

Vortex-peak interaction and lattice shape in rotating two-component Bose-Einstein condensates

Amandine Aftalion,¹ Peter Mason,¹ and Juncheng Wei²¹*CNRS & Université Versailles-Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles, CNRS UMR 8100, 45 avenue des États-Unis, 78035 Versailles Cédex, France*²*Department of Mathematics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong*

(Received 1 February 2012; published 12 March 2012)

When a two-component Bose-Einstein condensate is placed into rotation, a lattice of vortices and cores appear. The geometry of this lattice (triangular or square) varies according to the rotational value and the intercomponent coupling strengths. In this paper, assuming a Thomas-Fermi regime, we derive a point energy that allows us to determine for which values of the parameters the lattice goes from triangular to square. It turns out that the separating curve in the phase diagram agrees fully with the complete numerical simulations of the Gross-Pitaevskii equations. We also derive a formula for the critical velocity of appearance of the first vortex and prove that the first vortex always appears first in the component with largest support in the case of two disks, and we give a criterion in the case of disk and annulus.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.85.033614](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.85.033614)

PACS number(s): 03.75.Hh

I. INTRODUCTION

When a two-component condensate is set into rotation, topological defects of both order parameters are created, which lead to more exotic defects than in a single-component condensate. Experiments on two-component condensates have shown how the condensates can exhibit either triangular or square vortex lattices [1]. According to the values of the interaction strengths, the defect patterns can vary a lot, as illustrated in the numerical simulations [2,3]. One specific feature is the appearance of coreless vortices: the existence of a vortex in component-1 corresponds to a peak in component-2 and vice versa. The interaction between vortices and peaks leads to changes in the geometry of the vortex lattice. We are interested in determining the equations governing this vortex peak behavior in the Thomas-Fermi regime and estimating the interaction energy between the lattices of the two components. Indeed, for a single condensate, the vortex lattice is triangular, while for a two-component, the vortex-peak interaction can lead to a square lattice. In Ref. [4] an asymptotic interaction between two half-quantized vortices is derived for two-component homogeneous condensates. In this paper we want to take into account the nonhomogeneity of the condensate due to the trapping potential and estimate the vortex-peak energy according to the parameters of the system. We derive an energy depending on the location of vortices and peaks and determine for which values of the experimental parameters the lattice goes from triangular to square. These critical values agree well with the ones found from the numerical computations of the full Gross-Pitaevskii equations of Ref. [3]. We note that in the rapid rotation regime, using the lowest Landau-level approximation, several papers [5,6] (see also Refs. [2,7] for a review) have analyzed the transition between triangular to square lattices. We point out that Ref. [8] found a point energy with an interaction term $e^{-|p_i - q_j|^2}$, which is different from ours and from Ref. [4]. We first review relevant results for a single condensate (see Ref. [9]), before moving to the derivation of homogeneous equations and the computation of the interaction term in two-component condensates.

For a single-component condensate, the wave function minimizes the energy

$$E_{g,\Omega}(\psi) = \int \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\psi - i\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} [V(r) - \Omega^2 r^2] |\psi|^2 + \frac{g}{2} |\psi|^4 \quad (1)$$

under $\int |\psi|^2 = 1$, where $\mathbf{\Omega} = \Omega e_z$ is the rotation, $V(r)$ is the trapping potential, and in most cases $V(r) = r^2$. We will denote by ∇_{Ω} the operator $\nabla - i\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}$. For g large, at $\Omega = 0$, the ground state η of $E_{g,0}$ approaches the inverted parabola

$$\frac{1}{2g} (\lambda - r^2)$$

in the disk of radius $R^2 = \lambda = 2\sqrt{g/\pi}$ and goes to 0 outside the disk. If the problem is rescaled on a disk of size 1, then the analysis of the vortex cores leads to a vortex of size $1/\sqrt{g}$, and, close to the core, the wave function behaves like $f(r)e^{i\theta}$, where f is the solution tending to 1 at infinity of

$$f'' + \frac{f'}{r} - \frac{f}{r^2} + f(1 - f^2) = 0. \quad (2)$$

This is the equation of a vortex core in a uniform system. In the case of a single condensate, from the equation of the vortex core, one can estimate the energy of vortices, the critical velocity for the nucleation of the first vortex, and the interaction energy between vortices [9–12], which is

$$- \sum_{i \neq j} \log |p_i - p_j| + \sum_i |p_i|^2, \quad (3)$$

where p_i are the location of the vortex cores and \log is the logarithm in basis 10. Numerically, the minimization of (3) yields an almost triangular lattice for a large number of vortex points.

The aim of this paper is to describe the equivalent of (2)–(3) in the case of two-component condensates. We define g_i to be the intracomponent coupling strength for component i and g_{12} to be the intercomponent coupling strength. For simplicity, we

assume equal masses for the atoms in each component and equal trapping potentials, but a general case could be handled. The ground state of a two-component condensate is given by the infimum of

$$E_{g_1, g_2, g_{12}, \Omega}(\psi_1, \psi_2) = E_{g_1, \Omega}(\psi_1) + E_{g_2, \Omega}(\psi_2) + g_{12} \int |\psi_1|^2 |\psi_2|^2 \quad (4)$$

under $\int |\psi_1|^2 = N_1$, $\int |\psi_2|^2 = N_2$. We set $g_1 = \alpha_1 g$, $g_2 = \alpha_2 g$, $g_{12} = \alpha_0 g$, where g is large, so that $\varepsilon = 1/\sqrt{g}$ is small. We change wave functions to $\psi_1(x, y) = \sqrt{\varepsilon} u_1(x\sqrt{\varepsilon}, y\sqrt{\varepsilon})$, $\psi_2(x, y) = \sqrt{\varepsilon} u_2(x\sqrt{\varepsilon}, y\sqrt{\varepsilon})$. Calling $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, the energy we want to minimize is

