Quantum defects of nonpenetrating Rydberg states of the SO molecule in adiabatic and nonadiabatic regions of the spectrum

Dmitry L. Dorofeev,^{*} Sergei V. Elfimov,[†] and Boris A. Zon[‡] Voronezh State University, RU-394006 Voronezh, Russia (Received 5 January 2012; published 14 February 2012)

This paper is dedicated to the implementation of a generalized approach for calculating quantum defects in high Rydberg states of polar molecules with an account for the dipole moment of the molecular core and *l* uncoupling of the Rydberg electron. Adiabatic (Born-Oppenheimer) and nonadiabatic (inverse Born-Oppenheimer) regions of the spectrum are considered. The nonadiabatic case with a nonzero projection of the core momentum on the core axis is considered and is illustrated by the example of the SO molecule.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.85.022509

PACS number(s): 33.20.-t, 32.80.Ee

I. INTRODUCTION

Sulfur monoxide (SO) and its cation SO^+ are of great interest for a number of chemical and astrophysical problems. In particular, they are observed in interstellar clouds and planetary atmospheres, including the atmosphere of Jupiter and Earth's troposphere where they are important intermediates in atmospheric chemical processes [1–12]. Therefore, high Rydberg spectra of SO and SO⁺ are of great interest for these applications. Unfortunately, traditional *ab initio* techniques are not effective for excited electron states even for such a simple molecule as SO, therefore, it is necessary to use other techniques based on the quantum-defect approach.

A general theoretical basis for the description of molecular Rydberg states was given by Seaton in classical papers, which were written in the 1960s [13,14]. Since then, it developed into a powerful multichannel quantum-defect theory (MODT), which was applied first to nonpolar molecules [15–21]. Later, the MQDT technique was improved greatly and was applied to several polar molecules, such as NO [22], CaF [23-28], BaF [24,29-32], CaCl [33-35], etc. A consistent nonperturbative approach to include the effect of the long-range dipole potential of a polar core was built in the papers of Zon [36] and Watson [37]. Its variant for the strongly nonadiabatic conditions of the inverse Born-Oppenheimer (IBO) approximation was developed in Ref. [38]. It was extended further to take the complex structure of the core [39] and the effect of the core ω doubling [40] into account. In combination with the Green's-function technique, it was used to develop a method for the calculation of the polarizability of the nonpolar [41] and polar molecules NO [42], LiH, NaH, CaF, and BF [43].

Nonpenetrating Rydberg states are responsible for the enormous lifetime enhancement of high Rydberg states, which is essential in zero electric kinetic energy and mass-analyzed threshold ionization techniques [44–46]. This effect is observed not only in atoms and simple molecules, but also in large polyatomic molecules [47].

At the same time, even small quantum defects of nonpenetrating Rydberg states are essential for the processes of nearthreshold-field ionization because the value of the quantum defect determines the adiabatic or diabatic mechanism of the ionization [44] and rotational autoionization [48]. It must be noted that an investigation of the Rydberg states recently have attracted the particular attention in relation to important scientific and technological applications (see, for instance, Refs. [49–54] and references therein).

In the present paper, we give a brief outline of the general classification for the nonpenetrating Rydberg states of the polar molecules in both BO and IBO regions, and as an example, we apply it to a specific polar molecule, namely, SO.

Atomic units are used throughout.

II. MAIN FORMALISM

A. BO approximation

Additional difficulties in describing Rydberg molecules as compared with Rydberg atoms stem from two circumstances: (i) the presence of vibrational and rotational spectra and (ii) the presence of a long-range dipole potential of the core. Indeed, quadrupole moments are characteristic of both molecules and atoms, and, in both cases, multipole moments of higher orders can be included in the short-range part of the potential. The effect of the atomic-core polarizability on quantum defects is considered in Ref. [55]. Effects involving the spin of the Rydberg electron are not considered in the present paper with the exception of the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction [Eq. (16) below].

