

Schrödinger uncertainty relation with Wigner-Yanase skew information

Shigeru Furuichi*

Department of Computer Science and System Analysis, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, 3-25-40, Sakurajyousui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan

(Received 17 May 2010; published 2 September 2010)

We shall give an alternative Schrödinger-type uncertainty relation for a quantity representing a quantum uncertainty, introduced by Luo [Phys. Rev. A **72**, 042110 (2005)]. Our result improves the Heisenberg-type uncertainty relation shown in Luo's paper for a mixed state.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.82.034101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.034101)

PACS number(s): 03.65.Ta, 03.67.-a

I. INTRODUCTION

In quantum mechanical systems, the expectation value of an observable (self-adjoint operator) H in a quantum state (density operator) ρ is expressed by $\text{Tr}(\rho H)$. Also, the variance for a quantum state ρ and an observable H is defined by $V_\rho(H) \equiv \text{Tr}\{\rho[H - \text{Tr}(\rho H)I]^2\} = \text{Tr}(\rho H^2) - \text{Tr}(\rho H)^2$. The Heisenberg uncertainty relation is well known [1]:

$$V_\rho(A)V_\rho(B) \geq \frac{1}{4}|\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2 \quad (1)$$

for a quantum state ρ and two observables A and B . The further strong result was given by Schrödinger [2]:

$$V_\rho(A)V_\rho(B) - |\text{Re}\{\text{Cov}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}|\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2, \quad (2)$$

where the covariance is defined by $\text{Cov}_\rho(A, B) \equiv \text{Tr}(\rho[A - \text{Tr}(\rho A)I][B - \text{Tr}(\rho B)I])$.

On the other hand, as a degree for noncommutativity between a quantum state ρ and an observable H , the Wigner-Yanase skew information $I_\rho(H)$ was defined in Ref. [3] (see Definition 1 in Sec. II). It is well known that the convexity of the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information $I_{\rho, \alpha}(H) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}\{(i[\rho^\alpha, H])(i[\rho^{1-\alpha}, H])\}$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, which is a one-parameter extension of the Wigner-Yanase skew information $I_\rho(H)$, with respect to ρ was successfully proven by Lieb in Ref. [4]. We have the relation between $I_\rho(H)$ and $V_\rho(H)$ such that $0 \leq I_\rho(H) \leq V_\rho(H)$ so it is quite natural to consider that we have the further sharpened uncertainty relation for the Wigner-Yanase skew information:

$$I_\rho(A)I_\rho(B) \geq \frac{1}{4}|\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2.$$

However, the above relation failed (see Refs. [5–7]). Luo then introduced the quantity $U_\rho(H)$ representing a quantum uncertainty excluding the classical mixture:

$$U_\rho(H) \equiv \sqrt{V_\rho(H)^2 - [V_\rho(H) - I_\rho(H)]^2}, \quad (3)$$

and then he successfully showed a new the Heisenberg-type uncertainty relation on $U_\rho(H)$ in Ref. [8]:

$$U_\rho(A)U_\rho(B) \geq \frac{1}{4}|\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2. \quad (4)$$

As stated in Ref. [8], the physical meaning of the quantity $U_\rho(H)$ can be interpreted as follows. For a mixed state ρ ,

the variance $V_\rho(H)$ has both classical mixture and quantum uncertainty. Also, the Wigner-Yanase skew information $I_\rho(H)$ represents a kind of quantum uncertainty [9,10]. Thus, the difference $V_\rho(H) - I_\rho(H)$ has a classical mixture so we can consider that the quantity $U_\rho(H)$ has a quantum uncertainty excluding a classical mixture. Therefore it is meaningful and suitable to study an uncertainty relation for a mixed state by use of the quantity $U_\rho(H)$.

Recently, Yanagi gave a one-parameter extension of the inequality (4) in Ref. [11], using the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information $I_{\rho, \alpha}(H)$. Note that we have the following ordering among three quantities:

$$0 \leq I_\rho(H) \leq U_\rho(H) \leq V_\rho(H). \quad (5)$$

The inequality (4) is a refinement of the original Heisenberg's uncertainty relation (1) in the sense of the above ordering (5).

In this Brief Report, we show the further strong inequality (Schrödinger-type uncertainty relation) for the quantity $U_\rho(H)$ representing a quantum uncertainty.

II. MAIN RESULTS

To show our main theorem, we prepare the definition for a few quantities and a lemma representing properties on their quantities.

Definition 1. For a quantum state ρ and an observable H , we define the following quantities.

(i) The Wigner-Yanase skew information:

$$I_\rho(H) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}\{(i[\rho^{1/2}, H_0])^2\} = \text{Tr}(\rho H_0^2) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2} H_0 \rho^{1/2} H_0),$$

where $H_0 \equiv H - \text{Tr}(\rho H)I$ and $[X, Y] \equiv XY - YX$ is a commutator.