$$E_{\alpha, \Omega}(u_1, u_2) = \int \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} |\nabla u_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 |u_1|^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} |u_1|^4 - \varepsilon (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (i u_1, \nabla u_1) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} |\nabla u_2|^2 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 |u_2|^2 + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} |u_2|^4 - \varepsilon (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (i u_2, \nabla u_2) + \alpha_0 |u_1|^2 |u_2|^2, \quad (5)$$

where $(i u, \nabla u) = i u \nabla \bar{u} - i \bar{u} \nabla u$. For $\Omega = 0$, the ground state is real valued, and we denote it by (η_1, η_2) . It is a solution of

$$-\varepsilon^2 \Delta \eta_1 + r^2 \eta_1 + 2\alpha_1 \eta_1^3 + 2\alpha_0 \eta_2^2 \eta_1 = \mu_1 \eta_1, \quad (6)$$

$$-\varepsilon^2 \Delta \eta_2 + r^2 \eta_2 + 2\alpha_2 \eta_2^3 + 2\alpha_0 \eta_1^2 \eta_2 = \mu_2 \eta_2. \quad (7)$$

The shape of the ground state varies according to α and, when $\alpha_0^2 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \leq 0$, can be either two disks or a disk and an annulus, as we will see below.

II. REDUCTION TO THE CORE EQUATIONS

We recall that (η_1, η_2) is the ground state for $\Omega = 0$, and we consider (u_1, u_2) a ground state of $E_{\alpha, \Omega}$. We call (f_1, f_2) such that $u_1 = \eta_1 f_1$ and $u_2 = \eta_2 f_2$. We expect η_i to include the slow-varying profile and f_i to include the vortex or peak contribution, so that f_i is 1 almost everywhere except close to the vortex and peak cores. We want to write the energy of (u_1, u_2) as the energy of (η_1, η_2) plus a rest, which is the energy that we are going to study. This follows a trick introduced in Ref. [13] and used for single Bose Einstein condensates in Refs. [9,10]. We multiply (6) by $\eta_1(|f_1|^2 - 1)$ and (7) by $\eta_2(|f_2|^2 - 1)$, and integrate and add the two equations, which yields the identity

$$\int \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} |\nabla \eta_1|^2 (|f_1|^2 - 1) + \varepsilon^2 \eta_1 f_1 \nabla \eta_1 \cdot \nabla f_1 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \eta_1^2 (|f_1|^2 - 1) + \alpha_1 \eta_1^4 (|f_1|^2 - 1) + \alpha_0 \eta_2^2 \eta_1^2 (|f_1|^2 - 1) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} |\nabla \eta_2|^2 (|f_2|^2 - 1) + \varepsilon^2 \eta_2 f_2 \nabla \eta_2 \cdot \nabla f_2 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \eta_2^2 (|f_2|^2 - 1) + \alpha_2 \eta_2^4 (|f_2|^2 - 1) + \alpha_0 \eta_2^2 \eta_1^2 (|f_2|^2 - 1) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Note that the Lagrange multiplier term has disappeared because u_i and η_i are normalized similarly. We replace (u_1, u_2)

by $(f_1 \eta_1, f_2 \eta_2)$ into the energy (5), use the identity (8), and find

$$E_{\alpha, \Omega}(u_1, u_2) = E_{\alpha, 0}(\eta_1, \eta_2) + F_{\alpha, \Omega}(f_1, f_2)$$

where

$$F_{\alpha, \Omega}(f_1, f_2) = \int \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \eta_1^2 |\nabla f_1|^2 - \varepsilon \eta_1^2 (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (i f_1, \nabla f_1) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \eta_1^4 (|f_1|^2 - 1)^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \eta_2^2 |\nabla f_2|^2 - \varepsilon \eta_2^2 (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (i f_2, \nabla f_2) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 \eta_2^4 (|f_2|^2 - 1)^2 + \alpha_0 \eta_1^2 \eta_2^2 (1 - |f_1|^2)(1 - |f_2|^2). \quad (9)$$

This splitting of energy does not assume anything about the scales of energy: it is an exact identity. We point out that as soon as $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \alpha_0^2 \geq 0$, then the quadratic form in the energy $F_{\alpha, \Omega}$ is positive, and minimizing $E_{\alpha, \Omega}$ in (u_1, u_2) amounts to minimizing $F_{\alpha, \Omega}$ in (f_1, f_2) .

Now we assume that we scale everything close to a point p where $\eta_1^2 = \rho_1$, $\eta_2^2 = \rho_2$, and f_1, f_2 can be written as functions of $p + |r - p|/\varepsilon$. Then, in the new variable $\tilde{r} = |r - p|/\varepsilon$, the functions f_1, f_2 are a ground state of

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \Omega}(f_1, f_2) = \int \frac{1}{2} \rho_1 |\nabla f_1|^2 - \varepsilon \rho_1 (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (i f_1, \nabla f_1) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \rho_1^2 (|f_1|^2 - 1)^2 + \alpha_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 (1 - |f_1|^2) \times (1 - |f_2|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_2 |\nabla f_2|^2 - \varepsilon \rho_2 (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (i f_2, \nabla f_2) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 \rho_2^2 (|f_2|^2 - 1)^2 \quad (10)$$

and solve the system

$$-\rho_1 \Delta f_1 - i \varepsilon (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot \rho_1 \nabla f_1 + 2\alpha_1 \rho_1^2 (|f_1|^2 - 1) f_1 + 2\alpha_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 f_1 (|f_2|^2 - 1) = \tilde{\lambda}_1 f_1 - \rho_2 \Delta f_2 - i \varepsilon (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot \rho_2 \nabla f_2 + 2\alpha_2 \rho_2^2 (|f_2|^2 - 1) f_2 + 2\alpha_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 f_2 (|f_1|^2 - 1) = \tilde{\lambda}_2 f_2. \quad (11)$$

