Hybrid squeezing of solitonic resonant radiation in photonic crystal fibers

Truong X. Tran,¹ Katiuscia N. Cassemiro,¹ Christoph Söller,¹ Keith J. Blow,² and Fabio Biancalana¹

¹*Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany*

²*Aston University, Aston Triangle, Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK*

(Received 16 February 2011; published 21 July 2011)

We report the existence of a kind of squeezing in photonic crystal fibers which is conceptually intermediate between four-wave-mixing-induced squeezing in which all the participant waves are monochromatic waves, and self-phase-modulation-induced squeezing for a single pulse in a coherent state. This hybrid squeezing occurs when an arbitrary short soliton emits quasimonochromatic resonant radiation near a zero-group-velocity-dispersion point of the fiber. Photons around the resonant frequency become strongly correlated due to the presence of the classical soliton, and a reduction of the quantum noise below the shot-noise level is predicted.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.84.013824](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.84.013824) PACS number(s): 42*.*65*.*Tg, 42*.*50*.*Ct, 42*.*50*.*Lc, 42*.*50*.*Nn

I. INTRODUCTION

In quantum mechanics the variance of two conjugate observables must respect a minimum value set by the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, which is known as the standard quantum limit or shot-noise level. Squeezing is the result of strong correlations, generally induced by nonlinear optical processes, such that the variance of a given observable is smaller than the shot noise [\[1\]](#page-3-0). Squeezed light constitutes an important tool for high-precision measurements [\[2\]](#page-3-0), as well as for applications in quantum cryptography and quantum information processing (see Ref. [\[3\]](#page-3-0) and references therein). Two qualitatively different kinds of squeezing are currently known to take place in optical fibers. The first is due to the process of four-wave mixing (FWM) $[4]$, in which an intense continuous wave (CW) pump field generates signal and idler waves at symmetric frequencies around the pump. Energy conservation leads to a strict photon number correlation between the signal and idler twin modes, resulting in squeezing. The second kind of squeezing is due to self-phase modulation (SPM) [\[5\]](#page-3-0), in which the spectral components of an intense short pulse (e.g., a soliton [\[6\]](#page-3-0)) in a coherent state become correlated. This is different from the FWM-induced squeezing, in that the input field is pulsed and is itself squeezed, as opposed to squeezing a vacuum state [\[7,8\]](#page-3-0). Strictly related to the SPM-induced squeezing, we can also mention another well-known squeezing method (i.e., spectral filtering), in which the removal of some soliton spectral components breaks the orthogonality between the soliton and the continuum, leading to their coupling and thus to squeezing [\[9,10\]](#page-3-0).

In this paper we demonstrate theoretically that a different kind of squeezing is possible in optical fibers. We investigate the quasimonochromatic dispersive radiation emitted by optical solitons subjected to perturbations due to higher-order dispersion effects. Evidence of photon correlation is observed, manifesting itself in a noise spectrum of the emitted radiation that is lower than the standard quantum limit.