We confine our consideration to nonpenetrating Rydberg states, i.e., the states with negligible penetration of the Rydberg electron into the molecular core. Estimations show that, for example, for H₂ and CaF, the Rydberg states with $l \ge 2$ and $l \ge 3$, respectively, can be considered as nonpenetrating ones (see, for example, Refs. [24,56]), and such a critical value of *l* also can be assumed for the majority of other diatomic molecules, including SO. In nonpenetrating states, the motion of the Rydberg electron is influenced mainly by the Coulomb and dipole parts of the core potential, which allows separation of the Rydberg electron wave function into the radial part and the angular one in the limits of BO and IBO approximations as presented below. In the intermediate region between BO and IBO, such separation is not possible, and the wave function should be found numerically.

In this section, we consider the problem of the influence of a dipole moment on the motion of a Rydberg electron in the

^{*}dmitrii.dorofeev@gmail.com

[†]elfimovserg@gmail.com

[‡]zon@niif.vsu.ru



FIG. 1. $|Z_{lk}^{\text{IBO}}|$ as a function of θ, φ for $\bar{s} = j = 5/2$, $M_{\bar{s}} = 3/2$, $\omega = 1/2$, l = 1, $\Omega = \{\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi/2\}$, and d = 0, 1, 3, 6 a.u.

ordinary BO approximation. It takes place when the precession of the Rydberg electron orbit is more rapid than the rotation of the core and, hence, the angular momentum of the Rydberg electron strongly coupled to the core symmetry axis. It follows that this approximation takes place if the following inequality is valid [57]:

$$4Bj \ll \frac{|\mu|}{n^3} = |\Delta E_{\rm QD}|. \tag{1}$$

Here, *B* is the rotational constant, *j* is the total momentum of the core, *n* is the principal quantum number of the Rydberg state, μ is the quantum defect [i.e., the correction of the principal quantum number in the familiar Rydberg formula $E_n = -\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^{-2}$], and $\Delta E_{\rm QD}$ is the energy shift due to the quantum defect.

In this approximation, the motion of the Rydberg electron can be considered in the molecular-core reference frame. We write the Schrödinger equation for the Rydberg electron in the form

$$H_0\Psi = E\Psi,\tag{2}$$

$$H_0 = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{d}{r^2} \cos \theta - \frac{1}{r}.$$
 (3)

Here, *d* is the dipole moment of the core, θ is the angle between **d** and **r**, and *p* is the Rydberg electron momentum. We can separate variables in Eq. (2) and can seek the wave function in the form

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = R(r)Z^{\text{BO}}(\theta,\varphi). \tag{4}$$



FIG. 2. $|Z_{lk}^{\text{IBO}}|$ as a function of θ, φ for $\bar{s} = j = 5/2$, $M_{\bar{s}} = 3/2$, $\omega = 1/2$, l = 1, $\Omega = \{\pi/2, \pi/4, \pi/2\}$, and d = 0, 1, 3, 6 a.u.



FIG. 3. $|Z_{lk}^{\text{IBO}}|$ as a function of θ, φ for $\bar{s} = j = 5/2$, $M_{\bar{s}} = 3/2$, $\omega = 1/2$, l = 2, $\Omega = \{0,0,0\}$, and d = 0,1,3,6 a.u.



FIG. 4. $|Z_{lk}^{\text{IBO}}|$ as a function of θ, φ for $\bar{s} = j = 5/2$, $M_{\bar{s}} = 3/2$, $\omega = 1/2$, l = 2, $\Omega = \{0, \pi/2, 0\}$, and d = 0, 1, 3, 6 a.u.



FIG. 5. $|Z_{lk}^{\text{IBO}}|$ as a function of θ, φ for $\bar{s} = j = 5/2$, $M_{\bar{s}} = 3/2$, $\omega = 1/2$, l = 3, $\Omega = \{0, 0, 0\}$, and d = 0, 1, 3, 6 a.u.



FIG. 6. $|Z_{lk}^{\text{IBO}}|$ as a function of θ, φ for $\bar{s} = j = 5/2$, $M_{\bar{s}} = 3/2$, $\omega = 1/2$, l = 3, $\Omega = \{0, \pi/2, 0\}$, and d = 0, 1, 3, 6 a.u.