This is exactly the system studied in Refs. [4,14] for a homogeneous condensate. The splitting of energy has allowed us to reach a homogeneous system. Assuming a vortex in component-1 and a spike in component-2, we have $f_1 = v_1(r) e^{i\theta}$ and $f_2 = v_2(r)$. We expect that v_1, v_2 tend to 1 at infinity so that $\tilde{\lambda}_1 = \varepsilon \Omega$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_2 = 0$. This yields the following system:

$$-\frac{(r v_1)'}{r} + \frac{v_1}{r^2} + 2\alpha_1 \rho_1 (v_1^2 - 1) v_1 + 2\alpha_0 \rho_2 v_1 (v_2^2 - 1) = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$-\frac{(r v_2)'}{r} + 2\alpha_2 \rho_2 (v_2^2 - 1) v_2 + 2\alpha_0 \rho_1 v_2 (v_1^2 - 1) = 0. \quad (13)$$

From this system, asymptotic expansions can be obtained for v_1 and v_2 at infinity: $v_1(r) - 1 \sim -\gamma_1/r^2$ and

$v_2(r) - 1 \sim \gamma_2/r^2$ for some constants γ_1 and γ_2 . Equations (12)–(13) at infinity imply that $\alpha_2\rho_2\gamma_2 = \alpha_0\rho_1\gamma_1$ and $1 - 4\alpha_1\rho_1\gamma_1 + 4\alpha_0\rho_2\gamma_2 = 0$; thus

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{4\rho_1\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}} \text{ and } \gamma_2 = \frac{\alpha_0}{4\rho_2\alpha_1\alpha_2\Gamma_{12}}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{12} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{\alpha_1\alpha_2}. \quad (15)$$

In particular,

$$\alpha_0\gamma_1\gamma_1\rho_1\rho_2 = \frac{1 - \Gamma_{12}}{16\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}^2}. \quad (16)$$

In order to fully analyze the system (12)–(13), we need to have information for ρ_1, ρ_2 , that is, the ground states η_1, η_2 : in particular, we need to know whether the supports of η_1, η_2 are disks or annuli, and where they reach their maximum.

III. THOMAS-FERMI PROFILE OF THE GROUND STATE

We recall some properties of the solutions of (6)–(7) obtained in Ref. [3]. The following nondimensional parameters are introduced:

$$\Gamma_1 = 1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1}, \quad (17)$$

$$\Gamma_2 = 1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}. \quad (18)$$

To begin, assume that both components are circular with radii R_1 and R_2 and with $R_1 < R_2$. When $\alpha_1\alpha_2 - \alpha_0^2 \geq 0$, that is, $\Gamma_{12} \geq 0$, and ε is small, both components are in the Thomas-Fermi (TF) regime, and the density profiles for $r < R_1$ are

$$|\eta_1|^2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}} \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}\mu_2 - r^2\Gamma_2 \right), \quad (19)$$

$$|\eta_2|^2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha_2\Gamma_{12}} \left(\mu_2 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1}\mu_1 - r^2\Gamma_1 \right), \quad (20)$$

and for $R_1 < r < R_2$ are

$$|\eta_2|^2 = \frac{\mu_2 - r^2}{2\alpha_2} \quad (21)$$

with $|\eta_1|^2 = 0$. The chemical potentials μ_1 and μ_2 , and the radii, R_1 and R_2 , are to be found. In addition we have the normalization condition

$$\int |\eta_k|^2 = N_k, \quad (22)$$

where, for generality, $N_1 \neq N_2$. We denote $\tilde{\alpha}_k = N_k\alpha_k$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_0 = \sqrt{N_1N_2}\alpha_0$ and get

$$R_1 = \left(\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}_1\Gamma_{12}}{\pi\Gamma_2} \right)^{1/4}, \quad (23)$$

$$R_2 = \left\{ \frac{4[\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_1(1 - \Gamma_1)]}{\pi} \right\}^{1/4}, \quad (24)$$

$$\mu_1 = \left(\frac{4\tilde{\alpha}_1\Gamma_{12}\Gamma_2}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} + (1 - \Gamma_2) \left\{ \frac{4}{\pi} [\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_1(1 - \Gamma_1)] \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (25)$$

$$\mu_2 = \left\{ \frac{4}{\pi} [\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_1(1 - \Gamma_1)] \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (26)$$

We find from (19) and (14) that

$$\rho_1 = \eta_1^2(0) = \frac{\Gamma_2 R_1^2}{2\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}} = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma_2 N_1}{\pi\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}}} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\gamma_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{16N_1\alpha_1\Gamma_2\Gamma_{12}}},$$

while

$$\rho_2 = \eta_2^2(0) = \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{\pi} (N_2\alpha_2 + N_1\alpha_0) \right]^{1/2} - \alpha_0 \left[\frac{N_1\Gamma_2}{\pi\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}} \right]^{1/2} \right\} \quad (28)$$

and γ_2 follows from (14).

Equations (23)–(24) are valid provided $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_2 > 0$ (to ensure that R_1 and μ_1 are real) and $\tilde{\alpha}_1\Gamma_1 < \tilde{\alpha}_2\Gamma_2$ (to ensure that $R_2 > R_1$). If instead the initial assumption about the size of the radii was taken to be $R_1 > R_2$, then the appropriate expressions would also be given by Eqs. (23)–(24), however, with the indices 1 and 2 alternated. In this case the conditions would be $\tilde{\alpha}_1\Gamma_1 > \tilde{\alpha}_2\Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_1 > 0$.