II. RESONANT RADIATION AMPLITUDE WITH GREEN'S FUNCTION METHOD

Resonant radiation amplitude with Green's function method. Our starting point is the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equation (GNLSE) in the absence of the Raman effect: $i\partial_z A + D(i\partial_t)A + |A|^2 A = 0$. Here *z* and *t* are the dimensionless time and space coordinates scaled with the input pulse duration t_0 and the second-order dispersion length $L_{D2} \equiv$ $t_0^2/|\beta_2(\omega_0)|$, respectively. *A*(*z*,*t*) is the dimensionless electric field envelope in units of $\sqrt{P_0}$, with $P_0 \equiv |\beta_2(\omega_0)|/(\gamma t_0^2)$, β_2 is the group-velocity-dispersion (GVD) coefficient at the central frequency ω_0 of the input pulse, and γ is the nonlinear coefficient of the fiber [\[11\]](#page-3-0). The linear dispersion operator in the GNLSE is given by $D(i\partial_t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} s \partial_t^2 + \sum_{m \geq 3} \alpha_m [i \partial_t]^m$, where $s = +1$ ($s = -1$) for anomalous (normal) GVD, $\alpha_m \equiv$ $\beta_m/[m!|\beta_2|t_0^{m-2}]$, where β_m is the *m*th order GVD coefficient. When no higher-order dispersion (HOD) coefficients are taken into account ($\alpha_m = 0 \forall m \geq 3$), the GNLSE takes the standard form of the conventional NLSE with the following fundamental soliton solution: $A(z,t) = F(t) \exp(i\theta z)$, with $F(t) = \sqrt{2q} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{2q}t)$, where *q* is the soliton wave number [\[11\]](#page-3-0). In the absence of HOD, the fundamental soliton is stable and invariant along propagation. When the NLSE is perturbed by HOD terms, solitons propagating according to the GNLSE emit a special kind of quasimonochromatic dispersive radiation, called resonant (or Cherenkov) radiation (RR), provided that phase matching between soliton wave number and fiber dispersion is met [\[12,](#page-3-0)[13\]](#page-4-0).

We now proceed to calculate the amplitude of RR emitted by a soliton in the presence of HOD by using a Green's function method. This will provide an analytical expression for the spatiotemporal evolution of creation and annihilation operators of the RR around the resonant frequency. These will be used to calculate explicitly the quadrature variance in order to investigate quantitatively the squeezing effect along the fiber. We make the following ansatz in the GNLSE: $A = [F(t) + \hat{g}(z,t)] \exp(iqz)$, where $\hat{g}(\hat{g}^{\dagger})$ is the quantum annihilation (creation) operator associated with the radiation amplitude, which is much smaller than the soliton amplitude. By keeping only the linear terms in $\vec{g} \equiv (\hat{g}, \hat{g}^{\dagger})^{\text{T}}$ we obtain

$$
\left[i\hat{\sigma}\partial_z - q + \frac{1}{2}\partial_t^2 - \hat{P}(i\partial_t)\right]\vec{g} = \vec{U}(\vec{F}) - \hat{M}\vec{g},\qquad(1)
$$

where $\hat{\sigma} = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ is the third Pauli matrix. The soliton potential matrix $\hat{M}(t)$ and the HOD perturbation operator $\hat{P}(i\partial_t)$ are defined by (from now on an overbar indicates complex conjugation)

$$
\hat{M}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2|F(t)|^2 & F^2(t) \\ \bar{F}^2(t) & 2|F(t)|^2 \end{bmatrix},
$$

$$
\hat{P}(i\partial_t) = \begin{bmatrix} P(i\partial_t) & 0 \\ 0 & P(-i\partial_t) \end{bmatrix},
$$
 (2)

where $P(i\partial_t) \equiv [D_2(i\partial_t) - D(i\partial_t)], D_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}s\partial_t^2, \vec{U}(\vec{F}) \equiv$ $(P(i\partial_t)F, P(-i\partial_t)\overline{F})^T = \hat{P}(i\partial_t)\overline{F}$, with $\overline{F} = (F, \overline{F})^T$. The Hermitian matrix \hat{M} is the potential felt by radiation \vec{g} due to the soliton, while vector $\vec{U}(\vec{F})$ is the radiation's source term due to HOD perturbations. Note that, because \hat{M} is nondiagonal, field \hat{g} will be coupled to field \hat{g}^{\dagger} for $z \neq 0$, even if there is no initial coupling at $z = 0$. This feature will eventually be responsible for the squeezing of the RR, which is thus indirectly induced by the soliton itself.