We call $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ the BO Coulomb-dipole function. Here, function $Z^{BO}(\theta, \varphi)$ satisfies the equation,

$$\Delta_{\theta,\varphi} Z_{lm}^{\rm BO} + 2d \,\cos\,\theta Z_{lm}^{\rm BO} = \lambda^{(lm)} Z_{lm}^{\rm BO},\tag{5}$$

where $\Delta_{\theta,\varphi}$ is the angular part of the Laplacian, *m* is the Rydberg electron's azimuthal quantum number (which is an integral of motion in the BO approximation, if the quantization axis is directed along the core-dipole moment direction), *l* is the index that enumerates different eigenfunctions corresponding to the same *m*, and parameter λ is the separation constant that must be found in the process of the solution. If d = 0, then obviously, $\lambda^{(lm)} = l(l+1)$ for all *m*, and $Z_{lm}^{BO} = Y_{lm}(\theta,\varphi)$,

TABLE I. Quadrupole moment Q.

		М	lethod	
Basis set	HF ^a	MP2 ^b	MP4 ^c	B3LYP ^d
6-311G	0.64	0.71	0,79	0.72
6-311 + G	0.67	0.70	0,77	0.77
6-311 + +G	0.63	0.69	0,78	0.75

^aHF denotes Hartree-Fock.

^bMP2 denotes second-order Møller-Plesset.

°MP4 denotes fourth-order Møller-Plesset.

^dB3LYP denotes the Becke three-parameter Lee-Yang-Parr hybrid functional.

TABLE II. Quantum defect μ_d induced by the core-dipole moment for the BO region, Eq. (10).

		1				
т	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	-0.7334	-0.10576	-0.023 85	-0.00771	-0.003 57	-0.01104
1		0.08036	-0.01006	-0.00573	-0.00304	-0.01060
2			0.022 97	0.000 11	-0.00144	-0.00928
3				0.009 59	0.001 20	-0.00707
4					0.004 87	0.001 13
5						0.002 81

where *Y* is the ordinary spherical harmonic. Oppositely, if $d \neq 0$, then Z_{lm}^{BO} can be sought in the form of expansion,

$$Z_{lm}^{\rm BO}(d;\theta,\varphi) = \sum_{l'=|m|}^{\infty} a_{l'}^{(lm)} Y_{l'm}(\theta,\varphi), \tag{6}$$

where the coefficients $a_{l'}^{(lm)}$ satisfy the relations,

$$2d \sum_{l''=l'\pm 1} \left(\frac{2l''+1}{2l'+1}\right)^{1/2} C_{l''010}^{l'0} C_{l''m10}^{l'm} a_{l''}^{(lm)}$$
$$= [\lambda^{(lm)} - l'(l'+1)] a_{l'}^{(lm)}.$$
(7)

Here, *C* are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. Thus, the constants $\lambda^{(lm)}$ and the set of coefficients $a_{l'}^{(lm)}$ can be found numerically as the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors, respectively, of the system (7). The values $\lambda^{(lm)}$ can be expressed in terms of the effective angular momentum number,

$$\lambda^{(lm)} = \tilde{l}(\tilde{l}+1), \tag{8}$$

and reversely,

$$\tilde{l} = \sqrt{\lambda^{(lm)} + 1/4} - 1/2.$$
(9)

Then, the quantum defects induced by the core-dipole moment can be found as

$$\mu_d = l - \tilde{l} = l - \sqrt{\lambda^{(lm)} + 1/4} + 1/2.$$
(10)

The graphs for several functions Z_{lm}^{BO} are given in Ref. [58]. Corresponding results for the SO molecule are presented in Tables II, III, and VI and are discussed in Sec. III. The radial functions satisfy the equation,

$$\Delta_r R + 2\left[\frac{1}{r} + E\right] R - \frac{\lambda^{(lm)}}{r^2} R = 0, \qquad (11)$$

TABLE III. Quantum defect μ_Q for the BO region, Eq. (15).

			l		
т	1	2	3	4	5
0	0.1	0.014 29	0.004 76	0.002 16	0.001 17
1	-0.05	0.007 14	0.003 57	0.001 84	0.001 05
2		-0.01429	0	0.000 87	0.000 70
3			-0.00595	-0.00076	0.000 12
4				-0.00303	-0.00070
5					-0.00175

where Δ_r is the radial part of the Laplacian. The solution of Eq. (11) essentially is the conventional radial Coulomb function with a modification of the familiar integer l on \tilde{l} ,

$$R_{\nu}(\lambda,r) = \frac{1}{\nu r} \left(\frac{n_r!}{\Gamma(n_r + \tilde{l} + 1)} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2r}{\nu} \right)^{l+1} \exp\left(-\frac{r}{\nu}\right)$$
$$\times L_{n_r}^{2\tilde{l}+1} \left(\frac{2r}{\nu} \right), \quad \nu = n_r + \tilde{l} + 1.$$
(12)

Here, $n_r = 0, 1, ...$ is the radial quantum number.

