
a_1(t) = -\frac{i k_1}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) e^{-iC_2t} S^+(0) + \frac{-k_1^2 \beta + k_1^2 \beta \cos(\beta t) e^{-iC_2t} + i k_1^2 C_2 \sin(\beta t) e^{-iC_2t} + \beta (C_2^2 - \beta^2)}{\beta (C_2^2 - \beta^2)} a_1(0)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{-k_1 k_2 \beta + k_1 k_2 \beta \cos(\beta t) e^{-iC_2t} + i k_1 k_2 C_2 \sin(\beta t) e^{-iC_2t}}{\beta (C_2^2 - \beta^2)} a_2^{\dagger}(0)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{-k_1 k_3 \beta + k_1 k_3 \beta \cos(\beta t) e^{-iC_2t} + i k_1 k_3 C_2 \sin(\beta t) e^{-iC_2t}}{\beta (C_2^2 - \beta^2)} a_3(0),
$$

\n
$$
a_2(t) = -\frac{i k_2}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) e^{iC_2t} S(0) + \frac{k_1 k_2 \beta - k_1 k_2 \beta \cos(\beta t) e^{iC_2t} + i k_1 k_2 C_2 \sin(\beta t) e^{iC_2t}}{\beta (C_2^2 - \beta^2)} a_3(0),
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{k_2^2 \beta - k_2^2 \beta \cos(\beta t) e^{iC_2t} + i k_2^2 C_2 \sin(\beta t) e^{iC_2t} + \beta (C_2^2 - \beta^2)}{\beta (C_2^2 - \beta^2)} a_3(0),
$$

\n
$$
a_3(t) = -\frac{i k_3}{\beta} \sin(\beta t) e^{-iC_2t} S^+(0) + \frac{-k_1 k_3 \beta + k_1 k_3 \beta \cos(\beta t) e
$$

In this case, the tripartite entanglement of the generated fields E_1 , E_2 , and E_3 can be demonstrated according to the criterion proposed by van Lock-Furusawa (VLF) [\[5\]](#page-4-0) with inequalities:

$$
V_{12} = V(x_1 + x_2) + V(p_1 - p_2 + g_3 p_3) < 4,
$$
\n
$$
V_{13} = V(x_1 - x_3) + V(p_1 + g_2 p_2 + p_3) < 4,
$$
\n
$$
V_{23} = V(x_2 + x_3) + V(g_1 p_1 + p_2 - p_3) < 4,
$$

where $V(A) = \langle A^2 \rangle - \langle A \rangle^2$, and g_i is an arbitrary real num-ber. Following Ref. [\[7\]](#page-4-0), we set $g_1 = \frac{-(\langle p_1 p_2 \rangle - \langle p_1 p_3 \rangle)}{\langle p_1^2 \rangle}$, $g_2 =$ $\frac{-(\langle p_1 p_2 \rangle + \langle p_2 p_3 \rangle)}{\langle p_2^2 \rangle}$, and $g_3 = \frac{-(\langle p_1 p_3 \rangle - \langle p_2 p_3 \rangle)}{\langle p_3^2 \rangle}$. Satisfying any pair of these three inequalities is sufficient to demonstrate the creation of tripartite entanglement [\[5\]](#page-4-0).

Figures $3(a)$ –3(c) depict the evolutions of the VLF correlations as a function of interaction time with $k_2 = k_1 = 1$ and $C_2 = 30k_1$ under different k_3 values. V_{12} is not more than 4 over the whole interaction time for different k_3 values, whereas V_{13} and V_{23} display different behaviors when k_3 is varied, though they all exhibit periodic oscillations with respect to the interaction time. When $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 1$ [see Fig. $3(b)$], there exists a wide range of interaction time within which the three inequalities for V_{12} , V_{13} , and V_{23} are satisfied, indicating that the three fields E_1 , E_2 , and E_3 are CV entangled with each other. Increasing or decreasing *k*³ would weaken the degree of tripartite entanglement [see Figs. $3(a)$ and $3(c)$]. Small k_3 would lead to the disappearance of the entanglement between the E_3 and E_1 (or E_2) fields. By demonstrating the tripartite entanglement between the *E*1, *E*2, and *E*⁴ fields in the same way, one can conclude that all four generated fields $(E_1, E_2, E_3, \text{ and } E_4)$ are entangled with each other.

It should be noted that the current proposed EIT-based scheme for generating multipartite CV entanglement has several distinct features. First, compared to the routinelyemployed method to produce multifield entanglement by using polarizing beam splitters, where the entangled multifields are degenerate and suffer from short correlation time (∼ps), the present configuration can be utilized to generate nondegenerate multiple entangled narrow-band CV fields with long correlation time (∼ms or even ∼s), which could be quite useful for

FIG. 3. (Color online) The evolutions of the VLF correlations V_{12} , V_{13} , and V_{23} as a function of interaction time under different k_3 values with $k_2 = k_1 = 1$ and $C_2 = 30k_1$. (a) $k_3 = 0.6$, (b) $k_3 = 1$, and (c) $k_3 = 3$.