We now solve Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) by first solving the following equation for the Green's function \mathcal{G} :

$$
[i\partial_z - q + D(i\partial_t)]\mathcal{G}(z, t - t') = \Delta(t - t'), \tag{3}
$$

where $\Delta(t)$ is the Dirac delta function. Once G is known, the convolution theorem allows one to find the general solution of Eq. (1) :

$$
\vec{g}(z,t) = \vec{g}_0(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\mathcal{G}}(z,t-t')
$$

$$
\times [\vec{U}(\vec{F}(t')) - \hat{M}(t')\vec{g}(z,t')]dt', \tag{4}
$$

where \vec{g}_0 is the quantum radiation field at $z = 0$ (corresponding to vacuum fluctuations) and we have defined the 2×2 matrix $\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \text{diag}(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\mathcal{G}})$. Note that Eq. (4) is an integral equation: the unknown radiation field $\vec{g}(z,t)$ appears on both sides. To solve Eq. (4), one needs first to find the Green's function G by solving Eq. (3) and then, by using an iterative perturbative procedure, it will be possible to find $\vec{g}(z,t)$ with an arbitrary accuracy.

It is possible to rigorously show by using the residue theorem of complex analysis that the *z*-dependent Green's function $\mathcal G$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{G}(z,t-t') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathbb{P} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-i\omega[t-t']} \{1 - e^{i[-q+D(\omega)]z}\}}{-q+D(\omega)} d\omega, \tag{5}
$$

where $\mathbb P$ indicates the Cauchy principal value of the integral, and the integrand is an analytic function. An analysis of the pole structure indicates that, if the soliton emits RR due to perturbations, the resonant frequencies will be solutions of the equation −*q* + *D*(*ω*) = 0, where *D*(*ω*) is the Fourier transform of the dispersion operator $D(i\partial_t)$. We assume in the following (without loss of generality) that there will be only one real root of this polynomial equation; namely, $\omega = \delta_0$. This occurs easily in the context of the third-order-dispersion induced RR emitted by photonic crystal fibers (PCFs) [\[13\]](#page-4-0). Moreover, one should note that the integrand in Eq. (5) contains an exponential with argument $i[-q + D(\omega)]z$, which can be, generally speaking, a complicated function of the complex frequency *ω*. It is therefore not possible to solve the integral of Eq. (5) explicitly, unless a specific integration path satisfying Jordan's lemma [\[14\]](#page-4-0) is found. However, such a path can be found once an additional (but not excessively restrictive) assumption is made; namely, that the function $[-q + D(\omega)]$ can be expanded in a Taylor series around the only resonance *δ*0:

$$
[-q + D(\omega)] \simeq \left[\frac{\partial[-q + D(\omega)]}{\partial \omega}\right]_{\omega = \delta_0} [\omega - \delta_0]
$$

$$
\equiv v_g^{-1}[\omega - \delta_0], \tag{6}
$$

where $v_g(\delta_0)$ is related to the group velocity at the RR frequency. The explicit form of $\hat{G} = \text{diag}(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\mathcal{G}})$ deduced from Eqs. (5) and (6) is given by

$$
\hat{\mathcal{G}}(z,t-t') = iv_g \hat{\sigma} e^{i\hat{\sigma}\delta_0[t'-t]} \hat{\eta}_z(v_g,t'-t),\tag{7}
$$

where $\hat{\eta}_z(v_g, t' - t) = \text{diag}(\eta_z(v_g, t' - t), \eta_z(v_g, t' - t))$, and $\eta_z(v_g, t'-t) \equiv [\text{sgn}(t'-t) - \text{sgn}(t'-t+v_g^{-1}z)]/2$ is a useful "truncation" function that appears often in the calculations and physically provides the position of the RR emitted by the soliton in the time domain. We are now in position to use the convolution theorem Eq. (4) and evaluate the radiation field $\vec{g}(z,t)$ perturbatively. Let us define a new matrix kernel $\hat{K}(z; t, t') \equiv -\hat{\mathcal{G}}(z, t)\hat{M}(t')$, and a "constant" vector $\vec{G}_0(z, t) \equiv$ $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\mathcal{G}}(z,t-t') \vec{U}(\vec{F}(t'))dt'$, which physically represents the classical background field associated with the soliton tail, which is the source of the RR classical parametric growth. With these new definitions we can write Eq. (4) in the following symbolic way:

$$
\vec{g} = \vec{g}_0 + \vec{G}_0 + \hat{K} \otimes \vec{g},\tag{8}
$$

where the symbol ⊗ denotes the operation of convolution with respect to the time variable. Using this symbolic notation, one can rearrange the terms of Eq. (8) to obtain a formal solution for the amplitude field in terms of a Lippmann-Schwinger equation [\[15\]](#page-4-0):

$$
\vec{g} = \frac{1}{1 - \hat{K}\otimes} (\vec{g}_0 + \vec{G}_0).
$$
 (9)