Returning now to $R_2 > R_1$, one must ensure that $|\eta_2|^2 > 0$ for all $r < R_1$ to have a disk rather than an annulus. Suppose that there is a point at the origin, where $|\eta_2|^2 = 0$. Then, from Eq. (20),

$$\alpha_0 = \tilde{\alpha}_0 = \frac{\alpha_1\mu_2}{\mu_1} = \frac{N_1\alpha_1}{2(N_1 + N_2)} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_1^2 N_1^2}{(N_1 + N_2)^2} + \frac{4N_2\alpha_1\alpha_2}{N_1 + N_2}}. \quad (29)$$

The existence of some Γ_{12} at which the density in component-2 hits zero at the origin is the indication of a spatial separation of the components. Notice that this critical value for Γ_{12} is independent of Ω . In the spatial separation regime, component-1 is circular while component-2 is annular, provided $R_2 > R_1$. It is not possible for an annulus to develop in component-1 if $R_2 > R_1$; this can be seen by writing the TF density expressions for an annular component-1 and a circular component-2 in which the chemical potentials become multivalued. Similarly, an annulus can develop only in component-1 if $R_1 > R_2$. Thus under the assumption $R_2 > R_1$, an annulus can develop only in component-2, and the condition to have two disks is thus $\alpha_0 < \tilde{\alpha}_0$.

IV. VORTEX INTERACTION

Let us call $\rho_{\text{TF},1}$, $\rho_{\text{TF},2}$ the Thomas-Fermi limits of $|\eta_1|^2$ and $|\eta_2|^2$ given by (19)–(21) and (23)–(26) in the case of two disks. Then

$$\rho_{\text{TF},1} = \frac{\Gamma_2}{2\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}}(R_1^2 - r^2), \quad (30)$$

$$\rho_{\text{TF},2} = \frac{\Gamma_1}{2\alpha_2\Gamma_{12}}(R_1^2 - r^2) + \frac{1}{2\alpha_2}(R_2^2 - R_1^2) \quad \text{if } r < R_1, \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\alpha_2}(R_2^2 - r^2) \quad \text{if } r > R_1. \quad (32)$$

We want to estimate the various terms in the energy $F_{\alpha,\Omega}$ as in Refs. [9,10], and we are going to show that, if p_i are the vortices for component-1 and q_j are the vortices for component-2, then they minimize the point energy

$$\begin{aligned} & -\pi\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \rho_1 \log |p_i - p_j| - \pi\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \rho_2 \log |q_i - q_j| \\ & + \pi \left(-\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon| \frac{\Gamma_2}{2\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}} + \varepsilon\Omega\rho_1 \right) \sum_i |p_i|^2 \\ & + \pi \left(-\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon| \frac{\Gamma_1}{2\alpha_2\Gamma_{12}} + \varepsilon\Omega\rho_2 \right) \sum_i |q_i|^2 \\ & + \pi \frac{1 - \Gamma_{12}}{16\Gamma_{12}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \right) \varepsilon^4 |\log \varepsilon| \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|p_i - q_j|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

A. Estimate of the kinetic energy term

Let us call p_i the vortices in component-1 and q_j in component-2. Then the kinetic energy term $(1/2) \int \eta_1^2 \varepsilon^2 |\nabla f_1|^2$ provides a leading-order term due to the kinetic energy of the phase (which behaves locally like $1/r$ outside a disk of radius ε around each vortex), which is

$$\pi\varepsilon^2 \sum_i \rho_{\text{TF},1}(p_i) |\log \varepsilon| - \pi\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \rho_{\text{TF},1}(p_i) \log |p_i - p_j| \quad (34)$$

with a similar term for component-2, where p_i is replaced by q_j .

B. Rotation term

We call $X_1(r)$ the primitive of $-r\rho_{\text{TF},1}(r)$, which vanishes at R_1 , and $X_2(r)$ the primitive of $-r\rho_{\text{TF},2}(r)$, which vanishes at R_2 . Then for $r < R_1$,

$$X_1(r) = \frac{\Gamma_2}{8\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}}(R_1^2 - r^2)^2, \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_2(r) &= \frac{\Gamma_1}{8\alpha_2\Gamma_{12}}(R_1^2 - r^2)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\alpha_2}(R_2^2 - R_1^2)(R_2^2 + R_1^2 - 2r^2). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Thus the rotation term $-\varepsilon \int \eta_1^2(\Omega \times r) \cdot (if_1, \nabla f_1)$ is well approximated by $-\varepsilon \int \Omega \times \nabla X_1 \cdot (if_1, \nabla f_1)$. An integration by parts around each vortex yields

$$-2\pi\varepsilon\Omega \sum_i X_1(p_i) \quad (37)$$

with a similar contribution for component-2.

C. First vortices

The leading-order approximation of the kinetic and rotation energy yields (assuming vortices at points p_i for component-1 and q_j for component-2):

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi\varepsilon^2 \sum_i \rho_{\text{TF},1}(p_i) |\log \varepsilon| + \pi\varepsilon^2 \sum_j \rho_{\text{TF},2}(q_j) |\log \varepsilon| \\ & - 2\pi\varepsilon\Omega \sum_i X_1(p_i) - 2\pi\varepsilon\Omega \sum_j X_2(q_j). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The energy is minimized by a configuration such that $\rho_{\text{TF},1}/X_1$ or $\rho_{\text{TF},2}/X_2$ reaches its minimum. We find that

$$\frac{X_1(r)}{\rho_{\text{TF},1}(r)} = \frac{1}{4}(R_1^2 - r^2), \quad (39)$$

$$\frac{X_2(r)}{\rho_{\text{TF},2}(r)} = \frac{1}{4}(R_1^2 - r^2) + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(R_2^2 - R_1^2)(R_2^2 - r^2)}{\frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_{12}}(R_1^2 - r^2) + (R_2^2 - R_1^2)}. \quad (40)$$

This implies that above a critical value Ω^c , vortices become energetically favorable in the system, and Ω^c is given from (38) by

$$\Omega^c = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \min_{i,r} \frac{\rho_{\text{TF},i}}{X_i}. \quad (41)$$

For a harmonic potential, and in the case of two disks, the minimum of $\frac{\rho_{\text{TF},i}}{X_i}$ occurs at the origin. Furthermore, since

$$\frac{\rho_{\text{TF},2}}{X_2} = \frac{\rho_{\text{TF},1}}{X_1} - D(r), \quad (42)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D(r) &= \frac{4(R_2^2 - R_1^2)(R_2^2 - r^2)}{(R_1^2 - r^2)} \\ &\times \frac{1}{\left[\frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_{12}}(R_1^2 - r^2)^2 + (R_2^2 - R_1^2)(R_1^2 + R_2^2 - 2r^2) \right]}, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

and given the signs of the parameters, we see that $D(0) > 0$ so that we always have $\rho_{\text{TF},1}/X_1 > \rho_{\text{TF},2}/X_2$.