Additionally, one can take the quadrupole moment of the molecular core Q into account, including it as a small perturbation into the Hamiltonian,

$$H = H_0 + \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} \frac{Q}{r^3} Y_{20}(\theta, \varphi).$$
(13)

For small d, Q, one can derive the following asymptotical expressions for the corresponding contributions into the quantum defect for l > 0 [24,25]:

$$\mu_d = -\frac{2[l(l+1) - 3m^2]}{l(l+1)(2l-1)(2l+1)(2l+3)}d^2, \quad (14)$$

$$\mu_{\mathcal{Q}} = \frac{2[l(l+1) - 3m^2]}{l(l+1)(2l-1)(2l+1)(2l+3)}Q.$$
 (15)

For l = 0, $\mu_d \approx -2d^2/3$ and $\mu_Q = 0$.

In a similar way, magnetic effects also can be taken into account. The magnetic dipole-dipole interaction between the core and the Rydberg electron is

$$H_M = \frac{\mu_{\rm B}^2}{r^3} (\mathbf{L}^+ + 2\mathbf{S}^+) (\mathbf{l} + 2\mathbf{s}), \qquad (16)$$

where $\mu_{\rm B}$ is the Bohr magneton, \mathbf{L}^+ , \mathbf{S}^+ , \boldsymbol{l} , and \mathbf{s} are the angular momentum and spin of the core and the Rydberg electron, respectively. However, the corresponding contribution into the quantum defect typically is several orders less than μ_d and μ_Q due to the smallness of $\mu_{\rm B} = e\hbar/(2mc) \simeq 1/274$ a.u.

B. IBO approximation

IBO approximation takes place for high Rydberg states when the precession of the Rydberg electron orbit is slower than the rotation of the core and the angular momentum of the electron uncouples from the core axis [57],

$$4Bj \gg \frac{|\mu|}{n^3} = |\Delta E_{\rm QD}|. \tag{17}$$

					l		
j	\overline{s}	0	1	2	3	4	5
	1/2	0.400 36	-0.07800				
1/2	3/2		0.044 05	-0.02591			
	5/2			0.017 73	-0.01260		
	1/2		0.001 79	-0.00107			
3/2	3/2	0.055 80	-0.01177	-0.00215	-0.00092		
	5/2		0.007 52	-0.00188	-0.00105	-0.00064	
	1/2			0.000 13	-0.00009		
5/2	3/2		0.001 38	-0.00035	-0.00019	-0.00012	
·	5/2	0.0234	-0.00480	-0.00077	-0.00029	-0.00016	-0.0001

TABLE IV. Quantum defect μ_d induced by the core-dipole moment for the IBO region, Eq. (22).

We confine the consideration of the IBO approximation to the situation when the molecular core has a form of a symmetric top whose dipole moment is directed along the symmetry axis [38]. Let us write the Hamiltonian,

$$H_0 = H^+ + \frac{p^2}{2} - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\mathbf{dr}}{r^3}, \qquad (18)$$

where

$$H^{+} = Bj^{2} + (C - B)j_{\zeta}^{2}$$
(19)

is the rotational part of the Hamiltonian of the core, *B* and *C* are the rotational constants, *j* is the total momentum of the core, and j_{ζ} is the projection of this momentum on the symmetry axis. Here, we assume Hund's case (*a*) for the core (for other cases, see Refs. [39,57]).