quantum memory $[10]$ and quantum networks $[3]$. Second, as discussed in Ref. [\[17\]](#page-5-0), in this EIT-based configuration, the atomic spin wave, which mixes with the scattering fields to generate multipartite entanglement, plays a role similar to the polarizing beam splitter used in the traditional way; however, there is a critical difference, that is, the polarizing beam splitter is a linear element, and the atomic medium acts as a nonlinear element for the FWM. Third, by using the experimental setup with a square-box pattern for the laser beams and with different beam polarizations [\[17\]](#page-5-0), the produced FWM signals can be spatially separated conveniently. Moreover, this configuration with laser beams propagating through the atomic medium in the same direction with small angles among them can benefit from the cancellation of first-order Doppler broadening in the multi- Λ -type system. Finally, the demonstration of tripartite entanglement in the configuration shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0) has provided a clear evidence that more multipartite entangled CV fields can be created via atomic spin wave by applying more scattering fields. The scalability to generate an arbitrary number of multipartite entangled fields is the key feature of this proposed scheme.

IV. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we have proposed a convenient and flexible way to produce multicolor multipartite CV quantum correlations or anticorrelations and entanglement via atomic spin wave formed by EIT configuration in a multiple-*-*-type atomic system. This method provides a proof-of-principle demonstration of efficiently generating nondegenerate entangled narrow-band multiple fields to any desired order with long correlation time in an atomic ensemble, which may find potential applications in quantum information processing and quantum networks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by NBRPC (Grants No. 2012CB921804 and No. 2011CBA00205), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 10974132, No. 50932003, and No. 11021403), Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (Grant No. 10YZ10), and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (Grant No. S30105).

- [1] D. Bouweester, A. Ekert, and A. Zeilinger, *The Physics of Quantum Information* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000).
- [2] M. A. Nielsen, and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [3] H. J. Kimble, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07127) **453**, 1023 (2008).
- [4] E. Knill, R. Laflamme, and G. J. Milburn, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35051009) **409**, [46 \(2001\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35051009) J. Jing *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**[, 167903 \(2003\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.167903) T. Aoki *et al.*, *ibid.* **91**[, 080404 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.080404)
- [5] P. van Loock and S. L. Braunstein, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.3482) **84**, 3482 [\(2000\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.3482) P. van Loock and A. Furusawa, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.052315) **67**, 052315 [\(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.052315)
- [6] A. S. Villar *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**[, 140504 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.140504) A. S. Coelho *et al.*, Science **326**[, 823 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1178683)
- [7] M. K. Olsen and A. S. Bradley, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.74.063809) **74**, 063809 [\(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.74.063809)
- [8] O. Pfister *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **70**[, 020302 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.020302)
- [9] S. E. Harris, [Phys. Today](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.881806) **50**, 36 (1997); M. Xiao *et al.*, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.666) Rev. Lett. **74**[, 666 \(1995\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.666) M. Fleischhauer, A. Imamoglu, and J. P. Marangos, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.633) **77**, 633 (2005); E. Arimondo, Prog. Opt. **35**[, 257 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/full_text)
- [10] L. M. Duan, M. D. Lukin, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, [Nature](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35106500) (London) **414**[, 413 \(2001\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35106500) C. H. van der Wal *et al.*, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1085946) **301**[, 196 \(2003\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1085946) A. Kuzmich *et al.*, [Nature \(London\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01714) **423**, 731 [\(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01714)
- [11] M. D. Lukin, P. R. Hemmer, and M. O. Scully, [Adv. At. Mol.](http://dx.doi.org/full_text) Opt. Phys. **42**[, 347 \(2000\);](http://dx.doi.org/full_text) V. Balic *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.183601) **94**, [183601 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.183601)
- [12] V. Boyer *et al.*, Science **321**[, 544 \(2008\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1158275) H. Wu and M. Xiao, Phys. Rev. A **80**[, 063415 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.80.063415)
- [13] M. Jain *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.4326) **77**, 4326 (1996); Y. Q. Li and M. Xiao, Opt. Lett. **21**[, 1064 \(1996\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.21.001064) B. L. Lu, W. H. Burkett, and M. Xiao, *ibid.* **23**[, 804 \(1998\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.23.000804)
- [14] R. Zhang, S. Garner, and L. V. Hau, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.233602) **103**, [233602 \(2009\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.233602) U. Schnorrberger *et al.*, *ibid.* **103**[, 033003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.033003) [\(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.033003)
- [15] M. Paternostro, M. S. Kim, and B. S. Ham, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.023811) **67**, [023811 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.023811)
- [16] F. L. Kien, A. K. Patnaik, and K. Hakuta, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.063803) **68**, [063803 \(2003\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.063803)
- [17] X. H. Yang, J. T. Sheng, U. Khadka, and M. Xiao, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.063812) **83**[, 063812 \(2011\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.063812) **85**[, 013824 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.013824)
- [18] V. Wong *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **70**[, 053811 \(2004\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.053811) K. I. Harada *et al.*, *ibid.* **73**[, 013807 \(2006\);](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.73.013807) G. S. Agarwal, T. N. Dey, and D. J. Gauthier, *ibid.* **74**[, 043805 \(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.74.043805)
- [19] A. J. Merriam *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.5308) **84**, 5308 (2000); L. Q. Chen *et al.*, *ibid.* **105**[, 133603 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.133603)
- [20] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- [21] L. M. Duan *et al.*, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2722) **84**, 2722 [\(2000\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2722)