The first vortex is thus preferred in component-2 (i.e., the component with larger support) and occurs at the origin with the critical velocity given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^c &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\tilde{\alpha}_2\Gamma_{12}} \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \left[\Gamma_{12} \sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_1(1 - \Gamma_1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1 - \Gamma_1) \sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}_1\Gamma_2\Gamma_{12}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$




FIG. 1. The critical velocity for creation of the first vortex plotted analytically from Eq. (44) (solid line) and numerically (dotted line) as a function of Γ_{12} for two parameter sets: (a) $\varepsilon = 0.0352$, $\alpha_1 = 0.97$, $\alpha_2 = 1.03$ and (b) $\varepsilon = 0.0358$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$.

Note that this expression gives $\Omega^c = 0$ when $\alpha_0 = \bar{\alpha}_0$ (provided $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$; otherwise Ω^c reduces to a nonzero constant). For some computations in the rest of the paper, we can assume $N_1\alpha_1 = N_2\alpha_2$ so that $R_1 = R_2$, and we have a lattice of peaks and vortices close to the origin. Since $\min_{i,r} \rho_{TF,i}/X_i = 4/R_1^2$, we have

$$\Omega^c = \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \sqrt{\frac{\pi \Gamma_1}{\alpha_1 \Gamma_{12}}}. \quad (45)$$

We have plotted $\Omega^c - \Gamma_{12}$ curves for two cases in Fig. 1, the first with distinct intracomponent coupling strengths and the second with equal intracomponent coupling strengths [where Eq. (44) reduces to Eq. (45)] and compared then to the numerical results of Ref. [3] (these parameter sets correspond to sets ‘‘ES1’’ and ‘‘ES3,’’ respectively, from Ref. [3]). We find good agreement between the two results.

D. Energy expansion

We now have to go further into the energy expansion to estimate the interaction energy. We assume that the vortices

appear close to the origin. Then (34) and (37) can be expanded around the origin, using (30)–(32) and (35)–(36), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & -\pi \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \rho_1 \log |p_i - p_j| - \pi \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \rho_2 \log |q_i - q_j| \\ & + \pi \varepsilon \left(-\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \frac{\Gamma_2}{2\alpha_1 \Gamma_{12}} + \Omega \rho_1 \right) \sum_i |p_i|^2 \\ & + \pi \varepsilon \left(-\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \frac{\Gamma_1}{2\alpha_2 \Gamma_{12}} + \Omega \rho_2 \right) \sum_i |q_i|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

E. Interaction energy

We find from (9) that the interaction energy is

$$\alpha_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 \int (1 - |v_1|^2)(1 - |v_2|^2).$$

Near a vortex peak, this reduces to

$$\alpha_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \int \frac{1}{r_{(1,0)}^2} \frac{1}{r_{(0,1)}^2},$$

where we take the notations of [4]: $r_{(1,0)}$ is the local distance to the vortex in component-1, and $r_{(0,1)}$ is the distance to the next peak in component-1, or equivalently to the vortex in component-2. From (16), we find that the coefficient in front of the integral is equal to $\pi \frac{1-\Gamma_{12}}{16\alpha_1 \Gamma_{12}^2}$. The computations in Ref. [4] allow us to estimate the integral term, and we find for the interaction term

$$\pi \frac{1-\Gamma_{12}}{16\Gamma_{12}^2} \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \varepsilon^4 |\log \varepsilon| \frac{1}{|p_i - q_j|^2}.$$

This is for a vortex in v_1 . Of course, if the vortex is in v_2 , it would be different by a factor $1/\alpha_2$.

The interaction energy is thus

$$\pi \frac{1-\Gamma_{12}}{16\Gamma_{12}^2} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \right) \varepsilon^4 |\log \varepsilon| \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|p_i - q_j|^2}. \quad (47)$$

Together with (46), this leads to (33).

V. NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF THE RENORMALIZED ENERGY

We now want to find the ground state of (33) when the radii of both components are equal. Under this condition we have $\rho_1 = \rho_2$, $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. This allows us to perform a rescaling that leaves the renormalized energy dependent only on a single parameter. We write $\Omega = \omega \Omega^c$ for Ω^c defined in (45). Then

$$\rho_1 \left(-\frac{|\log \varepsilon|}{R_1^2} + \frac{\Omega}{\varepsilon} \right) = \rho_1 |\log \varepsilon| \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{\pi \Gamma_2}{\alpha_1 \Gamma_{12}}} - \frac{1}{R_1^2} \right), \quad (48)$$

which implies that we can rescale the p_i and q_j as $p_i = \gamma \tilde{p}_i$ and $q_j = \gamma \tilde{q}_j$ with

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{1}{2 |\log \varepsilon| \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{\pi \Gamma_2}{\alpha_1 \Gamma_{12}}} - \frac{1}{R_1^2} \right)} \quad (49)$$




FIG. 2. The ground state of the renormalized energy (50) calculated for (a) $c_\omega = 0.05$ and (b) $c_\omega = 0.3$ with the number of points in each component equal to $N = 46$. Component-1 is shown in the left columns and component-2 in the right columns.