We can expand the operator $[1 - \hat{K} \otimes]^{-1}$ in a series: $[1 (\hat{K} \otimes)^{-1} = 1 + \hat{K} \otimes \hat{K} \otimes \hat{K} \otimes + \cdots$. The zeroth order in the perturbation theory is given by $\vec{g}^{(0)}(z,t) = \vec{g}_0(t) + \vec{G}_0(z,t)$. At this level the interaction between the solitonic potential \hat{M} and the radiation is totally neglected, the coupling between fields \hat{g} and \hat{g}^{\dagger} in Eqs. [\(12\)](#page-2-0) and [\(13\)](#page-2-0) is not present and squeezing is not possible. Note that, however, the zeroth order gives reasonable approximate results if one is only interested in the calculation of the classical RR amplitude [\[12](#page-3-0)[,13\]](#page-4-0). To the next order in the Born series, one obtains

$$
\vec{g}^{(1)} = [1 + \hat{K} \otimes](\vec{g}_0 + \vec{G}_0) = \vec{g}_0 + \hat{K} \otimes \vec{g}_0 \n+ [\vec{G}_0 + \hat{K} \otimes \vec{G}_0],
$$
\n(10)

which already takes into account the potential matrix \hat{M} hidden in the kernel operator \ddot{K} . The term in square brackets in Eq. (10) represents the influence of HOD acting on the soliton and is a purely "classical" quantity. In this paper the main focus is on the quantum-noise properties of the RR. Thus we decouple the classical background soliton tail G_0 from the equations, since this does not affect the evolution of the quantum fluctuations around the resonant frequency.

Now we can specify the initial quantum vacuum field at the resonant frequency δ_0 (the frequency detuning from the

soliton carrier frequency): $\vec{g}_0(t) \equiv (\hat{a}_0(t), \hat{a}_0^{\dagger}(t))^T$, where \hat{a}_0^{\dagger} and \hat{a}_0 are, respectively, the *t*-dependent creation and destruction operators at the input of the fiber $(z = 0)$, which satisfy the shot-noise commutation relation $[\hat{a}_0(t), \hat{a}_0^{\dagger}(t')] = \Delta(t - t')$. In quantum optics this vacuum fluctuation field is everywhere and always exists even in the absence of classical signals. Due to Eq. (10) , vacuum noise fluctuations interact with the spectrally separated soliton, and acquire a *z* evolution given $\overrightarrow{g}^{(1)}(z,t) \equiv (\hat{a}(z,t), \hat{a}^{\dagger}(z,t))^{\mathrm{T}}$.

From the above definition of the kernel operator \hat{K} we have

$$
\hat{K} \otimes \vec{g}_0 \equiv -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\mathcal{G}}(z, t - t') \hat{M}(t') \vec{g}_0(t') dt'. \qquad (11)
$$

By using Eqs. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and [\(7\)](#page-1-0) the above convolution can be expressed as follows: $\hat{K} \otimes \vec{g}_0 = -v_g(H, \bar{H})^T$, where $H \equiv i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\delta_0(t'-t)} \eta_z(v_g, t'-t) [2] F(t') |^2 \hat{a}_0(t') +$ $F^2(t') \hat{a}_0^{\dagger}(t')$]*dt'*. It can be shown that, for an arbitrary function φ , one has $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \eta_z(v_g, t'-t)\varphi(t')dt' = \int_{t}^{t-v_g^{-1}z} \varphi(t')dt'.$