Taking these circumstances into account, we search for the solution to the Schrödinger equation in the form

$$\Psi(\Omega, \mathbf{r}) = R(r) Z^{\text{IBO}}(\Omega; \theta, \varphi), \qquad (20)$$

$$Z_{lk}^{\rm IBO} = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{8\pi^2}} \sum_{l'} a_{l'}^{(lk)} \sum_{l'_z j_z} C_{jj_z l' l'_z}^{\bar{s}M_{\bar{s}}} D_{j_z \omega}^{j*}(\Omega) Y_{l' l'_z}(\theta, \varphi).$$
(21)

We call $\Psi(\Omega, \mathbf{r})$ the IBO Coulomb-dipole functions. Here, Ω are the Euler angles that specify the spatial orientation of the core, *D* is the matrix of the finite rotations, which coincides with the eigenfunction of the operator H^+ , the asterisk denotes complex conjugation, ω is the eigenvalue of the operator j_{ζ} , **r**, as before, are the Rydberg electron coordinates, *k* is the set of numbers $\{\bar{s}, j, \omega, M_{\bar{s}}\}$, where \bar{s} is the total momentum of the molecule minus the spin of the Rydberg electron ($\bar{s} = J - s$), and $M_{\bar{s}}$ is the projection of \bar{s} on the *z* axis. Then, the angular part of the Schrödinger equation can be recast as

$$2 d\omega \sqrt{\frac{(2j+1)(2l'+1)}{j(j+1)}} \sum_{l''=l'\pm 1} C_{l'010}^{l''0} W(j1\bar{s}l'';jl') a_{l''}^{(lk)}$$
$$= [l'(l'+1) - \Lambda^{(lk)}] a_{l'}^{(lk)}.$$
(22)

Here, W is the Racah tensor, and $\Lambda^{(lk)}$ is the eigenvalue of the system (22). Note that, in the IBO approximation, this system is finite, unlike the BO where the corresponding system (7) is infinite. The set of coefficients $a_{l'}^{(lk)}$ is the eigenvector, which can be found by numerical solving Eq. (22). If d = 0, then $\Lambda^{(lk)} = l(l+1)$, $a_{l'}^{(lk)} = \delta_{ll'}$, where $\delta_{ll'}$ is the Kronecker δ . It means that, for d = 0, the functions Z_{lk}^{IBO} are given by the familiar rules of the angular momentum algebra for two noninteracting subsystems. For $d \neq 0$, the values \tilde{l} and μ_d can be introduced again as in Eqs. (8)–(10) with λ substituted by Λ . The graphs for several functions Z_{lk}^{IBO} are presented in Figs. 1-6. One can see that the influence of the core-dipole moment leads to the shift in the electron-density distribution due to attraction toward its positive-charge end repulsion from the negative-charge one. The results for the SO molecule are presented in Tables IV, V, and VII and are discussed in Sec. III. The radial function of the Rydberg electron R is given by the same formula (12).

			1					
j	\overline{S}	1	2	3	4	5		
	1/2	0						
1/2	3/2	0	0					
	5/2		0	0				
	1/2	-0.00972	-0.00117					
3/2	3/2	0.007 78	0	-0.00024				
	5/2	-0.00194	0.000 83	0.000 06	-0.00008			
	1/2		-0.00121	-0.00031				
5/2	3/2	-0.00708	-0.00043	-0.00017	-0.0001			
	5/2	0.0081	0.000 43	0.000 01	-0.0000402	-0.0000418		

TABLE V. Quantum defect μ_0 for the IBO region, Eq. (24).

				l'		
l	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0.885 67	-0.45745	0.079 25	-0.00702	0.000 38	-0.00001
1	0.460 73	0.844 60	-0.27091	0.031 48	-0.00198	$0.000\ 08$
2	0.057 58	0.277 41	0.941 60	-0.181 39	0.014 54	-0.00067

TABLE VI. Coefficients $a_{l'}^{(lm)}$ in the BO approximation for m = 0.

Similar to Eqs. (14) and (15), asymptotical expressions for the dipole and quadrupole contributions into the quantum defect can be derived

$$\mu_d = -\frac{2(2j+1)}{j(j+1)(2l+1)} [W^2(j1\bar{s}l-1;jl) - W^2(j1\bar{s}l+1;jl)](d\omega)^2,$$
(23)

$$\mu_{Q} = \frac{\sqrt{(2j+1)(2l+1)}}{l(l+1)(2l+1)^{2}} C^{j\omega}_{j\omega 20} C^{l0}_{l020} W(j2\bar{s}l;jl)Q.$$
(24)