so that the new energy is

$$\frac{1}{2}\pi\varepsilon^2\rho_1\left[-\sum_{i\neq j}\log|p_i-p_j|^2-\sum_{i\neq j}\log|q_i-q_j|^2+\sum_i|p_i|^2+\sum_i|q_i|^2+c_\omega\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{1}{|p_i-q_j|^2}\right] \quad (50)$$

with

$$c_\omega = \frac{\pi(1-\Gamma_{12})}{4\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}^2}(2\omega-1)\varepsilon^2|\log\varepsilon|^2. \quad (51)$$

We simulate this renormalized energy (50) using a conjugate gradient method varying the parameter c_ω and the number of vortex points. For a fixed number of lattice points N , when increasing c_ω , the ground-state lattice goes from triangular to square at a critical c_ω^{ts} . Note that when N gets large, c_ω^{ts} no longer depends on N . In Fig. 2 we plot the ground state for two values of c_ω , which give a triangular ($c_\omega = 0.05$) and a square lattice ($c_\omega = 0.3$) when $N = 46$.

For each N , we can calculate the critical c_ω^{ts} and compare this with the simulations on the full GP equations, as performed in Ref. [3] (they provide the appropriate value of N). From (51), we thus find the critical value of Ω for which the lattice goes from triangular to square:

$$\Omega^{ts} = \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2c_\omega^{ts}\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}^2}{\pi(1-\Gamma_{12})\varepsilon^2|\log\varepsilon|^2}\right]\sqrt{\frac{\pi\Gamma_2}{g_1\Gamma_{12}}|\log\varepsilon|}. \quad (52)$$

It turns out that when Ω gets close to 1, the condensate expands, and one has to include in the TF profile a term $(1-\Omega^2)r^2$ instead of just r^2 . The radii R_1 and R_2 vary like $(1-\Omega^2)^{1/4}$.




FIG. 3. The critical value of Ω^{ts} as a function of Γ_{12} calculated analytically by Eq. (55) (solid line) and numerically (dashed line) for the parameters $\varepsilon = 0.0358$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$.

This changes c_ω from (51) to

$$c_\omega = \frac{\pi(1-\Gamma_{12})}{4\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}^2}\left(\frac{2\omega-\sqrt{1-\Omega^2}}{\sqrt{1-\Omega^2}}\right)\varepsilon^2|\log\varepsilon|^2 \quad (53)$$

so that if we define

$$\beta_{ts} = \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2c_\omega^{ts}g_1\Gamma_{12}^2}{\pi(1-\Gamma_{12})|\log\varepsilon|^2}\right]\sqrt{\frac{\pi\Gamma_2}{g_1\Gamma_{12}}|\log\varepsilon|}, \quad (54)$$

then $\Omega^{ts} = \beta_{ts}\sqrt{1-(\Omega^{ts})^2}$, which yields

$$\Omega^{ts} = \frac{\beta_{ts}}{\sqrt{1+\beta_{ts}^2}}. \quad (55)$$

We plot this form of Ω^{ts} as a function of Γ_{12} in Fig. 3, where we have taken $\varepsilon = 0.0358$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ (note that this parameter set corresponds to set ‘‘ES3’’ in Ref. [3]). This provides good agreement with simulations of the full Gross Pitaevskii energy and confirms that our point energy (33) well describes the system.

VI. DISK PLUS ANNULUS

Equation (29) introduces the critical $\bar{\alpha}_0$ (or equivalently Γ_{12}) for which the two-disk state is no longer a solution. In this section we consider $\alpha_0 > \bar{\alpha}_0$ so that the system is given by a disk in component-1 and an annulus in component-2. This requires α_1 to be different to α_2 , because otherwise the conditions $\alpha_0 > \bar{\alpha}_0$ and $\alpha_0^2 - \alpha_1\alpha_2 \leq 0$ are not consistent. Defining the inner and outer radii of component-2 to be at $r = R_{2-}$ and $r = R_{2+}$ such that $R_{2-} < R_1 < R_{2+}$, the appropriate density profiles are

$$\rho_{TF,1}(r) = |\eta_1|^2 = \frac{\mu_1 - r^2}{2\alpha_1} \quad (56)$$

for $0 < r < R_{2-}$,

$$\rho_{TF,1}(r) = |\eta_1|^2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}}\left(\mu_1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_2}\mu_2 - r^2\Gamma_2\right), \quad (57)$$

$$\rho_{TF,2}(r) = |\eta_2|^2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha_2\Gamma_{12}}\left(\mu_2 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1}\mu_1 - r^2\Gamma_1\right) \quad (58)$$

for $R_{2-} < r < R_1$, and

$$\rho_{\text{TF},2}(r) = |\eta_2|^2 = \frac{\mu_2 - r^2}{2\alpha_2} \quad (59)$$

for $R_1 < r < R_{2+}$.

The normalization condition (22) gives the following expressions for the radii:

$$R_{2-} = \sqrt{R - \sqrt{-\frac{\Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1}S}}, \quad (60)$$

$$R_1 = \sqrt{R - \sqrt{-\frac{\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_2}(1 - \Gamma_2)^2S}}, \quad (61)$$

$$R_{2+} = \sqrt{R + \sqrt{-\Gamma_1\Gamma_2S}}, \quad (62)$$

and

$$\mu_1 = R, \quad (63)$$

$$\mu_2 = R + \sqrt{-\Gamma_1\Gamma_2S} \quad (64)$$

for the chemical potentials. Here we have introduced the parameters

$$R = 2\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1[1 + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_2^2}{\tilde{\alpha}_0^2}(1 - \Gamma_2)^2]}{\pi}}, \quad (65)$$

$$S = 2\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1\tilde{\alpha}_2^2(1 - \Gamma_2)}{\pi\tilde{\alpha}_0^2}}. \quad (66)$$

A number of conditions can immediately be found from these expressions. In order for $R_{2-} < R_1$, it must be that $\Gamma_{12} > 0$. (The inequalities $R_{2+} > R_1$ and $R_{2+} > R_{2-}$ are automatically valid.) Second, all the expressions (except that for μ_1) require $\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 < 0$. This is equivalent to $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ (under the assumption that the annulus develops in component-2). Thus the range of Γ_{12} for which component-1 is circular and component-2 is annular is given by $0 < \Gamma_{12} < \bar{\Gamma}_{12}$, where $\bar{\Gamma}_{12} = \Gamma_{12}$ evaluated at $\alpha_0 = \tilde{\alpha}_0$, given by (29).