Squeezing of resonant radiation. By substituting Eq. (11) into Eq. (10) we have

$$
\hat{a}(z,t) = \hat{a}_0(t) - i v_g e^{-i\delta_0 t} \left[\int_t^{t-z/v_g} e^{i\delta_0 t'} \times \{2|F(t')|^2 \hat{a}_0(t') + F^2(t') \hat{a}_0^{\dagger}(t')\} dt' \right], \quad (12)
$$

$$
\hat{a}^{\dagger}(z,t) = \hat{a}_0^{\dagger}(t) + iv_g e^{i\delta_0 t} \left[\int_t^{t-z/v_g} e^{-i\delta_0 t'} \times \{\bar{F}^2(t')\hat{a}_0(t') + 2|F(t')|^2 \hat{a}_0^{\dagger}(t')\} dt' \right], \quad (13)
$$

Equations (12) and (13) are the main results of this paper. They show that creation and annihilation operators associated with the RR photons become correlated via the classical soliton $F(t)$, even if photons are uncorrelated at $z = 0$. This correlation leads to a squeezing (which we call hybrid squeezing) that is conceptually an intermediate case between the SPM-induced squeezing of pulses [\[8\]](#page-3-0) and the FWMinduced squeezing of CWs [\[4\]](#page-3-0). As in the case of spectral filtering, the orthogonality between the continuum and the soliton is broken by the HOD terms, leading to correlations between them (see Refs. [\[9,10\]](#page-3-0)).

In order to investigate the properties of the hybrid squeezing we construct the two-time radiation noise function $V(z, t, t')$ of the quadrature operator $\hat{X}(z,t) = \hat{a}(z,t)e^{-i\Phi} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}(z,t)e^{i\Phi}$, where Φ is a local oscillator phase [\[1,8\]](#page-3-0): $V(z, t, t') \equiv$ $\langle 0|\hat{X}(z,t)\hat{X}(z,t')|0\rangle - \langle 0|\hat{X}(z,t)|0\rangle \langle 0|\hat{X}(z,t')|0\rangle$, where |0\ denotes the vacuum state. The full temporal dependence of *V* must be retained, since the temporal profile of the soliton breaks the translational invariance of *V* along *t*; analogous to what happens in SPM-induced squeezing [\[8\]](#page-3-0) but unlike the case of FWM-induced squeezing [\[4\]](#page-3-0). Function $V(z, t, t')$ can be written in a semianalytical (but somewhat cumbersome) form, which we do not show here.

The radiation noise spectrum $S(z,\omega)$ is a real function and can be calculated by using the standard formula

$$
S(z,\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{+T/2} dt \int_{-T/2}^{+T/2} dt' \ V(z,t,t') e^{i\omega(t-t')}, \quad (14)
$$

FIG. 1. (Color online) Squeezing spectrum in various conditions and parameters. (a) Noise spectrum $S(\delta_0)$ versus propagation distance *z* for fixed value of the local oscillator phase $\Phi = 1.8$. (b) Noise spectrum *S*(δ_0) versus Φ for fixed value of propagation distance $z =$ 0*.*28. The green dotted and red solid curves in panels (a) and (b) correspond, respectively, to the soliton wave numbers $q = 0.5$ and $q = 0.25$. The black straight lines in panels (a) and (b) represent the shot-noise level. (c) Contour plot of $(S - 1)$ showing the *z* evolution of the noise spectrum at various noise frequencies *ω*. Parameters used in (c): $\Phi = 1.8$, $q = 0.25$. Other parameters used in panels (a), (b) and (c): $\alpha_3 = -0.2$; all other HOD coefficients vanish; integration time $T = 10$; with these parameters the resonant radiation frequency $\delta_0 \simeq -2.7$. (d) GVD of the PCF used in this work. At the soliton wavelength (λ _S = 1.2 μ m), α ₃ \simeq -0.2.

where T is the integration time of the spectrum analyzer $[8]$. Condition $S = 1$ corresponds to the shot-noise level, and $S(z = 0) = 1$ at the beginning of propagation. For sufficiently long integration times ($T \gg t_0$), expression (14) turns out to be independent of *T*, since $V(z, t, t')$ is a localized function in both t and t' due to the soliton localization itself. Squeezing of quantum noise is achieved in those spectral regions for which $S(\omega)$ < 1. We now restrict our attention to the resonant frequency $\omega = \delta_0$.