(ii) The quantity associated to the Wigner-Yanase skew information:

$$J_\rho(H) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}\{(\{\rho^{1/2}, H_0\})^2\} = \text{Tr}(\rho H_0^2) + \text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2} H_0 \rho^{1/2} H_0),$$

where $\{X, Y\} \equiv XY + YX$ is an anticommutator.

(iii) The quantity representing a quantum uncertainty:

$$U_\rho(H) \equiv \sqrt{V_\rho(H)^2 - [V_\rho(H) - I_\rho(H)]^2}.$$

For two quantities $I_\rho(H)$ and $J_\rho(H)$, by simple calculations, we have

$$I_\rho(H) = \text{Tr}(\rho H^2) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2} H \rho^{1/2} H)$$

*furuichi@chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_\rho(H) &= \text{Tr}(\rho H^2) + \text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2} H \rho^{1/2} H) - 2[\text{Tr}(\rho H)]^2 \\ &= 2V_\rho(H) - I_\rho(H), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which implies $I_\rho(H) \leq J_\rho(H)$. In addition, we have the following relations.

Lemma 1. (i) For a quantum state ρ and an observable H , we have the following relation among $I_\rho(H)$, $J_\rho(H)$, and $U_\rho(H)$:

$$U_\rho(H) = \sqrt{I_\rho(H)J_\rho(H)}.$$

(ii) For a spectral decomposition of $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j |\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|$, putting $h_{ij} \equiv \langle\phi_i|H_0|\phi_j\rangle$, we have

$$I_\rho(H) = \sum_{i<j} (\sqrt{\lambda_i} - \sqrt{\lambda_j})^2 |h_{ij}|^2.$$

(iii) For a spectral decomposition of $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j |\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|$, putting $h_{ij} \equiv \langle\phi_i|H_0|\phi_j\rangle$, we have

$$J_\rho(H) \geq \sum_{i<j} (\sqrt{\lambda_i} + \sqrt{\lambda_j})^2 |h_{ij}|^2.$$

The relation (i) immediately follows from Eq. (6). See Ref. [11] for the proofs of (ii) and (iii).

Theorem 1. For a quantum state (density operator) ρ and two observables (self-adjoint operators) A and B , we have

$$U_\rho(A)U_\rho(B) - |\text{Re}\{\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}|\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2, \quad (7)$$

where the correlation measure is defined by

$$\text{Corr}_\rho(X, Y) \equiv \text{Tr}(\rho X^* Y) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2} X^* \rho^{1/2} Y)$$

for any operators X and Y .

Proof. We take a spectral decomposition $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j |\phi_j\rangle\langle\phi_j|$. If we put $a_{ij} = \langle\phi_i|A_0|\phi_j\rangle$ and $b_{ji} = \langle\phi_j|B_0|\phi_i\rangle$, where $A_0 = A - \text{Tr}(\rho A)I$ and $B_0 = B - \text{Tr}(\rho B)I$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Corr}_\rho(A, B) &= \text{Tr}(\rho AB) - \text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2} A \rho^{1/2} B) \\ &= \text{Tr}[\rho A_0 B_0] - \text{Tr}(\rho^{1/2} A_0 \rho^{1/2} B_0) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i - \lambda_i^{1/2} \lambda_j^{1/2}) a_{ij} b_{ji} \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_i^{1/2} \lambda_j^{1/2}) a_{ij} b_{ji} \\ &= \sum_{i<j} [(\lambda_i - \lambda_i^{1/2} \lambda_j^{1/2}) a_{ij} b_{ji} \\ &\quad + (\lambda_j - \lambda_j^{1/2} \lambda_i^{1/2}) a_{ji} b_{ij}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)| &\leq \sum_{i<j} (|\lambda_i - \lambda_i^{1/2} \lambda_j^{1/2}| |a_{ij}| |b_{ji}| \\ &\quad + |\lambda_j - \lambda_j^{1/2} \lambda_i^{1/2}| |a_{ji}| |b_{ij}|). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|a_{ij}| = |a_{ji}|$ and $|b_{ij}| = |b_{ji}|$, taking a square of both sides and then using Schwarz inequality for a scalar and Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)|^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{i<j} (|\lambda_i - \lambda_i^{1/2} \lambda_j^{1/2}| + |\lambda_j - \lambda_j^{1/2} \lambda_i^{1/2}|) |a_{ij}| |b_{ji}| \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{i<j} (\lambda_i^{1/2} + \lambda_j^{1/2}) |\lambda_i^{1/2} - \lambda_j^{1/2}| |a_{ij}| |b_{ji}| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i<j} (\sqrt{\lambda_i} - \sqrt{\lambda_j})^2 |a_{ij}|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i<j} (\sqrt{\lambda_i} + \sqrt{\lambda_j})^2 |b_{ij}|^2 \right) \\ &\leq I_\rho(A) J_\rho(B). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we also have

$$|\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)|^2 \leq I_\rho(B) J_\rho(A).$$