C. Region of zero-dipole quantum defects

In the highest Rydberg states, the outer electron has only a small effect on the core stationary states, which are the components of the core ω doublet, i.e., the symmetric and antisymmetric combinations of the core wave functions with different signs of ω . In these stationary states, the average core-dipole moment is zero so that the Rydberg electron moves in a purely Coulomb field (provided, of course, that we have ignored the higher multipole moments and the short-range part of the core potential). Such a situation takes place if the following inequality is satisfied [40]:

$$n > n_{\delta} = (2\delta)^{-1/3},$$
 (25)

where δ is the core ω -doublet splitting. Thus, for $n > n_{\delta}$, the contribution of the core-dipole moment into the quantum defects of Rydberg states essentially is zero [40].

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR SO

Here, we present quantum defects and wave functions calculated using expressions (7), (15), (22), and (24) for the SO molecule. The constants of SO⁺, necessary for the calculation, are the following: the dipole moment is d = 1.1 a.u. [59], the rotational constant is B = 0.76 cm⁻¹ [60], and the projection of the total momentum on the symmetry axis is $\omega = 1/2$ [60]. The values of the quadrupole moment calculated with GAUSSIAN 09 using several methods and basis sets are presented

TABLE VII. Coefficients $a_{l'}^{(lk)}$ in the IBO approximation for $j = \bar{s} = 5/2$.

			l'		
l	0	1	2	3	4
0	0.994 38	0.105 83	0.003 23	0.000 04	3.14×10^{-7}
1	-0.10587	0.993 33	0.045 79	0.00076	$5.98 imes 10^{-6}$
2	0.001 64	-0.04588	0.998 56	0.027 59	0.000 28

in Table I, which gives the estimation $Q \approx 0.75$ a.u. [61]. The results of the calculations for several Rydberg series in the BO and IBO approximations are shown in Tables II –VII.

Inequalities (1) and(17) give the border between BO and IBO regions,

$$n \sim (\mu/4Bj)^{1/3}$$
. (26)

Assuming $\mu \sim 0.1-0.01$ and $j \sim 1/2-19/2$, one gets $n \sim 4-23$. For n < 4, the BO approximation takes place, and the quantum defects for nonpenetrating Rydberg states are given by Eq. (10). The results are presented in Tables II and III. In Table II, the values of the dipole quantum defects are given. In Table III, the quadrupole quantum defects are presented, calculated following Eq. (15). In Table VI, the coefficients $a_{l'}^{(lm)}$ are presented. For n > 23, the IBO approximation takes place, corresponding quantum defects are given by Eqs. (22)–(24) and are presented in Tables IV and V, and coefficients $a_{l'}^{(lk)}$ and the change in the wave function are presented in Table VII and Figs. 1–6, respectively.

Next, we evaluate the width of the core ω doublet with the use of the well-known formula for the $X^2 \Pi_{1/2}$ state [62],

$$\delta \approx \frac{AB}{\varepsilon}(j+1/2).$$
 (27)

Here, $A = 365 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is the spin-orbit coupling constant for the core ground state [63], $\varepsilon \approx 25\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is the distance to the first excited electron term of the core [64]. For j = 1/2-19/2, it gives $\delta \sim 0.01-0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. According to Eq. (25), the corresponding values are $n_{\delta} \sim 100-170$. Thus, for n > 100-170, the dipole part of the quantum defect is zero.

IV. CONCLUSION

The energy regions of the BO and IBO approximations (discussed in Sec. II) represent spectral equivalents for familiar spatial regions *A* (near region) and *B* (far one) introduced by Fano in the molecular MQDT technique [15]. Indeed, the BO or IBO approximations take place when the Rydberg electron is localized in the region *A* or *B*, respectively. Hence, the BO Coulomb-dipole functions (4) and the IBO Coulomb-dipole functions (20) correspond to Fano's eigenfunctions X and Φ , respectively (Eqs. (1)–(3) in Ref. [15]). The specifics of the present paper are that we explicitly take the effect of the coredipole moment on the IBO wave functions (Fano's far-zone eigenfunctions Φ) into account. As can be understood from Eqs. (22) and (23), such an account is necessary when $\omega \neq 0$. This situation takes place for the SO molecule with the core ground state $X^2 \Pi_{1/2}$ considered in the present paper as well

as for a number of other molecules, such as NP, SiS, SiTe, etc. The proposed approach allows one to correctly include the effect of the core-dipole moment on quantum defects and the wave function of nonpenetrating Rydberg states of these molecules.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. F. Merkt, Dr. V. E. Chernov, and Dr. A. V. Krisilov for interest in the paper and fruitful discussions. We also thank the EFKO Group for financial support.