In Fig. 1(a), $S(\omega = \delta_0)$ as a function of *z* is plotted with fixed values of Φ and α_3 and for two different values of the soliton amplitude *q*. This demonstrates the existence of an optimal value of the fiber length for which maximum squeezing of RR is achieved. For large values of *z*, squeezing is lost, so that one would need relatively short pieces of fiber to observe the described phenomenon. This is also advantageous in practice since, in this case, the Raman effect, which manifests itself through the Raman self-frequency shift of solitons [\[16\]](#page-4-0), does not have time to take place and can be neglected, as we also do here in the equations. Of course, in a more precise treatment, the effect of the Raman nonlinearity on the correlations must be considered. This analysis will be reported elsewhere.

Figure $1(b)$ shows the evolution of $S(\delta_0)$ versus the local phase oscillator $Φ$, for fixed values of *z* and $α_3$, plotted for two different values of *q*. In this case, due to the structure of function $V(z, t, t')$, there is a periodic dependency of *S* on , and maximum squeezing occurs periodically in with a period equal to π . In most cases, in our numerical simulations we managed to obtain states with squeezing parameters $S(\delta_0)$

FIG. 2. (Color online) Schematic of the proposed experimental verification. A pump laser provides optical pulses that propagate through the PCF as solitons. The generated resonant radiation (RR) is isolated with a spectral filter (SF) and coupled into an optical cavity. A photodiode at one cavity output in combination with a spectrum analyzer can be used to measure the noise spectrum around the RR frequency δ_0 . Tuning the cavity provides access to the quantum fluctuations at any field quadrature.

down to around 0.9, which should be easily detectable in possible future experiments.

It is also interesting to explore what happens to the radiation spectrum around $\omega = \delta_0$. In Fig. [1\(c\)](#page-2-0) the contour plot of $S(\omega) - 1$ versus the propagation distance *z* is shown. For $\alpha_3 = -0.2$, the solitonic source of the radiation is located at $\omega - \delta_0 = 2.7$, exactly at the right edge of the spectral window. In the plot, the blue color indicates those regions for which squeezing is possible $[S(\omega) < 1]$, while red indicates antisqueezing $[S(\omega) > 1]$. Such a figure also shows that, for each given value of ω , there is an optimal value of *z* that shows maximal squeezing, consistent with Fig. [1\(a\).](#page-2-0) Moreover, it is evident from Fig. $1(c)$ that one has a more pronounced squeezing effect in close proximity to the spectral body of the soliton.

III. PROPOSED EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

Here we propose an experimental way to investigate the squeezing of RR in PCFs. As a source, a solid-core PCF is advantageous, since its GVD can be engineered almost at will by choosing an appropriate hole spacing (pitch) Λ and hole size *d* in the fabrication process. This offers great flexibility in where the RR can be generated and the fiber structure proposed here is merely one of many possible examples. In Fig. $1(d)$ we show the GVD of a solid-core PCF of a solid-core PCF with $\Lambda = 1.1$ μ m and $d = 0.8$ μ m. This fiber exhibits a third-order-dispersion coefficient $\alpha_3 = -0.2$ at the input soliton wavelength $\lambda_s = 1.2 \mu$ m, values that we have used throughout this paper. The resulting RR is generated in

the normal dispersion regime at $\lambda_0 \simeq 1.38$ μ m. To measure the generated squeezed vacuum at frequencies around λ_0 one can employ the usual homodyne techniques, in which the RR is mixed with a strong local oscillator (LO) field that shares the same mode characteristics as the RR. Alternatively, this mode-matching condition can also be met by employing a self-homodyne method based on the dispersive action of optical cavities near resonance [\[17,18\]](#page-4-0). In this approach, the carrier of the RR essentially acts as the LO and is interfered with its sideband frequencies via an empty optical cavity. The signal reflected by the cavity is sent to a photodiode whose photocurrent can be studied by using a standard spectrum analyzer (Fig. 2). Note that the analysis frequency corresponds to the "frequency detuning" shown in Fig. $1(c)$. Quantum fluctuations at any quadrature are simply accessed by scanning the cavity's detuning with respect to δ_0 . This causes the noise ellipse to rotate relative to the mean field and thus corresponds to changing the LO phase in a traditional homodyne setup. The use of a properly designed PCF could also help to suppress the guided acoustic wave Brillouin scattering (GAWBS, see Ref. [\[19\]](#page-4-0)), which affects the squeezing performance and is neglected here for simplicity. A more precise analysis will include the effect of Raman and Brillouin scattering in the formalism.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