When $\alpha_0 = \tilde{\alpha}_0$ we have shown in Eq. (44) that the critical velocity for creation of the first vortex (that occurs at the origin in component-2) is identically zero. This is precisely the point at which the annulus develops [$\rho_{\text{TF},2}(r=0) = 0$ with $R_{2-} = 0$]. In the region $\alpha_0 > \tilde{\alpha}_0$, the first appearance of a topological defect is the development of a giant vortex in component-2, and this can be analyzed fully. We need to introduce

$$\Lambda_2 = \frac{\alpha_2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \int_{R_{2-}}^{R_{2+}} \frac{\rho_{\text{TF},2}(s)}{s} ds = \frac{1}{4\tilde{\alpha}_2\Gamma_{12}} \left[\Gamma_1 R_{2-}^2 \log\left(\frac{R_1^2}{R_{2-}^2}\right) + \Gamma_{12} R_{2+}^2 \log\left(\frac{R_{2+}^2}{R_1^2}\right) \right]. \quad (67)$$

Then the critical velocity for nucleation of the giant vortex is determined by $\Omega_{gv} = \varepsilon\Lambda_2$, and the circulation of this giant vortex is the integer part of $\Omega/(\varepsilon\Lambda_2)$. Next, we want to determine vortices in the bulk and apply (38) to the case of the disk plus annulus. Thus we need to define $X_2(r)$ to take into account the giant vortex.

We define $X_1(r)$ the primitive of $-r\rho_{\text{TF},1}(r)$, which vanishes at R_1 , giving

$$X_1(r) = \frac{1}{8\alpha_1} (R_1^2 - r^2)^2 + \frac{\alpha_0\Gamma_1}{8\alpha_1\alpha_2\Gamma_{12}} (R_{2-}^2 - R_1^2)(R_1^2 + R_{2-}^2 - 2r^2) \quad (68)$$

for $0 < r < R_{2-}$, and is the same as Eq. (35) in the region $R_{2-} < r < R_1$. In order to account for the development of vortices beyond the giant vortex, we must recall that additional to the term $-\varepsilon \int \rho_{\text{TF},2}(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (if_2, \nabla f_2)$, there will be a kinetic energy term coupling the giant vortex and the vortex cores, namely, $\varepsilon^2 d \int \rho_{\text{TF},2}/r (if_2, \nabla f_2) \cdot e_z$, where d is the degree of the giant vortex, of order $\Omega/(\varepsilon\Lambda_2)$. Therefore, we define $X_2(r)$ to be the primitive of $-r\rho_{\text{TF},2}(r) + (1/\Lambda_2)\rho_{\text{TF},2}(r)/r$, which vanishes at both $R_{2-} = 0$ and $R_{2+} = 0$,

$$X_2(r) = \int_r^{R_{2+}} s\rho_{\text{TF},2}(s) ds - \frac{1}{\Lambda_2} \int_r^{R_{2+}} \frac{\rho_{\text{TF},2}(s)}{s} ds, \quad (69)$$

where Λ_2 is given by (67). Completing the integrals gives

$$X_2(r) = \frac{1}{8\alpha_2} (R_{2+}^2 - r^2)^2 - \frac{\alpha_0\Gamma_2}{8\alpha_1\alpha_2\Gamma_{12}} (R_1^2 - r^2)^2 - \frac{1}{4\alpha_2\Gamma_{12}\Lambda_2} \left[\Gamma_1 R_{2-}^2 \log\left(\frac{R_1^2}{R_{2-}^2}\right) + \Gamma_{12} R_{2+}^2 \log\left(\frac{R_{2+}^2}{R_1^2}\right) + \Gamma_1 r^2 - \Gamma_1 R_{2-}^2 \right] \quad (70)$$

for $R_{2-} < r < R_1$ and

$$X_2(r) = \frac{1}{8\alpha_2} (R_{2+}^2 - r^2)^2 - \frac{1}{4\alpha_2\Lambda_2} \left[R_{2+}^2 \log\left(\frac{R_{2+}^2}{r^2}\right) + r^2 - R_{2+}^2 \right] \quad (71)$$

for $R_1 < r < R_{2+}$. As before we write the ratios $\rho_{\text{TF},i}/X_i$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_{\text{TF},1}(r)}{X_1(r)} &= \frac{4}{(R_1^2 - r^2)} - \left[\frac{4\Gamma_1\alpha_0}{\Gamma_{12}\alpha_2} \frac{(R_{2-}^2 - R_1^2)(R_{2-}^2 - r^2)}{(R_1^2 - r^2)} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[(R_1^2 - r^2)^2 + \frac{\Gamma_1\alpha_0}{\Gamma_{12}\alpha_2} (R_{2-}^2 - R_1^2)(R_1^2 + R_{2-}^2 - 2r^2) \right]^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