- C. A. Gottlieb, E. W. Gottlieb, M. M. Litvak, J. A. Ball, and H. Penfield, Astrophys. J. 219, 77 (1978).
- [2] R. B. Loren and L. G. Mundy, Astrophys. J. 286, 232 (1984).
- [3] E. C. Sutton, G. A. Blake, C. R. Masson, and T. G. Phillips, Astrophys. J. 286, 232 (1985).
- [4] A. L. Broadfoot, M. J. S. Belton, P. Z. Takacs, B. R. Sandel, D. E. Shemansky, J. B. Holberg, J. M. Ajello, S. K. Atreya, T. M. Donahue, H. W. Moos, J. L. Bertaux, J. E. Blamont, D. F. Strobel, J. C. Mcconnell, A. Dalgarno, R. Goody, and M. B. Mcelroy, Science 204, 979 (1979).
- [5] B. R. Sandel, D. E. Shemansky, A. L. Broadfoot, J. L. Bertaux, J. E. Blamont, M. J. S. Belton, J. M. Ajello, J. B. Holberg, S. K. Atreya, T. M. Donahue, H. W. Moos, D. F. Strobel, J. C. Mcconnell, A. Dalgarno, R. Goody, M. B. Mcelroy, and P. Z. Takacs, Science 206, 962 (1979).
- [6] K. Becker, W. van Wijngaarden, and J. W. McConkey, Planet. Space Sci. 31, 197 (1983).
- [7] R. P. Wayne, *Chemistry of the Atmospheres* (Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1991).
- [8] B. E. Turner, Astrophys. J. 396, L107 (1992).
- [9] B. E. Turner, Astrophys. J. **430**, 727 (1994).
- [10] B. E. Turner, Astrophys. J. 468, 694 (1996).
- [11] M. G. Kivelson, K. K. Khurana, R. J. Walker, J. Warnecke, C. T. Russell, J. A. Linker, D. J. Southwood, and C. Polanskey Science 274, 396 (1996).
- [12] C. T. Russell and M. G. Kivelso, Science 287, 1998 (2000).
- [13] M. J. Seaton, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 88, 801 (1966).
- [14] M. J. Seaton, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 88, 815 (1966).
- [15] U. Fano, Phys. Rev. A 2, 353 (1970).
- [16] C. H. Greene, U. Fano, and G. Strinati, Phys. Rev. A 19, 1485 (1979).
- [17] C. H. Greene, Phys. Rev. A 20, 656 (1979).
- [18] C. Jungen and O. Atabek, J. Chem. Phys. 66, 5584 (1977).
- [19] C. Jungen and D. Dill, J. Chem. Phys. 73, 3338 (1980).
- [20] E. McCormack, J. M. Gilligan, C. Cornaggia, and E. E. Eyler, Phys. Rev. A 39, 2260 (1989).
- [21] M. D. Lindsay, A. W. Kam, J. R. Lawall, P. Zhao, and F. M. Pipkin, Phys. Rev. A 41, 4974 (1990).
- [22] C. Jungen and E. Miesche, Can. J. Phys. **47**, 1769 (1969).
- [23] J. E. Murphy, E. Friedman-Hill, and R. W. Field, J. Chem. Phys. 103, 6459 (1995).
- [24] M. Arif, C. Jungen, and A. L. Roche, J. Chem. Phys. 106, 10 (1997).
- [25] C. Jungen and A. L. Roche, Can. J. Phys. 79, 287 (2001).
- [26] S. N. Altunata, S. L. Coy, and R. W. Field, J. Chem. Phys. 123, 084319 (2005).
- [27] S. N. Altunata, S. L. Coy, and R. W. Field, J. Chem. Phys. 124, 194302 (2006).