By using a method based on Green's function, we have demonstrated the existence of a different kind of squeezing, which occurs in correspondence to the resonant quasimonochromatic radiation emitted by a soliton near the zero-GVD point of a PCF. Correlation between photons occurs at the resonant frequencies and is induced by the presence of the effective potential generated by the soliton. An experimental configuration for observing this phenomenon has been proposed. Important applications include quantum-enhanced measurements, quantum-information processing, and quantum cryptography.

This research is funded by the German Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science (MPG) and was supported by the EC under the grant agreement CORNER (FP7-ICT-213681). K.N.C. acknowledges support from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

- [1] M. Fox, *Quantum Optics: An Introduction* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006).
- [2] K. Goda *et al.*, Nature Phys. **4**[, 472 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys920)
- [3] J. L. O'Brien, A. Furusawa, and J. Vuckovic, [Nature Phot.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2009.229) **3**, [687 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2009.229)
- [4] M. D. Levenson, R. M. Shelby, A. Aspect, M. Reid, and D. F. Walls, Phys. Rev. A **32**[, 1550 \(1985\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.32.1550)
- [5] M. Rosenbluh and R. M. Shelby, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.153) **66**, 153 [\(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.66.153)
- [6] S. Spälter et al., [Europhys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/epl/i1997-00248-2) **38**, 335 (1997).
- [7] R. E. Slusher, P. Grangier, A. LaPorta, B. Yurke, and M. J. Potasek, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.2566) **59**, 2566 (1987).
- [8] K. J. Blow, R. Loudon, and S. J. D. Phoenix, [J. Opt. Soc. Am.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/JOSAB.8.001750) B **8**[, 1750 \(1991\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/JOSAB.8.001750)
- [9] S. R. Friberg, S. Machida, M. J. Werner, A. Levanon, and T. Mukai, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3775) **77**, 3775 (1996).
- [10] A. Mecozzi and P. Kumar, Opt. Lett. **22**[, 1232 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.22.001232)
- [11] G. P. Agrawal, *Applications of Nonlinear Fiber Optics*, 2nd ed. (Academic Press, San Diego, 2008).
- [12] N. Akhmediev and M. Karlsson, Phys. Rev. A **51**[, 2602 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.51.2602)

HYBRID SQUEEZING OF SOLITONIC RESONANT *...* PHYSICAL REVIEW A **84**, 013824 (2011)

- [13] F. Biancalana, D. V. Skryabin, and A. V. Yulin, [Phys. Rev. E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.016615) **70**, [016615 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.016615)
- [14] J. W. Brown and R. V. Churchill, *Complex Variables and Applications*, 7th ed. (McGraw Hill, New York, 2004), pp. 362–265.
- [15] J. R. Taylor, *Scattering Theory: The Quantum Theory of Nonrelativistic Collisions*(John Wiley & sons, New York, 1972).
- [16] K. Blow and D. Wood, [IEEE J. Quantum Electron.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/3.40655) **25**, 2665 [\(1989\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/3.40655)
- [17] A. S. Coelho *et al.*, Science **326**[, 823 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1178683)
- [18] A. S. Villar, Am. J. Phys. **76**[, 922 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.2937903)
- [19] D. Elser, U. L. Andersen, A. Korn, O. Glockl, S. Lorenz, C. Marquardt, and G. Leuchs, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.133901) **97**, 133901 [\(2006\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.133901)