Thus we have

$$|\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)|^2 \leq U_\rho(A) U_\rho(B),$$

which is equivalent to the inequality

$$U_\rho(A) U_\rho(B) - |\text{Re}\{\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} |\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2,$$

since we have

$$|\text{Im}\{\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 = \frac{1}{4} |\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2.$$

Theorem 1 improves the uncertainty relation (4) shown in Ref. [8], in the sense that the upper bound of the right-hand side of our inequality (7) is tighter than that of Luo's (4). ■

Remark 1. For a pure state $\rho = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|$, we have $I_\rho(H) = V_\rho(H)$, which implies $U_\rho(H) = V_\rho(H)$ for an observable H and $\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B) = \text{Cov}_\rho(A, B)$ for two observables A and B . Therefore our Theorem 1 coincides with the Schrödinger uncertainty relation (2) for a particular case that a given quantum state is a pure state, $\rho = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|$.

Remark 2. As a similar problem, we may consider the following uncertainty relation:

$$U_\rho(A)U_\rho(B) - |\text{Re}\{\text{Cov}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} |\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2.$$

However, the above inequality does not hold in general, since we have a counterexample as follows. We take

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and then we have

$$U_\rho(A)U_\rho(B) - |\text{Re}\{\text{Cov}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2 = -\frac{3}{4}.$$

Remark 3. From Theorem 1 and Remark 2, we may expect that the following inequality holds:

$$|\text{Re}\{\text{Cov}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 \geq |\text{Re}\{\text{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2. \quad (8)$$

However, the above inequality does not hold in general, since we have a counterexample as follows. We take

$$\rho = \frac{1}{10} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and then we have

$$|\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Cov}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 - |\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 \simeq -0.1539.$$

Actually, from Theorem 1, the example in Remark 2, and the above example, we find that there is no ordering between $|\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Cov}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2$ and $|\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2$.

Remark 4. The example given in Remark 2 shows

$$V_\rho(A)V_\rho(B) - |\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Cov}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 - \{U_\rho(A)U_\rho(B) - |\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2\} \simeq -0.232051.$$

The example given in Remark 3 also shows

$$V_\rho(A)V_\rho(B) - |\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Cov}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2 - \{U_\rho(A)U_\rho(B) - |\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2\} \simeq 13.7862.$$

Therefore there is no ordering between $V_\rho(A)V_\rho(B) - |\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Cov}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2$ and $U_\rho(A)U_\rho(B) - |\operatorname{Re}\{\operatorname{Corr}_\rho(A, B)\}|^2$ so we can conclude that neither the inequality (2) nor the inequality (7) is uniformly better than the other.

III. CONCLUSION

As we have seen, we proved an alternative Schrödinger-type uncertainty relation for a quantum state (generally a mixed state). Our result coincides with the original Schrödinger uncertainty relation for a particular case that a quantum state is a pure state. In addition, our result improves the uncertainty relation shown in Ref. [8] as well as the original Heisenberg uncertainty relation. Moreover, it is impossible to conclude that our result is always better than the original Schrödinger uncertainty relation for a mixed state, from the viewpoint of finding a tight upper bound for $\frac{1}{4}|\operatorname{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2$, where $\operatorname{Tr}(\rho[A, B])$ can be regarded as an average of the commutator $[A, B]$ for two observables A and B in a quantum state ρ . However, in other words, it is also impossible to conclude that our result is a trivial one, since there is no ordering between the left-hand side of the inequality (2) and that of (7).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author was supported in part by the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists (B), No. 20740067.

-
- [1] W. Heisenberg, *Z. Phys. A* **43**, 172 (1927).
 [2] E. Schrödinger, *Proc. Prussian Acad. Sci. Phys. Math. Sec.* **19**, 296 (1930).
 [3] E. P. Wigner and M. M. Yanase, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **49**, 910 (1963).
 [4] E. H. Lieb, *Adv. Math.* **11**, 267 (1973).
 [5] S. Luo and Q. Zhang, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **50**, 1778 (2004); **51**, 4432 (2005).
 [6] H. Kosaki, *Int. J. Math.* **16**, 629 (2005).
 [7] K. Yanagi, S. Furuichi, and K. Kuriyama, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **51**, 4401 (2005).
 [8] S. Luo, *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 042110 (2005).
 [9] S. Luo and Q. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 032106 (2004).
 [10] S. Luo, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **143**, 681 (2005).
 [11] K. Yanagi, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **365**, 12 (2010).