- [28] J. J. Kay, Jeffrey J. Kay, Stephen L. Coy, Bryan M. Wong, Christian Jungen, and Robert W. Field J. Chem. Phys. 134, 114313 (2011).
- [29] Z. J. Jakubek and R. W. Field, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2167 (1994).
- [30] Z. J. Jakubek and R. W. Field, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 179, 99 (1996).
- [31] Z. J. Jakubek and R. W. Field, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 355, 1507 (1997).
- [32] Z. J. Jakubek and R. W. Field, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 205, 197 (2001).
- [33] S. Raouafi, G.-H. Jeung, and C. Jungen, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 196, 248 (1999).
- [34] J. Li, Yaoming Liu, David B. Moss, Christopher M. Gittins, Nicole A. Harris, and Robert W. Field J. Mol. Spectrosc. 193, 403 (1999).
- [35] J. O. Clevenger, N. A. Harris, R. W. Field, and J. Li, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 193, 412 (1999).
- [36] B. A. Zon, Sov. Phys. JETP 75, 1 (1992).
- [37] J. K. G. Watson, Mol. Phys. 81, 227 (1994).
- [38] B. A. Zon, Phys. Lett. A 203, 373 (1995).
- [39] V. E. Chernov, D. L. Dorofeev, and B. A. Zon, J. Phys. B 32, 967 (1999).
- [40] D. L. Dorofeev and B. A. Zon, JETP 83, 3 (1996).
- [41] E. V. Akindinova, V. E. Chernov, I. Y. Kretinin, and B. A. Zon, Phys. Rev. A 79, 032506 (2009).
- [42] A. M. Butirskiy and B. A. Zon, JETP 103, 360 (2006).
- [43] E. V. Akindinova, V. E. Chernov, I. Y. Kretinin, and B. A. Zon, Phys. Rev. A 81, 042517 (2010).
- [44] W. Chupka, J. Chem. Phys. 98, 6 (1993).
- [45] F. Merkt and T. P. Softley, J. Chem. Phys. 96, 4149 (1992).
- [46] M. J. J. Vrakking and Y. T. Lee, Phys. Rev. A 51, R894 (1995).
- [47] K.-W. Choi, S. Choi, S. Baek, and S. Kim, J. Chem. Phys. 126, 034308 (2007).
- [48] G. Herzberg and C. Jungen, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 41, 425 (1972).
- [49] D. Jaksch, J. I. Cirac, P. Zoller, S. L. Rolston, R. Côté, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2208 (2000).
- [50] I. E. Protsenko, G. Reymond, N. Schlosser, and P. Grangier, Phys. Rev. A 65, 052301 (2002).
- [51] D. Tong, S. M. Farooqi, J. Stanojevic, S. Krishnan, Y. P. Zhang, R. Côté, E. E. Eyler, and P. L. Gould, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 063001 (2004).
- [52] M. Viteau, M. G. Bason, J. Radogostowicz, N. Maloddi, D. Ciampini, O. Morsch, and E. Arimondo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 060402 (2011).
- [53] J. Honer, R. Low, H. Weimer, T. Pfau, and H. P. Buchler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 093601 (2011).
- [54] A. V. Gorshkov, J. Otterbach, M. Fleischhauer, T. Pohl, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 133602 (2011).
- [55] A. S. Kornev and B. A. Zon, J. Phys. B 36, 4027 (2003).
- [56] E. E. Eyler, Phys. Rev. A 34, 2881 (1986).
- [57] A. V. Danilyan and V. E. Chernov, Opt. Spektrosc. 104, 21 (2008).

- [58] V. E. Chernov, I. Y. Kiyan, H. Helm, and B. A. Zon, Phys. Rev. A 71, 033410 (2005).
- [59] S. Midda and A. K. Dasa, Eur. Phys. J. D 27, 109 (2003).
- [60] NIST [http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/].
- [61] S. V. Elfimov, D. L. Dorofeev, A. V. Krisilov, and B. A. Zon (unpublished).
- [62] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics, Nonrelativistic Theory* (Pergamon, New York, 1991).
- [63] C. S. Lam, Hailing Wang, Yuntao Xu, Kai-Chung Lau, and C. Y. Ng, J. Chem. Phys. 134, 144304 (2011).
- [64] H. Bissantz, L. Andric, Ch. Hertzler, H.-J.Foth, and F. Linder, Z. Phys. D 22, 1992 (1992).