for $0 < r < R_{2-}$,

$$\frac{\rho_{\text{TF},1}(r)}{X_1(r)} = \frac{4}{(R_1^2 - r^2)}, \quad (73)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho_{\text{TF},2}(r)}{X_2(r)} &= \frac{4\Gamma_1}{\Gamma_{12}} (R_{2-}^2 - r^2) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ (R_{2+}^2 - r^2)^2 - \frac{\alpha_0\Gamma_2}{\alpha_1\Gamma_{12}} (R_1^2 - r^2)^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Functions $\rho_{TF,1}/X_1$ (solid lines) and $\rho_{TF,2}/X_2$ (dashed lines) given by Eqs. (72)–(75) for $\Gamma_{12} = 0.0202$ (black lines) and $\Gamma_{12} = 0.015$ (blue [gray] lines). (b) The critical velocity for creation of the first vortex plotted from Eq. (41) (black line) and numerically (blue [gray] line) as a function of Γ_{12} . The solid part corresponds to a vortex in component-1 at the center, and the dashed part in component-2 in the interface region. The parameters are $\varepsilon = 0.0352$, $\alpha_1 = 0.97$, and $\alpha_2 = 1.03$. Note that $\bar{\Gamma}_{12} = 0.02$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{2}{\Lambda_2 \Gamma_{12}} \left[\Gamma_1 R_{2-}^2 \log \left(\frac{R_1^2}{r^2} \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + \Gamma_{12} R_{2+}^2 \log \left(\frac{R_{2+}^2}{R_1^2} \right) + \Gamma_1 (r^2 - R_{2-}^2) \right]^{-1}
 \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

for $R_{2-} < r < R_1$ and

$$\frac{\rho_{TF,2}}{X_2}(r) = \frac{4(R_{2+}^2 - r^2)}{(R_{2+}^2 - r^2)^2 - \frac{2}{\Lambda_2} \left[R_{2+}^2 \log \left(\frac{R_{2+}^2}{r^2} \right) + r^2 - R_{2+}^2 \right]} \quad (75)$$

for $R_1 < r < R_{2+}$.

It follows that

$$\min \left(\frac{\rho_{TF,1}}{X_1} \right) = \begin{cases} 4 \frac{\alpha_2 \Gamma_2 R_1^2 + \alpha_0 \Gamma_1 R_2^2}{\alpha_2 \Gamma_2 R_1^4 + \alpha_0 \Gamma_1 R_2^4} & \text{for } 0 < r < R_{2-} \\ \frac{4}{(R_1^2 - R_{2-}^2)} & \text{for } R_{2-} < r < R_1. \end{cases} \quad (76)$$

Using the expressions above for the radii, we see that

$$4 \frac{\alpha_2 \Gamma_2 R_1^2 + \alpha_0 \Gamma_1 R_2^2}{\alpha_2 \Gamma_2 R_1^4 + \alpha_0 \Gamma_1 R_2^4} = \frac{2}{\tilde{\alpha}_1} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha_2} (\alpha_1 \tilde{\alpha}_2 + \tilde{\alpha}_1 \alpha_2)}, \quad (77)$$

which we note is independent of α_0 . If we compare the values of Eq. (76), we see that they are equal when $\alpha_0 = \tilde{\alpha}_0$ (which we can consider a degenerate case), and otherwise $\min(\rho_{TF,1}/X_1)$ over the whole space is simply given by the expression in Eq. (77). This implies that the vortex in component-1 is preferred at the origin rather than in the interface region of the two components.

To complete the analysis we must also find the $\min(\rho_{TF,2}/X_2)$ over the whole space to determine whether vortices appear first in component-1 or component-2. It is not possible to find an analytic expression for this minimum, and so we must resort to plotting the function and comparing this to $\min(\rho_{TF,1}/X_1)$. In Fig. 4 we plot the functions $\rho_{TF,1}/X_1$ and $\rho_{TF,2}/X_2$ in two different cases where $\min(\rho_{TF,1}/X_1) < \min(\rho_{TF,2}/X_2)$ and $\min(\rho_{TF,1}/X_1) > \min(\rho_{TF,2}/X_2)$. We also plot the critical Ω defined in Eq. (41) as a function of Γ_{12} . We see that until some critical value of Γ_{12} , the vortex first nucleates at the origin in component-1, while above in some small region in Γ_{12} before reaching $\bar{\Gamma}_{12}$, the vortex first nucleates in the interface region in the second component. Then increasing Ω leads to a vortex lattice close to this point of nucleation. Recall that the giant vortex is always present.

VII. CONCLUSION

From the energy of a rotating two-component condensate, we have derived a reduced energy (33) governing the location of peaks and vortices. We have found that the ground state of this reduced energy yields a square lattice of vortices in regimes consistent with the ones found numerically for the full Gross Pitaevskii energy. We have analyzed in detail the geometry of the ground state (two disks or disk and annulus) and derived the formula of the critical velocity for the appearance of the first vortex. We can determine in which component the first vortex appears and the shape of the lattice.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge support from the French Ministry Grant ANR-BLAN-0238, VolQuan.

- [1] V. Schweikhard, I. Coddington, P. Engels, S. Tung, and E. A. Cornell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 210403 (2004).
 [2] K. Kasamatsu, M. Tsubota, and M. Ueda, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **19**, 1835 (2005).

- [3] P. Mason and A. Aftalion, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 033611 (2011).
 [4] M. Eto, K. Kasamatsu, M. Nitta, H. Takeuchi, and M. Tsubota, *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 063603 (2011).

- [5] E. J. Mueller and T. L. Ho, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 180403 (2002).
- [6] M. Keceli and M. Ö. Oktel, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 023611 (2006).
- [7] N. R. Cooper, *Adv. Phys.* **57**, 539 (2008).
- [8] R. Barnett, G. Rafael, M. A. Porter, and H. P. Buchler, *New J. Phys.* **10**, 043030 (2008).
- [9] A. Aftalion and Q. Du, *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 063603 (2001).
- [10] A. Aftalion, *Vortices in Bose Einstein Condensates*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, vol. 67 (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2006).
- [11] Y. Castin and R. Dum, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **7**, 399 (1999).
- [12] A. L. Fetter, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 647 (2009).
- [13] L. Lassoued and P. Mironescu, *J. Anal. Math.* **77**, 1 (1999).
- [14] T. C. Lin and J. Wei, *J. Math. Phys.* **48**, 053518 (2007).